

Cycle 1 Group 2

Dates: January 2020 – March 2020

| Texas Education Agency 2019–2020 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: High Island ISD CDN: 084903 | | | | | |
| LEA Compliant ⊠ | Non-Compliance Identified 🗆 | Corrective Actions Completed: N/A | | | |

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to High Island ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), and Significant Disproportionality (SD), recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On September 13, 2019, the TEA conducted a policy review of High Island ISD. On March 30, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of High Island ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the High Island ISD comprehensive desk review was 11. The review found overall that 11 files out of 11 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for High Island ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix:

| Compliance Area | Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed) | Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed) |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE | 11 of 11 | 11 of 11 |
| IEP Development | 6 of 6 | 11 of 11 |
| IEP Content | 3 of 3 | 11 of 11 |
| IEP Implementation | 8 of 8 | 11 of 11 |
| Properly Constituted ARD | 7 of 7 | 11 of 11 |
| State Assessment | 5 of 5 | 11 of 11 |
| Transition | 4 of 4 | 11 of 11 |

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support the development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

| Year | Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Performance Level | SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance* | Significant Disproportionality |
|------|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| 2019 | PL 0—Meets Requirements | COMPLIANT | N/A |

*Indicator 11: Child Find Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

Minimum size requirements not met. Results not published within this report due to the limitation of the sample size.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on the results of the policy review and student file review, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for High Island ISD:

- A review of IEPs for students age 16 and older documented postsecondary transition planning for the student.
- IEPs reviewed during the comprehensive desk review reflected PLAAFPs that addressed the student's learning needs related to the student's identified disability
- Measurable annual goals in the IEPs reviewed during the comprehensive desk review were measurable and aligned to the areas of need for each student

Considerations

Based on the results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for High Island ISD:

- Courses of study are developed annually and shared with students and families as part of
 postsecondary transition planning; ensure these courses of study are clearly documented in
 each transition-aged student's IEP.
- For students recently evaluated for special education, who have an initial IEP, and who did not
 meet state assessment standards the previous school year, prior to special education
 identification, ensure state assessment interventions taking place during the special education
 referral process are documented in the initial IEP, including Intensive Programs of Instruction.

Technical Assistance

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support High Island ISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

| Topic | Resource | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Course of Study | <u>Texas Project First</u> – Examples of Course of Study documentation in IEP | |
| Intensive Program of | ntensive Program of <u>Accelerated Instruction and Intensive Program of Instruction</u> – Description o | |
| Instruction | when and how to document IPI | |

REFERENCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual