# **Chapter 1—Accountability Overview**

### **About this Manual**

The Accountability Manual is a technical guide that explains how the Texas Education Agency (TEA) uses the accountability system to evaluate the academic performance of Texas public districts. Districts include public school districts and open-enrollment charter schools. The manual describes the accountability system and explains how TEA processes information from different sources to produce accountability data reports. The processes outlined in this manual apply beginning with the 2026 accountability year and remain in place until otherwise notified.

## **Accountability Advisory Groups**

Educators, school board members, business and community representatives, professional organizations, and legislative representatives from across the state have been instrumental in developing the current accountability system.

**Texas Accountability Advisory Group (TAAG)** includes representatives from school districts, legislative offices, and the business community. Members identify issues critical to the accountability system, make recommendations, and provide feedback on major policy issues.

**ESC Accountability Group (EAG)** includes representatives from each regional education service center (ESC) in the state. Members identify issues critical to the accountability system and make recommendations/provide feedback on major policy issues.

The accountability development proposals and supporting materials that were reviewed and discussed at each advisory group meeting are available online at <a href="https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/accountability/accountability/system-development">https://tea.texas.gov/texas-schools/accountability/accountability/system-development</a>.

## **Overview of the Accountability System**

The overall design of the accountability system evaluates performance according to three domains:

**Student Achievement** evaluates performance across all subjects for all students on both general and alternate State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) and STAAR End-of-Course (EOC) assessments; College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) indicators; and graduation rates.

School Progress measures outcomes in two areas:

- Part A: Academic Growth
  - Percentage of students who grew at least one year academically as measured by STAAR results (Annual Growth).
  - Percentage of students who earned Did Not Meet Grade Level in the prior year and Approaches Grade Level or above in the current year (Accelerated Learning).
- Part B: Relative Performance
  - The achievement of students relative to campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.
  - For AEA campuses, Part B: Retest Growth is the percentage of students who earned Approaches Grade Level or above on an EOC retest during the accountability cycle.

Closing the Gaps uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials in progress to interim and long-

term goals among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain's construction, align the state accountability system with the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

### Who is Rated?

To determine if a campus or district will be rated, a campus must have students in membership. In order for a student to be in membership they must be scheduled to attend at least two hours of instruction each school day or participate in an alternative attendance accounting program. For more information on membership, see "Appendix H—Data Sources."

Districts and campuses that report students enrolled (in membership) on the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) Fall Snapshot date in the accountability year are assigned a state accountability rating. For example, for the 2026 accountability year districts and campuses that report students enrolled (in membership) on the PEIMS Fall Snapshot date of the 2025-2026 school year are rated.

Students instructed virtually are included in accountability calculations in the same manner as in-person students. Students enrolled in virtual courses under an agreement described by Texas Education Code (TEC §29.9091), are considered enrolled in the sending district or school for purposes of average daily attendance and accountability.

### Districts

School districts are rated beginning the first year they report fall enrollment. Districts without any students enrolled (in membership) in the grades for which STAAR assessments are administered (3–12) are assigned the rating label of *Not Rated*. Districts are rated using proportionally weighted domain scores of each campus, based on the number of students enrolled (in membership) in grades 3–12 at each campus in the PEIMS Fall Snapshot. Please see "Chapter 5—Calculating Ratings" for more on District Proportional Domain Methodology.

State-administered school districts, including Texas School for the Blind and Visually Impaired, Texas School for the Deaf, Texas Juvenile Justice Department, and Windham School District, are not assigned a state accountability rating.

### Campuses

Beginning the first year they report fall enrollment, campuses, including alternative education campuses (AECs), are rated based on the performance of their students. To assign accountability ratings, campuses that do not serve any grade level for which the STAAR assessments are administered are paired with campuses in their district that serve students who take STAAR. Please see "Chapter 7—Other Accountability System Processes" for information on pairing.

