

## Chapter 4—Closing the Gaps Domain

---

### Overview

The Closing the Gaps domain uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials in progress to interim and long-term goals among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain's construction, align the state accountability system with the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

### Student Groups Evaluated

- All students
- Seven racial/ethnic groups: African American, American Indian, Asian, Hispanic, Pacific Islander, White, and Two or More races
- Economically disadvantaged
- Emergent Bilingual (EB) student (current and monitored)
- Current special education
- Foster
- Homeless
- Migratory
- Continuously enrolled
- Former special education

Please refer to “Chapter 10—Identification of Schools for Improvement” for additional information on how each group is evaluated for federal school improvement identification.

### Student Groups Evaluated for Closing the Gaps Domain Rating

While each of the student groups listed above are evaluated within Closing the Gaps under ESSA requirements, the following four groups' outcomes contribute to the domain rating.

- All students
- Two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups determined by comparing performance of racial/ethnic groups from the prior year. Please see additional information below for determining these groups.
- High focus. Students are included in the high focus student group if they are identified as any of the following:
  - Economically disadvantaged
  - EB student (current and monitored)
  - Current special education
  - Highly mobile (foster, homeless, migratory)

### Two Lowest Performing Racial/Ethnic Groups from the Prior Year

The two lowest-performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year are identified based on the lowest combined percentage outcomes from the Academic Achievement RLA and mathematics indicators from the prior year for each student group. Minimum size requirements must be met to be evaluated for the

lowest prior year identification. See *Minimum Size* later in the chapter.

### Steps to Determine the Two Lowest Performing Groups

- 1: Identify racial/ethnic groups with at least 10 assessments in RLA and 10 assessments in math in the prior year Academic Achievement component.
- 2: Sum the RLA and mathematics numerators for each group.
- 3: Sum the RLA and mathematics denominators for each group.
- 4: Calculate the percentage for each group, rounded to a whole number.
- 5: The two student groups with the lowest percentages are evaluated for the current year.

### Existing Campus: Two Lowest Performing Racial/Ethnic Groups from the Prior Year

- A group must have 10 assessment results in both subjects, 10 assessments in RLA and 10 assessments in mathematics, to be evaluated for the lowest prior year identification.
- If two or more of the lowest performing groups (meeting minimum size) have the same performance rate, the lowest performing groups with the largest denominator are selected.
- If the campus meets minimum size for only one of the racial/ethnic groups, only that group is selected.
- If the campus meets minimum size in the current year for both identified racial/ethnic groups, both are evaluated.
- If the campus meets minimum size in the current year for only one of the identified racial/ethnic groups, only that group is evaluated.
- If the campus does not meet minimum size in the current year for either identified racial/ethnic group, no racial/ethnic groups are evaluated for the current accountability year. A campus must meet minimum size in the current and prior year to be evaluated for a racial/ethnic group.

### Campuses in their First Year STAAR Testing: Two Lowest Performing Racial/Ethnic Groups from the Prior Year

- Campuses in their first year of STAAR testing are evaluated on the state's two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year. Please see "Appendix H—Data Sources" for details on the state's two lowest performing racial/ethnic groups from the prior year.
  - If the campus meets minimum size for both of the state's racial/ethnic groups in the current year, both are evaluated.
  - If the campus meets minimum size for only one of the state's racial/ethnic groups in the current year, only that group is evaluated.
  - If the campus does not meet minimum size in the current year for either of the state's racial/ethnic group, no racial/ethnic groups are evaluated for the current accountability year.

### High Focus

Students are included in the high focus student group if they are identified as any of the following:

- Economically disadvantaged
- EB student. Please see *Inclusion of EB Students* for additional information.
- Current special education
- Highly mobile. Please see additional information below for determining this group.

## Current and Monitored EB Students

A student is identified as a current EB student if the student is reported as emergent bilingual in TIDE. A student is identified as a monitored EB student if the student is reported in TIDE as having met the criteria for exiting a bilingual/ESL program and is being monitored as required by 19 Texas Administrative Code, §89.1220(I).

Both current and monitored EB students, through year 4, are included in performance rates for the Closing the Gaps domain. Exclusions for EB students are detailed in this chapter.

## Inclusion of EB students

The student demographic data saved by districts in the Test Information Distribution Engine (TIDE) by the date indicated on the Texas Assessment Program Calendar of Events are used to identify EB students for accountability purposes (*“Final Date to Enter Student Information for Accountability Reporting”*). EB students’ inclusion, exclusion, and relevant EB TIDE codes are available in “Appendix H—Data Sources.”

