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| District | Current Indicator | Measures | New Domain | Data Source | Data Years | Standard | Standard | Standard | Standard | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notes | This represents our current system's categories. | This is the measure in our current system. | Use the drop down to determine where this indicator fits in the new LAS Domain system. | Describe the data source for this measure. Ex: ESP report, TEA Assessment report, Survey, Campus reported list, PD records etc. | How many years of data <br> do you have access to for this measure? <br> Ex: none, 1, 2, 3+ | F | D | c | B | A |
| Alief | Fine Arts | students enrolled in fine art courses. | Academics | Cognos Report | 4 | Less than $35 \%$ of students are enrolled | 35 to $39 \%$ of students are | 40 to $49 \%$ of students are | 50 to $59 \%$ of students are | $60 \%$ or more of students are |
| Alief | Fine Arts | students continuing Fine Arts beyond the | Future Ready Learner | Cognos Report | 4 | Less than $15 \%$ of students are enrolled | 15 to $19 \%$ of students are | $20 \%-29 \%$ of students are | $30 \%-40 \%$ of students are | Greater than $40 \%$ of students |
| Alief | Fine Arts | Fine Arts students competing in UIL | Extra/Co-Curricular | Campus Reported List | 4 | Less than $5 \%$ of participating FA | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { to } 6 \% \text { of } \\ \text { participating FA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 7 \text { to } 10 \% \text { of } \\ \text { participating FA } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 11 to $15 \%$ of participating FA | 16 to $20 \%$ of participating FA |
| Alief | Fine Arts | opportunities for fine arts exhibitions and | Extra/Co-Curricular | Campus Reported List | 4 | Less than the recommended | Elementary: 6 <br> Inter: 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elementary: } 7 \\ \text { Inter: } 19 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Elementary: 8-9 } \\ \text { Inter: 20-22 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elementary:10+ } \\ \text { Inter: } 23+ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Alief | Fine Arts | opportunites to participate in special | Extra/Co-Curricular | Campus Reported List | 4 | Less than the recommended | 2 opportunities | 3 opportunities | 4 opportunities | 5 opportunities |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | the wellness policy guidelines | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 2 points scored on the | 2 or 3 points scored on the | 4-6 points scored on the | At least 7 points scored | 8-9 points scored on the |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | Evaluate adherance to nutrition guidelines | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 4 points scored on the | 4-6 points scored on the wellness | 7-9 points scored on the | 10-11 points scored on the | At least 12 points scored on |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | nutrition and health education guidelines | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 5 points scored on the | 5-7 points scored on the wellness | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8-11 points } \\ & \text { scored on the } \end{aligned}$ | 12-13 points scored on the | At least 14 <br> points scored on |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | physical activity and education guidelines | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 7 points scored on the | 7-10 points scored on the | 11-16 points scored on the | $\begin{aligned} & 17-20 \text { points } \\ & \text { scored } \end{aligned}$ | At least 21 points scored |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | other school activities and employee wellness | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 5 points scored on the | 5-7 points scored on the wellness | $\begin{aligned} & 8-9 \text { points } \\ & \text { scored on the } \end{aligned}$ | 10-12 points scored on the | At least 13 points scored on |
| Alief | Wellness and Physical Education | health services guidelines | Culture and Climate | Wellness Policy Survey | This will be the first year | Fewer than 4 points scored | 4-5 points scored on the wellness | 6-7 points scored on the | $\begin{gathered} 8-9 \text { points } \\ \text { scored on the } \end{gathered}$ | At least 10 <br> points scored on |
| Alief | Community and Parental Involvement | parent/family and community volunteer | Culture and Climate | MIS Database report Local volunteer sign in sheets | 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average of 0 } \\ \text { parent/family and } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average of 1-3 } \\ \text { parent/family and } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average of 4-6 } \\ \text { parent/family and } \end{array}$ | Average of 7-9 parent/family | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Average of } 10+ \\ \text { parent/family and } \end{array}$ |
| Alief | Community and Parental Involvement | students with at least one family member | Culture and Climate | ESP reports and local sign in sheets | 5 | $<5 \%$ of student population with at | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 5 \% \text { and }<10 \% \text { of } \\ \text { student } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10 \% \text { and }<30 \% \\ \text { of student } \end{array}$ | $30 \%$ and $<55 \%$ of student | $55 \%$ or greater of student |
| Alief | Community and Parental Involvement | community service activities offered by the | Culture and Climate | correspondence with community organizations and sign in shets and marketing | 5 | 0 community service activities | 1 community service activity | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline 2-3 \text { community } \\ \text { service } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4-5 \text { community } \\ \text { service } \end{array}$ | $>5$ community service activities |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce Development | student completing a coherent sequence of | Future Ready Learner | PEIMS report - Fall / Summer Snapshot | $3+$ | $0-12 \%$ of exiting 12th graders currently | $13-28 \%$ of exiting 12th | $29 \%-44 \%$ of exiting 12th | $45 \%-59 \%$ of exiting 12th | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 60 \% \text { or higher of } \\ \text { exiting 12th } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce Development | dual credit or atriculated workforce | Future Ready Learner | PEIMS report (ESP) - Course Type / Enrollment | $3+$ | Less than 3 dual credit or articulated CTE | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Less than } 6 \text { dual } \\ \text { credit or } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \text { or more dual } \\ \text { credit or } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 12 \text { or more dual } \\ \text { credit or } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 15 \text { or more dual } \\ \text { credit or } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce <br> Development | industry certifications or licensures offered to | Future Ready Learner | ESP Report plus CTE Database | $3+$ | Less than three industry certifications | Three or more industry | Five or more industry | Seven or more industry | Nine or more industry |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce <br> Development | industry certifications or licensures earned by | Future Ready Learner | ESP Report plus CTE Database | $3+$ | Less than $29 \%$ of the students taking a | $30 \%$ or more of the students | $45 \%$ or more of the students | $60 \%$ or more of the students | $75 \%$ or more of the students |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce Development | students participating in career assessments. | Future Ready Learner | Naviance report | $3+$ | Zero to $44 \%$ of exiting 7th graders have | $45 \%-59 \%$ of exiting 7th | $60 \%-74 \%$ of exiting 7th | $75 \%-89 \%$ of exiting 7th | $90 \%$ or higher percent of |
| Alief | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 21st Century Workforce } \\ \text { Development } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | student centered college and career | Future Ready Learner | Campus reported list based upon criteria | 3+ | 4 or less opportunities provided annually | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5-8 or less } \\ \text { opportunities } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 9- \\ 11 \text { opportunitie } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 12-15 opportunities | 16 or more opportunities |
| Alief | 21st Century Workforce <br> Development | student centered college and career | Future Ready Learner | Campus reported list based upon criteria | $3+$ | 2 or less opportunities provided annually | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { opportunities } \\ & \text { provided } \end{aligned}$ | 4-5 opportunities | $\begin{gathered} 6-7 \\ \text { opportunities } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 8 or more opportunities |
| Alief | Second Language Acquisitior | Language Development progress | Academics | TEA Reports | $3+$ | Below unacceptable at state average - 16 and | Unacceptable at state average. - | Acceptable at state average - | Recognized at state average. | Exemplary at state average. |
| Alief | Second Language Acquisitior | achievement of Monitor students | Remove this Indicator |  | $3+$ | 6 or more points below the state | 5 or more points below the state | Within 4 points of the State | 5-9 points above state | 10 or more points above |
| Alief | Second Language Acquisitior | teachers trained in Sheltered Instruction or | Culture and Climate | District data collectected from State report submission to TEA | $3+$ | 59\% or fewer trained | 60-69\% trained | $70 \%-79 \%$ trained | $80 \%-89 \%$ trained | 90\%-100\% trained |
| Alief | Second Language Acquisition | students passing a foreign language AP | Academics | AP Reports | $3+$ | $39 \%$ or fewer of students scored a 3 or | $\begin{gathered} \hline 40-54 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students scored } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 55 \%-69 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students scored } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 70 \%-84 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students scored } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \%-100 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students scored } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alief | Digital Learning Environment | technology PD by the percent of teachers | Future Ready Learner | Professional Development reports will be exported from Eduphoria | $3+$ | <70\% of teachers earned 3 hours of | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 70-84 \% \text { of } \\ \text { teachers earned } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 85-100\% of | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \text { of } \\ & \text { teachers } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 100 \% \text { of } \\ \text { teachers earned } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Alief | Digital Learning Environment | student interactivity within the district | Future Ready Learner | Schoology with data regarding student login and access information. | $3+$ | <60\% of students access interactive | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 60-69 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students access } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 70-79 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students access } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 80-89 \% \text { of } \\ \text { students access } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 90-100\% of |
| Alief | Digital Learning Environment | student successfully completing courses for | Future Ready Learner | district with a downloadable report. This report is only valid after every Provider of courses has | $3+$ | <85\% | 85-88\% | 89-92\% | 93-96\% | >97\% |
| Alief | Dropout Prevention | 8th grade students with high school credit. | Academics | ESP Report | 4 | <80\% | 84.9\%-80\% | 89.9\% - 85\% | 90\% - 94.9\% | >94.9\% |
| Alief | Dropout Prevention | clubs and organizations offered at a campus. | Remove this Indicator | Campus Reported Number |  | 2 or less | 3-4 | 5-7 | 8-10 | > 10 |
| Alief | Dropout Prevention | (ending the 3rd week in April) | Culture and Climate | ESP Report | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{l}<94 \% \\ & \mathrm{M}<92.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { E/I 94\%-94.9\% } \\ & \text { M 92.8\%-93.8\% } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E / /=95 \%-96 \% \\ M=93.9 \%- \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{I}=96.1 \%- \\ 97.1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E / I>97.1 \% \\ M>96 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Alief | Gifted and Talented | $\begin{aligned} & \text { teachers meeting GT } \\ & \text { requiremente } \end{aligned}$ | Academics | Professional Development logs/reports | 3 | $\begin{gathered} <100 \% \text { of GT teachers } \\ \text { meet state } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \text { of GT } \\ \text { teachers meet } \end{gathered}$ | $100 \% \text { of GT }$ teachers meet | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \text { of GT } \\ \text { teachers meet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \text { of GT } \\ \text { teachers meet } \end{gathered}$ |
| Alief | Gifted and Talented | parental involvement opportunities to share | Academics | Parent event agendas; parent sign-in sheets; event emailflyer promotional tools | 3 | No parental involvement | Offered one parental | Offered two parental | Offered three parental | Offered four or more parental |
| Alief | Gifted and Talented | Evaluate the level of GT services provided. | Academics | GT pull-out service logs; teacher lesson plans; GT showcase events/student work | 3 | NOT ALL GT students are receiving required | ALL GT students receive | ALL GT students receive | ALL GT Students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All GT students } \\ & \text { receive } \end{aligned}$ |
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Austin Independent School District
Local Accountability System (LAS) Pilot

|  | Component | Metric | Growth Target Methodology | Data Source | Alignment | LvI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ | CAC* | The school has an active Campus Advisory Council (CAC) that meets state and district requirements pertaining to membership. | CAC active and met requirements $=1$ CAC active but did not meet requirements $=.5$ | TBD | Community Input | All |
|  | PTA* | The school has an active Parent Teacher Association (PTA) that meets state and district requirements pertaining to membership. | PTA active and met requirements $=1$ PTA active but did not meet requirements = . 5 | ACPTA | 1819 SP <br> Scorecard, <br> 1718 CIPs | All |
|  | No Place for Hate ${ }^{\circledR}$ | The campus has met the requirements for No Place for Hate ${ }^{\circledR}$ designation. | Metric met = 1 | \&I epartment |  | All |
|  | Student Health Risk | Fitnessgram All Students: Body Mass Index, Cardio, Aerobic Capacity, Curl-Ups, Push-Ups, Sit and Reach, Trunk Lift | 2 percentage point increase over prior year = 1 <br> Improvement over prior year but below 2 percentage points $=.5$ | FitnessGram data | $\begin{aligned} & 1617 \text { CaSE, } \\ & 1718 \text { CIPs } \end{aligned}$ | All |
|  | SEL | $\%$ of student scoring an average of 3.5 or greater on Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) skills as reported on K-5 report card | At or above district target of $68 \%=1$ Improvement over prior year but below district target $=.5$ | Elementary School report cards | 1819 SP <br> Scorecard, <br> 1718 CIPs | ES |
|  | At Risk Monitoring - ES | \% of students that had more unexcused absences during the 4th 9 weeks than the AISD elementary average | Decrease from prior year $=1$ | eCST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eCST, } 1718 \\ & \text { CIPs } \end{aligned}$ | ES |
|  | Home <br> Suspensions | \% of students receiving home suspensions | 7.4\% reduction over prior year $=1$ Reduction over prior year but less than $7.4 \%$ = .5 | DEEDS | 1718 and 1819 <br> SP Scorecards, 1718 CIPs | $\begin{gathered} M S / H \\ S \end{gathered}$ |
|  | At Risk Monitoring MS/HS | \% of students that have a 6th 6 weeks RITS score that is above the AISD level average | Decrease from prior year = 1 | eCST | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eCST, } 1718 \\ & \text { CIPs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{H} \\ \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Community Service | \% of students participating in community service | At or above district target of $70 \%=1$ Improvement over prior year but below district target $=.5$ | HS Exit Survey | 1718 SP <br> Scorecard | HS |



Local Accountability Pilot Plan DOI Committee Spring 2018

Domain: Programs—BISD will NOT utilize this Domain
Domain: Extra-Curricular (25\% of the total 50\%)
Indicators: Participation Rates in the following programs/activities

- UIL Activities/Programs
- Club/Organization Sponsored Activities
- FFA programs

Measureable Goal: All students participate in two Activities/Programs
Data: Combination of all areas of data

- Participation data
- Program expectations (time, effort, impact)
- Class Rosters for UIL classes
- Competition Entries

Rubric for Middle School: Point system converted to a Percent score
-1 point for students participating in 0 programs/activities
0 points for students participating in 1 program/activity
1 point for students participating in 2 programs/activities
2 points for students participating in 3 or more programs/activities
By grade level: Total Points / Total \# of students = Percentage
Grade levels are averaged
Typical grading scale:

$$
A=90-100, B=80-89, C=70-79, D=60-69 \text { and } F=59 \text { below }
$$

Domain: Academics
Indicators:
Elementary Data
1-4 Reading levels
Fountas \& Pinnell Leveled Literacy Intervention Kits
Rigby Leveled Readers - "Reading Recovery" Reading Levels. Secondary Data (starting at grade 5)

Early and/or On Time Graduation
Percent of Students completing Algebra I by the end of Grade 9
Four year or Less Graduation Rate
Percent of Students passing all Math courses Grades 5-8
Percent of Students passing all ELA course Grades 5-8
Percent of Students achieving required number of credits to be promoted to Grade 10
Percent of Students with more than one semester grade of an "F"
(Grades 5-10)
Post-Secondary Readiness
Percent of Students Performing at/or above target
SAT, ACT, PSAT, TSI

Career and Technical Education
CTE participation rates
Percent of Successful CTE Course Completion
Number of CTE Certifications Earned
College Ready Graduates
Percent of Students Enrolled in a 2 or 4 year Institution of Higher Learning within 2 years of graduating High School
Advance Placement Exams
Participation Rate
College Credit
Average Number of Credits Earned Per Participating Students
Technology
*Percent of Students Successfully Completing Courses for Original Credit in a Digital Environment
Student \& Staff Survey
*--specific courses will be confirmed annually pending course offerings

## Domain: Culture and Climate (25\% of the total 50\%) <br> Indicators: <br> Culture and Climate Survey <br> US Department of Education Survey-EDSCLS

- Engagement
- Safety
- Environment

Rubric is To Be Determined when data is received
Teacher Retention Rates
Excluding Retirement
Exit Survey Data

## Student Safety

Rates of Out of School Suspensions and Out of School Suspensions
Social \& Emotional Development
Number of Bullying incidents reported
Number of Students identified as at risk for Suicide
Middle School will be the Pilot Campus for TEA Pilot Program
Bold Print indicates the data to be included for the Middle School rating

## Resources

National Center on Safe Supportive Learning Environments—United States Department of Education, American Institute for Research: https://safesupportivelearning.ed.gov/edscls

National Student Clearinghouse Data: http://www.studentclearinghouse.org/
Hein, V., and Smerdon, B. (2013). Predictors of Post-Secondary Success. Washington, DC: American Institute for Research

Hanover Research (2011). Improving graduation rates: Effective identification practices and intervention programs. Hanover Research, pp. 1-27

Clear Creek Independent School District—Community Report Card: http://anyflip.com/ffyz/txql/

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

Educational Quality

LEA Name

Bullard ISD

Contact Name

Todd Schneider

Email and Phone

Todd
Schnieder@bullardisd.net (903)894-6639

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Teacher Quality and Performance
Student Grade Level Success
Reading Level Advancement

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

TTESS
Professional Development (above required hours)
Student Promotion and Retention Rates
Running Records Data
Local Developed Checkpoints

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Measuring quality and improvement of education with locally developed standards

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Transferring data into an A-F grade

Additional Information:
This domain was developed by a stakeholder committee and has not been used or implemented at this time. It is still in the developmental stages.

