

## Academic Accountability

## TEA. Expectations Matter

We believe that all students can learn \& achieve at high levels.


## TEA <br> Expectations Matter, At All Grade Levels

The SBOE has defined what all students should know and be able to do at each grade level if they are to be well prepared for success in life. These are called the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS).


## What does this look like in practice?

TEKS 3.5A: Represent one- and two-step problems involving addition and subtraction of whole numbers to 1,000 using pictorial models, number lines, and equations

## TEA <br> Monitoring Progress Helps Support Students

TEKS 3.5A: Represent one- and two-step problems involving addition and subtraction of whole numbers to 1,000 using pictorial models, number lines, and equations

## Actual STAAR Question:

An art teacher had 736 crayons. She threw away 197 broken crayons. Then she bought 150 more crayons. Which equation shows how to find the number of crayons the art teacher has now?


## ON TRACK

A) $736-197-150=$ $\qquad$
B) $736-197+150=$
C) $736+197+150=$ $\qquad$
D) $736+197-150=$ $\qquad$

Learn more at: www.texasassessment.com

## TEA. Clear Performance Info Helps Students

You can't improve what you can't see. In order to serve all students well, we need easy-to-access information regarding how schools and districts are doing.


## TEA <br> Students are Helped in School \& Life

Monitoring performance with school ratings has been shown to have long term benefits for students:
"Our analysis reveals that pressure on schools to avoid a low performance rating led low-scoring students to score significantly higher on a high-stakes math exam in 10th grade. These students were also more likely to accumulate significantly more math credits and to graduate from high school on time. Later in life, they were more likely to attend and graduate from a four-year college, and they had higher earnings at age 25 ."

The biggest risks come if the system allows certain students to be exempted from accountability. The system design matters.

## TEA Texas Education Agency <br> A-F Accountability: How It Was Designed


"The commissioner shall evaluate school district and campus performance and assign each district and campus an overall performance rating of"


## TEA A Two Year Process of Gathering Feedback

TEA staff conducted hundreds of
stakeholder meetings starting as early as
January 2016 with:

- School Board Members
- Superintendents

TEA made significant changes to the proposed A-F system based on feedback.

- Principals
- Other Administrators
- Teachers
- Parents
- Business Leaders
- Advocates
- Students


A = Exemplary Performance
$B=$ Recognized Performance
C = Acceptable Performance
$D=\ln$ Need of Improvement
F = Unacceptable Performance


## Design Approach: Two Philosophical Commitments


"The commissioner shall ensure that the method used to evaluate performance is implemented in a manner that provides the mathematical possibility that all districts and campuses receive an A rating."

No Forced<br>Distribution

Law switched from "annually"
to "periodically"

## These commitments reinforce a system that supports continuous improvement over time.

## TEA <br> Three Domains: Combining for Overall Score

Best of Achievement or Progress: 70\%



This design reflects a commitment:

- to recognize high student achievement and
- to recognize the impact of highly effective educators,
- while maintaining focus on the students most in need.

This design has produced ratings that are not strongly correlated with poverty.

## TEA. Ratings Must Be Easy to Access



Stakeholders requested that rating information be easily viewable, with supporting material to help people understand them.

On August 15, the tool will launch at:

## www.TXschools.org



## TEA <br> Texas Education Agency <br> District Level Highlights

Including single campus districts*, 1,187 ISDs/charters were evaluated**

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A (90-100) - 16\% - } 153 \text { districts } \\
& \text { B (80-89) - 43\%*** } \\
& \text { C (70-79) - 30\% } \\
& \text { D (60-69) - 8\% } \\
& \text { F (0-59) - 3\%-16 districts }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]
## TEA Texas Education Agency <br> District Level Poverty Analysis

## Student poverty is not a strong factor in how a district was rated

Correlation between the rate of students eligible for a free/reduced lunch and district overall A-F ratings: . 4 (moderate)

Domain Specific Correlations

| Student Achievement Domain: .6 (strong) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Progress Domain: Best of |  |
| Closing the Gaps Domain: | .5 (moderate) |

Large, high-performing, high poverty districts

| District | Grade | Enroll | Eco Dis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sharyland ISD | 93 | 10170 | $59.8 \%$ |
| United ISD | 92 | 43212 | $75.4 \%$ |
| McAllen ISD | 92 | 23640 | $71.2 \%$ |
| Los Fresnos CISD | 92 | 10770 | $76.6 \%$ |
| Edinburg CISD | 90 | 34098 | $86.3 \%$ |
| Hurst-Euless-Bedford ISD | 90 | 23364 | $52.6 \%$ |
| IDEA Public Schools*** | 89 | 35595 | $87.8 \%$ |
| Brownsville ISD*** | 89 | 45535 | $95.8 \%$ |

## TEA. Campus Level Highlights

## 8,253 campuses were rated* (including 347 paired campuses):

| Met Standard | $(90-100)[A]$ | $-19 \%-1,561$ campuses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Met Standard | $(80-89)[B]$ | $-36 \%$ |
| Met Standard | $(70-79)[C]$ | $-30 \%$ |
| Met Standard | $(60-69)[D]$ | $-10 \%$ |
| Improvement Required | $(0-59)[F]$ | $-5 \%-432$ campuses $(349)^{*}$ |

*     - Because of Hurricane Harvey, about 1200 campuses were eligible to receive a "Not Rated" designation if they would have otherwise been rated Improvement Required. 86 campuses will receive a Not Rated designation, but the underlying 0-100 point score information is still visible. The total number of campuses receiving an "Improvement Required" rating is 349 . The total number of rated campus is 8,167 .


