·					
A	For TEA Use Only				
Adjus	tments and/or annotations made		Longview ISD	•	092-903
On this pag	e have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	Organization None		County-District
		Standard Application System	1	~	
		(SAS)	Forest Park Magnet S Campus Name		041
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	,	Campus Numbe
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on by	School Years 2010-2013	756001977		VII
,,	ne/e-mail/FAX on by		9-Digit Vendor ID#		ESC Region
	<b>.</b>			-	
ļ	of TEA.		NOGA ID# (Assigned by	TEA)	Amendment #
		as Title I Priority Schools G			
Use of the	Standard Application 6	hedule #1 – General Informatio	)n		
who apply	for funds administered by the Toyon	nis system provides a series of standar	d schedules to be used	as formats I	oy applicants
		ducation Agency. If additional clarifications as amended by ARRA; CFD			3-9269.
	3 9 Date, 00/01/2010	Droine	t Eudius Data, acias	18A	
Select th	e appropriate eligibility tier fo	r the campus included in this a	nnlication:	/2013	<del>-</del>
	ier ii 🗀 Het III 🛛	and the first the day of the time a	ppiication.		
Part 1: Ir	idex to the Application				
An X in the	"New Application" column indicates t	hose schedules that <b>must</b> be submitted	as part of the applicat	ion The an	nlicant muct
					piicant must int must
	n the Amendment Application column	next to the schedule(s) being submitt	ed as part of the amend	dment.	are mase
Sch No.	Schedule Name				ication
1	General Information			New	Amend
3	Purpose of Amendment			X	Х
4	Program Requirements			NA	
4A	Program Abstract			X	
4B	Program Description			<u>X</u>	<u> </u>
4C	Performance Assessment and Evalu-	ation		X	
4D	Equitable Access and Participation			X	┼
5	Program Budget Summary			<u>х</u> х	┼──┴──
5B	Payroll Costs 6100			$\overline{\boxtimes}$	X
5C 5D	Professional and Contracted Service	s 6200		_ ⊠	<del>                                     </del>
5E	Supplies and Materials 6300			$\boxtimes$	
5G	Other Operating Costs 6400	6.6640		×	
6A	Capital Outlay 6600/15XX (Exclusive General Provisions	e of 6619 and 6629)			
6B	Debarment and Suspension Certifica	tion		<u> </u>	NA
6C	Lobbying Certification	COLL		X	NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbying Activities			<u>X</u>	NA NA
6E	NCLB Provisions and Assurances			X	NA NA
6F	Program-Specific Provisions and Ass	urances		X	NA NA
Certificati	on and Incorporation			NAROSTA GODENSKO ANSANA ROMA	Control of the Contro
I lieredy cer	tiry that the information contained in	this application is, to the best of my kr	owledge, correct and th	nat the orga	nization
regulations,	application guidelines and instruction	the Provisions and Assurement But	plicable Federal and Sta	ate laws and	i
Special Provi	sions and Assurances, and the schedu	s, the Provisions and Assurances, Deba ules attached as applicable. It is unders	rment and Suspension,	lobbying re	quirements,
		or renegotiated to acceptance, will fo	stood by the applicant ti	nat this app	lication
THE PARTY OF THE	i Viliciai		im a binding agreemen	<b>L.</b>	
Typed First N	lame Initial Last	Name	Title		
James	E. Wild	ox	Superintendent		
Phone 903-381-220	Fax Em		Signature/Date Signed	(blue ink pr	referred)
		/ilC0x@lisd.org			
complete	ally responsible party may sign t	nis application.			6/1/10
Complete CO	Dies of the application, at least 3 with Jucation Agency	original signature(s), must be received	Dy 5:00 p.m. Thursda	av, June 3,	2010:
William	B. Travis Bldg,				<del></del>
Docume	nt Control Center, Room 6-108	STRAMB YSAMOITES	TCA DOCUMENT	CONTES	<del></del>
1/U1 No	rth Congress Avenue	PETER FOATE ON FERFUSE CHEAND YEAROTT BROSH	TEA DOCUMENT	CONTROL	NO.
Austin, 1	exas 78701-1494				
		2010 JUN - 3 PM 2: 02	-10-1	12-284	*
		GD A HA O THE A	- 4,	-4-484	ł

Page 1 of 98

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	092-903					
		Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No.					
by tolopho	ne/e-mail/FAX on		dodney blattee no.					
by telepho	of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.					
	9, , 4, 1,	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment iso.					
		Schedule #1—General Information						
Part 2:	List of Required Fiscal	-Related Attachments and Assurances						
For com accompa any revis	petitive applications, the appart the appart in the application when it is	plication will not be reviewed and scored if any of submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to schements, after the closing date of the grant. Atta	submit required attachments, or					
		Proof of Nonprofit Status						
1 🔲	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:							
	Check box to indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines and Part 3: Schedule Instructions for acceptable proof.)							
	Assurance of Financial Stability							
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:							
2 🖾	Check box to indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school districts, open- enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit must be determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standards. TEA reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial time of preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determine financial stability based on the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year.							
	A	ssurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informat	ion Form					
	Required for all applicant	s:	***************************************					
3 🖾	Check box to indicate ass	urance that reviewer information form will be s	submitted.					
	All applicants are required to <b>2010</b> . (See Part 2: Program the form.)	complete the Reviewer Information Form and to su Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instru	bmit it online by <b>Thursday, May 6</b> , ctions on how to access and submit					

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations on this page have been confirmed to			FION AGENCY ion System (SA	F	092-903 County-District No.		
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	Scho	ool Years	2010-2013				
by	of TEA.					Amendment No.	
	erenië enere			ity Schools			Santa Servicia de
		The state of the s	#1—Ger	ieral Inform	ation		52 F Z S
Part 3: Applicant Info	mation	43.34.55年度					25 V 35 25 24
	BOOKERT FOR			7 <del> </del>			7 E 18/8
Local Educational Age LEA Name	ira, Water	A) inigrinat	ion		4-		<u> </u>
Longview ISD							
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mall	ing Address Lin	e - 2	City	State	Zip Code	
P.O. Box 3268				Longview	TX	75606	<u> </u>
U.S. Congressional District		1		Control Cont	ractor Registrat	ion NCES Identif	cation
Number		Primary DUNS	5 Number	(CCR) CAGE		Number	
01		010476513		4 C V W 6		4828110	
Campus Name		1			County-D	strict Campus Num	ber
Forest Park Magnet School					092-903-0		
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mail	ing Address Lin	e – 2	City	State	Zip Code	
P.O. Box 3268				Longview	TX	75606	
Applicant Contacts	2702-80		三 原序 安东	A Part William Co			
Primary Contact	<u> </u>						
First Name	Initi	al	Last Nam	е		Title	
Jennifer	в.		Scott			Asst. Superint	endent
Telephone	Fax			Email	<del></del>		
903-381-2342	903-	381-2309		jscott@lisc	d.org		
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mail	ing Address Lin	e - 2	City State		Zip Code	
P.O. Box 3268				Longview	TX	75606	
Secondary Contact	5 20						
First Name	Initi	al	Last Nam			Title	
I II ST MOINE		1	Bowman			Principal	
		<u> </u>			<del> </del>	1 Titlepui	
Brian Telephone	Fax			E-mail		Timeipui	
Brian Telephone 903-446-2510	903-	758-6964		bbowman(	The state of the s	-	
Brian Telephone	903-				@lisd.org   State   TX	Zip Code 75606	

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

-

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4-Program Summary and Application Requirements

Part 1: Grant Program Information;

Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

#### Allowable Activities

Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

#### Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4—Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

by	of TEA.	Amendment No.
o nameni	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
1000	Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
	art 2: Statutory Requirements  Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
1	The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school and selected an intervention for each school.	Comprehensive Needs Assessment
2	The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.	Project Management—Capacity Project Management—LEA Support
3	If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.	Project Management—Lack of Capacity
4	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.	Program Abstract Intervention Model
5	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.	Project Management—External Providers
6	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.	Project Management—Resource Management Program Budget Summary
7	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.	Project Management— Management of Grant Activities
8	The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.	Project Management—Program Continuation and Sustainability
9	The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.	Project Management—Activity Timeline
10	The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.	Performance Assessment and Evaluation—Annual Performance Goals
11	As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus	Comprehensive Needs Assessment—Groups of Participants Project Management— Partnerships/Involvement of Others
12	Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.	Program Assurances
13	Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.	Program Assurances

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student **Program Assurances** achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the 14 final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds. Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier **Program Assurances** I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to 15 hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements. Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data Program Assurances 16 required under section III of the final federal requirements. If the LEA/campus selects to implement the turnaround model, the campus Program Assurances must implement the following federal requirements. Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; b. Use locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students: 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and 2. Select new staff. c. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school; d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive 17 instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for areater accountability: Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students: h. Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described **Program Assurances** If the LEA/campus selects to implement the turnaround model, the campus may implement the following federal requirements. a. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation 18 model: or A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy). If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school closure model, the campus **Program Assurances** must implement the following requirement. a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed 19 school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available. b. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding. If the LEA/campus selects to implement the restart model, the campus must **Program Assurances** implement the following federal requirements. a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that 20 operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or nonprofit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA. b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school. If the LEA/campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus **Program Assurances** must implement the following federal requirements. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model: (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and Are designed and developed with teacher and principal (2)21 involvement;

(C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done

(D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy,

instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4-Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described 🦠 🦠 designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate Program Assurances effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school. 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students. 21 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time: and (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO). An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school **Program Assurances** leaders' effectiveness, such as--(A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills

necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;

mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's

(B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices

(C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the

resulting from professional development; or

seniority.

22

	For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903 County-District No.
	elephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
by_	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Afficialment No.
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
	art 2: Statutory Requireme	int <b>s</b>	151 8 200
#	24 24 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
		mprehensive instructional reform strategies, such	Program Assurances
	implemented with fide achievement, and is reconstruction (B) Implement a school with the control of the control	vide "response-to-intervention" model; sports and professional development to teachers r to implement effective strategies to support ies in the least restrictive environment and to splish proficient students acquire language skills t	0
23	of the instructional pr (E) In secondary schools (1) Increase rigor be advanced cours International Basel and mathematic rigorous and relection contextual learn dual enrollment prepare student appropriate supstudents can tail (2) Improve student summer transiti (3) Increase gradual programs, re-er communities, contextual early secondary communities, contextual early secondary communities, contextual early secondary communities, contextual early secondary secondary early secondary early secondary	nnology-based supports and interventions as partogram; and  y offering opportunities for students to enroll in ework (such as Advanced Placement; ccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering as courses, especially those that incorporate evant project-, inquiry-, or design-based ing opportunities), early-college high schools, programs, or thematic learning academies that is for college and careers, including by providing ports designed to ensure that low-achieving academiate to ensure that low-achieving academiate transition from middle to high school through on programs or freshman academies; ition rates through, for example, credit-recovery agagement strategies, smaller learning impetency-based instruction and performance-ints, and acceleration of basic reading and	
24	An LEA may also implement oth create community-oriented school (A) Partner with parents at based organizations, hothers to create safe semotional, and health (B) Extend or restructure to strategies as advisory faculty, and other school (C) Implement approaches implementing a system eliminate bullying and	ner strategies that extend learning time and pols, such as and parent organizations, faith- and community- ealth clinics, other State or local agencies, and  chool environments that meet students' social,  needs;  he school day so as to add time for such  periods that build relationships between students,	

•	For TEA Use Only		
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.		School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
,		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
P	art 2: Statutory Requireme	nts	
#		ederal Statutory Regulrements	Primary Component Where Described
25	and intensive support, such as- (A) Allow the school to be as a turnaround divisio	run under a new governance arrangement, such n within the LEA or SEA; or school-based budget formula that is weighted	Program Assurances
26	An LEA that has nine or more Ti	er I and Tier II schools is prohibited from	Program Assurances
	implementing the transformatio	n model in more than 50% of those schools. It signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify	ing that all Paguiraments are
BY	submitting the application and to these program parrative (	omponent descriptions and activities.	mig that an requiements are
30-19-7			
	t 3: Statutory Assurances	18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	province was the same of the same of the same
#	Statutory Assurance Descrip		
1	supplant, the amount of state a	at financial assistance provided under the grant pr nd local funds allocated to the campus.	
2	Applicant provides assurance th	at it will use its TTIPS Grant to implement fully and at the LEA commits to serve consistent with the fir	d effectively an intervention in
	Applicant provides assurance th	at it will establish annual goals for student achieve	ement on the State's assessments
3	in both reading/language arts a final federal requirements in ord funds, and establish goals (appr improvement funds.	nd mathematics and measure progress on the lead der to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it roved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III s	ling indicators in section III of the serves with school improvement chools that receive school
4	contract or agreement terms an	at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier d provisions to hold the charter operator, charter in the provision accounts he for complying with the final fodge.	management organization, or
5	Applicant provides assurance the final federal requirements.	ation accountable for complying with the final fede at it will report to the TEA the school-level data re	quired under section III of the
6	Applicant provides assurance th	at it will participate in any evaluation of the grant ling its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency	conducted by the U.S.
37.2	t 4: TEA Program Assurant		Thickening its contractors.
33.7			
#	TEA Assurance Description		
1	activities have been completed. Quarterly Implementation Repo TEA technical assistance.  a. The Model Selection and This report may be subr completion of the follow i. Comprehensive ii. Establish the gra iii. Identification and iv. Development of	s are made available, the grantee must demonstrate Successful completion of the early implementation rts (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report Must be submitted to TEA no initted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees in activities:  Needs Assessment process.  And Selection of the intervention model.  Activities to implement selected intervention model.  Timeline of Grant Activities.	n will be measured in the cort, and through participation in later than <b>February 1, 2011</b> .  The must demonstrate successful

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances TEA Assurance Description The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant. The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School 3 Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices. For the LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Models the applicant provide assurances that it will participate in and 4 make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students. The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models. The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors. 7 The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's 8 approved list of CMO and EMO providers. The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround 9 leadership capacity. If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus assures That it will it implement the following federal requirements. 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching B. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not C. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subjectspecific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the 10 school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and D. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals: 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4-Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances TEA Assurance Description. 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and 10 B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO). An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-(A)Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school; (B)Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional 11 development; or (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority. An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-(A)Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B)Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E)In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or 12 design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework: (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies; (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high

standards or graduate.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; 13 B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten. The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the 14 LEA or SEA; or B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs. The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. a. Number of minutes within the school year. b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only) d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only) 15 e. Teacher Attendance Rate f. Student Completion Rate g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses Types of support offered to teachers Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators I. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement m. Strategies which increase student learning time

By submitting the application and signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certifying it will comply with the above assurances.

