

Cycle 1 Group 3

Dates: October - December 2020

# Texas Education Agency 2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Clyde Consolidated Independent School District (CISD) CDN: 030902 LEA Compliant □ Non-Compliance Identified ⊠ Corrective Actions: Complete

#### INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Clyde CISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation will recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

#### CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

#### 2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a policy review of Clyde CISD. On December 18, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Clyde CISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Clyde CISD comprehensive desk review was 22. The review found overall that 13 files out of 22 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Clyde CISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	18 of 18	22 of 22
IEP Development	5 of 5	22 of 22
IEP Content	3 of 3	13 of 22
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	22 of 22
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	22 of 22
State Assessment	4 of 4	22 of 22
Transition	6 of 6	1 of 9

## DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Indicator 11: Child Find

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

#### 2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE SUBMISSION

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) had an opportunity to share the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education during the COVID-19 pandemic by completing the COVID 19 Impact Narrative.

Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

Clyde CISD submitted a COVID-19 Impact Narrative as a supplement to their Cyclical Review:

□Yes ⊠No

### 2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

#### **Staff and Family Surveys**

On December 18, 2020 the TEA Review and Support team received 74 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

The best way the school/district provides information about trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources concerning special education services is via email followed by notices sent home, school website and phone calls.

The majority of participants felt training to help meet the needs of students with disabilities was extremely effective, effective or somewhat effective. Eighty percent of participants felt there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related service providers and almost forty percent felt there were not frequent opportunities to collaborate with service providers.

All participants agree with the importance of including students interests/life goals in the transition process with fifty-three percent of participants strongly agreeing.

#### **COVID**

Eighty percent of participants felt that during COVID school closures/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan was effective in student progress.

During COVID closures the top three ways that teachers provided support to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful.
- Teachers made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs.
- Teachers modified work and provided individualized support.

Participants indicated during current COVID school closures/remote learning they needed professional development in all areas.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closures/remote learning the top two supports used by the district that did not work well for students with disabilities were the shared device per family and online submission of assignments.

The majority of participants indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that they worked with parents/guardians in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

#### **Strengths**

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Clyde CISD:

- Desk Review showed evidence of strong practices for accurate documentation of present levels of academic achievement and functional performances (PLAAFP) statements.
- Desk Review results showed evidence of strong practices for the accurate documentation of State Assessment.
- Every IEP meeting was attended by all required committee members.

#### **Considerations**

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following areas to be addressed by Clyde CISD:

- Review guidance and provide professional development on the documentation of frequency, duration and location of services within the IEP.
- Provide training on the development of measurable postsecondary goals based upon ageappropriate transition assessments.

#### **Technical Assistance**

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Clyde CISD engaging in universal support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic	Resource		
Texas Complex Access Network	https://txcan.tea.texas.gov/. The Texas Complex Access Network (TX CAN) - The Texas CAN Network provides statewide leadership and support to increase the capacity of LEAs and families to meet the needs of students with significant cognitive disabilities. The network provides resources and supports that provide for the complex and intensive educational and functional needs of students with significant cognitive disabilities.		
Child Find, Evaluation and	Child Find, Evaluation and ARD Support Network: The Child Find,		
ARD Support Network/	Evaluation and ARD Supports Network assists LEAs by providing		
IEP Content	resources and training that are aligned with implementing effective		
	Child Find practices, conducting comprehensive evaluations, and		
	practicing collaborative admission, review, and dismissal (ARD)		

	committee processes that lead to a free appropriate public education (FAPE) for students with disabilities.
IEP Content	The Inclusion in Texas network and the Texas Sensory Support Network (TxSSN): The Inclusion in Texas Network is working to promote a statewide culture of high expectations for students with disabilities and significantly improve academic and functional outcomes for students served by special education. The network assists LEAs build capacity to develop and appropriately implement instructional programs that provide meaningful access to inclusive environments and grade-level standards, where appropriate.
Transition	www.transitionta.org. National Technical Assistance Center on Transition (NTACT): A resource supported by the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) and Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA). Resources related to transition planning, graduation, post-school success, and data analysis and use are linked in this resource. Effective practices for transition are delineated into evidence-based, research-based, promising practices, and unestablished practices.

#### **Findings of Noncompliance**

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Clyde CISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.

#### **Corrective Action Plan (CAP)**

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

#### **Individual Correction**

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

#### **LEA ACTIONS**

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	Not applicable		Universal	Not applicable
CAP	3/22/2021	1/28/2022		30 days

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

<sup>\*\*</sup>LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

#### **REFERENCES**

**Differentiated Monitoring and Support System** 

Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide

State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements

Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data

Results-Driven Accountability District Reports

Results-Driven Accountability Manual

#### **APPENDIX**

#### **IEP Content**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IC3	34 CFR §300.320(a)(7)		⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
	9300.320(a)(1)		□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed.	
				Systemic—Yes  Review and revise	
				policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices	
				addressing this issue.  Provide training on these	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				procedures to the appropriate staff.	
				Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	

#### **Transition**

#### **STUDENT FILE REVIEW**

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR10	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(I) (1)	⊠ Yes	Individual—Yes	⊠ Yes
	3300.320(8)		□ No	Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's free, appropriate public education (FAPE) had been impacted and determine if	

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
				compensatory services are needed.	
				Systemic—Yes	
				Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue.	
				Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff.	
				Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance.	