

# 2021-2023 Title I, 1003 ESF-Focused Support Grant Letter of Interest (LOI) Application Due 11:59 p.m. CT, April 21, 2021

® NOGA ID

Authorizing legislation

Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), P.L. 114-95, Section 1003, School Improvement

This LOI application must be submitted via email to loiapplications@tea.texas.gov.

The LOI application may be signed with a digital ID or it may be signed by hand. Both forms of signature are acceptable.

TEA must receive the application by 11:59 p.m. CT, April 21, 2021.

Grant period from

June 8, 2021-September 30, 2023

Pre-award costs permitted from

**Award Date** 

### **Required Attachments**

- 1. Excel workbook with the grant's budget schedules (linked along with this form on the TEA Grants Opportunities page)
- 2. Pathway 1: Pathway 1 Supplemental Pathway Attachment
- 3. Pathway 2: Pathway 2 Supplemental Pathway Attachment

SAS#

NOTE: All applicants MUST submit an appropriate Supplemental Pathway Attachment for EACH CAMPUS on behalf of which the applicant is applying.

| OI W   | men the applicant is app   | iyilig.   |   |
|--|--|---|---|
| Amendment Number   |  |   |   |
| Amendment number (For amendments only; en  | iter N/A when completing   | this form to apply for  | grant funds): N/A   |
| Applicant Information  |  |   |   |
| Organization Goliad ISD  | CDN 088902 Cam   | pus GES/GMS   | ESC 03 DUNS 046492344   |
| Address 161 N. Welch Street  | City Goliad  | ZIP 77963   | Vendor ID 1746000997  |
| Primary Contact Brandon Enos   | Email brandonenos@g  | oliadisd.org  | Phone 361-645-3259  |
| Secondary Contact De Helmer  | Email dhelmer@goliad   | sd.org  | Phone 361-645-3259  |
| Certification and Incorporation  |  |   |   |
| I understand that this application constitutes an binding agreement. I hereby certify that the info and that the organization named above has authorized contractual agreement. I certify that any compliance with all applicable federal and state. I further certify my acceptance of the requireme and that these documents are incorporated by r | ormation contained in this a<br>horized me as its represent<br>y ensuing program and act<br>laws and regulations.<br>nts conveyed in the follow  | application is, to the b<br>ative to obligate this o<br>ivity will be conducted<br>ing portions of the LO | est of my knowledge, correct<br>organization in a legally<br>d in accordance and<br>I application, as applicable, |
|  |  | ☑ Debarment and Su  | spension Certification  |
| ☑ General and application-specific Provisions a  | and Assurances   | ☑ Lobbying Certificat   | tion  |
| Authorized Official Name Brandon Enos  |  | Title Executive Dire  | ector of Curriculum and Inst  |
| Email brandonenos@goliadisd.org  | and the second s | Phone 361-6   | 645-3259  |
| Signature  |  | Date  | 3/31/2021   |

Page 1 of 9

| CDN 088902 Vendor ID 174600099  | 7   |   | Amendment # N/A                         |
|---|---|---|---|
| Shared Services Arrangements  |   |   |   |
| Shared services arrangements (SSA   | s) are NOT permitted for  | this grant.   |   |
| Number of Campuses Included in  | this Application  |   |   |
| Pathway 1 2 0   |   |   |   |
| Statutory/Program Assurances  |   |   |   |
| The following assurances apply to this comply with these assurances.  Check each of the following boxes to in 1. The applicant provides assurance   | ndicate your compliance.<br>that program funds will s                                 | supplement (increase the  | e level of service), and not supplant   |
| (replace) state mandates, State Boar<br>applicant provides assurance that st<br>because of the availability of these f<br>funded from this LOI will be suppler<br>activities required by state law, State   | tate or local funds may no<br>funds. The applicant prov<br>mentary to existing servic | ot be decreased or divert<br>ides assurance that prog<br>es and activities and will | ram services and activities to be       |
|   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   | equirements as noted in the             |
| ☑ 4. The applicant provides assurance Focused Support Grant Program Guassess the success of the program.  |   |   |   |
| ■ 5. The applicant provides assurance     Act Provisions and Assurances.  | that they accept and wil  | comply with the require   | ements of <u>Every Student Succeeds</u> |
| ☑ 6. The applicant assures that any Elewith the State of Texas Accessibility standards, and the WCAG 2.0 AA Accession.  ☐ Acceptable of the Accession of | requirements as specifie  |   |   |

☑ 7. Title I served Federally Identified campuses awarded this grant will engage in the Effective Schools Framework (ESF) continuous improvement process and create a plan to be submitted to TEA that addresses the selected pathway aligned to the Essential Actions (EA) from the ESF.