	For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903 County-District No.			
by by	telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.			
υγ	OI TEAL	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant				
100		Schedule #4A—Program Abstract				
Pa	rt 1: Grant Eligibility					
	•	Tier II Eligible Campus 🔲 Tier III Eligible Ca	mpus			
Įd	entify which timeline the LEA	Campus the applicant will implement.				
	Option 1: LEA/campus currently	y engaged in aggressive reform				
$\boxtimes$	Option 2: LEA/campus in need	of foundational technical assistance				
an int of pn	Part 2: Grant Program Summary. Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to implement on the campus. Be sure to address fundamental issues such as your local program goals and objectives that align with the RFA purpose and goals, rationale for program design, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, or weaknesses to be addressed by the intervention model to be selected. A response to this question must be completed in the original submission regardless of whether the LEA/campus has selected an intervention model at this time or not. Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).					
ex	rest Park Middle School (FPMS) is tended implementation timeline in prove student achievement.	requesting support to implement the turnaround norder to fully and effectively implement the com	School Improvement Model on the prehensive reform strategies and			
pe the the be we lea im	rforming of the three middle school oups in reading, mathematics, and classroom; shows evidence of notes school has experienced difficulty en ineffective in supporting novice ill-intended, but ineffective, withourning needs. There has been little plemented with fidelity. Teachers beductive collaborative teaming on	idemically unacceptable and is in Stage 1 of Schools in the district, with low achievement in all study of science; has the highest number of disciplinary egative school culture and climate; and minimal properties. The teachers. Professional development to support all alignment to teacher competencies, student pelle monitoring of the instructional program or curries do not use data to make instructional decisions, a consistent basis. FPMS staff lacks unity and optessary for relating to and working with at-risk stage.	dent population and program actions resulting in removal from parent support. In recent years, ne district's mentoring program has the instructional program has been erformance, individual student culum to ensure it is being nor do teachers engage in perates in isolation, nor do teachers			
	e turnaround model provides reso jectives are:	ources and strategies that align with the campus r	needs. The local program			
	autonomy to implement fully a coutcomes and increase high sch	ectiveness by replacing the principal with a turnare comprehensive approach in order to substantially ool graduation rates;	improve student achievement			
2.	Use locally adopted competencie	es to measure the effectiveness of staff who can w	vork within the turnaround			
3.	Develop financial incentives bas	of students; screen, select, and rehire no more the don student growth, provide increased opportur	nities for promotion and career			
	growth, and more flexible work	conditions;				
4.	Provide staff with ongoing, high- comprehensive instructional pro	<ul> <li>-quality, job-embedded professional development gram and designed with teacher input;</li> </ul>	that is aligned with the school's			

The turnaround model provides a framework for developing human capital and improving achievement that aligns closely to the gaps and shortcomings that the district and campus are addressing. We believe this framework will provide the urgency, skills, capacity, and technical assistance necessary to propel the district and school to the next level of continuous improvement.

in order to meet the academic needs of individual students and provide increased learning time; and Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

7. Promote the use of data from formative, interim, and summative assessments to inform and differentiate instruction

5. Create a district level turnaround office to provide support for school improvement efforts;

6. Increase the use of data to implement an effective instructional program.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with			е					N AGEN			092-903 County-District No.					
•	lephone/e-mail/FA	X on	of TE			Scho	ol Yea	rs 20:	LO-201	13	,		Ā	nendmer	t No.	
Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant							500000000	-23(0), (2), (3), (3)								
Schedule #4B—Program Description																
Par	t 1: Comprel	rensi	re Ne	eds A	ssess	ment							45125		i de di Section	
Sec	tion A: Camp				<u></u>						ha Car		o ha S	arvad	with (	Grant
Тур	Type of School  Number of Students Enrolled in Grade Levels on the Campus to be Served with Grant  PK K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Total								Total							
		PK	К	1	2					<del></del>						510*
Pub	lic School								181	175	154	ļ <u>-</u>	<u></u>	1	. <del></del>	
Ope	n- ollment															0.00
	rter School							SSS. CST 7 (20)		er on service durin		Single Control (1985)	101 S 7 S 40 S 40	No.	S 437 - 347	
Tot	al Students:	0	0	0	0	0	Ö,	0	181	175	154		1000			510
*Ne	w attendanc	e zone	s in e	ffect;	enrolli	nent l	ased (	upon p	roject	ions						46
										To			ional s pport s	- 1		9
002000S	tion B: Data :	o e o a g	10 2 CE		3402-1857	GEF TEST	anas.	172714	S cara	araka:				12 W 12 18 25 42 19 18	Proce	ss ÷
Sec	2007-2010 cf	ato ac	cocema	ent dat	a hv o	rade le	vel. no	nulatio	n/proa	ram ar	oups, s	scaled	score,	TPK, of	pjective	₽ ,
1	mastery, and school level	stude	nt expe	ectation	ı using	state (	provide	d data	tables	and Di	MAC; a	lso rev	iew sai	me dat	a at m	iddle
2	Parent involv							·		-			م داخست	f indivi	dual et	tudents
3	Value-added based upon 7 measures of correlations b	' years growth ietwee	of TAk and p n conte	(S scor rovide ent are	es; use project as	ions ba	plex ca ased up	on pas	ons (no st indiv	t straig idual st	int-line tudent	perfori	mance	and ma	athema	atical
4	Value-added school-wide,	analys district	es of to	eacher Ie level	effective, and c	veness content	provid area r	ed by seports	SAS/EV as well	AAS in as col	indivio nort and	lual tea alyses	acher r and stu	eports ident p	as wel attern	reports
5	Campus clima attendance d	ate ind	icators	, includ	ling bu	t not li	mited t	o stud	ent atte	endanc	e and o	discipli	ne refe	rral da	ta, tea	cher
6	School Impro															
7	Campus impr	/ESL pi	roaram	ıs.												
8	Program effe continuation students usin	ctivene and en	ss dat hance ent pe	a on cu ment u rforma	nder th nce dal	iis proj a and	ect, as: cost ve	sessing rsus be	ı the qu en <u>efit a</u>	ıality o <u>ınalysis</u>	f progr	ams in	meetii	ng the	neeus	UI an
9	Data from pr	ofessio oviding	nal de the k	velopm nowled	ent pro	ograms skills	for ad require	ministr d to fu	ators, f	teachei forman	rs, and ce exp	ectatio	ns of ti	neir roi	es	
10	Relevant reso	earch a e, and	nd pra impler	ictice g nentati	uides c on guid	n effecties on	ctive pr School	ogram Impro	s, exter vement	nded le t Grant	arning s	, dropo	ut reco	overy,	ncreas	sing rigor
11	Data and find	lings fr	om th	e comp	rehens	ive dis	trict an	d scho	ol snap	shots t	o be co					
12	Data from th dashboards f teacher, cam	eaturir	ig real-	-time d	lata on	rts, co progre	nsisting ess tow	of we ard gra	b-base duation	d teach	ner, adı other pe	ministr ertinen	ator, p t indica	arent, ators a	and sti	udent ndividual,

#### For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	_
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana):

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

The district and campus will form committees to conduct the needs process after notification of funding. Membership on the committees will consist of administrators, teachers and other campus support, parents, and community stakeholders as listed in Part D of the needs assessment portion of this proposal. Both district and campus committees will review a number of data sources, including the comprehensive district and campus snapshots and other pertinent indicators, which include but are not limited to:

 Student achievement data (i.e., grades, assessment scores, benchmark tests, promotion rate) disaggregated by race/ethnicity, gender, program intent, grade level, and teacher;

Campus climate indicators (attendance, discipline, perceptions regarding climate);

- The instructional program of the school, including processes for aligning curriculum, determining interventions for struggling students, teaming and planning processes, instructional support, attitudes and perceptions about the community being served, school schedule and time on task, delivery of curriculum to meet the individual needs of learners, modifications, and the comprehensive assessment program and the extent to which data are used to make instructional decisions;
- Teacher quality and effectiveness, including professional development program; ongoing opportunities for leadership; teacher evaluation process; staffing patterns, turnover rate, teacher attendance, and support for recruitment and retention; quality of support from district level departments, teacher mentors and/or instructional coaches; and classroom management competencies and positive behavior supports

The quality and number of involvement opportunities for parents and communications between the school and

home;

- How leadership functions are distributed in the school, the effectiveness of the (newly named) principal with
  respect to turnaround leadership and ongoing support, and the extent to which a vision and/or mission for the
  school has been collaboratively developed and shared with all staff, students, and the school community;
- How district policies, procedures, and practices have hindered or supported the school's school improvement
  activities and what changes are necessary for the school to make progress.

The planning process will be informed by processes, guidance, and tools that have been provided to applicants for this purpose, including tools for the TTPS decision making team; assessment of district and school capacity and commitment to implement one of the school improvement models, the SWOT analysis tool, the Model Selection Matrix/Best Fit checklist, narrowing questions, and information regarding the improvement models, required and allowed activities, timeline, and budget information. Other critical resources include the "primer" of school reform, the *Handbook on Effective Implementation of School Improvement Grants* from the Office of Innovation and Improvement; IES practice guides on turnaround schools and expanding time; research from CCSR (Consortium on Chicago School Research), and compendiums of research- and evidence-based effective programs and practices from the What Works Clearinghouse, What Works When Clearinghouse, and the RELs, in particular, the Midcontinent Regional Lab that has accumulated a body of research on classroom effectiveness from Marzano, Pickering, and Janie Pollack.

A small group of senior leaders at the district and school levels have met prior to the development of this proposal to preliminarily assess the capacity to implement the strategies of these school improvement models, fully and effectively, as required under the ARRA legislation. We believe that we do have the capacity and commitment to implement and succeed in this endeavor. Further, we intuitively believe that these improvement models are tailor-made for our district. We don't need more programs with draconian reporting requirements nor vague goals to improve quality. The district and schools need a paradigm shift about differentiated support—after all, we should be used to differentiating and the concept of equity—but its application to local funding is fresh and exciting concept. We believe this project will provide the comprehensive school reform model most likely to succeed in Longview…capacity-building with structure and teeth.

Adlushma	For TEA Use Only					
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903			
		Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No.			
by telephone	e/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.			
by	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.			
**************************************		Schedule #48—Program Description				
Daet to C	Comprehensive Needs	Assessment Cont.				
Section	D: Groups of Participan	s Contributing/to Contribute to Needs Asse were involved in the process.	ssment Process, ensuring			
1	District level administra					
2	Campus level administra	ators				
3	Teachers and other campus staff (e.g., support teachers, librarians, counselors)					
4	Board members					
5	Parent organizations an	d booster clubs				
6	Other local nonprofit an	d governmental agencies				
7	Business community (Cl business leaders)	nambers of Commerce, Longview Economic Deve	lopment Corporation, GLOBE, other			
8	Faith based community					
9	Campus improvement to	eam	·			
10	Higher education (LeTou	ırneau University, UT STEM Center, Kilgore Colle	ge, Charles A. Dana Center)			

LISD Foundation

11

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	_
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B-Program Description

#### Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity

Capacity -- Describe the LEA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model.

Longview ISD has the capacity to use School Improvement Grant funds to provide resources and related support to in order to implement the required activities of the transformation model. Below are the required strategies and the [steps] the district has taken or will take that demonstrate its capacity to support their implementation.

#### Teacher/Leaders

Replace principal [After the SIG program announcement, the district named new principals for two of the four SIGeligible secondary campuses, LHS and Forest Park Middle School.]

Implement new evaluation system design with the involvement of teachers and principals; and provide staff ample opportunities to improve their practices. [District and campus staff will collaboratively design a new teacher evaluation system that is rigorous, transparent, and equitable, and uses student growth as a significant factor. Using all available data and with SIRC technical assistance, the system will feature new removal procedures and a redesigned appraisal instrument aligned with student performance expectations, targeted instructional improvement strategies, and PD plan for growth.]

Identify and reward staff who are increasing student outcomes; support and then remove those who are not. [Continue teacher performance pay system based upon student growth. Expand and enhance the system to include additional awards and incentives for effective staff on SIG campuses, i.e., bonuses for teacher leaders, bilingual/ESL, math, and science teachers. Over the past two years, approximately 30 ineffective core teachers have been removed from FPMS. Continue support, and develop early exit procedures and a talent pool for replaced teachers during the school year.1

Implement strategies to recruit, place and retain staff. [Develop new strategies and incentives to enhance district efforts to recruit and retain effective teachers and leaders for high-need campuses. Possible strategies include: redesign recruitment program using data to identify what works and provide financial incentives for endorsements, certifications, and additional college coursework. Use evaluation data to enhance TXBess mentoring program. Design early exit procedures for ineffective staff. Enhance district's Professional Leadership Academy program for aspiring administrators, i.e., high-profile speakers, site visits, stipends.]

#### Instructional and support strategies

Select and implement an instructional model based on student needs. [Continue implementation of CScope curriculum; ensure resources, such as additional training and coaching, are available to support teachers.]

Provide job-embedded professional development designed to build capacity and support staff. [Use SIRC leadership and instructional coaches, curriculum department specialists, and SIG-funded coaches to provide job-embedded coaching aligned with student and teacher/leader needs.]

Ensure continuous use of data to inform and differentiate instruction. [Use data protocols to improve teaching and learning and provide protected time for vertical, horizontal, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. Establish long-term and annual goals for student achievement in math and reading language arts; and measure progress each year.]

#### Time and support

Provide increased learning time. [Develop extended day/year opportunities for students. Provide adequate time for teacher collaboration planning; assist with fidelity monitoring based upon student outcomes and progress.]

Provide ongoing mechanism for community and family engagement. [Ensure all stakeholders are represented on planning and implementation teams. Annually survey parents about their level of involvement and perceptions; develop strategies to improve relationships and provide meaningful involvement.]

Partner to provide social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports. [Include agency representation in comprehensive planning and ongoing SIG council activities; adopt case management approach to address student needs and include appropriate agencies; assist in development of social services plan, and monitor progress and results; eliminate or revise ineffective programs.]

#### Governance

Provide sufficient operating flexibility to implement reform. [The district will provide equitable, differentiated support and flexibility to SIG eligible schools. Continue level of local and state funding.]

Ensure ongoing technical assistance. [Create and staff district-level turnaround office to support school improvement efforts; participate all SIG program activities; and facilitate program with district, campus, and SIRC personnel.]

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no

smaller than 9 point (Arjai or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others - Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model.

The district level team consisted of the superintendent, administrators responsible for curriculum, instruction, and accountability; human resources; business affairs; and administrative/pupil services. The district level team reviewed requirements of the SIG program implementation models, using documents from the webinars and TEA resource list. The district level team used the narrowing questions, "musts and mays" handout, timeline information, and budget information. The team then engaged in frank and open dialogue about its capacity to implement changes at the district level and actively support the LISD eligible campuses. After reviewing the different models available for Tier III campuses, the committee then discussed current reform efforts and personnel changes during the past two years. As a result of these discussions, the transformation and turnaround models were viewed as the most likely "best-fit" for LISD campuses. The team also developed a plan to expand involvement of stakeholder groups during the extended planning process and developed a list of participants and their roles on the team. The superintendent shared information about the program with the board of trustees and each district team member informed other central office administrators in his or her department.

Next, a member of the district level team who has responsibility for developing this proposal met individually with each secondary campus principal to discuss benefits and challenges of the SIG program, commitment needed from campus leadership and staff, and the transformation and turnaround models in detail. Once principal commitment was secured, two additional preliminary meetings were held at each campus with the principal, assistant principals, and teacher leaders from each Tier III campus. The same district decision making process was utilized at the campus level to guide the committee through model selection process. attendees completed engaged in an analysis of their current progress toward school improvement and delineated opportunities, strategies, and activities for enhancement and success at an accelerated pace as provided through the SIG program. Each team also discussed at length data sources for a comprehensive needs assessment and groups of stakeholders who will be included in these planning processes at both the campus and district levels. Teacher leaders and the principal shared information about the program with other staff and the campus improvement team, which has teacher, business, and community representation, and provided feedback to the proposal developer. Drafted proposals were sent to each campus for review, revisions, and suggestions, and this input was incorporated into the proposal prior to the final submission of the application.

As the district and funded campuses enter the comprehensive needs assessment phase and finalize its model plan in fall 2010, community and parent participation is vital to success. We will proactively engage the community in all SIG processes, including making a public statement for improvement, owning brutal facts, and allowing the community to engage by allowing them to see that there is a problem that is worth dealing with in spite of the discomfort the change incurs. We will maintain a laser sharp focus on tracking performance and when necessary, rapid "retry". Accurate, accessible, and timely data will be used to track progress and inform instructional decisions. By utilizing solid indicators, the district can rapidly establish whether or not efforts are leading to desired results. As a result, the district is able to increase success rates by not waiting to make new course corrections. We will highlight schools that dramatically improve performance; the publicized evidence of success offsets the messiness that sometimes accompanies dramatic improvement efforts.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities - Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

Before proceeding with this proposal, the district decision making team considered the following narrowing questions in its assessment of capacity:

 Are we willing to grant the school operational flexibility (e.g., staffing, calendar, budget) while ensuring that local funds continue at the same level?

 Are we willing and able to increase and provide ongoing mechanisms for parent/community engagement, such as hiring parent liaisons and collaborating and sharing responsibility equally with stakeholders?

