



Cycle 2 Group 1

Dates: October 2020 – December 2020

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT DANBURY INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CDN: 020904

LEA Compliant

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Danbury ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation will recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020 the TEA conducted a policy review of Danbury ISD. On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Danbury ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Danbury ISD comprehensive desk review was 20. The review found overall that 20 files out of 20 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Danbury ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# complaint of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	19 of 19	20 of 20
IEP Development	5 of 5	20 of 20
IEP Content	3 of 3	20 of 20
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	20 of 20
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	20 of 20
State Assessment	4 of 4	20 of 20
Transition	6 of 6	7 of 7

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A

*Indicator 11: Child Find

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) were provided an opportunity to complete a COVID-19 impact narrative form documenting the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education programs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On December 18, 2020, the TEA Review and Support team received 33 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

- 67% of respondents feel they receive sufficient communication from your school such as weekly updates/emails/newsletters to stay connected.
- Majority of respondents reported that the best way their school/district provides information (trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources) concerning special education services are through notices sent home, phone calls and emails.
- Majority of respondents reported feeling comfortable attending special education information sessions or trainings, if offered at the school campus.
- Respondents reported the following trainings as most effective:
 - Classroom management
 - Intensive programs of instruction design and documentation
 - Sheltered instruction
- Majority of respondents somewhat disagree that there are frequent opportunities to collaborate and plan with related service providers.
- Respondents noted that they have endured the following obstacles during their students' special education programming and services:
 - Assuring students receive accommodations and/or modifications as outlined in the IEP.
- Over 80% of respondents reported that they either agree or strongly agree that they understand the importance of including the student's interests/life goals in the transition process.
- 53% of respondents reported that during COVID school closures/remote learning they were not aware of an Emergency Contingency Plan effective for students' progress.
- During the COVID school closures/remote learning students with moderate to severe disabilities were supported through:
 - Teachers providing supports needed for students to be successful.
 - Teachers making regular contact with students and parents to meet emotional and academic needs.
 - Teachers modifying work.
- During COVID school closures/remote learning, respondents reported the following professional development needs to specifically address the needs of students with disabilities:
 - How to teach virtually
 - How to use virtual platforms
 - How to engage students and assess levels of engagement

- During COVID school closures/remote learning, respondents reported the following strategies used by the district for remote learning did not work well for students with disabilities:
 - Shared device per family
 - Online submission of assignments
- Over 80% of respondents reported that during COVID school closures/remote learning, school staff worked with parents/guardians in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.
- 66% of respondents reported that for the 2020-2021 school year they opted for an in-person learning model.
- 35% of respondents reported that they found remote learning to be somewhat ineffective.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Danbury ISD:

- Accessibility to Operating Procedures and Board Policies related to special education services
- Conducting and completing full individual and initial evaluations within the 45-school day timeline
- Conducting annual review and dismissal (ARD) committee meetings with required team members
- Detailing students' instructional and related/other services

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. ***When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Danbury ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.***

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance ***as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.***

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval.

If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	Not applicable		Not applicable	Not applicable
CAP	Not applicable	Not applicable		Not applicable

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the [Review and Support website](#)

**LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

[Differentiated Monitoring and Support System](#)

[Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide](#)

[State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data](#)