## **Rating Labels**

Districts and campuses receive an overall rating, as well as a rating for each domain. The rating labels for districts and campuses are as follows.

• **A**, **B**, **C**, **D**, **or F**: Assigned for overall performance and for performance in each domain to districts and campuses (including those evaluated under alternative education accountability [AEA]) that meet the performance target for the letter grade.

- **Not Rated:** Indicates that a district or campus does not receive a rating for one or more of the following reasons:
  - $\circ$   $\;$  The district or campus has no data in the accountability subset.
  - The district or campus has insufficient data to assign a rating.
  - The district operates only residential facilities.
  - The campus is a juvenile justice alternative education program (JJAEP).
  - The campus is a disciplinary alternative education program (DAEP).
  - The campus is a residential facility.
  - The commissioner otherwise determines that the district or campus will not be rated.
- **Data Under Review** indicates that a district or campus was issued a compliance review related to data concerns and the concerns were not resolved. In this case, the matter may be referred to TEA's Special Investigations Unit for review and TEA may elect to assign the district or campus with a temporary *Data Under Review* label. This label may be applied at any point, including to either a preliminary or final rating. TEA will take the response provided by the district or campus into consideration before making any final determination about possible wrongdoing. For more information, see "Compliance Reviews and Special Investigations Related to Data Concerns" in the "Ensuring Data Integrity" section of this chapter.
- Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues indicates that a special investigation has found data accuracy or integrity have compromised performance results (whether intentional or not), making it impossible to assign the district or campus a rating. The assignment of a Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues label is permanent.
- **Not Rated:** Annexation indicates that the campus is in its first school year after annexation by another district and, therefore, is not rated, as allowed by the annexation agreement with the agency.

See "Chapter 9—Responsibilities and Consequences" for more information on how these ratings impact sanctions and interventions.

## **Distinction Designations**

Districts and campuses that receive acceptable accountability ratings are eligible to earn distinction designations (acceptable performance is defined as an overall rating of *A*, *B*, or *C*). Distinction designations are awarded for achievement in several areas and are based on performance relative to a group of campuses of similar type, size, grade span, and student demographics. Districts are eligible for a distinction designation in postsecondary readiness. Please see "Chapter 6—Distinction Designations" for more information.

## **Accountability System School Types**

Every campus is labeled as one of four school types according to its grade span based on enrollment data reported in the fall TSDS PEIMS submission. The four types—elementary school, middle school, elementary/secondary (also referred to as K-12), and high school—are illustrated by the following table. The table shows combinations of grade levels served by campuses in Texas. The shading indicates the corresponding school type.

To find out how a campus that serves a certain grade span is labeled, find the lowest grade level reported as being served by that campus along the leftmost column and the highest grade level reported as being served along the top row. The shading of the cell where the two grade levels intersect indicates which of the four school types that campus is considered. For example, a campus that serves

early elementary (EE) through grade four is labeled elementary school. A campus that serves grades five and six only is labeled middle school. Below is a sample chart from the 2024 accountability framework, illustrating the number of campuses serving each of these combinations. For other accountability cycles, refer to "Appendix E—School Types and Campus Comparison Groups."

	Elementary 4,942 Campuses				Elementary/Secondary 618 Campuses			Middle School 1,706 Campuses			High School					
σ		Highest	Grade Le	evel Serv	red											$\rightarrow$
Serve		EE	PK	KG	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Level	EE	9	92	60	39	89	31	185	1240	118	0	10	0	0	0	36
Grade	РК		51	20	8	33	10	139	1215	183	16	136	5	2	2	184
Lowest Grade Level Served	KG			0	4	14	8	100	614	63	10	41	5	7	3	55
-	1				0	10	15	6	96	15	2	3	0	1	2	10
	2					1	9	7	35	1	2	2	0	1	0	6
	3						1	6	117	7	1	6	0	1	1	7
	4							1	34	22	0	5	2	1	0	7
	5								5	111	2	75	2	3	4	18
	6									25	5	1237	18	15	31	209
	7										5	195	7	7	22	109
	8											11	8	8	21	40
	9												38	25	27	1398
	10													18	8	46
	11														15	15
↓	12															19

#### 2024 Accountability System School Types (9,082 Total Campuses)

TEA Division of Performance Reporting

### **STAAR-Based Indicators**

### **Accountability Subset Rule**

A subset of assessment results is used to calculate each domain. The calculation includes only assessment results for students enrolled in the campus in a previous fall, as reported on the TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot. The accountability subset rule is not based on scheduled hours of instruction and includes all enrolled students. Across all three domains, STAAR performance results must meet the accountability subset rules to be included. In order to be included in the Progress to English Language Proficiency component of Closing the Gaps, TELPAS scores also must meet the accountability subset rules.

Three assessment administration periods are considered for accountability purposes:

- Grades 3–8: campuses are responsible for spring assessment results for students reported as enrolled at that campus in the TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot. STAAR results are assigned to the campus location of enrollment in TIDE on the "Final Date to Enter Student Information for Accountability Reporting" listed on the Texas Assessment Program Calendar of Events. This would be the campus that tests the student last.
- End-of-Course (EOC): campuses are responsible for
  - summer assessment results from the summer prior to the current accountability year for students reported as enrolled at that campus in the prior year TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot;
  - fall assessment results from the fall of the current accountability year for students reported as enrolled at that campus in the TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot; and
  - spring assessment results for students reported as enrolled at that campus in the TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot.

For example, the 2026 accountability year uses student assessment results from summer 2025 for students in the TSDS PEIMS 2024 Fall Snapshot and student assessment results from fall 2025 and spring 2026 for students in the TSDS PEIMS 2025 Fall Snapshot.

Accountability Year	STAAR results are included in the subset of campus accountability	If the student was enrolled in the campus on this date:	
	EOC summer 2025 administration	PEIMS Fall 2024 enrollment Snapshot	
2026	EOC fall 2025 administration	PEIMS Fall 2025 enrollment Snapshot	
2020	EOC spring 2026 administration		
	Grades 3–8 spring 2026 administration		
	EOC summer 2026 administration	PEIMS Fall 2025 enrollment Snapshot	
2027	EOC fall 2026 administration		
2027	EOC spring 2027 administration	PEIMS Fall 2026 enrollment Snapshot	
	Grades 3–8 spring 2027 administration		

### **STAAR EOC Retest Performance**

The opportunity to retest is available to students who have taken EOC assessments in any subject.

For example, for the 2026 accountability year:

*Step 1:* Find the best result from each administration for each subject retested (Summer 2025, Fall 2025, and Spring 2026).

*Step 2:* Determine whether the result is part of the accountability subset (was the student enrolled at PEIMS Fall Snapshot and tested on the same campus).

*Step 3:* If the result meets the accountability subset, then it is included. If the result does not meet the accountability subset, then it is not included.

If all results have the same level of performance, then the most recent result is selected for performance calculation. EOC retesters are counted as passers based on the passing standard in place when they were first eligible to take any EOC assessment.

The following charts provide examples of how the accountability subset is applied to EOC retesters for the 2026 accountability year.

ummer 2025 Campus A	PEIMS Fall 2025 Snapshot <b>Campus A</b>	Fall 2025 Campus A	Spring 2026 Campus A
		Campus A Snapshot	Campus A Snapshot Campus A

The best result is found for performance (most recent result) and growth (only available), considered separately. The selected result is only applied to the campus that was assigned the assessment if the student meets the accountability subset rule (discussed above).