 Are we willing to develop and utilize rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals designed with teacher and principal involvement even though the evaluations differ substantially from the current teacher appraisal system?

Are we willing to ensure that the school receives ongoing intensive technical assistance, and actively support these activities through our participation and willingness to discontinue or curtail district-level strategies that detract from the school improvement focus?

Are we willing to support strategies (financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion, and flexible work conditions) designed to recruit and retain staff even though they differ substantially from other district campuses?

• Are we willing and able to establish schedules and implement strategies to provide increased learning time?
In the process of answering these hard questions, the district leadership maintained an open mind, and at the end of the day, acknowledged that some past practices and policies have, in effect, been barriers to improving student achievement. Where the district agenda conflicts with the school reform agenda, change must occur at the district level if our schools with the greatest need receive the support they need to raise the achievement level of their students.
The concept of differentiated local support was a paradigm-shift for the team.

Following the district-level meeting, campus leadership met and identified district practices that have in the past hindered their ability to improve the student achievement. As a result of these meetings, the district and campus administration have agreed to the following non-negotiable strategies as a baseline to develop new practices and procedures:

Teachers will not be assigned to FPMS without the consent of the principal.

 The teacher appraisal system will be re-designed collaboratively with campus principals and teachers and modified with respect to timeline and student achievement criteria (within statutory limitations).

3. The district will provide professional development programs that are aligned with campus achievement goals, address targeted needs, and support the full implementation of the turnaround model at FPMS. Campus personnel will be required to attend district-level meetings and PD only when absolutely necessary so as to allow teachers and administrators to focus on SIG-related activities.

. Campus funding allocations will not be adjusted as a result of the SIG program funding.

The district will support replacement of teachers and/or administrators who are ineffective or who do not actively support the campus's reform plan.

The district will support strategies involving flexible scheduling, added teacher contract days, extended school days, and yearly calendar.

7. The campus administration will monitor and address problems in teacher attendance, participation in required extended day activities and planning, noncompliance with district curriculum, and ineffective instruction.

8. The campus administration will commit to building teacher capacity by sharing leadership and authority, and providing opportunities for teacher growth and professional development aligned with instructional needs.

The district and campus staff will effectively communicate to build support and understanding so that all relationships are positive, productive, and professional.

Additional strategies to implement differentiated practices and streamline bureaucratic requirements will be developed based upon the results of the comprehensive needs assessment and district/campus snapshots and with the technical assistance of the SIRC, Region XIII.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
County-District	No

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each; front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management - Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal) state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

The district and campus will align local, state, federal, and community resources to support, fully and effectively, the implementation of the turnaround model at Forest Park Middle School.

- o Local funds in the amount of \$267 million to support the district's re-building initiative; the district will open five new elementary schools and one new middle school campus in fall 2010, followed by two additional new elementary campuses and two new middle schools in 2011. The \$40 million renovation at Forest Park Middle School will be completed in fall 2010. On any given day in Longview, over 1,700 construction workers are rebuilding our schools.
- Local and state compensatory funds to support tutoring, accelerated instruction, alternative programs, credit recovery, Optional Flexible School Day and Optional Flexible Year programs, and advanced training in International Baccalaureate Diploma program curriculum
- Data analysis systems to support instruction and accountability
  - DMAC, a web based, flexible data tool that disaggregates student performance data on state assessments and local benchmarking tests by objective, teacher, and student expectation;
  - PEIMSDataPlus, a web based data system that provides 7-year comprehensive reports at the student, campus, and district level; data can be easily and quickly disaggregated to provide detailed information, such as the attendance rate of students who are in a career pathway and have 3 or more discipline referrals.
  - State grant funds for EVAAS, a value-added analysis system that measures student growth. The system also provides a myriad of data about teacher effectiveness as well as campus value-added growth measures. The system provides robust measures of a teacher's ability to accelerate students with differing academic levels, i.e., students with disabilities and students with commended performance
  - Local funds to support Doing What Matters, a collaboration between the district, the Institute for Research and Reform in Education (IRRE), and Mizuni, Inc. The purpose of the initiative is to increase the capacity of the district for data-driven decision making through support, training, and early warning systems. IRRE provides training and support to district and campus level personnel on using data to inform instruction; Mizuni has pioneered a data-driven decision-making solution by delivering on the three critical areas: Data Integration, Data Storage and Information Delivery. The Mizuni Data Warehouse is a centralized data store that consolidates all District data—student, financial, and human resource—from various programs and databases across multiple school years. Teachers, administrators, students, and parents are able to access customized "dashboards" featuring live, historical, and trending information to inform instruction, increase student achievement, and improve communication between parents and teachers.
- A pipeline of support from community and local governmental agencies (Wellness Center, Partners in Prevention, Parenting Resource Center, East Texas Workforce Commission) and higher education
- o System of evaluating external providers, ensuring high-quality services from outside contractors
- Entitlement, state, and local funds to increase teacher capacity and effectiveness by providing a mentor program for first-year teachers; professional development in math, science, Positive Behavior Supports, and differentiated instruction for English language learners and non-traditional learners; incentive pay for teachers in high-need areas; teacher performance pay system based upon value-added student growth analyses; and the Professional Leadership Academy, a aspiring administrators program for teacher leaders and future principals
- Local funds and state/federal technology funds for adequate technology infrastructure and instructional technology specialists to support 21<sup>st</sup> century classrooms. Each classroom in new schools will have a teacher workstation, wall mounted projector, interactive whiteboard, a presentation cart with desktop CPU, document camera, interactive wireless tablet, microphone, and receiver and student remotes for student response system

**TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section As LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana):

Grant Project Manager Qualifications - Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager for this grant on the campus.

The FPMS project manager will be responsible for the following (not all inclusive):

- Coordinating with appropriate individuals to design and develop the grant project and complete the application
- Coordinating the preparation of the grant budget with the business office and FPMS bookkeeper
- Distributing the program documents and other pertinent information to FPMS staff and administration
- Coordinating with local decision-making committees and other appropriate state and federal programs to maximize the effectiveness of the grant
- Identifying additional resources to carry out grant project activities

Providing reasonable opportunities for teachers, parents, and other interested parties to participate in the planning and operation of the grant project

- Employing effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating significant information from educational research and promising educational practices developed through similar projects to participating teachers and administrators
- Ensuring that funds are expended as approved in the application
- Ensuring the appropriate inventory of equipment is purchased
- Ensuring all expenditures and activities are properly documented and assisting with all aspects of the evaluation process
- Ensuring that all the proposed activities are planned, implemented, and completed as approved in the application
- Filing necessary amendments
- Ensuring that the following reports are completed and timely filed in coordination with the business office:
  - Interim Expenditure Reports (usually completed by the business/accounting office)
  - **Progress/Activity Reports**
  - Evaluation Reports (also known as final reports)
  - Final Expenditure Report (usually completed by the business/accounting office)
  - Revised Final Expenditure Report (usually completed by the business/accounting office)
- Ensuring that all activities and expenditures are implemented and documented in compliance with the ARRA reporting requirements

A project manager for the SIG program at Forest Park Middle School (and at each Tier III funded campus) will be hired after the position and job description are posted in accordance with state law, district policy, and LISD's Affirmative Action Plan. The required qualifications will include, but not be limited, to the following:

- Successful experiences in providing leadership for large-scale projects, in particular, capacity-building for significant change at all levels of the organization
- Experience as a teacher and/or administrator on a secondary campus
- Expertise in managing large projects, including familiarity with planning, budgeting, comprehensive school reform, and evaluation
- Knowledge of and/or experience in instructional coaching, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills, assessment strategies, professional learning communities, and data-driven decision making
- Consensus- or coalition-building skills and experience in working with large, diverse groups of educators, students, community, and parents
- Masters degree and administrator certification, preferred

The district's experience in managing large projects indicates that these qualities, expertise, and educational background are foundational to successfully manage and spearhead a project of this scope and size. Grant coordinator positions are excellent mechanisms with which to build an administrative pipeline and provide leadership experiences that are invaluable to aspiring teachers.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

LEA Support - Describe how the LEA will structure and implement an individual or office with responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts.

Longview ISD will designate a staff member to serve as support to campuses undertaking the turnaround process. The responsibilities of this person will include:

- Oversight of the turnaround process, ensuring responsiveness of LISD offices to the turnaround efforts, for all SIG-funded schools (total of four secondary campuses)
- Provide a direct line of communication to the superintendent and other critical district level-leaders
- > Assist in eliminating any district-level barriers that may hinder the turnaround process
- > Serve as a resource and mentor to the administrator and campus
- > Attend all meetings/professional development sessions throughout the duration of the turnaround process
- Monitor the progress of 90-day action plans
- > Maintain regular communication with the turnaround campus (es)
- > Hold regularly scheduled meetings with the turnaround campus (es)
- > Provide support and feedback to the principal and teacher leaders
- > Take an active role in problem-solving with the principal and teacher leaders
- > Attend campus leadership meetings
- > Assist in the replacement and recruitment of qualified staff
- > Assist in efforts to increase community and parental involvement
- > Support the placement of social service resources at the campus
- > Partner with campus to generate a positive school culture

James Brewer, Assistant Superintendent for Secondary Programs, will be the interim and possibly permanent staff member fulfilling these duties. He is a former superintendent and high school principal, and has been involved in high school turnaround efforts for the past three years at Longview High School, leading a number of reform initiatives such as the expansion of alternative programs to meet student needs, successful implementation of a T-STEM academy, expansion of the dual credit program and workforce preparedness programs, and the authorization of an International Baccalaureate Diploma Program. He will continue to be supervised by the superintendent and a member of the superintendent's cabinet. Mr. Brewer has authority to influence central office departmental procedures, has a proven track record as a positive change agent, and has successfully built a high level of credibility with educators, the community, parents, and students through commitment to student success and his exemplary communication skills.

LISD campuses that are funded under this initiative will have site coordinators who will meet regularly with the district turnaround office to report progress in achieving the programmatic goals of SIG and implementation activities as outlined in this proposal. The turnaround office will also convene the district and campus joint planning committees in fall 2010 to analyze the comprehensive needs analyses, fine-tune objectives, strategies, and activities designed to meet program goals, and timely submit the implementation model report as soon as feasible but no later than February 1, 2011.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

092-903	_
ounty-District	No.

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability - Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

Longview ISD has developed financial resilience—that is, a capacity to address problems as challenges and provide solutions that work through collaborating with outside stakeholders and staff. Over the past two years, the district reduced the number of employees through attrition and eliminated over \$2 million in expenditures. Additionally, the district has become entrepreneurial—aggressively pursuing alternative funding sources, maximizing entitlements, seeking discretionary funding, selling advertising, and selling or renting buildings and property that are not utilized. The district's financial matters are governed by policies to ensure future financial stability balanced with the need to offer a high quality instructional program. The district will 1) increase the undesignated fund balance annually; 2) approve a balanced budget annually; 3) provide for capital improvements; 4) continue to identify and pursue cost efficiencies; 5) issue no debt without voter approval; 6) monitor actions at the state level in regard to funding; and 7) maintain a teacher salary schedule that attracts and retains highly qualified personnel.

This proposal has been carefully structured to ensure its viability once federal funding ends. The SIG models focus on specialized training opportunities and capacity-building activities that will support and sustain the program over time. Other expenditures in the budget are in the truest sense start-up costs. Staff employed under the project will be operating with planned obsolescence to ensure that teachers and administrators acquire the knowledge and skills necessary to carry out the program without support. Additional funding sources, such as federal entitlements and state grants, will be coordinated to ensure that the programs continue.

Adequate facilities play a large role in providing a high-quality educational environment for students and the tools teachers need to carry out program requirements. In May, 2008, taxpayers approved a \$267 million bond issue that will rebuild or renovate all campuses in LISD. Renovations at Forest Park Middle School will be completed next year and will feature 21st century classrooms, enhanced CATE offerings, expansion of the dual credit program, and increased distance learning opportunities for students and staff. The district's new schools will provide the space, tools, and flexibility to support program activities.

The district will promote a "culture of sustainability" by taking the following action steps:

- Ensure faculty and community input in the planning stage and subsequently seek continued support and involvement of all stakeholders to ensure continuity of the reform effort.
- Create contingency plans to address possible changes in staffing and resources. 2.
- Ensure that new staff is committed to adopting the reform measures and that an effective plan is in place to provide mentoring and/or professional development so that new staff are equipped with the knowledge and skills necessary to implement the reform effectively.
- Provide dedicated time and space for teams of educators to seek ways to maintain reforms and identify strategies for further improvement.
- Provide professional development to educators on how to engage in ongoing problem solving, thereby establishing a culture geared toward continuous improvement.

Finally, the district has a proven track record in sustaining reform over time. In 2004, the district received a substantial federal grant to implement a Montessori program at one campus in 17 PK-K classrooms and elementary/middle school IB programs. The grant funding for the project ended in 2007. When the new LISD schools open in 2010, there will be 75 early childhood classrooms featuring Montessori instruction delivered by Montessori-trained teachers across the district, and with the addition of the Diploma Program in 2009, students can enjoy an International Baccalaureate program in grades 1-12. Clearly this example provides strong evidence of the commitment to sustain and continue high quality programs in Longview ISD.

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers -- Describe how the LEA will recruit, screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality.

The district will use the School Improvement Resource Center for technical assistance, with other assistance from ESC Region 7. However, in the event that the district must issue requests for proposals for services, the following tenets will be followed in the process of selecting the provider.

The foundation of the relationship with an external provider is a thoughtfully negotiated contract that articulates roles, responsibilities, performance expectations, and consequences for failure to meet expectations. A rigorous evaluation of the partner's capacity and experience is essential to fully leveraging the potential expertise of external partners to support focused and dramatic school improvement efforts.

The technical resource documents contained the following strategies for choosing an external provider:

- 1. Identify unambiguous reasons for hiring an external partner;
- 2. Engage stakeholders about the need to hire eternal providers and ensure the entire process is transparent and fair;
- Articulate specific goals of the relationship with the external partner, including measurable expectations and criteria for selection of external partners to meet these goals;
- Create conditions to attract multiple high quality external partners (e.g., extend key flexibilities, allocate adequate funds for external providers, infuse fairness and transparency into selection and accountability processes);
- Budget adequate funding to support relationship with external partner for duration of contract;
- 6. Develop a rigorous process to select an external partner whose experience and qualifications match the specified goals (e.g., a written application, due diligence to confirm track record of success and financial stability, an inperson interview with the external provider's leadership team, and, if appropriate due to scope, a site visit to schools receiving services from the external partner);
- 7. Negotiate a contract outlining roles and responsibilities of the external partner as well as the district and relevant schools, and if applicable, state department of education, as well as explicit and measurable outcomes, including interim indicators of growth;
- Provide support as needed and appropriate but do not micro-manage external partner;
- 9. Evaluate the external partner's progress toward goals; and
- 10. Define consequences for failure (e.g., termination or modification of contract).

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Site Visits - If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the

Intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit.

Site visits provide a unique opportunity to build a common vision for possibilities by involving groups of participants, such as teachers, parents, administrators, and central office staff that will be supporting the innovation. In addition, an active demonstration of an exemplary program provides a visualization of success that can be revisited when needed, inspiring and motivating staff to persevere in the face of barriers or difficulties in implementation. Moreover, site visits provide an opportunity to develop a network of resources and contacts who can provide assistance throughout the implementation process.