☑ 9. For all pathways, the applicant will budget at least the minimum amount indicated in the Budget Object Code 6200 - Profession and Contracted Services.

| RFA# | SAS# | Page 2 of 9 |
|------|------|-------------|
|      |      | 9           |

# Statutory/Program Assurances, cont'd.

- 10. The applicant will identify a campus leader, on each awarded campus, who will be responsible for managing the grant project.
- ☑ 11. The applicant will provide access to TEA and its Vetted Improvement Partners for on-site visits to the district and campus(es) as appropriate.
- ☑ 12. The applicant will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, and sharing of best practices through the TEA program office.
- ☑ 13. The applicant provides assurance it will participate in all grant requirements including but not limited to an ESF Self-Assessment, and ESF Diagnostic, developing a web-based improvement plan, submission of funding and pathway progress reports.

# N/A

### **Summary of Program**

1. Describe how the LEA will support campus(es) in carrying out school support and improvement activities, including how the LEA will develop a web-based improvement plan for the eligible campuses selected for this grant.

Goliad ISD central office administration will support both Goliad Elementary and Goliad Middle Schools in carrying out school improvement in the following ways:

- 1. Facilitate the comprehensive needs assessment to solicit community and parent feedback on current practices.
- 2. Notify campus contacts (principal and campus grant project contact) of program requirements and ensure the ESF-Focused support funds are used as intended to continue the implementation of the ESF at the campus level.
- 3. Work with and develop campus administrators as instructional leaders.
- 4. Recruit and retain high-quality staff.
- 5. Ensure the district and campus vision and mission are aligned to the continuous growth cycle in the ESF.
- 6. Create and keep current the district's curriculum management plan.
- 7. Schedule and facilitate the ESF-Diagnostic in the Spring of 2022.
- 8. Develop a district web-based improvement plan and link the plan to the district website.
- 9. Align district initiatives to the feedback received from the Division of School Improvement and from the Texas Instructional Leadership facilitator.
- 10. Remove financial or time-commitment barriers that hinder the implementation of the ESF, the TIL, or the diagnostic review.

2. Describe how the LEA will monitor schools receiving the ESF-Focused Support Grant, including how the LEA will: (a) Monitor a web-based improvement plans upon submission and during implementation, and (b) Implement additional action following unsuccessful implementation of such plan after the first year of the grant cycle.

The Goliad ISD Curriculum and Instruction department will monitor the implementation of the ESF-Focused Support Grant and report the progress to the superintendent of schools on a monthly basis. The LEA will ensure the web-based improvement plans are updated by the campus grant project contact or the principal each six-week grading period over the course of the two year grant. The update will be added to both the campus and district improvement plan updates which are shared with and monitored by the GISD school board. If the schools have not maintained the plan on a six-week basis as indicated above at the end of the first year, the principal of the school will then give a separate report to the school board and superintendent each month during the second year of the grant. In said report, the progress measures for targeted improvement will be listed with a detailed explanation of what steps the campus has taken during the month to implement to continuous improvement ESF process and give data to show progress or a lack of progress.

# Goals, Objectives, and Strategies, Performance and Evaluation

1. Describe how the LEA will modify, as appropriate, practices and policies to provide operational flexibility to the awarded campus, that enables full and effective implementation of the Effective Schools Framework Essential Actions aligned webbased Improvement Plan.