## Domain:

Engagement/Future Readiness

LEA Name
Bullard ISD

Contact Name
Todd Schneider

Email and Phone

Todd
Schneider@bullardisd.net (903)894-6639

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Student Engagement and Participation
Post-Secondary Readiness and Success
Innovative Educational Programs
STEM/STEAM Participation and Growth

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

## CTE Enrollment and Certifications

SAT/ACT Participation and Performance
AP Enrollment, Completion, and Testing
Dual Credit Enrollment and Credits Earned
Graduation Rate
UIL Academic Participation
Extra-Curricular Program Participation and Success
Fine Arts, Athletics, Clubs, etc.
STEM/STEAM Program Participation

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## LAS Submission Template

## Strengths

Real data of student's engagement in their education and their owning of their education. Measurement of student's future citizenship and leadership skills.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Transferring data into an A-F grade

Additional Information:
This domain was developed by a stakeholder committee and has not been used or implemented at this time. It is still in the developmental stages.

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
Bullard ISD

Contact Name

Todd Schneider

Email and Phone

Todd
Schneider@bullardisd.net (903)894-6639

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Safety
Student Social and Emotional Support
Student and Community Engagement
$21^{\text {st }}$ Century Facilities

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Locally Approved and TEA Accepted Survey Instrument (ED School Climate Surveys by USDE)

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Measureable data from all stakeholders

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.
Concerns
Assuring that enough responses are attained to provide reliable information

Additional Information:
This domain was developed by a stakeholder committee and has not been used or implemented at this time. It is still in the developmental stages.


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
Dallas ISD

Contact Name
Cecilia Oakeley, Ph. D.

Email and Phone
oakeley@dallasisd.org
(972) 925-6407

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
Value-added measure of school effectiveness in academic achievement
Weighted at 30 percent of overall state accountability score ( 60 percent of LAS contribution)

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Dallas ISD's value-added model, the School Effectiveness Index, or SEI. The SEl aggregates a numeric representation of a student's assessment performance as compared to similar students in the district, where similar students are those with the same prior-year scores and demographic and program variables. The SEI model currently uses student scores from STAAR, the district's Assessments of Course Performance (ACP), normreferenced assessments at K-2 (TerraNova/SUPERA), PSAT, ACT, and SAT.

## Possible Outcomes

## Strengths

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

- Validated by external research organizations (VARC at UW-Madison, Dr. Erik Hanushek of UTD). - Dallas ISD has used SEls for over two decades as a key component of local accountability, and its stakeholders are familiar with the output and historical trends. - Allows attribution of improvement for students currently performing below the state's standard


## Concerns

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.
The SEI model is not complete until late August. It may have to be used as a lag or modified-lag indicator.

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

## Culture \& Climate

LEA Name
Dallas ISD

Contact Name
Cecilia Oakeley, Ph. D.

Email and Phone
oakeley@dallasisd.org
(972) 925-6407

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Student perception of teaching and learning
Weighted at 5 percent of overall state accountability score (IO percent of LAS contribution)

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Statistic is "percentage of favorable responses" from all students surveyed at the campus. The instrument from Panorama Education includes questions that examine teachers' impact in five areas: Expectations and Rigor, Student Engagement, Classroom Environment, Supportive Relationships, and Pedagogical Effectiveness.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Nearly all grades 3-12 teachers have students who are surveyed about them. Student selection per teacher is randomly done by a third party and student responses remain anonymous.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Survey questions are specific to classroom experience; none are related to overall campus experience. Students in grades K-2 are not surveyed.

## Additional Information:

Dallas Independent School District (Dallas ISD) conducted a student experience survey in grades 3-12. The survey asked questions about students' experiences in the classroom with specific teachers. The district conducted this survey to better understand the experiences and instructional needs of its students and to evaluate and improve teacher effectiveness in the District.

## Background and Goals

The student perception survey was developed by Panorama Education under the leadership of Dr. Hunter Gehlbach, Harvard Graduate School of Education. Dallas ISD has administered the survey since 2014-15. The survey includes questions related to five topics: Expectations and Rigor, Student Engagement, Classroom Environment, Supportive Relationships, Pedagogical Effectiveness.

To assist teachers in improving their survey results, teachers had access to a peer-to-peer learning platform called Playbook. Playbook was designed by Panorama and works in conjunction with the survey.

## Sampling

The sampling process randomly assigned surveys to students to maximize the number of surveys each teacher received until all eligible students on each campus were assigned two surveys. Surveys were first maximized for teachers with low enrollments, and then for remaining teachers. If a student had only one eligible teacher, the student received one survey. On average, 27 elementary students and 32 secondary students survey were assigned to take surveys per teacher.

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

## Culture \& Climate

LEA Name
Dallas ISD

Contact Name
Cecilia Oakeley, Ph. D.

Email and Phone
oakeley@dallasisd.org
(972) 925-6407

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
Teacher/staff engagement and support
Weighted at 5 percent of overall state accountability score (IO percent of LAS contribution)

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Statistic is average of "percentage of positive responses" from the spring administration of a teacher/staff climate survey with over 30 questions that inquire about staff members' agreement with the school leadership's climate and direction in four areas: beliefs and priorities, positive culture and environment, culture of feedback and support, and college-going culture.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Survey questions were developed using extensive research conducted by the Chicago Consortium on School Research. The metric is used in existing local accountability efforts and is familiar to all stakeholders. All campus staff are surveyed. It is administered by a third party and the results are anonymous.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Research has yet to be done specific to Dallas ISD to determine if positive results are correlated to student achievement.

The Dallas ISD Districtwide Climate Survey was administered to all campus-based professional and support staff during December 2016 and May 20I7. The Climate Survey had three purposes:

- To contribute to consistent organizational improvement;
- To gain integral feedback from school based employees;
- To align systems to student outcomes.

While overall results provide a broad picture of district change, the Climate Survey is primarily intended to provide individual campuses with feedback that can identify areas of success as well as opportunities for improvement. After each administration, campus-level results have been distributed to building principals, feeder pattern Executive Directors, and School Leadership management, and used to initiate and guide discussion and planning for improvement among central and campus administrators and staff.
The Climate Survey contributed to the campus principals' Mid-Year Review by providing staff feedback that related directly to principal effectiveness, as well as more complex information that helped to identify underlying issues that may contribute to or hinder success at the campus level.

## Scales

The initial version of the survey, given in the fall of 2012 , contained items grouped into three scales, each describing conditions deemed necessary or desirable for an effective school: School's Beliefs and Priorities, Positive Culture and Environment, and Culture of Feedback and Support. An additional scale, College-Going Culture, was added in 2012-13. Survey scale groupings were initially determined through principal components analysis (PCA) of staff responses to individual items. Each year, items have been added to and removed from the survey; the PCA analysis has been repeated following the subsequent administrations, and has consistently indicated the same overall structure. New items are added to scale score calculations after their initial use and validation.
Item responses ranged from 1 ("Strongly disagree") to 5 ("Strongly agree"). Scale scores were computed at the individual level and aggregated to the school level. Scale scores at the individual level consisted of the mean of the item scores on each scale. Percentages of positive ("Agree"/"Strongly agree") responses were calculated for each item and scale at the campus, feeder pattern, and District levels.

## Participation Rate

Participation rates by administration for teachers and for all campus-based staff are shown in Figure I. Participation was greater in 2016-17 than in the four prior years. By campus, participation rates varied from 39 to 100 percent, with 65 of 230 campuses attaining participation rates of 90 percent or more.


Figure I: Survey Participation Rates, 2012-13 to 2016-17

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

## Culture \& Climate

LEA Name
Dallas ISD

Contact Name
Cecilia Oakeley, Ph. D.

Email and Phone
oakeley@dallasisd.org
(972) 925-6407

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Parent/guardian satisfaction
Weighted at 5 percent of overall state accountability score ( 10 percent of LAS contribution)

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Statistic is average "percentage of positive responses" from a ten-question survey that gauges parent/guardian satisfaction with their schools' academic orientation, communication with parents/guardians, and environment.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

The survey is administered to a random sample of parents who are called by a third party. Sample size is determined a priori to ensure a consistent, minimal margin of error.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Phone numbers need to be updated as many are not current and therefore the sample size sometimes cannot be met for a campus. (In 2016-17, the sample size was too small for results to be used for approximately 10 percent of campuses.)

## Additional Information:

A ten-item survey was developed to measure three components of the parents' experience with the Dallas ISD schools - Academic Orientation, School Communication and School Environment. Each item was presented with the option to Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Agree or Strongly Agree. Parents' were also allowed to indicate that they could not answer by choosing I Do Not Know. The surveys were available in both English and Spanish.

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
Dallas ISD

Contact Name
Cecilia Oakeley, Ph. D.

Email and Phone
oakeley@dallasisd.org
(972) 925-6407

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Participation in co- or extra-curricular activities
Weighted at 5 percent of overall state accountability score ( 10 percent of LAS contribution)

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Percentage of a school's students who have participated in at least one co- or extra-curricular activity.
"Participation" is defined by the district based on the type of campus (elementary, middle, high) and requires a minimum number of participation hours in pre-determined activities.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Standards for "participation" have already been defined by the district. The district has defined "appropriate activities/courses" that are equitably accessible to students.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Work must be done to ensure schools are accurately reporting participation data and ensure that students have equitable access as intended.

## Additional Information:

The Dallas ISD Board of Trustees believes that involved students are more likely to be engaged students and that engaged students are key to college, career, and military ready graduates. As such, the Trustees have made it a specific goal to increase participation in co- and extra-curricular activities. The district's definitions (see below) for minimum participation and appropriate activities were created as Key Performance Indicators for this goal. Thus, the metric for each campus is currently being calculated and tracked for other purposes.

## Goal: All students will participate in at least one extracurricular or co-curricular activity each year.

## KPI I: Number and percentage of elementary students participating in at least one co-curricular activity

The counts were pulled from two sources, enrollment in designated courses and from a Chancery pull by Student Activities. Co-curricular courses were determined by T\&L staff. An unduplicated count was determined to be the numerator. The denominator was determined by pulling a Chancery extract as of the $5^{\text {th }}$ six weeks.

## KPI 2: Number and percentage of middle school students participating in at least one cocurricular activity

The counts were pulled from three sources, enrollment in designated courses, athletic participants exported from RankOneSport website, and from a Chancery pull by Student Activities. Co-curricular courses were determined by T\&L staff. An unduplicated count was determined to be the numerator. The denominator was determined by pulling a Chancery extract as of the $5^{\text {th }}$ six weeks.

## KPI 3: Number and percentage of High school students participating in at least one cocurricular activity

The counts were pulled from three sources, enrollment in designated courses, athletic participants exported from RankOneSport website, and from a Chancery pull by Student Activities. Co-curricular courses were determined by T\&L staff. An unduplicated count was determined to be the numerator. The denominator was determined by pulling a Chancery extract as of the $5^{\text {th }}$ six weeks.