## Current Special Education Students

A student is identified as a current special education student if the student receives special instruction and related developmental, corrective, supportive, or evaluative services for the current school year as reported in TIDE by the date indicated on the Texas Assessment Program Calendar of Events (*“Final Date to Enter Student Information for Accountability Reporting”*) for Academic Achievement, Academic Growth, and SQSS: STAAR Only components. For Federal Graduation and CCMR, a student is identified as a current special education student from TSDS PEIMS.

## Highly Mobile

Students are included in the highly mobile student group if they are identified as any of the following.

- Foster Care: Student is currently in the conservatorship of the Department of Family and Protective Services (source: PEIMS).
- Homeless: Student is coded with a homeless status PEIMS indicator code of 2, 3, 4, or 5 (source: PEIMS).
- Migratory: Student is, or the student's parent, spouse, or guardian is a migratory agricultural worker, including a migratory dairy worker, or a migratory fisher, and who, in the preceding 36 months, in order to obtain, or accompany such parent, spouse, or guardian in order to obtain, temporary or seasonal employment in agricultural or fishing work: 1) has moved from one school district to another; or 2) resides in a school district of more than 15,000 square miles, and migrates a distance of 20 miles or more to a temporary residence to engage in a fishing activity (source: TIDE).

## Minimum Size

A campus must have 10 assessment results in both subjects, 10 assessments in RLA and 10 assessments in mathematics, for all students group and meet minimum size for at least four indicators in the Academic Achievement component to be evaluated on the Closing the Gaps domain. If a campus does not meet minimum size, the Closing the Gaps domain is not evaluated.

## 0–4 Points

The performance of each student group is compared to the performance targets for each component based on school type. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter. Information on determining school type is available in “Chapter 1—Accountability Overview.”

Student groups earn 0–4 points for each indicator based on the following gradated point methodology.

Points	Definition
4	Met long-term target (2037-38 target)
3	Met interim target (target through 2026-27)
2	Did not meet interim target but showed expected growth toward next interim target (target through 2031-32) <sup>1</sup>
1	Did not meet interim target but showed minimal growth <sup>2</sup>
0	Did not meet interim target and did not show minimal growth

<sup>1</sup>The definition of expected growth toward the next interim target (for 2 points) is on-track growth to reach the next interim target. The denominator for 2024 is five years as the next interim target will be evaluated in 2027–28. The denominator for 2025 is four years and so forth.

$$\text{Current year rate} - \text{prior year rate} \geq \frac{\text{Next interim target} - \text{prior year rate}}{\text{Years remaining until new interim targets}}$$

The expected growth calculation is rounded to one decimal point. An example is provided below.

<sup>2</sup>Minimal growth (for 1 point) is defined as at least 1.0 percentage point improvement over the prior year rate for all component indicators in the Closing the Gaps Domain other than Graduation Rate. For Graduation Rate, minimal growth is defined as at least 0.1 percentage point improvement over the prior year rate.

Campuses in their first year of STAAR testing are evaluated for 4, 3, or 0 points as they do not have prior year data.

If a student group meets minimum size for an indicator in the current year but was measured using small numbers analysis or had no prior year data, that group's indicator is evaluated for 4, 3, or 0 points. As a result, the campus cannot earn 1 or 2 points for that component in the current year.

### Example: 0–4 Points Determination for 2024 Accountability

At Oak High School, the African American student group's 2023 Academic Achievement: RLA outcome was 26%. In 2024, the student group earned 28%.

	Targets	African American
<b>Academic Achievement: RLA</b>	Interim Target (target through 2026-27)	32%
	Next Interim Target (target through 2031-32)	43%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	66%

Points	Definition	Oak High School
4	Met long-term target (2037-38 target)	No
3	Met interim target (target through 2026-27)	No
2	Did not meet interim target but showed expected growth toward next interim target	No
1	Did not meet interim target but showed minimal growth	Yes
0	Did not meet interim target and did not show minimal growth	N/A

### Example: 2-Points Calculation for 2024 Accountability

Student Group Growth		Expected Growth
current year rate – prior year rate	≥	<u>next interim target – prior year rate</u> 5
28– 26	≥	<u>43 –26</u> 5
<b>2.0</b>	<b>≥</b>	<b>3.4</b>

### Components

There are four components evaluated in the Closing the Gaps domain.

- Academic Achievement
  - STAAR Performance Status at the Meets Grade Level or above standard in reading/language arts (RLA) and mathematics
- Growth or Graduation
  - Academic Growth Status: The School Progress, Part A domain data in RLA and mathematics for elementary and middle schools
  - Federal Graduation Status: The four-year federal graduation rate (without exclusions) for high schools or K–12s with graduation rates. If a high school or K–12 does not have graduation data, Academic Growth Status is used, if available.
- Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency
- School Quality or Student Success
  - STAAR component of the Student Achievement domain for elementary and middle schools
  - College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) Performance Status component for high schools or K–12s. If a high school or K–12 does not have CCMR data, STAAR component is used, if available.

### Academic Achievement Component

The Academic Achievement component measures STAAR performance in RLA and mathematics at the Meets Grade Level or above standard, as reported in the consolidated accountability file (CAF). See Appendix H for more information.

## Academic Achievement—Assessments and Measures Evaluated

The Academic Achievement component evaluates STAAR assessments for grades 3-12, STAAR Alternate 2 assessments, English learner (EL) Performance Measure results, and SAT/ACT results for accelerated testers as described in “Chapter 2—Student Achievement Domain” at the Meets Grade Level or above standard.

### Academic Achievement—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

- Participation Status is calculated prior to determining whether a student group meets the minimum size criteria.
- Student groups are evaluated if there are 10 or more assessments in the subject area, considered separately.
- This component is evaluated if at least four indicators meet minimum size requirements, across both RLA and mathematics.
- Small numbers analysis is not used.

### Academic Achievement—Methodology

Each student group is evaluated by subject area on the percentage of assessment results that are at the Meets Grade Level or above standard. Each student group’s performance is then compared to the current year Academic Achievement performance targets based on school type. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter. To determine how many points a student group earns for Academic Achievement, the group’s achievement outcomes are evaluated using the 0–4 point methodology described above.

The Academic Achievement calculation is determined by summing the total points earned for each evaluated indicator divided by the number of possible points (those indicators that met minimum size).

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. For example, 59.87% is rounded to 59.9% and 79.49% is rounded to 79.5%.

## Growth or Graduation Component

### Academic Growth Status

For elementary and middle schools, the Academic Growth Status component provides an opportunity for campuses to receive credit for STAAR results in RLA and mathematics that show annual growth and/or demonstrate accelerated learning, as reported in the consolidated accountability file (CAF). See Appendix H for more information.

For high schools and K–12s without a federal four-year graduation rate, the Academic Growth Status is used, if available.

### Academic Growth Status—Assessments Evaluated

The Academic Growth Status component evaluates STAAR (with and without accommodations) and STAAR Alternate 2 assessment results for grades 4–8, and STAAR English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC assessment results. SAT/ACT results for accelerated testers are not included.

### Academic Growth Status—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

- Student groups are evaluated if there are 10 or more STAAR tests eligible for growth evaluation in RLA and mathematics, considered separately.
- This component is evaluated if at least four indicators meet minimum size requirements, across

both RLA and mathematics.

- Small numbers analysis is not used.

### Academic Growth Status—Methodology

Each student group is evaluated by subject area on the percentage of assessment results that show annual growth and/or demonstrate accelerated learning. Each student group's performance is then compared to the current year Academic Growth Status performance targets based on school type. To determine how many points a student group earns for the Academic Growth indicator, the group's Academic Growth outcome is evaluated using the 0–4 point methodology described above.

Please see “Chapter 3—School Progress Domain” for details on the growth methodology. The performance targets, by school type, are provided at the end of this chapter.

The Academic Growth Status calculation is determined by summing the total points earned for each evaluated indicator divided by the number of possible points (those indicators that met minimum size).

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. For example, 59.87% is rounded to 59.9% and 79.49% is rounded to 79.5%.

### Federal Graduation Status

The Federal Graduation Status component measures the four-year federal graduation rate of the prior year graduating Class for high schools and K–12s. Texas uses the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) dropout definition and the federal calculation for graduation rate.

### Federal Graduation Status—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

#### All Students

- The all students group is evaluated if there are at least 10 students in the class.
- This component is evaluated if at least one student group meets minimum size requirements.
- Small numbers analysis, as described below, applies to the all students group if the number of students in the Class from the prior year (4-year) is fewer than 10. The total number of students in the class consists of graduates, continuing students, Texas certificate of high school equivalency (TxCHSE) recipients, and dropouts.
  - A three-year graduation rate is calculated for all students. The calculation is based on three-years of the campus's graduation data.
  - The all students group is evaluated if the three-year sum has at least 10 students.
  - If the current year student group has 1-9 students, small number analysis is applied.
  - If the current year student group has 0 students, small number analysis is not used.

