



Cycle 2 Group 2

Dates: January-March

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT DENVER CITY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CDN: 251901

LEA Compliant

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Denver City ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation, recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On January 22, 2021, the TEA conducted a policy review of Denver City ISD. On February 25, 2021, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Denver City ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Denver City ISD comprehensive desk review was 20 files. The review found overall that 20 files

out of 20 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Denver City ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix:

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# complaint of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	19 of 19	20 of 20
IEP Development	5 of 5	20 of 20
IEP Content	3 of 3	20 of 20
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	20 of 20
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	20 of 20
State Assessment	4 of 4	20 of 20
Transition	6 of 6	5 of 5

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

The dyslexia monitoring process focuses on three-core elements: early identification and intervention, program of instruction, and parent notification. The TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring reviewed Denver City ISD artifacts using a program evaluation protocol which is aligned to Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th Legislature, Texas Education Code (TEC) 38.003 (c-1), and 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 74.28. The **2020-2021** school year results for Denver City ISD are in the table below.

Areas of Implementation	Compliance Status
Dyslexia Procedures	Met Compliance
Parent Communication	Met Compliance
Screening	Met Compliance
Reading Instruments	Met Compliance
Evaluation and Identification	Met Compliance
Instruction	Met Compliance
Dysgraphia	Met Compliance
Professional Development and Training	Met Compliance

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A

*Indicator 11: Child Find
Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition
Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) were provided an opportunity to complete a COVID-19 impact narrative form documenting the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education programs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On April 1, 2021, the TEA Review and Support team received 27 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

One hundred percent of participants felt they receive sufficient communication from their school. The best way the school/district provides information (trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources) concerning special education services is via notices sent home, phone calls, and emails.

The majority of parent/family member participants felt they would be most comfortable attending special education information sessions at the school/campus.

All participants agreed or strongly agreed they have a clear understanding of special education services.

The most selected areas of special education the participants would like to know about were the School, Family, and Community Engagement Network and Texas Statewide Leadership for Autism Training.

The majority of participants felt training in using accommodations and modifications, positive behavioral supports, and classroom management were extremely effective to help meet the needs of students with disabilities. Trainings for reading and math instruction and differentiated instruction were rated mostly as effective.

Fifty percent of participants strongly agreed there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers and fifty percent agreed or somewhat agreed.

The obstacles concerning student's special education programming and services were reported as:

- Timely updates on student progress
- Knowledge of available services and programming
- Assuring students receive accommodations and/or modifications as outlined in the IEP.
- Scheduling ARD meetings

All participants agree with the importance of including students' interests/life goals in the transition process with 56% of participants strongly agreeing.

All participants indicated they chose In-Person learning model. When answering questions about remote learning, 16 respondents reported that remote learning for students receiving special education was effective or somewhat effective, and two respondents indicated it was somewhat ineffective.

COVID

Ninety-five percent of participants felt that during COVID school closure/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan was effective in student progress.

During COVID closures the top three methods teachers used to provide support to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful.
- Teachers made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs.
- Teachers modified work.

Participants indicated that during current COVID school closure/remote learning they mostly needed professional development in how to teach virtually, the social and emotional learning impact on students, and how to engage students and assess levels of engagement.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning the top two supports used by the district that didn't work well for students with disabilities were a shared device per family and online submission of assignments.

The majority of participants indicated they agreed or strongly agreed that they worked with parent/guardian in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from

LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Denver City ISD:

- The continuum of Least Restrictive Environment (LRE) considerations was thoroughly documented with “Provided”, “Considered”, and “Tried” within the IEPs. This is important to provide a clear picture of what services and supports a student needs to be successful.
- Present Level of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFP) statements contained summative state assessment data which assists in improving IEP development and writing goals and objectives.
- The LEA provided documentation such as email or chat transcripts to document and evidence agreement to ARD decisions when committee members participated virtually.

Considerations

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Denver City ISD:

- When developing measurable IEP goals as agreed upon by the ARD committee, consider using more than one criterion for evaluation. (50 words per minute with 3 or fewer errors. 70% accuracy in 4 out of 5 attempts)
- Consider reviewing, revising, or creating a process to include classroom data related to TEKS and functional performance information in PLAAFP statements to inform the annual goals and appropriate services and supports needed for the student to meet those goals.

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Denver City ISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic	Resource
PLAAFP development and goal development	<p>Technical Assistance: IEP Development.</p> <p>This TEA guidance document covers requirements and best practices in developing Individualized Education Programs for students with disabilities.</p>
IEP Goal Development Online Course	<p>https://txr20.escworks.net/catalog/session.aspx?session_id=72163</p> <p>This course was produced through a collaborative project between the Texas Education Agency and the Statewide Progress in the General Curriculum Network at Education Service Center 20. The course focuses on the portion of the ARD process related to developing a student's PLAAFP, using the PLAAFP to create enrolled grade level measurable annual academic goals, and progress monitoring.</p>

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. ***When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Denver City ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.***

The TEA Department of Review and Support will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance ***as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.***

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA PROGRAM EVALUATION FINDINGS

As a result of the program evaluation review, the TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring has identified the LEA has met all state and federal requirements

pertaining to the implementation of the dyslexia program. Additionally, the TEA has identified the following strengths, considerations, and technical assistance recommendations for Denver City ISD.

Areas of Strength

Areas of strength for the LEA include a comprehensive district-wide procedure manual that includes program procedures for evaluating, identifying, instructing, and screening students with dyslexia and dysgraphia. In addition, the parent night brochure was informative and used parent friendly language.

Areas of Consideration

The LEA's dyslexia program is in alignment with state and federal requirements. The following resources are recommended to support the implementation of the dyslexia program, internal systems, and procedures.

Topic	Resource
TEA Review and Support	Dyslexia Monitoring
TEA Special Education	Dyslexia and Related Disorders
Dyslexia: TEA Professional Learning Course	TEALearn Dyslexia Modules

If you have questions about the contents of this dyslexia review summary, please contact Faith Hightower in the Texas Education Agency Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring, by phone at 512-463-4140 or by email at faith.hightower@tea.texas.gov.

Corrective Action

The TEA reviews data collected from the dyslexia program evaluation to ensure compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements. In accordance with Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th Legislature, TEC 38.003 (c-1), and 19 TAC 74.28. regarding noncompliance identified through the dyslexia program evaluation, a finding of noncompliance is identified by the citation (i.e., program or process) that is violated.

Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP)

If noncompliance is identified, the LEA is required to demonstrate correction of all noncompliance in the Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP). This tool guides LEAs through a continuous improvement process. It addresses areas of growth that will positively impact students with dyslexia or other related disorders. LEAs should complete the DPP no later than 120-days after receiving notification of noncompliance. This document will be provided by the TEA or can be accessed in the resources section of the [Review and Support website](#).

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		N/A	Not applicable
CAP	N/A	N/A		Not applicable
DPP	N/A			

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the [Review and Support website](#)

**LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

[Differentiated Monitoring and Support System](#)

[Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide](#)

[State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability District Reports](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability Manual](#)