

# Texas Summative and Interim Assessments

HOUSE PUBLIC EDUCATION COMMITTEE

**JANUARY 30, 2019** 





### **Assessment Systems**



2 Performance Tasks can be used for both Formative and Classroom Summative Assessments



### State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) Development Overview



### Spring 2012

The STAAR program was implemented for the following grades/subjects and courses:



- Reading and mathematics (Grades 3–8)
- Writing (Grades 4 and 7)
- Science (Grades 5 and 8)
- Social studies (Grade 8)
- English I, English II, Algebra I, biology and U.S history (End-of-Course)



### Spring 2016

STAAR English III and Algebra II were made available for districts to administer as optional assessments.

### **TEAC** Texas Education Agency 2018–2019 Operational Assessment Administration Timeline









## **Example: Grade 3 Mathematics**

### **Standard Being Assessed**



(4) (G) Use strategies and algorithms, including the standard algorithm, to **multiply a two-digit number by a one-digit number**. Strategies may include mental math, partial products, and the commutative, associative, and distributive properties.

### **Assessment Item**



A baseball league bought 9 boxes of baseballs. Each box contained 36 baseballs. How many baseballs did the league buy?

**A** 324 **B** 274 **C** 84 **D** 34



## **Example: Grade 3 Reading Language Arts**

Standard	Passage	Assessment Item
(6)	Pants by Mordicai Gerstein	When the speaker of the
Reading/Comprehension		poem says "you," he is
of Literary Text/Poetry.	We go everywhere together.	talking to —
Students understand,	You carry my treasures for me.	A his pants
make inferences and draw	5 When I find grass on your knees and	<b>B</b> his dryer
conclusions about the	sand in your pockets, I know where I've been.	<b>C</b> the grass
structure and elements of	We go everywhere together except the	<b>D</b> the ocean
poetry and provide	10 washing machine.	
evidence from text to	"Don't let them put me in there!"	
support their	you beg.	
understanding.	15"Or at least come with me!"	
	But all I can do is watch you go round and	
	round in the little window, tumbling in the	
	20 suds, like me when I'm caught in an ocean	
	wave.	



### **STAAR Report Card**





#### John Smith

Campus: 042 YAHOO M S Class Group: SEC E FIVE FIVE Report Date: JUNE 2018 Date of Testing: MAY 2018

Reading

Expected Progress
Your Child Showed Expected Progress
For 1 Year of Instruction
For 1 Year of Instruction
For 1 Year of Instruction





#### Your Child's Reading Measure History (Lexile® Measure)

The Lexile measure indicates the difficulty of the materials that your child can read successfully.



Learn More about Your Child's Lexile Level For more information about your child's reading level history, including an interactive Lexile Growth Planner<sup>M</sup> and a Find A Book Tool, visit: <u>WWW.lexiasaissessiment.com/lexile</u>.

1 Co to www. 2 Find your unique access code 3 Enter the code and click on the button to log in and learn more!

http://texasassessment.com/families/understanding-the-test-score/



### **Teacher Institutes**

- Each summer (2017 and 2018), approximately 200 Texas teachers participated in STAAR item development.
- Teacher Institutes will continue to occur each summer to provide input about the assessment program and improve Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) fluency in practice.

### **Writing**

- In 2017, educators were invited to participate in meetings to identify representative responses for each score for STAAR writing prompts.
- ➢ In the 2017−2018 school year, about 900 teachers participated in the Texas Writing Pilot.

### **External Item Review Educator Committees**

- > Annually, approximately **500 educators review prospective items** prior to field testing.
- Although some current Texas teachers write items for the vendor, TEA continues to explore ways to include more educators in item writing.

### **TEXAS Commission on Next Generation Assessments and** Accountability Recommendations (Sep. 2016)

1. Implement an individualized, integrated system of Texas designed state assessments using computerized-adaptive testing and instruction aligned with the state's curriculum framework.

> TEA has made available free, online interim assessments.