The LEA will ensure the campus is provided with constant and direct central office administrator support through the office of Curriculum and Instruction; furthermore, the LEA will remove obstacles the campuses face in implementing the grant, completing the training, or in monitoring the effectiveness of best instructional practices learned during the TIL classes or campus visits. Some of the more common obstacles the LEA may need to remove are:

- 1. campus coverage while leaders are training or being coached.
- 2. ensuring data analysis and planning time is on the district calendar and supported by the LEA administrators.

| <ol> <li>ensuring data analysis and planning time is on the district calendar and supported by the LEA administrators.</li> <li>provide timely and accurate feedback to the campus or ESC3 when requested during the 2-year grant cycle.</li> <li>keep the web-based improvement plan updated on the district website where it is available to the community and parents.</li> </ol> |
|--|
| The LEA currently is engaged in the RDA and targeted improvement process and will ensure those plans are not contradictory to or interfering with the ESF improvement cycle or TIL training. To the extend possible, the DCSI will work with campus principals to share data and report  |
| progress where the improvement plans have overlap.   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

### **Budget Narrative**

1. Describe how the proposed budget will meet the needs and goals of the program, including for staffing, supplies and materials, contracts, travel, etc. If applicable, include a high-level snapshot of funds currently allocated to similar programs. Include a short narrative describing how adjustments will be made in the future to meet needs.

In order to more specifically target the needs of Goliad ISD Title I served campuses, the grant will ensure the capacity of the campus leaders to effectively improve instruction and develop teachers is at a level indicative of academically successful campuses. The district is updating the curriculum management plan, and the processes and systems with the CMP require a campus instructional leader who not only knows good instruction, but a leader who can also build the capacity of her team to grow as leaders. Through the two-year ESF Focused Support Grant, Goliad Elementary and Goliad Middle School principals and assistant principals will transition from a moderately effective leader to a fully effective instructional leader who can build lasting change on her campus.

Currently, Goliad ISD has attempted to develop this capacity by partnering with TASA and completing the Transformational Leadership Academy and has working internally with the superintendent and curriculum and instruction department to build this capacity. While both the internal coaching and the leadership academy were useful, neither was holistic and ensured measurable growth of the campuses and developed the principal to lead this growth. The funds used for the initiatives were a combination of general and federal and the work was never aligned to the improvement plans or the targeted support plans.

To ensure Goliad ISD is future ready and growing, the LEA will provide leadership support. To build leader capacity, all instructional professional learning will be through the TIL over the course of the next two years to ensure the ESF is implemented accurately and to ensure the campuses are supported with the progress monitoring. As the needs of the students change and more economically disadvantaged students attend Goliad ISD, the leadership team will be ready to continuously improve and monitor academic growth.

### **Budget Narrative, cont'd.**

2. Describe how the LEA will align other Federal, State, and local resources to carry out the activities supported with funds received under this grant.

To ensure the ESF Focused Support Grant is supported, Goliad ISD will continue to align all spending to the district school board goals. These goals align directly with the intent of the Effective School Framework, continuous improvement in safe schools where students are prepared for the future.

Each campus will have a campus grant project contact who will schedule traini9ng and ensure all participants are aware of the training date and materials needed. The district will support this person by giving him or her access to travel and supply fun

The district curriculum and instruction department will monitor the reports and report to the superintendent or his representative each sixweeks. The cost of maintaining the website for the web-based instructional improvement goals and the cost of progress monitoring will be covered by the LEA with general funding sources.

The LEA will ensure the TEKS are updated with each teacher and the teacher has planning time to adequately plan for differentiated instruction. This will be paid for with Title I and general funding sources.

The principals may have to be off of their campuses while training or receiving coaching. The LEA will ensure an administrator covers the campus or will pay for a substitute administrator for the day with already allocated general funding sources.

No new positions will be created by or paid for with this grant. The purpose of the grant is targeted, specific professional learning for campus administrators at Goliad Elementary and Middle Schools.

# **Equitable Access and Participation**

Check the appropriate box below to indicate whether any barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups that receive services funded by this program.

The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups receiving services funded by this program.

