| | | 1 | | | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------|
| | For TEA Use Only | | | | | |
| | tments and/or annotation | | | IRRA, Inc. | | 108801 |
| on this pag | e have been confirmed w | víth | TEVAC EDUCATION ACENOV | Organization Name | Co | ounty-Distric |
| | | | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | Rio Grande Center | | 00004 001 |
| | | | Standard Application System | Children of the Sun | | 08801-006 |
| | | | (SAS) | Campus Name | | mpus Numbe |
| | | | School Years 2010-2013 | 74-6033663 | | Region One |
| by telephor | ne/e-mail/FAX on | by | JUINOI 1 Cala 2010-2013 | 9-Digit Vendor ID# | | ESC Region |
| | | | | 1055200171100 | 39 | |
| | | of TEA. | | NOGA ID# (Assigned by TEA | () A | mendment # |
| | | | as Title I Priority Schools Gr | | | |
| | | | edule #1 – General Informatio | | | |
| lice of the | Standard Application | | is system provides a series of standard | | ormate h | |
| | | | ducation Agency. If additional clarifica | | | |
| Program A | Authority: P.L. 107-11 | 0. Section 1 | 003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFD | A # 84.377A & 84.388A | <u>J12 103</u> | 5205. |
| | ginning Date: 08/01/ | | | Ending Date: 06/30/20 |)13 | |
| | | | the campus included in this a | | _ | |
| | Tier II 🗌 Tier III 🗌 | | | pricacioni | | |
| | ndex to the Applicat | ion | | | | |
| | | | nose schedules that must be submitted | as part of the application | The ann | licant must |
| nlace an X i | in this column for each a | in mulcates tr | dule submitted to complete the application | tion For amendments the | , me app e annlicai | nt must |
| | | | next to the schedule(s) being submitte | | | |
| | | | next to the schedule(sy being submitte | | | cation |
| Sch No. | Schedule Name | | | | New | Amend |
| 1 | General Information | | | | X | X |
| 3 | Purpose of Amendmen | t | ······· | | NA | t n |
| 4 | Program Requirements | | · · · · · | | X | 1 <u> </u> |
| 4A | Program Abstract | | | | X | |
| 4B | Program Description | | | | X | |
| 4C | Performance Assessme | ent and Evalua | ation | | X | |
| 4D | Equitable Access and P | Participation | | | X | |
| 5 | Program Budget Summ | nary | | | X | X |
| 5B | Payroll Costs 6100 | | | | \boxtimes | |
| 5C | Professional and Contra | | s 6200 | | \boxtimes | |
| 5D | Supplies and Materials | | · | | \boxtimes | |
| 5E | Other Operating Costs | | ······································ | | X | |
| 5G | Capital Outlay 6600/15 | 5XX (Exclusive | e of 6619 and 6629) | | | |
| <u>6Å</u> | General Provisions | | | | <u>X</u> | NA |
| 6B | Debarment and Susper | nsion Certifica | tion | | <u> </u> | NA |
| 6C 6D | Lobbying Certification | Activities | | | × | |
| 6E | Disclosure of Lobbying NCLB Provisions and As | | | | <u> </u> | NA |
| 0E 6F | Program-Specific Provi | | | | <u>x</u> | NA |
| | | | uiances | | <u>^</u> | |
| | ion and Incorporation | | this application is to the best of service | owladge correct and thet | the ore- | nization |
| | | | this application is, to the best of my kn ative to obligate this organization in a l | | | |
| | | | be conducted in accordance with all ap | | | |
| | | | s, the Provisions and Assurances, Deba | | | |
| Special Prov | isions and Assurances, a | and the sched | ules attached as applicable. It is under | stood by the applicant that | | |
| | | by the Agenc | y or renegotiated to acceptance, will for | orm a binding agreement. | | |
| | ed Official | | | | | |
| yped First | Name | | t Name | Title | | |
| lguie | | Peñ | | CEO/President | les a territe | |
| hone | Fax | | nail | Signature/Date Signed (b | iue ink p | referrea) |
| 56-393-22 | | | ena@irra.org@irra.org | | 1 | |
| | gally responsible part | | | | | |
| | | at least 3 with | n original signature(s), must be <u>receive</u> | <u>d</u> by 5:00 p.m. Thursday , | , June 3, | , 2010: |
| | Education Agency | | 人名布尔 医白色 化油酸盐酸白色素 | | | |
| | B. Travis Bldg. ent Control Center, Room | 6-108 | · 관련 변화하는 것 및 여러 확인 것 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | TEA DOCUMENT C | ONTRO | NO |
| | lorth Congress Avenue | 0 100 | | | SAINOL | |
| | Texas 78701-1494 | | | | | |
| , | | | 57 17 1. S- M 18 51 51 52 53 | | | |
| | | | | 701-10-112 |)_754 | 1 |
| | | | Ř. – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – | /01-10-11/ | 2-234 | ŀ |
| | | | *: 1 | | | |
| | | | Page 1 of 190 | | FA 704 | 1-10-112 |
| | | | /0/ | | | |
| yright© Texa | s Education Agency. All rights | s reserved. | | | SAS- | A114-10 |

| | For TEA Use Only nents and/or annotations made be have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| by telepho | ne/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | | | | |
| by | of TEA. | Torrest Thile T. D. in the Only of a Constant | Amendment No. | | | |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #1—General Information | | | | |
| Dart 7: | List of Paguirod Fiscal | -Related Attachments and Assurances | | | | |
| For com accompa any revis | petitive applications, the applications the application when it is | plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to chments, after the closing date of the grant. Att | submit required attachments, or | | | |
| | | Proof of Nonprofit Status | | | | |
| 1 🛛 | Required for all open-enroliment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization: | | | | | |
| | Check box to indicate that and Part 3: Schedule Instruct | t proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Pactions for acceptable proof.) | rt 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines | | | |
| | and Barris | Assurance of Financial Stability | Active to a state of the | | | |
| | Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers: | | | | | |
| 2 🛛 | enrollment charter schools, audit for the immediate prio determined by the TEA Divis reserves the right to ensure preliminary selection for fun | surance that audit requirements have been me and education service centers must be in compliance r fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner request sion of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financi ding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of he required annual audit for the immediate prior fis | ce with submitting the required annual ted by TEA, and the audit must be applicable audit standards. TEA ially stable at the initial time of Financial Audits will determine | | | |
| | A | ssurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa | tion Form | | | |
| | Required for all applicant | s: | | | | |
| 3 🖂 | Check box to indicate ass | urance that reviewer information form will be | submitted. | | | |
| 3 🖂 | | o complete the Reviewer Information Form and to s Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instr | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations ma on this page have been confirmed with 5. CCVA Sc5 by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 0/16 by K. Condult of | Standa | rd Applicati | TION AGENCY on System (SA 2010-2013 | | 108-801 County-District No. |
|--|--|---------------|---|----------------------|---|
| by p. confirme of | | le T Prior | ity Schools | Grant | Amendment No. |
| | | | eral Inform | | |
| Part 3: Applicant Inform | | . #1 GGI | ciai inivini | | |
| i dre of Applicate antorni | | | <u></u> | <u> </u> | |
| Local Educational Agenc | y (LEA) Informa | ition | | | |
| LEA Name | | | | | · |
| IRRA, Inc. | | | ~ | | 7.0.4 |
| Mailing Address Line – 1 | Mailing Address Li | <u>ne – 2</u> | City | State | Zip Code |
| P.O. Box 164 | | | McAllen | Texas | 78501-164 |
| U.S. Congressional District Number | Primary DUN | NS Number | Central Cont (CCR) CAGE | tractor Registration | NCES Identification Number |
| 27 TX-015 | 781036835 | | 48VUO | | 480002310812 |
| Campus Name | | | | County-Distric | t Campus Number |
| Rio Grande Center-Children of | | | | 108801-006 | |
| Mailing Address Line - 1 | Mailing Address Li | ne – 2 | City | State | Zip Code |
| P.O. Box 164 | | | McAllen | Texas | 78501-164 |
| Applicant Contacts | ************************************** | | | | a na sa n |
| Primary Contact | | | | | an a |
| First Name | Initial | Last Name | 9 | | Title |
| Sandra | | Cavazos | | | Grants and Compliance |
| Telephone | Fax | | Email | | |
| 956-393-2227 | 956-292-0371 | | scavazos@ | | |
| Mailing Address Line – 1 | Mailing Address Li | ne – 2 | City | State | Zip Code |
| P.O. 164 | | | McAllen | Texas | 78501-164 |
| Secondary Contact | | | | | |
| First Name | Initial | Last Name | 2 | | Title |
| Aguie | | Pena | | | CÉO/President |
| Telephone | Fax | | E-mail | | |
| 956-393-2227 | 956-292-0371 | | apena@irr | | 1 |
| Mailing Address Line – 1 | Mailing Address Li | | City | State | Zip Code |
| P.O. 164 | | | McAllen | Texas | 78501-164 |
| | | | | | |

| r | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Adju on this | stments and/ | A Use Only or annotations made en confirmed with | | | ON AGENCY n System (SAS) | | 8-801 District No. |
| by telep | hone/e-mail/ | FAX on of TEA. | School Ye | ars 2 | 2010-2013 | Amen | dment No. |
| | | | Texas Title I Pr | iorit | y Schools Grant | | |
| | | | Schedule #3—Pu | | | | |
| | | | Check all schedules nendment, please ind | | | | schodulos aro |
| | | | porting budget sched | | | | schedules are |
| \boxtimes | | #1—General Inform | | | Schedule #5-Prog | | ary |
| | Schedule | #3—Purpose of Am | endment | | Schedule #5B—Pay | roll Costs 6100 | |
| | Schedule | #4—Program Requi | rements | | Schedule #5C—Pro 6200 | fessional and Cont | racted Services |
| | Schedule | #4A—Program Abst | ract | | Schedule #5D—Su | oplies and Material | s 6300 |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | | | | 6400 | | |
| | Schedule #4C— Performance Assessment and Evaluation Schedule #5G—Capital Outlay 6600/15XX (Exclusive 6619 and 6629) | | | 5XX (Exclusive of | | | |
| | Schedule Participat | #4D—Equitable Acc | ess and | | | | |
| NOTE | : The last | day to submit an | amendment to TEA | is 90 |) days prior to the | ending date of th | ne grant. |
| Part | 2: Revise | ed Budget | | 197 197 197 | | | |
| Compl | ete this pa | art if there are any b | udgetary changes. | | | | |
| | | | Grant Project Costs | | В | С | D |
| Line No. | Sch. No. | Class/ Object Code | Previously Approved Budget | | Amount Deleted | Amount Added | New Budget |
| 01 | 5B | 6100 | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 02 | 5C | 6200 | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 03 | 5D | 6300 | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 04 | 5E | 6400 | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 05 | 5G | 6600/15XX | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 06 | Total Direct Costs | \$ | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 07 | Indire | ect Cost (%) | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| • • • | | | <u>т</u> | | T | T | ¥ |

| · · · · · | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | TEA Use Only and/or annotations made | | |
| | e been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 |
| | | Januaru Appreation System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by telephone/e- | nall/FAX on of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| by | 01 TEA. | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | Amenament No. |
| | and the second | Schedule #3—Purpose of Amendment | |
| Part 3: Rea | ison for Amendme | nt Request. For all grants, regardless of do | Illar amount, check the |
| | | on for amendment request. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1. Add | lition of a class/object | code not previously budgeted on Schedule #5-E | Budget Summary |
| | | amount approved in any class/object code on Sch 5% of the current amount approved in the class/o | |
| | | n on any of the supporting budget schedules (i.e. | |
| | | ne number of positions budgeted on Schedule #5 | |
| 5. Add | | computer hardware/equipment (not capitalized) | |
| 6. Add | | increase in quantity of capital outlay item(s) \geq \$ sting \$5,000 or more. | 5,000 approved on Schedule #5G- |
| | lition of a new item of an \$5,000. | capital outlay items approved on Schedule #5G- | -Capital Outlay for articles costing |
| | uction of funds allotte | d for training costs | |
| 9. Add | litional funds needed | | |
| 10. Ch | | tives, regardless of whether there is an associate | ed budget revision requiring prior |
| 11. Ot | her (Specify) | | |
| | endment Justificat | lion | |
| | | annan <u>a an</u> anana annan annan Annan annan anna | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is 90 days prior to the ending date of the grant.

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |
| |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Summary and Application Requirements

Part 1: Grant Program Information;

Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

Allowable Activities

Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4—Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

| | For TEA Use Only | | |
|------|---|---|---|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations made this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108801 County-District No. |
| by t | telephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| by | of TEA. | Tours Tible I Duissibe Oak and Owent | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| P | Part 2: Statutory Requireme | 9 NTS | |
| # | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Federal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| 1 | | t the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school r each school and selected an intervention for | Comprehensive Needs Assessment |
| 2 | provide adequate resources and | t it has the capacity to use these grant funds to d related support to each Tier campus identified in o implement, fully and effectively, the required tion model it has selected. | Project Management—Capacity Project Management—LEA Support |
| 3 | application for each campus), t each Tier I school. | ve each Tier I school (through a separate he LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve | · · · |
| 4 | interventions consistent with th services the campus will receive | it has taken, or will take, to design and implement e final federal requirements, including the e or the activities the campus will implement. | Program Abstract Intervention Model |
| 5 | select external providers, if app | | Project Management—External Providers |
| 6 | The LEA must describe actions with the interventions. | it has taken, or will take, to align other resources | Project Management—Resource Management Program Budget Summary |
| 7 | | t has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or its schools to implement the interventions fully | Project Management— Management of Grant Activities |
| 8 | The LEA must describe actions i after the funding period ends. | t has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms | Project Management—Program Continuation and Sustainability |
| 9 | The LEA must include a timeline the selected intervention in eac | e delineating the steps it will take to implement h campus. | Project Management—Activity Timeline |
| 10 | assessments in both reading/lar | ual goals for student achievement on the State's nguage arts and mathematics that it has its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school | Performance Assessment and Evaluation—Annual Performance Goals |
| 11 | LEA's application and implement campus | onsult with relevant stakeholders regarding the tation of school improvement models on its | Comprehensive Needs Assessment—Groups of Participants Project Management— Partnerships/Involvement of Others |
| 12 | program will supplement, and n allocated to the campus. | at financial assistance provided under the grant ot supplant, the amount of state and local funds | Program Assurances |
| 13 | implement fully and effectively a | at it will use its School Improvement Grant to an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school onsistent with the final federal requirements. | Program Assurances |

| | Fo | or TEA Use Only | | |
|------|-------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | s and/or annotations made ave been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108-801 |
| UIL | ins page na | ave been continued with | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by t | elephone/e | -mail/FAX on | School Verra 2010, 2012 | |
| by | | of TEA, | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| P | art 2: 5 | Statutory Requireme | ents | |
| # | Requir | rement Description – I | ederal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| | | | hat it will establish annual goals for student | Program Assurances |
| | | | essments in both reading/language arts and | |
| 14 | | | press on the leading indicators in section III of the | |
| | | | der to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that i t funds, and establish goals (approved by the | |
| | | | r III schools that receive grant funds. | |
| | | | at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tie | r Program Assurances |
| | | | contract or agreement terms and provisions to | |
| 15 | hold the | e charter operator, chart | er management organization (CMO), or education | |
| | manage | ement organization (EMC |)) accountable for complying with the final federa | |
| | require | | | |
| 16 | | it provides assurance that in action III of the final federa | will report to the TEA the school-level data required | Program Assurances |
| | | | plement the <u>turnaround model</u> , the campus | Program Assurances |
| | | mplement the following f | | |
| | a. | | nd grant the principal sufficient operational | |
| | | | staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to | |
| | | | prehensive approach in order to substantially | |
| | | | vement outcomes and increase high school | |
| | b. | graduation rates; | npetencies to measure the effectiveness of staff | |
| | υ. | | turnaround environment to meet the needs of | |
| | | students; | | |
| | | | ng staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and | |
| | | Select new staff | | |
| [| с. | | gies as financial incentives, increased | |
| | | | otion and career growth, and more flexible work | |
| | | | igned to recruit, place, and retain staff with the | |
| | | school; | t the needs of the students in the turnaround | |
| | d. | | high-quality, job-embedded professional | |
| 1-7 | | | gned with the school's comprehensive | |
| 17 | | instructional program a | ind designed with school staff to ensure that they | |
| | | | te effective teaching and learning and have the | |
| | - | | r implement school reform strategies; | |
| | e. | | e structure, which may include, but is not limited to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LEA | |
| | | | and leader" who reports directly to the | |
| | | | f Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year | |
| | | | r SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for | |
| | | greater accountability; | | |
| | f. | | implement an instructional program that is | |
| ĺ | | | tically aligned from one grade to the next as well | |
| | | as aligned with State a | | |
| | g. | | s use of student data (such as from formative, | |
| | | | e assessments) to inform and differentiate neet the academic needs of individual students; | |
| | h. | | I implement strategies that provide increased | |
| | | learning time (as define | | |
| | i. | | ial-emotional and community-oriented services | |
| | | and supports for studer | | |

| | For TEA Use Only | | |
|-------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 |
| | | | County-District No. |
| by to by | elephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| P | art 2: Statutory Requireme | nts | |
| # | Requirement Description – F | ederal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| | | plement the turnaround model , the campus | Program Assurances |
| 10 | may implement the following fe | | |
| 18 | a. Any of the required and model; or | permissible activities under the transformation | |
| | | g., themed, dual language academy). | |
| | If the LEA/campus selects to im | plement the school closure model , the campus | Program Assurances |
| | must implement the following r | | |
| | | attended that school in other schools in the LEA ng within reasonable proximity to the closed | |
| 19 | | , but are not limited to, charter schools or new | |
| | schools for which achie | vement data are not yet available. | |
| | - | re is a one-year grant without the possibility of | |
| | continued funding. If the LEA/campus selects to im | plement the restart model , the campus must | Program Assurances |
| | implement the following federal | | |
| | | open the school under a charter school operator, | |
| | | organization (CMO), or an education | |
| | | ion (EMO) that has been selected through a A CMO is a non-profit organization that | |
| 20 | | narter schools by centralizing or sharing certain | |
| | functions and resources | among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non- | |
| | | provides "whole-school operation" services to an | |
| | LEA. b. Enroll, within the grade | s it serves, any former student who wishes to | |
| | attend the school. | · · · | |
| | | plement the transformation model , the campus | Program Assurances |
| | must implement the following for 1. Develop and increase to | eacher and school leader effectiveness. | |
| | | cipal who led the school prior to commencement | |
| | of the transformation m | odel; | |
| | | nsparent, and equitable evaluation systems for | |
| | teachers and principals (1) Take into | o account data on student growth as a significant | |
| | factor as well as | other factors such as multiple observation-based | |
| | | performance and ongoing collections of | |
| | | tice reflective of student achievement and chool graduation rates; and | |
| 21 | | gned and developed with teacher and principal | |
| | involvement; | | |
| | | ard school leaders, teachers, and other staff | |
| | | nting this model, have increased student high school graduation rates and identify and | |
| | | o, after ample opportunities have been provided | |
| | for them to impr | ove their professional practice, have not done | |
| | so; (D) Brovide staff and | aing high-guplity ich amhaddad profession-1 | |
| | | oing, high-quality, job-embedded professional <u>1.</u> , regarding subject-specific pedagogy, | |
| ĺ | | eflects a deeper understanding of the community | |
| | served by the scl | nool, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned | |
| | with the school's | comprehensive instructional program and | |

| | For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
|------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| by t by | elephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| P | art 2: Statutory Requireme | nts | |
| # | | ederal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| 21 | effective teaching and le implement school reform (E) Implement such stration opportunities for protoconditions that are deskills necessary to mischool. 2. Comprehensive instruct (A) Use data to identis research-based next as well as a (B) Promote the conformative, interidifferentiate instituindividual studer 3. Increasing learning time (A) Establish scheduttime; and (B) Provide ongoing engagement. 4. Providing operational flier (A) Give the school calendars/time, comprehensive a achievement out rates; and (B) Ensure that the assistance and right designated exterior | regies as financial incentives, increased motion and career growth, and more flexible work asigned to recruit, place, and retain staff with the eet the needs of the students in a transformation tional reform strategies. tify and implement an instructional program that d and vertically aligned from one grade to the aligned with State academic standards; and tinuous use of student data (such as from m, and summative assessments) to inform and ruction in order to meet the academic needs of | Program Assurances |
| 22 | An LEA may also implement oth leaders' effectiveness, such as (A) Provide additional componecessary to meet the resulting from profession (B) Institute a system for n resulting from profession (C) Ensure that the school in the sch | er strategies to develop teachers' and school ensation to attract and retain staff with the skills needs of the students in a transformation school; neasuring changes in instructional practices nal development; or s not required to accept a teacher without the | Program Assurances |
| | mutual consent of the t seniority. | eacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's | |

.