#### Student Groups

- A student group is evaluated if there are at least 10 students from the group in the class.
- Small numbers analysis is not applied to student groups.

### Federal Graduation Status—Methodology

The Federal Graduation Status component is calculated using the four-year federal graduation rate without state exclusions. To determine how many points a student group earns for the graduation rate indicator, the group's four-year federal graduation rate is evaluated using the 0–4 point methodology described above. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter.

The four-year federal graduation rate follows a cohort of first-time students in grade 9 through their

expected graduation three years later. A cohort is defined as the group of students who begin grade 9 in Texas public schools for the first time in the same school year plus students who, in the next three school years, enter the Texas public school system in the grade level expected for the cohort. Students who transfer out of the Texas public school system over the four years for reasons other than graduating, receiving a TxCHSE, or dropping out are removed from the class.

Individualized Education Program (IEP) continuers will be included in the graduation cohort. The Federal Graduation Status component is calculated using the four-year federal graduation rate without state exclusions.

The four-year federal graduation rate measures the percentage of graduates in a class. Students who graduated by decisions of individual graduation committees (IGCs) are included as graduates. The graduation rates are expressed as a percentage rounded to one decimal place. For example, 74.875% rounds to 74.9%, not 75%.

$$\frac{\text{Number of Graduates in the Class}}{\text{Number of Students in the Class}} \\ (\text{Graduates} + \text{Continuers} + \text{TxCHSE Recipients} + \text{Dropouts})$$

### Inclusion of EB Students

In the Federal Graduation Rate component, Ever EB students are evaluated in the High Focus student group. Ever EBs are students reported in TSDS PEIMS as EB students at any time while attending grades 9–12 in a Texas public school. The EB student group is evaluated if there are at least 10 current EB students.

### Highly Mobile Graduate Identification

Students identified as experiencing homelessness, identified as migratory, or in foster care in the year they are reported as graduates are evaluated in the Highly Mobile graduation rate.

### Inclusions to the Four-Year Federal Dropout Definition

The definition of dropout that is used for the Student Achievement domain differs slightly from the NCES definition of dropout that is required for federal accountability. For example, for 2026 accountability Closing the Gaps domain calculations, the 2024-25 dropouts reported during the fall 2025 TSDS PEIMS data submission are processed using the NCES dropout definition so that certain students can be counted as dropouts. For additional information on dropout inclusions, please see “Appendix G—Inclusion or Exclusion of Data.”

## Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency Component

The Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency component measures an EB student’s progress towards achieving English language proficiency. Current EB students are the only students evaluated in this component.

### Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency—Assessments Evaluated

The Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency component evaluates the TELPAS and TELPAS Alternate results for grades K–12. Current year TELPAS and TELPAS Alternate results are compared to the prior year results to determine if the student made progress. Progress in achieving English language proficiency is based on year over year TELPAS composite proficiency results.



### **Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis**

- The EB student group is evaluated if there are at least 10 current EB students.
- Small numbers analysis is not used.

### **Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency—Methodology**

- A student is considered to have made progress if
  - the student has a composite proficiency rating of Advanced High or Basic Fluency in the current year, OR
  - the student advances at least one TELPAS composite proficiency level from the most recent prior year to the current year.
- Students are evaluated for progress if the student's current year composite score on TELPAS or TELPAS Alternate is Advanced High or Basic Fluency OR if the student was evaluated on all four domains (received a composite score) in both current year and the most recent prior year.
- Ratings are not compared across TELPAS and TELPAS Alternate.

Number of students with TELPAS or TELPAS Alternate assessments at a composite proficiency rating of Advanced High or Basic Fluency in current year OR that advance by at least one TELPAS composite proficiency level from prior year to current year

---

Number of students with current year TELPAS or TELPAS Alternate assessments at a composite proficiency rating of Advanced High or Basic Fluency in current year or was evaluated in all four domains (received a composite score) in both prior and current year

The current EB student group's performance is compared to the current year Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency target based on school type. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter. To determine how many points are earned, the group's achievement outcomes are evaluated using the 0–4 point methodology described previously.

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. For example, 59.87% is rounded to 59.9% and 79.49% is rounded to 79.5%.