## DRAFT_For Discussion Only



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LASISPF } \\ & \text { Variables } \end{aligned}$ | EPISD STUDENT OUTCOME GOALIGOAL PROGRESS MEASURES (GPM) Trade, Military, 2 -Year \& 4 Year College/University (TM24) | School Performance Measure | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board goal 1 | INCREASE PRE-COLLEGE COMPLETION RATE AND ENROLLMENT IN TRADE, MILITARY, 2-YEAR AND 4-YEAR COLLEGE FROM 76\% TO 86\% BY 2022 | High School: $: A=86 \%, B=$ Above the District Average, $\mathrm{C}=$ District A verage, $\mathrm{D}=$ Below District Average, $\mathrm{F}=$ Regresion illustrating not enough proaress to meet the goal. |  |
| PM 1 | * Increase the percentage of students meeting the 2nd grade reading benchmark by $\mathrm{X} \mathrm{\%}$ by 2022 | Elementary Schools | Diagnostic for MOY and EOY will be availale at he end of he shool year. |
|  | Increase the percentage of students meeting the Masters Level on the End of Course Exam on Algebra I by $15 \%$ by 2022. | Middle Schools: Standard ranges - Average MS Scores = C <br> th from MS) - Average MS Scores = C |  |
| PM3 | Increase the percentage of 11th and 12th grade students meeting the college ready standard on SAT by $10 \%$ by 2022 . |  | EPISD uses the College Board Standard, not TEA's (TEA did not have a standard when the GPM was passed) |
| GOAL | EPISD STUDENT OUTCOME GOAL/GOAL PROGRESS MEASURES (GPM) | School Performance Measure | Notes |
| BOARD GOAL 2 | ALL 10 COMPREHENSIVE HIGH SCHOOLS WILL ACHIEVE A GRADUATION RATE OF 90\% OR GREATER, INCREASED FROM 3 HIGH SCHOOLS BY 2022 |  | TEA includes Graduation Rate as part of the Accountability calculation, but not with a specific target of $90 \%$. |
| GPM 1 | Increase the percentage of students completing 9th Grade Courses within their first year of high school from $86.9 \%$ to $89 \%$ by 2022. | High SChols: $A=89 \%, B=$ Above District Average, $C=$ District Average, $D=$ Below District Average, $\mathrm{F}=$ Regression ilustrating not enough proaress to meet the qoal. |  |
|  | Increase the high school atendance rate from $92.9 \%$ to $93.5 \%$ by 2022. | High Schools: A = 93.5\%, B = Above District District Average, $D=$ Below District Average, $F=$ Regression illustrating not enough progress to meet the goal. | Removed from the State Accountability calculation, and not specific only to HS |
|  | Increase the average high school GPA from 3.12 to 3.18 by 2022. |  progress to meet the goal. |  |
|  |  |  | - |
| GOAL | EPISD STUDENT OUTCOME GOAL/GOAL PROGRESS MEASURES (GPM) Student Engagement | School Performance Measure | Notes |
| OARD goal 3 | INCREASE STUDENT ENGAGEMENT IN THE CLASSROOM FROM 48\% TO 60\% BY 2022 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elementary Schools: Participation plus } \\ & \text { engagement rate } \\ & \text { Middle Schools: Participation plus engagement } \\ & \text { rate } \\ & \text { High Schools: Participation plus engagement rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Participation $(90 \%$ or greater makes a campus selibible for a B o A will be the first 俍 measure, then Engagement Rates that are different for each level. |
| GPM 1 | Increase participation in sports and other extracurricular activities from $\mathrm{X} \%$ to Y , by 2022. | Elementary Schools: Middle Schools: High Schools: <br> High Schools: | Coded |
|  | ase participation in co-curricular activities such as fine arts and clubs from $\times$ \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elementary Schools: } \\ & \text { Middle Schools: } \\ & \text { High Schools: } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Coded |
| PM 3 | Increased EPISD Attendance Rate from 95.1\% to 95.7\% by 2022. |  | Removed from the State Accountability calculation |

To be reconsidered with End of Year datat trom DRAEDL
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## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22I9

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Goal I: Students earning an Associate's degree or Industry Trade Certification while enrolled in EPISD, students who enlist in the military, students who enroll and complete at leastl semester of a 2 or 4-year College as reported in National Student Clearing House

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Associates degree identified by El Paso Community College, Industry Trade Certification submitted for Egrants, students enlisted in military verified via senior exit interview with counselors, enrollment data in 2 or 4 year univeristies and colleges via National Student Clearing House.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Track all students who graduate from EPISD and what they do post-high school.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Military is based on student reporting versus all other areas verified through certification, degrees, etc. Also not all trade schools report to National Student Clearing House

## LAS Academics Domain

## Indicators with objective metrics:

- Associates Degree
- Industry Trade Certification
- Enrollment with a 2 or 4 year college or university


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- Student reporting a plan to join the military


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

Email and Phone
jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-2219

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Students scoring on grade level via the DRA/EDL reading assessment in $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

We previously were using Renaissance 360 for this indicator but due to a large LEP and Dual Language population, Ren 360 was only measuring reading levels in English. Since this is a new indicator, we have not received the data file yet for the middle of the year assessment. It is expected to be received this week.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Will allow us to look at students growth throughout $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade via a beginning of year, middle of year and end of year assessment in both in English and Spanish.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Since this is a new indicator we are gathering baseline information this year.

## LAS Academics Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Unsure at this time until we get a data file


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- Unsure at this time until we get a data file


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name
Steve Clay

Email and Phone
jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Percentage of $8^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ grade students (and any retesters in grades $10-12$ ) who will be scoring at the Masters Level on the Algebra I End of Course Exam.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Students who meet the Masters criteria as identified in the EOC data file

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Shifts the district focus from Meeting to Masters.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

This is a new focus for us and so currently our baseline is at $22 \%$

## LAS Academics Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Masters as identified from the EOC data file.

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22I9

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Students in $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade meeting the College Ready Standard as defined by the College Board for SAT results in both Math and EBRW.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

SAT Math Score meeting College Ready Standard for $1 I^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade.
SAT EBRW Score meeting College Ready Standard for $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ Grade.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

SAT scores are objectively evaluated on the standards set by College Board.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Standards were changed in 2016 so only two years of data at the new standard.

## LAS Academics Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- SAT Math Scores
- SAT EBRW Scores

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

## LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Campuses meeting a graduation rate of $90 \%$

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Graduates and GED

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Metrics are validated and reported to TEA.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Attempting to locally track this data has been complicated and therefore we are dependent on TEA's analysis.

## LAS Future Ready Learning Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Campus Graduation Rate

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

Email and Phone
jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Grade-level retention for $9^{\text {th }}$ graders.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

Comparing a student's grade level in the spring of one school year to the student's grade level in the fall of the next school year determines grade-level retention. The grade-level retention rate for 2015-16 is the percentage of students who attended in 2015-16 and were retained in grade in the fall of 2016.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

TEA defined with multiple years of data.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

District is unable to match data results independently and therefore relies on TEA to calculate

## LAS Academics Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- $9^{\text {th }}$ grade retention rates


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

High School Attendance Rate

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

ADA rate for each high school

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Longitudinal data, consistent definition

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

None at this time

## LAS Extracurricular Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- High School Campus ADA rate

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Average High School GPA

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators

 (RAVE):Weighted GPA based on student transcript.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Impacts all high school students.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

EPISD changed from a numeric value to a traditional I-4 scale 4 years ago so only I year of cohort data currently available.

## LAS Academics Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Individual student GPA

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

Culture and Climate

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name
Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Gallup student survey for grades 5-12

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators

 (RAVE):Students surveyed on Engagement as defined by Gallup. The involvement in and enthusiasm for school. Engaged students are excited about what's happening at their school and what they're learning. Engaged students contribute to the learning environment, and they are psychologically committed to their school.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Two years worth of survey data. Survey in existence since 2009.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Only covers grades 5-12
Survey is anonymous so potential to over survey school population.

## LAS Culture and Climate Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Student Engagement as measured by Gallup


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

Email and Phone
jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Participation in extra-curricular activities.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators

 (RAVE):This area is pretty difficulty to conceptualize and we are still working to define as this is a new indicator for us. Considering how to collect data, what is defined as extra-curricular and how we track it. After that we will need to determine if there is a weight for participating in multiple activities.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Any extracurricular that keeps records of participation has the ability to be tracked.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Participation requirements, definition, data collection methods would need to be defined.

## LAS Extracurricular Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Unknown at this time


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- Unknown at this time


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

Email and Phone
jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Participation in co-curricular activities.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators

 (RAVE):This area is pretty difficulty to conceptualize and we are still working to define as this is a new indicator for us. Considering how to collect data, what is defined as co-curricular and how we track it. After that we will need to determine if there is a weight for participating in multiple activities.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Any co-curricular that keeps records of participation has the ability to be tracked.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Participation requirements, definition, data collection methods would need to be defined.

## LAS Extracurricular Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Unknown at this time


## Indicators without objective metrics:

- Unknown at this time


## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name

El Paso ISD

Contact Name

Steve Clay

## Email and Phone

jsclay@episd.org
(915) 230-22 19

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

ADA rate for each campus.

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

ADA rate for each campus

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Longitudinal data, consistent definition

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

May need different rates for elem, middle and high school related to letter grades.

## LAS Academic Domain

Indicators with objective metrics:

- Campus ADA rate

Indicators without objective metrics:

- None


## DRAFT_For Discussion Only



| LAS | Performance Measures | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Recognized | Exemplary | Data Source | Historical (\#years of documentation ) | Who will collect the data? (District or Campus?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Quality Fine Arts Instruction is the standard at the campus | Students are NOT provided Fine Arts TEKS-based instruction through implementation of the District Curriculum | Students are provided Fine Arts <br> TEKS-based instruction through implementation of the District Curriculum | Students are provided Fine Arts TEKS-based instruction through implementation of the District Curriculum and lessons are planned with an interdisciplinary approach, and there is demonstration of work/skill through participation in five Fine Arts Performance/Competitions | Students are provided Fine Arts TEKS-based instruction through implementation of the District Curriculum, lessons are planned with an interdisciplinary approach, and there is demonstration of work/skill through participation in over five Fine Arts Performance/Competitions | Student work, Lesson Plans, Curriculum Guides (includes examples of interdisciplinary lessons and methods), Performance Calendar, Brochures/Flyers made by the department | 4 years | Principal Report |
| Academics | Fine Arts Teachers on Campus are Fine Arts Certified and Engage in Fine Arts Professional Development | Not All Fine Arts Teachers are Fine Arts Certified. | All Fine Arts Teachers are Fine Arts Certified | All Fine Arts Teachers are Fine Arts Certified, and each Fine Arts teacher on the campus attends two content-specific professional development trainings provided by the Fine Arts office. At least one fine arts teacher attends one out-of-district professional development. | All Fine Arts Teachers are Fine Arts Certified, each Fine Arts teacher on the campus attends two content-specific professional development trainings provided by the Fine Arts office, and each Fine Arts teacher attends an out-of-district professional development such as TMEA or TAEA. | Human Resources/ Fine Arts Office and Eduphoria | 4 years | District |
| Academics | Standardized Fine Arts Instruction for Grades K-5 | Campus provides less than 25 Fine Arts lessons per grade level each semester | Campus provides at least 25-27 uninterrupted Fine Arts lessons per grade level each semester per HB5. | Campus provides at least 27-30 uninterrupted Fine Arts lessons per grade level each semester per HB5. | Campus provides more than 30 uninterrupted Fine Arts lessons per grade level each semester per HB5. | Campus Schedule information | 4 years | Campus-provided schedule |
| Culture <br> Climate | Employee and Student Wellness Policy Completion | Does not submit | Completed and submitted by due date | Completed, submitted by due date and scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence | Completed, submitted by due date, scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence, and scores 8 Exemplary categories or above with supporting evidence | Wellness Policy | 4 years |  <br> Wellness Designee |
| Culture <br> Climate | Mental Health and Counseling | No Bully Prevention and Intervention Training Provided | Staff trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff AND students trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff and Students trained on Bully Preventions and Interventions, campus process in place, and additional anti-bullying activities provided | Bully Prevention and Intervention Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |


| Culture <br> Climate |  | 0 PBIS checklist components | 1 or 2 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components and SET assessment conducted | PBIS Activity Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture <br> Climate |  | Less than 1 Guidance Lesson completed per grade level | 1 or 2 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 3 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 4 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | Guidance Lesson Scope \& Sequence Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |
| Culture <br> Climate |  | Less than $95 \%$ of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018. | 95-96\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | 97-99\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | 100\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | eSchool and Campus Immunization Report | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture <br> Climate |  | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of 1st semester | 90-94\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | 95-97\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | eSchool; Vision and Hearing Assessments (Required for grades PreK, K, 1, 3, 5 and new-to-district students ALL grades) | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture <br> Climate | Medical Health Services | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 90-94\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 95-97\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | eSchool: Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis Assessments (Diabetes Risk required for grades 1,3, 5, and new-to-district students ALL grades; Scoliosis required for 5th grade girls) | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture <br> Climate |  | Failed to meet minimum Acceptable rating requirements | Campus nurse(s) <br> MUST ATTEND 4 of 6 <br> Team Meetings AND <br> 2 Professional Development <br> Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February 19, 2018) | Campus nurse(s) MUST <br> ATTEND 5 of 6 Team <br> Meetings AND AND 2 <br> Professional Development <br> Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February 19, 2018) <br> AND any of the following: <br> August Staff Development <br> (August 7, 2017), Galveston <br> Conference for School <br> Nurses, Galveston <br> Conference for Advances in <br> Pediatric Care, Texas School <br> Nurse Organization <br> Conference, Belle Blackwell <br> Conference for School <br> Nurses, Scott and White <br> Conference for School <br> Nurses, or 4-hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web | Campus nurse(s) MUST <br> ATTEND 6 of 6 Team <br> Meetings AND AND 2 <br> Professional Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February 19, 2018) AND any of the following: August Staff Development (August 7, 2017), Galveston Conference for School Nurses, Galveston <br> Conference for Advances in Pediatric Care, Texas School Nurse Organization Conference, Belle Blackwell Conference for School Nurses, Scott and White Conference for School <br> Nurses, or 4-hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web | District Report and Nurse Self-Report | 4 years | School Nurse, Principal |


|  |  |  |  | Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education | Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture <br> Climate |  <br> Community <br> Members Involved in School Activities \& School Culture | 0-2 points | 3-5 points | 6-8 points | $9+\text { points }$ | Parent and Community Involvement Survey Category 1 | 4 years | Principal Report / Survey Responses |
| Culture <br> Climate | Staff and/or <br> Students Involved in Community Activities On or Off Campus | 0-1 point | 2-3 points | 4-5 points | $6+$ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey Category 2 | 4 years | Principal Report / Survey Responses |
| Culture <br> Climate | Communication with parents | 0-20 points | 20-39 points | 40-74 points | 75+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey Category 3 | 4 years | Principal Report / Survey Responses |
| Future Ready | Number of College and Career <br> Enrichment Events Provided on the Campus (i.e. Guest Speakers, College Days, Career Days, Junior Achievement, etc.) | Zero events college and career enrichment events offered in one academic year | One college and career enrichment event in one academic year | Two college and career enrichment events in one academic year | A combination of three or more college and career enrichment events in one academic year | School Response <br> Survey; Campus <br> Calendar; Email Blasts to Parents | 4 years | Principal Attestation |


| Fuwereaty |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two student-centered } \\ & \text { college and career } \\ & \text { exploration activities in one } \\ & \text { academic year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { A combination of three or } \\ \text { more student-centered } \\ \text { college and career } \\ \text { exploration activities in one } \\ \text { academic year } \end{array}$ |  | areas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nesemems |  |  |  |  |  |  | areas |  |
| Aesememis |  |  |  |  |  | come | 4 treas | Pmimen |
|  |  |  |  | (ex | cose | Comen | ${ }_{4}$ seas | Primeal |


