

Cycle 1 Group 3

Dates: October 2020 – December 2020

Texas Education Agency 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT				
Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Universal Academy CDN: 057808				
LEA Compliant ☐ Non-Compliance Identified ☒ Corrective Actions: Completed				

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Universal Academy for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation will recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a policy review of Universal Academy. On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Universal Academy. The total number of files reviewed for the Universal Academy comprehensive desk review was 18. The review found overall that 11 files out of 18 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Universal Academy are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	19 of 19	18 of 18
IEP Development	5 of 5	16 of 18
IEP Content	3 of 3	18 of 18
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	14 of 18
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	15 of 18
State Assessment	4 of 4	18 of 18
Transition	6 of 6	3 of 4

2020-2021 CHARTER CAMPUS INFORMATION

Universal Academy (057808) has 2 Active Campuses and is approved to serve students in PK - 12th grade. Campuses are located in Dallas county. The student file review included 10 files from PK-5 grade, 5 files from grades 6-8 and 3 files from grades 9-12. The chart below identifies the campuses which were included in the cyclical review.

Campus Name Campus Number		County	Grade Level(s)
Universal Academy 057808101		Dallas	PK- 12
Universal Academy Coppell 057808102		Dallas	K - 12

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	SD Year 3

^{*}Indicator 11: Child Find Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE SUBMISSION

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) had an opportunity to share the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education during the COVID-19 pandemic by completing the COVID 19 Impact Narrative.

Universal Academy submitted a COVID-19 Impact Narrative as a supplement to their Cyclical Review:

□Yes ⊠No

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On December 18, 2020 the TEA Review and Support team received 165 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

The best way the school/district provides information about (trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources) concerning special education services is via email followed by notices sent home, phone calls and the school website.

The majority of participants felt training to help meet the needs of students with disabilities was effective or extremely effective.

Seventy-seven percent of participants felt there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers and approximately sixteen percent felt there were not frequent opportunities to collaborate with service providers.

The majority of participants agree with the importance of including student's interests/life goals in the transition process with fifty-two percent of participants strongly agreeing.

COVID

Almost eighty-five percent of participants felt that during COVID school closures/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan was effective in student progress.

During COVID closures the top three ways that teachers provided support to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful.
- Teachers made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs.
- Teachers modified work and provided individualized support.

Participants indicated that during current COVID school closures/remote learning they needed professional development in all areas.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closures/remote learning the top two supports used by the district that did not work well for students with disabilities were the shared device per family and online submission of assignments.

The majority of participants indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that they worked with parents/guardians in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Universal Academy:

- Full and Individual Evaluation (FIE) timelines were met districtwide.
- Universal Academy demonstrates a commitment to inclusive practices and exercises appropriate consideration of the Least Restrictive Environment.
- Results of the Desk Review show Universal Academy has strong practices related to parent participation.

Considerations

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff

Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following areas that must be addressed by Universal Academy:

- Develop processes and provide training to ensure the IEP documents the length of instructional day.
- Develop processes and provide training to ensure LPAC representatives at ARDs met the criteria to serve as such.
- Develop processes and provide training to ensure students that are scheduled to take STAAR ALT2 have developed objectives/benchmarks for the goals in the subjects in which they are to test.

Technical Assistance

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Universal Academy engaging in universal support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic	Resource
Length of School Day	Child Find, Evaluation, and ARD Supports Network: Support to
	understand the importance of the instructional setting and length of
	day during in-person school and at home-learning.
LPAC Representative	<u>Technical Assistance: IEP Development</u> . TEA Technical Assistance: IEP
	Development - The IEP Development document is part of an ongoing
	series to provide technical assistance to LEAs from the Texas
	Education Agency.
	Page 5
Goals and Objectives for	<u>Technical Assistance: IEP Development</u> . TEA Technical Assistance: IEP
STAAR ALT 2 Students	Development - The IEP Development document is part of an ongoing
	series to provide technical assistance to LEAs from the Texas
	Education Agency.
	Page 14
Significant	<u>Disproportionality and Equity</u> . This website contains links to
Disproportionality	symposium presentations, webinars, and resource documents related
	to significant disproportionality as well as links to topics that impact
	significant disproportionality.

Findings of Noncompliance

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Universal Academy will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		Universal	Not applicable
CAP	3/22/2021	1/28/2022		30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

^{**}LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

APPENDIX

IEP Implementation

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IE9		TAC §89.63(b), 1075(e)	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
			□ No	The educational	
				agency has 60 school days from the date of	
				this summary report	
				to convene ARD	
				committee meetings	
				and consider whether	
				the student's free,	
				appropriate public	
				education (FAPE) had been impacted and	
				determine if	
				compensatory	
				services are needed.	
				Systemic—Yes	
				Review and revise	
				policies and	
				procedures,	
				including	
				operating	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for selfmonitoring this area of noncompliance.	

Properly Constituted ARD

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
PCA10		TAC §89.105(c) (1)(J)	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
			□ No	The educational agency has 60 school days from	□ No
				the date of this summary report to convene ARD	□ Not Applicable

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				committee meetings and consider whether the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for selfmonitoring this area of noncompliance.	

IEP Development

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
ID5a	34 CFR § 300.320(a)(2)(ii		⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
)		□ No	The educational agency has 60 school days from	□No
				the date of this summary report to convene ARD committee meetings and consider whether the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	□ Not Applicable
				Systemic—Yes	
				 Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. 	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				 Develop processes that allow for self- monitoring this area of noncompliance. 	

Transition

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR11	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(I) (1)	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	☐ Yes
			□ No	The educational agency has 60 school days from	⊠ No
				the date of this summary report to convene ARD	☐ Not Applicable
				committee meetings and consider whether the	
				student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had	
				been impacted and determine if	
				compensatory services are needed.	
				Systemic—Not	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				Applicable	