

Cycle 1 Group 2 January 2020 – March 2020

Texas Education Agency 2019–2020 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT				
Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Edinburg Consolidated Independent School District CDN:108-904				
LEA Compliant □	Non-Compliance Identified ⊠	Corrective Actions: Completed		

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Edinburgh CISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), and Significant Disproportionality (SD), recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight promising practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all local education agencies (LEAs) statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On August 27, 2019, the TEA conducted a policy review of Edinburgh CISD. On March 13, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Edinburgh CISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Edinburgh CISD comprehensive desk review was 41. The review found overall that 33 files out of 41 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Edinburgh CISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	11 of 11	41 of 41
IEP Development	6 of 6	41 of 41
IEP Content	3 of 3	41 of 41
IEP Implementation	8 of 8	41 of 41
Properly Constituted ARD	7 of 7	40 of 41
State Assessment	5 of 5	34 of 41
Transition	4 of 4	10 of 10

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Year Performance Level		SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality	
2019	PL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A	

*Indicator 11: Child Find Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On March 23, 2020, the TEA Review and Support team received 179 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. Respondents to the staff and family survey included parents/guardians, general education teachers, special education teachers, evaluation staff, and administration staff (district and campus). The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

- Community outreach
- Inclusion of special education staff in grade level curriculum planning and training

Results of the survey indicate the school district primarily reaches out to parents/families by sending home notices, phone calls, and emails and posting information on the district or campus websites. Respondents agreed the methods employed were the best ways to share information. Majority of respondents reported that the school district has strong policies and procedures, meets timelines, provides individualized support, involves parents/families. However, approximately 8% of respondents commented on the need for improvement related to the areas listed. Finally, a majority of the respondents reported that special education teachers are included in general education curriculum training and planning.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Edinburgh CISD:

One area of strength for Edinburg CISD is parents/guardians were notified of the ARD meeting (including purpose, time location) at least five (5) school days prior to the meeting.

Another area of strength for Edinburg CISD is that the ARD committee documented in the IEP using data on how the current Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP) effect of the student's disability on involvement and progress in the education curriculum.

Considerations

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Edinburgh CISD:

- A. Consider reviewing and/or revising the district and/or campus wide discipline procedures and conduct professional development for staff.
- B. Review operating procedures and professional development opportunities on Intensive Program of Instruction.
- C. Consider increasing communication with families and staff.

Technical Assistance

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Edinburgh CISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic		Resource
a.	Discipline	PBIS Center
b.	Intensive Programs	Accelerated Instruction and Intensive Programs of Instruction
	of Instruction	<u>SpedTex</u>
C.	Parent/Family	
	Engagement	

Findings of Noncompliance

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Edinburgh CISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Intervention, Stage, and Activity Manager (ISAM).

The LEA must submit the CAP in ISAM within 30 school days from the date of this report and formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for SSP and/or CAP Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		Universal	N/A
CAP	8/3/2020	5/31/2021		30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

^{**}LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

Differentiated Monitoring and Support System

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

APPENDIX

State Assessment

STUDENT FILE REVIEW

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SA4		TEC §28.0213	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
		928.0213	□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	