## TEA <br> Texas Education Agency <br> Campus Level Poverty Analysis

## Student poverty is not a strong factor in how a campus was rated

Correlation between the rate of students eligible for a free/reduced lunch and campus overall A-F ratings: . 4 (moderate)

Domain Specific Correlations

Student Achievement Domain
School Progress Domain:
Closing the Gaps Domain:

There are 259 high-poverty campuses (80$100 \%$ Eco Dis) that receive a score of 90-100 (ie, "A"). This represents $11 \%$ of all highpoverty campuses. There are 169 lowpoverty campuses (0-20\% Eco Dis) that receive a score below 90 (ie, less than an " $A$ ").


## TEA. Student Achievement Domain



## TEA. Student Achievement: Calculating Score



Elementary School


Middle School


High School
State of Texas:
Assessments of
Assessments of

- Graduation Rates
- College, Career, Milita ry Ready (CCMR)

Proposed Rule will adjust proportional weighting for High School in the Student Achievement domain to 40-40-20

## TEA. Student Achievement: Calculating Score

## $60 \times 307 \mathrm{X}$

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
By 2030, at least 60\% of Texans ages 25-34 will have a certificate or degree.
 Student Achievement: CCMR Indicators - HS

## a College Ready

- Meet criteria on AP/IB exams
- Meet TSI criteria (SAT/ACT/TSIA) in reading and mathematics
- Complete a college prep course offered by a partnership between a district and higher education institution as required from HB5
- Complete a course for dual credit
- Complete an OnRamps course
- Earn an associate's degree
- Meet standards on a composite of indicators indicating college readiness


## Coreer Ready

- Earn industry certification
- Be admitted to post-secondary industry certification program


## Military Ready

Enlist in the United States Armed Forces
 Student Achievement: CCMR Indicators - HS

## © College Ready

- Meet criteria on AP/IB exams
- Meet TSI criteria (SAT/ACT/TSIA) in reading and mathematics
- Complete a college prep course offered by a partnership between a district and higher education institution as required from HB5
- Complete dual credit courses
- Complete OnRamps courses
- Earn an associate's degree
- Meet standards on a composite of indicators indicating college readiness


## C- Career Ready

- Earn industry certification
- Be admitted to post-secondary industry certification program


## Military Ready

Enlist in the United States Armed Forces

## TEA. School Progress Domain



## School Progress Domain: Two Aspects

PART1

## Student Growth



PART2
Relative Performance

.....................................

Proposed Rule will provide credit for best campus rating between part 1 and part 2, rather than an average, with caveat that an F in 3 out of 4 (sub)domains (including these two parts) will be an F overall.

Even though growth measures are limited for HS, the Proposed Rule will include best of credit in HS for growth.

## School Progress Domain: Student Growth



## Exceeds +1 PointAwarded <br> Formeeting orexceeding expected growth <br> + . 5 Points Awarded

Maintains Formaintaining proficiency but failing to meet expected growth
+0 Points Awarded
Forfalling to a lowerlevel

Proposed Rule will provide partial credit for maintaining Meets or Approaches Grade Level, even if vertical scale scores don't increase.

## TEA <br> Texas Education Agency <br> School Progress Domain: Relative Performance

Higher Levels of Student
Achievement

\%Economic ally Disadvantaged Students

Higher Rates of
Economically
Disadvantaged

## Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress



## TEA. Closing The Gaps Domain



## TEA. Closing the Gaps: Educational Equity

All Sudents



Domain 3 in the Proposed Rule complies with ESSA requirements, allowing a single state \& federal accountability system.


## TEA. Closing the Gaps: Educational Equity

## Student Groups (Up to 13)

- All Students
- African American
- Hispanic
- White
- American Indian
- Asian
- Pacific Islander
- Two orMore Races
- Economic ally Disa dvantaged
- Current and Former Special Educ ation
- Current and Monitored English Leamers
- Continuously Enrolled
- Non-Continuously Enrolled


## (1) Indicators (Up to 6)

- Academic Achievement on STAAR in Reading and Mathematics at Meets Grade Level standard
- English Leamer Language Profic iency Status
- Elementary \& Middle School:
- Growth in Reading and Mathematic s on STAAR
- Student Achievement Doma in score
- High School / K-12 / Districts:
- 4 YearGraduation Rates
- College, Career, a nd Military Readiness Performance


## TEA. Closing the Gaps: Educational Equity

Student Group Achievement Target


# \% of Student Groups thatmeettarget 

## Overall

Grade

Proposed Rule includes targets for the first five years equal to current state averages.

## TEA. Local Accountability



## TEA. A-F Timeline: Implementation of HB 22

Start of pilot group to
design local
accountability
(Fall 2017) (Fall 2017)

HB22 Passed by the 85 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Texas Legislature (May 2017)

Rules fina lized forthree domain system (Summer2018)

Rulesadopted for local accountability system and application window
opens
(Fall 2018)

Campuses: A-F labels take effect and local accountability system is incoporated (August 2019)

Three domain system rates all campuses and districts. Takes effect asfollows: Districts: A-F Rating Labels Campuses: Improvement Required or Met Standard (August 2018)
"What If" report on campus performance, based on data used to assign 2018 ratings.
(December 2018)


[^0]:    *     - 272 single campus districts/charters receive a Met Standard / Improvement Required label, but are still given a 0-100 point score
    ** - 83 districts/charters that received a Hurricane Harvey exception received either an A rating, or No Rating, but are still given a 0-100 point score
    *** - Districts receive a max score of 89 if they have any IR campuses, even if they would have otherwise received an A