| | For TEA Use Only | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by te | elephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | annoon ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a |
| | | Schedule #4-Program Requirements | |
| D | art 2: Statutory Requireme | | |
| # | | ederal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| | An LEA may also implement co | mprehensive instructional reform strategies, such | Program Assurances |
| 23 | implemented with fide achievement, and is r (B) Implement a school w (C) Provide additional sup and principals in orde students with disabilit ensure that limited Er master academic cont (D) Use and integrate tec of the instructional pr (E) In secondary schools (1) Increase rigor b advanced cours International Ba and mathematic rigorous and rel contextual learn dual enrollment prepare student appropriate sup students can tal (2) Improve studen summer transiti (3) Increase gradua programs, re-er communities, co based assessme mathematics ski | vide "response-to-intervention" model; oports and professional development to teachers r to implement effective strategies to support des in the least restrictive environment and to aglish proficient students acquire language skills to tent; hnology-based supports and interventions as part ogram; and y offering opportunities for students to enroll in ework (such as Advanced Placement; iccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, s courses, especially those that incorporate evant project-, inquiry-, or design-based ing opportunities), early-college high schools, programs, or thematic learning academies that s for college and careers, including by providing ports designed to ensure that low-achieving ke advantage of these programs and coursework; t transition from middle to high school through on programs or freshman academies; tion rates through, for example, credit-recovery gagement strategies, smaller learning ompetency-based instruction and performance- nts, and acceleration of basic reading and | |
| | at risk of failing | to achieve to high standards or graduate. | |
| 24 | create community-oriented school (A) Partner with parents are based organizations, he others to create safe so emotional, and health r (B) Extend or restructure to strategies as advisory provide faculty, and other school (C) Implement approaches implementing a system eliminate bullying and set of the school | ad parent organizations, faith- and community- ealth clinics, other State or local agencies, and shool environments that meet students' social, needs; ne school day so as to add time for such beriods that build relationships between students, of staff; to improve school climate and discipline, such as of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to | |
| | kindergarten. | | |

,

| | For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | elephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| Бу | of TEA. | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | Amendment No. |
| | an a | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| P | art 2: Statutory Requireme | nts | |
| # | Requirement Description – F | ederal Statutory Requirements | Primary Component Where Described |
| 25 | and intensive support, such as- (A) Allow the school to be as a turnaround divisio | run under a new governance arrangement, such n within the LEA or SEA; or school-based budget formula that is weighted | Program Assurances |
| 26 | | er I and Tier II schools is prohibited from | Program Assurances |
| By | | n model in more than 50% of those schools. d signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify | ing that all requirements are |
| | | component descriptions and activities. | ing that an requirements are |
| Pa | rt 3: Statutory Assurances | | |
| # | Statutory Assurance Descrip | tion | |
| 1 | | at financial assistance provided under the grant pr nd local funds allocated to the campus. | ogram will supplement, and not |
| 2 | Applicant provides assurance th | at it will use its TTIPS Grant to implement fully and at the LEA commits to serve consistent with the fir | |
| 3 | Applicant provides assurance th in both reading/language arts a final federal requirements in orc funds, and establish goals (appr improvement funds. | at it will establish annual goals for student achieve nd mathematics and measure progress on the lead ler to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it oved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III sc | ment on the State's assessments ling indicators in section III of the serves with school improvement chools that receive school |
| 4 | contract or agreement terms an | at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier d provisions to hold the charter operator, charter r ation accountable for complying with the final feder | management organization, or |
| 5 | final federal requirements. | at it will report to the TEA the school-level data rec | • |
| 6 | | at it will participate in any evaluation of the grant of ing its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency | |
| Par | t 4: TEA Program Assuranc | es | |
| # | TEA Assurance Description | | |
| 1 | activities have been completed. Quarterly Implementation Repor TEA technical assistance. a. The Model Selection and This report may be subm completion of the followi i. Comprehensive ii. Establish the gra iii. Identification an | s are made available, the grantee must demonstrate Successful completion of the early implementation ts (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report Description Report must be submitted to TEA no la nitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees n ng activities: Needs Assessment process. Int budget by the required categories. d Selection of the intervention model. activities to implement selected intervention mode | will be measured in the ort, and through participation in ater than February 1, 2011 . nust demonstrate successful |
| | | Timeline of Grant Activities. | |

| | For TEA Use Only | | |
|------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations made this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108-801 |
| | | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| | | | |
| by t | elephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| by | of TEA. | | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| Pa | rt 4: TEA Program Assuran | Ces | |
| # | TEA Assurance Description | | |
| 1 | | ce that the LEA will designate an individual or off | |
| | | nool improvement efforts. This individual/office v | |
| 2 | | tive implementation of the grant option approved | |
| | liaison to TEA and those providi | ng technical assistance and/or contracted servic | e to the LEA/campus as part of the |
| | approved grant. | | |
| | | ce that a team from the grantee LEA/campus wil | |
| 3 | orientation meetings, technical | assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of | grantees, the Texas School |
| | Improvement Conference, and | sharing of best practices. | |
| 4 | | Designed Models the applicant provide assurance | |
| 4 | make use of technical assistanc | e and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, | and/or its subcontractors. |
| | The applicant will establish or p | rovide evidence of a system of formative assess | ment aligned to the Texas Essential |
| 5 | Knowledge and Skills which pro- | vides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effect | tiveness of the LEA's curriculum |
| 5 | | on occurring on the campus; assesses progress o | |
| | | el; and guide instructional decisions by teachers | |
| - | | a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity an | |
| 6 | grant intervention models. | ····· | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 7 | The applicant will provide acces | s for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA | , SIRC and its contractors. |
| | The applicant, if selecting the Re | estart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO o | or EMO providers on the State's |
| 8 | approved list of CMO and EMO | | |
| | | urnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers | I and Tiers II only) agrees to the |
| 9 | | cipal or principal candidates in a formative asses | |
| | leadership capacity. | | |
| | | ts to implement the transformation model, the | e campus assures That it will it |
| | implement the following federal | | e campus assures mache winne |
| | | acher and school leader effectiveness. | |
| | | ness of the current principal and use the results | of the evaluation to determine |
| | | should be replaced, be retained on the campus, | |
| | or training. | should be replaced, be recamed on the campus, | of be provided leadership coaching |
| | | chool leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in i | implementing this model have |
| | | chool leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in i | |
| | | nievement and high school graduation rates and | |
| | | ities have been provided for them to improve the | eir professional practice, nave not |
| | done so; | n blain muniter the much added surfacetors of its of | |
| | | g, high-quality, job-embedded professional deve | |
| 10 | | nstruction that reflects a deeper understanding o | |
| | | ted instruction) that is aligned with the school's | |
| | | ed with school staff to ensure they are equipped | |
| | | e capacity to successfully implement school refo | |
| | | tegies as financial incentives, increased opportu | |
| | | exible work conditions that are designed to recru | |
| | | eet the needs of the students in a transformatio | |
| | | litable evaluation systems for teachers and princ | |
| | | count data on student growth as a a factor as we | |
| | | ased assessments of student performance and o | |
| | | tive of student achievement and increased high | |
| | | and developed and with teacher and principal in | |
| | | | |

| For | TEA | Use | Only | |
|-----|-----|-----|------|--|

of TEA.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances # **TEA Assurance Description** 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students. 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and 10 B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO). An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as--(A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school; 11 (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development: or (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority. An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as--(A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B) Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E) In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or 12 design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework; (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies; (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.

| | For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. |
|-------|---|---|---|
| by te | elephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| by | of TEA. | | Amendment No. |
| | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | ىمىسىمىيى يېۋىتۇرىكى بىرىدىن <u>مىغىرىيى قۇرۇر</u> قۇرۇرىيىنى <u>يېرىمەر بەر مەرىكە بەر</u> ىڭ كەرەر تەرەر ^ك ىدىكىت |
| | | Schedule #4—Program Requirements | |
| Pa | t 4: TEA Program Assuran | Ces | |
| # | TEA Assurance Description | | |
| 13 | such as A. Partner with parents other State or local a emotional, and healt B. Extend or restructure relationships betwee C. Implement approach positive behavioral se D. Expand the school pr | e the school day so as to add time for such strate in students, faculty, and other school staff; es to improve school climate and discipline, such upports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and ogram to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kinde | -based organizations, health clinics, nments that meet students' social, egies as advisory periods that build as implementing a system of student harassment; or ergarten. |
| 14 | A. Allow the school to b the LEA or SEA; or B. Implement a per-pup | other strategies for providing operational flexibili e run under a new governance arrangement, suc il school-based budget formula that is weighted | b as a turnaround division within based on student needs. |
| 15 | requested. a. Number of minutes wit b. Average scale scores o "all students" group, fo c. Number and percentag schools, or dual enrollm d. College enrollment rate e. Teacher Attendance Ra f. Student Completion Ra g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed comp i. Types of support offere j. Types of on-going, job- k. Types of on-going, job- | n State assessments in reading/language arts an r each achievement quartile, and for each subgre e of students completing advanced coursework (nent classes. (High Schools Only) es. (High Schools Only) te te te betencies created to identify teacher strengths/w d to teachers embedded professional development for teacher embedded professional development for adminis parent/community involvement | id in mathematics, by grade, for the oup. e.g., AP/IB), early-college high eaknesses |
| - | ubmitting the application and ve assurances. | I signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certi | fying it will comply with the |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S; $CaVaZOSby telephone/e-mail/EAX on (1/30/10)$ | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) School Years 2010-2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{1/30/10}{0}$ of TEA. | Amendment No. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Schedule #4A—Program Abstract | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part 1: Grant Eligibility | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 🖾 Tier I Eligible Campus 🛛 🗌 T | ier II Eligible Campus 🛛 🗌 Tier III Eligible Ca | ampus | | | | | | | | | |
| Identify which timeline the LEA/ | Campus the applicant will implement. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1: LEA/campus currently | v engaged in aggressive reform | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of foundational technical assistance | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | . Provide a brief overview of the program you play | | | | | | | | | | |
| and goals, rationale for program des intervention model to be selected. | ues such as your local program goals and object sign, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, or A response to this question must be completed acted an intervention model at this time or not. | weaknesses to be addressed by the | | | | | | | | | |
| Responses are limited to the space p | provided, front side only, with a font size no sma | ller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). | | | | | | | | | |
| include: parental involvement, community eng and physical restrictions. By making use of the Model. This model will help us address math/s students. We propose to develop and increase retain master teachers who possess the skills in This effort will be met by providing additional p to have teachers/administrators and suppor also through the "Love and Logic" and "Ca prepared to deal with the whole student. Deali to concentrate on their academic achievement: system is developed and implemented to mease additional improvements need to be made per instructional reform strategies by increasing program. This will be accomplished by implement student achievements in mathematics, science a means for the campus to implement software programs, we expect to increase graduation ra certifications. The Rio Grande Center will cont such as CNA, Microsoft Office and CISCO Cer Agency courses Career Preparation 1 & 2. To the Rio Grande Valley to provide continuity for aggressive approach to train our faculty in diffe cosmetology, massage therapy, welding, techr and college ready program to correlate with ou skills to inspire them to pursue a technical colle programs to establish an early warning system state mandated exams. By creating a commu- process to sustain an operational flexible commo- our campus. The school will form partnerships Association and other community-based orgar our students and their families. Ultimately, thro develop methodologies to foster an environment Parents Redirecting Education thru Social Serv Valley Communities Foundation's ENCORE pri- together to implement our Bilingual Parent ses conducted by Rice University, UT Austin and the sustained support by involving more staff site allotting time to develop approaches for higher discipline management training and remediation | ent process, school teams have identified areas of critical need agement, engaging instruction, and relevant curriculum, stude CNA process, our campus selected an innovative and aggres science achievement, parental involvement, social/health/fami se teacher/school leader effectiveness by providing addition necessary to meet the needs of our students as required in the rofessional development to all staff members for increased aw rt staff trained as required on the Texas Design Model's P pturing Kid's Heart" curriculum to sensitize staff on how to ng with the whole family concept addresses other needs and a s, thus raising overall achievement in all academic areas. Add sure the changes in instructional practices to determine where review and analysis of data sources such as AEIS, PBMAS, e i the use of and integration of technology-based supports and i enting Reading Plus, Ascend Math, and Ford ePAS programs s, and reading. Additionally, the school will build an alliance wi b/technology and alternative programs tailored for "response-to tes, allow students additional opportunities to recover credits, rract with licensed professional, and/or South Texas College to tification Network Administrator, A,+, and expand our current enhance all certifications and the program we will partner with our learners in seeking apprenticeships and jobs after course ventiated instruction along with entry level certification training ology certifications and EMT-Paramedic. We plan to meet our r state approved curriculum. All our students will graduate wit age and/or a four year institution of higher learning. Additionally to identify students that are most at-risk of failing to achieve s unity-oriented school, we will increase learning time. The fn nunity oriented program coupled with assurances that the chai with parents and organizations such as Texas Valley Commun izations such as health clinics, local agencies and state agen- ugh all these partner ships, together we will help learners and nt of trust between the school, the lear | nt inclusion in school activities and events, sive plan to implement the Transformation ly services that benefit our campus and all compensation and incentives to attract and e transformational model of school change. rareness of our student needs. We propose ositive Behavior Intervention Supports and deal with discipline issues and be better allows us to find solutions that allow students litionally, we will ensure that a measurement improvement is being made and where tc. We intend to provide comprehensive interventions as part of the instructional into our curriculum as a means to increase th Penn Foster High School. This will provide o-intervention". By implementing these and/or complete career/vocational program of the Texas WorkForce Development Board of completion. We propose to take an for technical areas of specialization such as student's needs through a strong vocational h a diploma, and have marketable job/career y, we will be able to use results from these atisfactory results in the classroom or on the pocus is to establish an efficient and effective nges/transformation process will take place for inities Foundation, South Texas Promotoras cies so that we can better serve the needs of families meet challenges, find solutions, and pormunity. The school's parent committee, dvisory Council as we partner with the Texas initiatives. PRESS AND TVCF will work ICORE CAMP, created as a result of research n its proposed operational flexibility and pards to staffing, creating school calendars and once. A strong focus will include the use of | | | | | | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | | | | e | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | | | | | 108-801 County-District No. | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|---------|----------|---|---------|----------|----------|-------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|-------|--|
| by to by | elephone/e-mail/FA | X on | of TE | • | | Scho | ool Yea | ars 20: | 10-20 | 13 | | | | mendmer | | |
| Uy | | | 0110 | <u></u> | Теха | s Title | e I Pri | iority | Scho | ols Gr | ant | | | menumer | | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | t 1: Compre | | | | Assess | ment | <u> </u> | | | | | | <u>.</u> | | | , |
| Sec | tion A: Camp | 1 | | | | ······ | | j su | | × | <u></u> | | | | - | |
| Ту | pe of School | | T | 1 | tudents | 1 | T | | 1 | | 1 | | | 1 | T | |
| | ······································ | PK | ĸ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Put | lic School | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | en- oliment arter School | 8 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | | | | | | 13 | 21 | 18 | 11 | and the second sec |
| Tot | al Students: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| | | | | | | | | | | Тс | otal In | struct | ional | Staff | | 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | То | tal Sup | oport | Staff | | 8 |
| Sec | tion B: Data S | Source | s Rev | iewec | l or to l | be Rev | lewed | l in the | Com | preher | nsive l | Needs | Asses | sment | Proce | SS |
| 1. | Student atter | ndance, | includ | ling tri | uancy | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Student grad | es in co | ore sub | ject a | reas. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | TAKS scale so | cores. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Instructional | observa | ations. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Student comp | oletion | rates. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Student drop-out rates. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Student | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Parent and community involvement rates. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Teacher-Stud | ent and | l Stude | ent-Sti | udent tu | itoring | rates. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Instructional / | Approa | ches. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | For TEA Use Only | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | | | | | |
| | on this page have been confirmed with | | | | | | | |
| | S. Cavazos | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

108-801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 1/30/10 by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

The LEA brought in the Region One service staff to provide all campus principles with the training on the TEA recommended Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) Process developed by Region 20. After administrative staff was trained the center principals trained all their individual center staff along and committee members on the CNA process. At the Rio Grande Center, students, staff, teachers, parents, community members, and business members had a stake in the process. With their help we met and gathered ideas about the direction we wanted to take with our learners. Our committee analyzed multiple sources of data that included student demographics, student achievement data such as prior year TAKS data, student attendance, school culture and climate, staff quality, staff retention, student survey's, parental involvement data, social services, current technology and curriculum, instruction and assessments.

Through the CNA process the committee members suggested that a vocational program was needed. Currently the Rio Grande Center has a minimal career and technology education program. Learners at our Center are able to enroll in individual CTE courses such as Marketing Dynamics, Medical Terminology, Business Communication, and Principals of Manufacturing. A key role for the Rio Grande Center is to include the as well as a program for administrators, teachers, students and families working all worked towards attaining academic, career and social success for all learners. The Rio Grande Committee members concluded that providing vocational training; our learners would attain skills marketable for employers possibly enter a community college to pursue their trade. The committee analyzed the current instructional program that was being offered at the center and concluded that we needed to make several changes to our current educational program.

More importantly, we assessed the student's needs, thoughts, and perceptions and considered their contributions in our **transformational model**. By looking at what we could provide to better help our student demographics, we determined that students wanted to learn a trade for which they could be marketable after graduation. Our committee felt it was an opportunity to engage more students by offering entry level certification courses where our learner could develop a craft in which they could pursue after graduation and perhaps captivate many of our learners into going into a post-secondary institution to further enhance their trade or craft at the secondary level. Furthermore, we could relate content based instruction in the core subject areas to real world applications. Many of our students would be afforded the opportunity to use real life applications to better understand the information being taught in the classroom. Our student achievement data would increase and certainly use a real life hands-on approach. Thus many of our learners will perform better on state achievement tests due to participating in real world applications. Other information discovered by our comprehensive needs assessment was that we need to close the achievement gap of our learners. Many of the programs listed in our summary page are remediation strategies to help our learners to close the achievement gap and get our learners up to or at grade level. The majority of our learners are two or three grade levels behind when they register at our center. We need to intensely remediate instruction for our learners to try and get our learners at grade level as quickly as possible.

| For TEA Use Only |
|-------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| his page have been confirmed with |
| |

108-801

County-District No.

on t

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Capacity -- Describe the LÉA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model. LEA will invest time and resources to train campus principal in policies and procedures to comply with the policies set for the by the TTIPS/SIG Grant. The professional development workshops will focus on a understanding of school finance and budget codes. When the campus principal has completed the training in budget codes and school finance he will be able to adequately monitor program activities and expenditures of the TTIPS/SIG funds. According to grant application and guidelines all expenditures will be appropriated according to the center TTIPS/SIG grant.

The LEA will ensure the TTIPS/SIG project maximizes the current available resources to achieve program goals and performs the activities that are necessary in the maintenance of the grant. Resources may include financial resources, inventory, human skills, production resources, or information technology. The entire organization's management team will ensure that inter department collaboration is in place to assist the TTIPS/SIG program. This will be accomplished through identification of staffing requirements, planning and oversight of payroll and benefits, education and professional development, and work-life needs. Through the efficient and effective deployment of these resources, the project will benefit with additional tools, training and skills. The principal will ensure that all funds are used according to the grant.

| | For TEA Use Only | |
|----|----------------------------------|------|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations i | made |
| on | this page have been confirmed w | ith |

on and page have been commed mar

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others – Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model. The process begin with the Comprehensive Needs Assessment and then the SBDMC consulted with students through surveys and small discussions about their educational needs. The LEA and center held meetings with parents and community to address needs assessment and school intervention model. The LEA held meetings with all center staff members to address campus needs and different types of school intervention models to be used. Committee members were selected to serve on the application and proposed school intervention model to be used.

Through the comprehensive needs assessment and the taking stock process, all stake holders, i.e. staff, faculty, parents, students, and members of the community were involved in the review and completion of the grant application. Stake holders contributed to data collection in instructional practices, curriculum review, community services provided, student achievement, attendance data, drop out data, standardized test score analysis, barriers to parental involvement, and evaluation of student performance. The committee determined that the **Transformational model** is most appropriate for the Rio Grande Center. This committee will continue with needs assessment analysis throughout the grant period to assess the changing needs of the Center over the next three years.

| For TEA Use Only | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | | | | | | |
| S. Cavazos | | | | | | |
| 3 | 12.10 | | | | | |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | 2110 | | | | | |
| by man | of TEA. | | | | | |

108801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities – Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

The Site Based Decision Making (SBDM) committee in conjunction with the Rio Grande Center director will manage and monitor the day to day program activities and program requirements. To provide additional assistance all grant activities for the TTIPS-SIG grant program will be monitored by the Office of Grants and Compliance. This office will ensure that all project purposes and goals are met. Compliance monitoring will focus on TEA, Statutory and Program requirements. The program will be evaluated for best practices and effectiveness in addition to the continuous monitoring of performance measures. Additional management of activities will include: Monitoring, on an ongoing basis, project performance with respect to the activities, reporting, deliverables, and milestones agreed upon by the district. Project management will ensure the project is in compliance with all contractual obligations, financial reporting requirements and any other laws and standards. To ensure the program is on tract, the committee, including the technology coordinator and the compliance office will meet on a monthly basis to tract program success. In the process of implementing the **transformation plan through the Texas Design Model**, if the SBDM committee discovers conflicting policies and/or procedures, the SBDM committee will make recommendations for changes to the Board of Directors. Additional support will come from the regional service center along with School Improvement Resource Center. More importantly, evaluation check points will be established every three months to ensure proper implementation timelines are corresponding to all compliance indicators.

| | | | For T | EA U | se Only | |
|----|------|-------|--------|-------|------------|--------|
| | Adju | istme | nts ar | nd/or | annotation | s made |
| on | this | page | have | been | confirmed | with |

108-801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ by _____

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

See 18

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management – Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal, state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

The district and campus SBDM committee will monitor this project to ensure that all program funds are used in accordance with grant guidelines, and for the specific activities outlined in this proposal. All current private, local, state and/or federal grant funded programs will remain intact for the operation of existing programs and for the purposes for which they are intended. All private, local, state, and federal funds when applicable will be aligned to support the transformational model and its implementation. The district will maintain documentation that clearly demonstrates the supplementary nature of these funds.

The district and campus SBDM committee will ensure the TTIPS/SIG project maximizes the current available resources to achieve program goals and performs the activities that are necessary in the maintenance of the project. Resources may include financial resources, inventory, human skills, production resources, or information technology (IT). The entire organizations management team will ensure that interdepartmental collaboration is in place to assist the TTIPS/SIG program. This will be accomplished through identification of staffing requirements, planning and oversight of payroll and benefits, education and professional development, and work-life needs. Through the efficient and effective deployment of these resources the project will benefit with additional tools, training and skills.

| For TEA Use Only | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | | | | | |
| SI Cavazos | | | | | |
| by talaphana/a-mail/Eav on 10/21/10 | | | | | |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | | | | | |
| by mys of TEA. | | | | | |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Grant Project Manager Qualifications – Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager for this grant on the campus.

Dr. Abe Mendieta-Hire Date September 28, 2009 will act as the grant manager will be the Rio Grande Center principal working with the center SBDM committee. The center principal has a Bachelors Degree in Educational Administration, A Master's degree in Principal, Mid-management, and Supervisor. The Center Principal also holds a Doctorates Degree in Education, and holds a Superintendents Certificate, and has over 6 years experience in school administration and over 11 years is school education. The Rio Grande principal has served at the elementary level to the University level in education. The principal has served in multiple grant committees who have received over 10 million dollars in grant monies. The principal will be working closely with the SBDM committee to ensure all aspects of the grant provisions are met and deadlines completed. The SBDM committee is made up of the center principal, student advisor, at least two students, two parents, two teachers, a teacher aide, and a member of the local community. Collectively, the SBDM has over 200 years of life experience, over 150 years experience in education, over 100 years of management experience. The center principal and the SBDM committee will be trained in grant requirements and standards, management and tracking of grant timelines, report requirements, and tracking of student progress.

| For TEA Use Only | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 100001 | | | | | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | Standard Application System (SAS) | 108801 | | | | | | |
| | orandara Application oyotem (onoy | County-District No. | | | | | | |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | | | | | | | |
| by of TEA. | School Tears 2010-2013 | Amendment No. | | | | | | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | | | | | |
| ······································ | Schedule #4B-Program Description | My | | | | | | |
| Dent 2: Dente at Manager | | | | | | | | |
| Part 2: Project Management Cont | | | | | | | | |
| smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdan | | | | | | | | |
| LEA Support – Describe how the LE supporting the campus' school impro | A will structure and implement an individual or over vement efforts. | office with responsibility for | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| benchmarks. By continuously monit towards the achievement goals. Add monitoring of the grant progress. Th adequate monitoring of the steps are The district will continue to assist and | The district along with the SBDM committee will continue to address the district improvement plan and grant goals and benchmarks. By continuously monitoring these indicators, the district will ensure that the Rio Grande Center is moving towards the achievement goals. Additionally, the grants and compliance department will be actively engaged in the monitoring of the grant progress. This will ensure that the District is accountable for all performance measures and adequate monitoring of the steps are in place to indentify and address problems and noncompliance early on. The district will continue to assist and support with all grant policies and procedures by provide staff ongoing, high quality, job embedded professional development that is aligned with the schools comprehensive instructional program. | | | | | | | |
| | e SBDM committee alignment of the grant so that we the capacity to successfully implement school | | | | | | | |
| measurement tools for incen this model, have increased st | e recruitment and retention of quality staff and tive/merit pay for teachers, school leaders, and tudent achievement and high school graduation rtunities have been provided for them to improve | other staff who, in implementing rates. More importantly, remove | | | | | | |
| factors such as multiple obse | t the campus with desegregation of data, along rvation-based assessments of performance and achievement and increased high school graduati | ongoing collections of professional | | | | | | |
| to implement fully a compreh | stance in providing operational flexibility such as ensive approach to substantially improve studer es ongoing, intensive technical assistance and re ed SIRC representative. | nt achievement. Lastly, the LEA will | | | | | | |
| | ensive instructional school reform strategies, su implemented with fidelity, is having the intende | | | | | | | |
| Region 13 to ensure that staff, teacher incorporate their training to sustain a drive the campus toward attainment students through credit attainment at | ize professional development sessions through E ers and administrators are fully updated in their nd/or improve the campus through sustained, u of higher levels of success related to campus per nd/or TAKS preparation, increased graduation ra v, family, students, and the campus/district pers | knowledge and skills and able to pdated, or new initiatives that rformance standards, recovery of tes, and the relationships among | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |
| S. Cavazos |
| by telephone/e-mail/EAX on 10/21/10 |

Un

m

bv

of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability – Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

The LEA will continue to monitor all aspects of the campus **transformation** efforts by utilizing campus initiatives that have be successfully used during the three years of the TTIG/SIG grant. The LEA will incorporate into the District Improvement Plan initiatives and assign/fund such initiatives to ensure that all improvement efforts at the campus remain intact and valid after the grant expires. The campus will be required to incorporate all initiatives used during the school turn-around phase into the Campus Improvement Plan (CIP) and to work closely with the LEA directors to monitor, update, and ensure that all aspects that turned the campus around remain will continue to remain valid and viable. Additionally, the LEA/campus will utilize professional development sessions through ESC Region 1 and the SIRC-ESC Region 13 to ensure that staff, teachers and administrators are fully updated in their knowledge and skills and able to incorporate their training to sustain and/or improve the campus through sustained, updated, or new initiatives that drive the campus toward attainment of higher levels of success related to campus performance standards, recovery of students through credit attainment and/or TAKS preparation, increased graduation rates, and the relationships among of stakeholders (business, community, family, students, and the campus/district personnel).

The Rio Grande Center will continue using the Comprehensive Needs Assessment process to sustain the initial steps taken towards school improvement with the TTIPS grant through the 2012-13 academic year, and to build capacity within the school in order to continue to effectively address challenges. Actions and initiatives that will be continued include updated training for all staff on the effective instructional practices, governance and inquiry, orientation training for any newly hired staff members, updated training for board members, central administration, review and realignment of curriculum as needed and an annual evaluation of the effectiveness of the process. Continuing training will occur throughout the year centering on powerful learning and teaching. Staff development time will be included in the school calendar specifically for updated training on the cadres and strategic action team's inquiry process and to provide additional resources.

| | For TEA Use Only | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | justments and/or annotations made is page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

ALL STA

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers -- Describe how the LEA will recruit, screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality.

The LEA will research all recommended external providers with a successful proven track record in school transformation models along with the assistance of the regional service center. The LEA has extensively researched providers who meet center eligibility of our comprehensive needs assessments and also begun to schedule presentations with SIRC providers who have solicited the district for inclusion of the TTIPS/SIG grant. All center principals eligible for Tier I, II, or III were invited to these presentations.

Intensive discussions were held with the presenters and center principals were able to make decisions based on Rio Grande Center's Comprehensive Needs Assessments which will target specific areas of the TTIPS/SIG grant for school improvement.

The Rio Grande Center Center has chosen to utilize the service of the School Improvement Resource Center at Region 13 for technical assistance and support.

All TTIPS/SIG external providers will be required to provide evidence of successful documented school turn around, meet the Centers Comprehensive needs, train all required personnel, and provide technical assistance in all critical success factors along with data disaggregation.

| | For TEA Use Only |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on | this page have been confirmed with |
| | S. Cavazos |

108-801

County-District No.

| by telephone/e-mail/fjAX on | 10 | /21 | 11 |
|-----------------------------|----|------|-----|
| by men | | of T | EA. |

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Site Visits – If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit.

Through the technical assistance of the regional service center and our SIRC, the Rio Grande Center is determined to find similar schools implementing the same **transformation** model with similar initiatives to see firsthand how their model and initiatives are working. More importantly, it will be a model and mentor campus for our center in the turnaround process to better gain perspectives on the various stages of a successful turnaround.

SBDM committee will select and compile a list of campuses that are successful in utilizing the process of a transformational model by building leadership capacity and changes in governance and inquiry along with success in the expected outcomes of academic improvement for all students and a positive change in culture and climate of the campus.

Expected outcomes of the site visits.

The site visit is intended to provide peer to peer collaboration (buy in), activities and implementation. Communication at the site visit will help teachers and administrators gain an understanding of how the turn around benefits them and moves a campus toward their academic goals. The visitors will also see how their effort contributes to the larger goal.

The site visit hosts will be able to answer many of the day to day questions and logistics. Upon completion of the site visit, the host campus can become a mentor and begin networking that allows for clear and consistent support, opportunities to reflect, listen openly and provide guidance.

| For TEA Use Only |
|---|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

aller Pre

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Lack of Capacity -- If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (is not applying for grant funding for each Tier I school), provide a detailed explanation of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.

The LEA is applying to serve all Tier I schools.

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108-801 |
| | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | | |
| by of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | I |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| Section A: Intervention Model Section | election Process | |
| Intervention Model to be Implemented – Indicate the model(s) being <u>considered</u> by the LEA/campus for implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. | | |
| | | |
| Turnaround | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Restart | | |
| Restart | | |
| | | |
| Transformation | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Tier III Modified Transformation | | |
| TEA Designed Model with Techni | cal Assistance Provided by the School Improvement | ent Resource Center |
| | | |
| | s (SES) incorporated into the intervention model | |
| Note: Applying to implement the TE Resource Center in no way implies o | A Approved Model with Technical Assistance Prov r guarantees funding. | vided by the School Improvement |
| The LEA/campus will implement regulations released by USDE | its own intervention design, within the paramete | rs required by the final federal |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Schedule #4B—Program | n Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, co | ontinued on next page |
| | | |
| | | |

| Factor Andrea Andrea | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108801 |
| S. Cavazos | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10 | | |
| by of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| · | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | - 2 M |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| Section B: Model Selection Proces | ss -Describe in detail: | |
| | followed/will follow to select a school intervent | ion model that aligns to the |
| identified needs of the campus. | | |
| 2. The timeline delineating the steps | s the campus will take to implement the selecte | d intervention. |
| | Construction and the state of t | |
| Responses are limited to rive pages | , front side only, with a font size no smaller that | in 9 point (Arial or Verdana). |
| A Committee was formed to look at al | I the data available and to select a school interve | ention model. Following a careful |
| analysis of the Comprehensive Needs | Assessment the focus groups also evaluated th | e following data: AYP and AEIS |
| reports for school years 2003 - 2009, | PBMAS Continuous Improvement plans for NCL | B, BE/ESL, SpEd., CATE, Dropout |
| | 2010, Campus Improvement Needs Assessmen | |
| | bus Improvement Plan 2009-2010, and in-house | |
| The focus groups analyzed the demog | graphics, perceptions, student learning, and scho | ool processes and identified eight |
| | cus groups generated eight critical problem state | |
| statements. | | |
| The data analysis of the AVD and A | EIS reports identified the following problem a | reas: All subject cross domonstrate |
| | th and Science Performance results demonstrate | |
| | | |
| | its during 2005 to 2006, Notable decrease from 2 ent during 2008 to 2009. Other subject areas de | |
| improvements. | ent during 2008 to 2009. Other subject areas de | monstrate inconsistent |
| | | |
| | prehensive Needs Assessment identified the | |
| | I as 90% of instruction is noted to be at the level | |
| | ation of an Individualized Professional Developm | |
| | multiple measures of data, the committee gener | ated the following problem |
| statements, goals to improve, and the | plan to achieve them. | |
| In implementing the Transformational | Project, the Rio Grande Center will adhere to tim | eline activities / strategies which |
| align to increasing the effectiveness of | f teacher(s) and campus leader, improving instru | ction through targeted professional |
| development, and creating a commun | ity-oriented school to increase student achievem | ent. |
| The Rio Grande Center will implement | v v | |
| | itizing of strategies and monitor implementation e | |
| identified needs/deficiencies. Create an audit checklist of corrective action plan activities | | |
| - | or completion of strategies and activities address | ing CNA. corrective action plan |
| activities | | |
| | assure that strategies corrective action plan are s | scheduled in a structured and timely |
| manner | | |
| | ers that will provide modeling of teachers effective | ely using the five E's |
| | e five E's via classroom observations | |
| - | te alternate lessons to those found in the CSCOF | re curriculum by utilizing on -line |
| resources | consistant foodbook regarding their losses will | ting rofloativo quochaning |
| | consistent feedback regarding their lessons utilizide to policies and procedures; provide a mentor | |
| center administrators | ide to policies and procedures, provide a mentor | ior a two year period to all new |
| | to center administrator with a focus on mentoring | a supporting and purturing |
| | enter administrator in securing a professional co | |
| | e lessons are designed reflecting the five E's and | |
| | ist to assist teachers in CSCOPE implementatio | |
| | teachers regarding their lessons utilizing reflectiv | |
| | ion of the above referenced activities / strategies | |
| per semester basis. | | |
| r | | |
| L | | |
| | R 94 -4400 | |
| | Page 31 of 100 | RFA 701-10-112 |
| Copyright© Texas Education Agency. All rights reserv | ved. 10 | SAS-A114-10 |

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108801 |
| Si Cavazos | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10 | | |
| by me of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| 129 - S | Schedule #4B—Program Description | |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| Section B: Model Selection Proce | | |
| | followed/will follow to select a school intervention | on model that aligns to the |
| identified needs of the campus. | | |
| 2. The timeline delineating the step | s the campus will take to implement the selected | intervention. |
| Responses are limited to <i>five pages</i> | , front side only, with a font size no smaller than | n 9 point (Arial or Verdana) |
| | | r s pome (rindi or verdund) |
| 2010 – 2011 Projected Timeline Activiti Pre-Work | es | |
| Campus Comprehensive Needs Assessm | ant conducted | |
| Replace or retain key staff | ient conducted | |
| Establish LEA designated personnel to fo | ster Transformational Project | |
| Develop job-embedded professional deve | elopment plan for Year 1 | |
| Attend Summer Seminar 09/14-18/2010 | | |
| with time lines for audit purposes. | This checklist will include all of the Critical Success Fact | tors and corresponding milestones |
| | Create of monitoring plan for assuring strategies identii | fied are responsive to CNA and are |
| | asis on the first 45 and 90 day action plans. | |
| The Corrective Action Plan The monitorin | ng plan will include any area identified by the audit that | |
| | Plan Corrective Action Plan will allow the Center staff | and the LEA to focus on those areas |
| in need of improvement. <u>1st quarter Fall I 2010 (September 1,20</u> | 210): | |
| * Implement school wide Positive Behavio | or Interventions Support (PBIS) | |
| * Begin providing enhanced social service | | |
| | ta to guide professional development and student interv | rention |
| * Develop plan to recruit and retain highly | | |
| * Review progress toward goals in 45 and | | |
| | n/ The Monitoring Plan Transformation Plan that clearly gram implementation and staff development. days and | |
| | Mabel Falls. Schedule Corrective Action Plan and Res | |
| Sept. 24, 2010: Complete Center's Profes | | |
| Sept. 28, 2010: SBDM Meets to review p | rogress toward goals in the 90 day action plan. | |
| Oct.1, 2010: Complete Individual Profess | ional Development Plans. | |
| 2 nd quarter Fall I 2010 (November 1, 201 | 10): ment based on classroom observation data and studen | t data |
| | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 – 90 day action | |
| | n/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan for the new | |
| Audit. | | |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan Transformation and | |
| toward meeting Professional Developmen | review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day a ot goals | clion plans and to identify progress |
| 3 rd Quarter Winter I 2010 (December 1, | | |
| | d PEIMS to analyze Positive Behavior Interventions Sup | oports |
| *Begin on-line courses, if applicable | | |
| | ment based on classroom observation data and studen t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 – 90 day acti | |
| | m/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation Plan for next 4 | |
| Dec. 27, 2010: Schedule Complete Corre | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Rest | ructuring Plan Review. |
| | review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day ac | ction plans, and to identify progress |
| toward meeting Professional Developmen | | |
| 4 th Quarter Spring I 2010 (February 1, 2 | 011) ment based on classroom observation data and studen | t data |
| | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 – 90 day action | |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan | |
| Plan Audit. | - | |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Res | |
| March 22, 2011: SBDM meets to evaluate, re | view, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day action plan | is, and to modify it necessary. |

| | ····· | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108801 | | |
| S. Cavazos | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. | | |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10 | | | | |
| by of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. | | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | | | |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | ······································ | | | |
| Section B: Model Selection Proce | | | | |
| | followed/will follow to select a school interventi | on model that aligns to the | | |
| identified needs of the campus. | | | | |
| 2. The timeline delineating the step | s the campus will take to implement the selected | a intervention. | | |
| Responses are limited to five name | , front side only, with a font size no smaller tha | n 9 point (Arial or Verdana) | | |
| Responses are infined to five pages | , mont side only, with a font size no smaller tha | r y polit (Anal or Verdana). | | |
| | ment based on classroom observation data and stude | | | |
| *Review progress toward goals in the nex | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45-90 day actio | n plan activities / strategies. | | |
| 5 th Quarter Spring I 2010 (April 1, 2011) | l ment based on classroom observation data and stude | nt data | | |
| | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 – 90 day act | | | |
| April 25. 2011: Complete Complete Corre | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan | n for next 45-90 days. Restructuring | | |
| Plan Audit. | 5 | , | | |
| | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and | | | |
| | eview, and monitor progress toward the previous 90 da | y action plan, and to identify completion | | |
| of Professional Development goals. Summer I (June 1, 2011) | | | | |
| *Attend Summer Seminar | | | | |
| *Review and revise professional developr | nent plans | | | |
| *Review and revise needs assessment | | | | |
| *Analyze progress toward goals in previou | | | | |
| | for Positive Behavior Intervention Supports | | | |
| *Write new 45 and 90 day action plan stra *Attend NSDC Summer Conference | itegies / activities | | | |
| 2011-2012 Projected Timeline Activities | | | | |
| 1 st quarter Fall II 2011 (September 1, 20 | | | | |
| * Implement revised and updated professi | ional development plan | | | |
| * Implement classroom level Positive Beh | | | | |
| | project based life relevant learning and certification pro- | grams | | |
| * Continue online courses | livitino / etrotogios | | | |
| | * Write new 45 and 90 day action plan activities / strategies. Sept. 5, 2011: Complete Complete Corrective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan for next 45-90 days. Restructuring Plan | | | |
| Audit. | | | | |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and | | | |
| | ssional Development Plan for the new program year ba | sed on CNA. | | |
| | rogress toward goals in the 90 day action plan. | | | |
| 2 nd guarter Fall II 2011 (October 1, 2011 | essional Development Plans for new program year. | | | |
| *Monitor the implemented targeted profes | sional development | | | |
| | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 - 90 day acti | on plan activities / strategies. | | |
| | active Action/ The Monitoring Plan Transformation Plan | for next 45-90 days. Restructuring-Plan | | |
| Audit. | tive Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and | Postructuring Plan Progress Poulou | | |
| | review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day a | | | |
| toward meeting Professional Developmen | | | | |
| 3rd Quarter Winter II 2012 (December 1, | | | | |
| * Continue online learning | | | | |
| * Teacher Leaders collect for Action Rese | | | | |
| | veness of Positive Behavior Intervention Supports. | | | |
| | next 45 day action plan and write the next 45 - 9 | u day action plan activities / | | |
| strategies. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Page 33 of 180 101

| For TEA Use Only | | |
|---|---|---|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108801 |
| SICANAZOS | | County-District No. |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{1}{30/10}$ | Standard Application System (SAS) | |
| by men of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | h |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| Section B: Model Selection Proce | ss -Describe in detail: | |
| | followed/will follow to select a school interventi | on model that aligns to the |
| identified needs of the campus. | | |
| The timeline delineating the step | s the campus will take to implement the selecte | d intervention. |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | s, front side only, with a font size no smaller tha | n 9 point (Arial or Verdana). |
| Dec. 12, 2011 begins. | | |
| Audit. | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plar | i for next 45-90 days. Restructuring Plan |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and | Restructuring Plan Review |
| | review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day a | |
| toward meeting Professional Development | | |
| 4th Quarter Spring II 2012 (February 1, | | |
| *Lead Teachers collect data for Action Re *Continue online learning projects/credits | | |
| *Monitor progress of the implemented tar | | |
| | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 – 90 day acti | on plan activities / strategies. |
| Feb. 20, 2012: Complete Complete Corr | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan and Restructuring P | lan Audit. |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan and Restructuring Pl | |
| • | ate, review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 da | ay action plans, and to modify if |
| necessary. 5 th Quarter Spring II 2012 (April 1, 2012 | | |
| *Monitor progress of the implement targe | | |
| *Review progress toward goals in the new | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 - 90 day acti | |
| | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan | for next 45-90 days. Restructuring Plan |
| Audit. | ative Action/The Menitoring Dian / Transformation and | Postructuring Plan Program Review |
| | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and eview, and monitor progress toward the previous 90 da | |
| of Professional Development goals. | | y detter plan, and to identify completion |
| Summer II 2012 (June 1, 2012) | | |
| *Teacher Leaders present initial findings | | |
| *Attend Summer Seminar | s evaluation on what is working and what must continue | e to be addressed. |
| 2012 – 2013 Projected Timeline Activiti | es | |
| 1 st quarter Fall III 2012 (September 1, 2 | | |
| * Re-administer Campus Snapshot | | |
| * Write new 45 and 90 day action plan ac | | for post 45 00 days Bostructuring Plan |
| Audit. | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan | for next 45-90 days. Restructuring Plan |
| | ective Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and | Restructuring Plan Progress Review. |
| Sept. 21, 2012: Complete Center's Profe | ssional Development Plan based on current data or new | |
| | rogress toward goals in the 90 day action plan. | |
| Sept. 28, 2012: Complete amended Indiv 2 nd guarter Fall III 2011 (October 1, 201 | | |
| *Monitor the implemented targeted profes | | |
| *Review progress toward goals in the nex | t 45 day action plan and write the next 45 - 90 day acti | |
| | en/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plan for next 4 | |
| • | ctive Action/ The Monitoring Plan Plan / Transformation | and Restructuring Plan Progress |
| Review. Nov. 27, 2012: SBDM Meets to evaluate | review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day a | ction plans and to identify progress |
| toward meeting Professional Developmer | | ionon plans and to identity progress |
| tensia meeting i teressional porerophie | | |
| | | |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with $S: Ca \sqrt{a Z_0}S$ | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | County-District No. |
|---|--|---|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $1/30/10$ | Standard Application System (SAS) | |
| by men of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | Schedule #4B—Program Description | |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| identified needs of the campus. | ess –Describe in detail: s followed/will follow to select a school interventions the campus will take to implement the selected | |
| Responses are limited to five page | s, front side only, with a font size no smaller than | n 9 point (Arial or Verdana). |
| *Begin the development of a sustainabili Dec. 10, 2012 begins. Dec. 17, 2012: Complete Complete Com Plan Audit. Jan. 7, 2013: Schedule Complete Corre | vention Supports evaluation xt 45 day action plan and write finial 45 – 90 day action ty plan rective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation Plar ative Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation and f , review, and monitor progress toward the next 90 day a nt goals. Plan for sustainability. | n for next 45-90 days. Restructuring Restructuring Plan Review. |
| *Monitor progress of the implemente *Review progress toward goals in the *Address sustainability in the Correc Feb. 20, 2013: Complete Complete Co Plan Audit. Mar. 1, 2013: Schedule Complete Co Review. | d targeted professional development e next 45 day action plan activities / strategies | nd Restructuring Plan Progress |
| Plan Audit. May 2, 2013: Schedule Complete Co | argeted professional development ility plan Corrective Action/ The Monitoring Plan /Transformation prrective Action/ The Monitoring Plan / Transformation a ate, review, and monitor progress toward the previo | nd Restructuring Plan Progress |
| Summer III 2013 *Present action research *Conduct intense data review and pr *Implement the sustainability plan *Develop plan to continue use of tea | ocess evaluation cher leaders as instructional resource | |

| | For TEA Use Only ents and/or annotations made a have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | |
|-------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| by telephon by | e/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| 4 | | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| | | Schedule #4B—Program Description | |
| | ntervention Model | | |
| | | s – List the groups of participants who will active to the identified needs of the campus. | ely assist in the process to select a |
| | | | |
| 1 | Region ESC Representati | ve Ruth Solis Technical Assistance | |
| 2 | District Member | | |
| 3 | Central Office Member | | |
| 4 | Local Community Leader | | |
| 5 | Campus administrator | | |
| 6 | Teacher (Elem, High Sch | ool,PreK) | |
| 7 | Campus Staff (Secretary, security, Maintenance) | | |
| 8 | Social Worker | | |
| 9 | Community Member | | |
| 10 | Business Member | | |

.

