

STAAR ALTERNATE 2 PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

Student Name	Grade	GradeDate		
Name of District Personnel Completing Form	Position			
Step I: Review the Eligibility Criteria for STAAR Alternate 2 Prior to reviewing the eligibility criteria for STAAR Alternate 2, the admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee must understand all assessment options, including the characteristics of each assessment and the potential implications of each assessment choice. STAAR Alternate 2 is a statewide assessment for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. STAAR Alternate 2 may only be considered if the student's disability includes intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior deficits that primarily and significantly affect the student's ability to plan, comprehend, reason, and apply social and practical skills in everyday life. If STAAR Alternate 2 is being considered, the ARD committee must review the five criteria below and select Yes or No if applicable to the student. To be eligible to participate in STAAR Alternate 2, the answer to all five of the questions below must be Yes . If the answer to any <u>one</u> of the questions is No , the student is not eligible to participate in STAAR Alternate 2 and must participate in STAAR. Each Yes answer requires a justification that contains evidence that the student meets the criterion.				
Does the student have a significant cognitive disability?		Yes No		
A determination of significant cognitive disability is made by the ARD committee and must be based on the student's most recent full and individual evaluation (FIE) conducted by the multidisciplinary team that includes a licensed specialist in school psychology (LSSP), educational diagnostician, or other appropriately certified or licensed practitioner with experience and training in the area of the disability. AND		nat must include data enced by intellectual ition information:		
 Results from the FIE must indicate a deficit in the student's ability to plan, comprehend, and reason. FIE results must also indicate adaptive behavior deficits that limit a student's ability to apply social and practical skills such as personal care, social problem-solving skills, dressing and eating, using money, and other functional skills across life domains. It is unlikely to see these types of results in an FIE of a student with a high-incidence disability only, such as a specific learning disability or speech impairment. 				
Does the student require specialized, extensive supports to access the grade-level curriculum Yes No and environment?				
• Federal regulations mandate that all students have access to grade-level curriculum. A student with a significant cognitive disability requires extensive, repeated, specialized supports and materials beyond the support typical peers require. The student uses substantially modified materials to access information in alternate ways to acquire, maintain, generalize, demonstrate and transfer skills across all settings. AND	Enter justification the from the student's in education program monitoring, and/or t	(IEP), progress		
 A student with a significant cognitive disability demonstrates adaptive behaviors that are significantly impaired. This most likely will impact the student's ability to live independently and will require specialized supports for the student to function safely in daily life across all life domains, not just the school environment. 				
3. Does the student require intensive, individualized instruction in all instructional settings? Yes No				
 A student with a significant cognitive disability requires a highly specialized, individualized curriculum linked to functional and academic IEP goals and objectives. AND A student with a significant cognitive disability requires classroom assessments administered in alternate or non-traditional methods to demonstrate acquisition, maintenance, and generalization of discrete skills across academic settings. AND 	Enter justification the from the student's l monitoring, and/or t			
• A student with a significant cognitive disability requires individualized instruction that is neither temporary nor limited to specific content areas.				

Student Name		GradeD	Date	
4. Does the student access and participate in the grade-level TEKS through prerequisite skills? Yes No				
• A student with a significant cognitive disal specialized educational program with inte modifications to the curriculum to access t and Skills (TEKS) through prerequisite skill grade-level instruction. For instance, an elelevels below grade-level instruction while be 7–9 levels below.	nsive supports and he Texas Essential Knowledge s that are significantly below ementary student may be 3–4	Enter justification that mus from the student's IEP, prog monitoring, and/or the FIE:	gress	
5. Is the STAAR Alternate 2 assessment determination based on the student's significant Yes No cognitive disability and NOT on any other factors?				
The decision to administer STAAR Alternat student's racial or economic background, be or extended absences, location of service of behavior or emotional distress, or any other	English learner status, excessive delivery, anticipated disruptive	Enter justification that mus from the student's IEP, prog monitoring, and/or the FIE:	gress	
Step II: Discuss Assurances				
If Yes is indicated for all five eligibility criteria, the ARD committee must discuss the following assurances. All assurances must be initialed by district personnel for the student to participate in STAAR Alternate 2.				
Under 34 Code of Federal Regulations (CF committee determines that the student we cannot participate in the general assessment is appropriate for the student grant the decision to administer STAAR Alternatives.	vill take STAAR Alternate 2, the IEF ent (STAAR) with or without allow , including that all five eligibility c	P must provide a statement of wh vable accommodations, and why t riteria are met.	y the student the alternate	
not administratively based on federal acc alternate assessment to no more than 1.0	ountability requirements, which lin	mit the number of students assess	sed with an	
For a student whom the ARD committee instructional and assessment decisions made Administrative Code (TAC) §89.1070.				
According to 19 (TAC) §101.27(b), school administration materials. If the ARD combased on the information in this form and student's IEP.	mittee determines that the studen	t will take STAAR Alternate 2, jus	tification that is	
Complete the information below only for applicable school year.	r the grade (grades 3–8 or high sch	nool) the student is enrolled in du	ıring the	
Students in grades 3–8 who are eligible for enrolled and should NOT be assessed in a applicable school year. This will indicate v	bove-grade enrolled curriculum. Ir	ndicate the student's enrolled gra		
Grade 3: mathematics and reading	☐ Grade 5: mathematics, reading and science	ng, 🔲 Grade 7: mathem and writing	natics, reading,	
Grade 4: mathematics, reading, and writing	☐ Grade 6: mathematics and reading	☐ Grade 8: mathem science, and social		
For a student in high school, the student (PEIMS) course number indicating that th school courses with associated PEIMS couries will indicate which STAAR Alternate 2 end	e coursework is accessed through price numbers the student will be en	prerequisite skills. Indicate the alt prolled in during the applicable sc	ternate high	
☐ English I Alternate 03220107	☐ Algebra I Alternate 0310050	7 U.S. History Alter	rnate 03340107	
☐ English II Alternate 03220207	☐ Biology Alternate 03010207			