## Accountability Indicators and Standards 1994 to 2002

|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAAS Passing Standards (Gr. 3-8, 10) (For all students and each individual student group) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exemplary | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% | > $=90.0 \%$ | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% | > = 90.0\% |
| Recognized | > = 65.0\% | > $=70.0 \%$ | > $=70.0 \%$ | > = 75.0\% | > = 80.0\% | > = 80.0\% | > = 80.0\% | > = 80.0\% | > = 80.0\% |
| Academically Acceptable */Acceptable | > = 25.0\% | > $=25.0 \%$ | > $=30.0 \%$ | > $=35.0 \%$ | $>=40.0 \%$ | $>=45.0 \%$ | > = 50.0\% | $>=50.0 \%$ | > = 55.0\% |
| Academically Unacceptable */Low-Performing | <25.0\% | < $25.0 \%$ | < $30.0 \%$ | < $35.0 \%$ | < 40.0\% | < 45.0\% | < 50.0\% | > $=50.0 \%$ | < 55.0\% |
| Dropout Rate Standards (Gr. 7-12) (For all students and each individual student group) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exemplary | < $=1.0 \%$ | < $=1.0 \%$ | < $=1.0 \%$ | < $=1.0 \%$ | < $=1.0 \%$ | < = 1.0\% | < $=1.0 \%$ | < = 1.0\% | < = 1.0\% |
| Recognized | < = 3.5\% | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.5 \%$ | < $=3.0 \%$ | < $=2.5 \%$ |
| Academically Acceptable * Acceptable | n/a | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=6.0 \%$ | < $=5.5 \%$ | < $=5.0 \%$ |
| Academically Unacceptable */Low-Performing | n/a | > 6.0\% | $>6.0 \%$ ه | $>6.0 \%$ - | $>6.0 \%$ - | $>6.0 \%$ - | $>6.0 \%$ - | < $=5.5 \%$ ¢ | $>5.0 \%$ ¢ |
| Attendance Rate Standard (Gr. 1-12) $\dagger$ | > = 94.0\% | > = 94.0\% | > $=94.0 \%$ | > = 94.0\% | > = 94.0\% | > $=94.0 \%$ | > = 94.0\% | n/a | n/a |
| Sustained Performance (For all students and each individual student group) | exceed 93 <br> TAAS state averages | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| At What Levels of Performance Required Improvement Is Analyzed (For all students and each individual student group) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To Be Rated Recognized TAAS Reading, Mathematics, and Writing | $\begin{gathered} 65.0 \% \text { - } \\ 89.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.0 \% \text { - } \\ 79.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.0 \% \text { - } \\ 79.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75.0 \%- \\ 79.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| To Avoid Academically Unacceptable / Low-Performing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAAS Reading, Mathematics, and Writing | < 25.0\% | < 25.0\% | < 30.0\% | < 35.0\% | < 40.0\% | < 45.0\% | < 50.0\% | n/a | n/a |
| Dropout Rate | n/a | > 6.0\% | > 6.0\% | > 6.0\% | > 6.0\% | > 6.0\% | > 6.0\% | n/a | n/a |

$\diamond$ Special conditions apply for a single dropout rate exceeding the 6.0 percent standard.
$\dagger$ The Attendance Rate standard is waived for the Academically Acceptable / Acceptable rating if failure to meet that standard would be the sole reason that the school would be Low-Performing or the district Academically Unacceptable.

* In 1994-1996, the district ratings used were: Exemplary, Recognized, Accredited, and Accredited Warned. A statutory change in 1997 resulted in use of the current labels.