Forest Park will avail itself of opportunities for site visits where there is a clear alignment between the school's goals and the demonstration site. The decision making committee discussed the types of schools and/or programs that would be beneficial to "see in action":

- Exemplar districts and schools implementing the turnaround model
- 2. Exemplar districts that have developed successful systems of shared decision making and collaboration
- 3. Schools that have successful extended learning programs or creative scheduling
- Schools that have developed high-performing instructional work teams

Final plans will be made and submitted during the fall 2010 planning process.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903_ County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
by of IEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	Schedule #4B—Program Description	
Part 2: Project Management Cont Section A: LEA (District) Capacity	Responses are limited to one page each, from	t side only; with a font size no
smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdan	a). Lapplying to serve each Tier I school (is not app	living for grant funding for each Tier
Lack of Capacity ** If the LGA is no it schools provide a detailed explana	tion of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each	Tier I school:
A DOLLOW MINAND WEST AND		
	•	
		/
· ·		
	NA LICE has no Tier I schools	
	NA. LISD has no Tier I schools.	
		•
,		
i		
		•

### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made 092-903 **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section At Intervention Model Selection Process Intervention Model to be Implemented - Indicate the model(s) being <u>considered</u> by the LEA/campus for implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. ☐ Closure ☐ Restart ☐ Transformation ☐ Tier III Modified Transformation X TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center ☐ Supplemental Education Services (SES) incorporated into the intervention model Note: Applying to implement the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center in no way implies or guarantees funding. ☐ The LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final federal regulations released by USDE Schedule #4B—Program Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, continued on next page

**TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process - Describe in detail:

1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.

2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

A district-level SIG planning committee consisting of senior staff was convened by the superintendent to conduct a needs assessment of the SIG-eligible schools and district capacity to support school improvement programs. The committee followed the following process for each SIG-eligible campus:

1. Answer narrowing questions.

- Collect data/perform Comprehensive Needs Assessment. 2.
- Review questions for consideration for viable options. 3.
- Collect additional or missing data in order to make an informed decision. 4.
- Select an option. 5.
- Complete and submit application.

Using planning tools provided by TEA, the district decision-making committee reviewed the narrowing questions to determine capacity to support the project. All questions were answered in the affirmative; the committee then reviewed available data from the school's comprehensive needs assessment and the preliminary 2010 TAKS results. A parallel system was completed at the campus level.

Forest Park Magnet School is one of three middle schools in Longview ISD, all of which feed into the district's sole high school. It is a schoolwide Title I campus serving 479 students, of which 55.5% are African American, 32.4% are Hispanic, 11.3% are white, and <1% are of Asian or Native American descent. About 85% of FPMS students identify themselves as economically disadvantaged, and 54.3% of students are at-risk for academic failure. The school serves 82 students with disabilities, which represents 17% of the total school population. Student enrollment has changed every year in the past three years. The economically disadvantaged population has increased every year with the largest increase in 2009-2010 school year. African Americans make more than 50% of the school population. The White population declined in 2007-08 school year but increased in the 2008-09 school year. Special Education population has decreased every year by 3 to 4 percent.

Forest Park Magnet Solidol Projected Enrollment 2011/2012					
Student Count	Number	Percent			
Am. Indian	0	0.0%			
Aslan	2	0.4%			
African					
American	206	40.4%			
Hispanic	231	45.3%			
White	71	13.9%			
FRL/ECD	391	76.7%			
TOTAL	510	100%			

The student demographics of the campus have been changing and will be impacted significantly by rezoning related to a successful LISD Bond initiative in 2008. A building program is underway which will provide challenges to campus unity as classes are relocated to facilitate the building program. Although enrollment is expected to increase by 31 students, the projections indicate a profound demographic shift in the Hispanic population group.

A "turnaround" principal was assigned to the campus in August 2009, and she has been an effective leader for the school. The campus will have a new principal in June, 2010, who was recently named to the position. Since 1999, the campus has had six principals; Brian Bowman will be the seventh assigned to the position. The campus is staffed with two assistant principals, one who has served in the position for one year and one who has served for the past three years. Forest Park will have a young, energetic team in place for the new school year.

#### Significant findings regarding student achievement:

The campus was academically unacceptable in 2009 and missed AYP in both 2008 and 2009 due to Reading and Mathematics performance. The campus is in Stage I of school improvement.

An analysis of TAKS Science data in 2009 reflects an overall passing rate for all students of 40%, which reflects a decrease in performance of 11% over the previous year with AA, W, & ECD subgroups all declining in performance. The only student group meeting the required 45% standard for AA in Science was the Hispanic subgroup. An examination of materials and resources shows that manipulative materials are sufficient but currently underutilized, such as the newly acquired Sci-Tech that integrates Science concepts and skills with technology in a spiraling and engaging lesson design.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA.

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

092-903	
County-District No.	

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont; Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

- Math performance for 2009 increased for all students by 10% to 64%. The increase was attributed to a 12% gain in the performance of the W subgroup with all other groups showing % declines ranging from 3%-16%. The math department staff has the least teaching experience and tenure on the FPMS campus. 83% of the Math department has less than five years of experience. Math performance is weak for all sub groups. Special Education performance in math for 2009 was 60%, which not meet the standard required for AYP.
- Student performance on TAKS Reading showed a 2% decline from 89% to 87%, however, student performance earned the standard required for a rating of Recognized. Special Education performance in Reading was 67%, which failed to meet the standard required for AYP.
- The academic performance of Bilingual ESL and Special Education students represent a significant area of need among student populations. These groups consistently underperform their peers in the areas of reading and math by 20% or more.
- Records show that Science and Math Department team members, prior to this year, did not have any uniform training in best practices in their disciplines and therefore did not practice and implement uniform theories of teaching and learning across departments on the campus.
- The campus was previously not organized to provide job-embedded training in implementing an array of initiatives effectively--from C-Scope Curriculum to Sci-Tech. There were inconsistent and fragmented implementation patterns evident on the campus
- Prior to the current school year there is little or no evidence that teachers collaborated, analyzed or used data to drive classroom instruction or uniformly participated in professional development related to their professional assignments.
- Due to the increase in the Bilingual population in LISD schools, the district established the requirement of SIOP training for all teachers. 61% of FPMS teachers (no FPMS administrators) in core areas have completed this training.
- Preliminary scores for 2010 indicate that the campus will be academically acceptable and will meet required

improvement	(see belo					en ezzeterek		Science	<b>3</b>	20.00 C	cjal Stuc	lias
	2009 w/ TPM	Math 2010	2010 w/ TPM	2009 w/ TPM	Reading 2010	2010 w/ TPM	2009 w/ TPM	2010	2010 W/ TPM	2009 w/ TPM	2010	2010 W/ TPM
All Students	78	60	75	96	77	90	40	49	85	98	84	98
African Amer.	77	54	70	96	77	88	37	47	85	99	87	1 2/2
Hispanic	83	71	84	96	77	≝⊹92 ÷;	45	47	84	98	79	100
White	77	67	69	100	83	<b>93</b> ∜−	44	75	· 83 🔅	94	83	100
ECD	77	60	75	96	77_	90	37	47	84	98	83	E LUUS

#### Other Significant Findings:

- LISD uses an aligned, research-based curriculum, C-Scope. There is not yet campus-wide, systematic use of the curriculum in all campus classrooms or available matching common assessments to guide and inform instruction, identify gaps, provide interventions, and track student performance progress.
- Vertical Alignment K-12 in Science provides evidence of significant gaps. There are three aspects of curriculum alignment schools must consider across grade levels: alignment of knowledge and skills to be taught, coordination of teaching practices used to deliver instruction, and improvement of assessment practices to validate student learning and inform instruction. The teaching of science is inconsistent between teachers in departments and grade levels on campuses and among teachers within the district. If student performance and achievement rates are to continuously improve, the intended curriculum, the implemented curriculum, and the achieved curriculum must be aligned.
- Instructional support, monitoring and feedback to teachers on implementation of new instructional practices are consistently needed.

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Teacher attitudes related to cultural and economic differences create a barrier between them and the students they must teach to high standards of performance,, in particular those in the AR and AA subgroups. These students have different learning styles.

Academic achievement of the AA Subgroup and Campus Discipline data provide evidence that the African American subgroup at FPMS, particularly African American males, appear to have more difficulty meeting expected academic performance and classroom behavior standards and in maintaining high levels of satisfaction in the school environment.

100% of the teachers on the campus are currently certified in their field, however, at least one teacher in the science department does not have any experience in teaching science.

Twenty teachers or 47% have less than three years of experience. Approximately 53% of the staff teaching in core areas, with the exception of math have five or more years of experience. 67% of the Science Department has less than five years on the campus. James Beasley has 2 years of experience and is serving as the Department Chair. The effectiveness of science and math teachers on the campus in delivering instruction will have a significant effect and impact on student learning and achievement at FPMS. Current teaching assignments do not appear to make the best use of available teaching expertise and experience.

The data shows that there was little or no parent involvement in the activities and programs of the school in the previous academic year. For example, only 2% of the invited parents to Student Watch and Assistance Team

(SWAT) meeting held for students in at-risk situations, actually attended.

Informal interviews conducted in the summer of 2009 with parents revealed the school is perceived in the community as a place where the environment is threatening, education is not valued, and the learning environment lacks structure and staff unity.

There were no records of the proceedings of the Campus Improvement Team during the prior year. The PTA did not

organize for the first meeting until January and then, held one subsequent meeting.

During the 2008-2009 school year, there were 991 disruptive student disciplinary offenses. This resulted in an increase of 8.5% or 150 additional referrals for disruptive behavior than the previous year. The most repeated offense was fighting. Although students were not absent from school, they were absent from classroom instruction due to placement in In School Suspension. There were 152 suspensions of which 92 were male and 37 were female. 2. There was an overrepresentation of males displaying inappropriate/disruptive behavior and assigned to suspension/expulsion as a consequence.

While the district provides training in and access to an abundance of data, teachers lack an understanding of how to

interpret and utilize data to drive instruction.

Parent involvement at the Judson campus is actively sought through communications, parent involvement

Next, the committees reviewed information about the school improvement models required under this program to determine if the program activities addressed the identified needs of the campus and completed the Characteristics of Performance and Capacity, which revealed areas of convergence between school needs and intervention models. The committees found that low performance was widespread across most subject areas. There is evidence of limited parent support, negative school culture and limited staff capacity. These attributes dovetail with the turnaround model. It should be noted that reforms that have been implemented during the past two years include replacement of the principal and staff. At the end of this school year, the school will have a turnover of 15 teachers, which is 36% of the total number of classroom teachers. With a new principal and the large percentage of new staff, the committees decided to select the extended implementation timeline in order to devote time for teambuilding, shared decision making, and re-engagement of community support. In addition, the extended timeline model would provide useful experience thoughtful planning and data driven decision making. The timeline of major project activities and support is below.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

#### Year 1 Fall 2010

Complete District Snapshot

#### Spring 2011

- Complete Comprehensive Needs Assessment via Campus Snapshot
- Screen and select new principal (if applicable)
- · Identify staff for replacement, using locally adopted competencies
- Develop strategic professional development plan
- · Establish LEA turnaround governance, including District Shepherd

#### LEA Readiness Meeting Summer Session – June 2011, Austin, TX

- Review school(s) data (including) comprehensive needs assessment)
- Understand what it means to "Think Like a Turnaround Leader"
- · Define the roles of the LEA and building level leaders
- Design a plan of action for the District Shepherd
- · Discuss strategies for generating community and staff support for the turnaround effort

#### Summer 2011

Replace 50% of staff

#### Summer Session – 5 days, July 2011 (Location TBD)

- Training focus is on "Thinking like a Turnaround Leader" Case study design delivered by Executive Leadership faculty of Darden School of Business
- Additional modules/content delivered by ESC Program
- Culminates in a first 90-day action plan

#### Year II Support Team Training - 3 Days Training, January 2012, Austin

- · Principal and school leadership team return for three-day training session.
- · Create next 90-day action plan with a project management/accountability map

#### District Institute, Spring 2012 -1 1/2 Days (Location TBD)

Campus and LEA office personnel meet with the District Shepherd. program coordinator, and University of Virginia personnel to:

- Review formative assessment results, discuss strategies, and set targets for balance of school year
- · Review results of site visits and progress to date
- First 90-day action plan results and progress on current 90-day plan

#### Ongoing Onsite Technical Assistance

Provided with training and support by TTIPS Staff and Professional Service Provider (PSP) with UVA mentoring focus is on supporting the 90-day action plan, project management plans, and executive coaching

#### Summer Session 2012 (Dates and Location TBD)

LEA team attends training with campus principal. Efforts of year one are evaluated and Year 2 plan is built, including next 90-day action plan

Shift to sustainability as a focus

## Year III

#### Support Team Training - January 2013 (Location TBD)

- Principal and school leadership team return for three-day training session. Effectiveness of Year 1 plans evaluated
- Team constructs continued improvement plan with project management/accountability map

#### District Institute, Spring 2013 - 1 1/2 Days (Dates and Location TBD)

- Review Formative assessment results, discuss strategies, and set targets for balance of school year
- · Review results of site visits and progress to date
- Prior 90-day action plan results and progress on current 90-day plan

#### Ongoing Onsite Technical Assistance

Provided with training and support by TTIPS Staff and Professional Service Provider (PSP) with UVA mentoring focus is on supporting the 90-day action plan, project management plans, and executive coaching

#### Summer Session 2013 (Dates and Location TBD)

- LEA team attends training with campus principal. Efforts of year 2 are evaluated and Year 3 plan is built
- Sustainability is the focus

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

of TEA.

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

In addition to participation in the activities in the timeline chart, the district will be responsible for ensuring strategies supporting the following objectives occur as appropriate during each year of the SIG program for Forest Park. The district has ascertain that it possesses the commitment and capacity to follow through on these requirements.

#### LISD Requirements Year III LISD Requirements Year II LISD Requirements Year I Support of new governance Replace no less than 50% of school Support of new governance structure focused on supporting structure focused on supporting staff (year 1), using locally adopted turnaround turnaround competencies Research-based instructional Research-based instructional Establish and support new programs governance structure focused on programs Increased learning time • Increased learning time supporting turnaround Social-emotional and community Social-emotional and community Implement research-based oriented services and supports for oriented services and supports for instructional programs students · Implement strategies to recruit, students Use of student data to inform and Use of student data to inform and place, and retain skilled turnaround differentiate instruction differentiate instruction staff Ongoing high-quality professional Ongoing high-quality professional Establish increased learning time development development Provide social-emotional and community oriented services and supports for students · Use student data to inform and differentiate instruction

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made 092-903 **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 by telephone/e-mail/FAX on Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants - List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select a school Intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. District level administrators Campus level administrators 2 Teachers and other campus staff (e.g., support teachers, librarians, counselors) 3 Board members 4 Parent organizations and booster clubs 5 Other local nonprofit and governmental agencies 6 Business community (Chambers of Commerce, Longview Economic Development Corporation, GLOBE, other 7 business leaders) Faith based community 8 Campus improvement team 9 Higher education (LeTourneau University, UT STEM Center, Kilgore College, Charles A. Dana Center) 10

LISD Foundation

11

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

### Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline

On the following pages of charts applicants should describe all other school improvement activities that will be incorporated with the model to be selected.

For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Success Factor Code(s) from this table, enter the LEA/campus' rationale for including the activity, provide the supporting research that indicates the activity will be effective, and indicate the beginning and ending date of the activity.