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | 108-801 |
| | Standard Application System (SAS) | County-District No. |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| by of TEA. | | Amendment No |
| Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | |
| Schedule #4B—Program Description | | |
| Part 3: Intervention Model | | |
| Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline | | |
| On the following pages of charts applicants should describe all other school improvement activities that will be | | |
| incorporated with the model to be selected. | | |
| incorporated with the model to be selected. | | |
| For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Suspense Factor (add(a) from this table, enter the | | |
| For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Success Factor Code(s) from this table, enter the | | |
| LEA/campus' rationale for including the activity, provide the supporting research that indicates the activity will be | | |
| effective, and indicate the beginning and ending date of the activity. | | |
| 1 – Improve Academic Performance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math | | |
| A. Data-driven instruction | | |
| B. Curriculum Alignment (both horizontal and vertical) | | |
| C. On-going Monitoring of Instruction | | |
| 2 – Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction | | |
| A. Data Disaggregation/Training | | |
| B. Data-driven Decisions | | |
| C. On-going Communication | | |
| 3 – Increase Leadership Effectiveness | | |
| A. On-going Job Embed | ded Professional Development | |
| B. Operational Flexibility | | |
| C. Resource/Data Utilization | | |
| 4 – Increase Learning Time | | |
| A. Flexible Scheduling | | |
| B. Instructionally-focused Calendar | | |
| C. Staff Collaborative Planning | | |
| 5 – Increase Parent/Community Involvement | | |
| A. Increased Opportunities for Input | | |
| B. Effective Communication | | |
| C. Accessible Community Services | | |
| 6 – Improve School Climate | | |
| A. Increased Attendance | | |
| B. Decreased Discipline Referrals | | |
| C. Increased Involvement in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities | | |
| 7 – Increase Teacher Quality | | |
| A. Locally Developed Appraisal Instruments | | |
| B. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development | | |
| | | |
| C. Recruitment/Retentio | n Strategies | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S, CaVa2OS

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Schedule #40-Flogram Dest

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

| CSF Milestone | Additionai Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timeline Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|---------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1C,3C,4C, 1A | Implement intensive reading remediation program Reading Plus | Targeted low performance area for campus, close the achievement gap | TAKS scores, DMAC , AYP, AEIS, TELPAS, TPRI Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1C,3C,4C, 1A | Implement intensive math remediation program in Math | Targeted low performance area, close achievement gap | TAKS score, DMAC, AYP, AEIS, ASCEND Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 7B,6A,6C, 5A,4C | Develop a vocational program for learners | Enhanced academic application with relation to real world | Vocational Certification, Climate Survey, Student Survey, Parent Survey, Career Readiness Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | January 2011 |
| 5A,5B,5C, 3A, 4A | Integrate partnerships with Local business for apprenticeship programs | Application of Vocational Certification to potential career opportunities | Career Readiness, Career and Technology Education TEKS Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | October 2010 | March 2011 |
| 1B,1C,2B, 4A,5A | Career oriented project based learning with cross curricular integration of core subjects | Interdisciplinary, project- based, rigorous curriculum to enhance student engagement and performance. | Career and Technology Education TEKS, Career Readiness Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1B,1C,2B, 4A,5A | Develop marketable job skills for career readiness | Empower individuals with the competencies, skills, and attitudes to succeed at a higher learning institution and career environment. | Career and Technology Education TEKS, Career Readiness, Technology TEKS Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Nov. 2010 | June 2013 |

108801

| 6A,6B,6C, 5A, | Implementation of Love and Logic and capturing kids Heart approach | Establish positive relationships which improve student attendance, discipline, and attitudes. | Staff / Parent / Student Surveys, Social Service documentation, Community Resource Center documentation Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug., 2010 | June 2013 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| 5A,5C,1C, | Increase the amount of one on one time with students | Establish positive relationships which improve student attendance, discipline, and attitudes. | Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | Sept. 2010 |
| 1A,1B,2B, C3,6A,6B | Improve college and career awareness for all learners | Empower individuals with the competencies, skills, and attitudes to succeed at a higher learning institution and career environment. | Career Readiness, Career and Technology Education TEKS, Technology TEKS, ENCORE CAMPs Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug.2010 | June 2011 |
| 6A,B,1A, 1B,6B,5A, 5B | Increase project based educational excursions as an enhancement tool for classroom learning. All excursions must be approved and be related directly to the lesson plans that include pre and post lessons. | Real life application of core subject areas concepts. | Parent and Student Surveys; lesson plans and excursion itinerary | March 2011 | June 2013 |

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |
| S. Cavazos |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{11/30/10}{0}$ by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timelin e Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|--------------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3A,3B,7A, 7B,7C, | Train staff on data driven decision making processes | Understand Data, Trends, and Student Achievement | Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Transformation to Educational Excellence Model | Dec. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 4C,3A,2A,2 B,1A,1B,1C | Develop processes to make proper decisions based on data | Improve Quality Teaching and Learning, Instructional Strategies, Classroom Instructional Design | Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Teacher Climate Survey, Marzano's Translating Research into Action | July 2010 | Nov. 2010 |
| 1A,1B,1C, 3A,3B,3C | Integrate Professional Learning communities | Develop small learning communities to improve collegiality and professionalism | Robert DeFour's Professional Learning Communities, Teacher Climate Survey | Jan. 2011 | July 2011 |
| 1A,1B,1C, 3A,3B,3C | Increase frequency of classroom walkthroughs to monitor instruction | Improve Quality Teaching and Learning, Instructional Strategies, Classroom Instructional Design | Carolyn Downey's 3 The Three-Minute Classroom Walk- Through | Aug. 2010 | June 2011 |
| 1A,1B,1C,2 A,2B,3C,7C | Utilize all data instruments to drive classroom instruction | Improve effectiveness of Curriculum Mapping, Tutorial Tribes, Professional Learning Communities | Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A,1B,1C,2 A,2B,3C,7C | Increase and use various data instruments to make decisions | Improve School Processes and positively affect student performance and perspectives. Improve Monitoring Process Implementation and data quality review. | Victoria Burnthard's Multiple Measures of Data Marzano's Translating Research into Action | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Add addition | al pages as needed.6147 | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |
| S. Cavazos |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{11/30/10}{00}$ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 3: Increase Leadership Effectiveness

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timelin e Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 7B, 3A, 4C, | Professional Development for Campus Administrator in Learning Communities, Accountability and Staffing, Empowerment, Collaborative Leadership, Curriculum and instruction | To prepare campus administrator to increase abilities and knowledge of factors that increase his/her effectiveness in providing Center leadership | School-in-school and learning communities studies; Personnel management procedures and policies; Effective leadership workshops | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 7A, 4B, 1B, 1C | Develop instrument tool to measure leadership effectiveness. The teacher tool is PDAS and is state approved and revised 6/2007. The Principal tool was developed collaboratively by executive Management Team and Principals and adopted Jan 2010. | Formative evaluation targeted toward school improvement efforts to include school climate, parental involvement, and student performance/achievement | TAKS, documentation on parental involvement activities, AEIS/AYP/PBMAS reports | Aug 2010 | Sept. 2010 |
| 4C, 2C, 7C, 3C, 5B, 5C | Mentorship program for campus leader; Consult with an experienced Administrator | Principal is paired with an experienced administrator that is and has demonstrated school turn- around or increase school performance | Principal evaluations, school climate surveys from student/parental and community surveys | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 3C, 3B, 3A, 7B, 2C, 1C, | Budget and Funding Workshop | Increase Principal and select staff expertise in school finance laws, appropriations, etc. | Principal needs assessment, end of year financial budget reviews of campus activity | Jan 2011 | June 2011 |
| 5B,5C,3C 3A, 7B, 1C | External Consultant to help with the Transformational model/ SWSAS | Provide professional assistance in following and better understanding of the transformational model | Principal needs assessment, center needs assessment, grant compliance and effective leadership | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 5B,5C,3C 3A, 7B, 1C | Utilize Regional Service Center | To gain up-to-date knowledge and training to increase principal effectiveness | Comprehensive needs assessment, principal needs assessment, evaluation instrument, school climate /performance data | Jan. 2011 | June 2013 |

| | For TEA Use Only |
|----|-------------------------------------|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on | this page have been confirmed with |
| | S. Cavazos |

108-801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timeline Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1A, 1B,1C 2A,2B,2C, 3A, 3B,3C, 4A,4B,4C, 5A,4B, 5C, 6A,6B, 6C 7A,7B,7C | Professional development through professional organizational conferences | Needed to provide principals with skills and knowledge to meet the ever changing demands of the 21 st century schools | Lambert, L. "Building leadership capacity in schools" and Whitaker "What great principals do differently: Fifteen things that matter most" | Sept. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A,1B,3A, 5C | Collaboration among Center Principals | To expand cross-district implementation and communications | Principal needs assessment, EMT/principal discussions | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A,1B,4C, 7B | Partnership with Model School using Transformational model | To experience first-hand and be guided by working with school that have already implemented the transformation model and showed remarkable increases | Comprehensive needs assessment, principal needs assessment, Kohn & Nance "Principals who learn: Asking the right questions, seeking the best solutions" | Jan 2011 | Jan 2011 |
| 1A,2A,2B, 5C | Incentive Pay for Center Director based on Achievement | To increase school culture, climate and parental/community involvement | Comprehensive needs assessment, principal needs assessment | Aug 2011 | June 2013 |
| Add addition | al pages as needed. | k | | 4 | |

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S_{c} Cavazos

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 4: Improve Learning Time

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timelin e Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 4A,4C,6A, 6C, 7C | Implementation of a vocational program | Career ready learners, Vocational Certification, Rigorous curriculum | Vocational Curriculum, CATE curriculum | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 1A, 1B, 3A, 3C, 4A, | Certify students in specialized manual trades areas | Vocational Certification, More Marketable, | CATE Curriculum, | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 1A, 1B, 2A, 5A | Vertical alignment and integration of core subject areas | Higher TAKS Scores, Graduation rate | CScope, Benchmark tests, TAKS, AEIS, | Aug 2010 | Oct 2010 |
| 1A, 1B, 2C, 3B, 4A | Application of core areas to real world application | Real world experiences, Higher TAKS scores, | C-Scope, CATE Curriculum | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 3A, 3C, 6A, 6C, | Close the instructional gap through intensive remediation in reading | Higher TAKS scores, College Readiness, Career Readiness, | TAKS Reading Scores, TELPAS, TPRI, Writing Scores | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A, 1C, 2A, 3A, 6A, 6C, | Close the instructional gap through intensive remediation in math | Higher TAKS scores, College Readiness Career Readiness | TAKS Math Scores, Ascend, Study Island, AEIS, | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |
| 6A,B,1A, 1B,6B,5A, 5B | The Center will adopt a daily scheduled that adds 45 minutes in the am and a 9 th period in the pm. | Higher TAKS scores, College Readiness Career Readiness | Comprehensive needs assessment, principal needs assessment, Kohn & Nance "Principals who learn: Asking the right questions, seeking the best solutions" | Aug 2010 | Aug 2010 |
| 6A,B,1A, 1B,6B,5A, 5B | Provide teachers with staff development for teaching and learning strategies that address the allocated Class time and increases the academic learning time. | Higher TAKS scores, College Readiness Career Readiness | Comprehensive needs assessment, principal needs assessment, Kohn & Nance "Principals who learn: Asking the right questions, seeking the best solutions" | Aug 2010 | June 2013 |

:==

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with $S \cdot Cavazos$

by telephone/e-majl/FAX on $\frac{130/10}{0}$ by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Åmendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 5: Increase Parent/Community Involvement

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timelin e Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5A, 5B,5C,6C | Implement partnership with workforce for work study and apprenticeship | Improve job readiness, attendance and establish relationships with community stakeholders. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | Nov 2010 | June 2013 |
| 5A, 5B,5C,6C | Family unity and leadership retreat that will focus on college and career readiness for our learners and their parents.(<i>i.e. Encore</i> <i>Camps, PRESS</i> <i>Leadership Conference</i>) | Improve communication skills, parenting skills, and family unity. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | June 2011 | June 2013 |
| 5A, 5B,5C,6C, 6A,6B | Parents redirecting education through social services | Improve communication skills, parenting skills, and family unity. Improve attendance, discipline, and attitudes. Inform and empower parents about various educational components. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | AUG 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A,1B,1C, 2B,5A,5B, 5C,6A,6B, 6C | Implement a parental engagement curriculum | Improve communication skills, parenting skills, and family unity. Improve attendance, discipline, and attitudes. Inform and empower parents about various educational components. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 2C,5B,5C, 6A,6B,6C | Provide GED and ESL classes for learners and their parents | Improve communication skills, parenting skills, and family unity. Improve attendance, discipline, and attitudes. Inform and empower parents about various educational components. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 2C,5B,5C, 6A,6B,6C | Financial Aid Academies for Parents and Learners | Improve communication skills, parenting skills, and family unity. Improve attendance, discipline, and attitudes. Inform and empower parents about various ed. components. | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC Pre-Site Visit Reports, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus Improvement Needs Assessment | Nov 2010 | June 2013 |

| | | 1 | | T | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| For | TEA Use Only | | | L | L | |
| Adjustments | and/or annotations made | TEXAS EDUCATION A | GENCY | 108-801 | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | | Standard Application Syst | ATT (CAC) | OUNTY-District N | - 0. | |
| | 11/2/10 | | | | • | |
| by telephone/e- | | School Years 2010- | | Amendment No. | - | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | | | |
| | | chedule #4B—Program | Description | | | |
| | ervention Model | | | | | |
| | Improvement Activities a | | with a thirt - at line that to | Deeding /F | | |
| Math | cess ractor 1: Improve A | Academic Performance incl | uaing (but not limited to) | Reading/E | LA and | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2 - S | Timeline | Timeline | |
| CSF | Additional | Rationale | Supporting Research | Begin | End | |
| Milestone | Improvement Activity | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | Date | Date | |
| 2C,5B,5C, | Assist learners and | Improve communication | Parent, Student, | AUG | June | |
| 6A,6B,6C | parents in the referral | skills, parenting skills, | Community Surveys, SIRC | 2010 | 2013 | |
| | process to social and | and family unity. Improve | Pre-Site Visit Reports, | | | |
| | community resources | attendance, discipline, and attitudes. Inform and | Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus | | | |
| | | empower parents about | Improvement Needs | | | |
| | | various educational | Assessment | | | |
| | | components. | | | - | |
| 2C,5B,5C, 6A,6B,6C | LAW Talk are general information sessions | Improve communication | Parent, Student, Community Surveys, SIRC | Jan 2011 | June 2013 | |
| 07,00,00 | that provide parents | skills, parenting skills, and family unity. Improve | Pre-Site Visit Reports, | | 2015 | |
| | information about the | attendance, discipline, | Comprehensive Needs | | | |
| | law | and attitudes. Inform and | Assessment, Campus | | | |
| | | empower parents about | Improvement Needs | | | |
| | | various educational components. | Assessment | | | |
| 5C,6A,6B, | College Information | Improve communication | Parent, Student, | March | June | |
| 6C | Fairs / Sessions | skills, parenting skills, | Community Surveys, SIRC | 2011 | 2013 | |
| | | and family unity. Inform | Pre-Site Visit Reports, | | | |
| | | and empower parents about various educational | Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Campus | | | |
| | | opportunities regarding | Improvement Needs | | | |
| | | college and university | Assessment | | | |
| | | attendance. | | | | |
| Add addition | al pages as needed. | | | | | |

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with $S_* Cavazos$

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10 by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timeline Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3A,3B,3C, 7B,7C | Implementation of the Positive Behavior Intervention Support with programs such as Love and Logic | Improvement of school climate through staff relationship building and conflict resolution | School Climate and Culture best practices | Sept. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 5B, 6A, 6B | Implementation of the Positive Behavior Intervention Support with programs such as Capturing Kids Heart | Improvement of school climate through building a support network for struggling learners | School Climate and Culture best practices | Sept. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 7B, 7C | Campus staff team building retreats | To establish an atmosphere of teamwork and collegiality | Consensus building and conflict resolution | March 2011 | June 2013 |
| 7A, 7B | Incentive/merit pay for all center staff | Retention of certified and highly qualified teachers and administrators | Staff turn-over rates | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 3A, 3B, 3C, 6A, 6B, 6C | Leadership Building Institutes for Learners | Improve school culture and climate by establishing student leaders | Student organizations and clubs, student council | July 2011 | June 2013 |
| 6A, 6B, 6C | Consensus Building and Conflict Resolution training | Improve school culture and climate by involving stakeholders in consensus building | Percentage of At-Risk students and number of discipline reports | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 5A, 5B, 5C, 6A, 6B, 6C | Awards assemblies for learners | Improve culture and climate by recognizing student achievements | No historical data on student recognition | Feb , 2011 | June 2013 |
| 3B, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6A, 6B, 6C | Inclusion of students, parents, and community representatives in SBDM committee. | To include all stakeholders in decision making process | School governance best practices | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| Add addition | al pages as needed. | | | L | I |

