

Chapter 9 Resources



Additional information about the Texas assessment program can be found on [TEA’s Student Assessment Division webpage](#). Table 9.1 provides a quick reference for some available resources.

Table 9.1. Quick Reference to Online Resources


Topic	URL
Accommodation Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/accommodations
Assessment Resources for Parents and Students	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/parents
Assessment Resources for Teachers and Administrators	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/teachers
District and Campus Coordinator Manual Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/manuals/dccm
Test Administration Manuals and Materials	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/manuals
Information on State Assessments for English Language Learners	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/ell
LPAC Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/ell/lpac
Special Education Assessments Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/special-ed
STAAR Alternate Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/special-ed/staaralt
STAAR L Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/ell/staarl
STAAR Modified Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/special-ed/staarm
STAAR Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/staar
TAKS Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/taks
TELPAS Resources	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/ell/telpas
Test Security Supplement	http://tea.texas.gov/student.assessment/security



Bibliography



- American Educational Research Association (AERA), American Psychological Association (APA), & National Council on Measurement in Education (NCME). (2014). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC: AERA.
- Angoff, W. H. (1971). Scales, norms and equivalent scores. In R.L. Thorndike (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (pp. 508–600). Washington, DC: American Council on Education.
- Bloom, B., Englehart, M. Furst, E., Hill, W., & Krathwohl, D. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York, Toronto: Longmans, Green.
- Cook, H. G. (2008, June). *Expansion of Webb's alignment protocol*. Paper presented at the 2008 Council of Chief State School Officers Student Assessment Conference, Orlando, FL.
- Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (2006). *Introduction to classical and modern test theory*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Davies, S., O'Malley, K., & Wu, B. (2007, April). *Establishing measurement equivalence of transadapted reading and mathematics tests*. Paper presented at the 2007 annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Efron, B. (1979). Bootstrap methods: Another look at the Jackknife. *The Annals of Statistics*, 7(1), 1–26.
- Efron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. New York, NY: Chapman and Hall.
- Ferrara, S., Lewis, D., Mercado, R., D'Brot, J., Barth, J., & Egan, K. (2011, April). *A method for setting benchmarked performance standards: Workshop procedures, panelist judgments, and empirical results*. Paper presented at the 2011 annual meetings of the National Council on Measurement in Education, New Orleans, LA.
- Flowers, C., Wakeman, S. Y., Browder, D. M., & Karvonen, M. (2007). *Links for Academic Learning: An alignment protocol for alternate assessments based on alternate achievement standards*. Charlotte, NC: National Alternate Assessment Center, University of North Carolina at Charlotte.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Plake, B. S. (1995). Using an extended Angoff procedure to set standards on complex performance assessments. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 8, 41–56.
- Kane, M. T. (1992). An argument-based approach to validity. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 527–535.

- 
- Kane, M. T. (2006). Validation. In R.L. Brennan (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (pp. 17–64). Washington, DC: The National Council on Measurement in Education & the American Council on Education.
- Kolen, M. J., & Brennan, R. L. (2004). *Test equating, scaling, and linking: methods and practices*. New York, NY: Springer.
- Linacre, J. M. (2001). *A user's guide to WINSTEPS: Rasch-model computer program*. Chicago, IL: MESA Press.
- Lohr, S. L. (1999). *Sampling: Design and Analysis*. Pacific Grove, CA: Duxbury Press.
- Masters, G. N. (1982). A Rasch model for partial credit scoring. *Psychometrika*, 47(2), 149–174.
- Messick, S. (1989). Meaning and values in test validation: The science and ethics of assessment. *Educational Researcher*, 18(2), 5–11.
- Morgan, D. L. (2003, November). *The performance profile method: A unique method as applied to a unique population*. Paper presented at the 2003 annual meeting of the California Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA.
- National Alternate Assessment Center. (2005, June). Designing from the ground floor: Alternate assessment on alternate achievement standard. Paper presented at the 2005 Council of Chief State School Officers Large Scale Assessment Conference, San Antonio, TX.
- O'Malley, K., Keng, L., & Miles, J. (2012). Using validity evidence to set performance standards. In G.J. Cizek (Ed.), *Setting performance standards* (pp. 301–322). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Petersen, N. S. (1987, September 25). *DIF procedures for use in statistical analysis* [ETS internal memorandum].
- Petersen, N. S., Kolen, M. J., & Hoover, H. D. (1989). Scaling, Norming, and Equating. In R.L. Linn (Ed.), *Educational Measurement* (pp. 221–262). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Phillips, G. W. (2012). The benchmark method of standard setting. In G. Cizek (Ed.), *Setting performance standards* (pp. 342–364). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Rasch, G. (1966). An individualistic approach to item analysis. In P. Lazarfeld & N. W. Henry (Eds.), *Readings in Mathematical Social Science* (pp. 89–107). Chicago, IL: Science Research Associates.
- Rudner, L. M. (2001). Computing the expected proportions of misclassified examinees. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 7(14).



- Rudner, L. M. (2005). Expected classification accuracy. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 10(13).
- Schafer, W. D., Wang, J., & Wang, V. (2009). Validity in action: State assessment validity evidence for compliance with NCLB. In R. W. Lissitz (Ed.) *The concept of validity* (pp. 173–193). Charlotte, NC: Information Age.
- Shepard, L. A. (1997). The centrality of test use and consequences for test validity. *Educational Measurement: Issues and practice*, 16(2), 5–8, 13, 24.
- Torgerson, W. S. (1958). *Theory and methods of scaling*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Way, W. D., Davis, L. L., & Fitzpatrick, S. (2006, April). *Score comparability of online and paper administrations of the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills*. Paper presented at the 2006 Annual Meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, San Francisco, CA.
- Webb, N. L. (1997). *Criteria for Alignment and Expectations and Assessments in Mathematics and Mathematics Education*. Council of Chief State School Officers and National Institute for Mathematics Education Research Monograph No. 6. Madison: University of Wisconsin, Wisconsin Center for Educational Research
- Wingersky, M.S., & Lord, F.M. (1984). An investigation of methods for reducing sampling error in certain IRT procedures. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 8(3), 347-364.
- Wright, B. D. (1977). Solving measurement problems with the Rasch model. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 14, 97–116.
- Wright, B. D., & Masters, G. N. (1982). *Rating scale analysis*. Chicago: MESA Press.
- Wright, B.D., & Stone, M.H. (1979). *Best test design*. Chicago: MESA Press.
- Zieky, M. (1993). DIF statistics in test development. In P. W. Holland & H. Wainer (Eds.), *Differential item functioning* (pp. 337–347). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