- 1 Improve Academic Performance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math
  - A. Data-driven instruction
  - B. Curriculum Alignment (both horizontal and vertical)
  - C. On-going Monitoring of Instruction
- 2 Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction
  - A. Data Disaggregation/Training
  - B. Data-driven Decisions
  - C. On-going Communication
- 3 Increase Leadership Effectiveness
  - A. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
  - B. Operational Flexibility
  - C. Resource/Data Utilization
- 4 Increase Learning Time
  - A. Flexible Scheduling
  - B. Instructionally-focused Calendar
  - C. Staff Collaborative Planning
- 5 Increase Parent/Community Involvement
  - A. Increased Opportunities for Input
  - B. Effective Communication
  - C. Accessible Community Services
- 6 Improve School Climate
  - A. Increased Attendance
  - B. Decreased Discipline Referrals
  - C. Increased Involvement in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities
- 7 Increase Teacher Quality
  - A. Locally Developed Appraisal Instruments
  - B. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
  - C. Recruitment/Retention Strategies

## For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	_
County-District	No

School Years 2010-2013

		-
Ameno	lment	No

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

of TEA

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Mile stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA				
	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
В	Provide support (technical, expertise, and resources) for a district wide, PK-12 alignment process that considers resources, local context, and intended outcomes and supports capacity-building for school staff to address instructional adjustments and needed resources	Builds capacity maintain alignment between curriculum standards and classroom instruction	Bhola, Impara, & Buchendahl, 2003; Porter, Smithson, Blank, & Ziedner, 2007	10/01/10	06/30/13
В	Ensure that CScope curriculum is coherent and articulated, that is, horizontally and vertically aligned, and that its scope and sequence is effectively aligned with State academic standards and assessment standards	Builds capacity maintain alignment between curriculum standards and classroom instruction	Blank, Porter, & Smithson, 2001; English, 1980; Koppang, 2004	10/01/10	05/31/11
В	Provide collaborative teaming time to enable teachers to incorporate changes required to align instruction with standards	Provides resources to enable teachers to incorporate changes required to align instruction with standards	Blank, Porter, & Smithson, 2001; English, 1980; Koppang, 2004	10/01/10	05/31/13
Α	Use annual state testing performance data to evaluate the overall effectiveness of instructional services provided by the district and conduct deep analysis to determine areas in need of improvement	Promote the continuous use of student data to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students	Hamilton, Halverson, Jackson, Mandinach, Supovitz, & Wayman, 2009	10/01/10	05/31/13
А	Redesign current staffing to include 4 content area instructional coaches for the purpose of designing and developing appropriate campus-based lesson content, common assessments, analyzing instructional and assessment materials, developing staff capacity, mentoring inexperienced/ineffective teachers, and intervening for targeted student groups.	Builds capacity to improve instruction; model effective teaching	Blank, Porter, & Smithson, 2001; English, 1980; Koppang, 2004	10/01/10	12/31/10

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
County-District	No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment	No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

of TEA.

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF .	Additional Improvement Activity	Řátionale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
stone A	Use data protocol tool during collaborative teaming to reveal biases and assumptions that distort findings and to enable teachers to construct meaning	Develop tools to help teacher teams productively discuss curricula, instruction, and student progress	Oxley, 2007	10/01/10	05/31/13
С	Customize the district's walkthrough instrument to reflect instructional goals and performance expectations. Instructional specialist will regularly debrief with the campus principal and maintain a meeting log.	Build capacity to monitor and maintain alignment between curriculum standards and classroom instruction	Blank, Porter, & Smithson, 2001	03/01/11	07/31/11
Α .	Design and develop Common Assessments for use across classrooms in all core curriculum departments; analyze data and monitor student progress toward mastery; use data to inform instruction and provide needed interventions for students.	Promotes the continuous use of student data to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students	Tomlinson & Allan, 2000; Tomlinson, 2001; Moon, 2005	06/01/11	12/31/11
В	Ensure that curriculum and instruction in Classworks, ESL, special education, and tutorials are aligned to the scope and sequence in regular core content classrooms	Builds capacity maintain alignment between curriculum standards and classroom instruction	Blank, Porter, & Smithson, 2001	06/01/11	12/31/11
Βí	Increase enrollment in advanced courses by providing IB training for 9 <sup>th</sup> and 10 <sup>th</sup> grade teachers and expanding dual credit program offerings	Increase rigor, improve college-readiness	Study by the IBO, NCS data, 2008	10/01/10	05/31/13
В	Design, develop and initiate the use of a folder system to facilitate the systematic progress monitoring at of identified At Risk students in all core curriculum areas. Hold teacher/campus administrator meetings by department to review Progress Monitoring Folders and debrief student performance with teachers, identify gaps, make curriculum refinements and adjustments and communicate with parents.	Promotes the continuous use of student data to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students	Tomlinson & Allan, 2000; Tomlinson, 2001; Moon, 2005	06/01/11	12/31/11
А	Use Mizuni data dashboards to identify students who are "off-track" and need immediate intervention	Early warning system for the targeting of resources and interventions	CCSR, 2005	10/01/11	08/31/13

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	_
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction

CSF Mile stone	Additional Improvement Activity	* Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
A	Ensure district data systems enable analysis of student outcomes at multiple levels	Provide access to actionable data for planning	Hamilton, et. al, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	Develop a district-wide plan for collecting, interpreting, and using data.	Coordinates and organizes district information	Hamilton, et. al, 2009	10/01/10	06/01/11
А	Train teachers and principals in how to interpret and use data to change instruction	Necessary for deep analysis of student needs	Hamilton, et. al, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/13
С	Use customized teacher "dashboards" to track students' class attendance, grades, and progress toward graduation (live reports feature current, historical, and trending information to inform instruction, increase student achievement, and improve communication between parents and teachers)	Early warning process, early intervention	Heppen, O'Cummings, & Therriault, 2008	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	Employ efficient, easy-to-use progress monitoring measures to track the progress of students receiving intervention services towards critical academic outcomes	Effective monitoring practices	Hamilton, et. al, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	<ul> <li>Establish Data Governance Process</li> <li>Convene data governance coordinating body with oversight and auditing responsibilities.</li> <li>Establish data governance roles and responsibilities at all levels of the system.</li> <li>Review, refine or create policies and procedures that ensure data integrity and accountability for quality data.</li> <li>Create, maintain, and distribute data dictionary, data ownership and data flow documentation.</li> </ul>	Sets expectations and accountability for the use of data; critical for the organization and protection of student data; ensures data quality and accuracy; provides roles and responsibilities for data owners and data users; ensures that gaps are addressed systemwide	Institute for Research and Reform in Education	10/01/10	08/31/13

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) 092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_

of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 3: Increase Leadership Effectiveness

CSF Mile stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
A	Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training	Grant requirement	Perlman & Redding, 2010	01/01/11	05/31/11
С	Establish expectations for turnaround leadership and incorporate qualities of a turnaround leader into principal appraisal process	Establish expectations for turnaround principals	Perlman & Redding, 2010	10/01/10	02/01/11
А	Provide training and technical assistance to turnaround leadership team through SIRC Leadership Institutes, NSDC conference, and school improvement conferences	Provide ongoing support and resources	Perlman & Redding, 2010	06/01/11	08/31/13
В	Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates	Allows school to focus on improvement activities, address needs	Mass Insight, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority	Alignment of district practices to school improvement efforts	Periman & Redding, 2010	10/01/10	08/31/13
С	Utilize a distributed leadership model so that all in the school have a common vision for improvement and teachers have opportunities to grow and develop	Allows team approach	Marzano, 2003	10/01/10	05/31/13
С	Identify and reward school leaders in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates	Alignment of district practices to school improvement efforts	Periman & Redding, 2010	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	Create and staff a new "turnaround office" and hire a turnaround leader who will have responsibility the school improvement program and reports directly to the Superintendent	Necessary to "drive" dramatic school improvements	Redding & Walberg, 2008	10/01/10	11/01/10

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-90	3	
County-Distric	ct	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 4: Improve Learning Time					(#27/E10E
CSF Mile- stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
C	Conduct a comprehensive review of the research to determine effective programs and best practices around extended learning time, including after school, summer, Saturday, and "J" schools, creative scheduling, and other options	Low-income and minority students experience significant learning loss over the summer months, compared with children from higher income families who have access enrichment activities	Pennington, 2006 Silva, 2007	01/01/11	03/31/11
С	Analyze effectiveness of current program offerings, such as Saturday school, and research best programs and practices	Streamline options so that the programs can be implemented with fidelity	top performing schools had expanded school days (The Rennie Center for Education Research and Policy, 2003)	01/01/11	03/31/11
В	Implement an extended day program that is academically based and designed to meet the needs of individual learners and features tutoring, enrichment, and other learning supports.	Extended learning time most effective when based upon academics	IES Practice Guide, Expanding Time	09/01/11	05/31/13
В	Structure program so that the regular core content teacher provides academic support and transportation services are provided.	Extended learning time most beneficial when academic supports are provided by regular classroom teacher	Summerbridge, CCSR	09/01/11	05/31/13
В	Implement program, continuously monitor for improvement and student academic gains	Monitoring critical for quick adjustments	IES Practice Guide, Expanding Time	09/01/11	05/31/13
А	Ensure instructional time during the school day is maximized. Monitor classrooms for time on task and instructional quality.	Ensure instructional time is maximized	Foorman & Schatschneider, 2003;	09/01/11	05/31/13

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013 of TEA.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model
Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 5: Increase Parent/Community Involvement					
CSF Mile- stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
A	Ensure parent and community representation on school improvement and other decision-making committees.	Provide meaningful participation	Epstein, 1995	10/01/10	05/31/13
Α	Survey parents regarding school perceptions and the quality of involvement opportunities. Eliminate, revise, and/or create new strategies in response to the findings and incorporate the strategies into the school's continuous improvement plan.	Provide increased opportunities for input	Epstein, 1995	01/01/11	12/01/13
В	Provide easily accessible, customized academic and behavioral reports for parents and students through the Doing What Matters— Mizuni project so that parents and students are aware of progress toward graduation	Research shows that schools can improve their students' learning by engaging parents in ways that directly relate to their children's academic progress	Epstein, 1995; Henderson & Mapp, 2002; Patrikakou, Weissberg, Redding, & Walberg, 2005; Redding, 2000	10/01/10	08/31/13
В	Communicate regularly with families and the school-community about SEL progress and successes.	Increased communications	Epstein, 1995	8/01/11	08/31/13
С	Hire a school social worker who will be responsible for the development of a comprehensive program of supports for families and students experiencing hardships.	Assist families in crisis	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning Implementation Guides	09/01/11	08/31/13

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)
Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate

Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate  Timeline				Timeline	
CSF Mile stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Begin Da <b>te</b>	End Date
A	Develop a comprehensive program of supports for families and students experiencing hardships.	Need for informed referrals, crisis counseling, mental health resources	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning	08/15/11	08/31/13
A	Develop collaborative relationships with local agencies and organizations and include them as well as school nurses in the design of individual student supports and referrals	Need for informed referrals, crisis counseling, mental health and wellness resources	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning Practice Guides	01/01/11	08/31/11
Α	Research high-quality, evidence-based SEL programs that have effective implementation and support systems, make recommendations to the school improvement team, and incorporate into the school's comprehensive reform plan.	Improve behavior, attendance, and academic performance	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning	10/01/10	12/01/11
Α	Provide professional development that fosters a deep understanding of SEL, coaching to support the quality of teachers' SEL practice, and assessment tools developed specifically to monitor and improve SEL processes and outcomes for ongoing improvement.	Improve behavior, attendance, and academic performance	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning	01/01/11	08/31/11
В	Provide training for teachers in Positive Behavior Support (PBS) and effective classroom management; monitor implementation of PBS strategies in walkthroughs, formal appraisals, and through reports from instructional coaches.	Correlated to improvement in school climate	Brinson, Kowal, & Hassel, 2008; Brinson & Rhim, 2009	01/01/11	08/31/11
В	Review behavioral data and PEIMS to analyze PBS implementation, and implement targeted professional development based on classroom observations and student data	Correlated to improvement in school climate	Brinson, Kowal, & Hassel, 2008; Brinson & Rhim, 2009	01/15/12	05/31/12
В	Increase staff and student awareness about the impact of bullying and student harassment, intervention strategies, and referral sources	Improve behavior, attendance, and academic performance	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning	01/01/11	08/31/11
С	Analyze results of the student engagement survey to determine priorities and strategies to address gaps. Share findings with school staff and external stakeholder groups for further input. Develop steps to increase student engagement and incorporate into the school's continuous improvement plan.	Improve behavior, attendance, and academic performance	Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning	10/01/10	12/01/11

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903	
ounty-District	<del></del>

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

CSF Mile- stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
Α	Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development	Data on classroom Instruction is essential to Improving teacher quality	Foorman & Schatschneider, 2003; Taylor, Pearson, Peterson, & Rodriquez, 2003	10/01/10	08/31/11
A	Implement new evaluation system design with the involvement of teachers and principals; ensure appraisal instrument is aligned with student performance expectations, targeted instructional improvement strategies, and PD plan for growth; and provides staff ample opportunities to improve their practices.	Appraisal systems should align growth opportunities with teachers' and school leaders' areas of weakness	Milanowski, Heneman, & Kimball, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/11
Α	Implement early removal procedures for ineffective teachers on school improvement campuses.	Districts should have a process to expedite the removal of ineffective teachers in low-performing schools, and to support school leaders by advising them on effective dismissal procedures and providing an intervention team to assist with the dismissal process	Kowal, Rosch, Hassel, & Hassel, 2009	10/01/10	05/31/11
Α	Consider using teacher value- added reports in teacher assignment and other staffing decisions; incorporate the reports into the teacher appraisal process, where feasible	Value-added test scores and teacher reports can be used as part of the system to measure teacher effectiveness	Goe, 2008	10/01/10	08/31/11

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903 County-District No.
by telephone/e- mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
by of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	Schedule #4B—Program Description	
Part 3: Intervention Model		

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

CSF

CSF

Additional Improvement Activity

Refionale

Supporting

Begin

End

CSF Mile- stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
В	Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies	District professional development systems and requirements should be aligned with staff evaluation systems and address a teacher's specific challenge areas.	Darling-Hammond, Wei, Andree, Richardson, & Orphanos, 2009	10/01/10	08/31/13
<b>B</b> .	Use online learning, web based resources, and instructional coaching to increase opportunities for teachers to improve their practice	Link PD to opportunities for career advancement, and provide opportunities for teachers to be involved in its selection and delivery.  Darling-Hammond, Wei, Andree, Richardson, & Orphanos, 2009		06/01/11	08/31/13
В	Provide financial incentives to teachers for obtaining endorsements, additional certifications, and completing advanced college coursework in high need areas (math, science, special education, ESL endorsement)	Link PD to opportunities for career advancement, and provide opportunities for teachers to be involved in its selection and delivery.	Darling-Hammond, Wei, Andree, Richardson, & Orphanos, 2009	06/01/11	08/31/13
В	Enhance district's Professional Leadership Academy program for aspiring administrators, i.e., by providing high-profile speakers, site visits, and stipends.	Link PD to opportunities for career advancement, and provide opportunities for teachers to be involved in its selection and delivery.	Darling-Hammond, Wei, Andree, Richardson, & Orphanos, 2009	06/01/11	08/31/13
В	Establish common planning time for work grade level, subject area, and interdisciplinary work teams to align curriculum, identify students for intervention, and review performance data. Ensure that planning times are pedagogically focused and monitored for effectiveness.  Higher student achievement, and increased teacher motivation and job satisfaction, and critical for building professional learning communities		Kassissieh & Barton, 2009; Flowers et al., 1999; Little, 1982; Jackson & Davis, 2000; Piccucci, Brownson, Kahlert, & Sobel, 2002	06/01/11	08/31/13

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

CSF Mile- stone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
С	Implement strategies to retain teachers on high need campuses, such as increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, increased opportunities for instructional and teacher leadership, and more flexible work conditions	Professional development should be linked to opportunities for career advancement, and provide opportunities for teachers to be involved in its selection and delivery.	Darling-Hammond, Wei, Andree, Richardson, & Orphanos, 2009	10/01/10	05/31/11
С	Evaluate current district teacher recruitment program and process to determine improvement strategies, i.e., additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a turnaround school	Provide attractive compensation packages or working conditions, high and unyielding standards for the identification and selection of candidates, and aggressive marketing when recruiting for difficult-to-staff positions	Guarino, Santibanez, & Daley, 2006; Simmons et al., 2007; Spradlin & Prendergast, 2006	10/01/10	05/31/11
С	Evaluate TXBESS, the district program for teacher mentoring, and design additional strategies to increase its effectiveness, including continuous improvement mechanisms to monitor its implementation.	Provide support and mentoring for 1 <sup>st</sup> year teachers	National Comprehensive Center on Teacher Quality	10/01/10	05/31/11
С	Continue district's performance pay program that rewards effective teachers based upon student growth. Consider additional performance pay incentives for teachers who are highly effective on school improvement campuses.	tinue district's performance program that rewards ctive teachers based upon dent growth. Consider itional performance pay entives for teachers who are nly effective on school  Increase retention; improve achievement; improve teacher morale		10/01/10	08/31/13

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	092-903 County-District No.					
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.					
by of IEA. I.							
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description						
Part 4: Walver Requests							
Applicants must check the walvers in which the LEA/campus intends to implement.							
Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds.  This waiver extends the "life" of the funds for two additional years; allowing the state to fund the grant period for three years to the LEA on behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the campus meets the requirements of implementation of the grant program.  Note: Since TEA has requested and received a waivel of the period of availability of these school improvement grant funds, this waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State and must be checked.							
implementing a turnaround of Under this waiver, the LEA we restart model may have their school improvement interver applicable. This waiver a	"Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model.  Under this waiver, the LEA with an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implementing the turnaround model or restart model may have their School Improvement status reset regardless of the actual AYP status and other school improvement interventions, such as School Choice and Supplemental Education Services (SES) would not be applicable. This waiver allows the campus two years to effectively implement the selected turnaround or restart model of reform without additional statutory school improvement interventions being required.						
40 percent poverty eligibility  This waiver allows a Tier I To	program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating threshold. itle I campus that otherwise does not qualify to o the Tier I reform model selected.						

