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The Texas High Performance Schools Consortium

Purpose

To inform the governor, legislature, and commissioner of education on “methods for transforming Texas public schools in the state by improving student learning through the development of innovative, next-generation learning standards and assessment and accountability systems.”

(SB1557, 82nd Legislature)
The Texas High Performance Schools Consortium

- Overlap between the Consortium and the Commission in several key areas:
  - Defining state accountability
  - The role of student assessment
  - Creating a system that meets state goals and is community-based
  - Promotes parent and community involvement and reflects unique community needs
Consortium Focus: Digital Integration

Providing students the opportunity to work within learning environments that reflect the needs of future-ready students

Exemplars:
• Alamo Heights ISD Spotlight on Engagement: Technology Integration
• Clear Creek ISD Latitude 2 Learn: Personalized Learning in CCISD
• Willis ISD Digital Transformation
Consortium Focus: High-Priority Learning Standards

Determining high-priority learning standards that emphasize depth over breadth and align with a new vision of accountability

Exemplars:

- Coppell ISD
  Learning Design: Inquiry

- McKinney ISD
  Meaningful and Dynamic Curriculum Strategies With Project-Based Learning

- Roscoe Collegiate ISD
  The Atmosphere: Creativity, Engagement, Collaboration, Inspiration
Consortium Focus: Multiple Assessments

Writing samples, project-based demonstrations, journals, science projects, reading response logs, and digital portfolios offer evidence of a wider range of student knowledge, skills, and progress than standardized tests.

Exemplars:
- Coppell ISD Assessment for Learning
- Highland Park ISD Senior Internship Program
- Lewisville ISD Standards-Based Report Card
- Northwest ISD Standards-Based Bulletin Board
Consortium Focus: Community-Based Accountability

Engaging the community in the education of its youth by establishing rigorous standards that meet the unique needs of that community, building upon the community-focused component of House Bill 5

Exemplars:

• Clear Creek ISD
  2014-15 Community Based Accountability Report

• College Station ISD
  CSISD’s Community-Based Accountability

• Northwest ISD
  Community Dashboard: Community-Based Accountability Measures of Success
A New Vision for Public School Accountability in Texas: A More Balanced State and Local Partnership

- Provides a broad snapshot measure of statewide learning
- Based on high-priority learning standards
- Incorporates stratified random sampling techniques
- Uses existing validated measures of college readiness

- Multi-year cycle of district and campus review
- Examine the quality of services provided to diverse student populations
- Review academic performance and school operations

- Provides a rich, deep picture of student learning over time
- Continuous and comprehensive
- Based on multiple tools, processes, performances
- Cumulative body of student learning evidence

- Articulate goals for students based on future education and workforce readiness
- Establish desired results and performance indicators
- Reports based on classroom evidence, local testing, and comparisons to statewide averages and comparable communities
An Opportunity for Meaningful Change

The recent passage of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), coupled with the establishment of this Commission and the state’s focus on next-generation assessment and accountability, creates a unique opportunity for transforming Texas public schools.

- New state discretion in assessment and accountability through ESSA
- The Consortium (THPSC) provides a resource for research and development
Short- and Long-Term Recommendations from the Consortium
Assessment and Accountability: A Subsystem

Assessment and accountability is a subsystem of a much larger and complex system of learning and teaching.

As such, a subsystem should be congruent with the other key components or subsystems that make up the larger system and not distort the work of the overall educational system.

Assessment and Accountability Subsystem impacts the Instructional Improvement Subsystem
Recommendation 1

Limit state testing to the readiness standards.

The children and teachers of Texas can’t continue to try to learn and be tested on a numbing number of discrete learning standards.
Recommendation 2

Establish true learning standards-based state assessments.

The testing design of present state tests does not allocate a sufficient number of test items to a given standard nor does it support meaningful comparison over time on a given learning standard.
Recommendation 3

Limit state testing and its inclusion in the accountability system to the requirements of federal law.

Over the last 20 years the state has expanded the subjects tested and incorporated much of that testing into a high-stakes accountability system, adding to the climate and culture of teaching the test.
Recommendation 4

Begin modeling stratified random sampling from past tests and future tests.

No state has more data to mine than Texas. It is time to start building the case for the use of stratified random sampling and the redirection of testing dollars to educational research for instructional strategies to meet the needs of the increasingly diverse student population of Texas.
Recommendation 5

Expand the opportunities for innovation into alternative, district-based assessment and accountability subsystems.

The state has articulated a commitment to assessment and accountability that is community-based, promotes parent and community involvement, and reflects the unique needs of each community.

The seedbed most fertile for that work, where such a model is to be developed, must be in the communities and school districts that serve the children in those communities.
Creating a New Reality Through New, Balanced Partnerships

The Commission should urge the state to seek relief from the mandatory whole population testing requirements for grades 3-8 and 10.

The state is the proper authority to determine if stratified random sampling should be supplemented by selected whole grade testing at key gateway transition points.
The District’s Role in a Next-Generation System

- Districts are accountable for learning at the student, classroom, school, and district level
- Districts are accountable to the communities they serve
- Districts have the lead responsibility for certain core accountability functions related to measures and assessments of student learning
The State’s Role in a Next-Generation System

• The state is accountable for the establishment of a rigorous accreditation process to ensure educational quality

• The state is accountable to taxpayers and citizens

• The state has the lead responsibility for certain core functions:
  • Establish expectations
  • Determine high-priority learning standards
  • Assess student learning as a function of quality audits
  • Determine educational quality based on multiple measures and dimensions
A New Vision for Public School Accountability in Texas: 
A More Balanced State and Local Partnership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Accountability</th>
<th>Local Accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accreditation of</strong></td>
<td><strong>Accountable for</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Educational quality (state, regional, and district level)</td>
<td>• Student learning (district, school, classroom, and student level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accountable to</strong></td>
<td><strong>Accountable to</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Taxpayers and citizens</td>
<td>• Parents and community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Core Accountability Functions:**
- Establish educational quality expectations
- Determine high-priority student learning standards
- Audit districts for educational quality (attainment of standards)
- Assess student learning as a function of quality audits (e.g., random, seldom, gateway)
- Communicate/report educational quality determination
- Educational quality determination of state, regional, district level (based on multiple dimensions)
- Determine statewide policy/guidance/budget implications of educational quality determination

- Determine measures/assessments of student learning (standards aligned)
- Collect evidence documenting learning (using standards-aligned measures and methods)
- Communicate/report student learning
- Determination of student learning at the district, school, and classroom level (based on multiple measures/methods)
- Determine local policy/instruction/resource implications based on student learning data