## Region: 16

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 1 : Graduation

Graduation Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	509	553	535
Special Ed Graduates	426	312	276
% Special Ed Graduates	83.7%	56.4%	51.6%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	50	N/A	49
% of LEAs Meeting Target	80.6%	N/A	80.3%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	15	N/A	14

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with Individualized Education Programs (ages 14–21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma (identical to non-disabled peer requirements) in a single year.

## Region: 16

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 2 : Dropout

Dropout Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	4,049	557	535
Special Ed Dropouts	62	56	65
% Special Ed Dropouts	1.5%	10.1%	12.2%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	60	N/A	55
% of LEAs Meeting Target	96.8%	N/A	90.2%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	4	N/A	14

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out in a single year.

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 3A : Math Participation

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	933		936	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	96	10.3%	105	11.2%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	722	77.4%	739	79.0%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	103	11.0%	88	9.4%
	Participants	921	98.7%	932	99.6%
	Non-participants	12	1.3%	4	0.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	53	96.4%
	# of children with IEPs	809		844	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	33	4.1%	20	2.4%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	665	82.2%	731	86.6%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	86	10.6%	89	10.6%
	Participants	784	96.9%	840	99.5%
	Non-participants	25	3.1%	4	0.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	55	100.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	755		963	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	23	3.1%	31	3.2%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	603	79.9%	833	86.5%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	90	11.9%	86	8.9%
	Participants	716	94.8%	950	98.7%
	Non-participants	39	5.2%	13	1.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	50	96.2%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

## Indicator 3A : Reading Participation

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Reading Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	933		937	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	86	9.2%	99	10.6%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	730	78.2%	746	79.6%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	102	10.9%	88	9.4%
	Participants	918	98.4%	933	99.6%
	Non-participants	15	1.6%	4	0.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	53	96.4%
	# of children with IEPs	802		837	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	38	4.7%	19	2.3%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	655	81.7%	721	86.1%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	86	10.7%	89	10.6%
	Participants	779	97.1%	829	99.0%
	Non-participants	23	2.9%	8	1.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	55	100.0%
				-	
	# of children with IEPs	937		1,228	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	19	2.0%	28	2.3%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	702	74.9%	1,020	83.1%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	88	9.4%	87	7.1%
	Participants	809	86.3%	1,135	92.4%
	Non-participants	128	13.7%	93	7.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	30	57.7%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 3B : Math Proficiency - Regular Math

			FFY20 2020-21		'21 -22
Grade	Math Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	818		844	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	51	36.4%	70	44.0%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	89	63.6%	89	56.0%
	Total Proficients	140	17.1%	159	18.8%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	17	32.7%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	698		751	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	15	13.6%	11	9.9%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	95	86.4%	100	90.1%
	Total Proficients	110	15.8%	111	14.8%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	14	25.5%
	# of children with IEPs	626		864	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	7	7.5%	10	11.4%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	86	92.5%	78	88.6%
	Total Proficients	93	14.9%	88	10.2%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	28.9%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

## Indicator 3B : Reading Proficiency - Regular Reading

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	816		845	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	37	38.5%	71	32.3%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	59	61.5%	149	67.7%
	Total Proficients	96	11.8%	220	26.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	30	57.7%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	693		740	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	18	25.0%	14	14.9%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	54	75.0%	80	85.1%
	Total Proficients	72	10.4%	94	12.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	21	38.2%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	721		1,048	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	5	6.6%	8	10.0%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	71	93.4%	72	90.0%
	Total Proficients	76	10.5%	80	7.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	17	32.7%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 3C : Math Proficiency - Alternate Math

			FFY20 2020-21		′21 -22
Grade	Math Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	103		88	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	101	98.1%	86	97.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	18	94.7%
	# of children with IEPs	86		89	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	85	98.8%	85	95.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	23	92.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	90		86	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	86	95.6%	84	97.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	100.0%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 3C : Reading Proficiency - Alternate Reading

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	102		88	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	97	95.1%	81	92.1%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	16	84.2%
	# of children with IEPs	86		89	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	83	96.5%	86	96.6%
1	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	24	96.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	88		87	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	87	98.9%	84	96.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	14	93.3%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 3D : Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Math

			20 -21	FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,470	43.1%	2,599	45.4%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	140	17.1%	159	18.8%
	Proficiency rate gap		26.0%		26.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	27	44.3%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	4,050	57.1%	3,803	54.9%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	110	15.8%	111	14.8%
	Proficiency rate gap		41.4%		40.2%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	28	48.3%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,808	47.9%	2,922	42.1%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	93	14.9%	88	10.2%
	Proficiency rate gap		33.0%		31.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	25	43.1%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 3D : Reading Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Reading

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,215	38.7%	3,117	54.5%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	96	11.8%	220	26.0%
	Proficiency rate gap		26.9%		28.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	25	41.0%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,878	46.2%	3,492	56.1%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	72	10.4%	94	12.7%
	Proficiency rate gap		35.8%		43.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	32.8%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	3,299	48.8%	3,704	45.9%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	76	10.5%	80	7.6%
	Proficiency rate gap		38.2%		38.3%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	31	53.5%

## Region: 16

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 4A : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy

4A : Suspension and Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	1	1
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	N/A	60
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	N/A	98.4%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 4B : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity

Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	1	0
# of LEAs that reported noncompliance related to the review of policies, procedures & practices	0	0	0
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	61	61
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

