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School Progress: Two Aspects to Progress

Part A: Student Growth

Part B: Relative Performance
STAAR: Test Inclusion Methodology

- Includes all tests (STAAR with and without accommodations and STAAR Alternate 2) combined
- Combines reading and mathematics
- Uses STAAR Progress Measure
- Includes ELs (except in their first year in US schools)
- Uses same STAAR Progress Measure for ELs and non-ELs

- Because the first STAAR tests are given in third grade, we can’t assess growth using the STAAR Progress Measure until fourth grade.
- In high school, there are limitations to measuring growth with STAAR. It can only possibly be done for 9th graders who take Algebra I, and then only for 9th and 10th graders taking English I or English II. At this point, only Relative Performance will be analyzed in high school.
Does Not Meet

Exceeds +1 Point Awarded
For meeting or exceeding expected growth

Maintains +.5 Points Awarded
For maintaining proficiency but failing to meet expected growth

Limited +0 Points Awarded
For falling to a lower level
## Student Growth: Percentage of Students Gaining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does Not Meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Student Growth: Percentage of Students Gaining

### Current Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Not Meet</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Masters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does Not Meet Grade Level</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>= .5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches Grade Level</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>= .5 pts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets Grade Level</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters Grade Level</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### No Points

- Does Not Meet to Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Approaches to Does Not Meet (without meeting growth expectations)
- Meets to Does Not Meet
- Meets to Approaches
- Masters to Approaches
- Masters to Meets
## Student Growth: Percentage of Students Gaining

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Does Not Meet</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Masters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does Not Meet</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td>= .5 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
<td>Growth Measure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td>= .5 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meets</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>0 pts</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Previous Year

**Half Point**

- **Does Not Meet** to **Approaches** (without meeting growth expectations)
- **Approaches** to **Approaches** (without meeting growth expectations)
### Current Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Not Meet</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Masters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>.5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Previous Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Not Meet</th>
<th>Approaches</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Masters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
<td>Grade Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>Met/Exceeded</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
<td>1 pt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td>Growth Measure = 1 pt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>Did not meet</td>
<td>.5 pts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>= 0 pts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### One Point

- Does Not Meet to Approaches (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Approaches to Approaches (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Does Not Meet to Meets
- Does Not Meet to Masters
- Approaches to Meets
- Approaches to Masters
- Meets to Meets
- Meets to Masters
- Masters to Masters
- Does Not Meet to Does Not Meet (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
- Approaches to Does Not Meet (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)
**Student Growth: Sample Calculation**

**One Hundred Students**

- Each with reading and mathematics results for last year and this year
- Denominator = 200 STAAR Progress Measures

\[ ? = 200 \]
**Student Growth: Sample Calculation**

**No Points**

- **Does Not Meet** to **Does Not Meet**
  (without meeting growth expectations)

- **Approaches** to **Does Not Meet**
  (without meeting growth expectations)

- **Masters** to **Meets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Count of Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>49</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Student Growth: Sample Calculation**

**Half Point**

- **Does Not Meet to Approaches**
  (without meeting growth expectations)

- **Approaches to Approaches**
  (without meeting growth expectations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Count of Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Student Growth: Sample Calculation**

### One Point

- **Does Not Meet** to **Does Not Meet**
  (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)

- **Approaches** to **Does Not Meet**
  (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)*

- **Approaches** to **Approaches**
  (meeting/exceeding growth expectations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Count of Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>52</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Very rare but statistically possible*
Student Growth: Sample Calculation

One Point

- **Meets to Meets**

- **Meets to Masters**

- **Masters to Masters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Current Year</th>
<th>Count of Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>82</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Student Growth: Sample Calculation

\[
\begin{align*}
(49 \times 0) + (17 \times 0.5) + (52 \times 1) + (82 \times 1) &= 142.5 \\
200 &= 71
\end{align*}
\]

In this case, we loosely conclude that 71% of students have gained a year academically. Technically, however, this is the percentage of tests taken, with some adjustment for maintaining proficiency.
School Progress Domain: Feedback Opportunities

- New approach to growth
- Additional ways to measure growth in high school
- Percentage of students who need to grow to constitute
  - Excellent performance
  - Minimally acceptable performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantile</th>
<th>Part A Score (based on modeling data from 2017 accountability)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% (Max)</td>
<td>100 96 100 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>88 85 87 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>84 81 83 79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>82 78 80 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75% (Q3)</td>
<td>78 75 76 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% (Med)</td>
<td>73 70 70 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25% (Q1)</td>
<td>68 65 64 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>63 61 59 62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>59 59 56 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>52 54 45 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0% (Min)</td>
<td>34 41 0 24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Common Questions: School Progress Domain, Part A

Q: Is there no additional credit for meeting or exceeding growth at the Meets and Masters levels?
A: Students at Meets or Masters are given the same one point as students who show growth at Does Not Meet and Approaches.