### **School Quality or Student Success Component**

For elementary and middle schools, the Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only evaluates disaggregated student performance on the STAAR. For high schools and K–12s with annual graduates, the College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status component measures disaggregated students' preparedness for college, the workforce, or the military. If a high school or K–12 does not have CCMR data, the Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only is used, if available.

### **Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only—Assessments and Measures Evaluated**

The Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only evaluates STAAR (with and without accommodations), STAAR Alternate 2, English learner (EL) Performance Measure results, STAAR EOC, and SAT/ACT results for accelerated testers as described in Chapter 2 in all subject areas (RLA, Mathematics, Science, and Social Studies) at the Approaches Grade Level or above, Meets Grade Level or above, and Masters Grade Level standard. The performance rates calculated in this component are the disaggregated results used in the Student Achievement domain

### Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis

- Student groups are evaluated if there are 10 or more assessments.
- This component is evaluated if at least three indicators meet minimum size requirements.
- Small numbers analysis is not used.

### Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only—Methodology

Each student group is evaluated on the average percentage of assessment results that are at the Approaches Grade Level or above, Meets Grade Level or above, and Masters Grade Level standard. Each student group's performance is then compared to the current year Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only performance targets based on school type. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter.

The Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only calculation is determined by summing the total points earned for each evaluated indicator divided by the number of possible points (those indicators that met minimum size).

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. For example, 59.87% is rounded to 59.9% and 79.49% is rounded to 79.5%.

### College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status

The College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status component measures students' preparedness for college, the workforce, or the military. This component differs from the CCMR component in the Student Achievement domain. The denominator used is the prior year annual graduates plus students in grade 12 who did not graduate. These grade 12 students are those who were in attendance during the last six weeks of the prior school year as reported in TSDS PEIMS attendance records. Grade 12 students reported in the prior TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot collection as individualized education program (IEP) continuers are excluded from the Closing the Gaps CCMR denominator.

TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot	Annual Graduates and Students in Grade 12 School Year	Accountability Year
October 2022	2022–23	2024
October 2023	2023–24	2025
October 2024	2024–25	2026
October 2025	2025–26	2027

The following is an example of the formula for 2026 Accountability:

Number of Annual Graduates or Students in Grade 12 in 2025 who Achieved  
at least one of the CCMR Indicators

---

Number of 2025 Annual Graduates plus  
Students in Grade 12 during School Year 2024–25

Students demonstrate college, career, or military readiness in any one of the following ways, as described in “Chapter 2—Student Achievement Domain”:

- Meet Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Criteria in RLA and Mathematics.
- Earn Dual Course Credits.
- Meet Criteria on Advanced Placement (AP)/International Baccalaureate (IB) Examination.
- Earn an Associate Degree.
- Complete an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course.
- Earn an Industry-Based Certification (IBC) and Complete an Aligned Program of Study. The sunseting IBC limit applied within the Student Achievement and School Progress, Part B: Relative Performance domains is not applied within the Closing the Gaps domain. Please refer to “Chapter 2— Student Achievement Domain” for *Phase-In Schedule for Alignment with Programs of Study*.
- Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness.
- Enlist in the Armed Forces.
- Graduate Under an Advanced Diploma Plan and be Identified as a Current Special Education Student.
- Earn a Level I or Level II Certificate.

**College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status—Minimum Size Criteria and Small Numbers Analysis**

- Student groups are evaluated if there are 10 or more annual graduates plus students in grade 12 who did not graduate.
- This component is evaluated if at least one student group meets minimum size requirements.
- Small numbers analysis, as described below, applies to the all students group if the number of annual graduates plus students in grade 12 who did not graduate is fewer than 10.
  - A three-year CCMR rate is calculated for the all students group. The calculation is based on three-years of the campus’s CCMR data. For example, in 2026 Accountability, years 2026, 2025, and 2024 were used.
  - The all students group is evaluated if the three-year sum has at least 10 annual graduates plus students in grade 12 who did not graduate.
  - If the current year student group has 1-9 students, small number analysis is applied.
  - If the current year student group has 0 students, small number analysis is not used.

**College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status—Methodology**

Each student group is evaluated on the percentage of students who meet the current year College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Status targets. The performance targets are provided at the end of this chapter.

The College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance calculation is determined by summing the total points earned for each evaluated indicator divided by the number of possible points (those indicators

that met minimum size).

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. For example, 59.87% is rounded to 59.9% and 79.49% is rounded to 79.5%.