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section At Ongoing Monitoring/Continuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/campus process for providing ongoing monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement

Quick results and early wins are vital elements to the successful turnaround of schools in need of improvement. Therefore, monitoring for continuous improvement—that is, collecting data that indicate whether the project is making adequate progress during the implementation phase, is critical.

Ongoing monitoring of the project will occur during the entire period of the project to ensure progress is made toward the realization of the objectives and for continuous improvement. The monitoring process involves the collection of data, solicitation of feedback, evaluations of project activities from participants, debriefings, and other forms of data collection from a variety of sources. Parents, students, teachers, administrators, and community/business stakeholders will provide input. Service providers will furnish ongoing reports and data to the site coordinator about the implementation of program components and other interventions.

Student achievement data from a variety of sources will be analyzed as it is produced. These sources include benchmark tests; diagnostic test data and online assessment reports; attendance, dropout, and credit accrual data; enrollment data; online access logs; walkthrough data; teacher assessments and checklists, and student portfolios; instructional reports on classroom observations, effectiveness of planning time, and instructional delivery; and reports from the SIRC. Activities will be monitored to ensure they are being implemented according to the project timeline.

The turnaround office will oversee the evaluation process and chair a district-wide School Improvement Council comprised of district, school, and community/parent stakeholders. Site coordinators for each SIG funded school will present monthly progress reports which will be used to developed and submit 90-day plans to TEA.

However, it is also crucial to include methods to assess the fidelity of implementation, or adherence to both the proper execution of the specific practices and the effective coordination of all the practices as they are intended to be combined. Experiences with comprehensive school reform (CSR) models contributed greatly to the literature on fidelity of implementation. The U.S. Department of Education (2009) guide to implementation of research-based programs highlights the importance of ensuring that the core elements of a program—structure, content, and delivery—are implemented as designed. Even though CSR models are, by definition, "research based," they tend to produce different results in different contexts. "Only when effective practices are fully implemented should we expect positive outcomes. Implementation matters" (Blase & Fixsen, 2005, p. 10).

Plans for monitoring fidelity of implementation have been included in all the components of this program design, including processes for defining core elements of various programs or strategies that increase the likelihood of success prior to their selection; aligning ongoing, systematic data collection with core elements; providing adequate staffing to conduct monitoring and observation activities; a structure for oversight; and adjustments to the implementation or addressing barriers when the intervention is not producing the desired impact. Whereas continuous improvement involves data collection and random observations, it is still only a snapshot of one point in time. Fidelity of implementation monitoring demands that "attention must be paid, care must be given." It is especially critical when aligning complex governance structures, such as the teacher appraisal system, professional development, and instructional delivery. The four instructional coaches will collect information daily on the quality of instructional delivery to assess its impact on student achievement.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section B: Formative Evaluation- Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the

results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

Formative evaluations will occur each year in which new or revised programs are implemented. Formative evaluation includes the collection of baseline information, progress checks, and adjustments. The formative evaluation will measure the effectiveness and quality of the project design, the short term impact of the program, and the fidelity of implementation. In accordance with the LISD evaluation process, formative summative evaluations will address most of the following variables:

Process variables, including:

- o Measures of the effectiveness of program implementation
- Measures of attitudes, satisfaction, and concerns of staff and community
- Measures of adequacy of resources
- Product variables, including:
  - o Measures of student performance
  - o Measures of program effectiveness
  - Measures of the quality of teacher preparation and development

Using these data, the School Improvement Council will address the following indicators of implementation and impact:

- To what extent have project activities been implemented effectively?
- 2. How many and which staff were dismissed or reassigned?
- 3. How were the district's and school's governance and decision-making structures changed?
- 4. To what extent were schools given autonomy in staff, scheduling, and budgeting decisions?
- 5. To what extent have opportunities for parent involvement increased? To what extent has parent participation increased?
- 6. To what extent have the academic needs of students in the program been addressed?
- 7. To what extent have the social-emotional needs of students in the program been addressed?
- 8. How were curriculum, instruction, and assessment aligned with standards?
- 9. What formative and periodic assessments of student learning were utilized?
- 10. What was the nature and quality of instructional delivery (teaching and classroom management)?
- 11. How was instruction differentiated for students?
- 12. How was instructional planning by teachers (individual and team) conducted—structures, time, expectations, work products?
- 13. How much instructional time was provided and how was it organized—school year, school day, and periods within the day?
- 14. What data management and information systems were employed? To what extent did these systems provide accurate, readily accessible, and actionable data?
- 15. What, if any, performance incentives were provided for personnel?
- 16. To what extent were the personnel policies and procedures (hiring, placing, evaluating, promoting, retaining, replacing) changed?
- 17. What professional development was provided?
- 18. How was extended learning time provided?
- 19. What student support services were provided (tutoring, counseling, placement, for example)?
- 20. To what extent did school climate improve?
- 21. To what extent has progress, feedback, planning, implementation, and communication about project goals, planning, activities, and results been effectively communicated to a variety of stakeholders?

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests: (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana):

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

The district/school will collect the following types of quantitative data to meet federal and state reporting requirements:

- 1. Number of minutes within the school year.
- 2. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
- 3. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
- 4. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
- 5. Teacher Attendance Rate
- 6. Student Completion Rate
- 7. Student Drop-Out Rate
- 8. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
- 9. Types of support offered to teachers
- 10. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
- 11. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
- 12. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
- 13. Strategies which increase student learning time

In addition, the district/school will use the following methodology to collect qualitative data: instruments:

- Surveys and questionnaires
- Interviews
  - o Structures or unstructured
  - Face-to-face or telephone interviews
  - o Individual or small group
- Self-reports
- Focus groups
- Student assessment data
- Observations
- Document analysis
- Records and student work

Student data will be disaggregated by grade level, race/ethnicity, gender, program; achievement quartiles; achievement quintiles by demographics, grade level, and program (SAS/EVAAS value added data); performance by objective and student expectation. Teacher data will be collected by district and campus administration, instructional coaches, and the site coordinator for the project. The district documents walkthroughs using Eduphoria, an online software. Templates will be customized and contain expectations for individual teachers, content area instruction, and grade level specific strategies; the data will be captured in the system to allow for flexible disaggregation. Process data will be recorded on spreadsheets for reporting and study.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Planning functions for school improvement will be relegated to three school teams:

- The Leadership Team, which will be comprised of the principal and team leaders from the Instructional Teams, the
  social worker, campus and district administrators, the turnaround designee, and representatives from stakeholder
  groups. The Leadership Team will function as the School Improvement Team, and has ultimate responsibility in
  developing goals, reviewing data, setting performance targets, and monitoring progress.
- Instructional Teams, or departmental level groupings of teachers by subject area who meet to develop instructional strategies aligned to the standards-based curriculum and to monitor the progress of the students in the grade levels or subject area for which the team is responsible.
- The School Community Council, comprised of the principal, counselor, social worker, teachers, parents, and representatives from local agencies and/or organizations providing social services. The School Community Council advises, plans, and assists with matters related to the school-home compact, homework, open houses, parent-teacher conferences, school-home communication, and parent education (including training and information about learning standards and the parents' role in supporting children's learning at home).

In setting performance goals for this project, the Leadership Team will consider the following data sources to develop a comprehensive profile of the school.

- 2007-2010 state assessment data, by grade level, population/program groups, scaled score, TPR, objective
  mastery, and student expectation using state provided data tables and DMAC; also review same data at
  middle school level
- 2. Other student achievement indicators, such as course completion rate, graduation rate, AP/IB participation and tests, ACT/SAT data, and college readiness indicators
- 3. Value-added analyses provided by SAS/EVAAS, a research-based system measuring growth of individual students based upon 7 years of TAKS scores; uses complex calculations (not straight-line projections) that result in robust measures of growth and provide projections based upon past individual student performance and mathematical correlations between content areas
- 4. Value-added analyses of teacher effectiveness provided by SAS/EVAAS in individual teacher reports as well as school-wide, district, grade level, and content area reports as well as cohort analyses and student pattern reports
- 5. Campus climate indicators, including but not limited to student attendance and discipline referral data, teacher attendance data and survey data (completed August 2010)
- 6. Findings from the School Improvement Report, an independent audit completed in October 2009 by ESC Region 6, using Indicators of School Quality criteria; this report provides a detailed analysis of observed instructional and curriculum practices of 78 teachers and parent satisfaction data
- 7. Campus improvement plan, dropout plan (submitted to the state), and district level PBMAS reports and concomitant compliance plans for Special Education and Bilingual/ESL programs.
- 8. Student engagement data from survey administered by the Institute for Research and Reform in Education; results available in August 2010

# For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

## Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus, performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

- Program effectiveness data on current reform programs initiated during the past two years to determine 9. their continuation and enhancement under this project, assessing the quality of programs in meeting the needs of all students using student performance data and cost versus benefit analysis
- 10. Data from professional development programs for administrators, teachers, and support staff to determine their success in providing the knowledge and skills required to fulfill performance expectations of their roles
- 11. Relevant research and practice guides on effective programs, extended learning, dropout recovery, increasing rigor and relevance, and implementation guides on School Improvement Grants
- 12. Data and findings from the comprehensive district and school snapshots to be completed in fall 2010
- 13. Data from the Doing What Matters reports, consisting of web-based teacher, administrator, parent, and student dashboards featuring real-time data on progress toward graduation and other pertinent indicators at the individual, teacher, campus, and district levels
- 14. Financial and personnel data, with respect to turnover rate, budget, and allocations per student

Next, the Leadership Team will review recommendations from the 4 subcommittees who are studying proposed strategies, select the most likely to succeed, and develop performance goals to measure progress toward school improvement. the performance targets must be clear, objective measures that clearly correlate to the intended improvement or outcome of the project. In addition, the performance goals will be developed in accordance with the following "rules of engagement" in mind:

- 1. Track Indicators of positive change and pinpoint school conditions that predict later failure.
- 2. Measure leading indicators (e.g., formative assessments or state data systems that allow districts to track student attendance and discipline referrals in real time).
- 3. Anticipate need to try again when rapid improvement efforts fail.
- 4. Engaging in rapid retry efforts when failure occurs, do not allow schools to languish for three to five years absent clear indicators of progress that will dramatically improve student outcomes.
- 5. Cultivate pipeline of school turnaround and turnaround leaders as well as external providers (e.g., charter management organizations and education management organizations).

Data to meet federal and state reporting requirements will be available and reported as requested. The final model selection, plan, performance targets, and budget will be submitted to TEA for approval.

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-	<u>90:</u>	3
County-Dis	trict	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve Academic Performance - Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA; to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year Z Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	TAKS (w/o TPM)	77%	80%	82%	85%
2	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	TAKS (w/o TPM)	60%	70%	72%	80%
3	Improve Student Achievement in Science	TAKS (w/o TPM)	49%	60%	70%	80%
4	Improve Student Achievement in Social Studies	TAKS (w/o TPM)	98%	98%	98%	98%

Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction + Enter the annual goals for increasing the use of quality data to drive instruction, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Percent of core teachers who have received training and/or coaching on using the data protocol tool	PD records, collaborative team meeting agendas	0%	50%	75%	100%
2	Percent of collaborative team meetings using data to make instructional decisions	Agenda, minutes, Instructional coaches' reports	DNA	75%	85%	100%
3	Number and/or percent of teachers accessing district's student performance databases	Database/web tracking mechanisms that record access	DNA	35%	45%	50%

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

092	-90	3_
ounty-D	istrict	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Leadership Effectiveness- Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of compus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ • Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year L Progresa Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Percent of SIRC meetings, trainings, and technical conferences attended by principal and turnaround official	District travel records	DNA	100%	100%	100%
2	Percent of ineffective teachers non- renewed or removed after ample opportunity given for improvement	Effectiveness measures on appraisal instrument; reports from site coordinator & instructional coaches; TAKS, assessment scores, and/or teacher value- added reports	DNA	50%	75%	90%
3	Increase in the number of teachers in leadership or decision making roles	Principal documentation, sign in sheets, site coordinator documentation	DNA	25%	25%	25%

Increase Learning Time - Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Percentage of core content classrooms with "bell to bell" teaching	Observations, walkthrough data	DNA	35%	50%	75%
2	Number of instructional minutes in core content classes	Schedule, observations	DNA	90	100	120
3	Increase in the number of extended learning time opportunities	Tutorial Instruction Plans related to TEKS/SE de- ficiencies; Student Rosters and Attendance Records; Teacher payroll records.	DNA	10%	30%	50%

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) School Years 2010-2013

092-903 County-District No.