- 2. Allow the commissioner of education to approve locally developed writing assessments.
  - The Texas Writing Pilot has been implemented. Preliminary feedback on instructional impact is promising. An appropriation would be required to explore more fully.
- 3. Support the continued streamlining of the TEKS.
  - The State Board of Education (SBOE) has streamlined mathematics, science, and reading language arts standards and adopted streamlined social studies standards in November 2018.



### **Multiple Test Sections**

- > Allows for fewer questions in a section
- > Provides stronger alignment to regular classroom instructional experiences
- Accessible to all students with clear breaks
- Allows for continued instruction during test window
- Allows for differentiation by section so that subtests, such as decoding, can support improved accuracy for students with dyslexia and other learning disabilities

### **Flexible Scheduling**

- Can be given over multiple days
- > Allows schools and districts to schedule each section to fit unique school schedules
- Includes an open test window over two-three weeks
- > No content specific assigned test dates



## **Assessment Costs (Per Test)**



- 2017–2018 ETS contract cost :
- Total STAAR tests administered:
- Average cost per test administered:
- 2017–2018 Pearson contract cost :
- Total STAAR Alt 2 and TELPAS tests:
- Average cost per test administered:

\$92,973,976 10,361,006 **\$8.97** \$15,554,613 2,520,718

\$6.17

TheACT	SAT
	SAI

- 2017–2018 ACT cost per test with writing:
- 2017–2018 ACT cost per test, no writing:
- 2018–2019 SAT cost per test with essay:
- 2018–2019 SAT cost per test, no essay:
- MAP cost per test:

\$62.50 \$46.00 \$64.50 \$47.50 \$13.50



## **Assessment Costs (Total)**

Program	2016	2017	2018	2019
STAAR	\$68,018,213	\$78,604,369	\$92,973,976	\$83,534,982
STAAR Alternate 2	\$3,665,163	\$3,671,074	\$5,784,583	\$6,544,619*
TELPAS	\$7,119,603	\$8,233,618	\$9,522,347	\$8,789,089*
TAKS	\$2,758,774	\$2,498,995	\$247,683	\$47,750*
TOTAL	\$81,561,753	\$93,008,056	\$108,528,589	\$98,916,440*

\*Pending amendment



# Appendix



## **Assessment Development Life Cycle**





## **Interim Assessment Tool**



ALIGNED: The Interim Assessments are a TEA-provided tool that align to the TEKS and the STAAR program.

FREE: The tool is available to public school districts and charter schools for free.

ACTIONABLE: The tool provides actionable data, allowing ALL students to demonstrate academic improvement.



## **Uses of the Interim Assessment Tool**



- Identifies gaps in the mastery of knowledge and skills, especially for students who are below grade level but working their way toward grade level.
- Monitors academic progress across a school year.
- Predicts STAAR performance.



## HB 1164 (84<sup>th</sup>): Writing Pilot

- The HB 1164 (84<sup>th</sup> Legislature) writing pilot concluded at the end of the 2017–2018 school year.
- TEA is exploring options to extend the writing pilot work. However, a statewide rollout would require additional state funds.
- TEA is exploring options to include automated scoring of writing samples to ensure minimum validity and reliability in scoring.

#### 2017–2018 Participation

ESC Regions	16
Districts/Charters	67
Teachers	900
Students	30,410



### **Possible Changes to Blueprint Requiring Statutory Authorization**

Subject	Grade 3		Total Time	Total Items	
Subject	Subtest 1	Subtest 2	Subtest 3		Total Items
Reading Language Arts	75 minutes 1 prompt	35 minutes 19 items	35 minutes 15 items / 1 edit task (5 edits)	145 minutes	34 MC 2 CR
Math	55 minutes 10 items + performance task	20 minutes 10 items	20 minutes 11 items	95 minutes	31 MC 1 CR