## Participation Status

The target for Participation Status is 95 percent of students taking a state-administered assessment. Participation measures are based on STAAR, SAT, ACT and TELPAS assessment results.

- STAAR Alternate 2 students with No Authentic Academic Response (NAAR) designation are included as participants.
- Students with the medical exception or medically exempt designations are not included in the participation rate calculation. This includes both STAAR and STAAR Alternate 2 students.
- More information on the calculation of the participation in state-administered assessments can be found in Appendix H.

Should the participation status for the all students group or any student group fall below 95 percent, rounded to the whole number, the denominator used to determine 0–4 points for the Academic Achievement component is adjusted to include the necessary number of assessments to meet the 95 percent threshold.

### Example: Adjusted Academic Achievement Performance Calculation

A campus had 100 students with STAAR assessments in RLA. Five assessments were marked A (Absent), and two assessments were marked O (Not Scored - Other). The campus's participation rate for RLA was 93 percent.

$$\frac{93 \text{ scored answer documents}}{100 \text{ scored, absent, or other assessments}}$$

Since the campus did not meet the 95 percent Participation Status target for RLA, adjustments were made when determining 0–4 points for RLA in the Academic Achievement component. The performance denominator had to be adjusted to include enough assessments to meet the 95 percent target, rounded to the nearest whole number.

### Original RLA Academic Achievement Performance Calculation

$$\frac{53 \text{ assessments at Meets Grade Level or above standard}}{93 \text{ scored assessments that meet accountability subset (out of 100 total answer documents)}} = 57\%$$

### Adjusted RLA Academic Achievement Performance Calculation

$$\frac{53 \text{ assessments at Meets Grade Level or above standard}}{95 \text{ assessments (93 scored plus 2 absent/other to meet 95% participation)}} = 56\%$$

The campus's RLA performance denominator was increased by two assessments to meet the 95 percent threshold. The Academic Achievement calculation used the updated denominator to determine the new performance outcome. The performance rates used in the Academic Achievement Performance component are the disaggregated results at the Meets Grade Level or above standard used in the Student Achievement domain.

## Minimum Number of Evaluated Indicators

The following components must have a minimum number of indicators that meet minimum size to be included in the Closing the Gaps calculation:

- Academic Achievement- minimum of four indicators
  - If the Academic Achievement component does not meet the minimum number of evaluated indicators, the Closing the Gaps Domain is not evaluated.
- Federal Graduation Status- minimum of one indicator
- Academic Growth Status- minimum of four indicators
- Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only- minimum of three indicators
- CCMR Performance Status- minimum of one indicator

## Calculating Component Scores

To calculate a score for each of the Closing the Gaps components, sum the total points earned for each evaluated indicator. Divide the number of earned points by the number of possible points (those indicators that met minimum size). The points earned for each component are then weighted based on the following table. Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the points earned by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place.

### Example: Component Score Chart

All Students	Two Lowest Performing Racial/Ethnic Groups from Prior Year							High Focus (Eco Dis, EB <sup>1</sup> , SPED, Highly Mobile)	Component Points
	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races		
Academic Achievement (RLA)									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
Academic Achievement (Mathematics)									
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
Federal Graduation Status (HS/K-12)									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
Academic Growth in RLA (EL/MS)									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
Academic Growth in Mathematics (EL/MS)									
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
SQSS: CCMR (HS/K-12)									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
SQSS: STAAR ONLY (EL/MS)									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
0-4	0-4			0-4			0-4		
Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency <sup>1</sup>									Earned ÷ Possible (rounded to 0.1)
								0-4	

<sup>1</sup>Current EB students are the only students evaluated in Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency

## Calculating a Closing the Gaps Domain Score

To calculate the Closing the Gaps domain score, each component for which the campus has at least the minimum number of evaluated indicators based on the following table is weighted. If a campus does not meet minimum size for a component, the weight of the missing component is distributed proportionally among the remaining components. An example is available below.

Component points are rounded to one decimal place. Total points for each component are determined by multiplying the percentage of evaluated indicators met by the corresponding weight and rounding to one decimal place. The Closing the Gaps domain score is the sum of the total points rounded to the nearest whole number.

### Closing the Gaps Component Weights

Campus Types	Closing the Gaps Domain Component	Weight
Elementary and Middle Schools	Academic Achievement	30%
	Academic Growth Status	50%
	Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency	10%
	Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only	10%
High Schools, K–12s, and AEAs	Academic Achievement	50%
	Federal Graduation Status or Academic Growth Status <sup>1</sup>	10%
	Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency	10%
	College, Career, and Military Readiness or Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only <sup>2</sup>	30%

<sup>1</sup> If Federal Graduation Status is not available, Academic Growth Status is used.

