

Cycle 2 Group 2 Dates: January 2021-March 2021

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

2020-2021 CYCLICAL MONITORING REPORT FT. HANCOCK INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

CDN: 115901

Non-Compliance Identified

Corrective Actions To Be Completed

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Education Agency (TEA) would like to extend appreciation to Ft Hancock ISD for their efforts, attention, and time committed to the completion of the review process.

The TEA has developed a monitoring approach that reviews compliance-based indicators while also looking for best practices. In commitment to the approach, the cyclical monitoring report will provide the results of the LEA's compliance review related to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and federal and state statutes, a summary of data related to Results-Driven Accountability (RDA), State Performance Plan (SPP), Significant Disproportionality (SD), and dyslexia program evaluation, recommend targeted technical assistance and support for LEAs related to special education, and highlight best practices of LEAs that demonstrate success.

CYCLICAL MONITORING

The TEA conducts cyclical reviews of all LEAs statewide over six years. The purpose of cyclical monitoring is to support positive outcomes for students with disabilities and to determine compliance with special education regulations and dyslexia program regulations.

LEAs are required to submit artifacts and/or sources of evidence for compliance and promising practices review in the following areas:

- Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE
- IEP Development
- IEP Content
- IEP Implementation
- State Assessment
- Properly Constituted ARD Committees
- Transition

2020–2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

On January 22, 2021, the TEA conducted a policy review of Ft Hancock ISD. On February 25, 2021, the TEA conducted a comprehensive desk review of Ft Hancock ISD. The total number of files reviewed for the Ft Hancock ISD comprehensive desk review was 16. The review found overall that 7 files out of 16 files were compliant. An overview of the policy review and student file review for Ft Hancock ISD are organized in the chart below by indicating the number of compliant findings within the reviewed file submissions related to the compliance area. Itemized details of these findings are in the appendix:

Compliance Area	Policy Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	Student File Review (# compliant of # reviewed)	
Child Find/Evaluation/FAPE	19 of 19	16 of 16	
IEP Development	5 of 5	13 of 16	
IEP Content	3 of 3	12 of 16	
IEP Implementation	21 of 21	13 of 16	
Properly Constituted ARD	8 of 8	16 of 16	
State Assessment	4 of 4	12 of 16	
Transition	6 of 6	2 of 5	

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

The dyslexia monitoring process focuses on three-core elements: early identification and intervention, program of instruction, and parent notification. The TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring reviewed Ft Hancock ISD artifacts using a program evaluation protocol which is aligned to Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th Legislature, Texas Education Code (TEC) 38.003 (c-1), and 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 74.28. The **2020-2021** school year results for Ft Hancock ISD are in the table below.

Areas of Implementation	Compliance Status
Dyslexia Procedures	Met Compliance
Parent Communication	Met Compliance
Screening	Met Compliance
Reading Instruments	Met Compliance
Evaluation and Identification	Met Compliance
Instruction	Met Compliance
Dysgraphia	Met Compliance
Professional Development and Training	Met Compliance

DATA SUMMARY OF RESULTS-DRIVEN ACCOUNTABILITY, STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS, AND SIGNIFICANT DISPROPORTIONALITY

The following supplemental data may be used to support development of the Strategic Support Plan (SSP) for continuous improvement and/or a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) if noncompliance is identified.

Year	Results-Driven Accountability (RDA) Determination Level	SPP Indicators 11, 12, 13 Compliance*	Significant Disproportionality
2020	DL 1—Meets Requirements	COMPLIANT	N/A
*Indicator	11: Child Find	•	

Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition

2020-2021 COVID-19 IMPACT NARRATIVE

In the 2020-2021 academic year, Local Education Agencies (LEAs) were provided an opportunity to complete a COVID-19 impact narrative form documenting the practices incorporated to support Child Find and FAPE for students being served by special education programs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2020-2021 CYCLICAL REVIEW PARENT, TEACHER, ADMINISTRATOR INTERVIEWS/SURVEY

Staff and Family Surveys

On April 1, 2021, the TEA Review and Support team received 19 surveys during the comprehensive desk review. The Review and Support surveys focused on the following review areas:

Data was not reported regarding how participants felt about receiving sufficient communication from their school. The best way the school/district provides information about (trainings, online trainings, support groups and other available resources) concerning special education services is via notices sent home, phone calls and the school website.

Most participants felt training in classroom management, differentiated instruction, using accommodations and modifications, and reading instruction was effective to help meet the needs of students with disabilities.

Ninety percent of participants strongly agreed, agreed, or somewhat agreed there were frequent opportunities to collaborate with related services, followed by ten percent of participants that disagreed.

Data was not reported regarding obstacles concerning student's special education programming and services.

Copyright © 2020. Texas Education Agency. All Rights Reserved.

All participants agreed with the importance of including students' interests/life goals in the transition process.

Most of the participants reported that remote learning for students receiving special education was somewhat effective.