| Academics | Annual <br> Professional Development (Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers) | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers attend an average of less than 6 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers attend an average of 6-8 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers attend an average of 9-10 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers attend an average of 11 or more hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Data Source: <br> Eduphoria Number of LOTE Teacher and hours of second language acquisition professional development taken from June 1, 2017, to May 31, 2018. This may include vertical team meetings/planning and book studies documented in Eduphoria. NOTE: Must be documented as ESL, BE or LOTE in Eduphoria. | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Paralleled Numbers of BE/ESL-Certified <br> Teachers to Numbers of English Language Learners | \% of BE/ESLcertified teachers less than the \% of English language learners | \% of BE/ESL-certified teachers equal to or 1\%-10\% more than the \% of English language learners | \% of BE/ESL-certified teachers is $11 \%-30 \%$ more than \% of English language learners | \% of BE/ESL-certified teachers is $31 \%$ or more than \% of English language learners | Numerator: Number of BE/ESL-certified teachers Denominator: Number of total teaching staff COMPARED TO <br> Numerator : Number of English language learners Denominator: Total student enrollment | 4 years | ESL lead teacher (campus) \& Director of BE/ESL/LOTE (district) |
| Academics | Accelerated Learning in LOTE for Elementary | Campus offers less than 2 cultural awareness/languag e acquisition opportunities per grade level (K-5) including but not limited to: research projects, guest speakers, virtual field trips, cultural celebrations... | Campus offers 2 cultural <br> awareness/language acquisition opportunities per grade level (K-5) including but not limited to: research projects, guest speakers, virtual field trips, cultural celebrations... | Campus offers 3 cultural awareness/language acquisition opportunities per grade (K-5) level including but not limited to: research projects, guest speakers, virtual field trips, cultural celebrations... | Campus offers 4 or more cultural awareness/language acquisition opportunities per grade level (K-5) including but not limited to: research projects, guest speakers, virtual field trips, cultural celebrations..... | Data Source: Campus <br> Administrator Report <br> Number of opportunities for cultural awareness/appreciatio n and second language learning per grade level (Kindergarten through 5th grade). | 4 years | Campus Principal attestation w/ description of activities/program s |


| Academics | Accelerated Learning in LOTE for Elementary Second Language Oral Proficiency * NOTE: Only for campuses with a Spanish Immersion Program or Twoway Dual Language Program | 0-15\% of English speaking SIP/TWDL students scored at least 4-6 on Woodcock Muñoz Spanish Language Survey or progressed at least 0.5 from previous year. | 15.1-50 \% of English speaking SIP/TWDL <br> students scored at least 4-6 on <br> Woodcock Muñoz <br> Spanish Language <br> Survey or progressed at least 0.5 from previous year. | 50.1-61\% of English speaking SIP/TWDL students scored at least 4-6 on Woodcock Muñoz Spanish Language Survey or progressed at least 0.5 from previous year. | 61.1-100\% of English speaking SIP/TWDL students scored at least 4-6 on Woodcock Muñoz Spanish Language Survey or progressed at least 0.5 from previous year. | Data Source: Woodcock Muñoz Spanish Language Survey | 4 years | Campus contacts for SIP and Twoway DL reporting to Director of BE/ESL/LOTE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Oral Language Proficiency | less than $50 \%$ of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | $50 \%$ to $59.5 \%$ of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | 60\% to 69.5\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | Data Source: TELPAS Numerator: number of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS <br> Denominator: number of eligible students taking TELPAS NOTE: Based on the data available for the comparison, this criteria would only include students who were in the district the previous school year. * A minimum of 5 LEP students required to be evaluated under this criteria otherwise N/A. | 4 years | District |


| Academics | Assessment of Accelerated Acquisition of English | less than $50 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $50 \%$ to $59.5 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $60 \%$ to $69.5 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | Data Source: TELPAS Numerator: number of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS COMPOSITE Denominator: number of eligible students taking TELPAS * A minimum of 5 LEP students required to be evaluated under this criteria otherwise N/A. | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Programs | Use of Qualitative and Quantitative Data for G/T Identification Purposes | Not using district prescribed testing instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher survey (used as referral for testing) | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS) and Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing). | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing), and Administrator over G/T (Secondary) presents G/T overview at faculty meeting | All of the previous criteria PLUS opportunities exist for non-identified students to participate in academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiads, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, Science Fair, Robotics, Spelling Bee, and Fine Arts, etc.), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) <br> Campuses will review results for possible nomination for the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ program. | G/T test inventory documents, profile sheets, and academic competition records | 4 years | District and Campus |



| Academics | G/T Teachers in Core Content Areas Providing Services Earn State Required G/T Hours | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have not completed the state required G/T training ( 30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) | All teachers in core <br> content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined <br> G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training ( 30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training (30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and 90\% have completed one additional hour of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ training at the campus or district level in the current year | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ training ( 30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and $90 \%$ have completed two additional hours of G/T training at the campus or district level in the current year | Eduphoria Professional Development Offerings and Records | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture <br> Climate | Parental <br> Awareness Opportunities for G/T Program Options and G/T Resources | Parental awareness opportunities do NOT exist | One awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing G/T information) | One meeting for parents of G/T identified students and one awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing G/T information) | Two meetings for parents of G/T identified students and one awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing G/T information) | Campus and/or School Messenger emails, parent nights, Newsletter | 4 years | Campus |
| Academics | Campus <br> Attendance Rates | Average < 94.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average }=94.0 \%- \\ 95.0 \% \end{gathered}$ <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average $=95.1 \%-97.2 \%$ (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average > 97.2\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | ADA/ADM Statistics Report | 4 years | District |


DRAFT

## 2017-2018 MIDDLE SCHOOL

| LAS | Performance Measures | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Recognized | Exemplary | Data Source | Historical <br> (\#years of documentation) | Who will collect the data? <br> (District or Campus?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Percentage of Students Enrolled in One or More Fine Arts Classes | Less than 40\% of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | 40-49\% of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | 50-59\% of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | $60 \%$ or more students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | TEA/CEDFA/TMEA, \# of students, List of Courses | 4 years | District |
| ExtraCurricular/Co <br> Curricular | Participation in State Assessments (UIL, VASE, OAP, DEAL) for each Program | Less than $60 \%$ of programs participate | $60 \%$ or more programs participate | $80 \%$ or more programs participate | $100 \%$ of programs participate | District and UIL info | 4 years | District |
| ExtraCurricular/Co Curricular | Community Participation (Contest/ Exhibition) | Less than $60 \%$ of programs conduct an outside performance/exhibition per semester | $60 \%$ or more of programs conduct at least one outside performance/ exhibition per semester | $80 \%$ or more of programs conduct at least one outside performance/ exhibition per semester | 100\% of programs conduct at least one outside performance/ exhibition per semester | Campus/ District information | 4 years | Campus/ District |
| Academics | Course Offerings | Less than the following are offered: Choir, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | The Following areas of study are offered: Choir, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | Some of the following areas of study offer multiple sections: Choir, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | Multiple Sections of all of the following areas of study are offered: Choir, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | eSchool | 4 years | District |
| Culture Climate | Employee and Student Wellness Policy Completion | Does not submit | Completed and submitted by due date | Completed, submitted by due date and scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence | Completed, submitted by due date, scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence, and scores 8 <br> Exemplary categories or above with supporting evidence | Wellness Policy | 4 years | Campus- <br> Building <br>  <br> Wellness <br> Designee |
| Culture Climate | Mental Health and Counseling | No Bully Prevention and Intervention Training Provided | Staff trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff AND students trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff and Students trained on Bully Preventions and Interventions, campus process in place, and additional anti-bullying activities provided | Bully Prevention and Intervention Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |


| Culture Climate |  | 0 PBIS checklist components | 1 or 2 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components and SET assessment conducted | PBIS Activity Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ Counselor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than 1 Guidance Lesson completed per grade level | 1 or 2 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 3 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 4 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | Guidance Lesson Scope \& Sequence Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ Counselor |
| Culture Climate | Medical Health Services | Less than 95\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018. | 95-96\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | 97-99\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | $100 \%$ of students enrolled through April <br> 1,2018 , will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | eSchool and <br> Campus Immunization Report | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of 1st semester | 90-94\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | 95-97\% of <br> designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | eSchool; Vision and Hearing Assessments (Required for all 7th grade students and new-to-district students ALL grades) | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 90-94\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 95-97\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | eSchool: Diabetes <br> Risk and Scoliosis <br> Assessments <br> (Diabetes Risk <br> required for all <br> 7th grade <br> students and new- <br> to-district <br> students ALL <br> grades; Scoliosis required for 8th grade girls and 6th grade boys and new-to-district students ALL grades) | 4 years | District Office |


| Culture Climate | Medical Health Services (Cont.) | Failed to meet minimum Acceptable rating requirements | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 4 of 6 Team Meetings AND <br> 2 Professional <br> Development <br> Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February 19, 2018) | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 5 of 6 Team Meetings AND AND 2 Professional Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February $19,2018)$ AND any of the following: August Staff Development <br> (August 7, 2017), Galveston <br> Conference for School Nurses, Galveston <br> Conference for Advances in <br> Pediatric Care, Texas School Nurse Organization <br> Conference, Belle Blackwell <br> Conference for <br> School Nurses, Scott and White Conference for School Nurses, or 4hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 6 of 6 Team Meetings AND AND 2 Professional <br> Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February 19, 2018) AND any of the following: August Staff Development (August 7, 2017), Galveston Conference for School Nurses, Galveston Conference for Advances in Pediatric Care, Texas School Nurse Organization Conference, Belle Blackwell Conference for School Nurses, Scott and White Conference for School Nurses, or 4-hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web <br> Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education | District Report and Nurse SelfReport | 4 years | School Nurse, Principal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture Climate |  <br> Community <br> Members Involved in School Activities \& School Culture | 0-3 points | 4-6 points | 7-9 points | 10+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey - Category 1 | 4 years | Principal <br> Report / <br> Survey <br> Responses |


| Culture Climate | Staff and/or Students Involved in Community Activities On or Off Campus | 0-1 point | 2-3 points | 4-5 points | 6+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey - Category 2 | 4 years | Principal Report / Survey Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture Climate | Communication with parents | 0-14 points | 15-29 points | 30-59 points | 60+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey - Category 3 | 4 years | Principal Report / Survey Responses |
| Future Ready | Percent of Enrolled Students Utilizing XAP (Bridges) | Less than $70 \%$ of the student body with an active XAP (Bridges) account | $70-79 \%$ of the student body with an active XAP (Bridges) account | $\begin{aligned} & 80-94 \% \text { of the } \\ & \text { student body with } \\ & \text { an active XAP } \\ & \text { (Bridges) account } \end{aligned}$ | $95 \%$ or more of the student body with an active XAP (Bridges) account | Active Bridges User Utilization Report | 4 years | District |
| Future Ready | Availability of CTE Courses which Provide High School Credit | Less than two CTE courses providing high school credit offered | Two CTE courses providing high school credit offered | Three CTE courses providing high school credit offered | Four or more CTE courses providing high school credit offered | Master Schedule | 4 years | District |


|  |  | Ateuediof | Ateneder of | atene | Atenesed Ineenses |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | Oistret |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nasamis |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | ostate |
| Aesemins | Percentage of ELL Core Courses Taught by SIOP- Trained Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less than } 25 \% \text { of ELL } \\ & \text { core courses instructed } \\ & \text { by SIOP-trained } \\ & \text { teachers. } \end{aligned}$ | $25 \%-49 \%$ of ELL core courses instructed by SIOP-trained teachers. |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | Distat |


| Academics | Paralleled <br> Numbers of ESLCertified Teachers to Numbers of English Language Learners | \% of English language learners is greater than 5 percentage points more than the \% of ESL-certified teachers | \% of ESL-certified teachers to \% of English language learners is a discrepancy of -5 to 5 percentage points | \% of ESL-certified <br> teachers is 6-10 <br> percentage points greater than \% of English language learners | \% of ESL-certified is 11 or more percentage points greater than \% of English language learners | Numerator: <br> Number of ESLcertified teachers Denominator: <br> Numbers of total staff <br> COMPARED TO <br> Numerator: <br> Number of English language learners Denominator: Total student enrollment | 4 years | ESL lead teacher (campus) \& Director of BE/ESL/LOTE (district) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Accelerated Learning in LOTE for Middle School | 5\% or fewer students enrolled in a MS LOTE course | $6 \%-10 \%$ of students enrolled in a MS LOTE course | $11 \%-14 \%$ of students enrolled in a MS LOTE course | $15 \%$ or more students enrolled in a MS LOTE course | Data Source: <br> Course <br> Enrollments <br> Numerator: <br> Number of students enrolled in a MS LOTE course Denominator: Total MS student population | 4 years | Data Quality (district) |
| Academics | Oral Language Proficiency | Less than 50\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | 50\% - 59.5\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | 60\% - 69.5\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | Data Source: <br> TELPAS <br> Numerator: <br> number of eligible <br> students <br> progressing at <br> least one proficiency level on Listening and <br> Speaking portions of TELPAS <br> Denominator: number of eligible students taking TELPAS <br> NOTE: Based on the data available for the comparison, this criteria would only include students who were in the district the previous school year. <br> * A minimum of 5 LEP students required to be evaluated under | 4 years | District |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | this criteria otherwise N/A. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Assessment of Accelerated Acquisition of English | less than $50 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | 50\% - 59.5\% of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $60 \%-69.5 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | Data Source: TELPAS <br> Numerator: number of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS COMPOSITE <br> Denominator: number of eligible students taking TELPAS <br> * A minimum of 5 LEP students required to be evaluated under this criteria otherwise N/A. | 4 years | District |



| Academics | Campus <br> Attendance Rates | Average < 95.0\% (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average $=95.0 \%$ 96.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average $=96.1 \%$ 97.1\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average > 97.1\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | ADA/ADM Statistics Report | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Percent of 8th <br> Grade Students <br> Requiring a Grade <br> Placement Meeting | More than 30\% of students require a GPC meeting | $11 \%$ to $30 \%$ of students require a GPC meeting | $7 \%$ to $10.9 \% \%$ of students require a GPC meeting | Less than 7\% of students require a GPC meeting | Numerator: <br> Number of students at the 8th grade level that require a GPC (SSI) meeting in order to be promoted to the next grade Denominator: Total number of students in 8th grade NOTE: Based on 2nd administration cumulative STAAR results | 4 years | District |
| ExtraCurricular/Co Curricular | Number of clubs/organizations offered | 0-3 <br> clubs/organizations | $4-5$ <br> clubs/organizations | $\begin{gathered} 6-7 \\ \text { clubs/organizations } \end{gathered}$ | 8 or more clubs/organizations | Definition of a club/organization: Must have at least 2 students signed up Must have an adult employee sponsor Must have at least 4 meetings per year | 4 years | Campus Report |


| Academics | Use of Qualitative and Quantitative <br> Data for G/T <br> Identification Purposes | Not using district prescribed testing instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher survey (used as referral for testing) | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS) and Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing). | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing), and Administrator over G/T (Secondary) presents G/T overview at faculty meeting | All of the previous criteria PLUS <br> opportunities exist for non-identified students to participate in academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiads, Independent Study Projects, Word <br> Masters, Science Fair, Robotics, Spelling Bee, and Fine Arts, etc.), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School <br> Messenger, flyers, etc.) <br> Campuses will review results for possible nomination for the G/T program. | G/T test inventory documents, profile sheets, and academic competition records | 4 years | District and Campus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | G/T Service Opportunities | Not all G/T students receiving required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ service | All G/T identified students are receiving required G/T service as indicated by the Humble ISD G/T Service Design Plan | All G/T identified students receiving required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ service have the opportunity to participate in one G/T Expo <br> (Elementary) / have the opportunity to participate in one academic <br> enrichment activity <br> (Secondary), and campuses <br> specifically advertise enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, <br> Math and Science Olympiad, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, etc.) to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) | All G/T identified students receiving required G/T service will have the opportunity to participate in two or more academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiad, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, etc.) <br> (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) | PEIMS coding, student work samples, <br> Department of Advanced Academic <br> Documentation (GT Expo, <br> Math/Science <br> Olympiad, and Destination Imagination <br> Participation), <br> Campus Academic UIL <br> Documentation, etc. NOTE: <br> Cannot count the same activities in the G/T and the 21st Century Workforce criteria. | 4 years | District and Campus |