Q: Slide 14 shows an example of a student who falls from Approaches Grade Level one year to Does Not Meet the next year and still meets STAAR Progress Measure expectations. Can this really happen?
A: It’s very rare, but, statistically, it’s possible when a student skips a grade. Our modelling with 2017 data produced ten such instances in the entire state.

Q: Why are high schools only scored on relative performance? Is there no growth measure for high school?
A: The relatively few STAAR Progress Measures for high school make them an unreliable measure of a high school’s progress with students. But the STAAR Progress Measure scores will be available on TAPR.
School Progress: Two Aspects to Progress

Part A: Student Growth

Part B: Relative Performance
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

Higher Levels of Student Achievement

Includes STAAR, CCMR, and graduation rates for districts and campuses that have that data

Student Achievement Domain Score for All Students

% Economically Disadvantaged Students

Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged Students
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

- Includes STAAR, CCMR, and graduation rates for districts and campuses that have that data.
- Higher Levels of Student Achievement
- Student Achievement Domain Score for All Students
- % Economically Disadvantaged Students
- Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged Students

Diagram showing a scatter plot with a trend line indicating a correlation between higher levels of student achievement and lower rates of economically disadvantaged students.
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

Higher Levels of Student Achievement

A campus with fewer economically disadvantaged students on average has higher levels of student achievement.

A campus with more economically disadvantaged students tends to have lower levels of student achievement.

Includes STAAR, CCMR, and graduation rates for districts and campuses that have that data.

Student Achievement Domain Score for All Students

Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged Students

% Economically Disadvantaged Students

Average Line
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

Higher Levels of Student Achievement

A campus with fewer economically disadvantaged students on average has higher levels of student achievement.

A campus with more economically disadvantaged students tends to have lower levels of student achievement.

Includes STAAR, CCMR, and graduation rates for districts and campuses that have that data.

Student Achievement Domain Score for All Students

% Economically Disadvantaged Students

Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged Students
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

Higher Levels of Student Achievement

Student Achievement Domain Score for All Students

% Economically Disadvantaged Students

Higher Rates of Economically Disadvantaged
Common Questions: School Progress Domain

Q: Does the Student Achievement domain score (y-axis in relative performance) include CCMR and graduation rates?
A: Yes, for schools that have that data.

Q: House Bill 22 specifically says that the method used to evaluate performance should provide for the mathematical possibility that all districts and campuses receive an A, but this looks like a forced distribution that guarantees a set percentage of schools will get Ds and Fs.
A: Once the cut points are set using 2016-17 accountability data, the cut points will stay fixed for five years. That way any district or campus will be able to earn an A.
Relative Performance: Measuring School Progress

- Scatter plot of each district and campus (by campus type) comparing
  - Student Achievement domain score
  - Percentage of students who are economically disadvantaged
- Trendline showing average relationships
- Sliding cut points for campuses and districts based on
  - Student Achievement domain score
  - Percentage of students who are economically disadvantaged
- Cut points for each grade based on bands below and above the average line
- Separate cut points
  - Elementary Schools
  - Middle Schools
  - High Schools/K–12
  - AEAs
- Cut points based on slope-intercept form
  - Based on 2016–17 performance
  - Intended to stay fixed for five years
- Cut points will be known before ratings release
Relative Performance: Sample Calculation

- $y = mx + b$
  - $y$ is the predicted Student Achievement domain score.
  - $x$ is the percentage of students who are economically disadvantaged.
  - $m$ is the slope of the trendline.
  - $b$ is the distance from the trendline (what decides the grade); it is based on average variance from trendline.

- Sample Middle School
  - 94.4% economically disadvantaged ($x$)
  - $y = -0.15666(x) + 45.789$
  - $y = -0.15666(94.4) + 45.789$
  - $y = -14.79 + 45.789$
  - Predicted Student Achievement domain score ($y$) = 31
  - Actual Student Achievement domain score: 25
  - Score in relative performance: D
School Progress Domain: Feedback Opportunities

- New approach to growth
- Additional ways to measure growth in high school
- Percentage of students who need to grow to constitute
  - Excellent performance
  - Minimally acceptable performance

- Combining two parts
  - Best of
  - Weighted average
  - Average

- For Part B, what is the right cut points for
  - Excellent performance
  - Unacceptable performance
Questions and Feedback

Feedback
- Survey link to come by email
- feedbackAF@tea.texas.gov

Resources
- http://tea.texas.gov/A-F
- http://tea.texas.gov/accountability
- performance.reporting@tea.texas.gov
- (512) 463-9704