

Overview of 2017 Accountability

The 2016–17 school year is the final year of the current state accountability rating system. It is anticipated that the 2017 rating system will be relatively unchanged from 2016, except for the performance index targets that will be set by the commissioner in February 2017. This document outlines the key areas of the 2017 system that need to be reviewed by the ATAC.

1. 2017 System Rigor

The overall design of the accountability system will remain the same, evaluating performance according to four indices:

- Index 1: Student Achievement
- Index 2: Student Progress
- Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps
- Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness

▪ Changes Affecting All Four Performance Indices

- **Elimination of STAAR A and STAAR L assessments in spring 2017** Beginning with the the March 2017 administrations, the STAAR Online Testing Platform will include embedded accommodations and other accessibility features. These enhancements eliminate the need for separate STAAR A and STAAR L test forms. Therefore, STAAR A and STAAR L will be administered for the last time in December 2016.
- **Options for Required Improvement** The changes in the STAAR tests in the first four years of the testing program have not allowed year-to-year comparisons of assessment results. In addition, the postsecondary readiness indicators evaluated in Index 4 have been modified over the prior two years. It is anticipated that the 2017 performance indexes and underlying indicators will be relatively unchanged from 2016. As a result, options for required improvement can be explored for first possible use in 2017.

2. Accountability Ratings Criteria and Targets

- **Ratings Criteria** Performance targets will be set for each index. In order to receive a *Met Standard* or *Met Alternative Standard* rating, all campuses and districts must meet the performance index target on the following indices if they have performance data for evaluation:

Index 1 OR Index 2 AND Index 3 AND Index 4

Rationale: This option used in 2015 and 2016 accountability reflects the original intent when the index framework was developed. This addresses the concern with the limited availability of progress measures on the EOC assessments for use in Index 2 for high schools and K–12 campuses and districts.

- **2016 Performance Index Targets** The performance index targets for 2016 are shown on the table on the following pages. The commissioner will set the 2017 targets in February 2017 based on recommendations developed by the ATAC and APAC during their meetings in December 2016 and January 2017.

Overview of 2017 Accountability

Index Targets for Non-AEA Districts and Campuses

	2016 Index Targets					2017 Index Targets				
	Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4		Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4	
				All Components	STAAR Component Only				All Components	STAAR Component Only
Districts	60	22	28	60	13	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Campuses										
Elementary	60	32	28	n/a	12	TBD	TBD	TBD	n/a	TBD
Middle		30	26	n/a	13		TBD	TBD	n/a	TBD
High School/ K-12		17	30	60	21		TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD

Index Targets for AEA Charter Districts and Campuses

	2016 Index Targets					2017 Index Targets				
	Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4		Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4	
				Both Components	Graduation/ Dropout Rate Only				Both Components	Graduation/ Dropout Rate Only
AEA Charter Districts	35	8	13	33	45	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
AEA Campuses										

- **Rating Labels.** The 2017 rating labels remain the same as those issued for 2016 accountability.
 - *Met Standard* – met the required performance index targets and other accountability rating criteria
 - *Improvement Required* – did not meet the required performance index targets or other accountability rating criteria
 - *Met Alternative Standard* – assigned to charter operators and alternative education campuses evaluated under alternative education accountability (AEA) provisions that met the required performance index targets and other accountability rating criteria
 - *Not Rated* – under certain circumstances, districts or campuses may not receive a rating

Overview of 2017 Accountability

3. Performance Indices

The original design of each performance index remains the same as the prior year.

Index 1: Student Achievement. Provides a snapshot of performance across subjects, on both general and alternative assessments, at the satisfactory performance standard.

Index 2: Student Progress. Measures student progress and provides an opportunity for districts and campuses to receive credit for improving student performance independent of overall student achievement.

Index 3: Closing Performance Gaps. Emphasizes the academic achievement of economically disadvantaged students and the two lowest-performing racial/ethnic student groups.

Index 4: Postsecondary Readiness. Emphasizes the role of elementary and middle schools in preparing students for the rigors of high school, and the importance of earning a high school diploma that provides students with the foundation necessary for success in college, the workforce, job training programs, or the military. Alternative procedures are provided for Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) campuses and charter districts serving at-risk students in alternative education programs.

Graduation Plan

- **Graduation Plan Component and Foundation High School Plan (FHSP) Transition** For 2017 accountability, as was the case in 2016, two diploma-plan rates will be calculated as shown below; the one that gives the district or campus the most points for the graduation plan component of Index 4 will be used.

Rationale: The Foundation High School Program (FHSP) will replace the Minimum (MHSP), Recommended (RHSP), and Distinguished Achievement (DAP) High School Programs for students who began grade 9 in 2014–15. Beginning with the class of 2018, all students will be required to select the FHSP. Until then, students may earn an MHSP, RHSP, or DAP diploma. During this transition period, this approach addresses the varying degrees to which FHSP graduation plans have been implemented across districts.

Calculation that Excludes FHSP Students

(RHSP + DAP)

(MHSP + RHSP + DAP)

Overview of 2017 Accountability

Calculation that Includes FHSP Students

$$\frac{(\text{RHSP} + \text{DAP}) + (\text{FHSP-E} + \text{FHSP-DLA})}{(\text{MHSP} + \text{RHSP} + \text{DAP}) + (\text{FHSP} + \text{FHSP-E} + \text{FHSP-DLA})}$$

Notes:

FHSP: Foundation High School Program (FHSP) without endorsement

FHSP-E: FHSP with endorsement and no Distinguished Level of Achievement

FHSP-DLA: FHSP with endorsement and Distinguished Level of Achievement

Texas Success Initiative

- **TSI portion of postsecondary component** will continue to include the results of the Texas Success Initiative (TSI) assessment in the postsecondary component and give credit for every student who
 - meets the TSI requirement in reading on the TSI assessment, SAT, or ACT
 - and**
 - meets the TSI requirement in mathematics on the TSI assessment, SAT, or ACT

A student must meet the TSI requirement for both reading and mathematics but does not necessarily need to meet them on the same assessment.

The postsecondary component evaluated in 2017 accountability for the 2015–16 graduates is as shown below:

graduates meeting TSI criteria in both ELA/reading and mathematics (TSI, SAT, or ACT)	or	graduates who completed and earned credit for at least two advanced/dual-credit courses in the current or prior school year	or	graduates who were enrolled in a coherent sequence of CTE courses as part of a four-year plan of study to take two or more CTE courses for three or more credits
<hr/>				
Number of annual graduates				

Rationale: The 2013–14 annual graduates were the last graduating class with TAKS results that could have been used in the college-readiness indicator of the postsecondary component. Beginning with the graduates from the 2014–15 school year, the postsecondary component will incorporate the results from the TSI assessment and continue to credit students who meet the TSI criteria on either the SAT or ACT assessments.