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S, CaNaZOS

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{11/30/10}{50}$ by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

| CSF Milestone | Additional Improvement Activity | Rationale | Supporting Research | Timelin e Begin Date | Timeline End Date |
|---|--|--|---|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 7A | Increase effective monitoring through classroom walkthroughs | Frequent classroom visits will increase time on task and produce observational data | PBMAS binder, PDAS | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 2A,3A, 3B, 3C, 7A, 7B | Develop continuing individualized professional development plan | Improve by tracking professional development | PDAS | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 2C, 4C, 7A, 7B, 7C | Develop/Identify mentorship and coaching program for teachers through SWCAS and other | To increase and improve professional self-reflection | Planning schedule | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 2C, 4C, 7A, 7B, 7C | Establish/Implement professional learning communities | To increase learning opportunities for staff and administration | Number of professional development hours | Jan 2011 | June 2013 |
| 3C, 7C | Incentive pay for master teacher and or master degree in core subject area | To retain highly qualified teachers | Teacher Retention rate | Aug. 2010 | June 2013 |
| 1C, 3B, 3C | Establish a lead teacher program to assist teachers with instructional strategies | Increase opportunities for collaboration between subject areas | Planning schedule, lesson plans | Sept. 2010 | Sept 2010 |
| 2C, 3C, 4C | Establish professional Libraries containing school improvement and instructional strategies | Provide opportunities for teachers to improve research based best practices | Lack of any resources for researching instructional best practices | Dec 2010 | Jan 21, 2011 |
| 1A, 2B, 3C, 4C | Attending Professional Educational conferences and seminars | Provide opportunities for teachers to gain knowledge in latest education research and to form professional networking | Teachers have not been able to attend professional conferences and seminars | Sept. 2010 | June 2013 |
| Add addition | al pages as needed. | | | | |

| Fau WEALLAN Oak | | T |
|---|--|--|
| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. |
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | |
| by of TEA. | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | Amendment No. |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Schedule #4B—Program Description | |
| Part 4: Waiver Requests | | |
| Applicants must check the waivers in | which the LEA/campus intends to implement. | |
| This waiver extends the "life for three years to the LEA or implementation of the grant Note: : Since TEA has reque | lability of school improvement funds. " of the funds for two additional years; allowing a behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the cal program. "sted and received a walver of the period of avai funds, this walver automatically applies to all the | mpus meets the requirements of lability of these school |
| implementing a turnaround c Under this waiver, the LEA w restart model may have their school improvement interven be applicable. This waiver al | improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title or restart model. ith an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implement or School Improvement status reset regardless of itions, such as School Choice and Supplemental lows the campus two years to effectively implement out additional statutory school improvement inte | ting the turnaround model or the actual AYP status and other Education Services (SES) would not nent the selected turnaround or |
| 40 percent poverty eligibility This waiver allows a Tier I Tit | program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating threshold. I campus that otherwise does not qualify to o the Tier I reform model selected. | |
| • | | |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | _ <u>108-801</u> County-District No. |
|---|---|---|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| <u> </u> | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| Schedu | le #4C—Performance Assessment and Eva | luation |
| | y submitting this application, the applican | |
| reporting and evaluation requirer | nents that TEA may establish and to submi page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial | it the reports in the format TEA |
| | ontinuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/o | |
| going monitoring of grant activities to | | |
| the Grants Manager: This office will er TEA, Statutory and Program Requiren the continuous monitoring of performa ongoing basis, project performance wi district and the funding requirements. obligations, financial reporting requirer will also be monitored for continuous in | hitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous im neure that all project purposes and goals are met, nents. The program will be evaluated for best pra- nce measures. Additional management of activiti th respect to the activities, reporting, deliverables Project management will ensure the project is in ments and any other laws and standards. In addit mprovement focuses on student achievement and will be data driven and implemented to ensure standards. | Compliance monitoring will focus on ctices and effectiveness in addition to es will include: Monitoring, on an a, and milestones agreed upon by the compliance with all contractual tion to these measures, the project d technology integration. All |
| A. Compilation and conclusion of all conditional student Engagement survey results C. Action Plans to completing project D. Instructional Practices Inventory E. Walkthrough Summary F. Observations G. External Provider Service Record H. Reflection Summary-benchmarks, F. I. Target Best Practices J. Teacher and student reflection K. Technology inventory | and conclusions | |
| Student Engagement survey results ar inquiry and action plans for completing Summary, Observation notes, Externa Document, Tools for Assessing School | of all committee, cadre, Strategic action teams and of conclusions; SIRC/Internal Questionnaires on each of these to demonstrated status; Instruction Provider Service Record, SIRC Schools Standa Progress Reflection Summary Sheet. will collect and analyze data to determine the ext | the process, launch, governance and nal Practices Inventory, Walkthrough rds and Indicators Summary |
| | ic to accommodate frequent changes, will be dev nis calendar will be distributed to all stakeholders | |
| | | |

| | | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. |
|----------------------------|---------|---|--------------------------------|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on | of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section B: Formative Evaluation- Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

Weekly analysis will be conducted on student grades, average daily attendance, and lesson plans. The purpose of the analysis is to determine baseline data, progress towards student achievement goals, complexity and rigor of lesson plans and lesson delivery.

Monthly analysis will be conducted on quality of professional development, parental involvement in school events, average daily attendance, quality of student work, Rio Grande Center's ability to meet deadlines, review of master schedule, quality of data concerning instruction including but not limited to walk-through observations and interdisciplinary planning activities.

Semester analysis will be done using student grades, average daily attendance, PDAS scores, benchmark assessments, student and parental feedback surveys, increased learning time, quality of collaborative planning activities, quality of work done by the SBDM committee, contributions of the student council to school improvement tasks, and teacher and student surveys on teacher quality.

These analyses will be used to evaluate Rio Grande Center's progress towards our grant goals, student achievement goals, teacher retention, improved school climate, community partnerships, rigor of lesson plans, and use of tutorial services.

The school will complete a self-study so that they own the process and the results. In the assessment process the school and the site visitors study the process and the impact at the school site.

Both the school and the site visitors will complete the annual Summary Analysis for student achievement, leadership development, average daily attendance, participation rates in school events and activities, implementation of SCOPE curriculum and FORD ePAS instructional practices, the use of data to drive instruction. After discussing all data gathered from each assessment task throughout the mid-year/year and summary report, the school will create a school action plan, which addresses each area of implementation. The professional development as well as the assessment is on-going.

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S, $Cavazos$ | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. |
|--|---|--------------------------------|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{11/30/10}{0000000000000000000000000000000000$ | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

The data will be collected as it becomes readily available from benchmark testing scheduled for the following dates (Nov 15-19, 2010and Jan 17-20, 201, as well as from the AEIS by the state reports and federal AYP reports and district, and campus teacher data. Once at the center receives the information, the center staff will meet together to disseminate the data and identify a variety of factors. More importantly, from the data collected and analyzed by the committee, a plan of action will be developed to address curriculum, student level decision making, and monitoring process implementation.

Information will come from a multitude of sources such as: AEIS, TAKS scores, benchmark data, ACT/SAT, previous year TAKS data, completion data, accumulation of credits, dropout data, frequent interviews by the project funded consultant, SIRC, principal, internal facilitators, teachers, parents, central office staff and students; classroom and school observations. Parent and community climate survey; school climate questionnaires; student engagement survey; and the required school portfolio. Board members will also be interviewed. Other products used will be meeting minutes, newsletters, reports generated by committees, as well as the effectiveness of the dissemination process.

The data will be disaggregated by the SBDM committee, teachers, regional service center, SIRC, learners, and the administrator. The data will be used to identify areas of needs, improvement areas, trends, student achievement, and other statistical information.

The data will be utilized to better serve the students to address curriculum, student level decision making, and monitoring process implementation. All stakeholders will have working knowledge of student data reports to improve the quality of instruction and each student's performance. Teachers will focus on the teaching objectives and individual student expectations of each learner. Additionally, our learners will be intricate partners in their education.

Quantitative data collection includes; Mid-year and annual site visits and summary documents; analysis of student work and student achievement data; attendance rates; TAKS passing, State Assessment proficiency and exemption rates; graduation and completion rates; AP participation and credit rates; SAT./ACT scores and the percentage of students on grade level in each content area.

In addition to these measures, the project will also be monitored for continuous improvement focuses on student achievement. All decisions for continuous improvement will be data driven and implemented to ensure student success. All data will be provided and collected by the individual in charge of the grant management desegregation.

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S, $CaVazOS$ | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 108-801 County-District No. | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{10/21/10}{0000000000000000000000000000000000$ | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. | | | | |
| dy Proceeding | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | Amendment No. | | | | |
| Schedu | Ile # 4C—Performance Assessment and Eva | luation | | | | |
| Part 2: Process for Development | | | | | | |
| in the development of the goals. Responses are limited to two page | ented to develop the campus' performance goals. s , front side only, with a font size no smaller that ke up into several committees called focus groups. The | n 9 point (Arial or Verdana). | | | | |
| Tonowing topics. | | | | | | |
| | ports for school years 2003 2009, Demographics, School Instruction and Assessment, Family and Community Inv | | | | | |
| | os in open discussions on the purpose and the expected s of data to determine campus strengths and weakness | | | | | |
| concern. The mini focus groups generate | phics, perceptions, student learning, and school proces ad multiple critical problem statements and respective gractivities as recommended by the focus groups: | | | | | |
| Schedule staff assignments for completation of the series o | Create an audit checklist of corrective action plan activities Schedule staff assignments for completion of corrective action plan activities Conduct progress reviews to assure that strategies in the corrective action plan are scheduled in a structured and timely manner Conduct sessions with teachers that will provide modeling of teachers effectively using the five Es Monitor the effective use of the five Es via classroom observations Mentor teachers as they create alternate lessons to those found in the CSCOPE curriculum by utilizing on line resources Assure teachers are provided consistent feedback regarding their lessons utilizing reflective questioning Update the administrators guide to policies and procedures; provide a mentor for a two year period to all new center administrators Devote more district staff time to center administrator with a focus on mentoring, supporting, and nurturing Assign district staff to assist center administrator in securing a professional coach Monitor lesson plans to assure lessons are designed reflecting the five Es and TEKS objectives Contract a curriculum specialist to assist teachers in CSCOPE implementation. | | | | | |
| | nd provide feedback to teachers regarding their lessons calendar timeline for implementation of the above refer ster basis. | | | | | |
| The Rio Grande Center will monitor the following to assess the progress of the campus performance goals: develop data-driven instruction to address needs to provide improvements in Reading/ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies through the alignment of curriculum, both horizontally and vertically in all core subjects. Rio Grande Center will use intensive and continuous monitoring of classroom and laboratory instructions to ensure teachers are following the curriculum guidelines of C-Scope. Develop systems to increase the use of quality data that will be used to drive instructions. This encompasses the facilitation of data disaggregation, teacher and administrator training in the use of using quality data disaggregation to drive data decisions. Additionally, teachers and administrators will communicate often and regularly on quality data that will be used to drive data decisions made by the Center. Create guidelines and policies that ensure leadership effectiveness is enhanced by on-going job embedded professional development, provisions for operational flexibility in the control and operation of the campus, and the abilities to manage and direct resources and data utilization. | | | | | | |
| inclusion of extended staff collaborative p The Rio Grande Center will increase the through the use of monthly PRESS (Pare processes through the media, newsletter | edule to benefit from the inclusion of flexible scheduling, olanning periods. involvement of parental and community involvement by ents Redirecting Education through Social Services) me is, and Internet web site presence. The Center will provi y Resource Center that addresses the needs not only of | increasing the opportunities for input etings, utilizing effective communication de accessible community services | | | | |
| change personnel, family, and learner att | y incorporating Capturing Kids Hearts and Love and Lov titudes. This in turn will allow the Center to increase atte earners in extra/co-curricular activities such as career a | ndance, decrease discipline referrals | | | | |

learner retreats, and other types of activities as desired and needed to improve Center and learner successes. The Rio Grande Center will develop the means to increase teacher quality by developing locally developed appraisal instruments to evaluate the teacher's abilities to follow and improve curriculum, state-mandated test, college readiness, etc. The Center will provide a schedule of on-going job embedded professional development to ensure teachers have the tools necessary to meet the demands of their jobs and school improvement efforts.

Additionally, the Center will develop procedures to reward all center personnel who enhance and help to increase school performance efforts with merit/incentive pay. This will be used to recruit and/or retain highly qualified and highly motivated staff members. The groups that will be responsible in the development of the campus performance goals and standards will include the Principal, teachers, student advisor, social worker, community resource member, parents, and community and business members. The above members will also comprise membership of the Campus Site-Based Decision-making Team and will also be involved in other committees designated as working groups for particular assigned tasks in the development of campus goals and directions.

| on thi S. by tel | For TEA Use Only justments and/or annotations made s page have been confirmed with CaVaZoS ephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{1}{30/10}$ | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) School Years 2010-2013 | | | 108801 County-District No. | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| by | of TEA. | Tova | a Title T Briarity | Schoole Crent | | Amendment N | 0. |
| | | | s Title I Priority | | | | |
| Part | 3: Annual Performance Goals | | | | | | |
| | rove Academic Performance - | | | | | | |
| asse | ssments and other measures iden | <u>inea</u> | by the LEA, to which | the LEA is notain | <u>g the campu</u> | <u>s accountable</u> | |
| # | Performance Measure | | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Year Performance | Year 1 Progress Goal | Year 2 Progress Goal | Year 3 Progress Goal |
| 1 | Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA | 1 | Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% |
| 2 | Improve Student Achievement ir Mathematics | l | Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | 25% | 35% | 45% | 55% |
| 3 | Improve Student Achievement ir Mathematics | ł | Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) | 25% | 57% | 63% | 70% |
| 4 | Improve Student Achievement ir Reading/ELA | ł | Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) | 50% | 35% | 4 5% | 55% |
| 5 | Improve Student Achievement in Remediation Math Program close achievement gap by 2 grade leve | the | Ascend Math | Data Not available | 2 grade levels | 1 grade level | At grade level |
| 6 | Improve student achievement in Remediation reading Program | | Reading Plus | Data Not Available | 2 grade levels | 1 grade level | At grade level |
| | ease the Use of Quality Data to to drive instruction, to which the | | | | als for increa | sing the use | of quality |
| # | Performance Measure | | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Year Performance | Year 1 Progress Goal | Year 2 Progress Goal | Year 3 Progress Goal |
| 1 | Staff development on data disaggregation and decision mak to generate processes which alig instruction | | Certificates of completion | 0 | 4 times per year | 4 times per year | 4 times per year |
| 2 | Train staff on data driven decisio making processes to improve classroom instructional design | | PDAS | 128 | 149 | 170 | 190 |
| 3 | Increase High Cognitive Levels o Instruction by increasing frequer of walkthroughs | | Walkthroughs & CSCOPE | 10% | Increase by 100% | Increase by 40% | Increase by 25% |
| 4 | Increase collaborative and interdisciplinary lessons by utilizi selective data instruments | ng | Lesson Plans and CSCOPE | 3 lessons | 7 lessons | 12 lessons | 18 lessons |
| 5 | Identify TEKS objectives most in need of remediation through dat disaggregation | 3 | DMAC and AEIS | 4 times per year | 6 times per year | 7 times per year | 8 times per year |

| For | TEA | Use | Only | |
|-----|-----|-----|------|--|

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Leadership Effectiveness– Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of campus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # 4 | Performance Measure | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | | Progress | Progress | Progress . |
|-----|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Professional Development for center administrator | Number of Staff Development Hours | No Data Available | 30 hrs | 30 hrs | 30 hrs |
| 2 | Improve Student Achievement | AEIS, AYP | 27% all tests | 35% | 45% | 55% |
| 3 | Improve School Climate and Culture Through Attendance and Discipline | Attendance - Discipline | 81.2% 15 per sem. | 87% -4% | 90% -5% | 93% -7% |
| 4 | Collaborative decisions through SBDM committee | SBDM meeting minutes | No Data Available | 50% of center wide decisions | 75% of center wide decisions | 100% of center wide decisions |
| 5 | Professional Development for center administrator | Number of Staff Development Hours | No Data Available | 30 hrs | 30 hrs | 30 hrs |

Increase Learning Time – Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Rerformance Measure | Assessmen: Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Year Performance | Progress | Progress. | Progress |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Vertical alignment and integration of core and elective subject areas | Lesson plans and CSCOPE | 2 | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 2 | Application of core and elective concepts and objectives to real world situations | Lesson plans and CSCOPE | 2 | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 3 | Close the achievement gap through intensive remediation in reading | Reading Plus reports, TAKS scale scores | 29 point difference | 9 pt. reduction | 10 pt. reduction | Reading/E LA scores identical |
| 4 | Close the achievement gap through intensive remediation in math | Math Ascend report Benchmark data, TAKS scale score | 53 point difference | 10 pt. reduction | 15 pt. reduction | 20 pt reduction |
| | | | | | | |

| For | TEA | Use | Only |
|-----|-----|-----|------|

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S. CaVazos

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement – Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Year Performance | Year 1 Progress Goal | Year 2 Progress Goal | Year 3 Progress Goal |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Hold an annual Leadership and Parental Unity Retreat | Annual Retreat Agenda and Sign in Sheet | Data Not Available | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | Increase the amount PRESS nights meetings and Information Sessions | Agenda and Sign In Sheet | 1 per month | 2 per month | 3 per month | 4 per month |
| 3 | Provide GED and ESL classes for learners and their parents | Participation rates | 0 | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| 4 | Increase parent and family participation rates | PRESS Night participation rates | 6 | Increase by 10% | Increase by 20% | Increase by 30% |
| 5 | Increase parent, student, community, and central office participation rates | SBDM meeting sign-in sheets | 0 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Improve School Climate – Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Year Performance | Year 1 Progress Goal | Year 2 Progress Goal | Year 3 Progress Goal |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Improve school governance through collaboration and team building retreats | Agenda and sign- in sheets | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 2 | Implement Positive Intervention Supports program. | Discipline referrals | Data Not Available | | | |
| 3 | Implement Love & Logic program | Discipline referrals | 38 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| 4 | Implement Capturing Kids Hearts program | Discipline referrals | 38 | 30 | 20 | 10 |
| 5 | | | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | | | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | | | | | | |

108801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA. by.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Teacher Quality – Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure | Instrument/ | Most Recent Year Performance | Propress | Progresss | Progress |
|---|---|---|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| 1 | Implement effective coaching/mentoring of instructional practices | Mentor/Coaching Minutes | 10 documented meetings per yr | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| 2 | Increase instructional effectiveness through professional development plans | Professional Development Plans | 0 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 3 | Develop Professional Learning Community | Agenda/Meeting Minutes/Sign-in sheets | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | · | | | | | |

Other - Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure | Assessment Instrument/ Tool | Most Recent Vear Performa nce | Year ú Progres s Goal | Yean 2 Prograss Goal) | Year 3 Progress ¹ Goal |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |

For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|-------------|
| | Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barri | ers and Strate | gies | |
| No Bar | riers | | an tha an an tao an | · · · · |
| # | No Barriers | Students | Teachers | Others |
| 000 | The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups. | | | |
| Barrier | : Gender-Specific Bias | and a second | | |
| # | Strategies for Gender-specific Bias | Students | Teachers | Others |
| A01 | Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate | | | |
| A02 | Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias | | | |
| A03 | Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias | | | |
| A04 | Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender | | | |
| A05 | Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender | | | |
| A06 | Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program | | | |
| A99 | Other (Specify) | | | |
| Barrier | : Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | | | |
| # | Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | Students | Teachers | Others |
| B01 | Provide program information/materials in home language | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B02 | Provide interpreter/translator at program activities | | | |
| B03 | Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc. | | | |
| B04 | Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds | | | |
| B05 | Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities | \boxtimes | \boxtimes | |
| B06 | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations | | | |
| B07 | Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity | | | |
| B08 | Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider | | \boxtimes | |
| B09 | Provide parenting training | | | \boxtimes |
| B10 | Provide a parent/family center | | | |
| B11 | Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making | | | \boxtimes |
| B12 | Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school | | | |
| B13 | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| B14 | Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities | | | |
| B15 | Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program | | | \boxtimes |

B16

Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries

 \boxtimes

| For TEA Use Only | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | |
| on this page have been confirmed with | |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

| by | of TEA. | | Amendment No. | |
|----------|--|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | |
| | Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barri | ers and Strat | egies | |
| | Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.) | | 1 | |
| # | Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity | Students | Teachers | Others |
| B17 | Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents | | | |
| B18 | Coordinate with community centers/programs | | | |
| B19 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education | | | |
| B20 | Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color | | | |
| B21 | Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color | | | |
| B22 | Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program | | | |
| B23 | Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and complaints | | | |
| B99 | Other (Specify) | | | |
| Barrier | Gang-Related Activities | 이 가장 가장 아이지 않는 것 이 가장 것 같아요 | | |
| # | Strategies for Gang-related Activities | Students | Teachers | Others |
| C01 | Provide early intervention. | | | |
| C02 | Provide Counseling. | \boxtimes | | |
| C03 | Conduct home visits by staff. | \boxtimes | | |
| C04 | Provide flexibility in scheduling activities. | | | |
| C05 | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities. | | | \boxtimes |
| C06 | Provide mentor program. | | | |
| C07 | Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities. | | | |
| C08 | Provide community service programs/activities. | \boxtimes | | |
| C09 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences. | | | × |
| C10 | Strengthen school/parent compacts. | | \boxtimes | |
| C11 | Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies. | | | |
| C12 | Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. | | | |
| C13 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. | | | |
| C14 | Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with gang-related issues. | | | |
| C99 | Other (Specify) | | | |
| Barrier: | Drug-Related Activities | | | |
| # | Strategies for Drug-related Activities | Students | Teachers | Others |
| D01 | Provide early identification/Intervention. | | | |
| D02 | Provide Counseling. | \boxtimes | | |
| D03 | Conduct home visits by staff. | | | |
| D04 | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities. | | Ĺ | \boxtimes |
| D05 | Provide mentor program. | | | |
| - | | | Mitana . | |

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

| by | , of TEA. | | Amendment No. | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | | | |
| Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies | | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Drug-Related Activities (cont.) | | | <u></u> | | |
| D06 | Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic programs/activities | | | | | |
| D07 | Provide community service programs/activities | | | | | |
| D08 | Provide comprehensive health education programs. | | | | | |
| D09 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences. | | | \boxtimes | | |
| D10 | Establish school/parent compacts. | | | \boxtimes | | |
| D11 | Develop/maintain community partnerships. | | | | | |
| D12 | Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. | | | | | |
| D13 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. | | | | | |
| D14 | Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with drug-related issues. | | | | | |
| D15 | Seek Collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. | | | | | |
| D99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Visual Impairments | rayan kurun an ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ara ara | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Visual Impairments | Students | Teachers | Others | | |
| E01 | Provide early identification and intervention. | | | | | |
| E02 | Provide Program materials/information in Braille. | | | | | |
| E03 | Provide program materials/information in large type. | | | | | |
| E04 | Provide program materials/information on tape. | | | | | |
| E99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Hearing Impairments | | | 2 4 6. | | |
| # | Strategies for Hearing Impairments | Students | Teachers | Others | | |
| F01 | Provide early identification and intervention. | | | | | |
| F02 | Provide interpreters at program activities. | | | | | |
| F99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Learning Disabilities | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Learning Disabilities | Students | Teachers | Others | | |
| G01 | Provide early identification and intervention. | | | | | |
| G02 | Expand tutorial/mentor programs. | | | | | |
| G03 | Provide staff development in identification practices and effective teaching strategies. | | | | | |
| G04 | Provide training for parents in early identification and intervention. | | Ò | | | |
| G99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints | Students | Teachers | Others | | |
| H01 | Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with other physical disabilities/constraints. | | | | | |

Other (Specify)

H99

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

| DY | OF IEA. | | Amenament No. | |
|-----------------|--|---------------|--|-------------|
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | |
| | Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barri | ers and Strat | egies | |
| Barrier: | Absenteeism/Truancy | | | |
| # | Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy | Students | Teachers | Others |
| K01 | Provide early identification/intervention. | × | | |
| K02 | Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan. | \boxtimes | | |
| К03 | Conduct home visits by staff. | | | |
| K04 | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance. | | | |
| K05 | Provide mentor program. | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| K06 | Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities. | | | |
| K07 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences. | | | |
| K08 | Strengthen school/parent compacts. | | | |
| K09 | Develop/maintain community partnerships. | | | |
| K10 | Coordinate with health and social services agencies. | \boxtimes | | \boxtimes |
| K11 | Coordinate with the juvenile justice system. | | | |
| K12 | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. | | | |
| K99 | Other (Specify) | | | |
| Barrier: | High Mobility Rates | | | |
| # | Strategies for High Mobility Rates | Students | Teachers | Others |
| L01 | Coordinate with social services agencies | | | \boxtimes |
| L02 | Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families. | | | |
| L03 | Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. | | | |
| L99 | Other (Specify) | | | |
| Barrier: | Lack of Support from Parents | | the second s | |
| # | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents | Students | Teachers | Others |
| M01 | Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. | | \square | \square |
| M02 | Conduct home visits by staff. | | | |
| M03 | Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. | | | |
| M04 | Conduct parent/teacher conferences. | | \boxtimes | \boxtimes |
| M05 | Establish school/parent compacts. | | | \boxtimes |
| M06 | Provide parenting training. | | | \boxtimes |
| M07 | Provide a parent/family center. | | Ē. | \boxtimes |
| M08 | Provide program materials/information in home language. | | | |
| M09 | Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. | | | |
| M10 | Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities | | | |
| | and other activities that don't require coming to school. | | | |
| M11 | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. | | | ļ |
| M12 | Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. | | | |
| M13 | Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. | \boxtimes | | |

Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.

