### Region: 09

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 1 : Graduation

Graduation Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	276	291	318
Special Ed Graduates	258	101	140
% Special Ed Graduates	93.5%	34.7%	44.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	34	N/A	22
% of LEAs Meeting Target	91.9%	N/A	59.5%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	7	N/A	7

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with Individualized Education Programs (ages 14–21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma (identical to non-disabled peer requirements) in a single year.

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 2 : Dropout

Dropout Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	2,112	292	318
Special Ed Dropouts	13	13	24
% Special Ed Dropouts	0.6%	4.5%	7.5%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	32	N/A	30
% of LEAs Meeting Target	86.5%	N/A	81.1%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	0	N/A	7

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out in a single year.

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3A : Math Participation

			FFY20 2020-21		/21  -22
Grade	Math Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	461		539	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	61	13.2%	59	11.0%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	356	77.2%	431	80.0%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	34	7.4%	47	8.7%
	Participants	451	97.8%	537	99.6%
	Non-participants	10	2.2%	2	0.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	36	100.0%
	# of children with IEPs	375		405	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	13	3.5%	19	4.7%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	320	85.3%	347	85.7%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	32	8.5%	36	8.9%
	Participants	365	97.3%	402	99.3%
	Non-participants	10	2.7%	3	0.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	31	100.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	364		481	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	48	13.2%	26	5.4%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	277	76.1%	414	86.1%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	27	7.4%	36	7.5%
	Participants	352	96.7%	476	99.0%
	Non-participants	12	3.3%	5	1.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	34	97.1%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3A : Reading Participation

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Reading Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	460		539	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	62	13.5%	61	11.3%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	355	77.2%	429	79.6%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	34	7.4%	47	8.7%
	Participants	451	98.0%	537	99.6%
	Non-participants	9	2.0%	2	0.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	36	100.0%
	# of children with IEPs	375		405	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	16	4.3%	23	5.7%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	317	84.5%	342	84.4%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	33	8.8%	36	8.9%
	Participants	366	97.6%	401	99.0%
	Non-participants	9	2.4%	4	1.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	31	100.0%
	# of children with IEPs	402		549	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	21	5.2%	29	5.3%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	323	80.4%	446	81.2%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	27	6.7%	38	6.9%
	Participants	371	92.3%	513	93.4%
	Non-participants	31	7.7%	36	6.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	27	77.1%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3B : Math Proficiency - Regular Math

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Math Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	417		490	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	29	60.4%	36	50.7%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	19	39.6%	35	49.3%
	Total Proficients	48	11.5%	71	14.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	41.7%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	333		366	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	5	19.2%	8	24.2%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	21	80.8%	25	75.8%
	Total Proficients	26	7.8%	33	9.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	6	20.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	325		440	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	9	25.7%	8	16.7%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	26	74.3%	40	83.3%
	Total Proficients	35	10.8%	48	10.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	10	28.6%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3B : Reading Proficiency - Regular Reading

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	417		490	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	23	59.0%	39	41.1%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	16	41.0%	56	59.0%
	Total Proficients	39	9.4%	95	19.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	26	72.2%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	333		365	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	9	39.1%	14	32.6%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	14	60.9%	29	67.4%
	Total Proficients	23	6.9%	43	11.8%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	16	53.3%
	# of children with IEPs	344		474	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	8	25.0%	6	14.3%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	24	75.0%	36	85.7%
	Total Proficients	32	9.3%	42	8.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	12	34.3%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 3C : Math Proficiency - Alternate Math

	FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22		
Grade	Math Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	34		47	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	26	76.5%	46	97.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	13	92.9%
	# of children with IEPs	32		36	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	27	84.4%	33	91.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	9	81.8%
	·		I		
	# of children with IEPs	27		36	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	25	92.6%	36	100.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	13	100.0%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3C : Reading Proficiency - Alternate Reading

	FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22		
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	34		47	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	26	76.5%	39	83.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	8	57.1%
	# of children with IEPs	33		36	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	29	87.9%	33	91.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	9	81.8%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	27		38	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	24	88.9%	36	94.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	12	92.3%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 3D : Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Math

			20 -21	FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	929	37.1%	1,038	38.6%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	48	11.5%	71	14.5%
	Proficiency rate gap		25.6%		24.1%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	17	46.0%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,302	48.0%	1,294	45.6%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	26	7.8%	33	9.0%
	Proficiency rate gap		40.2%		36.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	40.5%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,184	42.8%	1,299	41.3%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	35	10.8%	48	10.9%
	Proficiency rate gap		32.0%		30.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	41.7%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 3D : Reading Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Reading

			20 -21	FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	837	33.5%	1,231	45.8%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	39	9.4%	95	19.4%
	Proficiency rate gap		24.1%		26.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	18	48.7%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,134	43.2%	1,426	52.0%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	23	6.9%	43	11.8%
	Proficiency rate gap		36.3%		40.2%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	51.4%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,530	49.2%	1,558	44.7%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	32	9.3%	42	8. <b>9</b> %
	Proficiency rate gap		39.9%		35.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	41.7%

### Region: 09

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 4A : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy

4A : Suspension and Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	0	0
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	N/A	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	N/A	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 4B : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity

Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	0	0
# of LEAs that reported noncompliance related to the review of policies, procedures & practices	0	0	0
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	37	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