## Region: 16

#### Indicator 5 : Education Environments (School Age)

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21		FF) 202	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21	9,469		9,791		10,257	
5A: Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	7,072	74.7%	7,312	74.7%	7,834	76.4%
5B: Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	992	10.5%	1,010	10.3%	1,007	9.8%
5C: In separate school, residential facility or homebound or hospital	25	0.3%	31	0.3%	35	0.3%
LEAs Meeting Target 5A	52	83.9%	N/A	N/A	48	78.7%
LEAs Meeting Target 5B	57	91.9%	N/A	N/A	59	96.7%
LEAs Meeting Target 5C	60	96.8%	N/A	N/A	59	96.7%

Indicator 5 reporting requirements for including 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

## Region: 16

#### Indicator 6 : Preschool Environments

	FF` 202(		FFY21 2021-22	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 3, 4, and aged 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program	538		556	
6A: Attending REC & receiving majority of SPED and related services in a REC	141	26.2%	159	28.6%
6B: Attending a SPED program (not in any REC) in separate SPED class, separate school or RF placements	167	31.0%	144	25.9%
6C: Receiving special education and related services in the home	8	1.5%	7	1.3%
LEAs Meeting Target 6A	N/A	N/A	40	65.6%
LEAs Meeting Target 6B	N/A	N/A	53	86.9%
LEAs Meeting Target 6C	N/A	N/A	56	91.8%

Indicator 6 reporting requirements for excluding 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 7A : Early Childhood Outcomes - Positive Social-Emotional Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning	0	0.0%			0.2%		2	0.5%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	20	5.1%		20	4.6%		23	5.7%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	108	27.4%		117	26.7%		125	30.9%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	191	48.5%		218	49.8%		182	45.1%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	75	19.0%		82	18.7%		72	17.8%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		93.7%	YES		94.1%	YES		92.5%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		67.5%	YES		68.5%	YES		62.9%	YES

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 7B : Early Childhood Outcomes – Acquisition & Use of Knowledge & Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.3%		2	0.5%		3	0.7%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	22	5.6%		21	4.8%		19	4.7%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	124	31.5%		131	29.9%		133	32.9%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	191	48.5%		228	52.1%		207	51.2%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	56	14.2%		56	12.8%		42	10.4%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		93.2%	YES		94.0%	YES		93.9%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		62.7%	YES		64.8%	YES		61.6%	YES

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 7C : Early Childhood Outcomes – Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning	0	0.0%			0.2%		3	0.7%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	20	5.1%		21	4.8%		16	4.0%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	77	19.5%		73	16.7%		81	20.1%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	166	42.1%		196	44.8%		191	47.3%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	131	33.2%		147	33.6%		113	28.0%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		92.4%	YES		92.4%	YES		93.5%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		75.4%	YES		78.3%	YES		75.3%	YES

### Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 8 : Parent Involvement

	FFY19 2019-20		FF) 2020		FFY21 2021-22	
Parent Involvement	%	Met Target	%	Met Target	%	Met Target
% of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	89.1%	YES	77.7%	N/A	74.9%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

## Region: 16

#### Indicator 9 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	0	0	0
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	61	61
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Indicator 10 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	0	4	3
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	61	61
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

### Region: 16

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 11 : Child Find

Child Find	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received	1,935	1,959	2,598
# of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	1,933	1,812	2,594
% of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	99.9%	92.5%	99.9%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	61	57	58
% of LEAs Meeting Target	98.4%	93.4%	95.1%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

### Region: 16

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

#### Indicator 12 : Early Childhood Transition

Early Childhood Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children served in Part C & referred to Part B for eligibility determination	184	206	107
# referred determined to be NOT eligible & eligibilities were determined prior to third birthday	26	19	12
# found eligible & IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	139	157	75
# whose parent refusal caused delay in evaluation or initial services	5	7	4
# serviced in Part C less than 90 days before third birthday	14	2	16
% serviced in Part C prior to age 3, eligible for Part B & have IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	60	61
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	98.4%	100.0%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

### Indicator 13 : Secondary Transition

Secondary Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of youth with disabilities aged 16 & above	435	484	487
# of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	435	484	487
% of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	62	61	59
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	96.7%

## Region: 16

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 61

## Indicator 14 : Post-School Outcomes

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22			
Post-School Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	10	12.7%	NO	20	22.5%	NO	30	31.3%	YES
Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	42	53.2%	NO	62	69.7%	YES	62	64.6%	YES
Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training parogram; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	53	67.1%	NO	73	82.0%	YES	76	79.2%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

## Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
1	88.5%	N/A	>=45.00%
2	1.8%	N/A	<=13.00%
3A Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3B Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=14.00%
3B Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=19.00%
3B Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=20.00%
3B Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=17.00%
3C Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=91.00%
3C Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3C Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3C Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3D Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=23.00%
3D Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=36.00%
3D Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=38.00%
3D Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=20.00%
3D Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=32.00%
3D Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=26.00%
4A	0.0%	N/A	<=24.00%
4B	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
5A	68.0%	N/A	>=73.00%
5B	12.0%	N/A	<=14.00%
5C	1.3%	N/A	<=1.00%
6A	33.0%	N/A	>=27.00%
6B	15.0%	N/A	<=26.00%
6C	N/A	N/A	<=0.85%
7A1	85.0%	85.0%	>=85.00%
7A2	63.0%	63.0%	>=63.00%
7B1	85.0%	84.0%	>=84.00%
7B2	58.0%	56.0%	>=57.00%
7C1	85.0%	84.0%	>=85.00%

## Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
7C2	74.0%	71.0%	>=72.00%
8	81.0%	N/A	>=81.00%
9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
12	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
14A	30.0%	30.0%	>=31.00%
14B	63.0%	63.0%	>=64.00%
14C	80.0%	80.0%	>=81.00%