

Dates: October 2020-December 2020

# Texas Education Agency 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT

Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Dilley Independent School District CDN: 082-902					
LEA Compliant 🗆 Non-Compliance Identified 🛛 Corrective Actions: Complete					

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Dilley Independent School District for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation will recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

# **CYCLICAL MONITORING**

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

## 2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a policy review of Dilley Independent School District. On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Dilley Independent School District. The total number of files reviewed for the Dilley Independent School District comprehensive desk review was 22 The review found overall that 0 files out of 22 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Dilley Independent School District are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix:

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	18 of 18	3 of 22
IEP Development	5 of 5	18 of 22
IEP Content	3 of 3	22 of 22
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	22 of 22
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	21 of 22
State Assessment	4 of 4	17 of 22
Transition	6 of 6	5 of 7

# DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance <sup>*</sup>	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 2—Needs Assistance	NONCOMPLIANCE: SPP 13	N/A
*Indicator 1	L1: Child Find	·	•

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

## 2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE SUBMISSION

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) had an opportunity to share the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education during the COVID-19 pandemic by completing the COVID 19 Impact Narrative.

Dilley Independent School District submitted a COVID-19 Impact Narrative as a supplement to their Cyclical Review:

□Yes\* ⊠No

# 2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

#### **Staff and Family Surveys**

On December 18, 2020, the TEA Review and Support team received 39 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

Three participants answered the question concerning sufficient communication from their school. Two responded "yes" and one "no." The best way the school/district provides information about trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources concerning special education services is via email followed by notices sent home, phone calls and the school website.

Most parent/family member participants felt they would be most comfortable attending special education information sessions at the school campus.

All participants indicated they have a clear understanding of special education services.

The most selected areas of special education the participants would like to know about were the Inclusion in Texas Network, the Texas Sensory Support Network (TxSSN) and the School, Family and Community Engagement Network.

The majority of participants felt training to help meet the needs of students with disabilities was "effective" or "somewhat effective," but many indicated the training was "ineffective."

Fifty-three percent of participants felt there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers.

There were no obstacles reported concerning student's special education programming and services.

Ninety percent of participants agree with the importance of including students interests/life goals in the transition process.

Fifty percent of participants felt that during COVID school closure/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan was effective in student progress.

During COVID closures, the top four methods used to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful
- School staff made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs
- Modified work
- Provided individualized support

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning, more professional development is needed. Comments also indicated that professional development was needed, yet not provided.

Most participants indicated they chose an in-person learning model. Those participating in remote learning indicated that the students did not interact with teachers consistently. They reported that remote learning for students receiving special education was "somewhat effective" or "ineffective."

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning the LMS platform (such as Schoology, Canvas or Google Classroom), virtual instruction, and online submission of assignments were strategies used to ensure continuity of learning, but were not effective for students with disabilities.

The majority of participants indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that school staff worked with parents/guardians in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

Forty-five percent of respondents indicated that remote learning for students receiving special education services was effective, but 55 percent indicated it was ineffective.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

#### Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Dilley Independent School District:

- All postsecondary transition goals were appropriate based on the IEP and compliant.
- All Admission, Review and Dismissal meetings had appropriate members attending the meeting in the student files reviewed.
- All folders reviewed indicated parents were invited to the ARD meetings within appropriate timelines.

#### **Considerations**

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Dilley Independent School District:

- Review and provide training on Prior Written Notice requirements.
- Review and provide training on timelines for Full and Individual Evaluations.
- Review and provide training on Intensive Program of Instruction.

### **Technical Assistance**

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Dilley Independent School District engaging in **targeted** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Торіс	Resource
Evaluation: Prior Written Notice	Child Find, Evaluation and ARD Support Network: The Child Find, Evaluation and ARD Supports Network assists LEAs by providing
	resources and training that are aligned with implementing effective Child Find practices, conducting comprehensive evaluations, and
	practicing collaborative admission, review, and dismissal (ARD)
	committee processes that lead to a free appropriate public education (FAPE) for students with disabilities.
Evaluation: Timelines	(FAPE) for students with disabilities.
	Technical Assistance Guidance for Child Find and Evaluations: Texas
	Education Agency guidance to assist local educational agencies (LEAs) in meeting their obligations under state and federal laws and
	regulations regarding the responsibility to identify, locate, and
	evaluate all children suspected of having a disability and who are in need of special education and related services, commonly referred to
State Assessment:	as the Child Find obligation.
Intensive Program of	
Instruction	Accelerated Instruction and Intensive Programs of Instruction is an existing document created by TEA's Special Education Division that
	provides guidance and related links regarding IPI requirements.
	Student Success Initiative (SSI) This website contains materials
	developed by TEA's Student Assessment Division to help schools implement the SSI grade advancement requirements.
	The National Center for on Intensive Intervention
	https://intensiveintervention.org

Inclusion in	The Inclusion in Texas network: The Inclusion in Texas Network is
Texas Network	working to promote a statewide culture of high expectations for
	students with disabilities and significantly improve academic and
	functional outcomes for students served by special education. The
	network assists LEAs build capacity to develop and appropriately
	implement instructional programs that provide meaningful access to
	inclusive environments and grade-level standards, where appropriate.
Texas Sensory Support	Texas Sensory Support Network: The Texas Sensory Support Network
Network (TxSSN)	(TxSSN) ensures the provision of support to infants, toddlers, children,
	and youth with sensory impairments, their families, and the
	professionals who serve them. This network provides information and
	strategies for development of communication, mobility, tactile skills,
	and environmental adaptations. Additionally, TxSSN addresses
	diagnosis, evaluation, and educational programs for services to
	students in their home communities in support of the comprehensive
	statewide education plan for this student population.
School, Family and	School, Family, and Community Engagement Network (SPEDTex): The
Community Engagement	School, Family, and Community Engagement Network provides
Network	resources and professional development to build the capacity of
	educators to work collaboratively with families and community
	members in supporting positive outcomes for students with
	disabilities. As part of the School, Family, and Community
	Engagement Network, SPEDTex (the Texas Special Education
	Information Center) optimizes information and responds with
	technical assistance in a succinct and useful format that is user
	friendly, culturally responsive, and accessible to all individuals. All
	parent resources connected to the Special Education Strategic Plan will either be housed or linked on the SPEDTex website.
	will either be housed of linked of the SPEDTEX Website.

## **Findings of Noncompliance**

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. *When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Dilley Independent School District will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.* 

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA: Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance *as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.* 

### **Corrective Action Plan (CAP)**

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

#### **Individual Correction**

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

## **LEA ACTIONS**

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	12/18/2020		Targeted	90 days
САР	3/22/2021	1/28/2022		30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

\*\*LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

## REFERENCES

- Differentiated Monitoring and Support System
- Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide
- State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements
- Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data
- Results-Driven Accountability District Reports
- Results-Driven Accountability Manual

## **APPENDIX**

# **Child Find/Evaluation**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SE1	34 CFR §300.301(c)(1)(ii)	TAC 89.1011(c);	🛛 Yes	Individual—Not Applicable	🛛 Yes
		TEC §29.004	□ No	Systemic—Yes	□ No
				Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices, addressing this practice.	
				Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.	
				Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance is this area to consider whether the student's free, appropriate public	
				education (FAPE) had	

ltem	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Develop practices that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	
SE2	34 CFR §300.305(a)		⊠ Yes □ No	Individual—Not Applicable Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.	⊠ Yes □ No

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	
				Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	
				Systemic correction of the noncompliance has taken place.	
SE3	34 CFR §300.304(a)	TAC §89.1011; TEC §29.004(a)	🖾 Yes	Individual—Yes	□ Yes
			□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance is this area to consider whether the student's	⊠ No

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	<b>Required Actions</b>	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	
SE3a	34 CFR §300.503		⊠ Yes □ No	Individual—Not Applicable Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices, addressing this practice. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Convene ARD committee	⊠ Yes □ No
				meetings for those students whose records	

ltem	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				indicate noncompliance is this area to consider whether the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	
				Develop practices that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.	

# **IEP Implementation**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IE8	34 CFR §300.116(b)		⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	☐ Yes ⊠ No

# **Properly Constituted ARD**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	<b>Required Actions</b>	Must Be Addressed in CAP
Item PCA20		TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	

# **IEP Development**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
ID2	34 CFR § 300.320(a)(1)		🖾 Yes	Individual—Yes	🗆 Yes
			□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	⊠ No
ID5	34 CFR § 300.320(a)(3)		⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	□ Yes
			□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if	⊠ No

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	

### State Assessment

#### **Student File Review**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SA4		TEC §28.0213	🖂 Yes	Individual—Not Applicable	🖾 Yes
			🗆 No	Systemic—Yes	🗆 No
				Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating procedures and practices, addressing this practice.	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
Item	IDEA Citation	Citation	Evidence of Findings	<ul> <li>Required Actions</li> <li>Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.</li> <li>Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance is this area to consider whether the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.</li> <li>Develop practices that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.</li> <li>Demonstrate systemic, ongoing compliance in this area.</li> </ul>	Addressed in CAP

# Transition

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

IDEA

Item	Citation	<b>TEC/TAC Citation</b>	Evidence of Findings	<b>Required Actions</b>	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR1	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(j); TEC §29.0111	🛛 Yes	Individual—Yes	🗆 Yes
	3300.320(8)		□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	⊠ No
				Systemic—Not Applicable	
TR4	34 CFR §300.43		🛛 Yes	Individual—Yes	🗆 Yes
			□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had	⊠No

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	<b>Required Actions</b>	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	
				Systemic—Not Applicable	