Barriers exist to equitable access and participation for the following groups receiving services funded by this grant, as described below.

| Group | Barrier |
|-------|---------|
| Group | Barrier |
| Group | Barrier |
| Group | Barrier |

Page 9 of 9

# Appendix I: Amendment Description and Purpose (leave this section blank when completing the initial application for funding)

An amendment must be submitted when the program plan or budget is altered for the reasons described in the "When to Amend the Application" document posted on the Administering a Grant page. The following are required to be submitted for an amendment: (1) Page 1 of the application with updated contact information and current authorized official's signature and date, (2) Appendix I with changes identified and described, (3) all updated sections of the application or budget affected by the changes identified below, and, if applicable, (4) Amended Budget Request. Amendment Instructions with more details can be found on the last tab of the budget template.

You may duplicate this page

| Amended Section | Reason for Amendment |
|-----------------|----------------------|
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
|                 |                      |
| RFA# SAS#       | Page 9 of 9          |

#### **Application Part 2:**

# 2021-2023 Title I, ESF-Focused Support Grant

Authorized by: Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), P.L. 114-95, Section 1003, School Improvement

|   | Number or vendor ID:   | 08890                    |           |              |                |                |    | dment # 0          |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----|--------------------|
| Grant Period:                           |  |                          |           |              | Fund Code: 211 |                |    |                    |
|   |  | Prog                     | ram Bud   | get Summa    | ry             |                |    |                    |
|   |  |                          |           |              | So             | urce of Funds  |    |                    |
| Descr                                   | ription and Purpose  | Class/<br>Object<br>Code | Progr     | am Cost      |                | Admin Cost     | Т  | otal Budgeted Cost |
| 1 Payroll Cos                           | sts  | 6100                     | \$        | 118,000      | \$             |                | \$ | 118,000            |
|   | al and Contracted Services   | 6200                     | \$        | 50,000       | \$             | _              | \$ | 50,000             |
| 3 Supplies ar                           |  | 6300                     | \$        | 30,000       | \$             | -              | \$ | 30,000             |
| 4 Other Ope                             |  | 6400                     | \$        | -            | \$             | -              | \$ | -                  |
| 5 Capital Out                           | tlay   | 6600                     | \$        | -            | \$             | -              | \$ | -                  |
|   | Consolidate Administ   | rative Fund              | ds        |              |                | N/A            |    |                    |
| 6                                       | Total Di   | rect Costs:              | \$        | 198,000      | \$             | -              | \$ | 198,000            |
| 7 Enter Perc                            | entage (%) of Indirect Costs:  |                          |           | N/A          | \$             | -              | \$ | _                  |
| 8                                       | Grand Total of Budge   | ted Costs :              | \$        | 198,000      | \$             | -              | \$ | 198,000            |
|   |  |                          | d Service | s Arrangem   | ent            |                |    |                    |
| 9 6493                                  | Payments to member district shared services arrangemen                   |                          | \$        | -            | \$             |                | \$ | -                  |
| 10 A |  | Admini                   | strative  | Cost Calcula | ation          |                |    |                    |
| 10                                      |  |                          |           | Total Gran   | t Amo          | ount Budgeted: | \$ | 198,000            |
| 11                                      | Reasonable and necessar  |                          |           |              |                |                |    | 0.00               |
| 12                                      | Maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including indirect co |                          |           |              |                |                | \$ | -                  |

| FOR TEA USE ONLY                                |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with:  | On this date:        |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