Grades 4 and 5 Subject		Total Time	Total Items		
Subject	Subtest 1	Subtest 2	Subtest 3		Iotal Items
Reading Language Arts	75 minutes 1 prompt	35 minutes 19 items	35 minutes 15 items / 1 edit task (5 edits)	145 minutes	34 MC 2 CR
Math	55 minutes Gr4: 10 items + performance task Gr5: 12 items + performance task	20 minutes Gr4: 10 items Gr5: 12 items	20 minutes Gr4: 10 items Gr5: 12 items	95 minutes	30 MC + 1 CR 36 MC + 1 CR
Science Grade 5		40 minutes 25 items	38 minutes 24 items	78 minutes	49 MC



## **Possible Changes to Blueprint Requiring Statutory Authorization**

Subject	Grades 6, 7, and 8			Total Time	Total Items
Subject	Subtest 1	Subtest 2	Subtest 3	Iotal Ilme	Iotal items
Reading Language Arts	90 minutes 1 prompt	35 minutes 19 items	35 minutes 15 items / 1 edit task (5 edits)	160 minutes	34 MC 2 CR
Math	60 minutes Gr6: 12 items + performance task Gr7: 12 items + performance task Gr8: 12 items + performance task	25 minutes Gr6: 10 items Gr7: 12 items Gr8: 12 items	25 minutes Gr6: 10 items Gr7: 12 items Gr8: 12 items	110 minutes	32 MC + 1 CR 36 MC + 1 CR 36 MC + 1 CR
Science Grade 8		48 minutes 35 items	47 minutes 34 items	95 minutes	69 MC
Social Studies Grade 8	30 minutes 1 extended response item	45 minutes 25 items	45 minutes 25 items	120 minutes	50 MC 1 CR



## **Possible Changes to Blueprint Requiring Statutory Authorization**

Course	Subtest 1	Subtest 2	Subtest 3	Total Time	Total Items
English I	90 minutes	40 minutes	45 minutes	175 minutes	34 MC
	1 prompt	17 items	17 items / 1 edit task (7 edits)		2 CR
English II	90 minutes	40 minutes	45 minutes	175 minutes	34 MC
	1 prompt	17 items	17 items / 1 edit task (7 edits)	175 minutes	2 CR
English III	90 minutes	40 minutes	45 minutes	175 minutes	34 MC
	1 prompt	17 items	17 items / 1 edit task (7 edits)	175 minutes	2 CR
Algebra I	45 minutes	30 minutes	30 minutes	105 minutes	30 MC
AIgebiai	13 items	9 items	8 items	105 minutes	
Algebra II	45 minutes	30 minutes	30 minutes	105 minutes	39 MC
Algebra II	16 items	11 items	12 items	105 minutes	55 IVIC
Pielesy		45 minutes	45 minutes	90 minutes	
Biology		25 items	25 items	50 minutes	50 MC
U.S.	60 minutes	45 minutes	45 minutes	150 minutes	50 MC
History	1 extended response item	25 items	25 items	150 minutes	1 CR



## **Number of Student Expectations**

Reading Language Arts				
	Current	Streamlined		
Grade 3	80	65		
Grade 4	68	63		
Grade 5	78	63		
Grade 6	75	64		
Grade 7	73	63		
Grade 8	73	64		
English I	72	62		
English II	73	62		

Science				
	Prior	Streamlined		
Grade 5	38	32		
Grade 8	40	37		
Biology	58	51		

Mathematics		
	Streamlined	
Grade 3	52	
Grade 4	53	
Grade 5	46	
Grade 6	59	
Grade 7	50	
Grade 8	52	
Algebra I	56	