Enrolled SY 24-25	Tested SY 24-25	Enrolled SY 25-26	Tested SY 25-26	Tested SY 25-26
October 2024 Snapshot <b>Campus A</b>	Summer 2025 Campus B	October 2025 Snapshot <b>Campus B</b>	Fall 2025 Campus B	Spring 2026 Campus C

The best result is selected. Only the fall 2025 result meets the accountability subset rule. If spring 2026 was selected as the best result, the result would not meet the accountability subset rule for inclusion at Campus B or Campus C.

School Progress, Part A: Academic Growth is only calculated using first-time tests. Please see "Chapter 3—School Progress Domain" for more information.

### SAT/ACT Inclusion in STAAR Based Indicators—Accountability Subset

The SAT/ACT results of accelerated testers (or the non-participation of accelerated testers in SAT/ACT) are attributed to the campus at which the student was reported as enrolled on the current TSDS PEIMS Fall Snapshot. Please see "Chapter 2—Student Achievement Domain" for additional information on accelerated testers and the inclusion of SAT/ACT results.

### Inclusion of Emergent Bilingual (EB) Students in STAAR-Based Indicators

The student demographic data saved by districts in the Test Information Distribution Engine (TIDE) by the date indicated on the Texas Assessment Program Calendar of Events are used to identify EB students for accountability purposes (*"Final Date to Enter Student Information for Accountability Reporting"*). EB

students' inclusion, exclusion, and relevant EB TIDE codes are available in "Appendix H — Data Sources." EB students' TIDE codes can also be found in "Appendix D – Accountability Glossary."

- EB students who are assessed on STAAR and are reported in TIDE as year one in U.S. schools are excluded from accountability performance calculations.
- EB students who are in their second year in U.S. schools are included in the Student Achievement, Relative Performance, and Closing the Gaps Academic Achievement and SQSS: STAAR Only components using the EL performance measure.
- EB students who are in their second year in U.S. schools who have a parental denial for EL services do not receive an EL performance measure and are included in the same manner as non-EB students.
- Current and monitored (through year 4) EB students are included in accountability calculations.

EB students who are assessed on STAAR Alternate 2 are included regardless of an EB student's years in U.S. schools.

Unschooled asylees, unschooled refugees, and students with interrupted formal education (SIFEs) who are in year one in U.S. schools are excluded from accountability performance calculations and are included in state accountability beginning with their second year of enrollment in U.S. schools.

### **TSDS PEIMS-Based Indicators**

One of the primary sources of data used in the accountability system is the Texas Student Data System/Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data collection. The PEIMS data collection has a prescribed process and timeline that offers school districts the opportunity to correct data submission errors or data omissions discovered following the initial data submission.

These timelines are strict, and the data submitted during the corrections window are final. TSDS PEIMS submission timelines can be found through the TSDS Upgrade Texas Education Data Standards website at <a href="https://www.texasstudentdatasystem.org/tsds/teds/tweds-upgrade">https://www.texasstudentdatasystem.org/tsds/teds/tweds-upgrade</a>.

TSDS PEIMS data provided by school districts and used to create specific indicators are listed below. For more information see "Appendix H—Data Sources."

TSDS PEIMS data used for accountability indicators	Data for 2026 accountability	Data for 2027 accountability	
4-year Longitudinal Graduation Rate	Class of 2025	Class of 2026	
5-year Longitudinal Graduation Rate	Class of 2024	Class of 2025	
6-year Longitudinal Graduation Rate	Class of 2023	Class of 2024	
Annual Dropout Rate			
Graduate with Completed IEP and Workforce Readiness	2024–25 school year	2025–26 school year	
Graduate Under an Advanced Diploma Plan and be Identified as a Current Special Education Student			
Complete College Prep Course	Earned in school years 2021- 22 through 2024-25 (completed in the 11th or 12th grade*)	Earned in school years 2022- 23 through 2025-26 (completed in the 12th grade*)	
Earn an Industry-Based Certification			
Dual Credit Course Completion	Earned from grade 9 through 2024-25 school year	Earned from grade 9 through 2025-26 school year	
Earn an Associate Degree			

\*Grade level will be based on data reported in the TSDS PEIMS Summer submission. A student must be in the required grade at any time during the school year when the course credit was received. See "Appendix H—Data Sources."

## **Other Indicators**

The CCMR component of the accountability system includes data from ACT, Advanced Placement (AP), International Baccalaureate (IB), SAT, Texas Success Initiative (TSI) assessment results, OnRamps, and level I and level II certificates. Data used to create specific CCMR indicators are listed below. For more information see "Appendix H—Data Sources."

Other data used for College, Career, and Military Readiness	Data for 2026 accountability reported for	Data for 2027 accountability reported for		
ACT college admissions test	Tests from grade 9 through July 2025 administration	Tests from grade 9 through July 2026 administration		
AP examination	Tests from grade 9 through 2024- 25 school year	Tests from grade 9 through 2025- 26 school year		
IB examination	Tests from grade 9 through May 2025	Tests from grade 9 through May 2026		
TSI assessment	Tests from June 2015 through October 2025 administration	Tests from June 2016 through October 2026 administration		
SAT college admissions test	Tests from grade 9 through June 2025 administration	Tests from grade 9 through June 2026 administration		
OnRamps dual enrollment course completion	Courses completed from grade 9 through 2024-25 school year	Courses completed from grade 9 through 2025-26 school year		
Level I and level II certificates	Certificates earned from grade 9 through 2024-25 school year	Certificates earned from grade 9 through 2025-26 school year		
Military Enlistment	Military enlistment as of December 31, 2025.	Military enlistments as of December 31, 2026		

### **Ensuring Data Integrity**

Accurate data is fundamental to accountability ratings. The system depends on the responsible collection and submission of assessment and TSDS PEIMS information by school districts. The Texas Education Data Standards (TEDS) describe the data reporting requirements, responsibilities, and specifications and are published annually at <u>https://www.texasstudentdatasystem.org/tsds/teds/tweds-upgrade</u>. Per 19 TAC §61.1025(b), these data standards shall be used by districts to submit data to the agency. Responsibility for the accuracy and quality of data used to determine district and campus ratings, therefore, rests with local authorities. The Texas Education Code (TEC) provides specific authority for TEA to monitor TSDS PEIMS data integrity (TEC, §7.028). An accountability ratings appeal that is solely based on a district's submission of inaccurate data will likely be denied. See "Chapter 8— Appealing the Ratings" for more information.

Because accurate and reliable data are the foundation of the accountability system, TEA has established several steps to protect the quality and integrity of the data and the accountability ratings that are based on that data.

- **Campus Number Tracking:** Requests for campus number changes may be approved with consideration of prior state accountability ratings. Ratings of *D* or *F* for the same campus assigned two different campus numbers may be considered as consecutive years of unacceptable ratings for accountability interventions and sanctions, if the commissioner determines this is necessary to preserve the integrity of the accountability system.
- **Data Validation System:** Data Validation is a data-driven system designed to confirm the integrity of district submitted data. Annual data validation analyses examine districts' leaver and dropout data, student assessment data, and discipline data and may also validate other district submitted data. Districts identified with potential data integrity concerns engage in a process

with the agency to either validate the accuracy of their data or determine that erroneous data were submitted. This process is fundamental to the integrity of all the agency's evaluation systems and is authorized by Texas Education Code (TEC §39.308, §37.008, §39.003). For more information, see the Data Validation Manuals at <u>http://tea.texas.gov/pbm/DVManuals.aspx</u>.

