TELPAS ALTERNATE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS **X** TELPAS



ILLI AS	ALIEMNATE I ANTICH ATION NEC	ZOIIVEIVIEIV		ALTERNATE
Student Nam	e:	Grade:	_ Date:	
Name of Dist	rict Personnel Completing Form:	Posit	ion:	
Background a	and Instructions			
Alternate, the a committee (LPA is a statewide a disabilities. A st behavior deficit limit his or her money) across that are neithe Public Educatio	ing the participation requirements for the Texas English Langual English Langual English, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee, in conjunct AC), must understand all assessment options, including the chassessment that may only be considered for emergent bilingual tudent with the most significant cognitive disability is a studer tes in his or her ability to plan, comprehend, and reason, and wability to apply social and practical skills (e.g., personal care, sall life domains. The student requires extensive, direct, individing temporary nor specific to a particular content area. An EB ston Information Management System (PEIMS) as an EB student are parents have declined bilingual or English as a second langual	tion with the language aracteristics of each a lal (EB) students with the two exhibits significates adal problem-solving dualized instruction ar udent is a student where are are tested.	e proficience issessment. The most signant intelled ptive behave skills, dressend needs suo has been (L), and the	y assessment TELPAS Alternate inificant cognitive ctual and adaptive for deficits that sing, eating, using bstantial supports identified in the term includes EB
If TELPAS Alternate is being considered, the ARD committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, must review these participation requirements against the supporting documentation within the individualized education program (IEP), such as in the present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), to determine eligibility. If it is determined that the student meets the participation requirements for TELPAS Alternate, this form and supporting IEP documentation may serve as the required IEP statement under 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §89.1055(b) and must be available for review during cyclical and targeted monitoring.				
TELPAS Alterna	te is only available for students enrolled in grades 2–12.			
STEP I: DETER	MINE IF THE STUDENT MEETS THE PARTICIPATION REQU	JIREMENTS		
1. Is the stud	dent identified in PEIMS as EB/EL?			
□ Yes □ No				
STOP If N	lo is marked, stop here. The student does not meet the participati	on requirements for TE	LPAS Altern	ate.
	Specific instructions based on student's e			
Grade 2	For EB students in grade 2, review questions 2–5 and mark Yes			
	If Yes is marked, provide a justification containing evidence that	t the student meets the	criterion.	
	If No is marked for any question below, stop. The stude TELPAS Alternate and must take TELPAS.	nt does not meet the p	articipation	requirements for
Grades 3–12	For EB students in grades 3–12, if the ARD committee has follow student will participate in the State of Texas Assessments of Acc student must also take TELPAS Alternate. The STAAR Alternate participation requirements for these students in questions 2–5. question 6.	ademic Readiness (STA) 2 participation requirer	AR®) Alterna ments satisfy	nte 2, the EB v the remaining
□ Yes	student have the most significant cognitive disability?			
□ No				
A determin	ation of the most significant cognitive disability is made by the Δl	RD committee and mus	t he hased c	in the student's most

recent full and individual evaluation (FIE). Results from the FIE must indicate a deficit in the student's ability to plan, comprehend, and reason. FIE results must also indicate adaptive behavior deficits that limit a student's ability to apply social and practical skills such as personal care, social problem-solving skills, dressing, eating, using money, and other functional skills across all life domains.

TELPAS ALTERNATE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS



Stu	dent Name: Date:
	A student does not meet the eligibility criteria as a student with the most significant cognitive disability per 34 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §300.8(c) if the student meets eligibility criteria for special education and related services due to: a specific learning disability (SLD), or a speech impairment (SI) that is the ONLY disability designation.
	Enter justification, which must include data from the EB student's FIE as evidenced by intellectual and adaptive evaluation information.
3.	Does the student require ongoing, individualized, specialized supports to access the enrolled grade-level curriculum and environment?
	□ Yes
	□ No
	Federal regulations mandate that all students have access to grade-level curriculum. A student with the most significant cognitive disability requires extensive, repeated, specialized supports and materials beyond the support typical peers require. The student uses substantially modified materials to access information in alternate ways to acquire, maintain, generalize, demonstrate, and transfer skills across all settings.
	AND
	A student with the most significant cognitive disability demonstrates adaptive behaviors that are significantly impaired. This most likely will impact the student's ability to live independently and will require the student to have specialized supports to function safely in daily life across all life domains, not just the school environment.
	Enter justification, which must include data from the EB student's IEP, progress monitoring, or FIE.
4.	Does the student require extensive, direct, individualized instruction in all instructional settings? Yes No
	A student with the most significant cognitive disability requires a highly specialized, individualized curriculum linked to functional and academic IEP goals and objectives. AND
	A student with the most significant cognitive disability requires classroom assessments administered in alternate or non-traditional methods to demonstrate acquisition, maintenance, and generalization of discrete skills across academic settings.
	AND
	A student with the most significant cognitive disability requires individualized instruction that is neither temporary nor limited to specific content areas.
	Enter justification, which must include data from the EB student's IEP, progress monitoring, or FIE.

TELPAS ALTERNATE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS



Stu	udent Name: Date:
5.	Does the student access and participate in the grade-level Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) through prerequisite skills?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	A student with the most significant cognitive disability requires a highly specialized educational program with intensive supports and modifications to the curriculum to access the TEKS through prerequisite skills that are significantly below grade-level instruction in all content areas. For example, an elementary student may be 3–4 levels below grade level, while a student in high school may be 7–9 levels below.
	Enter justification, which must include data from the EB student's IEP, progress monitoring, or FIE.
STI	EP II: PROVIDE ASSURANCES AND CONFIRM TELPAS ALTERNATE PARTICIPATION
All	assurances must be reviewed and marked for the student to participate in TELPAS Alternate.
	The ARD committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, confirms that the decision to administer TELPAS Alternate was NOT based on a student's disability category, educational environment, instructional setting, demographic information (i.e., sex, ethnicity, race, atrisk, homelessness, immigrant, migrant, economically disadvantaged), need for accommodations, below-grade-level reading skills, excessive or extended absences, anticipated disruptive behavior or emotional distress, or expected poor performance on the general assessment and its impact on accountability calculations.
	Under 34 CFR §300.320(a)(6) and 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §89.1055, if the ARD committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, determines that the EB student will take TELPAS Alternate, the student's IEP must provide a statement of why the student cannot participate in the general assessment, TELPAS, with or without accommodations, and why the alternate assessment is appropriate for the student.
	Under 34 CFR §300.320(a)(6) and 19 TAC §89.1055, if the ARD committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, determines that the EB student is eligible to take TELPAS Alternate with individually appropriate and allowable accommodations, the student's IEP must provide a statement of why the accommodations are necessary to measure the language proficiency of the student on the alternate assessment.
	Document rationale below per 34 CFR §300.320(a)(6) and 19 TAC §89.1055.
6.	Are the answers to questions 2–5 "Yes," and have all assurances been marked?
	 ☐ Yes. The student meets the participation requirements for TELPAS Alternate and must be rated in all four domains. ☐ No. The student does not meet the participation requirements for TELPAS Alternate and must take TELPAS.