Amendment No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement - Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Percent of decision making committees that have parent and other stakeholder representation	Committee rosters, sign in sheets	DNA	75%	100%	100%
2	Percent of parents who have been invited to be on decision making team or part of volunteer program	Parent survey	DNA	45%	55%	65%
3	Percent of parents who feel informed about behavioral and academic issues	Parent survey	DNA	56%	65%	75%

Improve School Climate - Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	l Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase attendance	PEIMS, attendance reports	95.3%	97%	98%	98%
2	Reduce number of discipline referrals	PEIMS discipline reports/425 record	1,150	800	700	500
3	Percent of teachers using positive behavior supports	Observations, self-reports	DNA Baseline Year	75%	90%	100%
4	Percent of students who are actively engaged in core content classrooms	Observations, data collection	DNA Baseline Year	45%	60%	75%

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4C--Performance Assessment and Evaluation Part 3: Annual Performance Goals Increase Teacher Quality - Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable. Year 3 Year 2 Most Recent Year 1 Assessment Progress Goal **Progress** Progress Instrument/ Year # Performance Measure Goal Performance Goal Tool Online records, sian in sheets. Percentage of core content teachers participating in jobteacher 75% 100% DNA 50% 1 embedded professional reports, coaching development reports Percentage of core teachers participating in collaborative Sign in sheets, DNA 100% 100% 75% 2 Baseline Year team meetings on a regular agendas basis Teacher Percentage of core teachers who reports, participate in leadership surveys, DNA 35% 30% 20% 3 **Baseline Year** activities or roles, and decision continuous improvement making processes reports Number of teachers pursuing 10 15 DNA 5 HR records 4 advanced degrees, certifications, or endorsements Payroll Number or percent of teachers records, site 25 15 20 11 5 who earned performance pay coordinator for value-added growth reports Other - Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable. Most Year 3 Recent Year 1 Year 2 Assessment Progres Progress Progress Performance Measure Instrument/ Year s Goal

Goal 3

Goal

Taol

Performa

1

2

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made 092-903 **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 by telephone/e-mail/FAX on Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies No Barriers **Teachers** Others Students **No Barriers** # The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and П 000 participation for any groups. . Barrier: Gender-Specific Blas **Teachers** Others Students Strategies for Gender-specific Bias Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully A01 participate Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias A02 Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender A03 Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the $\Box$ A04 effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education $\times$ Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender A05 Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and A06 responsibilities with regard to participation in the program П П A99 Other (Specify) Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity **Teachers** Others Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity Students # Provide program information/materials in home language B01 П Provide program information/materials in home language B01 Provide interpreter/translator at program activities B02 Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through B<sub>0</sub>3 a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an П П **B04** appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities $\times$ **B**05 Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse X П **B06** populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and **B07** communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance **B08** Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider П Provide parenting training **B09**

Ø

図

П

П

X

program

Provide a parent/family center

knowledge in school activities

Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making

Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and

Provide child care for parents participating in school activities

Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning

activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school

Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy

Offer computer literacy courses for parents and other program beneficiaries

**B10** 

B11

**B12** 

**B13** 

**B14** 

B15

**B16** 

Adiustme	For TEA Use Only ents and/or annotations made	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY		092-903	
on this page	have been confirmed with	Standard Application System (SAS)	C	ounty-District No.	;
	e/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013		Amendment No.	
by	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	1		
	Cahadiila # AN	Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	rs and Strate	egies :	
	Cultural Linguistic of F	conomic Diversity (cont.)			
parrier. #	Strategies for Culti	ıral, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
# B17	Conduct an outreach program	n for traditionally "hard to reach" parents			
B18	Coordinate with community				
	Seek collaboration/assistance	e from business, industry, or institution of higher			
B19	education				
B20	affects of nast discrimination	on to eliminate existing discrimination and the on the basis of race, national origin, and color			
B21	Ensure compliance with the I 1964, which prohibits discrin	requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of nination on the basis of race, national origin, and	⊠	· 🗵	
B22	Ensure students, teachers, a	nd other program beneficiaries are informed of es with regard to participation in the program		П	
B23	Provide mediation training of complaints	n a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and			
B99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier: Gang-Related Activities			24 652 572 0		
#	Strategie	s for Gang-related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
C01	Provide early intervention.		×		
C02	Provide Counseling.		☒		
C03	Conduct home visits by staff				
C04	Provide flexibility in scheduli		×		
C05		n promoting gang-free communities.			
C06	Provide mentor program.				
C07	Provide before/after school r programs/activities.	ecreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic			
C08	Provide community service	programs/activities.	×		
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conf				
C10	Strengthen school/parent co	•			
C11	Establish partnerships with		×	×	⊠ □
C12	Provide conflict resolution/po	eer mediation strategies/programs.			
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance	e from business, industry, or institution of higher			
C14	Provide training/information gang-related issues.	to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with			
C99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Drug-Related Activities				
#	Strategie	s for Drug-related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
D01	Provide early identification/i	ntervention.	⊠		
D02	Provide Counseling.		⊠		
D03	Conduct home visits by staff				
D04	Recruit volunteers to assist	in promoting drug-free schools and communities.		<u></u>	
D05	Provide mentor program.				

					<del></del> -
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with  TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)			092-903 County-District No.		
by telephone	e/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013		Amendment No.	
<u> </u>		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
S (1.5 %)	Schedule # 4D-	Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	rs and Strate	egies	
Barrier:	Drug-Related Activities	cont.)		57475 P - 3 C - 6	
D06	Provide before/after school re programs/activities	ecreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic			
D07	Provide community service p	rograms/activities			
D08	Provide comprehensive healt	n education programs.			
D09	Conduct parent/teacher confe	erences.		<u> </u>	
D10	Establish school/parent comp	acts.			
D11	Develop/maintain community	partnerships.	Ø		
D12	Provide conflict resolution/pe	er mediation strategies/programs.			
D13	Seek collaboration/assistance	e from business, industry, or institution of higher			
D14	Provide training/information	to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with	⊠		
D15	Seek Collaboration/assistance education.	e from business, industry, or institution of higher			
D99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Visual Impairments				3 _ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
#	Strategi	es for Visual Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
E01	Provide early identification as	nd intervention.	☒		
E02	Provide Program materials/in	formation in Braille.			
E03	Provide program materials/ir	formation in large type.			
E04	Provide program materials/ir	formation on tape.			
E99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Hearing Impairments			MARKET AND THE	26 to 67
#		s for Hearing Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
F01	Provide early identification a	nd intervention.	⊠		
F02	Provide interpreters at progr	am activities.	☒		
F99	Other (Specify)				
Barriers	Learning Disabilities				
#		es for Learning Disabilities	Students	Teachers	Others
G0i	Provide early identification a	nd intervention.	×		
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor prog	rams. ,			
G03	Provide staff development in strategies.	Identification practices and effective teaching	Ø	☒	
G04	Provide training for parents	n early identification and intervention.			
G99	Other (Specify)				
	Other Physical Disabilit	es or Constraints	MAN TO STANK TO	1 (20) 232	3/42/5-12/3/2
#	Strategies for Othe	er Physical Disabilities or Constraints	Students	Teachers	Others
H01	Develop and implement a pla other physical disabilities/co	an to achieve full participation by students with			
H99	Other (Specify)				

		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	c	092-903 ounty-District No.	
by telephone	e/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	ľ	Amendment No.	
by	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		Attendment No.	
N LESS (2005)		Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	rs and Strate	edies	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
4 - A-11-	Drug-Related Activities		7 (4 (4 (2 (3 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4		
	Provide before/after school re	creational, instructional, cultural, or artistic			
D06	programs/activities				
D07	Provide community service p				
D08	Provide comprehensive healt				
D09	Conduct parent/teacher confe	erences.			
D10	Establish school/parent comp				
D11	Develop/maintain community				
D12	Provide conflict resolution/pe	er mediation strategies/programs.			
D13	education	from business, industry, or institution of higher			
D14	drug-related issues.	to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with	⊠		
D15	Seek Collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education.				
D99	Other (Specify)		☒		
Barrier:	Visual Impairments		**************************************	- ·	011
#	Strategi	es for Visual Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
E01	Provide early identification a	nd Intervention.	☒		
E02	Provide Program materials/ir	formation in Braille.			
E03	Provide program materials/ir	formation in large type.	<u> </u>		
E04	Provide program materials/ir	formation on tape.			
E99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Hearing Impairments		<u> </u>		
#	Strategie	s for Hearing Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
F01	Provide early identification a	nd intervention.	×	<u> </u>	
F02	Provide interpreters at progr	am activities.	×		
F99	Other (Specify)				<u> </u>
Barrier:	Learning Disabilities				0.00
#	Strategi	es for Learning Disabilities	Students	Teachers	Others
G01	Provide early identification a	nd intervention.	☒	<u> </u>	
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor prog	rams.			
G03	strategies.	identification practices and effective teaching	⊠		
G04		n early Identification and intervention.		<u> </u>	
G99	Other (Specify)				
	Other Physical Disabilit	es or Constraints			(2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
#	Strategies for Other	er Physical Disabilities or Constraints	Students	Teachers	Others
H01	Develop and implement a plo other physical disabilities/co	an to achieve full participation by students with nstraints.		0	
Н99	Other (Specify)				

		<u> </u>			
Adjustme	For TEA Use Only ents and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	002.002			
on this page	a contract and sent sent sent sent sent sent sent sent		092-903		
	Standard Application System (SAS)	C	ounty-District No.		
by telephone	e/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013		Amendment No.	:	
by	of TEA.		7.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11		
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		inlas -	2576-2576-257	
	Schedule # 4D-Equitable Access and Participation; Barrie	is and arraid	9167	<del> </del>	
	Shortage of Qualified Personnel	Students	Teachers	Others	
#	Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel	Students		П	
NO1	Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel.				
N02	Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language minority groups.	☒	☒		
N03	Provide mentor program for new teachers.		Ø		
N04	Provide intern program for new teachers.		×		
N05	Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel.		<b>⊠</b>		
N06	Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs.		⊠		
N99	Other (Specify)				
	Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits	9.6		4.5	
#	Strategies for Lack of Knowledge regarding Program Benefits	Students	Teachers	Others	
P01	Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program activities & benefits.				
P02	Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and benefits.	$\boxtimes$		Ø	
P03	Provide announcements to local radio stations & newspapers about program activities/benefits.				
P99	Other (Specify)			☒	
	Lack of Transportation to Program Activities		被提出是沙漠区	# \$ -824 CV	
<u>*Dailicis.</u> #	Strategies for Lack of Transportation to Program Activities	Students	Teachers	Others	
Q01	Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities.				
Q02	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.				
Q03	Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood locations.				
Q04	Other (Specify)				
	Other Barrier			(1.7% ph	
#	Strategies for Other Barrier	Students	Teachers_	Others	
	Other Barrier:				
Z99	Other Strategy:		<del></del>		

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with				CATION AGENC cation System (S			092-90 County-Distric	<del></del>
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		5	School Ye	ars 2010-2013			Amendment	 No.
by of TE/		evae 7	Citle T Pr	iority Schools	Grant		7,110,1011	
			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	gram Budget.	Control Control Control Control	Σ		
Program Authority: P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), a CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A						Fun ARR	<b>d Code</b> A (CFDA# 84.38 ular (CFDA# 84.	1
P	roiect P	eriod:	August 1	, 2010 through	June 30, 2	2013		
Class/Object Code and Description			Campus Grant Costs	LEA Adr Grant Co	nin	Pre-Award Cost	Total Grant Funds Budgeted	
Payroll Costs	•	5B	6100	\$ 872,928	\$		\$	\$ 872,928
Professional and Contracted Ser	vices	5C	6200	130,000				130,000
Supplies and Materials		5D	6300	125,000				125,000
Other Operating Costs		5E	6400	184,600				184,600
Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 661 6629) (15XX for charter schools	9 and only)	5G	6600/ 15XX					
	ī	otal Dir	ect Costs					
-		% Indir	ect Costs					
Grand Total								
Total Budgeted Costs:				\$ 1,312,528	\$		\$	\$ \$ 1,312,528
			ſ					
Administrative Cost Calcu	lation							
Enter total amount from Schedu		udget S	ummary, I	Last Column, Tot	al Budgeted	Cost	5	

( % limit)

Enter Maximum Allowable for Administration, including Indirect Costs

Multiply by

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA. Budget Request by Grant Year

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

092-903 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Budget Request by Grant Year: Enter the amount of grant funds requested for each year of the three year grant period.

Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$1,312,528

Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$\$1,312,528 \*

Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$\$1,312,528 \*

\* Any Budget Request entered for funds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/campus requesting approval of the waiver for extending the period of availability of these grant funds, whether indicated on Schedule #4B—Program Description: Waiver Requests or not.

Provide any necessary explanation or clarification of budgeted costs

				<del></del>			<u> </u>
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		nd/or annotations made		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		092-903 County-District No.	
	ephone/e-n		School Years 2010-2013			Amendment No.	
by		of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools	Grant			
73.22	- N 8 7 7 65		Schedule #5B—Payroll Costs (6	1005	YU 15 C		
*		en a vesta de la companya de la comp			2 2 2 2 2 2		1476-248
		loyee Position Titles	Justification	#Full- Time Effort	#Part- Time Effort	Pre-Award	Amount Budgeted
Inst	ruction					\$	\$
1	Teacher				<b></b>	3	-
2	Education	nal Alde					
3	Tutor	agement and Administration	on a second		o de la companya de	Modelle Syntally	
<b>4</b>	Project D	irector	Turnaround official	X			100,000
5		Coordinator	To manage the activities of the project	×			60,000
6	Teacher	Facilitator	Instructional coaches	X		<u></u>	240,000
7		Supervisor		<u> </u>			<del> </del>
8	Secretar	y/Administrative Assistant				<u> </u>	
9	Data Ent	ry Clerk		. <del> </del>		<u> </u>	
10	Grant Ac	countant/Bookkeeper					
11	<u>Evaluato</u>	r/Evaluation Specialist		- 			
	llary						
12 13	Counseld Social W		To provide assistance to families and social emotional learning				60,000
14	Child Car	re Provider					<u> </u>
15	Commun	nity Liaison/Parent Coordinator	-				
16	Bus Driv						
17	Cafeteria						<u> </u>
18	Librarlan				ļ		
19	School N	lurse			etiman version		
Othe	er Employ	vee Positions		a de la competit		<u> </u>	and the second second
22	Title:			<del> </del>	ļ		<del> </del>
23	Title:			<del> </del>			<del>                                     </del>
24	Title:				·		
25_	Title:						-
26				otal Employ	yee Costs	\$ 70870)-150 (1701)-150	\$ 460,000
Sub	stitute, E	xtra-Duty, Benefits				ा देशके कर का का का का किस्ता है। Г∡	
27	6112	Substitute Pay				\$	\$ 104.400
28	6119	Professional Staff Extra-Du	ty Pay for teaming and extended learning	]			194,400
29		Performance incentives					125,000
20	6140	Employee Benefits 12%					93,528

\$ 872,928

Subtotal Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits Costs

Grand Total Payroll Budget (line 26 + line 31)

31

32

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 092-903 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval Total Amount **Expense Item Description** Pre-Award Budgeted Audit Costs (other than audits required under OMB Circular A-133) \$ 6212 Specify burgese Rental or Lease of Buildings, Space in Buildings, or Land 6269 Specify: purpose and provide calculations Contracted Publication and Printing Costs (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) 6299 Specify purposet Scholarships and Fellowships (not allowed for nonprofit charter schools) 6299 Specify purposes Subtotal 6200 - Professional and Contracted Services Cost Requiring Specific Approval Professional and Consulting Services (6219/6239) Less than \$10,000 Total Total Amount Pre-Award Topic/Purpose/Service Contracted # Amount **Budgeted** \$ 1, 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Subtotal Professional and Consulting Services Less than \$10,000 | \$ Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 1. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): SIRC/technical assistance/PBS/student supports/conferences Total Total Contracted Pre-Award Amount Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Budgeted Amount

\$ 70,000

\$ 70,000

\$ 70,000

Total Payment: \$ 70,000

Tiles

Contractor's Payroll Costs

Supplies and Materials
Other Operating Costs

Indirect Cost (

Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)

Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services

For TEA Adjustments and/ on this page have be	or annotations made en confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)			092-903 County-District No.		
by telephone/e-mail/		School Years 2010		Amendment No	- 1		
by	of TEA.	Town Title T Brigains Co	hoole Cront	<u> </u>	Amenument No	-	
		Texas Title I Priority So Professional and Contracted Se	micae Coete Da	uleina Snecifi	c Annroval (	cont Name	
Professional and	Consulting Service	s (6219) Greater than or Equal t	o \$10.000 (con	:.)			
2. Description of	Professional or Co	nsulting Service (Topic/Purpose,	/Service):				
ESC Region 6 and	ESC Region 7/CScope	curriculum/support/coaching/align	nent		T	7-4-1	
Contractor's Cos	t Breakdown of Ser	vice to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
	Contractor's Payroll (	Costs		<b>\$</b> 30,000	\$	\$ 30,000	
	Tifle: (*)			+,	<u> </u>	-	
		acts, Subcontracted Services					
4	Supplies and Materia						
	Other Operating Cost Capital Outlay (Subg						
1	Indirect Cost (	%)					
	Tridirect Coot (		otal Payment:	\$ 30,000	\$	\$ 30,000	
3. Description of	Professional or Co	nsulting Service (Topic/Purpose,	Service):	<u> </u>			
Institute of Resear	ch and Reform in Edu	cation/support and technical assista	nce in data-driver	instruction			
Contractor's Cos	Breakdown of Serv	lice to be Provided		Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
				<b>\$</b> 10,000	\$	10,000	
	<i>(1)</i> (1) (1)						
	\$ 30,000						
	Capital Outlay (Subg	rants Only)					
	Indirect Cost (	_%)				+ 40.000	
			otal Payment:	\$ 10,000	<u> </u>	\$ 10,000	
4. Description of	Professional or Co	nsulting Service (Topic/Purpose,	Service):			İ	
Mizuni. Inc. /dat.da	schhoards/data wareh	ousing, integration zone					
	t Breakdown of Ser		# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
	Contractor's Payroll (	Costs		\$ 20,000	\$	20,000	
	Subgrants, Subcontr	acts, Subcontracted Services					
	Supplies and Materia	ls					
	Other Operating Cos	[S					
	Capital Outlay (Subg		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	
	Indirect Cost (	_%)	otal Payment:	\$	\$	\$	
	***		"	\$ 20,000	\$	20,000	
Subtotal: Profes	sional and Consultii	ng Services Greater Than or Equa	1 (O \$10,000;	ap ∠υ,∪∪υ	₩		
					T T		
		ontracted Services Costs Requiring S			ļ	-	
Subtot	al of Professional and	Consulting Services or Subgrants Le	ss than \$10,000:				
Subtota	of Professional and (	Consulting Services Greater than or E	qual to \$10,000:				
		tracted Services that do not require					
			<b>Grand Total:</b>				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		092-903 County-District No.	
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013		Amendment No.			
<u> </u>		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
10.8.E.4	Schedule #5D - Item	zed 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs Requi	ring Speci	fie Approval	M 2 4 4 5 1
		Expense Item Description		Pre-Award	Total Budgeted
	Technology Hardware- Not Ca	pitalized			
	# Type	Purpose	Quantity		
	1			\$	\$
6399	2			Ψ	7
	<u> </u>				
	5				
6399	Technology Software- Not Cap	italized			
6399	Supplies and Materials Associa	ted with Advisory Council or Committee			
11					
		Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specifi			
	Remaining 6300	<ul> <li>Supplies and Materials that do not require specifical</li> </ul>			<u> </u>
***		G	rand Total	<b>1</b> \$	\$

on this nade have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	C	092-903 ounty-District No	).
by telepi	none/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013		Ámendment No.	
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	everal entre and december		
	Schedule #5E - Itemi:	ted 6400 Other Operating Costs Requiring	Specific A	pprovai	
<b>-</b>	Ехре	nse Item Description		Pre-Award	Total Budgeted
C 4 1 3	Out of State Travel for Employees (			s	\$ 95,000
6411	Specify purpose: Visioning Instit	ute, IB training		·	
	Travel for Students (includes regist	ration fees; does not include field trips) (specific app	roval		40 600
6412	required only for nonprofit charter		48,600		
	Specify purpose:				
6413		ic approval required only for nonprofit charter school	15)		
	Specify purpose:	the time of the state of the st	fio.		
	Travel for Non-Employees (includes	registration fees; does not include field trips) (spec	inc		
6419	approval required only for nonprofit Specify purpose:	Charter schools)			
6411/	Traval Costs for Evacutive Director	(6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members	(6419)		
6411/	(includes registration fees)	(0411), Superintendents (0111), or source remotion	(3.125)		
0415	Specify purpose:			_	
6429	Actual losses which could have bee	covered by permissible insurance			
6490	Indemnification Compensation for L				
		or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee o	n		
6490	Schedule #4B-Program Description	: Project Management)			
	Membership Dues in Civic or Comm	unity Organizations (Not allowable for University app	olicants)		
	Specify name and purpose of organ	ization:			
6499	Publication and Printing Costs- if re	imbursed (specific approval required only for nonpro	fit charter		
	schools)				
	Specify purpose:				
					4 40 600
		Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific			143,600
	Remaining 6400 - Ot	her Operating Costs that do not require specific	approval:		41,000
			and Total	Ś	\$

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		092-903 County-District No.		la de la companya de				
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010	School Years 2010-2013							
by of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority S	chools Grant		Amendment No.					
Schedule #5G - Itemize	Schedule #5G - Itemized 6600/15XX Capital Outlay- Capitalized Assets Regardless of Unit Cost (15XX is for use by Charter Schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization)								
	on/Purpose	Unit Cost	Quantity	Pre-Award	Total Budgeted				
6699/15XX- Library Books and Me		( library)	ing spinish visit		3 2 30				
66XX/15XX- Technology Hardward	3 - Capitalizeo				(1.01.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.				
3									
4									
5 6									
7									
8			· <u>·</u>						
9 10									
11									
66XX/15XX- Technology Software	- Capitalized		<u> </u>						
12									
14									
15									
16 17									
18									
66XX/15XX- Equipment and Furni	ture								
19									
21									
22									
23 24									
25									
26									
27									
28   Capital expenditures for improven life.	rents to land, buildings, or equip	ment which mater	ially increas	e their value o	r useful				
29									
Grand Total									
	Total 660	0/15XX- Capital C	outlay Costs:						
·									
			·						

#### SCHEDULE #6A

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

- A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances.
  - Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (including but not limited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
  - Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
  - Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
  - Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
  - Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part;
  - Applicant means the same as Contractor;
  - SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
  - "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant including the schedules contained in the application and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
  - Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the
    original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
  - Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and.
  - Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
  - Grant means the same as Contract;
  - Grantee means the same as Contractor;
  - Grantor means the same as Agency; and
  - DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- B. Contingency: This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- Contractor's Application: Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- **D.** Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances: Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

#### SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document: Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- F. State of Texas Laws: In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G. Monitoring**: Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, fails or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not limited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.
- J. Indemnification:
  - For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.
  - For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.
- K. Encumbrances/Obligations and Liquidations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. The contractor must liquidate (record as an expenditure) all obligations (encumbrances) incurred under the contract no later than 30 days after the ending date of the contract, to coincide with the submission of the final expenditure report, due 30 days after the ending date of the contract. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

#### SCHEDULE #6A ~ cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that compiles with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Texas Education Agency (34 CFR 74.21; 34 CFR 80.20; TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.
  - Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.
- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

#### SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

# **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Contractor warrants that (i) it has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) it has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

- S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.
  - Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.
- T. Subcontracting: Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- Use of Consultants: Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- W. Agency Property (terms): In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions: The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Fallure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges** that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or program-specific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A nonprofit organization or university/college grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- **BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs:** Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
  - Americans With Disabilities Act, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
  - 2. **Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
  - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational institution:
  - Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

092-903 County-District No.

# **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- 5. the **Age Discrimination Act of 1975**, as amended (prohibition of discrimination on basis of age), and any regulations issued thereunder, including the provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110:
- the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended (ensures access to educational records for students and parents while protecting the privacy of such records), and any regulations issued thereunder, including Privacy Rights of Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contractor is an educational institution (20 USC,1232g);
- 7. Section 509 of H.R. 5233 as incorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99-591 (prohibition against the use of federal grant funds to influence legislation pending before Congress);
- 8. Pro-Children Act of 2001, which states that no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elementary, or secondary education or library services to children [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility (or portion of such a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of regular or routine health care or day care or early childhood development (Head Start) services [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(b)(1)]. Any failure to comply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered to be a violation of this Act and any person subject to such prohibition who commits such violation may be liable to the United States for a civil penalty, as determined by the Secretary of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)].
- Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), and Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 USC 327 et seq.), as applicable, and their implementing regulations in 29 CFR 500-899, 29 CFR Parts 1,3,5, and 7, and 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively.
- 10. Buy America Act: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.1-.2).
- 11. P.L. 103-227, Title X, Miscellaneous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate America Act; P.L. 103-382, Title XIV, General Provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; and General Education Provisions Act, as amended.
- 12. Prohibition of Text Messaging and E-mailing while Driving during Official Federal Grant Business:

  Personnel funded from federal grants and their subcontractors and subgrantees are prohibited from text messaging while driving an organization-owned vehicle, or while driving their own privately owned vehicle during official grant business, or from using organization-supplied electronic equipment to text message or e-mail while driving. Recipients must comply with these conditions under Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership On Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," October 1, 2009 (pursuant to provisions attached to federal grants funded by the U.S. Department of Education).

## CC. Federal Regulations Applicable to All Federal Programs:

- For Local Educational Agencies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- For Education Service Centers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 3. For Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-21 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- For Nonprofit Organizations: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-122 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 5. For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); and
- 6. For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31.

# DD. General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, <u>Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or Administered Through or By the U. S. Department of Education</u>:

1. Participation in Planning: Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- 2. Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 3. Sharing of Information: Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. **Prohibition of Funds for Busing**: The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. **Direct Financial Benefit:** Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **Payment for Services:** Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- FF. Family Code Applicability: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG.** Interpretation: In the case of conflicts arising in the interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- **HH.** Registered Lobbyists: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- **JJ. Social Security Numbers:** Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- KK. Student-identifying Information: Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk will be notified in writing by TEA of the special conditions imposed and the process for removing the high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially falls to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially non-compliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

SAS-A114-10

#### **SCHEDULE #6 B**

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11.

## Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.
- "Participant" Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"— Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except: foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- 1. By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was
  entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous
  certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this
  transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- 3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", "person", "primary covered transaction", "principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

# Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount

092-903

County-District No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled **Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions**, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eliqibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

#### Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency

(04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

#### SCHEDULE #6C.

**Lobbying Certification** 

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

## Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federally funded grants greater than \$100,000.

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008
As amended by the Texas Education Agency

11/89 03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

## SCHEDULE #6D -

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

# **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities for lobbying services procured (pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352). This disclosure form is required for any federal grant/contract received in excess of \$100,000 and on any subgrant/subcontract made by the grantee/contractor. (Read the instructions for this schedule for further information.)

Do not sign and submit this disclose	ure form unless lobbying	g activities are	being disclosed.	
Federal Program:				
Name:				
1. Type of Federal Action	on 2. Status of Federal Action:		3. Report Type:	
a. Contract b. Grant	I I n initial awa		a. Initial filing b. Material change	
			For Material Change Only:	
			Year:	
			Quarter: Date of last Report:	
		If Reporting Entity in No. 4 is Subawardee, Enter Name and Address of Prime:		
Subawardee	Subawardee		Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701	
Tier (if known):		•		
Congressional District (if known):		Congressional District (if known): 21		
6. Federal Department/Agency:		7. Federal Program Name/Description:		
,		CFDA Number, if applicable:		
8. Federal Action Number, if known:		9. Award Amount, if known: \$		
10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant (if individual, last name, first name, MI):		10. b. Individuals Performing Services (including address if different from No. 10a; last name, first name, MI):		
(Attach Continuation Sheet(s), if necessary)				
[ITEMS 11-15 REMOVED]				
16. Information requested through this form is authorized by Title 31 U.S.C. Section 1352. This disclosure of lobbying activities is a mat representation of fact upon which reliance was placed by the tier a when this transaction was made or entered into. This disclosure is required pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352. This information will be report to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who fails to file the required disclosure she subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more the \$100,000 for each such failure.		Signature:		
		Name:		
		Telephone#	Date:	
Federal Use Only: Standard Form				

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

## **Standard Application System**

## School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- A. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- **B.** The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- C. The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- D. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- **E.** The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- F. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- G. The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- H. The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- I. Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. Gun-Free Schools Act: The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which requires expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- Consolidation of Administrative Funds: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U.S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that fails to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. General Prohibitions: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choice Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).
- U. Assurances related to the education of homeless children and youths:
  - (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
  - (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
  - (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
  - (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
  - (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. **Definitions**: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
  - 1. Charter School: An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
    - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and control;
    - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
    - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
    - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction;
    - (E) does not charge tuition;
    - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
    - (G) is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;
    - (H) agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically waived for the purpose of this program;
    - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
    - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
    - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
  - Community-Based Organization: A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is
    representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services
    to individuals in the community.
  - 3. Core Academic Subjects: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

# SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS &

ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

## 4. Highly Qualified:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that-
  - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
  - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
  - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
    - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
    - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
  - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by-
    - (I) passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
    - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and-
  - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
  - (ii) demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that—
    - (I) is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
    - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
    - (III) provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches;
    - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
    - (V) takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
    - (VI) is made available to the public upon request; and
    - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. Parental Involvement: The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
  - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
  - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
  - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
  - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

## 6. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills;
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- (i) strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act:
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
  - involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
  - (ii) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
  - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

#### 7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and
- (B) includes research that:
  - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
  - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
  - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

## **Standard Application System**

#### School Years 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.
- 8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-
  - (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
    - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that—
      - (I) Involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
      - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
      - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1% - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

#### Federal Statutory Requirements

- 1) The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- 5) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 5) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- 10) The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 13) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal regularements.
- 14) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following federal requirements.
  - a. Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
  - b. Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
    - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
    - 2. Select new staff.
  - Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
  - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies:
  - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one

grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;

Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;

Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and

Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.

If the LEA/campus selects to implement the turnaround model, the campus may implement the following federal 18) requirements.

Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or

2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).

- If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school closure model, the campus must implement the following 19) requirement.
  - Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within a. reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.

A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding. b.

- If the LEA/campus selects to implement the restart model, the campus must implement the following federal 20) requirements.
  - Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization a. (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.

Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.

If the LEA/campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus must implement the following federal 21) requirements.

Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.

Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;

Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--(B)

Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and

Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;

- Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, (C) have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
- Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding (D) subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and

Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.

2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.

- Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
- Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, Interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

individual students.

- 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
  - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
  - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
  - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
- 22) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
  - (A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
  - (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
  - (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.
- 23) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
  - (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
  - (B) Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;
  - Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
  - (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
  - (E) In secondary schools--
    - (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
    - (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies,
    - (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, reengagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
    - (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 24) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment: or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-
  - (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
  - (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

#### Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 2) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

#### **TEA Program Assurances**

- 1. Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the <u>Quarterly Implementation Reports</u>, the <u>Model Selection and Description Report</u>, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: <a href="http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu\_id=798">http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu\_id=798</a>
  - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
    - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
    - ii. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
    - ili. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
    - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
    - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- 3) The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Model, the applicant must participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors.
- 5) The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students.
- The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models.
- 7) The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors.
- 8) The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved list of CMO and EMO providers.
- 9) The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.
- 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus assures that it will it implement the following federal requirements.
  - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
    - A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training.
    - B.Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
    - C.Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
  - D.Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals:
    - 1.Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
    - 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement
  - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
    - Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
    - B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
  - 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
    - A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
    - B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
  - 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
    - A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
    - B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
  - 11) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
    - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
    - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
    - C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- 12) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
  - A. Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
  - B. Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model;
  - C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
  - D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
  - E. In secondary schools---
    - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
    - 2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies:
    - 3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
    - 4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 13) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--
  - A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs:
  - B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
  - C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
  - D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 14) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as—
  - A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
  - B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
- 15) The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested.
  - a. Number of minutes within the school year.
  - b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
  - Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
  - d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
  - e. Teacher Attendance Rate
  - f. Student Completion Rate
  - g. Student Drop-Out Rate
  - h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
  - Types of support offered to teachers
  - j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
  - k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
  - I. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
  - m. Strategies which increase student learning time

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

## Terms defined:

RECIPIENT – The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS – The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

**A. One-Time Funding:** Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.

**B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations:** Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances.
- **D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes:** The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.
- **E. DUNS Number:** All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA <a href="http://www.FederalReporting.gov/">http://www.FederalReporting.gov/</a>. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).
- **F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at <a href="http://www.ccr.gov">http://www.ccr.gov</a>. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.
- **G. ARRA Reporting Requirements:** The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

 Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- 3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.
- 4. Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (http://www.FederalReporting.gov). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

# For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- i. The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- I. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- b. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

## **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

5. Posting the Information on Public Website: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

- H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted. Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.
- **I. Availability of Records:** The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.
- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. Reviews by Inspector General: The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board: Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the <a href="https://www.recovery.gov">www.recovery.gov</a> website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

- 6. Recovery Independent Advisory Panel: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.
- **J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct:** Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.
- **K. Protection for Whistleblowers**: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; or (5) a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract), or grant, awarded or issued relating to ARRA funds. A person who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal may submit a complaint regarding the reprisal to the inspector general for the appropriate federal agency (in most cases, the U.S. Department of Education).
- L. Use of Funds: The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:
- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is
  not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB
  consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is
  provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB
  consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were
  created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 2. **Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program.** ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. Special Contracting Provisions: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the <a href="https://www.recovery.gov">www.recovery.gov</a> website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

# **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

092-903

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited: ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- 6. Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods: If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics—Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act: Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).
- M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:
  Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule