

Chapter 8—Appealing the Ratings

The commissioner of education is required to provide a process for school districts (districts) or open-enrollment charter schools (charter schools) to challenge an agency decision relating to an academic rating that affects the district or school, including a determination of consecutive school years of unacceptable performance ratings (Texas Education Code [TEC], §39.151).

Appeals Process Overview

While districts and charter schools may appeal an overall or domain rating for any reason, the accountability system framework limits the likelihood that a single indicator or measure will result in a reduced rating. For this reason, a successful accountability appeal is usually limited to such rare cases as a data or calculation error attributable to the testing contractor(s), a regional education service center (ESC), or the Texas Education Agency (TEA). Online applications provided by TEA and the testing contractors ensure that districts and charter schools are aware of data correction opportunities, particularly through TSDS PEIMS data submissions and the Test Information Distribution Engine (TIDE). District and charter school responsibility for data quality is the cornerstone of a fair and uniform rating determination.

District and charter school appeals that challenge the agency's determination of the overall or domain accountability rating and/or determination of consecutive school years of unacceptable performance ratings are carefully reviewed by an external panel. District superintendents and chief operating officers of charter schools may appeal accountability ratings by following the guidelines in this chapter. Local Accountability System (LAS) districts that wish to appeal LAS campus ratings must follow the guidelines in this chapter.

Appeals Timeline

In 2025, the agency adopted 19 TAC §97.1002 to provide clarity regarding the timeline for accountability rating appeals.

As stated in rule, the dates of the appeals submission window, exact deadlines, and dates of final rating decisions for the accountability year will be announced on the date preliminary accountability ratings are published in [TEA Login](#) (TEAL).

General Considerations

The basis for appeals should be a data or calculation error attributable to TEA, an ESC, or the testing contractor(s). The appeals process is not an appropriate method to correct data that were inaccurately reported by the district. A district that submits inaccurate data must follow the procedures and timelines for resubmitting data (e.g., the Texas Education Data Standards). Appeals based on poor data quality will not receive favorable consideration. Poor data quality can, however, be a reason to lower a district's accreditation status (TEC §39.052[b][2][A][i]). When a district, charter school, or campus overall or domain rating is changed as the result of an appeal, the data and calculations on which the original rating was based are not changed; only the rating and affected scaled scores are changed. The Reports of the accountability data for the current school year (e.g., School Report Cards, TPRS, TAPR, etc.) will include the same data and calculations as do the original reports.

Districts and charter schools may appeal for any reason. However, the accountability system requires that the rules be applied uniformly. Therefore, requests for exceptions to the rules for a district, charter school, or campus are viewed unfavorably and will most likely be denied.

- Districts and charter schools may appeal any overall or domain rating, any campus overall or domain rating, and/or determination of consecutive school years of unacceptable performance ratings.
- Only appeals that would result in a changed overall scaled score or a domain scaled score are considered. For its appeal to be considered, a district, charter school, or campus must explain how the proposed change will affect the district, charter school, or campus rating. The district, charter school, or campus must submit all relevant data and revised calculations that support all requirements for a higher rating. All supporting documentation must be submitted at the time of the appeal. Districts and charter schools will not be prompted for additional materials.
- Per TAC §97.1061(j), districts, charter schools, and campuses must engage in required interventions that begin upon release of preliminary ratings. Interventions may only be adjusted based on final accountability ratings.
- Campuses identified for comprehensive, targeted, or additional targeted support interventions may not appeal this identification. However, a granted appeal of the Closing the Gaps domain can update a campus's identification. District, charter school, or campus intervention requirements are determined in part by the current rating outcome.
- Requests to waive school improvement requirements are not considered an appeal of the accountability rating and are, therefore, denied.
- Districts and charter schools are responsible for providing accurate information to TEA, including information provided on student answer documents or submitted via online testing systems. Districts and charter schools have several opportunities to confirm and correct data submitted for accountability purposes during the correction window.
- In order to be considered for accountability calculations, all TELPAS and Extended Constructed Response (ECR) rescore requests must be made on or before the deadline provided in the Texas Assessment Program Calendar of Events. The outcomes of these requests will be included in the final CAF and used to calculate preliminary ratings. Rescore requests submitted after the deadline will not be considered during the appeals process.
- The appeals process is not a permissible method to correct data that were inaccurately reported by the district or charter school. Appeals from districts and charter schools that missed data resubmission window opportunities are denied. Appeal requests for data corrections for the following submissions are not considered:

TSDS PEIMS data submissions for the following:

- Student identification information or program participation
- Student racial/ethnic categories
- Student economic status
- Student at-risk status
- Student attribution codes
- Student leaver data
- Student grade-level enrollment data
- Student course completion

STAAR, STAAR Alternate 2, TELPAS Alternate, and TELPAS TIDE data, specifically, the following:

- Student identification information, demographic, or program participation
- Student racial/ethnic categories
- Student economic status

- Score codes or test version codes
- Student year in U.S. schools information reported on TELPAS
- Campus ID
- Requests to modify the state accountability calculations adopted by commissioner rule are not considered. Commissioner rules are adopted under the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) in Texas Government Code Chapter 2001, and challenges to a commissioner rule should be made under that chapter of the Government Code. Recommendations for changes to state accountability rules submitted to the agency outside of the appeals process may be considered by accountability advisory groups for future accountability cycles.
- Requests to modify statutorily required implementation rules defined by the commissioner are not considered. TSDS PEIMS requirements, campus identifications, and statutorily required exclusions are based on data submitted by districts. These data reporting requirements are reviewed by the appropriate advisory committee(s), such as the TEA Information Task Force (ITF) and Policy Committee on Public Education Information (PCPEI). Recommendations for changes to agency rules submitted outside of the appeals process may be considered as the appropriate advisory groups reconvene annually. Examples of issues considered unfavorably by TEA on appeal are described below.
 - *Late Online Applications Requests.* Requests to submit or provide information after the deadline of the online alternative education accountability (AEA) campus registration or the pairing application
 - *Inclusion or exclusion of specific test results*
 - Grade-level mathematics assessment for a middle school student who took the Algebra I end-of-course (EOC)
 - *Late rescore requests*
 - Requests made after the deadline provided in the Calendar of Events
 - *Inclusion or exclusion of specific students*
 - Emergent Bilingual students (EB)
 - Unschooled asylees, unschooled refugees, and students with interrupted formal education
 - Students receiving special education services
 - *Requests to modify calculations or methodology applied to all campuses*
 - EL performance measures; longitudinal graduation rates; annual dropout rates; college, career, and military readiness indicators
 - Campus mobility/accountability subsets
 - Rounding
 - Minimum size criteria
 - Small-numbers analysis
 - Student groups evaluated in Closing the Gaps
 - *Requests to modify provisions or methodology applied to accountability*
 - *AEA Provisions.* Requests for consideration of campus registration criteria, at-risk or grades 6–12 enrollment criteria, previous year safeguard methodology, dropout recovery school (DRS) designations, and to waive the alternative education campus (AEC) enrollment criterion for charter schools
 - *School Types.* The four campus types categories used for accountability are

identified based on TSDS PEIMS enrollment data submitted in fall of the current accountability year. Requests to redefine the grade spans that determine school types

- *Campus Configuration Changes.* Districts and charter schools have the opportunity to determine changes in campus identification numbers and grade configurations. Requests for consideration of accountability rules based on changes in campus configurations are, therefore, viewed unfavorably
- *New Campuses.* Requests to assign a *Not Rated* label to campuses that are rated in their first year of operation
- *District Proportional Ratings.* Requests to not rate districts based on the proportional outcomes of their campuses

Data Relevant to the Prior-Year Results

Appeals are considered for the current year ratings status based on information relevant to the current year evaluation. Appeals are not considered for circumstances that may have affected the prior-year measures, regardless of whether the prior-year results impacted the current-year rating.

No Guaranteed Outcomes

Each appeal is evaluated on the details of its unique situation. Well-written appeals that follow the guidelines are more easily processed but not automatically granted.

Special Circumstance Appeals

- *Other Issues.* If other serious issues are found, copies of correspondence with the testing contractor(s), the regional ESC, or TEA must be provided with the appeal.
- *Online Testing Errors.* Appeals based on STAAR or TELPAS online test submission errors must include documentation or validation of the administration of the assessment.
- *Years in U.S. Schools.* Districts and charter schools should include documentation demonstrating that using prior-spring TELPAS records for students taking EOCs in summer or fall would result in a higher accountability rating.
- *Special Program Campuses.* Districts and charter schools should include documentation demonstrating the special nature of a campus designed to serve a specific population such as a campus designed solely to serve students receiving transition services under an individualized education program or a newcomer center designed specifically to serve unschooled asylees and refugees or students with interrupted formal education.

Not Rated Appeals

Districts, charter schools, and campuses assigned *Not Rated* labels are responsible for appealing this rating by the appeal deadline if the basis for this rating was due to special circumstance or error by the testing contractor(s). If TEA determines that the *Not Rated* label was indeed due to special circumstances, it may assign a revised rating.

Distinction Designations

Decisions regarding distinction designations cannot be appealed. Indicators for distinctions are reported for most districts, charter schools, and campuses regardless of eligibility for a designation. Districts,

charter schools, and campuses receiving an unacceptable rating are not eligible for a distinction.

Districts, charter schools, and campuses that appeal an unacceptable rating will automatically receive any distinction designation earned if their appeal is granted and the district, charter school, or campus rating is revised to an acceptable rating; however, if a district, charter school, or campus appeals an acceptable rating and the appeal is granted, no adjustments will be made to distinction designation(s) awarded with the preliminary rating. Please see “Chapter 9 – Responsibilities and Consequences” for further information on acceptable and unacceptable ratings.

How to Submit an Appeal

Districts and charter schools must submit an electronic Intent to Appeal Registration Form to indicate their intent to appeal district, charter school, or campus ratings using the TEAL Accountability application. This confidential online system provides a mechanism for tracking all accountability rating appeals, allows districts and charter schools to upload their appeal(s), and monitor the status of their appeal(s). This single form should include all appeals—whether for standard, Local Accountability System (LAS) or alternative education accountability (AEA)—and automatically includes the district in campus-level appeals due to proportionality rules. Filing an intent to appeal does not constitute an appeal. To file an intent to appeal:

1. Log on to TEAL at <https://tealprod.tea.state.tx.us/>.
2. Click ACCT – Accountability.
3. From the Welcome page, click the *Notification of Intent to Appeal* link and follow the instructions.

The *Notification of Intent to Appeal* link will be available during the 30 calendar day appeal window. The window opens the first day the preliminary ratings are released in TEAL Accountability each year. The status of the appeal (e.g., intent notification and receipt of documentation) will be available on the TEAL Accountability application.

Once the Intent to Appeal Registration form is submitted, an appeal packet must be uploaded using the Qualtrics Appeal Data Transmission Form in TEAL Accountability. The packet should contain a formal appeal letter and all supporting documentation necessary for TEA to process the appeal.

District superintendents and charter school chief operating officers who do not have TEAL access must request access at the TEA Secure Applications Information page at <https://tea.texas.gov/about-tea/other-services/secure-applications/tea-secure-applications-information>.