<sup>2</sup> If College, Career, and Military Readiness is not available, Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only is used.

### Example: Closing the Gaps Calculation: Elementary School

Component	Component Points	Weight	Total Points
Academic Achievement	69.5	30%	20.9
Academic Growth Status	83.0	50%	41.5
Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency	100	10%	10
Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only	60.5	10%	6.1
Closing the Gaps Domain Raw Score			79

### Example Closing the Gaps Calculation: Middle School

*Example:* The sample middle school has met the minimum number of evaluated indicators in two components. The campus does not have three evaluated indicators in the Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only for inclusion in the overall domain calculation. It does not meet minimum size for the Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency component. The weight of the Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only and Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency components are distributed proportionally among the two remaining components by removing their weights from the denominator, as  $100 - 20$  (2 weights of 10%) = 80. The Academic Achievement weight becomes  $30/80=37.5\%$ , and the Academic Growth weight becomes  $50/80=62.5\%$

Component	Component Points	Weight	Total Points
Academic Achievement	69	37.5%	25.9
Academic Growth Status	83	62.5%	51.9
Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency			
Student Achievement Domain Score: STAAR Component Only			
Closing the Gaps Domain Raw Score			78

### Closing the Gaps Domain Rating Calculation

See “Chapter 5—Calculating Ratings” for the methodology to calculate the Closing the Gaps domain rating.

## Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: High Schools, K–12s, and AEAs

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Ac. Ach.: RLA	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	44%	32%	36%	62%	43%	74%	45%	58%	32%	20%	33%	13%	30%	46%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	53%	43%	47%	68%	53%	78%	54%	65%	43%	33%	44%	28%	42%	55%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	72%	66%	68%	81%	72%	87%	73%	79%	66%	60%	67%	57%	65%	73%
Ac. Ach.: Math	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	38%	26%	35%	48%	37%	72%	41%	44%	31%	31%	32%	15%	33%	40%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	48%	38%	46%	57%	48%	77%	51%	53%	43%	43%	43%	29%	44%	50%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	69%	63%	68%	74%	69%	86%	71%	72%	66%	66%	66%	58%	67%	70%
Growth: RLA (only if no Grad Rate)	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	69%	65%	66%	72%	68%	81%	70%	72%	64%	60%	65%	45%	63%	70%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	78%	75%	76%	80%	77%	85%	78%	80%	74%	70%	75%	55%	73%	78%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%	90%	95%	75%	93%	95%
Growth: Math (only if no Grad Rate)	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	76%	74%	77%	73%	74%	87%	72%	73%	75%	77%	75%	64%	73%	77%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	82%	81%	83%	80%	81%	90%	80%	80%	82%	83%	82%	74%	80%	83%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	94%	95%	95%



### Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: High Schools, K–12s, and AEs (continued)

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Progress in Achieving EL Proficiency	Interim Target (2025-26 through 2026-27)										28%				
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)										30%				
	Long Term Target (2037-38)										34%				
STAAR Only (Only if no CCMR Rate)	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	47%	36%	42%	58%	45%	74%	47%	56%	39%	38%	38%	23%	43%	49%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	57%	46%	52%	68%	55%	81%	57%	66%	49%	48%	48%	33%	53%	59%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	77%	66%	72%	88%	75%	95%	77%	86%	69%	68%	68%	53%	73%	79%
CCMR	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	63%	47%	60%	71%	58%	84%	51%	63%	56%	51%	56%	64%	45%	67%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	73%	57%	70%	79%	68%	88%	61%	73%	66%	61%	66%	74%	55%	76%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	93%	77%	90%	95%	88%	95%	81%	93%	86%	81%	86%	94%	75%	95%
4 Year Fed Grad Rate <sup>2</sup>	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	90.0%	86.3%	88.1%	93.8%	87.4%	96.7%	88.3%	90.8%	86.5%	80.0%	86.7%	79.7%		
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	92.7%	90.2%	91.4%	95.2%	90.9%	97.1%	91.5%	93.2%	90.3%	86.0%	90.5%	85.8%		
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0%		

<sup>1</sup> Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency evaluates current EB students only.

<sup>2</sup> Ever EB students are evaluated in the federal graduation rates. Ever EB students are students reported in TSDS PEIMS as EB students at any time while attending grades 9–12 in a Texas public school.

## Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: Middle Schools

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Ac. Ach.: RLA	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	44%	32%	35%	59%	44%	74%	46%	56%	33%	28%	31%	19%	38%	45%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	53%	43%	46%	66%	53%	78%	55%	63%	44%	40%	43%	33%	48%	54%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	72%	66%	68%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	67%	64%	66%	60%	69%	73%
Ac Ach.: Math	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	47%	32%	39%	61%	47%	85%	52%	56%	36%	36%	35%	21%	44%	49%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	56%	43%	49%	68%	56%	88%	60%	63%	47%	47%	46%	34%	53%	58%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	74%	66%	70%	81%	74%	93%	76%	78%	68%	68%	68%	61%	72%	75%
Growth: RLA	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	63%	58%	59%	69%	63%	79%	63%	68%	58%	57%	58%	43%	61%	64%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	73%	68%	69%	78%	73%	84%	73%	77%	68%	67%	68%	53%	71%	74%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	93%	88%	89%	95%	93%	95%	93%	95%	88%	87%	88%	73%	91%	94%
Growth: Math	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	67%	62%	64%	72%	67%	86%	69%	71%	62%	62%	62%	50%	66%	67%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	76%	72%	74%	80%	76%	89%	78%	79%	72%	72%	72%	60%	76%	76%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	95%	92%	94%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	92%	92%	92%	80%	95%	95%

## Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: Middle Schools (continued)

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Progress in Achieving EL Proficiency	Interim Target (2025-26 through 2026-27)										30%				
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)										32%				
	Long Term Target (2037-38)										36%				
STAAR Only	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	47%	37%	41%	58%	45%	74%	49%	55%	38%	37%	38%	23%	42%	48%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	57%	47%	51%	68%	55%	81%	59%	65%	48%	47%	48%	33%	52%	58%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	77%	67%	71%	88%	75%	95%	79%	85%	68%	67%	68%	53%	72%	78%

<sup>1</sup> Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency evaluates current EB students only

## Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: Elementary Schools

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Ac. Ach.: RLA	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	46%	34%	39%	59%	44%	73%	46%	55%	37%	37%	35%	26%	38%	47%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	55%	45%	49%	66%	53%	78%	55%	63%	48%	48%	46%	38%	48%	56%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	73%	67%	70%	80%	72%	87%	73%	78%	69%	69%	68%	63%	69%	74%
Ac. Ach.: Math	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	49%	33%	44%	60%	47%	82%	51%	55%	42%	45%	40%	29%	45%	51%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	58%	44%	53%	67%	56%	85%	59%	63%	52%	54%	50%	41%	54%	59%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	75%	67%	72%	80%	74%	91%	76%	78%	71%	73%	70%	65%	73%	76%
Growth: RLA	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	64%	59%	62%	68%	62%	80%	62%	67%	61%	62%	60%	50%	64%	65%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	74%	69%	72%	77%	72%	85%	72%	76%	71%	72%	70%	60%	74%	75%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	94%	89%	92%	95%	92%	95%	92%	95%	91%	92%	90%	80%	94%	95%
Growth: Math	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	69%	61%	68%	74%	69%	88%	70%	71%	66%	69%	65%	58%	70%	70%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	78%	71%	77%	81%	78%	90%	78%	79%	76%	78%	75%	68%	78%	78%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	95%	91%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	88%	95%	95%

<sup>1</sup> Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency evaluates current EB students only.

## Closing the Gaps Performance Targets: Elementary Schools (continued)

	Targets	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	High Focus	EB <sup>1</sup> (Current & Monitored)	Eco Dis	SPED (Current)	SPED (Former)	Cont Enrolled
Progress in Achieving EL Proficiency	Interim Target (2025-26 through 2026-27)										40%				
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)										42%				
	Long Term Target (2037-38)										46%				
STAAR Only	Interim Target (2022-23 through 2026-27)	47%	36%	41%	58%	46%	72%	49%	55%	40%	37%	38%	23%	42%	48%
	Next Interim Target (2027-28 through 2031-32)	57%	46%	51%	68%	56%	80%	59%	65%	50%	47%	48%	33%	52%	58%
	Long Term Target (2037-38)	77%	66%	71%	88%	76%	95%	79%	85%	70%	67%	68%	53%	72%	78%

<sup>1</sup> Progress in Achieving English Language Proficiency evaluates current EB students only.