### Region: 09

#### Indicator 5 : Education Environments (School Age)

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21		FF) 202′	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21	4,679		4,925		5,325	
5A: Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	3,472	74.2%	3,723	75.6%	4,052	76.1%
5B: Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	585	12.5%	608	12.4%	612	11.5%
5C: In separate school, residential facility or homebound or hospital	29	0.6%	15	0.3%	14	0.3%
LEAs Meeting Target 5A	31	83.8%	N/A	N/A	29	78.4%
LEAs Meeting Target 5B	31	83.8%	N/A	N/A	36	97.3%
LEAs Meeting Target 5C	35	94.6%	N/A	N/A	33	89.2%

Indicator 5 reporting requirements for including 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

### Region: 09

#### Indicator 6 : Preschool Environments

	FF) 2020		FFY21 2021-22	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 3, 4, and aged 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program	301		331	
6A: Attending REC & receiving majority of SPED and related services in a REC	94	31.2%	98	29.6%
6B: Attending a SPED program (not in any REC) in separate SPED class, separate school or RF placements	48	16.0%	81	24.5%
6C: Receiving special education and related services in the home	0	0.0%	2	0.6%
LEAs Meeting Target 6A	N/A	N/A	24	64.9%
LEAs Meeting Target 6B	N/A	N/A	33	89.2%
LEAs Meeting Target 6C	N/A	N/A	36	97.3%

Indicator 6 reporting requirements for excluding 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 7A : Early Childhood Outcomes - Positive Social-Emotional Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.5%		0	0.0%		0	0.0%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	21	9.5%		5	2.4%		52	22.5%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	62	27.9%		59	27.7%		47	20.4%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	89	40.1%		110	51.6%		86	37.2%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	49	22.1%		39	18.3%		46	19.9%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		87.3%	YES		97.1%	YES		71.9%	NO
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		62.2%	NO		70.0%	YES		57.1%	NO

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 7B : Early Childhood Outcomes – Acquisition & Use of Knowledge & Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning	0	0.0%		0	0.0%		0	0.0%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	28	12.6%		6	2.8%		53	22.9%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	62	27.9%		68	31.9%		53	22.9%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	97	43.7%		109	51.2%		87	37.7%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	35	15.8%		30	14.1%		38	16.5%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		85.0%	YES		96.7%	YES		72.5%	NO
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		59.5%	YES		65.3%	YES		54.1%	NO

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 7C : Early Childhood Outcomes – Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.5%		0	0.0%		0	0.0%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	21	9.5%		3	1.4%		44	19.1%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	34	15.3%		40	18.8%		29	12.6%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	86	38.7%		103	48.4%		80	34.6%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	80	36.0%		67	31.5%		78	33.8%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		84.5%	YES		98.0%	YES		71.2%	NO
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		74.8%	YES		79.8%	YES		68.4%	NO

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 8 : Parent Involvement

	FFY19 2019-20		FF 2020		FFY21 2021-22	
Parent Involvement	%	Met Target	%	Met Target	%	Met Target
% of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	82.6%	YES	81.3%	N/A	72.6%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

### Region: 09

#### Indicator 9 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	1	1	0
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	37	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

### Region: 09

#### Indicator 10 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	1	1	0
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	37	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Region: 09

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

#### Indicator 11 : Child Find

Child Find	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received	1,085	1,497	1,412
# of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	1,085	1,493	1,412
% of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	100.0%	99.7%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	36	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	97.3%	100.0%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

### Region: 09

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 12 : Early Childhood Transition

Early Childhood Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children served in Part C & referred to Part B for eligibility determination	106	81	54
# referred determined to be NOT eligible & eligibilities were determined prior to third birthday	16	9	3
# found eligible & IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	84	59	46
# whose parent refusal caused delay in evaluation or initial services	5	1	0
# serviced in Part C less than 90 days before third birthday	1	3	5
% serviced in Part C prior to age 3, eligible for Part B & have IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	100.0%	86.8%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	37	36	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	97.3%	100.0%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 13 : Secondary Transition

Secondary Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of youth with disabilities aged 16 & above	264	289	258
# of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	263	289	258
% of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	99.6%	100.0%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	36	37	37
% of LEAs Meeting Target	97.3%	100.0%	100.0%

### Region: 09

### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 37

### Indicator 14 : Post-School Outcomes

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22			
Post-School Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	8	18.2%	NO	15	22.7%	NO	14	21.2%	NO
Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	19	43.2%	NO	39	59.1%	NO	43	65.2%	YES
Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training parogram; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	27	61.4%	NO	46	69.7%	NO	49	74.2%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

### Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
1	88.5%	N/A	>=45.00%
2	1.8%	N/A	<=13.00%
3A Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3B Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=14.00%
3B Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=19.00%
3B Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=20.00%
3B Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=17.00%
3C Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=91.00%
3C Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3C Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3C Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3D Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=23.00%
3D Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=36.00%
3D Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=38.00%
3D Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=20.00%
3D Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=32.00%
3D Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=26.00%
4A	0.0%	N/A	<=24.00%
4B	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
5A	68.0%	N/A	>=73.00%
5B	12.0%	N/A	<=14.00%
5C	1.3%	N/A	<=1.00%
6A	33.0%	N/A	>=27.00%
6B	15.0%	N/A	<=26.00%
6C	N/A	N/A	<=0.85%
7A1	85.0%	85.0%	>=85.00%
7A2	63.0%	63.0%	>=63.00%
7B1	85.0%	84.0%	>=84.00%
7B2	58.0%	56.0%	>=57.00%
7C1	85.0%	84.0%	>=85.00%

### Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
7C2	74.0%	71.0%	>=72.00%
8	81.0%	N/A	>=81.00%
9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
12	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
14A	30.0%	30.0%	>=31.00%
14B	63.0%	63.0%	>=64.00%
14C	80.0%	80.0%	>=81.00%