# Pathway 1 – ESC Supported VIP

|          | Pathway Options:  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|          | Texas Instructional Leadership (TIL) is a program through which training a  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | and district leaders on their ability to build the capacity of educators that they manage. It consists of a suite   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | of trainings intended to foster continuous improvement by helping campi   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | concrete instructional leadership skills in the areas of observation and feed driven instruction.   | edback, Student culture, and data |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | driven instruction.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | <b>Positive Behavioral Interventions and Support</b> (PBIS) is the application of systems to assist schools in decreasing problem behavior, increasing academics. |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | safety, and establishing positive school culture.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dis      | District Name: Goliad ISD CDN   | :088902                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ca       | Campus Name: Goliad Elementary School 9-Digit Car   | npus Number: 088902104            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qu       | Question 1: Identify the Campus Grant Project Contact responsible for   | or managing the implementation of |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | the grant.  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pa       | Patricia Huber, Principal   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| L        |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qυ       | Question 2: How did the applicant determine the ESF Prioritized Focu  | us Area for the campus?           |  |  |  |  |  |
| _        | <u> </u>  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | ESF Diagnostic  | sessment                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0        | Oversting 3: What are the true to those ESE Drivitized Force Area(s)  | dentified by the semming?         |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Question 3: What are the two to three ESF Prioritized Focus Area(s) is Check all that apply   | dentified by the campus:          |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | 1.1 2.1 3.1 4.1 <b>5</b> .1   | 5.3                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qı       | Question 4: Which ESC Supported VIP is chosen by the campus? Sele   | ct all that apply                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | ▼ Texas Instructional Leaders (TIL) Positive Behavioral   | Interventions and Support (PBIS)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Total tion action as a second (1.12)  | ,                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Οι       | Question 5: If the campus is engaging with TIL, with which cohort wi  | ll the campus participate?        |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ch       | Check all that apply  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <u> </u> | Action Coaching   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Student Culture Routines  | mative assessment                 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Question 6: Has the Applicant connected with the ESC VIP, at tapplication of this grant and the intention of collaboration wit   | the campus' service region, regarding the chart in the VIP? *This is a requirement of  |
|--|--|
| the application  Yes. ESC Staff Name: Lisa Blundell  | No   |
| Question 7: Has the Applicant received confirmation from the support? *This is a requirement of the application  | ESC VIP indicating availability to   |
| Yes. ESC Staff Name: Lisa Blundell   | No   |
| Question 8: Describe the needs identified in the ESF Diagnost campus to support systemic changes for school improvement  |  |
| Lever four and five were identified as areas that need systemic chang improvement. The most notable areas of concern are 5.1 and 5.3. 5.1: Object driven daily lesson plans with formative assessment is an implemented changes over the past year with the inception of a camp leaders and teacher-leaders are unaware of the multiple components lesson planning cycle, and the formative assessment that drives succ 5.3: data-driven instruction is the final component of district curriculun delivery of effective instruction by ensuring the individualized interven practices. We currently do very few items listed on the success criteri | area where the district as a whole has bus management plan; however, many of the successful lesson plan, the essful instruction. In components and would benefit the attions and lessons are aligned to best |

| Question 9: Describe challenges the campus anticaligned to the ESF Prioritized Focus Area(s) that r  |   |                      |
|--|---|----------------------|
| grant cycle.  ☐ The response should include action ste   | eps to be taken to overcome the         | ese challenges.      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
|  |   |                      |
| <u>Priority Point Information</u> – If the applicant does awarded.   | not complete this section Priorit       | y Points will not be |
| 1. What was the campus' State Accountability Ra  | ting in 2019? https://txschools.        | gov/                 |
| F rating   | D rating                                | <b>✓</b> C rating    |
| B rating   | A rating                                |                      |
| 2. What percentage of the campus was identified TAPR Report? <a href="https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfett">https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfett</a> |   | d in the 2019-2020   |
| 71% - 85% Eco Dis 86% - 1  | L00% Eco Dis                            |                      |
| <b>√</b> Other   |   |                      |
| 3. How was the campus defined on the 2018-201 data/school-data/campus-and-district-type-data   | *************************************** | as.gov/reports-and-  |
| <b>√</b> Rural Town  | Suburban                                | City                 |

# Pathway 1 – ESC Supported VIP

|     | Pathway Options:   |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|-----|--|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------|--|--|
|     | <b>Texas Instructional Leadership (TIL)</b> is a   |                        |                     | • •                        | •          |  |  |
|     | and district leaders on their ability to build the capacity of educators that they manage. It consists of a suite  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     | of trainings intended to foster continuous improvement by helping campus and district administrators grow concrete instructional leadership skills in the areas of observation and feedback, student culture, and data |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     | driven instruction.  | i the areas of obser   | vation and reedba   | ik, student culture, and ( | ata        |  |  |
|     | unvennisti detion.   |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     | Positive Behavioral Interventions and S  | upport (PBIS) is the   | application of evid | lence based strategies a   | nd         |  |  |
|     | systems to assist schools in decreasing p  |                        |                     | <del>-</del>               |            |  |  |
|     | safety, and establishing positive school of  | culture.               |                     |                            |            |  |  |
| Dis | trict Name: Goliad ISD   |                        | cdn: 08             | 8902                       |            |  |  |
|     | <sub>mpus Name:</sub> Goliad Middle Sch  | nool                   |                     | Number: 0889024            | 01         |  |  |
| Qu  | estion 1: Identify the Campus Grant I  | Proiect Contact re     | sponsible for ma    | anaging the implemer       | ntation of |  |  |
|     | grant.   | •                      | •                   |                            |            |  |  |
| Sa  | ndra Fellers, Principal  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     |  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     |  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     |  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
| Qι  | estion 2: How did the applicant dete   | rmine the ESF Pri      | oritized Focus Ar   | ea for the campus?         |            |  |  |
|     | ESF Diagnostic   | <b>√</b>               | ESF-Self Assess     | ment                       |            |  |  |
|     | •  | _                      | _                   |                            |            |  |  |
| Qι  | estion 3: What are the two to three I  | ESF Prioritized Fo     | cus Area(s) ident   | ified by the campus?       |            |  |  |
| Ch  | eck all that apply   |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     | 1.1 2.1 3.1  | 4.1                    | <b>√</b> 5.1        | <b>5</b> .3                |            |  |  |
| L   |  |                        |                     | V                          |            |  |  |
|     |  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
| Qı  | estion 4: Which ESC Supported VIP is   | s chosen by the ca     | ampus? Select al    | that apply                 |            |  |  |
| 1   | Texas Instructional Leaders (TIL)  | Positiv                | e Behavioral Inte   | rventions and Suppor       | t (PBIS)   |  |  |
|     |  |                        |                     |                            | - (*,      |  |  |
| ο.  |  | data Tili andata and d | d I                 |                            | •          |  |  |
| Qı  | estion 5: If the campus is engaging w  | ith fit, with which    | n conort will the   | : campus participate?      |            |  |  |
| Ch  | eck all that apply   |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
| _   |  |                        |                     |                            |            |  |  |
|     | Action Coaching  | <b>√</b> Data-Driver   | Instruction         |                            |            |  |  |
|     | Student Culture Routines   | Lesson Plan            | ning and format     | ve assessment              |            |  |  |

| Question 6: Has the Applicant connected with the ESC VIP, at application of this grant and the intention of collaboration w | t the campus' service region, regarding rith the VIP? *This is a requirement of |
|---|---|
| the application  Ves. ESC Staff Name: Lisa Blundell   | No  |
| Question 7: Has the Applicant received confirmation from th support? *This is a requirement of the application              | e ESC VIP indicating availability to  |
| ✓ Yes. ESC Staff Name: Lisa Blundell  | No  |

Question 8: Describe the needs identified in the ESF Diagnostic or Self-Assessment at the campus to support systemic changes for school improvement.

| Question 9: Describe challenges the campus anticipates in implementing improvement efforts aligned to the ESF Prioritized Focus Area(s) that must be addressed by the district/campus over the  |                             |                   |  |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| grant cycle.  ☐ The response should include action step   | s to be taken to overcome t | these challenges. |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
|   |                             |                   |  |
| <u>Priority Point Information</u> – If the applicant does not complete this section Priority Points will not be awarded.  |                             |                   |  |
| 1. What was the campus' State Accountability Rating in 2019? https://txschools.gov/   |                             |                   |  |
| F rating [  | ) rating                    | <b>✓</b> C rating |  |
| B rating  | Arating                     |                   |  |
| 2. What percentage of the campus was identified as Economically Disadvantaged in the 2019-2020 TAPR Report? <a href="https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2020/index.html">https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2020/index.html</a>     |                             |                   |  |
| 71% - 85% Eco Dis 86% - 10  | 0% Eco Dis                  |                   |  |
| <b>√</b> Other  |                             |                   |  |
| 3. How was the campus defined on the 2018-2019 NCES report? <a href="https://tea.texas.gov/reports-and-data/school-data/campus-and-district-type-data-search">https://tea.texas.gov/reports-and-data/school-data/campus-and-district-type-data-search</a> |                             |                   |  |
| <b>√</b> Rural Town   | Suburban                    | City              |  |