Districts and charter schools must submit their appeal to TEA by 5:00 p.m. CDT on the date announced upon the TEAL release of the preliminary ratings of the accountability year. Appeal packets will not be accepted in hard copy. The appeal must include the following:

- A statement that the letter is an appeal of a current year accountability rating and/or an appeal of the determination of consecutive school years of unacceptable performance ratings
- The name and ID number of the district or campus(es) to which the appeal applies
- For consecutive years appeals, the specific year(s) rating appealed. Appeals should be focused solely on how the information provided directly affects the count of the consecutive school years of unacceptable performance ratings, including details of how a prior issued rating should be overturned
- The specific indicator(s) appealed
- The special circumstance(s) regarding the appeal, including details of the data affected and what caused the problem

- If applicable, the reason(s) why the cause for appeal is attributable to TEA, a regional ESC, or the testing contractor(s)
- The effect(s) a granted appeal would have on the district, charter school, and/or campuses
- The reason(s) why granting the appeal may result in a revised rating, including calculations and data that support that rating
- A statement that all information included in the appeal is true and correct to the best of the district superintendent's or charter school chief operating officer's knowledge and belief.
- The district superintendent's or charter school chief operating officer's signature on official district or charter school letterhead. Digital signatures are accepted.
- The letter of appeal should be addressed to Mr. Mike Morath, Commissioner of Education (see example letters on the following page).
- Appeals for more than one campus, including alternative education campuses, within a single district or charter school must be included in the same letter.
- Appeals for more than one indicator must be included in the same letter.
- All appeals and supporting documentation must be included in the original appeal submission. The appeal must contain information for all the campuses for which the district or charter school is appealing. If the district or charter school is appealing the district or charter school rating, this documentation must also be included in the original appeal.
- It is the district's or charter school's responsibility to ensure all relevant information is included in an appeal at the time of submission as districts and charter schools will not be prompted for additional materials.
- If the appeal will impact the rating of the district, the charter school, or a paired campus, the consequence must be noted.
- Appeals received after the date announced upon the release of the preliminary ratings of the accountability year are not considered.
- Only provide one copy of the appeal letter and/or supporting documentation.
- When student-level information is in question, supporting documentation must be provided for review (i.e., a list of the students by name and identification number). It is not sufficient to reference indicator data without providing documentation with which the appeal can be researched and evaluated. *Confidential student-level documentation included in the appeal packet will be processed and stored in a secure location and accessible only by TEA staff authorized to view confidential student results. Please clearly mark any page that contains confidential student data.*
- If the appeal involves student-level information, the following table shows an example of the data needed in order for staff researchers to validate appeal statements. Appeals submitted without sufficient data cannot be processed.

Data Element	Note
County-District-Campus-Number	9-digits
District Name	
Campus Name	
Student ID	TSDS Unique ID or student's TEMP ID used in TIDE
Last Name	
First Name	
Test Administration	e.g. spring administration
Subject Information	e.g. reading/language arts (RLA), mathematics, science

Examples of satisfactory and unsatisfactory appeals from the 2026 Accountability cycle are provided for illustration only.

Satisfactory Appeal:	Unsatisfactory Appeals:
Official Letterhead Dear Commissioner Morath, This is an appeal of the 2026 accountability rating issued for Elm Street Elementary School (ID 123456789) in Elm ISD (123456). Specifically, I am appealing the overall and Closing the Gaps domain ratings. One Elm Street student was excluded from the economically disadvantaged student group preventing Elm Street Elementary from achieving a rating of C. The first attachment shows that this Elm Street Elementary student was correctly coded as economically disadvantaged in the district's PEIMS record as well as TIDE for those test administrations. The second attachment shows the recalculated percentages in the Closing the Gaps domain and the overall rating for Elm Elementary with the inclusion of this student in the economically disadvantaged group. We recognize the appeal process as the mechanism to address these unique issues. By my signature below, I certify that all information included in this appeal is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Sincerely, <i>J. Q. Educator</i> J. Q. Educator Superintendent of Schools <i>Attachments</i>	(no letterhead) Dear Commissioner Morath, This is an appeal of the 2026 accountability rating issued for Elm Street Elementary School (ID 123456789) in Elm ISD (123456). Specifically, I am appealing the Closing the Gaps Academic Achievement indicator in RLA for the Hispanic student group. This is the only indicator keeping Elm Street Elementary from achieving a rating of C. My analysis shows a coding change made to one student's race/ethnicity in TIDE was in error. One fifth grade Hispanic student was miscoded as white. Had this student, who achieved Meets Grade Level on the RLA test, been included in the Hispanic student group, this group would have met the target and earned 3 points. Removing this student from the white student group does not cause the white student group performance to change. We recognize the importance of accurate data coding and have put new procedures in place to prevent this from occurring in the future. Sincerely, (no signature) J. Q. Educator Superintendent of Schools <i>Attachments</i>
	Dear Commissioner Morath, Maple ISD feels that its rating should be an A. The discrepancy occurs because TEA shows the performance in the Student Achievement domain for English is 48%. We have sent two assessments back for rescoring and are confident they will be changed to Masters Grade Level. Sincerely, J. Q. Educator Superintendent of Schools <i>(no attachments)</i>

How an Appeal is Processed by the Agency

- The Performance Reporting Division receives an appeal packet via the TEAL Accountability upload.
- Once the appeal is received, TEA staff updates the TEAL Accountability application to reflect the upload date for each appeal. Districts and charter schools may monitor the status of their appeal(s) using the TEAL Accountability application.
- Researchers evaluate the request using agency data sources to validate the statements made to the extent possible. The agency examines all relevant data, *not just the results for students specifically named in the appeal*.
- Researchers analyze the effect that granting a campus appeal may have on other campuses in the district or charter school (such as paired campuses), even if they are not specifically named in the appeal. Similarly, the effect that granting a campus appeal may have on the district or charter school is evaluated, even if the district or charter school is not named in the appeal. In single-campus districts or charter schools, both the campus and district or charter school are evaluated, regardless of whether the district or charter school submits the appeal as a campus or district or charter school appeal.
- Staff prepares a recommendation and submits it to an external panel for review.
- The review panel examines all appeals, supporting documentation, staff research, and the staff recommendation. The panel determines its recommendation.
- The panel's recommendations are forwarded to the commissioner.
- The commissioner makes the final decision on all appeals.
- District superintendents and charter school chief operating officers receive written notification of the commissioner's decision and the rationale upon which the decision is based prior to the public release of the final ratings. The commissioner's response letters are posted to the TEAL Accountability application. District superintendents and charter school chief operating officers are also notified via email that appeal decisions are available on TEAL.
- If an appeal is granted, the data upon which the appeal is based are not modified. Accountability and performance reports, as well as all other publications reflecting accountability data, must report the data as submitted to the TEA. Accountability data are subject to scrutiny by the Office of the State Auditor.
- Certain appeal requests may lead to audits or compliance reviews by the Self-Reported Data Unit, referrals to the Special Investigations Unit, and/or the need to address potential issues related to data integrity.

The commissioner's decisions are final and not subject to further appeal or negotiation. The letter from the commissioner serves as notification of the final district or campus rating. Districts and charter schools may publicize the changed ratings at that time.

The accountability system ratings reports, TXschools.gov, and other accountability products are updated after the resolution of all appeals to reflect any changed overall or domain ratings and affected overall or domain scaled scores.

When a district, charter school, or campus overall or domain rating is changed as the result of an appeal, the data and calculations on which the original rating was based are not changed; only the rating and affected scaled scores are changed. Reports of the accountability data for the school year (e.g., School Report Cards, TPRS, TAPR) will include the same underlying data and calculations as the original reports.

Relationship to the Federal Accountability Indicators, RDA, and Effective Schools Framework

Federal accountability indicators, Results Driven Accountability (RDA) indicators, and Effective Schools Framework (ESF) intervention requirements are considered when evaluating the appeal. District or charter school data submitted through TSDS PEIMS or to the state testing contractor(s) are also considered.