Social Studies	
Current	
Grade 8	110
U.S. History	130

\* Currently being streamlined for adoption November 2018



### Number of Student Expectations All Grades

	Reading Language Arts									
	Prior	Streamlined	Readiness	Supporting						
Kindergarten	73	56	N/A	N/A						
Grade 1	85	60	N/A	N/A						
Grade 2	76	62	N/A	N/A						
Grade 3	80	65	12	11						
Grade 4	68	63	R - 13 W - 11	R - 14 W - 25						
Grade 5	78	63	15	19						
Grade 6	75	64	13	21						
Grade 7	73	63	R - 14 W - 11	R - 20 W - 18						
Grade 8	73	64	13	21						
English I	72	62	20	37						
English II	73	62	20	39						
English III	70	63	21	32						
English IV	69	63	N/A	N/A						

Mathematics									
	Current	Readiness	Supporting						
Kindergarten	36	N/A	N/A						
Grade 1	50	N/A	N/A						
Grade 2	50	N/A	N/A						
Grade 3	52	13	31						
Grade 4	53	13	28						
Grade 5	46	12	24						
Grade 6	59	16	35						
Grade 7	50	13	25						
Grade 8	52	13	27						
Algebra I	56	16	33						
Geometry	49	N/A	N/A						
Algebra II	55	16	32						

Note: Readiness and supporting standards reflect assessment of current RLA standards



Kindergarten	31	30	N/A	N/A
Grade 1	33	32	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	35	31	N/A	6
Grade 4	32	29	N/A	5
Biology	58	51	16	19
IPC	40	42	N/A	N/A
Chemistry	61	58	N/A	N/A
Physics	51	41	N/A	N/A

Notes: The science grade 5 assessment measures standards for grades 3, 4, and 5 The science grade 8 assessment measures standards for grades 6, 7, and 8

Kindergarten	38	N/A	N/A
Grade 1	51	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	59	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	80	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	81	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	82	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	81	N/A	N/A
World History	123	N/A	N/A
World	67	N/A	N/A
Geography			
U.S. History	130	43	66
U.S. Government	80	N/A	N/A
Economics	82	N/A	N/A

\* Currently being streamlined for adoption November 2018



## **Cognitive Complexity of STAAR**

Using the Depth of Knowledge (DOK) definitions below, independent analysts rated the cognitive complexity associated with each STAAR item on the 2016 tests.

### Recall (Level 1)

Students are required to recall a fact, definition, procedure, or piece of information.

### **Basic Application (Level 2)**

Students are required to use a skill or concept.

### Strategic Thinking (Level 3)

> Students are required to demonstrate deep content knowledge and engage in abstract thinking.

### **Extended Thinking (Level 4)**

Students are required to demonstrate complex reasoning processes, higher-order thinking, and deep conceptual understanding.



## Depth of Knowledge Mathematics and Science

Mathematics	Total Number of Items	DOK 1 (Recall)		DOK 2 (Basic Application)		DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)		DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)	
Grade 3	46	22	48%	24	52%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 4	48	20	42%	28	58%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 5	50	16	32%	34	68%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 6	52	26	50%	26	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 7	54	13	24%	41	76%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 8	56	20	36%	36	64%	0	0%	0	0%
Algebra I	54	23	43%	31	57%	0	0%	0	0%

Science	Total Number of Items	DOK 1 (Recall)		DOK 2 (Basic Application)		DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)		DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)	
Grade 5	44	13	30%	31	70%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 8	54	12	22%	42	78%	0	0%	0	0%
Biology	54	17	37%	37	69%	0	0%	0	0%



## Depth of Knowledge State Comparison

Mathematics	DOK 1 (Recall)	DOK 2 (Basic Application)	DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)	DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)
Texas Grade 4	42%	58%	0%	0%
Florida Grade 5	27%	44%	29%	0%
Massachusetts Grade 4	40%	58%	2%	0%
Texas Grade 8	36%	64%	0%	0%
Florida Grade 7	25%	58%	17%	0%
Massachusetts Grade 8	40%	46%	14%	0%



