TITLE III QUESTIONS

- I. How would you design a state accountability system that includes the following required performance indicators?
 - Academic proficiency as measured through state academic assessments
 - Graduation rate for high schools or one or more academic indicators applicable to elementary and middle schools
 - ELLs' progress in attaining proficiency in English
 - At least one school quality or student success indicator
- 2. How would you determine ELLs' progress in attaining English proficiency with annual TELPAS and STAAR assessments?
- 3. How would you determine a reasonable weight for the ELL indicator in the accountability system?
- 4. How do you avoid situations of potential double counting of the same student in more than one subgroup?
- 5. What suggestions do you have for designing the new accountability system that contributes to or helps drive improved ELL academic performance?
- 6. What suggestions do you have for the state process of establishing "ambitious long-term goals" and "interim progress" measures, including which stakeholders should be involved?
- 7. How do you envision ELLs' progress in and achievement of English proficiency factoring into the accountability system?
- 8. What suggestions do you have for ensuring the collection and reporting of ELL data for the subgroup reporting (for example, ELLs with disabilities)?
- 9. What long-term goals and measurements of interim progress for ELLs toward attaining English language proficiency, as measured by the English language proficiency assessment, should be included in the State Plan?
- 10. With regard to accountability, how should recently arrived ELLs be addressed?