COVID

Forty percent of participants felt that during COVID school closure/remote learning, the Emergency Contingency Plan was effective in student progress, while sixty percent participants felt it was ineffective.

During COVID closures the top three methods teachers used to provide support to students with moderate to severe disabilities were:

- Teachers provided supports needed for students to be successful.
- Teachers made regular contact with students and parents to meet academic and emotional needs.
- Teachers modified work.

Participants indicated that during current COVID school closure/remote learning they needed professional development in how to teach virtually, how to use virtual platforms, social and emotional learning impact on students, and social and emotional learning or counseling.

Participants indicated that during COVID school closure/remote learning, the top three supports used by the district that did not work well for students with disabilities were: shared device; online submission of assignments; and drive through packet pick up and drop off.

All the participants strongly agreed or agreed that school staff worked with parent/guardian in addressing severe behavior and work refusal.

This survey was approved by the Texas Education Agency's data governance board. Participation in this survey was both voluntary and anonymous. No data was collected identifying a name so that individual responses cannot be linked to any respondent. Participants were given the option to stop the survey at any time.

Strengths

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following strengths for Ft Hancock ISD:

- COVID-19 related contingency plans ensured students continued to receive services according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP).
- All monitored special education policies follow federal and state requirements and operating procedures are linked to the Legal Framework to support compliance with state and federal

guidelines to support positive student outcomes.

• ARD meetings consistently were attended by required team members including, LPAC representative for students identified as English Learners (ELs)

Based on results of the policy review and student file review, along with data collected from LEA staff and family surveys, the Review and Support team identified the following considerations for Ft Hancock ISD:

- Consider reviewing, revising and/or developing a process to include TEKS data (both strengths and weaknesses) from classroom assignments and local and state assessments when developing Present Levels of Academic Achievement and Functional Performance (PLAAFPs). Specifying strengths and weaknesses related to grade level TEKS on the teacher input form may encourage teachers to focus academic information in addition to the student's personal attributes they did include. Effective PLAAFPs inform the annual goals and appropriate services and supports required for the student to meet those goals.
- Consider reviewing, revising, and/or developing and implementing a system for ensuring that Intensive Program of Instruction (IPI) for students who were not successful on the state assessment.
- Consider review, revision, and/or development and implementation of a systematic program for secondary transition goal development and planning. Investments in quality transition planning positively impacts post-secondary outcomes for students with disabilities.
- Considering reviewing/revising operating procedures and additional training to ensure the ARD invitations are disseminated with advance notice of at least 5 days prior to the meeting or documenting evidence of parent agreement to waive 5-day notice

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

As a result of monitoring, the TEA has identified the following technical assistance resources to support Ft Hancock ISD engaging in **universal** support as determined by the RDA performance level data and artifacts within the compliance review:

Tania	Resource
Торіс	
PLAAFP development and IEP goal development	<u>-Technical Assistance: IEP Development</u> . This TEA guidance document covers requirements and best practices in developing Individualized Education Programs for students with disabilities.
IEP Goal Development Online Course	<u>https://txr20.escworks.net/catalog/session.aspx?session_id=72163</u> . The course focuses on the portion of the ARD process related to developing a student's PLAAFP, using the PLAAFP to create enrolled grade level measurable annual academic goals, and progress monitoring.
Individualized Measurable Annual Goals	<u>https://intensiveintervention.org/audience/state-local-leaders</u> . The linked page is intended to help state and local leaders, including school, district, and state administrators and staff responsible for leading multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS) and special education initiatives, find tools and resources to support data-based individualization (DBI).
Transition	<u>https://www.texastransition.org</u> . The Student-Centered Transitions Network builds collaborative infrastructures among students, families, schools, LEAs, and communities. The SCTN aims for all students with disabilities to be actively involved in planning, communicating, and evaluating progress in meeting their transition goals from early childhood through high school graduation and postsecondary readiness.
Intensive Programs of Instruction	<u>Legal Framework</u> and <u>Accelerated Instruction and Intensive Programs</u> <u>of Instruction</u> : Applicability of the laws requiring accelerated instruction and intensive programs of instruction to students with disabilities who participate in special education programs.
	.https://www.parentcenterhub.org/pa12/#process.
5-day notice support	Center for Parent Information and Resources: The process of developing a child's IEP begins with proper notification and invitation to attend.

FINDINGS OF NONCOMPLIANCE

A finding is made when noncompliance is identified with the Review and Support report findings, SPP notification, and/or individualized education program (IEP) requirements. Noncompliance that is systemic in nature must be included in a comprehensive corrective action plan (CAP) with action steps to address each of the noncompliance findings. *When noncompliance has been identified as part of this cyclical review, Ft Hancock ISD will receive formal notification of noncompliance in addition to this report.*

The TEA Department of Review and Support will further advise the LEA on the corrective action process, if applicable.

The TEA follows procedures for the correction of noncompliance consistent with federal guidelines (OSEP Memo 09-02.)

Before the TEA can report that noncompliance has been corrected, it must first verify the LEA:

- Has corrected each individual case of noncompliance (Prong 1); and
- Is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., subsequently achieved 100% compliance) (Prong 2).