## Depth of Knowledge Reading Language Arts

Reading Language Arts	Total Number of Items	DOK 1 (Recall)		DOK 2 (Basic Application)		DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)		DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)	
Grade 3 Reading	40	5	13%	35	87%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 4 Reading	44	4	9%	36	82%	4	9%	0	0%
Grade 5 Reading	46	2	4%	39	85%	5	11%	0	0%
Grade 6 Reading	48	0	0%	48	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 7 Reading	50	1	2%	49	98%	0	0%	0	0%
Grade 8 Reading	52	0	0%	47	90%	5	10%	0	0%
English I	53	1	2%	46	87%	6	11%	0	0%
English II	58	0	0%	53	91%	5	9%	0	0%



## Depth of Knowledge State Comparison

Reading Language Arts	DOK 1 (Recall)	DOK 2 (Basic Application)	DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)	DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)
Texas Grade 4	9%	82%	9%	0%
Florida Grade 3	0%	75%	25%	0%
Massachusetts Grade 4	9%	64%	27%	0%
Texas Grade 8	0%	90%	10%	0%
Florida Grade 8	6%	61%	33%	0%
Massachusetts Grade 8	<5%	59%	34%	3%



## Nebraska Depth of Knowledge (DOK)

Reading and ELA	Total Number of Items	DO (Red	K 1 call)	DO (Basic Ap	K 2 plication)		oK 3 Thinking)		)K 4 d Thinking)
Grade 3	35–62	19–33	%	14–25	%	2–4	%	0	0%
Grade 4	34–64	17–30	%	15–30	%	2–4	%	0	0%
Grade 5	34–62	14–26	%	18–32	%	2–4	%	0	0%
Grade 6	34–64	13–25	%	16–30	%	5–9	%	0	0%
Grade 7	32–59	11–20	%	16–30	%	5–9	%	0	0%
Grade 8	33–60	9–18	%	19–33	%	5–9	%	0	0%
Grade 11	32–58	7–14	%	18–31	%	7–13	%	0	0%
Mathematics	Total Number of Items	DO (Rec		DOK 2 (Basic Application)		DOK 3 (Strategic Thinking)		DOK 4 (Extended Thinking)	
Grade 3	27–59	18–42	%	8–15	%	1–2	%	0	0%
Grade 4	32–79	14–47	%	18–32	%	0	%	0	0%
Grade 5	35–81	18–50	%	16–29	%	1–2	%	0	0%
Grade 6	36–85	18–51	%	18–34	%	0	%	0	0%
Grade 7	44–87	15–37	%	29–50	%	0	%	0	0%
Grade 8	37–87	10–37	%	25–46	%	2–4	%	0	0%
Grade 11	38–82	8–28	%	24–42	%	6–12	%	0	0%

Fexas Education Agency



## **Some Research-Based Assessment Types**

### Adaptive Assessments

- An assessment that is tailored specifically to each student based on his or her performance on previous items within the assessment.
- These types of assessments allow for more accurate growth measures (specifically high- and low-performing students)

### **Portfolio Assessments**

- > An assessment that learners complete together with their teachers.
- > The writing pilot and proposed writing program use a portfolio approach.

### **Classic Standardized Summative Assessments**

- > An assessment that contains the same questions for all test takers.
- > The STAAR assessments currently use this design.



### Possible Savings (\$6.7M)

Eliminate non-federally mandated assessments (e.g., Grade 8 Social Studies).
 \$0.5M SAVINGS

Eliminate optional assessments (Algebra II and English III).
 \$1.6M SAVINGS

Eliminate additional SSI administrations.

> \$2.4M SAVINGS

Eliminate summer EOC administration.

> \$2.2M SAVINGS



## **Assessment Options to Consider**

### Add funds for SAT or ACT.

- Increase of universal SAT or ACT costs of ~\$20M including writing (Juniors only) per TEC §39.0261(a)(3).
- Decrease in district and/or parent out-of-pocket expenses (varies, min. \$20M+ savings statewide).
- Decrease in EOC retesting for students who use SAT or ACT as substitutes for EOC assessments.
- > Supports federal testing requirements for accelerated students.