#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 1 : Graduation

Graduation Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	354	446	478
Special Ed Graduates	301	193	194
% Special Ed Graduates	85.0%	43.3%	40.6%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	31	N/A	25
% of LEAs Meeting Target	67.4%	N/A	54.4%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	6	N/A	5

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with Individualized Education Programs (ages 14–21) who exited special education due to graduating with a regular high school diploma (identical to non-disabled peer requirements) in a single year.

## Region Summary Tables SPP/APR Indicators 1-14 Region: 08 Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 2 : Dropout

Dropout Category	FFY 2019 Class of 2019	FFY 2020 Class of 2020	FFY 2021 Class of 2021
Special Ed Students	3,020	448	478
Special Ed Dropouts	29	55	62
% Special Ed Dropouts	1.0%	12.3%	13.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	41	N/A	39
% of LEAs Meeting Target	89.1%	N/A	84.8%
# of LEAs With 'N/A'	1	N/A	5

OSEP required an SPP measurement change in FFY 2020. It includes the percentage of youth with IEPs (ages 14-21) who exited special education due to dropping out in a single year.

## Region: 08

## Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3A : Math Participation

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	560		585	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	65	11.6%	65	11.1%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	423	75.5%	457	78.1%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	61	10.9%	58	9.9%
	Participants	549	98.0%	580	99.2%
	Non-participants	11	2.0%	5	0.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	41	91.1%
	# of children with IEPs	517		540	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	16	3.1%	22	4.1%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	409	79.1%	441	81.7%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	65	12.6%	69	12.8%
	Participants	490	94.8%	532	98.5%
	Non-participants	27	5.2%	8	1.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	40	88.9%
	# of children with IEPs	542		621	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	21	3.9%	24	3.9%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	423	78.0%	513	82.6%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	55	10.2%	66	10.6%
	Participants	499	92.1%	603	97.1%
	Non-participants	43	7.9%	18	2.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	38	90.5%

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3A : Reading Participation

		FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Reading Participation	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	563		585	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	73	13.0%	70	12.0%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	412	73.2%	451	77.1%
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	61	10.8%	58	9.9%
	Participants	546	97.0%	579	99.0%
	Non-participants	17	3.0%	6	1.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	41	91.1%
	# of children with IEPs	515		540	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	16	3.1%	20	3.7%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	402	78.1%	444	82.2%
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	65	12.6%	69	12.8%
	Participants	483	93.8%	533	98.7%
	Non-participants	32	6.2%	7	1.3%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	41	91.1%
	# of children with IEPs	612		748	
	Regular assessment without accommodations	28	4.6%	24	3.2%
	Regular assessment with accommodations	437	71.4%	580	77.5%
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	56	9.2%	66	8.8%
	Participants	521	85.1%	670	89.6%
	Non-participants	91	14.9%	78	10.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	25	59.5%

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3B : Math Proficiency - Regular Math

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Math Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	488		522	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	36	52.9%	39	50.7%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	32	47.1%	38	49.4%
	Total Proficients	68	13.9%	77	14.8%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	14	31.1%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	425		463	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	6	15.4%	10	24.4%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	33	84.6%	31	75.6%
	Total Proficients	39	9.2%	41	8. <b>9</b> %
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	8	17.8%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	444		537	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	10	20.0%	8	12.7%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	40	80.0%	55	87.3%
	Total Proficients	50	11.3%	63	11.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	13	31.7%

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3B : Reading Proficiency - Regular Reading

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Regular	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	485		521	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	37	60.7%	53	45.7%
04	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	24	39.3%	63	54.3%
	Total Proficients	61	12.6%	116	22.3%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	32	71.1%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	418		464	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	3	8.3%	9	12.3%
08	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	33	91.7%	64	87.7%
	Total Proficients	36	8.6%	73	15.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	20	44.4%
	# of children with IEPs	465		604	
	Proficient or above regular assessment without accommodations	9	19.6%	12	21.1%
HS	Proficient or above regular assessment with accommodations	37	80.4%	45	79.0%
	Total Proficients	46	9.9%	57	9.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	16	39.0%

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3C : Math Proficiency - Alternate Math

			FFY20 2020-21		/21  -22
Grade	Math Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	61		58	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	60	98.4%	58	100.0%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	20	100.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	65		69	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	65	100.0%	68	98.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	22	95.7%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	55		66	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	50	90.9%	63	95.5%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	86.4%

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3C : Reading Proficiency - Alternate Reading

	FFY20 2020-21		FFY21 2021-22		
Grade	Reading Proficiency - Alternate	#	%	#	%
	# of children with IEPs	61		58	
04	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	56	91.8%	56	96.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	95.0%
	# of children with IEPs	65		69	
08	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	64	98.5%	66	95.7%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	20	87.0%
	·				
	# of children with IEPs	56		66	
HS	Alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards	52	92.9%	62	93.9%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	86.4%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3D : Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Math