Π

 \Box

 \Box

Other (Specify)

M14

M99

| | For TEA Use Only | | | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Adjustments and/or annotations made | | | | | | | |
| on | this page have been confirmed with | | | | | | | |

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies | | | | | | | |
| | Shortage of Qualified Personnel | | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| N01 | Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel. | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| N02 | Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language minority groups. | | | | | | |
| N03 | Provide mentor program for new teachers. | | | | | | |
| N04 | Provide intern program for new teachers. | | | | | | |
| N05 | Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel. | | | | | | |
| N06 | Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs. | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| N99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits | | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Lack of Knowledge regarding Program Benefits | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| P01 | Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program activities & benefits. | | | | | | |
| P02 | Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and benefits. | | | | | | |
| P03 | Provide announcements to local radio stations & newspapers about program activities/benefits. | | | | | | |
| P99 | Other (Specify) | | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Lack of Transportation to Program Activities | | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Lack of Transportation to Program Activities | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| Q01 | Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities. | | | | | | |
| Q02 | Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. | | | | | | |
| Q03 | Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations. | | | | | | |
| Q04 | Other (Specify) | | | | | | |
| Barrier: | Barrier: Other Barrier | | | | | | |
| # | Strategies for Other Barrier | Students | Teachers | Others | | | |
| Z99 | Other Barrier: | | | | | | |
| 277 | Other Strategy: | | | | | | |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S_i CaVazos by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\mathbb{N}/3\partial/10$ by of TEA | Idustments and/or annotations made is page have been confirmed withTEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) 1 SCAACCountSCAACCountSephone/e-mail/FAX on $U(30/10)$ School Years 2010-2013 | | | | 108-80 County-Distri | ct No. | | |
|--|---|----------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|-------------------------------------|
| | | exas 1 | Fitle I Pr | riority Schools | s Grant | | | |
| | Sche | edule | #5—Pro | gram Budget | Summary | 1 | | |
| Program Authority: P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), as CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A | s amend | ied by / | ARRA, P.L. | 111-5 | | ARR | d Code A (CFDA# 84.3 ular (CFDA# 84 | • |
| Pr | oject P | eriod: | August 1 | , 2010 through | i June 30, | | | 4 |
| Class/Object Code an | nd Des | criptic | on | Campus Grant Costs | LEA Adı Grant Co | | Pre-Award Cost | Total Grant Funds Budgeted |
| Payroll Costs | | 5B | 6100 | \$ 503,699 | \$ 36,400 |) | \$ | \$ 540,099 |
| Professional and Contracted Serv | /ices | 5C | 6200 | 1,017,708 | | | | 1,017,708 |
| Supplies and Materials | | 5D | 6300 | 195,000 | | | | 195,000 |
| Other Operating Costs | | 5E | 6400 | 367,200 | | | | 367,200 |
| Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 6619 6629) (15XX for charter schools | | 5G | 6600/ 15XX | 64,000 | | | | 64,000 |
| | Тс | otal Dir | ect Costs | \$ 2,147,607 | 36,400 | 0 | | \$ 2,184,007 |
| | c | % Indir | ect Costs | | | | | |
| Grand Total | | | | | | | | |
| Total Budgeted Costs: | | | | \$ 2,147,607 | \$ 36,40 | 0 | \$ | \$ 2,184,007 |
| Administrative Cost Calcul | ation | | | | | | | |
| Enter total amount from Schedul | | Idget S | ummary, I | Last Column, Tot | al Budgeted | d Cost | S | 2,184,007 |
| Multiply by 5% (8% limit) | | | | | | | | X .05 |
| Enter Maximum Allowable for Ad | ministra | tion, in | cluding In | direct Costs | | | | \$ 109,204 |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S, Ca Vazos | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | |
|---|---|--|
| by telephone/exmail/FAX on $\frac{1}{30/10}$ by of TEA. | School Years 2010-2013 | Amendment No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | , and the new second se |
| S | chedule #5—Program Budget Summary | |
| Budget Request by Grant Year: E grant period. | nter the amount of grant funds requested fo | or each year of the three year |
| Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$728,003* | | |
| Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$728,002* | | |
| Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$728,002* | | |
| | nds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/c ailability of these grant funds, whether indicated | |
| Provide any necessary explanatio | n or clarification of budgeted costs | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| For TEA Use Only |
|---------------------------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made |
| on this page have been confirmed with |
| S, Cavazos |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 11/30/10 U TEA by m

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

22 23

24

25

26

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs (6100) **Budgeted Costs** #Full-#Part-**Employee Position Titles Justification** Time Time Amount **Pre-Award** Effort Effort Budgeted Instruction Teacher for Vocational Program to \$ Teacher 1 \$ teach High School Career Prep 1&2 120,000 Educational Aide Tutor **Program Management and Administration** 36,400 Project Director (District Shepherd) 1 **Project Coordinator Teacher Facilitator** 40,000 Facilitator for On Track to Success 1 Teacher Supervisor Secretary/Administrative Assistant Data Entry Clerk Grant Accountant/Bookkeeper Evaluator/Evaluation Specialist Auxiliary Counselor Social Worker 105,000 1 Child Care Provider Community Liaison/Parent Coordinator 2 72,800 Bus Driver Cafeteria Staff Librarian School Nurse **Other Employee Positions** Title: Facilitator for On Track to Success 1 120,000 Title: Title: Title: Subtotal Employee Costs \$ \$ 454,200 Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits

| 27 | 6112 | Substitute Pay | \$ \$ 16,899 |
|----|------|---|------------------------|
| 28 | 6119 | Professional Staff Extra-Duty Pay | 30,000 |
| 29 | 6121 | Support Staff Extra-Duty Pay | |
| 30 | 6140 | Employee Benefits | 39,000 |
| 31 | | Subtotal Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits Costs | \$ \$ 85,899 |
| | | | |
| 32 | | Grand Total Payroll Budget (line 26 + line 31) | \$ \$ 540,099 |

| on this | For TEA Use Only istments and/or annotations made page have been confirmed with | TEXAS EDUCATION A | | | 108-801 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S. | Cavazos | Standard Application Sys | tem (SAS) | | County-District N | 0 <i>.</i> |
| by tele | phone/e-mail/FAX on $12/2/10$ of TEA. | School Years 2010 | -2013 | | Amendment No | |
| Dy | JUT - OF BAL | Texas Title I Priority So | hools Gran | F | Amenument No | |
| S | chedule #5C- Itemized 6200 | | | | a Specific A | nroval |
| | | | | osto Requiri | ig openine A | Total |
| | Ex | pense Item Description | | | Pre-Award | Amount Budgeted |
| 6212 | Audit Costs (other than audits n Specify: | equired under OMB Circular A-133 |) | | \$ | \$ |
| | Rental or Lease of Buildings, Sp | | | | | |
| 6269 | | | | | | |
| | | ing Costs (specific approval requir | ed only for nonp | profit charter | | |
| 6299 | schools) Specify: | | | | - | |
| | | ot allowed for nonprofit charter sc | hoole) | | | |
| 6299 | Specify: | to allowed for holipfolit charter se | 10013) | | | |
| Subto | | | | | | L |
| Bublo | | al and Contracted Services Cos | t Pequiring Sn | ecific Approva | 1 | 1 |
| Drofo | ssional and Consulting Services | | | ecilie Approva | | |
| Froie | ssional and consulting services | (0219/0239) Less than \$10,00 | | Total | I | Total |
| # | Topic/Purpose/Service | | | Contracted Amount | Pre-Award | Amount Budgeted |
| 1. | Ascend Math | | | \$ 18,600 | \$ | \$ 18,600 |
| 2. | Encore Summer Camp | | | 15,600 | | 15,600 |
| 3. | Parents Redirecting Education | thru Social Services | | 24,057 | | 24,057 |
| 4. | Texas Valley Communities - eP | as Project Based Curriculum | | 28,500 | | 28,500 |
| Subto | tal | 、 . | | | | |
| | | Burger and a set of the second states | | | | |
| | | Professional and Consulting | g Services Less | s than \$10,000 | \$ | \$ 86,757 |
| Profes | ssional and Consulting Services | | | s than \$10,000 | I \$ | \$ 86,757 |
| 1. Des | scription of Professional or Cons | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose/ | o \$10,000 / Service): Tran | sformation Tech | nical Assistance | 2.8 |
| 1. Des Associ | scription of Professional or Cons ated Cost to the School Improvement | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al | o \$10,000 / Service): Tran I identified requi | sformation Tech red activities su | nical Assistance ch but not limite | 2.8 |
| 1. Des Associ | scription of Professional or Cons | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al | o \$10,000 / Service): Tran I identified requi | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment | nical Assistance ch but not limite | e & ed to: online |
| 1. Des Associ profes | scription of Professional or Cons ated Cost to the School Improvement | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra | o \$10,000 / Service): Tran I identified requi | sformation Tech red activities su | nical Assistance ch but not limite | 2.8 |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servic Contractor's Payroll Cost | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servic Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Improvement Contractor's Payroll Cost School Impro | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted | nical Assistance ch but not limite | to: online Total Amount |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servic Contractor's Payroll Cos Title: School Improvement Contractor's Payroll Cos Subgrants, Subcontract | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cos Title: School Improvement Contractor's Payroll Cos Title: School Impro Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose, nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Improvement Contractor's Payroll Cost Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cost Titler School Improvement Contractor's Payroll Cost Titler School Improvement Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrant | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services | • \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associ profes | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Improvement Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrant | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services hts Only) %) | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award | e & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cost Titler School Improvement Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrant Indirect Cost (| (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services hts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 software progra | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | actor's Cost Breakdown of Servio Contractor's Payroll Cost Titler School Improvement Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrant Indirect Cost (| (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services nts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for addres | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 software progra | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | actor's Cost Breakdown of Service Subgrants, Subcontract Subgrants, | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services nts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for address be Provided | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le Pre-Award | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | Actor's Cost Breakdown of Service Subgrants, Subcontractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Improvement Subgrants, Subcontract Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrant Indirect Cost (Secription of Professional or Const ths and weaknesses to develop an int ctor's Cost Breakdown of Service to b Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services hts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for addres be Provided | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | Actor's Cost Breakdown of Servie Subgrants, Subcontract Contractor's Payroll Cost Subgrants, Subcontract Subgrants, Subcontract Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services nts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for address be Provided | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le Pre-Award | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | Actor's Cost Breakdown of Service Subgrants, Subcontract Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Impro Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgran Indirect Cost (Scription of Professional or Const ths and weaknesses to develop an i ctor's Cost Breakdown of Service to b Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided its ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services hts Only) %) To ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for addres be Provided | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le Pre-Award | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | Actor's Cost Breakdown of Servia Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Impro Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgran Indirect Cost (Contractor's Payroll Cost ths and weaknesses to develop an i ctor's Cost Breakdown of Service to b Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services (Source (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for address be Provided sts s, Subcontracted Services | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le Pre-Award | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount Budgeted |
| 1. Des Associa profest Contra 2. Des strengt | Actor's Cost Breakdown of Servie Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: School Impro Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgran Indirect Cost (Contractor's Payroll Cost Stand weaknesses to develop an i ctor's Cost Breakdown of Service to l Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgran Contractor's Payroll Cost Title: Ascend Math Subgrants, Subcontract Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgran | (6219) Greater than or Equal t ulting Service (Topic/Purpose) nt Resource Center that include al view, site visits, summer team tra ce to be Provided sts ovement Resource Center s, Subcontracted Services (Source (Topic/Purpose) nstructional prescription for address be Provided sts s, Subcontracted Services | o \$10,000 /Service): Tran I identified requi ining, and curric # Positions /Service): This ssing academic of | sformation Tech red activities su ulum alignment Total Contracted Amount \$ 195,000 software progra gaps. Total Contracted Amount | nical Assistance ch but not limite Pre-Award \$ \$ am assesses a le Pre-Award | * & ed to: online Total Amount Budgeted \$ 195,000 \$ 195,000 earners Total Amount Budgeted |

108-801

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.) Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

3.Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): The ENCORE CAMP program is an inquiry-based initiative offering a broad array of enrichment academic activities to provide students and parents a significant college and career readiness experience.

| Contractor's Cost | Breakdown of Service to be Provided | # Positions | Total Contracted Amount | Pre-Award | Total Amount Budgeted |
|-------------------|---|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| | Contractor's Payroll Costs | | \$ 15,600 | \$ | \$ 15,600 |
| | Title: Encore Camps | | \$ 15,000 | | \$ 15,000 |
| | Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services | | | | |
| | Supplies and Materials | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | |
| | Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) | | | | |
| 1.55 (P. 7) | Indirect Cost (%) | | | | |

Total Payment:\$ 15,600\$ 15,6004. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):To create an environment that strengthens the
bond among parents, school, and the students by providing parental information and resource workshops that is designed to help
parents learn how to help their children: Achieve their highest academic potential; Live in, and attend schools that are drug and
violence free; Become fully functional and gainfully employed after they reach educational success. Additionally it provides parental
resources and information that promotes school attendance, discipline, and learning; creates and fosters individual responsibility and
encourages respect for the lives of others.

| Contractor's Cos | t Breakdown of Service to be Provided # | Positions | Total Contracted Amount | Pre-Award | Total Amount Budgeted |
|------------------|--|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Sec. Shares | Contractor's Payroll Costs | | # 24.0F7 | * | A 24 0E7 |
| | Title: Parents Redirecting Education thru Social Services (P | \$ 24,057 | Þ | \$ 24,057 | |
| | Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services | | | | |
| | Supplies and Materials | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | |
| | Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) | | | | |
| | Indirect Cost (%) | | | | |
| | Tota | Daymont | ★ 24 057 | t | ¢ 24 057 |

 Total Payment: \$ 24,057
 \$ 4
 \$ 24,

 5.Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): A project based hands on learning methodology/curriculum which will be used to explore real world problems and enhance critical learning skills and address learner engagement.

| Contractor's C | ost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # | * Positions | Total Contracted Amount | Pre- Award | Total Amount Budgeted |
|--|--|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Contractor's Payroll Costs | | + 29 E00 | \$ 28,500 \$ | \$ 28,500 |
| 18 11.61 | Title: Texas Valley Communities-ePas Project Based Curricu | \$ 20,300 | \$ | \$ 28,300 | |
| and the second | Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services | | | | |
| | Supplies and Materials | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | |
| | Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) | | | | |
| 1 | Indirect Cost (%) | | | | |
| | Tota | Payment: | \$ 28,500 | \$ | \$ 28,500 |

| | A Use Only d/or annotations made | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| on this page have t | on this page have been confirmed with IEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | | | | | 108801 | | | |
| S, Cava: | | | | County-District No. | | | | | |
| by telephone/e-mail | y telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\left(\frac{2}{2}/10\right)$ School Years 2010-2013 | | | | | _ | | | |
| by m | OPTEA. | | | | Amendment No |). | | | |
| <u> </u> | | Texas Title I Priority Scl | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | Professional and Contracted Ser | | | c Approval (| cont.) | | | |
| | | (6219) Greater than or Equal to sulting Service (Topic/Purpose/ | |) | | | | | |
| | onal will provide direct in | nstruction and field exercise supervi | | for the Certifie | d Nursing Ass | istant (CNA), | | | |
| and recimology | programs. | | | Total | Dre | Total | | | |
| Contractor's Co | st Breakdown of Serv | | # Positions | Contracted Amount | Pre- Award | Amount Budgeted | | | |
| | Contractor's Payroll Co | | | \$ 300,000 | \$ | \$ 300,000 | | | |
| | | alth Science & Technology Profes | ssionals | | | | | | |
| Contract of the second second | Supplies and Materials | cts, Subcontracted Services | | | | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | | | | | |
| | Capital Outlay (Subgra | | | | | | | | |
| | S | %) | | | | | | | |
| | | | otal Payment: | \$ 300,000 | \$ | \$ 300,000 | | | |
| 7. Description of | of Professional or Con | sulting Service (Topic/Purpose/ | | | | | | | |
| | | ms will be used at the center to prov | | | | | | | |
| | | en assessment and data manageme | | ntinuous acade | mic improven | nent for K- | | | |
| adult learners an | d accelerate instruction | for credit recovery for at risk learned | <u></u> | | 4 | | | | |
| | | | | Total | Pre- | Total | | | |
| Contractor's Co | st Breakdown of Serv | ice to be Provided | # Positions | Contracted Amount | Award | Amount Budgeted | | | |
| | Contractor's Payroll Co | osts | | | | | | | |
| | | merican Prep Institute Modular (| Curriculum | \$ 180,000 | \$ | \$ 180,000 | | | |
| | | ts, Subcontracted Services | | | | | | | |
| A STATE OF STATES | Supplies and Materials | | | | | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | | | | | |
| | Capital Outlay (Subgra | | | | | | | | |
| | Indirect Cost (| %) | | | | | | | |
| | | Т | otal Payment: | \$ 180,000 | \$ | \$ 180,000 | | | |
| that will be at the career and social | e base of the transforma performance. Teachers | sulting Service (Topic/Purpose/ tion process for the center. The cha , staff, administrators and parents v ive, trusting relationships among all | inged environme vill learn and pra | nt will pave the ctice a better w | way to high a | academic, ings. This | | | |
| Contractor's Co | st Breakdown of Serv | ice to be Provided | # Positions | Total Contracted Amount | Pre- Award | Total Amount Budgeted | | | |
| | Contractor's Payroll Co | | | | | | | | |
| | | id's Hearts and Positive Behav | ior | \$ 45,000 | \$ | \$ 45,000 | | | |
| | Subgrants, Subcontrac | ts, Subcontracted Services | | | | | | | |
| | Supplies and Materials | | | | | | | | |
| | Other Operating Costs | | | | | | | | |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i \in \mathbb{N}) = \{i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ | Capital Outlay (Subgra | | | | | | | | |
| | Indirect Cost (| _%) | otal Payment: | \$ 45,000 | \$ | \$ 45,000 | | | |
| Subtotal: Profe | ssional and Consulting | Services Greater Than or Equal | | \$ \$ | \$ | \$806,757 | | | |
| Sabtotali Fioles | | , services or cater man or Equal | | +* | | | | | |
| Cubtota | l of Professional and Co | ntracted Services Costs Requiring Sp | ecific Approval: | | , va an interaction of a | | | | |
| | | onsulting Services or Subgrants Les | | | | - | | | |
| | | nsulting Services Greater than or Ed | | | | \$806,757 | | | |
| | | acted Services that do not require s | | | | \$210,951 | | | |
| Remaining 0200 | | setter services that do not require s | Grand Total: | | | 1,017,708 | | | |
| | | | with rough | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |

| on this | For TEA Use Only stments and/or annotations made page have been confirmed with Ca VaZoS whone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{1}{30/10}$ or TEA. | 108801 County-District No. Amendment No. | | | |
|---------|--|---|-------------|--|---------------------|
| | Schedule #5D - Itemiz | ed 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs Requir | ing speci | ne Approvar | |
| | E) | kpense Item Description | | Pre-Award | Total Budgeted |
| | Technology Hardware- Not Capit | talized | | | |
| | # Type | Purpose | Quantity | | |
| 6399 | 2 | | | \$ | |
| 0399 | 3 | | | 4 | |
| | 4 | | | | |
| | 5 | | | | |
| 6399 | Technology Software- Not Capita | | | | |
| 6399 | Supplies and Materials Associate | ed with Advisory Council or Committee | | en de la completa de | 9,450 |
| | | | | | and a second second |
| L | | Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specific | : Approval: | | |
| | Remaining 6300- | Supplies and Materials that do not require specific | c approval: | | \$195,000 |
| | | Gr | and Total | \$ | \$195,000 |

| on this p | For TEA Use Only stments and/or annotations made bage have been confirmed withCavazosTEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)hone/e-mail/FAX on $11/30/10$ or TEA.School Years 2010-2013 | | | 108801 County-District No. | |
|---------------|--|--|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| UY / | OF TEA. | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | Amendment No | * |
| | Schedule #5E - Iten | nized 6400 Other Operating Costs Requiring Spe | cific 4 | Approval | |
| | Ex | pense Item Description | | Pre-Award | Total Budgeted |
| 6411 | Out of State Travel for Employee Specify purpose: Love & Logic | | | \$ | \$ 32,000 |
| 6412 | Travel for Students (includes reg required only for nonprofit charter | Institute; National Staff Development Conference istration fees; does not include field trips) (specific approval er schools) for College Readiness promoting student achievement | | | 60,000 |
| 6413 | Stipends for Non-Employees (spe | cific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) ng on Capturing Kids Hearts-PRESS | | | 3,000 |
| 6419 | Travel for Non-Employees (includ approval required only for nonpro Specify purpose: College and | | | 16,500 | |
| 6411/ 6419 | | pr (6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members (641 | 9) | | |
| 6429 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | een covered by permissible insurance | | | |
| 6490 | Indemnification Compensation fo | | | | |
| 6490 | Advisory Council/Committee Trav Schedule #4B-Program Descripti | vel or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee on one on Project Management) | | | |
| 6499 | Membership Dues in Civic or Con Specify name and purpose of org Publication and Printing Costs- if schools) Specify purpose: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific app | roval: | | 111,500 |
| | Remaining 6400 - 0 | Other Operating Costs that do not require specific app | | 1 | 255,700 |
| | | Grand | | \$ | \$ 367,200 |

•

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with S_i CavazOS by telephone/e-mail/FAX on $\frac{1}{30/10}$ by of TEA. | | TEXAS EDUCATIO Standard Application School Years 20 | | 108801 County-District No. | | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | Texas Title I Priority | | | | |
| | Schedule #5G - Itemized 6 (15XX is for use | by Charter Schools spons | | | | JOST |
| | Description | /Purpose | Unit Cost | Quantity | Pre-Award | Total Budgeted |
| | 9/15XX- Library Books and Media | (capitalized and controlled | | I | 1 | · |
| 1 66¥ | X/15XX- Technology Hardware - | Canitalized | | | 5768 | |
| 2 | Desktop computers for Technolo | | 1,000.00 | 20 | | \$20,000 |
| 3 | | 3 / · · · 3 · · · · · · | | | | +==/=== |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | |
| | X/15XX- Technology Software- Ca | apitalized | | I | | |
| 12 | Graphic Design | · | 200 | 20 | | \$4,000 |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 19 | X/15XX- Equipment and Furniture Cisco Certified Network Equipme | | \$30,000 | l | T | \$30,000 |
| 20 | A+ Certification Prep Learner To | | \$5,000 | 1 | | \$5,000 |
| 21 | Network Plus Certification Learn | | \$5,000 | 1 | | \$5,000 |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | - | |
| 28 Can | ital expenditures for improvement | ts to land, buildings, or equi | nment which mat | erially increas | e their value o | or useful |
| life. | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | 0 |
| Gra | nd Total | | and which the | A Providence | 1996327307 | |
| | | Total 66 | i00/15XX- Capital | Outlay Costs | : | \$64,000 |
| | | | | | | _ L |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| L | | | | | | |

SCHEDULE #6A

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

School Years 2010-2013

108801

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances,

- Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (including but not limited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
- Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
- Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
- Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
- Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part;
- Applicant means the same as Contractor;
- SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
- "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant including the schedules contained in the application and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
- Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the
 original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
- Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and,
- Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
- Grant means the same as Contract;
- Grantee means the same as Contractor;
- Grantor means the same as Agency; and
- DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- **B. Contingency:** This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- C. Contractor's Application: Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- **D. Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances:** Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

School Years 2010-2013

County-District No.

108801

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- **E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document:** Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- **F. State of Texas Laws:** In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G.** Monitoring: Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, fails or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not limited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.

J. Indemnification:

For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

K. Encumbrances/Obligations and Liquidations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. The contractor must liquidate (record as an expenditure) all obligations (encumbrances) incurred under the contract no later than 30 days after the ending date of the contract, to coincide with the submission of the final expenditure report, due 30 days after the ending date of the contract. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6A – cont. GENERAL PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 | <u>108-801</u> County-District No. |
| | Tayas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that complies with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Texas Education Agency (34 CFR 74.21; 34 CFR 80.20; TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.

Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.

- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6A – cont. | | |
| GENERAL PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 | 108-801 |
| | | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Contractor warrants that (i) it has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) it has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, nontransferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.

Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.

- T. Subcontracting: Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- U. Use of Consultants: Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6A – cont. | | |
| GENERAL PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 | 108-801 |
| | School fears 2010-2015 | County-District No. |
| | Towns Title I Duisvity Cohesels Creat | |

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- **W. Agency Property (terms):** In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions: The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Failure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges** that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or programspecific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A **nonprofit organization or university/college** grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- **BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs:** Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Americans With Disabilities Act, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
 - 2. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
 - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational institution;
 - 4. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

County-District No.

| ASSUR | ANCES | | | |
|--------------|--|--|---|--|
| | IIIIII | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | |
| 5. | the Age Discrimination Act | of 1975, as amended (prohibition of discrimination | on basis of age), and any regulations | |
| | issued thereunder, including t | he provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110; | 5 | |
| 6. | the Family Educational Rigi | nts and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amende | d (ensures access to educational | |
| | records for students and pare | nts while protecting the privacy of such records), and | d any regulations issued thereunder, | |
| | including Privacy Rights of I | Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contract | or is an educational institution (20 | |
| | USC 1232g); | | | |
| 7. | | ncorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99 | -591 (prohibition against the use | |
| | | fluence legislation pending before Congress); | | |
| 8. | | which states that no person shall permit smoking with | | |
| | | the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elen | | |
| | | L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no perso | | |
| | | uch a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utili | | |
| | | e or early childhood development (Head Start) service | | |
| | | mply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered | | |
| | | tion who commits such violation may be liable to the | e United States for a civil penalty, as | |
| ~ | • • | of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)]. | | |
| 9. | | 29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), a | | |
| | | ISC 327 et seq .), as applicable, and their implement | ting regulations in 29 CFR 500-899, | |
| 40 | | d 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively. | an Aabin that and and availab | |
| 10. | D. Buy America Act: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially | | | |
| | | | | |
| | produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for | | | |
| | | | | |
| | which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.12). | | | |
| 11 | | neous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate Ameri | | |
| | | netary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; | | |
| | as amended. | tentary and becompany Eddebation Act, as amenaed, t | and deficial concerns from stors free, | |
| 12 | | ing and E-mailing while Driving during Official I | ederal Grant Business: | |
| | | grants and their subcontractors and subgrantees ar | | |
| | | owned vehicle, or while driving their own privately ov | | |
| | | ization-supplied electronic equipment to text messag | | |
| | | tions under Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leaders | | |
| | | 09 (pursuant to provisions attached to federal grants | | |
| | Education). | | , , | |
| CC. Fee | deral Regulations Applicable | to All Federal Programs: | | |
| 1, | | cies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 SUbpart | | |
| | 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as app | licable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 C | CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 | |
| | | ts), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirement | | |
| 2. | | ers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Sul | | |
| | | licable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 (| | |
| _ | | ts), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirement | | |
| 3. | | iducation (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR | | |
| | | 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, a | na OMB CIrculars A-21 (COST | |
| 4. | | nd A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); s: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & | D Appendix & 20 CEP 1630 24 CEP | |
| * † , | | . 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-12 | | |

- and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
 5. For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); and
- 6. For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31.

DD. General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, <u>Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or</u> <u>Administered Through or By the U. S. Department of Education</u>:

 Participation in Planning: Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

 SCHEDULE #6A - cont.
 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

 GENERAL PROVISIONS &
ASSURANCES
 Standard Application System

 School Years 2010-2013
 108-801
County-District No.

 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 3. **Sharing of Information:** Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. **Prohibition of Funds for Busing**: The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to excluse of equipment for such transportation) in order to excluse of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. Direct Financial Benefit: Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **EE. Payment for Services:** Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- **FF. Family Code Applicability**: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG. Interpretation**: In the case of conflicts arising in the interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- HH. Registered Lobbyists: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- **JJ. Social Security Numbers**: Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- **KK. Student-identifying Information**: Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | | |
|----------------------|---|---------|--|
| SCHEDULE #6A cont. | | | |
| GENERAL PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | | |
| ASSURANCES | Cabaal Vaara 2010 2012 | 108-801 | |
| | School Years 2010-2013 County-District No. | | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | | |

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially fails to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially noncompliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

| SCHEDULE #6 B | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and | Standard Application System | 108-801 |
| Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions | School Years 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11.

Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.
- "Participant"- Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"-- Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except: foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- 1. By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- 3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", " person", "primary covered transaction", " principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

| SCH | ED | ULE | #6B |
|-----|----|-----|-----|
|-----|----|-----|-----|

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount

108-801

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled *Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions,* without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency (04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6C. | Standard Application System | |
| Lobbying Certification | School Years 2010-2013 | 100 001 |
| | Required for all federally funded grants | 108-801 |
| | greater than \$100,000. | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008 As amended by the Texas Education Agency 11/89 03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

| SCHEDULE #6D - | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Disclosure of Lobbying Activities | Standard Appli | cation System | | |
| | School Years | 2010-2013 | 108-801 | |
| | Texas Title I Prior | ity Schools Gran | County-District No. | |
| Complete this form to disclose Johnvi | | <u> </u> | | |
| Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities for lobbying services procured (pursuant to disclosure form is required for any federal grant/contract received in excess of \$100,000 and subgrant/subcontract made by the grantee/contractor. (Read the instructions for this schedu Do not sign and submit this disclosure form unless lobbying activities are being dis | | | 0,000 and on any his schedule for further information.) | |
| Federal Program: | | | | |
| Name: | | | | |
| 1. Type of Federal Action | 2. Status of Federal | Action: | 3. Report Type: | |
| a. Contract b. Grant | | Dffer/Application al award award | a. Initial filing b. Material change | |
| | | - | For Material Change Only: | |
| | | | Year: Ouarter: | |
| | | | Date of last Report: | |
| 4. Name and Address of Reporting Ent | tity: | 5. If Reporting Entit and Address of Pr | / in No. 4 is Subawardee, Enter Name me: | |
| Subawardee | | Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701 | | |
| Tier (if known): | | | | |
| Congressional District (if known): | | Congressional Distric | t (if known): 21 | |
| | | 7. Federal Program Name/Description: | | |
| | | CFDA Number, | f applicable: | |
| 8. Federal Action Number, if known: 9. | | 9. Award Amount, if | | |
| | | \$ | | |
| | | | forming Services (including address if last name, first name, MI): | |
| ан аймаан аймаа аймаа аймаа аймаа аймаан | (Attach Continuation She | et(s), if necessary |) | |
| [ITEMS 11-15 REMOVED] | | | | |
| 16. Information requested through this for | | Signature: | ······ | |
| U.S.C. Section 1352. This disclosure of representation of fact upon which relia | nce was placed by the tier at | | | |
| when this transaction was made or entor required pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352. Th | | | | |
| to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who fails to file the required disclosure sha | | Title | | |
| subject to a civil penalty of not less that \$100,000 for each such failure. | | | Date: | |
| Federal Use Only: | | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | Standard Form LLL | |

| SCHEDULE #6E | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | 108801 |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- A. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- B. The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- C. The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- D. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- E. The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- F. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- G. The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- H. The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- I. Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. Gun-Free Schools Act: The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which requires expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- L. **Consolidation of Administrative Funds**: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------|--|---------|
| SCHEDULE #6E - cont. | | |
| NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | 108-801 |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 County-District No. | |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U. S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that fails to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. General Prohibitions: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choice Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6E – cont. | Chandend Application System | |
| NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & | Standard Application System | |
| ASSURANCES | School Years 2010-2013 | 108-801 |
| | | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).

U. Assurances related to the education of homeless children and youths:

- (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
- (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
- (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
- (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
- (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. Definitions: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
 - 1. **Charter School:** An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
 - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is **operated under public supervision and control**;
 - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
 - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
 - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction;
 - (E) does not charge tuition;
 - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
 - (G) is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that **admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;**
 - (H) **agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements** as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically waived for the purpose of this program;
 - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
 - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
 - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
 - Community-Based Organization: A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is
 representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services
 to individuals in the community.
 - 3. Core Academic Subjects: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

SCHEDULE #6E - cont.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

4. Highly Qualified:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that-
 - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
 - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
 - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
 - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
 - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
 - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by-
 - (I) passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
 - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and-
 - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
 - demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that-
 - (I) is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
 - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
 - (III) provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches;
 - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
 - (V) takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
 - (VI) is made available to the public upon request; and
 - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. **Parental Involvement**: The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
 - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
 - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
 - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
 - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

108-801

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013 **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

6. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills:
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly gualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- (1) strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the guality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
 - (i) involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
 - (ii) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
 - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and
- (B) includes research that:
 - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
 - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
 - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

SCHEDULE #6E - cont.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

108-801

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.

8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-

- (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
 - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their
 - instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that-
 - (I) involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
 - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
 - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the *Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act*. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6F

& ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

108-801

School Year 2010-2013

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Federal Statutory Requirements

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

- 1) The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- 5) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 6) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- 9) The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- 10) The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 13) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 14) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- 15) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
 - b. Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - 2. Select new staff.
 - c. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
 - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
 - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

90 101

Page 89 of 100

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | 108-801 County-District No. |
| · · · · · · | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| new "turnaround office" in th | e LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who repo | ts directly to the Superintendent or |

Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in

exchange for greater accountability; f. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; g. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students; Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and h. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students. i. If the LEA/campus selects to implement the turnaround model, the campus may implement the following federal 18) requirements. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or 1. 2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy). 19) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school closure model, the campus must implement the following requirement. a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding. b.

20) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the **restart model**, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.

- a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.
- b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.
- 21) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus must implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
 - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
 - (1) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - (2) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
 - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
 Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

| SCHEDULE #6F PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIO & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | <u>108-801</u> County-District No. |
|---|---|--|
| ······································ | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| 3. Increa (A) | idual students. Ing learning time and creating community-oriented sch stablish schedules and strategies that provide increase | d learning time; and |
| 4. Provid (A) | Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community ong operational flexibility and sustained support. Sive the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approac ichievement outcomes and increase high school gradua | staffing, calendars/time, and h to substantially improve student |
| · (B) | insure that the school receives ongoing, intensive techn rom the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead pa urnaround organization or an EMO). | ical assistance and related support rtner organization (such as a school |
| (A) | nt other strategies to develop teachers' and school lead rovide additional compensation to attract and retain sta eeds of the students in a transformation school; | |
| | nstitute a system for measuring changes in instructiona evelopment; or | |
| | nsure that the school is not required to accept a teache eacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniori | ty. |
| (A) | nt comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum i aving the intended impact on student achievement, and | s being implemented with fidelity, is d is modified if ineffective; |
| (C) | mplement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" mod rovide additional supports and professional developmer nplement effective strategies to support students with a nvironment and to ensure that limited English proficien naster academic content; | it to teachers and principals in order to disabilities in the least restrictive |
| (D) | se and integrate technology-based supports and intervi rogram; and | entions as part of the instructional |
| (E) : | 1 secondary schools (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for stud (such as Advanced Placement; International Bac engineering, and mathematics courses; especial relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based cont college high schools, dual enrollment programs, prepare students for college and careers, includi designed to ensure that low-achieving students and coursework; | ccalaureate; or science, technology, ly those that incorporate rigorous and extual learning opportunities), early- or thematic learning academies that ing by providing appropriate supports |
| | (2) Improve student transition from middle to high programs or freshman academies; | school through summer transition |
| | (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, engagement strategies, smaller learning commu and performance-based assessments, and accel mathematics skills; or | inities, competency-based instruction |
| 24) An LEA may also impleme | (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify stude achieve to high standards or graduate. achieve to high standards or graduate. | |

24) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

•

 SCHEDULE #6F
 PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

 & ASSURANCES
 Standard Application System

 School Year 2010-2013
 108-801

 County-District No.
 County-District No.

 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
 (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations,

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.

25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as--

- (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
- (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

TEA Program Assurances

- Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the <u>Quarterly Implementation Reports</u>, the <u>Model Selection and Description Report</u>, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu_id=798</u>
 - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
 - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
 - ii. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
 - iii. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
 - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
 - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- 3) The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | Standard Application System | |
| PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES | | 108-801 |
| a ASSORANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | County-District No. |
| 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA De | signed Model, the applicant must participate in and i | make use of technical assistance and |
| coaching support provided by | TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. | |
| | provide evidence of a system of formative assessme | |
| | ovides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiv urring on the campus; assesses progress on student | |
| campus level; and guide instru | ictional decisions by teachers for individual students | , |
| | n a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and | commitment to carry out the grant |
| intervention models. 7) The applicant will provide acce | ess for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, S | NRC and its contractors |
| | Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or I | |
| approved list of CMO and EMO | | |
| | Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I a incipal or principal candidates in a formative assessing | |
| capacity. | incipal of principal candidates in a formative assessing | nent of their turnaround leadership |
| 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus sele | cts to implement the transformation model , the c | ampus assures that it will it |
| implement the following federa | | |
| | er and school leader effectiveness. ss of the current principal and use the results of the | evaluation to determine whether the |
| | iced, be retained on the campus, or be provided lead | |
| | ol leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in impleme | |
| | d high school graduation rates and identify and remo provided for them to improve their professional pra | |
| | h-quality, job-embedded professional development | |
| pedagogy, instruction th | at reflects a deeper understanding of the community | y served by the school, or |
| |) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive ins | |
| | ey are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and less to be a series of the series | earning and have the capacity to |
| D.Implement such strategie | es as financial incentives, increased opportunities for | |
| | tions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain | |
| systems for teachers an | s in a transformation school based on rigorous, tran 1 principals: | sparent, and equitable evaluation |
| | a principalisi | as other factors such as multiple |
| | -based assessments of student performance and one | |
| | ective of student achievement and increased high sc d and developed and with teacher and principal invo | |
| 2. Comprehensive instructiona | | (venicite |
| | implement an instructional program that is research | |
| | well as aligned with State academic standards; and use of student data (such as from formative, interim | |
| | instruction in order to meet the academic needs of in | |
| | creating community-oriented schools. | |
| | strategies that provide increased learning time; and issue for family and community engagement. | |
| Providing operational flexibili | ty and sustained support. | |
| | operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/ti | |
| fully a comprehensive ap graduation rates; and | proach to substantially improve student achievemer | nt outcomes and increase high school |
| | ceives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and r | elated support from the LEA, the |
| SEA, or a designated ext | ernal lead partner organization (such as a school tur | maround organization or an EMO). |
| | ther strategies to develop teachers' and school lead | |
| A. Provide additional com students in a transforn | pensation to attract and retain staff with the skills ne nation school: | ecessary to meet the needs of the |
| B. Institute a system for | measuring changes in instructional practices resultin | |
| | is not required to accept a teacher without the mutu | al consent of the teacher and |
| principal, regardless of | the teacher's seniority. | |

SCHEDULE #6F

& ASSURANCES

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

108-801

| . ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | |
|--|---|---|
| | School fear 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |
| 12) An LEA may also implement of | comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such | as |
| A. Conduct periodic revisintended impact on sintended imp | ews to ensure that the curriculum is being implement tudent achievement, and is modified if ineffective; vide "response-to-intervention" model; oports and professional development to teachers and o support students with disabilities in the least restri- ent students acquire language skills to master acad thnology-based supports and interventions as part o ffering opportunities for students to enroll in advance tional Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engine it incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry ies), early-college high schools, dual enrollment pro | nted with fidelity, is having the d principals in order to implement ctive environment and to ensure that emic content; f the instructional program; and red coursework (such as advanced eering, and mathematics courses, y-, or design-based contextual grams, or thematic learning |
| designed to ensure 2) Improve student tra academies; | pare students for college and careers, including by p that low-achieving students can take advantage of ansition from middle to high school through summer | these programs and coursework; r transition programs or freshman |
| smaller learning cor acceleration of basis | n rates through, for example, credit-recovery progra mmunities, competency-based instruction and perfo c reading and mathematics skills; or hing systems to identify students who may be at ris | rmance-based assessments, and |
| standards or gradua | ate. | |
| | her strategies that extend learning time and create | community-oriented schools, such |
| as A Partner with parents and | parent organizations, faith- and community-based | organizations bealth clinics other |
| State or local agencies, a health needs; | ind others to create safe school environments that r | meet students' social, emotional, and |
| relationships between stu | e school day so as to add time for such strategies as idents, faculty, and other school staff; | |
| behavioral supports or ta | b improve school climate and discipline, such as imp king steps to eliminate bullying and student harassi am to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarter | ment; or |
| | ther strategies for providing operational flexibility ar | |
| A. Allow the school to be run SEA; or | under a new governance arrangement, such as a tu | irnaround division within the LEA or |
| | ool-based budget formula that is weighted based or | n student needs. |
| | nat data to meet the following federal requirements | |
| requested. | | • |
| a. Number of minutes wit | | |
| students" group, for ea | n State assessments in reading/language arts and in ch achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. | |
| or dual enrollment class | e of students completing advanced coursework (e.g ses. (High Schools Only) | ., AP/IB), early-college high schools, |
| d. College enrollment rate e. Teacher Attendance Ra | | |
| f. Student Completion Ra | | |
| g. Student Drop-Out Rate | | |
| Locally developed comp | petencies created to identify teacher strengths/weak | inesses |
| i. Types of support offere | | |
| | embedded professional development for teachers embedded professional development for administra | tors |
| | parent/community involvement | |
| | | |

Page 94 of 100 95 101

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | <u>108-801</u> County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

The I Priority Schools

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

Terms defined:

RECIPIENT - The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS - The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

A. One-Time Funding: Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.

B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations: Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. **By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances**.