| Academics | Array of Learning Opportunities Provided to Accelerate and/or Enrich G/T Students | A continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does NOT exist | Beyond the district's expectation of offering a rigorous and differentiated curriculum, a continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does exist as evidenced by student work samples (collected by cluster) |  | A continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does exist, participation in two or more extended academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiads, Independent Study <br> Projects, Word <br> Masters, Science Fair, District Spelling Bee, Fine Arts, etc.) (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) | PEIMS coding, supplemental lessons, <br> Department of Advanced Academic Documentation (GT Expo, Math/Science Olympiad, and Destination Imagination Participation), Campus Academic UIL <br> Documentation, etc. For <br> PLANNING, documentation of plan, including personnel allocated or responsible. NOTE: Cannot count the same activities in the $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ and in the 21st Century Workforce criteria. | 4 years | District and Campus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | G/T Teachers in Core Content Areas Providing Services Earn State Required G/T Hours | All teachers in core content areas providing services to $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ identified students as part of the district's defined $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ service plan have not completed the state required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ training ( 30 initial hours and 6 hour annual update) | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training (30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) | All teachers in core content areas providing services to <br> G/T identified students as part of the district's defined <br> G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training (30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and $90 \%$ have completed one additional hour of $G / T$ training at the campus or district level in the current year | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ training ( 30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and $90 \%$ have completed two additional hours of G/T training at the campus or district level in the current year | Eduphoria <br> Professional <br> Development <br> Offerings and <br> Records | 4 years | District |


| Culture Climate | Parental Awareness Opportunities for G/T Program Options and G/T Resources | Parental awareness opportunities do NOT exist | One awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ information) | One meeting for parents of G/T identified students and one awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing G/T information) | Two meetings for parents of G/T identified students and one awareness opportunity (beyond event reminders/logistical information) does exist within the academic school year (i.e. parent night(s), campus webpage, School Messenger emails, newsletters containing G/T information) | Campus and/or School Messenger emails, parent nights, Newsletter | 4 years | Campus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA | CAMPUS/DISTRICT the School Report and CaSE Evaluation website for public $v$ | mpliance with posting , Federal Report Card, sults on the campus ing | Y |  |  | Website | 4 years | Director of State \& Federal Programs will monitor |
| NA | CAMPUS: Campus Includes All Statuto DISTRICT: District I includes all statutor | rovement Plan (CIP) Required Components ovement Plan (DIP) required components |  |  |  | Chart of required elements crosschecked with the CIP template. | 4 years | Director of State \& Federal Programs will monitor |
| NA | CAMPUS: All Staff <br> Related Responsibil <br> Annual Test Securit <br> Testing Procedures <br> Assessments <br> DISTRICT: The dist <br> test security and an <br> procedures training | mbers with Testand Duties Complete and Annual General ing for State <br> monitors the annual l general testing state assessments | 100\% |  |  | Training Documentation | 4 years | Coordinator of Student Assessment |

DRAFT

## 2017-2018 HIGH SCHOOL

| LAS | Performance Measures | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Recognized | Exemplary | Data Source | Historical <br> (\#years of documentation) | Who will collect the data? <br> (District or Campus?) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Percentage of Students Enrolled in One or More Fine Arts Classes | Less than $40 \%$ of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | 40-49\% of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | 50-59\% of students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | $60 \%$ or more students enrolled in one or more Fine Arts classes | TEA/CEDFA/TMEA, \# of students, List of Courses | 4 years | District |
| ExtraCurricular/Co Curricular | Participation by <br> Programs in State <br> Assessments (UIL, VASE, OAP, DEAL) for each Discipline | Less than 70\% of Programs Participate | $70 \%$ or more of Programs Participate | $90 \%$ or more of programs participate | 100\% of programs participate | District and UIL info (District) | 4 years | District |
| ExtraCurricular/Co Curricular | Community Participation (Contest/ Exhibition/ Non UIL Performance) by Programs at the Campus (Excluding District- Mandated Requirements) | Less than $80 \%$ of programs conduct an outside performance/exhibition per semester | $80 \%$ or more of programs conduct at least one outside performance/ exhibition per semester | 100\% of Programs conduct at least one outside performance/ exhibition per semester | 100\% of Programs conduct at least one outside performance or exhibition per semester and some do multiple performances per semester | Campus/ District information | 4 years | Campus/ District |
| Academics | Course Offerings | Less than the following are offered: Choir, Dance, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | The following areas of study are offered: Choir, Dance, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | Multiple Sections of the following areas of study are offered: Choir, Dance, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | Full sequence of courses (Beginner through Advanced) are offered in the following areas of study: Choir, Dance, Theatre, Art, Band, Orchestra | eSchool / Master Schedule | 4 years | District |
| Culture Climate | Employee and Student Wellness Policy Completion | Does not submit | Completed and submitted by due date | Completed, submitted by due date and scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence | Completed, submitted by due date, scores 6 Recognized categories or above with supporting evidence, and scores 8 Exemplary categories or above with supporting evidence | Wellness Policy | 4 years | CampusBuilding Principal \& Wellness Designee |


| Culture Climate | Mental Health and Counseling | No Bully Prevention and Intervention Training Provided | Staff trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff AND students trained on Bully Prevention and Intervention, and campus has a process in place for investigating allegations | Staff and Students trained on Bully Preventions and Interventions, campus process in place, and additional anti-bullying activities provided | Bully Prevention and Intervention Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture Climate |  | 0 PBIS checklist components | 1 or 2 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components | 3 PBIS checklist components and SET assessment conducted | PBIS Activity Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than 1 Guidance Lesson completed per grade level | 1 or 2 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 3 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | 4 Guidance Lessons completed per grade level | Guidance Lesson Scope \& Sequence Checklist | 4 years | Principal/ <br> Counselor |
| Culture Climate | Medical Health Services | Less than 95\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | 95-96\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | 97-99\% of students enrolled through April 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | $100 \%$ of students enrolled through April <br> 1, 2018, will have completed immunization records (or as complete as medically feasible) as of May 1, 2018 | eSchool and Campus Immunization Report | 4 years | District Office |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of 1st semester |  | 95-97\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for vision and hearing by the end of the 1st semester | eSchool; Vision and Hearing Assessments (Required for new-to-district students ALL grades) | 4 year | District Office |
| Culture Climate |  | Less than $90 \%$ of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 90-94\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 95-97\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes and Scoliosis by Spring Break | 98-100\% of designated students are screened for Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis by Spring Break | eSchool: Diabetes Risk and Scoliosis <br> Assessments <br> (Diabetes Risk required for new-to-district students <br> ALL grades; Scoliosis required for 9th grade boys and new-to-district students ALL grades) | 4 years | District Office |


| Culture Climate | Medical Health Services (Cont.) | Failed to meet minimum Acceptable rating requirements | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 4 of 6 Team Meetings AND 2 Professional Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and <br> February 19, 2018) | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 5 of 6 Team Meetings AND AND 2 <br> Professional Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and <br> February 19, 2018) <br> AND any of the following: August Staff Development (August 7, 2017), Galveston <br> Conference for School Nurses, Galveston <br> Conference for Advances in Pediatric Care, Texas School Nurse Organization Conference, Belle Blackwell Conference for School Nurses, Scott and White Conference for School Nurses, or 4-hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education | Campus nurse(s) MUST ATTEND 6 of 6 Team Meetings AND AND 2 Professional <br> Development Offerings (January 2, 2018, and February $19,2018)$ AND any of the following: August Staff Development (August 7, 2017), <br> Galveston Conference for School Nurses, Galveston Conference for Advances in Pediatric Care, Texas School Nurse Organization Conference, Belle Blackwell Conference for School Nurses, Scott and White Conference for School Nurses, or 4-hour minimum credit with either Cook Children's Hospital Web Conference or NASN Online Continuing Education | District Report and Nurse Self-Report | 4 years | School Nurse, Principal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Culture Climate | Parents \& Community Members Involved in School Activities \& School Culture | 0-3 points | 4-6 points | 7-9 points | 10+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey - Category 1 | 4 years | Principal <br> Report / <br> Survey <br> Responses |
| Culture Climate | Staff and/or Students Involved in Community <br> Activities On or Off Campus | 0-1 point | 2-3 points | 4-5 points | 6+ points | Parent and <br> Community Involvement Survey - Category 2 | 4 years | Principal <br> Report / <br> Survey <br> Responses |


| Culture Climate | Communication with parents | 0-14 points | 15-29 points | 30-59 points | 60+ points | Parent and Community Involvement Survey - Category 3 | 4 years | Principal <br> Report / <br> Survey <br> Responses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Number of Dual Credit, AP, IB, or Articulated Workforce Credit Available to Students | Less than fifteen dual credit, AP, IB or articulated courses offered to students | Fifteen or more dual credit, AP, IB or articulated courses offered to students | Twenty or more dual credit, AP, IB or articulated courses offered to students | Twenty-Five or more dual credit, AP, IB or articulated courses offered to students | Course Guide | 4 years | District |
| Future Ready | Number of Industry Certifications or Licensures Obtained or Initiated by CTE Teachers | Less than three industry certifications or licensure | Three or more industry certifications or licensures. | Five or more industry certifications or licensures. | Seven or more industry certifications or licensures. | Centralized spreadsheet maintained by CTE Department | 4 years | District |
| Future Ready | Number of Federally Recognized Industry Certifications or Licensures Obtained by Students | Less than 20 federally recognized industry certifications or licensures | 20-49 federally recognized industry certifications or licensures | 50-69 federally recognized industry certifications or licensures | 70 or more federally recognized industry certifications or licensures | Centralized spreadsheet maintained by CTE Department | 4 years | District |
| Future Ready | Students Completing or Initiating a Coherent Sequence of CTE Courses (PEIMS CTE Code 2) | Less than $25 \%$ of the student body | 25-35\% of the student body | $36-45 \%$ of the student body | $46 \%$ or more of the student body | eSchool/PEIMS Submission | 4 years | District |
| Culture Climate | Attendance of PLC Affinity Meeting by all team members | Attended 1 of 4 meetings | Attended 2 of 4 meetings | Attended 3 of 4 meetings | Attended all meetings | Eduphoria roll sheet Calculation: <br> Total number of meetings attended by campus teachers divided by total possible meetings. | 4 years | District |
| Academics | Campus Attendance Rates | Average < 92.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average $=92.0 \%$ 94.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average $=94.1 \%-$ 96.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | Average > 96.0\% <br> (all percentages rounded to the nearest 10th) | ADA/ADM Statistics Report | 4 years | District |


| Academics | Percent of Current 9th Grade Cohort Students with Required Credits to Promote to 10th Grade (6 or more credits) | Below 80\% of students promoting from 9th grade to 10th grade in one year | $80 \%$ to $84 \%$ of students promoting from 9th grade to 10th grade in one year | $85 \%$ to $94 \%$ of students promoting from 9th grade to 10th grade in one year | 95\% or more of students promoting from 9th grade to 10th grade in one year | Numerator: <br> Number of current <br> cohort 9th grade <br> students who receive 6 or more credits their 1st year in high school Denominator: <br> Number of students in 9th grade cohort students (i.e., firsttime 9th grade students) NOTE: Based on credits accumulated by June of 9th grade year | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ExtraCurricular/Co Curricular | Extra-Curricular Participation | Less than $45 \%$ of students completed one or more semesters of an extracurricular course in the current school year | 45-54\% of students completed one or more semesters of an extracurricular course in the current school year | 55-64\% of students completed one or more semesters of an extracurricular course in the current school year | $65 \%$ or more of students completed one or more semesters of an extracurricular course in the current school year | Numerator: <br> Number of unique students enrolled as of May 2017 completing one or more semesters of an extracurricular course in the current school year Denominator: Enrollment as of May 2017 | 4 years | District |
| Academics | Annual <br> Professional Development (Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE Teachers) | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE teachers attend an average of less than 6 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE teachers attend an average of 6-8 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE teachers attend an average of 9-10 hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Teachers of ELLs, BE and LOTE teachers attend an average of 11 or more hours second language acquisition professional development as documented in Eduphoria | Data Source: <br> Eduphoria <br> Number of <br> Teachers serving <br> ELLs and LOTE <br> teachers and hours <br> of second <br> language <br> acquisition <br> professional <br> development <br> taken from June 1, <br> 2017 to May 31, <br> 2018. This may <br> include vertical <br> team <br> meetings/planning <br> and book studies <br> documented in <br> Eduphoria. <br> NOTE: Must be documented as <br> ESL, Second | 4 years | District |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Language, or LOTE training in Eduphoria. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Percentage of ELL Courses Taught by SIOPTrained Teachers in Core Content | Less than $25 \%$ of ELL core courses instructed by SIOP-trained teachers. | 25\%-49\% of ELL core courses instructed by SIOPtrained teachers. | 50\%-74\% of ELL core courses instructed by SIOPtrained teachers. | 75\% or higher of ELL core courses instructed by SIOPtrained teachers. | Data Source: <br> Teacher SIOP- <br> training history <br> and student <br> schedules for each <br> core course <br> (Decision Ed <br> LR000044). | 4 years | District |
| Academics | Paralleled <br> Numbers of ESL-Certified Teachers to Numbers of English Language Learners | \% of English language learners is greater than 5 percentage points more than the \% of ESL-certified teachers | \% of ESL-certified teachers to \% of English language learners is a discrepancy of -5 to 5 percentage points | \% of ESL-certified teachers is 6-10 percentage points greater than \% of English language learners | \% of ESL-certified is 11 or more percentage points greater than \% of English language learners | Numerator: <br> Number of ESLcertified teachers Denominator: Numbers of total staff COMPARED TO Numerator: Number of English language learners Denominator: Total student enrollment | 4 years | ESL lead teacher (campus) \& Director of BE/ESL/LOTE (district) |


| Academics | Accelerated Learning in LOTE for High School | Less than 6\% of LOTE students enrolled in a level 3, 4 or 5, advanced level AP or IB LOTE course | 6\%-10\% of LOTE students enrolled in a level 3, 4 or 5, advanced level AP or IB LOTE course | $11 \%-14 \%$ of LOTE students enrolled in a level 3, 4 or 5, advanced level AP or IB LOTE course | $15 \%$ or more of LOTE students enrolled in a level 3, 4 or 5, advanced level AP or IB LOTE course | Data Source: <br> Course <br> Enrollments <br> Numerator: <br> Number of students enrolled in level 3, 4 or 5, AP or IB LOTE course <br> Denominator: <br> Total number of students enrolled in LOTE | 4 years | Data Quality (district) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Oral Language Proficiency | less than $50 \%$ of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | 50\% TO 59.5\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | 60\% TO 69.5\% of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of LEP students progressing at least one proficiency level or maintaining AH on the Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS | Data Source: <br> TELPAS <br> Numerator: number of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on Listening and Speaking portions of TELPAS <br> Denominator: number of eligible students taking TELPAS <br> NOTE: Based on the data available for the comparison, this criteria would only include students who were in the district the previous school year. <br> * A minimum of 5 LEP students required to be evaluated under this criteria otherwise N/A. | 4 years | District |


| Academics | Assessment of Accelerated Acquisition of English | less than $50 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $50 \%$ TO $59.5 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $60 \%$ TO $69.5 \%$ of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | $70 \%$ or more of eligible students progressing at least one proficiency level on TELPAS | Data Source: <br> TELPAS <br> Numerator: <br> number of eligible <br> students <br> progressing at <br> least one <br> proficiency level <br> on TELPAS <br> COMPOSITE <br> Denominator: <br> number of eligible <br> students taking <br> TELPAS <br> * A minimum of 5 <br> LEP students required to be evaluated under this criteria, otherwise N/A. | 4 years | District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Use of Qualitative and Quantitative Data for G/T Identification Purposes | Not using district prescribed testing instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher survey (used as referral for testing) | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS) and Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing). | Use of district prescribed instruments (CogAT, ITBS), Parent and Teacher Survey (used as nomination for testing), and Administrator over G/T (Secondary) presents G/T overview at faculty meeting | All of the previous criteria PLUS <br> opportunities exist for non-identified students to participate in academic enrichment activities (Academic <br> UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiads, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, Science Fair, Robotics, Spelling Bee, and Fine Arts, etc.), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) <br> Campuses will review results for possible nomination for the G/T program. | G/T test inventory documents, profile sheets, and academic competition records | 4 years | District and Campus |


| Academics | G/T Service Opportunities | Not all G/T students receiving required $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ service | All G/T identified students are receiving required G/T service as indicated by the Humble ISD G/T Service Design Plan | All G/T identified students receiving required G/T service have the opportunity to participate in one G/T Expo <br> (Elementary) / have the opportunity to participate in one academic enrichment activity (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiad, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, etc.) to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, <br> School Messenger, flyers, etc.) | All G/T identified students receiving required G/T service will have the opportunity to participate in two or more academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiad, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, etc.) (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School Messenger, flyers, etc.) | PEIMS coding, <br> student work samples, <br> Department of Advanced Academic <br> Documentation (GT Expo, <br> Math/Science <br> Olympiad, and <br> Destination Imagination <br> Participation), <br> Campus Academic UIL <br> Documentation, etc. NOTE: <br> Cannot count the same activities in G/T AND in the 21st Century Workforce criteria. | 4 years | District and Campus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | Array of Learning Opportunities Provided to Accelerate and/or Enrich G/T Students | A continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does NOT exist | Beyond the district's expectation of offering a rigorous and differentiated curriculum, a continuum of differentiated academic enrichment <br> opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does exist as evidenced by student work samples (collected by cluster) | A continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does exist plus participation in one extended academic enrichment activity (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiad, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, etc.) (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified | A continuum of differentiated academic enrichment opportunities to lead to the development of advanced level products and/or performances does exist, participation in two or more extended academic enrichment activities (Academic UIL, DI, Math and Science Olympiads, Independent Study Projects, Word Masters, Science Fair, District Spelling Bee, Fine Arts, etc.) (Secondary), and campuses specifically advertise enrichment activities to parents of G/T identified students (Remind 101, School | PEIMS coding, supplemental lessons, <br> Department of Advanced Academic <br> Documentation (GT Expo, <br> Math/Science <br> Olympiad, and <br> Destination <br> Imagination <br> Participation), <br> Campus Academic UIL <br> Documentation, etc. For <br> PLANNING, <br> documentation of plan, including personnel allocated or responsible. <br> NOTE: Cannot count the same activities in G/T AND in the 21st | 4 years | District and Campus |


|  |  |  |  | students (Remind 101, School <br> Messenger, flyers, etc.) | Messenger, flyers, etc.) | Century Workforce criteria. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academics | G/T Teachers in Core Content Areas Providing Services Earn State Required G/T Hours | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have not completed the state required G/T training ( 30 initial hours and 6hour annual update) | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training ( 30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training (30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and 90\% have completed one additional hour of $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{T}$ training at the campus or district level in the current year | All teachers in core content areas providing services to G/T identified students as part of the district's defined G/T service plan have completed the state required G/T training (30 initial hours and 6-hour annual update) and 90\% have completed two additional hours of G/T training at the campus or district level in the current year | Eduphoria Professional Development Offerings and Records | 4 years | District |


| Clutuecimae |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | ${ }^{\text {cmanes }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | coicle |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ |  |
|  | cosm |  |  |  |  | cose | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | osime |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {areas }}$ | Pemimen |




## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
Midland ISD

Contact Name
Monica Hernandez

## Email and Phone

monica.hernandez@midlandisd.net (432) 240-I262

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
I. \% of K-2 students who are reading on-grade level on multiple measures.
2. \% of K-2 students who are at or above benchmark in mathematics fluency/proficiency.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

I. Istation's Indicators of Progress (ISIP) Reading Assessment and ISIP Espanol
2. Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System
3. Texas Early Mathematics Inventory - Progress Monitoring (TEMI-PM) Assessment

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

Alignment to Board-defined district goals. By utilizing these measures, the district will ensure the early identification of students not mastering foundational literacy and numeracy skills that could potentially affect a student's future success in school. Campuses will take the necessary steps to provide appropriate early intervention or enrichment as needed to close achievement gaps.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Unknown impact of including additional indicators into the accountability system when final decisions have not yet been released. Financial implications if assessment instruments change.

## LAS Submission Template

Domain:
Learning Environment

LEA Name
Midland ISD

Contact Name

Monica Hernandez

Email and Phone
monica.hernandez@midlandisd.net (432) 240-I262

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
I. Student Attendance
2. Teacher Attendance
3. Equity in Course and Progress Access
4. Equity in College and Career Preparation
5. Climate Survey - Students
6. Climate Survey - Parents/Guardians
7. Climate Survey - Staff

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):
I. Student Attendance Rates
2. Teacher Attendance Rates
3. Equity in Course Access: Differential Rates by student group - (Junior High Campuses: the \# of students enrolled at the end of the year who earned I/2 credit by the end of the school year, were enrolled in Project Lead the Way, or were enrolled in a Pre-AP class disaggregated by student group.) (High School campuses: Differential rates of GT, Dual-enrollment/Dual-credit, students taking AP or Pre-AP courses)
4. Equity in College and Career Preparation: Differential Rates by student group - (High School Campuses: the \% of students taking an advanced course, a dual-credit course, or attempting an AP test) + the \% of students completing the FAFSA, entering the military, or entering a career training program)
5. Climate Surveys: Students, Parents/Guardians, and Staff Surveys

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## LAS Submission Template

## Strengths

Alignment to Board-defined district goals. By utilizing these measures, the district will ensure campuses are creating learning environments that provide equitable opportunities and outcomes for the various student groups within the district. Student and teacher attendance is an important indicator of student and staff engagement. The survey data collected will provide campuses with actionable data from a variety of stakeholders to then be able to personalize and customize the learning environments based on identified needs.

## Concerns

Unknown impact of including additional indicators into the accountability system when final decisions have not been released yet. Financial implications if assessment instruments change.

## LAS Submission Template

## Domain:

LEA Name
Midland ISD

Contact Name
Monica Hernandez

Email and Phone
monica.hernandez@midlandisd.net (432) 240-I262

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
I. On-track indicators toward graduation (\% of students who are on-track toward graduation)
2. PLC Implementation
3. School-wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) Implementation

Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):
I. On-Track Indicators:
a. Elementary and Junior High Campuses: \% of students who meet the "Approaches Grade Level" standard on both STAAR math and reading
b. Freshman Campuses: \% of students earning at least 6 credits by the end of the $1^{\text {st }}$ year of $9^{\text {th }}$ grade, with one of those credits being Algebra I, and meeting the "Approaches Grade Level" standard on Algebra I and English I.
c. Senior High Campuses: \% of students obtaining at least 6 full year course credits in the past school year, no more than one F in a core class, and meeting the "Approaches Grade Level" standard on English II, US History, and Biology (if the assessment has been taken).
2. PLC Implementation Rubric
3. PBIS Implementation Rubric

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## LAS Submission Template

## Strengths

Alignment to Board-defined district goals. By utilizing these measures, the district will ensure campuses are making the necessary progress toward becoming a highly effective functioning Professional Learning Community and that they are maximizing the academic and social behavior outcomes for students through PBIS implementation. If both measures above are being implemented effectively, we should expect to see the percent of students who remain on-track toward graduation increase because the academic and social needs of students are being met.

## Concerns

Unknown impact of including additional indicators into the accountability system when final decisions have not been released yet. Financial implications if assessment instruments change.


## Point Isabel ISD Local Accountability Proposal: Student Growth Measure

## Focus Area

Student Growth, as defined by Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA) is the change in growth between two testing events. The district will evaluate the number of students in grades kindergarten through 9th grade who a) demonstrate growth b) achieve the projected level of growth or c) exceed the projected level of growth from the beginning of the year (BOY) administration to the end of the year (EOY) administration in reading and mathematics as assessed with Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA) - Measure of Academic Progress (MAP).

## Student Growth Measure Assessment

Point Isabel ISD (PIISD) will utilize the Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA) - Measure of Academic Progress (MAP) NWEA uses anonymous assessment data from over 10.2 million students to create national norms. Educators compare their students' performance against norms to evaluate programs and improve instruction-in individual classrooms and throughout school systems.

MAP reveal the amount of growth that has occurred between testing events and, when combined with the norms, shows projected proficiency. Educators can track growth through the school year and over multiple years.

## Local Accountability Subset

The testing subset will include all students consistently enrolled from BOY administration to EOY administration in grades $\mathrm{K}-9$ for reading and mathematics for four campuses in PIISD (Garriga Elementary, Derry Elementary, Port Isabel Junior High, and Port Isabel High School).

## NWEA Testing Dates

Test window dates for NWEA administration for grades $\mathrm{K}, 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$, and 9 reading and mathematics:

Beginning of the Year (BOY)
Middle of the Year (MOY)
End of the Year (EOY)

August 28 - September 15, 2017
December 11-21, 2017
April 16 - April 27, 2018

Student test results will be delivered to the campuses two weeks after the testing window closes.

## Methodology

The measure of growth for students in the subset with valid scores will be calculated in the following manner:

## Definitions

No growth - No change or a decline in the student's score from BOY to EOY.
Growth - Increase of 1 point or more in the student's score from BOY to EOY.
Projected growth - Student meets individualized projected growth from BOY to EOY.
Exceeded growth - Student exceeds individualized projected growth from BOY to EOY.

## Point system for individual student performance

| Performance | Points |
| :--- | :--- |
| No growth | 0 |
| Growth | 1 |
| Projected growth | 2 |
| Exceeds growth | 3 |

## Calculation

The sum of:
the number of students making growth ( x 1 point) plus the number of students making the projected growth (x 2 points) plus the number of students exceeding the projected growth (x 3 points) divided by the maximum number of points possible (number of students in subset $x 3$ ) will equal a point value score.

Rating labels (A-F) will be assigned based on the following point scale:

| Year One: 2017-2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\geq 65$ | A |
| $60-64$ | B |
| $55-59$ | C |
| $50-54$ | D |
| $<50$ | F |

Distribution for Ratings
Sample distribution for ratings is based on a bell curve. The sample distribution for A - D are illustrated below.

| Sample Distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| No Growth | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Growth | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Projected Growth | $55 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Exceeded Projected Growth | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

## Anticipated Calculations for subsequent years

In year two and year three, data will be disaggregated by eligible ELL and special education student populations as defined by Texas Education Agency (TEA). Standards for the rating scale point system will increase for year two and year three to represent a phase-in of increased levels of performance.

## Ensuring Data Integrity

Accurate data is fundamental to accountability ratings (TEA, 2017). The local accountability indicator is based on NWEA MAP assessments. The data will be auditable and available for review.

## Reliability/Validity

NWEA ensures test reliability, validity, and fairness across all populations tested. The NWEA Research team regularly conducts a variety of studies and analyses such as: pool depth analysis, test validation, comparability studies, and Differential Item Functioning (DIF) Monitoring item quality to ensure that functioning remains constant across subgroups of students when ability is controlled (NWEA, 2017)

## Valid Content (Content Validity)

NWEA assessments contain items that measure only what they are supposed to measure: the intended learning objectives. Test data allow educators to make valid inferences about what a student understands, knows, and is ready to learn (NWEA, 2017).

## Reliability

Focusing on the benefit of adaptive tests, NWEA assessments have high reliability, and the results are equally valid for students at every level. An individual student's subsequent test events-year after year-have the same validity and accuracy as their first test event with an NWEA assessment (NWEA, 2017).

The link below contains additional information related to test security. Local testing protocols and guidelines will be provided upon request.

## Test Security Checklist

## References

M, Dahlin. (2014, June 12). To measure a year’s growth, begin with the student. [Web log comment].Retrieved from: https://www.nwea.org/blog/2014/measure-years-growth-beginstudent/

Northwest Evaluation Association.(2017). Why everything we do is rooted in research. Retrieved from: https://www.nwea.org/results/

Texas Education Agency, Department of Assessment and Accountability, Division of Performance Reporting. (2017, June). 2017 Accountability Manual for Texas Public School Districts and Campuses. Retrieved from the Texas Education Agency
file:///C:/Users/lgarcia/Downloads/2017AccountabilityManual_accessible.pdf


## LAS Submission Template

DOMAIN: ACADEMICS

LEA Name

Richland Collegiate

Contact Name

Craig Hinkle

Email and Phone
chinkle@dcccd.edu
(972) 76I-6888

Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:
I) Degrees (Associate's Degrees)
2) Course Completions (A-C Success)
3) Retention

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators (RAVE):

## Degrees (Earned Associate's Degrees)

# Course Completion (A-C Success) <br> Total Count For Completers ("C" Or Better) <br> Total Count For Each Grade ("A" "B", "C", "F") 

## Retention

15, 30, 45, 60+ Semester Credit Hours Completed

Recommended Metric Weights
I) Degrees (25\%)
2) Course Completions A-C Success (60\%)
3) Retention (15\%)

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths:

Local Accountability plans are currently being developed to better reflect those of our Dual Credit Students. Richland Collegiate High School is an open enrollment charter school serving Dallas County and the six contiguous counties. All of RCHS students are enrolled in dual credit courses on the campus of Richland College in the Dallas County Community College District. By aligning the outcomes to better reflect those of the District RCHS not only has a comparison group of other students who are taking similar classes, RCHS also gains the ability to better communicate to all stakeholders their success, as well as identify areas of needed growth.

In an effort to better align the High School Accountability system with that of the College and District, RCHS plans to adopt many of the same accountability measures implemented by the DCCCD Chancellor.
Guiding Principles:

- Ensure model is simple and interpretable and reflects collegiate environment as well as collegiate accountability.
- Provide an opportunity for RCHS to benefit from the DCCCD model to improve outcomes
- Encourage service to historically underserved populations
- Align with DCCCD strategic priorities, including 60x30TX

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Under current A-F accountability, several indicators are not available to RCHS as a two year school, or are not calculated correctly. For example, because our TAX ID number is a Higher Ed number, the USDA does not recognize us as eligible. Therefore, our free and reduced numbers are coded as 99 in PEIMS and do not reflect our actual numbers.

The assessment of dual credit students and post-secondary readiness is not accurate. Currently, although all of RCHS graduates complete at least three semesters of college level English, the TAPR reports continually say that only $47-50 \%$ are post-secondary ready. The same is true of the TAPR reports for Math.

Inconsistencies in what is reported, as well as what RCHS is eligible for do not present a clear picture of success or readiness to our stakeholders. It also does not align with the measurements or the college system.

Additional Information:

Methodology:
Sum weighted points earned for all metrics $=$ Total Weighted Points
Total Weighted Points $=4$ point scale. $(A=4, B=3, C=2, D=I, F=0)$
(Total Weighted Points RCHS 4 point Scale) + (Total Weighted Points TEA Accountability Scale)
2
Example: $R C H S=89$ Points Converts to " $B$ ". " $B$ " $=3$ grade points.
TEA Rating $=$ " $A$ ". " $A$ " $=4$ grade points.
$(3+4) / 2=3.5$ overall combined score for accountability.

## DRAFT_For Discussion Only



## LAS Submission Template

LEA Name

San Saba ISD

Contact Name
Michael Bohensky

Email and Phone
mbohensky@sansaba.net

## Identify indicators currently in use or to possibly be used with this domain:

Surveys can measure a variety of qualitative and "soft skill" constructs that academic tests do not capture. They can also address multiple stakeholders: students, staff, parents, and community. The "domain" is Connectedness. We are currently in the first year of Panorama, measuring constructs like those under the umbrella of social emotional learning, grit, course rigor, self-esteem, etc.

## Identify metrics that are in use or could be used to measure these indicators

 (RAVE):We are planning to use the survey and selected threads to represent Connectedness.

## Possible Outcomes

Using the local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what strengths might the LEA utilize from these areas and how does the LEA plan to maintain or build upon that success?

## Strengths

The survey is normed, so we believe we can measure strengths and areas for growth relatively and against a national standard.

Identify any concerns regarding this potential local performance indicator.

## Concerns

Since we are in our first year with the survey, data collected this year will be baseline, an initial measure of these newly introduced construct.
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| Campus | Academics | Targets | Data | Culture \& Climate | Targets | Data | Future Ready | Targets | Data | Programs/Co/E xtra Curricular | Targets | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weight | 40\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 35\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  |
| SHS | Percent of coherent sequence completers as defined by completion of an entry level CTE or Fine Arts class followed by 2 additional courses in the same pathway <br> \% of capstones completed by graduating seniors | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PEIMS data <br> Tally from submitted capstones verified by campus principal |  |  |  | Students in STEM <br> Academy/cohort retention <br> Percent of students with one-to-one technology access | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 95 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 85 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 75 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | ally from PEIMS <br> Tally from technology issued rosters | Percent of student body involved in at least one of the following programs or \|activities: <br> UIL Academics UIL Athletics CTSOs Fine Arts Extra Curricular <br> Debate Civic <br> Organizations <br> \|Student <br> Organizations Yearbook <br> Newspaper <br> \|STEM <br> competitions <br> Percent of \|students involved in programs who have participated in competitive events or been |awarded |scholarships for performance: UIL Academics UIL Athletics CTSOs Fine Arts Extra |Curricular |Debate Civic Organizations Student Organizations Yearbook Newspaper | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=A \\ & 60 \%=B \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 50 \%=A \\ & 40 \%=B \\ & 30 \%=C \\ & 20 \%=D \\ & 10 \%=F \end{aligned}$ | Tally from Skyward, Organization rosters <br> Tally from Skyward, Organization rosters, awards received |
|  | Dropout Prevention/ACC EL with number of graduates recovered as determined | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} 5 \text { or more=A } \\ 4=\mathrm{B} \\ 3=\mathrm{C} \\ 2 \text { or less=D } \\ \text { None=F } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS graduation codes from ACCEL student data |  |  |  | Percent of students/teache rs using blended learning platforms | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | Data collected by Clever, single sign-on service, Edgenuity |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ACT/SAT/TSI/A } \\ & \text { SVAB } \\ & \text { participation } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Documentation of at least one of the listed exams has been attempted |  |  |  | Percent of graduating students earning industry or industryrecognized certifications | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from certifications earned |  |  |  |
|  | \% of students graduating with at least one endorsement | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% of distinguished graduates graduates | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight | 20\% |  |  | 40\% |  |  | 20\% |  |  | 10\% |  |  |
| SJHS-17-18 | \% of students showing lexile growth | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 40 \% \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from Achieve 3000 Lexile Growth Measure | Percent of students in Tier 1 behavior PBIS system | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \% \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward | \% of students in STEM classes | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PEIMS | Percent of 7th and 8th grade student body involved in at \|least one of the |following programs or activities: UIL Academics UIL Athletics CTSOs Fine Arts Extra Curricular Civic Organizations Student Organizations Yearbook STEM competitions | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from Skyward, Organization rosters |
|  |  |  |  | Percent of students in Tier 2 behavior PBIS system | Less than $10 \%$ $=$ A Less than $15 \%$ $=$ B Less than $20 \%$ $=$ C Less than $25 \%$ $=D$ Less than $30 \%$ =F = | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward | Percent of students/teache rs using blended learning platforms | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from data collected by Clever, single sign-on service, Edgenuity, Achieve3000, Agile Minds |  |  |  |
|  | \% of 8th grade students earning 9th grade credits prior to high school entry | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ |  | Percent of <br> teacher <br> observations <br> showing <br> \|proficiency level <br> of 3 or higher | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 85 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 75 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 65 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 55 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from DMAC | \% of students in STEM Academy | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PEIMS |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Staff <br> satisfaction <br> \| survey (TBD <br> \|based on <br> \|survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons | AVID-honors or upper level class success on grade-level assessments | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from STAAR Data, PEIMS |  |  |  |
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| Campus | Academics | Targets | Data | Culture \& Climate | Targets | Data | Future Ready | Targets | Data | Programs/Co/E xtra Curricular | Targets | Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Student Survey on engagement (TBD based on survey options) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSC survey } \\ & \text { data normed to } \\ & \text { national } \\ & \text { comparisons } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight | 40\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 10\% |  |  |
| SIS-17-18 | \% of students in math RtI program showing one year's growth | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\text { B } \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from DMAC RtI Data, 1-ready monitoring data | Student Survey on engagement (TBD based on survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons | Percent of <br> students/teache <br> rs using <br> blended <br> learning <br> platforms | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{D} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from data collected by Clever, single sign-on service | \% of students participating in at least one UIL Academics event | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from UIL Registration |
|  | \% of students in reading RtI program showing one year's growth | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\text { B } \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from DMAC RtI Data, 1-ready monitoring data | Percent of students in Tier 1 behavior PBIS system | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward | \% of students participating in ACE STEM Activities or Academic programs | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from ACE Registration | \% of students participating in ACE \|enrichment program afterschool | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from ACE Registration |
|  | \% of LEP students being served in a dual language program meeting progress measure in TELPAS | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from TELPAS scores | Percent of students in Tier 2 behavior PBIS system | Less than $10 \%$ $=A$ Less than $15 \%$ $=B$ Less than $20 \%$ $=$ C Less than $25 \%$ $=D$ Less than $30 \%$ $=F$ | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% of students showing progress measure growth | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from IReady Lexile Growth Measure | Parent contacts <br> or incentive <br> award <br> program...what <br> data can we <br> capture? <br> Percent of <br> teacher <br> observations <br> showing <br> proficiency level <br> of 3 or higher$\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \%=A \\ & 85 \%=\text { = } \\ & 75 \%=C \\ & 65 \%=D \\ & 55 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from DoJo Metrics <br> Tally from DMAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Staff satisfaction survey (TBD based on survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight | 40\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 25\% |  |  | 10\% |  |  |
| SPS-17-18 | \% of students reading on or above grade level (counts $40 \%$ of total) | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 55 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 45 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from Iready, running records, circle | Staff ssatisfaction survey (TBD based on survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons | \% of classrooms with at least 2 guest speakers per semester | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally of experiences, attendance records | \% of students participating in UIL Academics, Campus Spelling Bee, \|STEM, Leader in Me Leadership Roles | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from UIL Registration, spelling bee rosters, STEM rosters |
|  | \% of students showing 1 year or more in growth (counts $60 \%$ of total) | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from Iready, running records, circle | Student Survey on engagement (TBD based on survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons | Attendance | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 93 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 87 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 85 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PEIMS | \% of students participating in ACE enrichment \|program after|school (based on full capacity of ACE enrollment) | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 20 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 10 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 0 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from ACE Registration |
|  | \% of LEP students being served in a dual language program meeting progress measure in TELPAS | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\text { B } \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from TELPAS scores | 3rd grade Student Survey on engagement (TBD based on survey options) |  | ASSC survey data normed to national comparisons | \% of students completing career education programming in computer lab | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from student usage data, Clever analytics | \% of students participating in fine arts activities beyond classroom time (music \|programs, art exhibits, dance recitals) |  |  |
|  | \% of students in <br> bilingual <br> program <br> demonstrating <br> grade-level <br> proficiency by <br> end of 3rd <br> grade | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 40 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 30 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from STAAR Data | $\%$ of students demonstrating Student Ownership | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally of <br> students <br> meeting at least <br> one <br> indicatorHabit <br> Hero data, <br> Leader in Me <br> Job, Student - <br> Led <br> Conferences, <br> Parent night <br> participation | \% of classrooms with off-campus educational experiences | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally of experiences, attendance records |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent of students in Tier 1 behavior PBIS system | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 50 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward | \% of students participating in at least 2 career or college awareness activities each year | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \%=\mathrm{A} \\ & 90 \%=\mathrm{B} \\ & 80 \%=\mathrm{C} \\ & 70 \%=\mathrm{D} \\ & 60 \%=\mathrm{F} \end{aligned}$ | Tally of experiences, attendance records |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percent of students in Tier 2 behavior PBIS system | Less than $10 \%$ $=A$ Less than $15 \%$ $=$ B Less than $20 \%$ $=$ C Less than $25 \%$ $=D$ Less than $30 \%$ $=F$ | Tally from PBIS Data, Skyward | STEM lessons |  |  |  |  |  |



## Spring Branch ISD Local Accountability System Pilot Draft

Domain 1 (HS, K-12)
Enrolled in Higher Education (T, M, 2, 4)

Percentage of students acquiring a technical certificate, enlisted in the military, or enrolled in an institution of higher education by the end of the first year after graduation.

Sources: National Student
Clearinghouse, PEIMS, other. (Two year lag)

Domain 2 (All Levels)

## Post-Secondary Readiness

Percentage of students who perform at "College and Career Readiness/ Postsecondary Readiness" benchmark levels in reading and math.

## Sources:

- HS - SATIACTI (Graduating class, One Year lag);
- MS - PSAT 8/9 (gr 8), MAP (gr 6 8), STAAR (gr $6-8$ );
- Elem - MAP (gr 3 and 5), STAAR (gr 3 and 5); and Pre-K - Circle.

Domain 4 (All Levels)**

## School Connectedness

Percentage of respondents who have favorable perceptions of School Connectedness on selected scales of a nationally normed survey instrument.

Source: Panorama Education Survey, grades Pre-K - 12, based on selected survey scales that include, but may not be limited to Rigorous Expectations, Student-Teacher Relationships, Belonging, Climate, and Safety
*Domains 3 - Includes HS if MAP goes PreK-9
**Domain 4 - Includes Pre-K only if parent survey used at Pre-K Centers

## Spring Branch ISD Local Accountability System Pilot Plan

Guiding Principle - Spring Branch ISD Core Value of Every Child

## Domains by Campus Level

Pre-K - Domains 2 and 3 (and possibly 4 using parent survey)
Elem, MS, and Spring Branch Academic Institute (Will have graduating class in 2 years and become $\mathrm{K}-12$ ) Domain 2 or 3 , and 4

Westchester Academy of International Study (6-12) - Domain 1, 2 or 3, and 4
HS - Domains 1 , 2 , and 4 (possibly 2 or 3 if HS $9^{\text {th }}$ grade participates in MAP)

Eligibility - Campus must have overall A, B, or C in state accountability system and local accountability system to be eligible to apply Local Accountability System

## Domain Grading System

Grade Structure - Individual campus targets are set centrally based on prior year performance levels, and are included in Campus Improvement Plans. Domain grades would be based on meeting or exceeding target (A), or quartile performance below target.

- A - Campus Target or above
- B - .75-. 99 of campus target,
- C - .5-. 74 of campus target,
- D - .25-. 49 of campus target,
- $\mathrm{F}<.25$ of campus target,


## Accountability Subsets (based on selected grade levels per domain)

Domain 1 - Enrolled in Higher Education (T, M, 2, 4)
Accountability subset - All students in graduating senior class
Domain 2 - Post-Secondary Readiness
Accountability subset - All students who have end of year MAP scores
Domain 3 - Growth
Accountability subset - All students who have Fall and Spring MAP scores
Domain 4 - School Connectedness
Accountability subset - All students completing the Panorama survey

## Postsecondary Readiness Measures

| Measure | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Postsecondary <br> readiness in HS | SAT $\geq 480$ EBRW; $\geq 530$ Math and/or <br> ACT composite <br> Postsecondary <br> readiness in MS <br> PSAT 83 (at least 19 in English and Math) $8^{\text {th }}$ grade $) \geq 390$ EBRW, $\geq 430$ Math and/or <br> MAP $66^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile in Reading, $70^{\text {th }}$ to $84^{\text {th }}$ percentile in Math and/or <br> STAAR $\geq$ Meets Grade Level in Reading and Math <br> Postsecondary <br> readiness in Elem <br> MAP in $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades, $66^{\text {th }}$ to $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile in Reading, $70^{\text {th }}$ to $84^{\text {th }}$ percentile in <br> Math and/or <br> STAAR $\geq$ Meets Grade Level in $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grade Reading and Math <br> Postsecondary <br> readiness in Pre-K Circle score of postsecondary readiness in Reading and Math |



## Elementary

## Domain: Academics

## Percent of teachers ESL certified

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 10\% | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 66\% |

Instructional Time, GT - Elementary

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Fewer than 28 <br> weeks of <br> instruction |  | 28-30 weeks of <br> instruction | 31 weeks of <br> instruction | 32 weeks of instruction |

## Percent of professional campus staff with 30 GT hours

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{\| c \|}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than $66 \%$ |

Percent of Administrators/Counselors who meet State GT Requirement

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 50\% <br> responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | 75\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | $100 \%$ responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | 100\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements AND <br> have 3 additional <br> hours | $100 \%$ responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors Meeting |
| State requirements <br> AND have 6 or more <br> additional hours |  |  |  |  |

Percent of professional campus personnel certified in SPED

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 65\% |

## STEM

Students will have the opportunity to participate in hands-on, exploratory lessons relating to science/math core content.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50\% students have <br> access to 45 <br> continuous <br> minutes of weekly <br> STEM lab <br> exploration. | All students have <br> access to 45 <br> continuous <br> minutes of weekly <br> STEM lab <br> exploration. | All students have <br> access to 45 <br> continuous <br> minutes of weekly <br> STEM lab <br> exploration that is <br> an extension of <br> Science TEKS. | All students have <br> access to 45 <br> continuous <br> minutes of weekly <br> STEM lab <br> exploration that is <br> an extension of <br> Science TEKS <br> with integration in <br> 3 of the 4 STEM <br> areas. | All students have access <br> to 45 continuous <br> minutes of weekly <br> STEM lab exploration <br> that is an extension of <br> Science TEKS with <br> integration in 4 of the 4 <br> STEM areas. |

Average number of Technology Professional Development hours earned by district staff

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $9-11$ | 12 or more hours |

## MAP Data

|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary <br> Math | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> Reading | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> African American | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> Hispanic | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> White | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Asian <br> Math | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> SPED | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> Eco Dis | $<25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \% - 3 9 \%}$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> 504 | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> ELL | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| Elementary <br> RTI | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |  |


| Total points for levels earned |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Measures | 11 |

## Domain: Culture and Climate

Number of night events offered at the Elementary

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |

Number of opportunities such as field trips, Special Olympics and other community events that relate to students with special needs

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Domain: Extra/Co-Curricular

Art - Elementary

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Participation in no <br> district sponsored <br> art exhibits |  | Participation in 1 <br> district sponsored <br> art exhibit | Participation in 2 <br> district sponsored <br> art exhibits | Participation in 3 <br> district sponsored art <br> exhibits |

Music - Elementary

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No campus music <br> performances |  | Presentation of 3 <br> music performance | Presentation of 4 <br> music <br> performances | Presentation of 5 or <br> more music <br> performances |

Academic UIL - Elementary

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{~}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Campus fails to <br> place in top 3 of <br> District / Zone |  | Campus places in <br> top 3 of District / <br> Zone | Campus places in <br> top 2 of District / <br> Zone | Campus wins District / <br> Zone Championship |

Number of minutes elementary students have access to exercise other than PE a week

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 30 | Between 30 and 49 | Between 50-74 <br> minutes | Between 75 and 99 | 100 minutes or more |

## Middle School

## Domain: Academics

Duke Testing - \% of $7^{\text {th }}$ Grade Students Qualifying for Participation

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 5\% <br> Qualify for Test | Between 5\% and <br> 15\% Qualify | Between 15\% and <br> 25\% Qualify | Between 25\% and <br> 35\% Qualify | Greater than 35\% <br> Qualify |

Duke Testing - \% of students that qualified opt to test

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{\| c \|} \mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 25\% <br> Opt to Test | Between 25\% and <br> $39 \%$ Opt to Test | Between 40\% and <br> $54 \%$ Opt to Test | Between 55\% and <br> 69\% Opt to Test | Greater than 70\% Opt <br> to Test |

Percent of teachers ESL certified

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than $66 \%$ |

Percent of professional campus staff with 30 GT hours

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 66\% |

Percent of Administrators/Counselors who meet State GT Requirement

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ 直 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 50\% <br> responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | 75\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | 100\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements | 100\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors <br> Meeting State <br> requirements AND <br> have 3 additional <br> hours | 100\% responsible <br> administrators/ <br> Counselors Meeting <br> State requirements <br> AND have 6 or more <br> additional hours |

Percent of professional campus personnel certified in SPED

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between $11 \%$ and <br> $25 \%$ | Between $26 \%$ and <br> $45 \%$ | Between $46 \%$ and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than $65 \%$ |

## Engagement Measure

Average Percentage Definitely or Somewhat responses from the Student Survey My teacher plans lessons that help me learn new things.
My teacher challenges me to do my best.
I have choices in how I show my teacher what I have learned.
I get to participate in class discussions.
I get a chance to work with my classmates.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-19 \%$ | $20-39 \%$ | $40-59 \%$ | $60-79 \%$ | $80-100 \%$ |

Average number of Technology Professional Development hours earned by district staff

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $9-11$ | 12 or more hours |

## MAP Data

|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle School Math | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School Reading | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School Science | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School African American | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School Hispanic | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School White | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School Math | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School SPED | $<25 \%$ | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School Eco Dis | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School 504 | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School ELL | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |
| Middle School RTI | <25\% | 26\%-39\% | 40\%-50\% | 51\%-69\% | >70\% |


| Total points for levels earned |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Measures | 12 |

## Domain: Culture and Climate

Number of academic/informative night events offered at the Middle School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |

Number of opportunities such as field trips, Special Olympics and other community events that relate to students with special needs

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Domain: Extra/Co-Curricular

Middle School All-Region Band Auditions -\% of all band students in grades 7 \& 8 making All Region Band

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ <br> of Band students <br> were named All <br> Region | Between 10\% and <br> $15 \%$ of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 15\% and <br> $19 \%$ of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 20\% and <br> $24 \%$ of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | More than 25\% of Band <br> students were named <br> All Region |

Middle School All-Region Choir Auditions -\% of all choir students in grades 7 \& 8 making All Region Choir

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 10\% of <br> Choir students <br> were named All <br> Region | Between 10\% and <br> $14 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 15 \% and <br> $19 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 20\% and <br> $24 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | More than 25\% of <br> Choir students were <br> named All Region |

## Middle School VASE Art Levels I-II

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No students <br> participated | Less than 5\% of <br> art students <br> participated | $5 \%$ of art students <br> participated | 6-9\% of art <br> students <br> participated | $10 \%$ or more of art <br> students participated |

Fine Arts Enrollment - Middle School
6th - 8th grade student enrollment in Band, Choir, Art, or Theatre

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<29 \%$ | $30-44 \%$ | $45-59 \%$ | $60-70 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |

One Act Play - Middle School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{\| c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Campus fails to <br> place in top 3 of <br> District / Zone |  | Campus places in <br> top 3 of District// <br> Zone | Campus places in <br> top 2 of District/ <br> Zone | Campus wins District / <br> Zone Championship |

Athletic Participation - Middle School- $7^{\text {th }} \& 8^{\text {th }}$ grades

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ to $60 \%$ | $61 \%$ to $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ to $80 \%$ | Over $80 \%$ |

Academic UIL - Middle School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Campus fails to <br> place in top 3 of <br> District / Zone |  | Campus places in <br> top 3 of District / <br> Zone | Campus places in <br> top 2 of District / <br> Zone | Campus wins District / <br> Zone Championship |

## High School

## Domain: Academics

Percent of freshman who choose to take PSAT Scores

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 15\% <br> Opt to Test | Between 15\% and <br> 24\% Opt to Test | Between 25\% and <br> 34\% Opt to Test | Between 35\% and <br> $44 \%$ Opt to Test | 45\% or greater Opt to <br> Test |

SAT scores

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graduating class <br> average below <br> 1400 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 1401 to 1440 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 1441 to 1480 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 1481 to 1520 | Graduating class <br> average 1521 and above |

ACT scores

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|} \mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graduating class <br> average below 19 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 19.0 to 20.9 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 21.0 to 22.9 | Graduating class <br> average between <br> 23.0 to 24.9 | Graduating class <br> average 25.0 and above |

Percent of students attempting at least one DC class

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Under $35 \%$ | $36 \%$ to $40 \%$ | $41 \%$ to $45 \%$ | $46 \%$ to $50 \%$ | Over $50 \%$ |

Average number of Dual Credit Hours Earned per Graduate

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 9 hours | $9-11$ hours | $12-14$ hours | $15-17$ hours | 18 or more hours |

Percentage of Graduates Completing 3 or more Foreign Language Courses

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ to $40 \%$ | $50 \%$ to $59 \%$ | $60 \%$ to $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ to $79 \%$ |

Percent of teachers ESL certified

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 66\% |

Offering of Career \& Technical Education (CTE) and Technology Applications courses


Number of industry certifications earned by students enrolled in CTE each year.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-24$ | $25-49$ | $50-99$ | $100-149$ | 150 or greater |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Percent of students enrolled in CTE courses

| Fewer than 25\% | Between 25\% and <br> $44 \%$ | Between 45\% and <br> $64 \%$ | Between 65\% and <br> $84 \%$ | Greater than 85\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Number of areas in which students may earn an endorsement (STEM, Business \& Industry, Public Services, Arts \& Humanities or Multidisciplinary Studies)

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Percent of professional campus staff with 30 GT hours

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 66\% |

Percent of Administrators/Counselors who meet State GT Requirement

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Fewer than } 50 \% \\ \text { responsible } \\ \text { administrators/ } \\ \text { Counselors } \\ \text { Meeting State } \\ \text { requirements }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { 75\% responsible } \\ \text { administrators/ } \\ \text { Counselors } \\ \text { Meeting State } \\ \text { requirements }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}100 \% \text { responsible } \\ \text { administrators/ } \\ \text { Counselors }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Meeting State } \\ \text { requirements }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { 100\% responsible } \\ \text { administrators/ } \\ \text { Counselors } \\ \text { Meeting State } \\ \text { requirements AND } \\ \text { have 3 additional } \\ \text { hours }\end{array}$ | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}100\% responsible <br>

administrators/ <br>
Counselors Meeting <br>
State requirements <br>
AND have 6 or more <br>
additional hours\end{array}\right]\)

Percent of professional campus personnel certified in SPED

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ | Between 11\% and <br> $25 \%$ | Between 26\% and <br> $45 \%$ | Between 46\% and <br> $65 \%$ | Greater than 65\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Average number of Technology Professional Development hours earned by district staff

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-5$ | $6-8$ | $9-11$ | 12 or more hours |

Engagement Measure
Average Percentage Definitely or Yes responses from the Student Instructional Survey My teacher plans lessons that help me learn new things.
My teacher challenges me to do my best.
I have choices in how I show my teacher what I have learned.
I get to participate in class discussions.
I get a chance to work with my classmates.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-19 \%$ | $20-39 \%$ | $40-59 \%$ | $60-79 \%$ | $80-100 \%$ |

## MAP Data

|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High School <br> Math | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> Reading | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> African American | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> Hispanic | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> White | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> Asian | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> SPED | $<25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \% - 3 9 \%}$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> Eco Dis | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $40 \%-50 \%$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> 504 | $<25 \%$ | $26 \%-39 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \% - 5 0 \%}$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> ELL | $<25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \% - 3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \% - 5 0 \%}$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |
| High School <br> RTI | $<25 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 \% - 3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \% - 5 0 \%}$ | $51 \%-69 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |


| Total points for levels earned |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Measures | 11 |

## Domain: Culture and Climate

Number of academic/informative night events offered at the High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |

Number of opportunities such as field trips, Special Olympics and other community events that relate to students with special needs

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-2$ | $3-4$ | $5-6$ | $7-8$ | More than 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Community Service Projects and Hours Earned - Average hours completed per graduate

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average less than <br> 60 hours | Between 61 and 70 <br> hours | Between 71 and 80 <br> hours | Between 81 and 90 <br> hours | Over 90 hours |

Senior Project Completion - \% of graduates completing Senior Project

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than 50\% | Between 51\% and <br> $60 \%$ | Between 61\% and <br> $70 \%$ | Between 71\% and <br> $80 \%$ | Over 80\% |

## Domain: Extra/Co-Curricular

All Region Band - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than $10 \%$ <br> of Band students <br> were named All <br> Region | Between 10\% and <br> 15\% of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 15\% and <br> $19 \%$ of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 20\% and <br> $24 \%$ of Band <br> students were <br> named All Region | More than 25\% of Band <br> students were named <br> All Region |

Marching Band Contest - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Band receives 3 at <br> UIL Marching <br> Contest |  | Band receives 2 at <br> UIL Marching <br> Contest | Band receives 1 at <br> UIL Marching <br> Contest | Band receives <br> Sweepstakes at UIL <br> Marching Contest |

UIL Band Concert \& Sightreading Contest

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Band receives 3 at <br> UIL Concert <br> Contest |  | Band receives 2 at <br> UIL Concert <br> Contest | Band receives 1 at <br> UIL Concert <br> Contest | Band receives <br> Sweepstakes at UIL <br> Concert Contest |

All Region Choir - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fewer than 10\% of <br> Choir students <br> were named All <br> Region | Between 10\% and <br> $14 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 15 \% and <br> $19 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | Between 20\% and <br> $24 \%$ of Choir <br> students were <br> named All Region | More than 25\% of <br> Choir students were <br> named All Region |

UIL Choir Concert \& Sightreading Contest

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Varsity Choir <br> receives 3 at UIL <br> Concert Contest |  | Varsity Choir <br> receives 2 at UIL <br> Concert Contest | Varsity Choir <br> receives 1 at UIL <br> Concert Contest | Varsity Choir receives <br> Sweepstakes at UIL <br> Concert Contest |

Theatrical Design - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No students <br> participated | $1-3$ theater <br> students <br> participated | 4-6 theater <br> students <br> participated | $7-9$ theater <br> students <br> participated | 10 or more theater <br> students participated <br> (max.12) |

One Act Play - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{\| c \|}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OAP does not <br> advance out of <br> District |  |  | OAP advances to <br> Bi - District | OAP advances to <br> Area |

High School-VASE Art Levels I-II

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No students <br> participated | Less than 5\% of <br> art students <br> participated | $5 \%$ of art students <br> participated | 6-9\% of art <br> students <br> participated | $10 \%$ or more of art <br> students participated |

Fine Arts Enrollment
HS student enrollment in Art, Band, Choir, Theater, Debate, or Extemp Speech

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<19 \%$ | $20-34 \%$ | $35-49 \%$ | $50-60 \%$ | $>60 \%$ |

Academic UIL Performance - High School

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High School team <br> places outside top <br> 3 in district |  | High School team <br> places 3rd <br> dintrict | High School team <br> places 2nd <br> district | High School team wins <br> district |

Athletic Participation
Percent of high school students that participate in 1 or more athletic teams

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ to $60 \%$ | $61 \%$ to $70 \%$ | $71 \%$ to $80 \%$ | Over $80 \%$ |

## Varsity Athletic Performance

Sunnyvale ISD currently competes in 12 sports for District championships

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No teams win <br> district <br> championship | At least 2 teams <br> win district <br> championship | At least 4 teams <br> win district <br> championship | At least 6 teams <br> win district <br> championship | At least 8 teams win <br> district championship |


[^0]:    Do we want to measure a sel standard of grown?