- Test Security: As part of ongoing efforts to improve security measures surrounding the
  assessment program, the TEA Student Assessment Division uses a comprehensive set of test
  security procedures designed to assure parents, students, and the public that assessment results
  are meaningful and valid. Among other measures, districts are required to implement seating
  charts during all administrations and maintain certain test administration materials for five
  years. All testing personnel are required to be trained in test security and administration
  procedures at least once. However, annual test administration training is strongly encouraged,
  especially for policies and procedures that have changed. Detailed information about test
  security policies for the state assessment program is available online at
  <a href="https://txassessmentdocs.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/ODCCM/pages/2793212784/Test+Security">https://txassessmentdocs.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/ODCCM/pages/2793212784/Test+Security</a>.
- Compliance Reviews and Special Investigations Related to Data Concerns: TEA's compliance reviews are a collaborative review process with districts to ensure they are acting in accordance with state law and other regulatory requirements. A district or campus may be issued a compliance review if they have data that fell outside of an expected range or have otherwise been identified for having local practices potentially inconsistent with TEA guidelines which could impact performance results within TEA's discretion to identify. The reviews are based on data submitted by districts (or other sources) that could impact performance data, including information used in the state accountability system, such as (but not limited to) data related to CCMR indicators, graduates and leavers, individual graduation committee (IGC) reviews, or STAAR. The Self-Reported Data Unit (SRDU) within the Compliance and Investigations Department at the agency requests documentation and other information from districts to validate the data reported and then reviews and determines whether there has been a violation and commonly works with the districts to bring them into compliance and/or to establish better local practices. The agency will regularly update or clarify guidance to the field as a result of these reviews to ensure that districts have access to the information and tools necessary to establish better local practices and accurately report data to the agency.
  - TEA may take any of the following actions as a result of compliance reviews:
    - TEA may close its review with no further action if the district's response satisfies TEA's concerns;
    - TEA may work with the district to complete corrective actions to ensure more accurate information is provided and/or appropriate policies are implemented in the future; and/or
    - TEA may enter into an agreement with the district to issue a rating consistent with the actual performance of the district.
  - If the compliance reviews do not resolve the concerns raised, SRDU may refer the matter to the Special Investigations Unit for further investigation on these more consequential concerns.
  - If TEA makes a preliminary determination that the accuracy and/or integrity of performance results may have been compromised (whether intentional or not), TEA may issue a temporary *Data Under Review* label at any point, including on either a preliminary or final rating. If the results of a special investigation determine that the accuracy and/or integrity of performance results have been compromised (whether intentional or not), TEA may elect to issue the district or campus a *Not Rated: Data*

*Integrity Issues* final accountability rating label. A *Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues* accountability rating label does not break the chain of consecutive years of unacceptable accountability ratings for accountability sanctions and intervention purposes. All districts and campuses with a final rating label of *Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues* are automatically subject to desk audits the following year. As a result of a special investigation, TEA may elect to take actions and interventions under Texas Education Code Chapters 39 and 39A, including (but not limited to) lowering an accountability rating.

• These steps can occur either before or after the ratings release, and sanctions can be imposed at any time. To the extent possible, ratings are finalized when updated ratings are released following the resolution of appeals. A rating change resulting from an imposed sanction as a result of a compliance review and/or subsequent review by the Special Investigations Unit will stand as the final rating for the year, and will be reflected on all final accountability rating data files and reports (including TXschools.gov and the district's Texas Academic Performance Reports (TAPR)), with a statement representing this change, "Overall score or rating updated as a result of a Data Compliance Review." Accountability data are subject to scrutiny by the Office of the State Auditor.

### Interpretation of the Manual for Ratings and Distinction Designations

The Accountability Manual attempts to address all possible scenarios; however, because of the number and diversity of districts and campuses in Texas, there could be unforeseen circumstances that are not anticipated in the manual. If a data source used to determine district or campus performance is unintentionally affected by unforeseen circumstances, including natural disasters or test administration issues, the commissioner of education will consider those circumstances and their impact in determining whether or how that data source will be used to assign accountability ratings and award distinction designations. In such instances, the commissioner will interpret the manual as needed to assign the appropriate ratings and/or award distinction designations that preserve both the intent and the integrity of the accountability system.