			FFY20 2020-21		21 -22
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,446	38.6%	1,667	43.1%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	68	13.9%	77	14.8%
	Proficiency rate gap		24.6%		28.3%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	18	39.1%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,139	50.4%	2,148	49.3%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	39	9.2%	41	8.9%
	Proficiency rate gap		41.3%		40.4%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	16	35.6%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,826	46.2%	2,006	43.9%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	50	11.3%	63	11.7%
	Proficiency rate gap		34.9%		32.2%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	15	35.7%

Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 3D : Reading Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs Reading

			20 -21	FFY21 2021-22	
Grade	Math Gap in Proficiency Rates - All Children vs Children with IEPs	#	%	#	%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,333	35.7%	2,029	52.4%
04	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	61	12.6%	116	22.3%
	Proficiency rate gap		23.1%		30.2%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	19	41.3%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	1,880	46.4%	2,342	55.6%
08	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	36	8.6%	73	15.7%
	Proficiency rate gap		37.8%		39.8%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	16	35.6%
	Proficiency rate for all students scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	2,319	52.4%	2,391	47.0%
HS	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs scoring at or above proficient against grade level academic achievement standards	46	9.9%	57	9.4%
	Proficiency rate gap		42.5%		37.6%
	LEAs Meeting Target	N/A	N/A	24	57.1%

#### Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 4A : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy

4A : Suspension and Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	0	0
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	N/A	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	N/A	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 4B : Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity

Suspension & Expulsion - Significant Discrepancy by Race or Ethnicity	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with significant discrepancy	0	0	0
# of LEAs that reported noncompliance related to the review of policies, procedures & practices	0	0	0
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	46	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

## Region: 08

#### Indicator 5 : Education Environments (School Age)

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21		FF) 2021	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and ages 6 through 21	6,590		6,688		6,930	
5A: Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day	4,467	67.8%	4,520	67.6%	4,632	66.8%
5B: Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day	980	14.9%	964	14.4%	1,003	14.5%
5C: In separate school, residential facility or homebound or hospital	28	0.4%	32	0.5%	50	0.7%
LEAs Meeting Target 5A	31	67.4%	N/A	N/A	25	54.4%
LEAs Meeting Target 5B	27	58.7%	N/A	N/A	31	67.4%
LEAs Meeting Target 5C	40	87.0%	N/A	N/A	35	76.1%

Indicator 5 reporting requirements for including 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

## Region: 08

#### Indicator 6 : Preschool Environments

	FF) 2020		FFY21 2021-22	
Educational Environment	#	%	#	%
Total # of students ages 3, 4, and aged 5 who are enrolled in a preschool program	359		378	
6A: Attending REC & receiving majority of SPED and related services in a REC	80	22.3%	88	23.3%
6B: Attending a SPED program (not in any REC) in separate SPED class, separate school or RF placements	103	28.7%	128	33.9%
6C: Receiving special education and related services in the home	2	0.6%	1	0.3%
LEAs Meeting Target 6A	N/A	N/A	16	34.8%
LEAs Meeting Target 6B	N/A	N/A	28	60.9%
LEAs Meeting Target 6C	N/A	N/A	45	97.8%

Indicator 6 reporting requirements for excluding 5-year-old students enrolled in kindergarten became mandatory in 2021.

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 7A : Early Childhood Outcomes - Positive Social-Emotional Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.3%			0.3%			0.3%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	30	8.4%		41	13.0%		31	9.3%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	100	28.1%		84	26.6%		103	30.9%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	124	34.8%		96	30.4%		117	35.1%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	101	28.4%		94	29.8%		81	24.3%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		87.8%	YES		81.1%	NO		87.3%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		63.2%	YES		60.1%	NO		59.5%	NO

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 7B : Early Childhood Outcomes – Acquisition & Use of Knowledge & Skills

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.3%		0	0.0%			0.3%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	37	10.4%		52	16.5%		37	11.1%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	128	36.0%		101	32.0%		128	38.4%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	151	42.4%		120	38.0%		137	41.1%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	39	11.0%		43	13.6%		30	9.0%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		88.0%	YES		81.0%	NO		87.5%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		53.4%	NO		51.6%	NO		50.2%	NO

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 7C : Early Childhood Outcomes – Use of Appropriate Behaviors to Meet Their Needs

	FFY19 2019-20			FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22		
Early Childhood Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Did not improve functioning		0.3%		0	0.0%		2	0.6%	
Improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers	28	7.9%		35	11.1%		27	8.1%	
Improved functioning to reach a level nearer to same-aged peers	77	21.6%		57	18.0%		80	24.0%	
Improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers	121	34.0%		94	29.8%		119	35.7%	
Maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers	129	36.2%		130	41.1%		105	31.5%	
Summary Statement 1: The % who entered the preschool program below age expectations in each outcome, and substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		87.2%	YES		81.2%	NO		87.3%	YES
Summary Statement 2: The % of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in each outcome by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program		70.2%	NO		70.9%	YES		67.3%	NO

#### Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 8 : Parent Involvement

	FFY19 2019-20		FF 2020		FFY21 2021-22	
Parent Involvement	%	Met Target	%	Met Target	%	Met Target
% of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	86.4%	YES	82.2%	N/A	71.7%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

#### Region: 08

#### Indicator 9 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Special Education & Related Services	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	1	1	1
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	46	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Region: 08

#### Indicator 10 : Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories

Disproportionate Representation - Racial & Ethnic Groups in Specific Disability Categories	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation	1	2	4
# of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0	0	0
% of LEAs with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	46	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Methodology changes were made in FFY 2020 to meet federal requirements.

#### Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 11 : Child Find

Child Find	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children for whom parental consent to evaluate was received	1,157	1,566	1,877
# of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	1,157	1,558	1,866
% of children whose evaluations completed within State established timeline	100.0%	99.5%	99.4%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	45	44
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	97.8%	95.7%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

#### Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 12 : Early Childhood Transition

Early Childhood Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of children served in Part C & referred to Part B for eligibility determination	91	97	70
# referred determined to be NOT eligible & eligibilities were determined prior to third birthday	15	10	6
# found eligible & IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	68	76	47
# whose parent refusal caused delay in evaluation or initial services	5	8	0
# serviced in Part C less than 90 days before third birthday	3	2	17
% serviced in Part C prior to age 3, eligible for Part B & have IEP developed & implemented by third birthday	100.0%	98.7%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	46	45	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	100.0%	97.8%	100.0%

Post-clarification data come from the Texas Student Data System (TSDS) Child Find collection for children with a SPED-ELIGIBILITY-DETERMINATION-DATE E1716 between July 1, 2021, and June 30, 2022, for the 2021-2022 school year. The TSDS Child Find collection includes State Performance Plan Indicator 11 (SPPI-11) and SPPI-12. Previously, SPPI-11 and SPPI-12 data were collected via the State Performance Plan (SPP) application in the Texas Education Agency Login (TEAL).

## Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 13 : Secondary Transition

Secondary Transition	FFY 2019 2019-20	FFY 2020 2020-21	FFY 2021 2021-22
# of youth with disabilities aged 16 & above	440	450	399
# of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	438	443	399
% of youth 16 & above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals & transition services	99.5%	98.4%	100.0%
# of LEAs Meeting Target	45	45	46
% of LEAs Meeting Target	97.8%	97.8%	100.0%

#### Region: 08

#### Total Number of LEAs (2021-22) for All Indicators: 46

#### Indicator 14 : Post-School Outcomes

	FFY19 2019-20		FFY20 2020-21			FFY21 2021-22			
Post-School Outcomes	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target	#	%	Met Target
Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school	8	15.4%	NO	16	19.8%	NO	25	32.5%	YES
Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school	22	42.3%	NO	38	46.9%	NO	51	66.2%	YES
Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training parogram; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school	26	50.0%	NO	50	61.7%	NO	58	75.3%	NO

This indicator is based on surveyed respondents and may not be representative of every district included in the total number of LEAs.

## Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
1	88.5%	N/A	>=45.00%
2	1.8%	N/A	<=13.00%
3A Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3A Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3B Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=14.00%
3B Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=12.00%
3B Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=19.00%
3B Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=20.00%
3B Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=17.00%
3C Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=91.00%
3C Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3C Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	>=94.00%
3C Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	>=95.00%
3C Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	>=93.00%
3D Reading Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=23.00%
3D Reading Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=36.00%
3D Reading Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=38.00%
3D Math Grade 04	N/A	N/A	<=20.00%
3D Math Grade 08	N/A	N/A	<=32.00%
3D Math Grade HS	N/A	N/A	<=26.00%
4A	0.0%	N/A	<=24.00%
4B	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%
5A	68.0%	N/A	>=73.00%
5B	12.0%	N/A	<=14.00%
5C	1.3%	N/A	<=1.00%
6A	33.0%	N/A	>=27.00%
6B	15.0%	N/A	<=26.00%
6C	N/A	N/A	<=0.85%
7A1	85.0%	85.0%	>=85.00%
7A2	63.0%	63.0%	>=63.00%
7B1	85.0%	84.0%	>=84.00%
7B2	58.0%	56.0%	>=57.00%
7C1	85.0%	84.0%	>=85.00%

## Targets FFY 2019 - FFY 2021

Indicator	FFY 2019 Target	FFY 2020 Target	FFY 2021 Target
7C2	74.0%	71.0%	>=72.00%
8	81.0%	N/A	>=81.00%
9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
11	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
12	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
14A	30.0%	30.0%	>=31.00%
14B	63.0%	63.0%	>=64.00%
14C	80.0%	80.0%	>=81.00%