The TEA is required to monitor the completion of a corrective action plan if any noncompliance is discovered. The corrective action plan must be designed to correct any and all areas of noncompliance *as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from the date of notification.*

Corrective Action Plan (CAP)

The LEA will develop a CAP to address any items identified as noncompliance in this summary report. An approved form for the CAP can be accessed on the Review and Support website or in the resources located in Ascend Texas.

The LEA must submit the CAP in Ascend within 30 school days from the date of this report and/or formal notification of noncompliance. The TEA will review the CAP submitted by the LEA for approval. If the TEA determines that a revision(s) is necessary, the LEA will be required to revise and resubmit. The Review and Support team will contact the LEA to provide notification when the CAP has been approved.

Individual Correction

The educational agency has **60 school days** from the date of this summary report to correct all identified findings of noncompliance for individual students, unless noted otherwise in the report.

2020–2021 DYSLEXIA PROGRAM EVALUATION FINDINGS

As a result of the program evaluation review, the TEA Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring has identified the LEA has met all state and federal requirements pertaining to the implementation of the dyslexia program. Additionally, the TEA has identified the following strengths, considerations, and technical assistance recommendations for Fort Hancock ISD.

Areas of Strength

An area of strength for the LEA includes a comprehensive district-wide procedure manual that includes program procedures for evaluating, identifying, instructing, and screening students with dyslexia.

Areas of Consideration

The LEA's dyslexia program is in alignment with state and federal requirements. The following resources are recommended to support the implementation of the dyslexia program, internal systems, and procedures.

Торіс	Resource
TEA Review and Support	Dyslexia Monitoring
TEA Special Education	Dyslexia and Related Disorders
Dyslexia: TEA Professional Learning Course	TEALearn Dyslexia Modules

If you have questions about the contents of this dyslexia review summary, please contact Faith Hightower in the Texas Education Agency Department of Review and Support: Dyslexia Monitoring, by phone at 512-463-4140 or by email at <u>faith.hightower@tea.texas.gov</u>.

Corrective Action

The TEA reviews data collected from the dyslexia program evaluation to ensure compliance with federal and state regulatory requirements. In accordance with Senate Bill 2075 of the 86th Legislature, TEC 38.003 (c-1), and 19 TAC 74.28. regarding noncompliance identified through the dyslexia program evaluation, a finding of noncompliance is identified by the citation (i.e., program or process) that is violated.

Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP)

If noncompliance is identified, the LEA is required to demonstrate correction of all noncompliance in the Dyslexia Performance Plan (DPP). This tool guides LEAs through a continuous improvement process. It addresses areas of growth that will positively impact students with dyslexia or other related disorders. LEAs should complete the DPP no later than 120-days after receiving notification of noncompliance. This document will be provided by the TEA or can be accessed in the resources section of the <u>Review and Support website</u>.

LEA ACTIONS

Timeline for Strategic Support Plan (SSP) and/or Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Below:

Required Actions	Submission Due Date	Completion Due Date	Support Level	Communication Schedule
SSP	N/A		N/A	N/A
САР	6/14/2021	4/30/2022		30 days
DPP	N/A			

For more information about cyclical monitoring and the Differentiated Monitoring and Support process, please visit the Review and Support website

**LEA may have previously identified corrective actions in addition to findings in this report.

REFERENCES

- Differentiated Monitoring and Support System.
- Review and Support General Supervision Monitoring Guide.
- State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report and Requirements.
- Results-Driven Accountability Reports and Data.
- Results-Driven Accountability District Reports.
- Results-Driven Accountability Manual

APPENDIX

IEP Implementation

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IE1	34 CFR §300.322	TAC §89.1050(d)	Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IE2	34 CFR §300.504		Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

IEP Content

ltem	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
IC1	34 CFR §300.324(b)		Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

IEP Development

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
ID3	34 CFR § 300.320(a)(2)(i)		Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
ID6	.34 CFR §300.320(a)(2)(ii) .34 CFR §300.320(a)(6)	.TAC §89.105 5(b)	Yes	Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Not Applicable	No

State Assessment

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
SA4		TEC §28.0213	Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

Transition

ltem	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR1	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(j); TEC §29.0111	Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

ltem	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR10	34 CFR §300.320(b)	TAC 89.1055(I) (1)	Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes

Item	IDEA Citation	TEC/TAC Citation	Evidence of Findings	Required Actions	Must Be Addressed in CAP
TR14	34CFR §300.320(c)	TAC §89.1049(a)	Yes	 Individual—Yes Convene ARD committee meetings for those students whose records indicate noncompliance in this area to consider if the student's Free and Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) has been impacted and determine if compensatory services are needed. Systemic—Yes Review and revise policies and procedures, including operating guidelines and practices addressing this issue. Provide training on these procedures to the appropriate staff. Develop processes that allow for self-monitoring this area of noncompliance. Provide evidence of systemic correction of noncompliance has taken place. 	Yes