

Cycle 1 Group 2 January 2020 – March 2020

2019–	Texas Education Ag 2020 CYCLICAL MONIT			
Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Smithville Independent School District (ISD) CDN:011-904				
LEA Compliant □	Non-Compliance Identified ⊠	Corrective Actions: Completed		

#### INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Smithville ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), and Significant Disproportionality (SD), recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight promising practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

#### CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all local education agencies (LEAs) statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

## 2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On August 27, 2019, the TEA conducted a policy review of Smithville ISD. On March 13, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Smithville ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Smithville ISD comprehensive desk review was 34. The review found overall that 26 files out of 34 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Smithville ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	11 of 11	34 of 34
IEP Development	6 of 6	34 of 34
IEP Content	3 of 3	34 of 34
IEP Implementation	8 of 8	34 of 34
Properly Constituted ARD	7 of 7	34 of 34
State Assessment	5 of 5	32 of 34
Transition	4 of 4	7 of 12

# DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Performance Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality	
2019	PL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A	

\*Indicator 11: Child Find Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

# 2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

# **Staff and Family Surveys**

Minimum size requirements not met. Results not published within this report due to the limitation of the sample size.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

# **Strengths**

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Smithville ISD:

One area of strength for Smithville ISD is special education program included a thorough system for documenting evidence of parent participation in ARD meetings.

Another area of strength for Smithville ISD is that the ARD committee(s) documented quality Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP) using current data to describe the effect of the student's disability on involvement and progress in the education curriculum.

#### **Considerations**

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Smithville ISD:

- A. Consider the review of guidance and/or provide professional development opportunities on measurable goal(s) and IEP documentation
- B. Review guidance and/or provide professional development opportunities on transition supplements
- C. Review guidance and/or provide professional development opportunities on Intensive Programs of Instruction

#### **Technical Assistance**

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Smithville ISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic		Resource
a.	IEP Goals	Goals: Writing Goals and Objectives
b.	Transition	Transition: <u>Texas Transition</u>

# **Findings of Noncompliance**

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Smithville ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.

# **Corrective Action Plan (CAP)**

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Intervention, Stage, and Activity Manager (ISAM).

The LEA must submit the CAP in ISAM within 30 school days from the date of this report and formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

#### **Individual Correction**

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

# **LEA ACTIONS**

Timeline for SSP and/or CAP Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		Universal	N/A
CAP	8/3/2020	5/31/2021		30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

<sup>\*\*</sup>LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

# **REFERENCES**

**Differentiated Monitoring and Support System** 

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

# **APPENDIX**

# **State Assessment**

## **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SA4		TEC §28.0213	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
		928.0213	□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.  Systemic—Yes  Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices addressing this issue.  Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.  Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.  Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	

# **Transition**

# **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR1	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(j); TEC	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
		§29.0111	□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.  Systemic—Yes	
				Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices addressing this issue.	
				Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.	
				Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	
				Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	
TR13	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC §89.1055(I) (2)	☐ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
		(4)	□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				needed.	
				Systemic—Yes	
				Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices addressing this issue.	
				Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.	
				Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	
				Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	