Item 14:

Consider and Take Appropriate Action to Approve State Board for Educator Certification Disciplinary Policy

DISCUSSION AND ACTION

SUMMARY: This item provides the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) the opportunity to review and approve changes to the SBEC Disciplinary Policy proposed by the SBEC Committee on Educator Discipline.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: Texas Education Code (TEC) § 21.041; Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §249.5

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon Board Approval

PREVIOUS BOARD ACTION: The SBEC approved on June 18, 2009.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION AND SIGNIFICANT ISSUES: The SBEC adopted the Disciplinary Policy in June 2009 to provide guidance to staff in educator discipline matters. The Guidelines were published in the *Texas Register*.

On October 15, 2015, the SBEC Committee on Educator Discipline recommended directives for staff to follow in sanctioning educators. Most of the recommended directives are being proposed in 19 TAC Chapter 249, which is included in this agenda. Due to its appropriateness, one of the directives is presented for the Board's consideration as a proposed change to the SBEC Disciplinary Policy. If approved, the policy will be published in the *Texas Register*.

PUBLIC AND STUDENT BENEFIT: Protect the safety and welfare of Texas school children and school personnel.

MOTION FOR CONSIDERATION:

Approve the changes to the SBEC Disciplinary Policy

Staff Members Responsible:	Doug Phillips, Director Educator Investigations
	Laura Moriaty, Director Legal Services for Educator Leadership and Quality

Attachment: I. SBEC Disciplinary Policy

ATTACHMENT I

SBEC DISCIPLINARY POLICY

DISCIPLINARY POLICY GUIDELINES

As provided in 19 Tex. Admin. Code (TAC) § 249.5, the primary purposes the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC) seeks to achieve in educator disciplinary matters are to:

- (1) protect the safety and welfare of Texas schoolchildren and school personnel;
- (2) ensure educators and applicants are morally fit and worthy to instruct or to supervise the youth of the state; and
- (3) fairly and efficiently resolve educator disciplinary proceedings.

The SBEC's focus on the safety and welfare of students is also reflected in the SBEC Mission Statement, Core Principles, and Goals that were adopted on February 6, 2009.

Without diminishing in any way the SBEC 19 TAC Chapter 249 procedural and substantive rights of educators to contest allegations of educator misconduct, it is the policy of the SBEC to fully investigate such allegations and, if those allegations are found to have merit, to ensure that any sanction that is imposed furthers these purposes.

A certified educator holds a unique position of public trust with almost unparalleled access to the hearts and minds of impressionable students. Therefore, the conduct of an educator must be held to the highest standard. Because SBEC sanctions are imposed for reasons of public policy, and are not penal in nature, criminal procedural and punishment standards are not appropriate to educator discipline proceedings.

General Principles:

- 1. Because the SBEC's primary duty is to safeguard the interests of Texas students, educator certification must be considered a privilege and not a right.
- 2. SBEC disciplinary sanctions are based on educator conduct that is proved by a preponderance of the evidence, without regard to whether there has been a criminal conviction, deferred adjudication or other type of community supervision, an indictment, or even an arrest. Under the Educators' Code of Ethics, an educator may be sanctioned for conduct underlying a criminal conviction even if the crime is not subject to sanction under the Texas Occupations Code, Chapter 53. An educator may also be sanctioned for conduct underlying a criminal conviction even if the conduct is not specifically listed in 19 TAC § 249.16, as long as the conduct renders the educator unworthy to instruct.
- 3. Because the SBEC recognizes that an educator's good moral character, as defined in 19 TAC § 249.3, constitutes the essence of the role model that the educator represents to students both inside and outside the classroom, criminal law, 19 TAC Chapter 247, the Educator's Code of Ethics, and 19 TAC Chapter 249, providing for educator disciplinary proceedings, are merely a minimum base line standard for educator conduct. Active community supervision, as well as conduct that indicates dishonesty, untruthfulness, habitual impairment through drugs or alcohol, abuse or neglect of students and minors, including the educator's own children, or reckless endangerment of the safety of others,

may demonstrate that the person lacks good moral character, is a negative role model to students, and does not possess the moral fitness necessary to be a certified educator.

- 4. "Unworthy to instruct or to supervise the youth of this state," which serves as a basis for sanctions under 19 TAC § 249.15(b) (2), is a broad concept that is not limited to the specific criminal convictions that are described in Texas Education Code (TEC) §§ 21.058 and 21.060. The SBEC 19 TAC § 249.3(45) definition of "the determination that a person is unfit to hold a certificate under the TEC, Chapter 21, Subchapter B, or to be allowed on a school campus under the auspices of an educator preparation program" predates the adoption of TEC §§ 21.058 and 21.060, and is based upon the TEC. Chapter 21, Subchapter B grant of authority to the SBEC to "regulate and oversee all aspects of the certification, continuing education, and standards of conduct of public school educators." As a Texas Court of Civil Appeals ruled in the seminal case of Marrs v. Matthews, 270 S.W. 586 (1925), "unworthy to instruct" "means the lack of 'worth'; the absence of those moral and mental qualities which are required to enable one to render the service essential to the accomplishment of the object which the law has in view." Therefore, the moral fitness of a person to instruct the youth of this state must be determined from an examination of all relevant conduct, is not limited to conduct that occurs while performing the duties of a professional educator, and is not limited to conduct that constitutes a criminal violation or results in a criminal conviction.
- 5. Educators have positions of authority, have extensive access to students when no other adults (or even other students, in some cases) are present, and have access to confidential information that could provide a unique opportunity to exploit student vulnerabilities. Therefore, educators must clearly understand the boundaries of the educator-student relationship that they are trusted not to cross. The SBEC considers any violation of that trust, such as soliciting or engaging in a romantic or sexual relationship with any student or minor, to be conduct that may result in permanent revocation of an educator's certificate.
- 6. The SBEC recognizes and considers evidence of rehabilitation with regard to educator conduct that could result in sanction, denial of a certification application, or denial of an application for reinstatement of a certificate, but must also consider the nature and seriousness of prior conduct, the potential danger the conduct poses to the health and welfare of students, the effect of the prior conduct upon any victims of the conduct, whether sufficient time has passed and sufficient evidence is presented to demonstrate that the educator or applicant has been rehabilitated from the prior conduct, and the effect of the conduct upon the educator's good moral character and ability to be a proper role model for students. <u>Where appropriate, Agreed Orders will include a requirement for rehabilitation, counseling, or training programs.</u>

On June 18, 2009, the Board adopted Educator Certification Disciplinary Policy Guidelines in order to articulate and provide notice of its guiding policy considerations in educator discipline matters. The Guidelines were published in the Texas Register on August 7, 2009.