



Cycle 1 Group 2

Dates: January 2020 – March 2020

## Texas Education Agency 2019–2020 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT

Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Cisco Independent School District  
CDN:067902

LEA Compliant

Non-Compliance Identified

Corrective Actions Completed N/A

### INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Cisco ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), and Significant Disproportionality (SD), recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

### CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all local education agencies (LEAs) statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

## 2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On August 30, 2019, the TEA conducted a policy review of Cisco ISD. On March 30, 2020, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Cisco ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Cisco ISD comprehensive desk review was 30. The review found overall that 30 files out of 30 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Cisco ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area.

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	11 of 11	30 of 30
IEP Development	6 of 6	30 of 30
IEP Content	3 of 3	30 of 30
IEP Implementation	8 of 8	30 of 30
Properly Constituted ARD	7 of 7	30 of 30
State Assessment	5 of 5	30 of 30
Transition	4 of 4	13 of 13

## DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Performance Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2019	PL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A

\*Indicator 11: Child Find

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

Indicator 13: Secondary Transition

# 2019–2020 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

## Staff and Family Surveys

On March 23, 2020, the TEA Review and Support team received 17 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. Respondents to the staff and family survey included parents/guardians, general education teachers, special education teachers, evaluation staff, and administration staff (district and campus). The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

- Survey participants identified notices sent home, phone calls and emails as the best and most used methods of communication with parents, families, and stakeholders.
- Participants believe Cisco ISD does best in the areas of policies and procedures, providing individualized support and parent involvement.
- According to the survey results, 70% of participants reported special education staff are included in curriculum training and planning with content and grade level teachers. While 30% of participants reported special education staff are not included in curriculum training and planning with content and grade level teachers.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

## Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Cisco ISD:

One area of strength for Cisco ISD is that parents were provided with prior notification of ARD meeting dates with follow-up reminders to allow parent participation and attendance.

Another area of strength for Cisco ISD is that ARD meeting deliberations were detailed and included ARD meeting participants along with titles/positions.

## Considerations

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Cisco ISD:

Develop Individual Education Programs (IEPs) to promote academic achievement for students receiving special education services.

Review self-monitoring processes to ensure all necessary Individualized Education Program (IEP) committee members are in attendance for each ARD meeting.

## Technical Assistance

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Cisco ISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Topic	Resource
Properly Constituted ARD	<a href="#">The Legal Framework: Admission, Review and Dismissal Committee Membership</a>
Career and Technical Education	<a href="#">TEA: Career and Technical Education</a>
IEP Implementation	<a href="#">National Center on Improving Literacy</a>

## Findings of Noncompliance

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. ***When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Cisco ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.***

The TEA Division of Special Education Monitoring will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance ***as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.***

## Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Intervention, Stage, and Activity Manager (ISAM).

The LEA must submit the CAP in ISAM within 30 school days from the date of this report and formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

### Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

### LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for SSP and/or CAP Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		N/A	Not applicable
CAP	N/A	N/A		Not applicable

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the [Review and Support website](#)

\*\*LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

## REFERENCES

[Differentiated Monitoring and Support System](#)

[Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide](#)

[State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability District Reports](#)

[Results-Driven Accountability Manual](#)