

Cycle 2 Group 2

Dates: January-March 2021

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT GRANDBURY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CDN: 111901

Non-Compliance Identified

Corrective Actions To Be Completed

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Granbury ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation will recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a policy review of Granbury ISD. On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Granbury ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Granbury ISD comprehensive desk review was 24. The review found overall that 17 files out of 24 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Granbury ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix:

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	19 of 19	21 of 24
IEP Development	5 of 5	21 of 24
IEP Content	3 of 3	24 of 24
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	24 of 24
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	23 of 24
State Assessment	4 of 4	24 of 24
Transition	6 of 6	4 of 4

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

The dyslexia monitoring process focuses on three-core elements: early identification and intervention, program of instruction, and parent notification. The TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring reviewed Granbury ISD artifacts using a program evaluation protocol which is aligned to Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th Legislature, Texas Education Code (TEC) 38.003 (c-1), and 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 74.28. The 2020-2021 school year results for Granbury ISD are in the table below.

Compliance Status
Met Compliance

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA)	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13	Significant
Year	Determination Level	Compliance*	Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	SD Year 1

^{*}Indicator 11: Child Find

2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) were provided an opportunity to complete a COVID-19 impact narrative form documenting the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education programs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On February 25, 2021, the TEA Review and Support team received 170 surveys. Survey participants were as follows: 16 administrators, 55 general education teachers, 39 special education teachers, 13 evaluation staff, and 47 parent/family/caregivers.

The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

Sixty-three percent of participants felt they receive sufficient communication from their school. The best way the school/district provides information about trainings, online trainings, support groups, and other available resources concerning special education services is via emails, notices sent home and phone calls.

The majority of parent/family member participants felt they would be most comfortable attending special education information sessions at the school campus and education service center.

Forty-one percent of participants indicated they somewhat agree that they have a clear understanding of special education services while forty-one percent strongly agree and agree that

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

they have a clear understanding of special education services.

The most selected areas of special education the participants would like to know about were Texas Statewide Leadership for Autism Training, School, Family and Community Engagement network and Tiered Interventions using Evidenced-Based research.

The majority of participants felt the training to help meet students' needs with disabilities was effective and somewhat effective.

Approximately forty-four percent of participants felt there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers. However, thirteen percent indicated that there were not frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers.

The obstacles concerning student's special education programming and services were reported as:

- Assuring students receive accommodations and/or modifications as outlined in the IEP.
- Timely updates on student progress
- Knowledge of available services and programs

The majority of participants agree with the importance of including students' interests/life goals in the transition process, with sixty percent strongly agreeing.

Seventy-eight percent of participants indicated they chose the in person learning model. Twenty-two percent of participants indicated they chose the remote learning model. Responses from participants varied from extremely effective to ineffective regarding the effectiveness of remote learning for students receiving special education.

COVID

Sixty-two percent of participants felt that during COVID school closure/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan effectively improved student progress.

During COVID closures, the top three ways indicated that teachers provided support to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful.
- Teachers made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs.
- Teachers modified work and provided individualized support.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning, they needed professional development on how to teach virtually, the social and emotional learning impact on students, how to engage students and assess levels of engagement and the use of virtual platforms.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning strategies, the district's top two supports that did not work well for students with disabilities were shared device per family and online submission of assignments.

Majority of participants indicated that they agree that school staff worked with parents/guardians in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Granbury ISD:

- Desk Review showed evidence of strong practices for the consideration of the least restrictive environment (LRE).
- Desk Review results showed evidence of strong practices for the accurate documentation of State Assessment.
- Transition was discussed at all ARDs requiring transition and students were in attendance.