D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes: The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.

E. DUNS Number: All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA – <u>http://www.FederalReporting.gov/</u>. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).

F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR): All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at http://www.ccr.gov. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.

G. ARRA Reporting Requirements: The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

1. **Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds**: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | | |
| PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | | 108-801 |
| | School Year 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.

4. Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the <u>Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282)</u>, TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (<u>http://www.FederalReporting.gov</u>). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- i. The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- 1. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- b. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | • |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | | |
| PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | 108-801 |
| · | School real 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

5. **Posting the Information on Public Website**: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. **Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted.** Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.

I. Availability of Records: The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.

- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. **Reviews by Inspector General:** The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board: Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the <u>www.recovery.gov</u> website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | | |
| PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | School Year 2010-2013 | _108-801_ |
| | School real 2010-2015 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

 Recovery Independent Advisory Panel: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.

J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct: Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.

K. Protection for Whistleblowers: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of a contract), or grant

L. Use of Funds: The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:

- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program. ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. Special Contracting Provisions: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the <u>www.recovery.gov</u> website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| SCHEDULE #6F | | |
| PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS | Standard Application System | |
| & ASSURANCES | | 108-801 |
| | School Year 2010-2013 | County-District No. |
| | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant | |

- 4. **Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited:** ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- 6. **Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods:** If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics- Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act: Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).

M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:

Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE District Director DEPMRTMENT OF THE TREASURY 1100 Commerce St., Dallas, TX 75242

Date: July 21, 1995

P.O. Box 164

Person to Contact: Mary A. Smith

Information Referral Resource Assistance Inc. -C/O Augie-Pena

McAllen, TX. 78505-0164

Telephone Number: (214)767-6023

Refer Reply to: MC:4940 DAL

EIN: 74-6033663

Dear Sir or Madam:

Our records show that <u>Information Referral Resource Assistance Inc.</u> is exempt from Federal Income Tax under section <u>501(c)(3)</u> of the Internal Revenue Code. This exemption was granted <u>Sept. 1988</u> and remains in full force and effect. Contributions to your organization are deductible in the manner and to the extent provided by section 170 of the code.

We have classified your organization as one that is not a private foundation within the meaning of section 509(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. Your organization is described in section 509(a)(1) & 170(b)(1)(A)(v1) of the Code.

If I may be of further assistance, you may contact me at the number shown above.

Sincerely Yours, Mary a. Inieta

Customer Service Representative EP/EO Customer Service Section

| For TEA Use Only | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made | | IRRA Inc | 108801 |
| on this page have been confirmed with | | LEA Name | County-District# |
| | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY | IRRA Inc. One Stop Multi Servcie Charter-Rio Grande | 108801-006 |
| | Standard Application System | Center | |
| S. Carryon | (SAS) | Campus Name | Campus Number |
| | School Years 2010-2013 | 74-6033663 | Region One |
| telephone/e-mail/FAX on <u>II/18/10</u> by | | 9-Digit Vendor ID# | ESC Region |
| of TEA. | | NOGA ID# (Assigned by TEA) | Date of Report |
| <u> </u> | xas Title I Priority Schools Grai | deservation and the second sec | |
| | Selection and Description Repo | | ····· |
| | ne Due to TEA no later than Au | | |
| - | ne Due to TEA no later than Feb | | |
| - | submitted any time prior to de | | |
| or each area, enter applicable information | | | s from this table |
| | | | |
| 1 Improve Academic Performance | | | |
| A. Data-driven instruction | | | |
| B. Curriculum Alignment (both | | | |
| C. On-going Monitoring of Instr | | | |
| 2 Increase the Use of Quality Data to | Drive Instruction | | |
| A. Data Disaggregation /Trainir | ng | | |
| B. Data-driven Decisions | | | |
| C. On-going Communication | | | |
| 3 Increase Leadership Effectiveness | | | |
| A. On-going Job Embedded Pro B. Operational Flexibility | fessional Development | | |
| C. Resource/Data Utilization | | | |
| 4 Increase Learning Time | | | |
| A. Flexible Scheduling | | | |
| B. Instructionally-focused Cale | ndar | | |
| C. Staff Collaborative Planning | | | • |
| 5 Increase Parent/Community Involve | | | |
| A. Increased Opportunities for | Input | | |
| B. Effective Communication | COC. | | |
| C. Accessible Community Servi 6 Improve School Climate | | | |
| A. Increased Attendance | | . , | |
| B. Decreased Discipline Referra | lls | | |
| C. Increased Involvement in Ex | | | |
| 7 Increase Teacher Quality | | | |
| A. Locally Developed Appraisal | Instruments | | |
| B. On-going Job Embedded Pro | | | |
| C. Recruitment/Retention Strat | | | · |
| art 1: Identified Needs | | | |
| st the local needs identified in each M | ilestone to be addressed by the | Intervention Model sel | ected as it |
| lates to the Critical Success Factors (| The second s | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Improve Academic Performance | | | |
| Need to develop /implement | a career oriented project based | curriculum with added | rigor & life |
| A relevance that will engage e | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ngor of me |
| Need to implement intensive | e reading and math remediation | programs for learners | to include |
| B LEP. | c county and math remediation | Programs for learners | |
| | | | |

| | | Need to develop marke the job skills for learners for college discrete readiness by improving |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| | С | of CTE program: engaging in a partnership with the WorkForce Development Board; provide |
| | _ | certificated programs to learners; and coordinate learners into apprentiships and on the job training in local area businessess. |
| 2. | Increa | ase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction |
| 2 | A | Need to provide at least three ongoing staff development sessions on data disaggregation instruments and data analysis. |
| | В | Monitor the consistant use of various data instruments such as local benchmarks, utilizing DMAC, WebCCat, and other reports and data sources such as PEIMS, RSCCC, AEIS and AYP. |
| Ξ | С | |
| 3. | Increa | ase Leadership Effectiveness |
| 6 | Α | Provide ongoing job embedded professional development in all areas to include accountability, leadership, curriculum and instruction and collaborative leadership. |
| | в | Develop and implement a mentor program for the Center leader. |
| | С | Develop an instrument tool to measure leadership effectiveness. |
| 4. | Increa | ase Learning Time |
| 2 | A | Develop opportunities within the school day for extended instrucitonal time that is not optional. |
| | В | Create an academic program that will give all looperne a contification in a competitive field |
| 2 | D | Create an academic program that will give all leanerns a certification in a competitive field. |
| MILESCORES | С | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. |
| | С | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core |
| 5. | С | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. |
| 5. | C Increa | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. |
| 5. | C Increa A | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. Ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity |
| 5. | C Increa A B C | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. Ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career |
| 5. | C Increa A B C | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. |
| 5. | C Increa A B C Impro | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. we School Climate Provide an opportunity of inclusion for learners, parents, and community representatives in the |
| 5. 5. 6. | C Increa A B C Impro | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. ve School Climate Provide an opportunity of inclusion for learners, parents, and community representatives in the decision making process through committee participation. |
| | C Increa A B C Impro A B C | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. Ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. ve School Climate Provide an opportunity of inclusion for learners, parents, and community representatives in the decision making process through committee participation. Provide staff development in strategies for building relationships and conflict resolution. Build a support network for all struggling learners through an atmosphere of teamwork and |
| 5. Spinspile 6. Spinspile 7. | C Increa A B C Impro A B C | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. ve School Climate Provide an opportunity of inclusion for learners, parents, and community representatives in the decision making process through committee participation. Provide staff development in strategies for building relationships and conflict resolution. Build a support network for all struggling learners through an atmosphere of teamwork and collegiality. |
| | C Increa A B C Impro A B C Increa | Provide a common planning period for all teachers so that they can align and integrate all core subject areas. ase Parent / Community Involvement Provide an opportunity for parents and community to actively participate in the planning and decision making process of the Center. Devlelop strong partnerships with workforce and community partners that create the opportunity for work study programs and apprenticeship. Implement a parent training program so that parents can assist learners with college and career readiness. ve School Climate Provide an opportunity of inclusion for learners, parents, and community representatives in the decision making process through committee participation. Provide staff development in strategies for building relationships and conflict resolution. Build a support network for all struggling learners through an atmosphere of teamwork and collegiality. see Teacher Quality Develop an individualized professional development plan for each teacher based on individual |

| A | Implement a vocational program based on the Comprehensiveeeds Assessment dirived from student interest surveys. |
|---|---|
| В | |

| Part 2: Budget by Identified Needs | |
|--|------------------|
| Enter the percent of the "Total Grant Funds" budgeted for each of the follow | wing categories. |
| Improve Academic Performance | 30% |
| Increase the Use of Quality Date to Drive Instruction | 7% |
| Increase Leadership Effectiveness | 4% |
| Increase Learning Time | 20% |
| Increase Parent / Community Involvement | 10% |
| Improve School Climate | 5% |
| Increase Teacher Quality | 20% |
| Other Remaining Costs | 4% |
| Enter the percent of the State or Local Funds budgeted for each of the follo grant program. Note: Matching State or Local Funds are not required. If no | |
| Improve Academic Performance | 0% |
| Increase the Use of Quality Date to Drive Instruction | |
| | 0% |
| Increase Leadership Effectiveness | 0% |
| Increase Leadership Effectiveness | |
| Increase Learning Time | 0% |
| Increase Learning Time Increase Parent / Community Involvement | 0% |
| | 0% 0% 0% |

Part 3: Intervention Model

☑ Transformation

TEA Approved Model with technical assistance provided by the School Improvement Resource Center

The LEA will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final regulations released by USDE

Intervention Description –Describe the intervention model selected and how it will be implemented consistent with the final regulations released by USDE for this grant program. Include all major activities of the model.

Responses are limited to *eight pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Complete the appropriate model pages below.

Describe how the LEA/campus has/will address each of the following requirements of the model.

I. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.

A. Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; or list when in the past two years the principal was replaced and describe the intervention in which the principal was replaced.

Dr. Abelardo Mendieta joined IRRA Inc as the Center Director of the Rio Grande Center on October 26, 2009. Since Dr. Mendieta has not been with the school more than one year; it is not neccesssary to replace him.

B. Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--

i. Take into account data on student growth (as defined below) as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduations rates; and

ii. Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;

The District currently uses the Professional Development and Appraisal System that was revised by the state in June 2004. This document includes an evaluation system that monitors student achievement and classroom observations by a qualified and trained individual. Teaches will be monitored on student performance based on TAKS results as well as local assessments. This will be part of the PDAS evaluation process through out the year in commbination with the implementation of the IRRA service model.

The principal evaluation system was adopted by the district in December 2009. The Executive Management Team and each Center Principal was involved and provided feedback on January 11, 2010. This evaluation tool contains elements from the Commisioner's approved instrument that evaluates lerner performance data such as TAKS, graduation rates, attendance rates and as well as additional items related to the IRRA service model.

C. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;

The Center will implement an incentive program that rewards each teacher and prinicipal for a learner's academic success, high school graduation rates and low dropout rates. Additional emphasis will be given to the ability of the teacher and principal to integrate the IRRA service delivery model. This incentive program will be developed by Center Leadership Team and will follow the basic guidelines of the former Texas Educator Excellence Grant. Additional consideration will be applied to not only student performance, but will also focus on professional growth that impacts student performance and student retention strategies. If during the course of the program year a teacher/staff does not does not meet performance expectations, complete assignments in a timely and competent way, his/her employment may be terminated. Inadequate performance may be documented through a regular personnel evaluation or through a special evaluation by the center Principal assisted by Human Resource. Action related to unsatisfactory performance may consist of one or more of the following: Informal action; Written Notice of Inadequate Performance; Probation; and/or Termination.

D. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and

Each teacher will be assessed by $t_{1,\sim}$ Center Director and the mentor teacher $t_{2,\circ}$ both strengths and weaknessess. Upon the initial assessemnt each teacher will be provided with an individual professional development plan. The plan will include job-embedded professional development that includes strategies for: differentiated instruction, rigorous and project based curriculum, collaborative planning, core subject alignment and additional strategies for conflict resolution.

E. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.

The Cetner and the LEA will provide staff with opportunities to complete their required hours of staff development for specialized certifications: such as Bilingual, ESL, and Special Education. Provide training in testing strategies, active monitoring, and confidentiality for the state assessments. Implement a staff development needs assessment and provide opportunities for teachers and parents to attend research based professional development and/or visit other schools following the Transformation Model. Provide job embedded staff development for implementing current technology/internet in the classrooms. Develop a system to reward teachers, such as incentives based for learner performance.

II. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.

A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and

The Center will use district data and analysis to determine the week student expectations. Once identified these expectations and objectives will be addressted immediately and spiraled throughout the rest of the year. The The researched based tools include the integration of the C-Scope curriculum and the E-Pas project based learning program. The integration of these tools ensures alignement accoress grades and core subject areas but also is aligned to the state standards.

B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.

The district adopted curriculum is a combination of C-Scope and Ford Pas. Through the C-Scope the Center will use the C-Scope assessments and locally developed mini quizes or benchmarks. These assessments will insure that the scope and sequence is folled and will also provide an oppourtunity to monitor if the learners have grapsed the comceps and objectives of the lessons tought. Summative assessment will be the TAKS/state assessment.

III. Increase learning time and create community-oriented schools.

A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined below); <u>Increased learning time</u> means using a longer school day, week, or year schedule to significantly increase the total number of school hours to include additional time for (a) instruction in core academic subjects including English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography; (b) instruction in other subjects and enrichment activities that contribute to a wellrounded education, including, for example, physical education, service learning, and experiential and work-based learning opportunities that are provided by partnering, as appropriate, with other organizations; and (c) teachers to collaborate, plan, and engage in professional development within and across grades and subjects. Research supports the effectiveness of well-designed programs that expand learning time by a minimum of 300 hours per school year. (See Frazier, Julie A.; Morrison, Frederick J. "The Influence of Extended-year Schooling on Growth of Achievement and Perceived Competence in Early Elementary School." Child Development. Vol. 69 (2), April 1998, pp.495-497 and research done by Mass2020.) Extending learning into before- and after-school hours can be difficult to implement effectively, but is permissible under this definition with encouragement to closely integrate and coordinate academic work between in school and out of school. (See James-Burdumy, Susanne; Dynarski, Mark; Deke, John. 'en Elementary Schools Stay Open Late: sults from The National Evaluation of the 21st Century Community Learning Centers Program." Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, Vol. 29 (4), December 2007, Document No. PP07-121.) <u>http://www.mathematica-</u> mpr.com/publications/redirect_PubsDB asp2strSite=http://opa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296

mpr.com/publications/redirect_PubsDB.asp?strSite=http://epa.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract/29/4/296

During the 2009-2010 program year the daily learning time began at 8:15am and ended at 3:00pm. For the 2010-2011 progrm year the LEA has adopted a daily scheduled that begins at 8:00am and ends at 3:30. This schedule has increased the learning time to 45 minutes. Furthermore the schedule reflects an additional learning time from 3:30-4:30pm. This additional 1hr is mandatory attendence for the learners who have been identifed as at-risk for failing a subject or the state standard. The district belives that this added learning time will impact learner performance with reflective outcomes on the state standard.

B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.

The Center will form partnerships with parents and organizations such as Texas Valley Communities Foundation, South Texas Promotoras Association and other community-based organizations such as health clinics, local agencies and state agencies so that we can better serve the needs of our learners and their families. Ultimately, through all these partner ships, we will help learners and families meet challenges, find solutions, and develop methodologies to foster an environment of trust between the school, the learners/families, and the community. The school's parent committee, Parents Redirecting Education thru Social Services (PRESS), will be recognized as the official Community Advisory Council whose focus is parental and community involvement initiatives. The PRESS will work to implement Bilingual Parent sessions and an annual conference for college and career readiness.

IV. Provide operational flexibility and sustained support.

A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;

The LEA will provide continuous support to the Center in order to achieve sustained learner achievement and increase the graduation rates. The LEA will do this by providing opportunities for job embedded staff development, the necessary local and district staff, a master schedule that supports optional fexible school days, extended learning day, a calendar thiat is focused on intraction and the inclusion of a collaborative planning period.

B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).

Through the technical assistance of the LEA, the ESC and the SIRC Transformation Team the Rio Grande Center will have the necessary tools and continuous and ongoing support. The LEA will assign a mentor to the Center Director in additon to the PSP. The mentor will help guide the Center Director, the teachers and the staff as they implement the transformation model. The technical assistance will incude researched best practices, leadership capacity, data analysis, and enhancing the curriculum with real life relevance and rigor. The LEA/campus may also implement other strategies within the four components. Describe any other strategies to be implemented. Enter "N/A" if a particular optional strategy is not to be implemented.

- I. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school
 - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development;

N/A

C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

The Center Director will work with the staff at central office to ensure that they are an active participant in the selection and intervew of all teachers.

II. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.

A. Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;

The Center Director will creast a plan for classroom observations and walkthroughs. This will ensure that the teacher is following the curriculum . Additinally, the Center Director will address strategies and also provide guidance on the individual professional development plan.

B. Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;

N/A

C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;

The Center will provide staff delovement in the model Capteuring Kids Hearts to address student motivation and help teachers, parents and learners communicate more effectively.

D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program;

The Center will provide both staff development and seek continuous support from Central Office in order to enhance the educatinal delivery system with technology. The Center proposes to do this with a state of the art Science Lay and the implementation of the IRRA Capullo Technology Curriculum.

E. In secondary schools--

i. Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;

The Center proposes to create an athmosphere of "IRRA is College Bound". As part of this initiative the Rio Grande Center will assist each low achieving learner develop the skills to be able to participate in the district's dual enrollment progra and graduate college and career ready.

ii. Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;

N/A

iii. Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills;

The Center will implement an aggressive campain to prevent learners from dropping out. The strategies will include monitoring each learner through an Individual Service Strategy Plan that will address the academic, career, and social needs of the learner. Referrals will be make to the "On Track to Success" (OTTS) lab when necessary for credit recovery or remidiation and to the IRRA Community Resource Center for the social services.

iv. Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.

See above.

III. Increase learning time and create community-oriented schools.

A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;

Through the Parents Redirecting Education Thru Social Services (PRESS) the Rio Grande Center will provide opportunities for parents, community partners and learners to assist the Center create and evaluate the programs established. Through this collaborative effort all programs will ensure all learners have a save enviornment in which to learn and also meet their academic, social and career needs.

B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;

The Center will create the teachers daily schedule to include a common planning period. During this time the teachers will be able to plan cooperatively and align teaching strategies across the core subjects. This collaborative planning period will help teachers plan their teaching strategies for the Capullo Technology Curriculum.

C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment;

The Center will provide staff development on Capturing Kids Hearts, utilize the social worker and the IRRA Menu of Services.

Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.

N/A

IV. Provide operational flexibility and sustained support.

A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA;

The LEA will support the Rio Grande Center will follow the guidance of the transformation model. The Site Based Decision Making Team will creat the 45-90 days plans, create the auit tools and implement the corrective action plans.

B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

The Center will obtain guidance and support from the Central Office Administration to develop a per-pupil budget that addressed the needs of the learners.

Part 4: Activity Timeline – Describe timeline delineating the steps the ampus will take to implement the selected intervention model and additional improvement activities chosen.

| Critical Success Factor | Milestone | Improvement Activity | Begin Date MM / YY | End Date MM / YY |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | A | Implement a career oriented project based curriculum with added rigor & life relevance | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | В | Implement an intensive reading and math remidiation program for all learners. | Aug 10 | July 13 |
| | с | Assist learners develop marketable job skills that are college and career ready. | Aug 10 | July 13 |
| 2 | A | Provide staf develop on data disaggregation and data analysis. | Aug 10 | July 13 |
| | В | Increase the use of data instruments. | Sept10 | July 13 |
| | с | | | |
| 3 | A | Provide ongoing job embeded professional development. | Aug10 | July 13 |
| 5 | В | Provide a Mentor for the Center Leader | Sept10 | July 13 |
| | с | Develop an instrument tool that measures leadership effectiveness. | Sept10 | July 13 |
| 4 | A | Create oppourtunities for extended instrucitonal time. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| 4 | В | Create an academic program for certifications in specialty fields. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | с | Create and monitor a common planning period | Aug10 | May 13 |
| 5 | A | Create opportunities for parents and community participation in planning and decision making. | Sept10 | May 13 |
| | В | Develop partnerships for work study and apprenticeship programs within the community and workforce. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | с | Create parent training programs. | Sept10 | May 13 |
| 6 | Α | Create inclusion opportunities for learners, parents, and community for planning and evaluation. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | В | Provide staff delveopment for positive relationship and conflict resolution. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | с | Provide support network for all struggling learners. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| 7 | A | Provide each teacher with an individualized professional development plan. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | В | Develop a lead teacher program. | Aug10 | May 13 |
| | С | Increase effective monitoring /walkthroughs. | Aug10 | May 13 |