Considerations

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following areas to be addressed by Granbury ISD:

- Review guidance and provide professional development on the present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP) to include student specific statements regarding the impact of the student's disability on participation in the general education curriculum.
- Develop process and provide professional development to ensure a REED is conducted as a part of an evaluation.
- Develop process and provide professional development to ensure goals are written to be measurable.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Granbury ISD engaging in universal support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic	Resource
Child Find/Evaluation	<u>Guidance-related-to-evaluations-review-of-existing-evaluation-data</u> . TEA Guidance Related to Evaluations: Review of Existing Data provides general information about changes to the evaluation process modified in the IDEA (2004) adoption.
IEP Development	. <u>Technical Assistance: IEP Development.</u> TEA Technical Assistance: IEP Development - The IEP Development document is part of an ongoing series to provide technical assistance to LEAs from the Texas Education Agency.
Texas Statewide Leadership for Autism Training (TSLAT):	Texas Statewide Leadership for Autism Training (TSLAT) increases LEAs' knowledge, understanding, and implementation of evidence-based practices that ensure the academic, functional, and behavioral needs of students with autism are met. TSLAT provides access to training, technical assistance, support, and resources for educators who serve students with autism. The TSLAT website includes online courses (some in Spanish), webinars, information about opportunities for deeper learning, a video library, and more.
Tiered Interventions using Evidence-Based Research (TIER):	The Tiered Interventions using Evidence-based Research (TIER) network is developing comprehensive and coherent trainings and resources for evidence-based intervention practices across the state. The network strives to increase LEA and ESC capacity to develop and implement an effective, integrated, comprehensive framework for intervention that is grounded in differentiated instruction and aligns the systems that are fundamental for all students' academic, behavioral, and social achievement.
School, Family, and Community Engagement Network (SPEDTex)	The School, Family, and Community Engagement Network provides resources and professional development to build the capacity of educators to work collaboratively with families and community members in supporting positive outcomes for students with disabilities. As part of the School, Family, and Community Engagement Network, SPEDTex (the Texas Special Education Information Center) optimizes information and responds with technical assistance in a succinct and useful format that is user friendly, culturally responsive, and accessible to all individuals. All parent resources connected to the Special Education Strategic Plan will either be housed or linked on the SPEDTex website.

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Granbury ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Department of Review and Support will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA PROGRAM EVALUATION FINDINGS

As a result of the program evaluation review, the TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia

Monitoring has identified the following strengths, considerations, and technical assistance recommendations for Granbury ISD.

Areas of Strength

An area of strength for the LEA includes a comprehensive district-wide procedure manual that includes program procedures for evaluating, identifying, instructing, and screening students with dyslexia.

Areas of Consideration

The LEA's dyslexia program is in alignment with state and federal requirements. The following resources are recommended to support the implementation of the dyslexia program, internal systems, and procedures.

Topic	Resource
TEA Review and Support	. <u>Dyslexia Monitoring</u> .
TEA Special Education	Dyslexia and Related Disorders
Dyslexia: TEA Professional Learning Course	. <u>TEALearn Dyslexia Modules</u> .

Corrective Action

The TEA reviews data collected from the dyslexia program evaluation to ensure compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements. In accordance with Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th. Legislature, TEC 38.003 (c-1), and 19 TAC 74.28 regarding noncompliance identified through the dyslexia program evaluation, a finding of noncompliance is identified by the citation (i.e., program or process) that is violated.

Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP)

If noncompliance is identified, the LEA is required to demonstrate correction of all noncompliance in the Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP). This tool guides LEAs through a continuous improvement process. It addresses areas of growth that will positively impact students with dyslexia or other related disorders. LEAs should complete the DPP no later than 120-days after receiving notification of noncompliance. This document will be provided by the TEA or can be accessed in the resources section of the Review and Support website.

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		N/A	N/A
CAP	6/24/2021	4/29/2022		30 days
DPP	NA			

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

^{**}LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System.

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide.

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements.

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data.

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports.

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

APPENDIX

Child Find/Evaluation

Student File Review

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SE2	34 CFR §300.305(a)		Yes	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	Yes

Properly Constituted ARD

Student File Review

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
PCA1	34 CFR §300.321(a)	TAC §89.1050 (c)(1)(A)	₋ Yes	Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed Systemic—Not Applicable	No

IEP Development

Student File Review

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
ID3	34 CFR § 300.320(a)(2)(i)	Citation	Yes	Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	Yes