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#14—Excessive Discrepancy between PEIMS LEP Status and TELPAS Answer Documents Submitted (Report Only Indicator)
Performance-Based Monitoring Data Validation

The Performance-Based Monitoring (PBM) system, which was developed in 2003 in response to state and federal statute, is a comprehensive system designed to improve student performance and program effectiveness. The PBM system is a data-driven system that uses performance and program effectiveness data submitted to the state by local education agencies (LEAs). Therefore, it is critically important that the data used in the system are reliable. To ensure data integrity, the PBM system includes three annual data validation analyses that use a variety of indicators to examine district leaver and dropout data, student assessment data, and discipline data. Additional data analyses are conducted as necessary to ensure the data submitted to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) are accurate and reliable.

Differences Between Data Validation Indicators and Other PBM Indicators

There are key differences between the data validation indicators that are used as part of the PBM system and the performance indicators that are used in the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS). A PBMAS performance indicator yields a definitive result, e.g., 100% of an LEA’s students in Grades 3-11 passed the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) mathematics test. A data validation indicator typically suggests an anomaly that a local review may ultimately determine is not anomalous. For example, an LEA may report an extraordinarily high number of students absent for a particular statewide assessment. This high number within a given year suggests a data anomaly. However, the LEA may determine, after a local review and verification process, that the high number of absences can be validated.

Because a PBMAS performance indicator yields a definitive result, an LEA’s performance on PBMAS indicators is made public. Because a data validation indicator typically yields a result that is suggestive, but may not be definitive, an LEA’s initial results on these indicators are not made public. Results of the data validation indicators are only released on the Texas Education Agency Secure Environment (TEASE).

Another difference between PBMAS performance indicators and PBM data validation indicators is standards. A PBMAS performance indicator is based on a standard that is made public with as much advance notice as possible and that all LEAs can achieve over time. The goal for LEAs on PBMAS performance indicators is progress toward the standard over time. A data validation indicator is typically based on an annual review of data trends in an attempt to identify what data may be anomalous or what trends can be observed. Standards on individual data validation indicators generally are not, and generally cannot be, made public in advance, although there are some exceptions (e.g., underreported students in the area of leaver data
validation). The goal for LEAs on PBM data validation indicators is 100% data accuracy each year in the data that are collected and submitted by the LEA.

The required response by the LEA is also different depending on whether the LEA is identified under a PBMAS performance indicator or a PBM data validation indicator. LEAs that are identified with a PBMAS performance indicator concern are generally expected to (a) improve performance; and/or (b) if the identification of a performance indicator concern occurred because of inaccurate data, improve data collection and submission procedures. LEAs that are identified as a result of a data validation indicator are generally expected to (a) validate that their data are, in fact, correct; and (b) if correct data reflect a program implementation concern, address that concern; or (c) if their identification occurred because of incorrect data, improve local data collection and submission procedures.

By their very nature and purpose, data validation indicators may identify some LEAs that are collecting and reporting data that are entirely accurate. Validating accurate data is a critical part of the process that is necessary to validate and safeguard the integrity of the overall PBM system. As such, the process that LEAs engage in to either validate the accuracy of their data or determine that erroneous data were collected and/or submitted is fundamental to the integrity of the entire system.

**Student Assessment Data Indicators: Background**

The Texas Education Code (TEC) contains two statutory references that form the basis of the student assessment data validation component of the Performance-Based Monitoring System. TEC §39.075 calls for special accreditation investigations when anomalous data related to reported absences are observed in the administration of the state student assessment program:

**TEC §39.075. Special Accreditation Investigations.** (a) The commissioner shall authorize special accreditation investigations to be conducted:

(1) when excessive numbers of absences of students eligible to be tested on state assessment instruments are determined;

In addition, Texas Education Code §7.028 provides specifically for data integrity monitoring for the purposes of the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) and accountability under Chapter 39:
TEC § 7.028. Limitation on Compliance Monitoring. (a) Except as provided by Section 29.001(5), 29.010(a), 39.074, or 39.075, the agency may monitor compliance with requirements applicable to a process or program provided by a school district, campus, program, or school granted charters under Chapter 12, including the process described by Subchapter F, Chapter 11, or a program described by Subchapter B, C, D, E, F, H, or I, Chapter 29, Subchapter A, Chapter 37, or Section 38.003, and the use of funds provided for such a program under Subchapter C, Chapter 42, only as necessary to ensure:

... (3) data integrity for purposes of:
   (A) the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS); and
   (B) accountability under Chapter 39.

List of 2006 Student Assessment Data Validation Indicators

The following indicators have been developed to meet the statutory requirements described above:

1(i-iv) Excessive Coding of Absent (Mathematics)
   1(i) All Students
   1(ii) African American Students
   1(iii) Hispanic Students
   1(iv) White Students
2(i-iv) Excessive Coding of Absent (Reading/ELA)
   2(i) All Students
   2(ii) African American Students
   2(iii) Hispanic Students
   2(iv) White Students
3(i-iv) Excessive Coding of Absent (Science)
   3(i) All Students
   3(ii) African American Students
   3(iii) Hispanic Students
3(iv) White Students
4(i-iv) Excessive Coding of Absent (Social Studies)
  4(i) All Students
  4(ii) African American Students
  4(iii) Hispanic Students
  4(iv) White Students
5(i-iv) Excessive Coding of Absent (Writing)
  5(i) All Students
  5(ii) African American Students
  5(iii) Hispanic Students
  5(iv) White Students
6(i-iv) Excessive Coding of “Other” (Mathematics)
  6(i) All Students
  6(ii) African American Students
  6(iii) Hispanic Students
  6(iv) White Students
7(i-iv) Excessive Coding of “Other” (Reading/ELA)
  7(i) All Students
  7(ii) African American Students
  7(iii) Hispanic Students
  7(iv) White Students
8(i-iv) Excessive Coding of “Other” (Science)
  8(i) All Students
  8(ii) African American Students
  8(iii) Hispanic Students
  8(iv) White Students
9(i-iv) Excessive Coding of “Other” (Social Studies)
  9(i) All Students
  9(ii) African American Students
9(iii) Hispanic Students
9(iv) White Students
10(i-iv) Excessive Coding of “Other” (Writing)
   10(i) All Students
   10(ii) African American Students
   10(iii) Hispanic Students
   10(iv) White Students
11 Excessive Coding of Absent (RPTE)
12 Excessive Coding of Other (RPTE)
13(i-iv) Excessive Discrepancy between PEIMS Career and Technology Education Status and TAKS Answer Documents Submitted
   13(i) Mathematics
   13(ii) Reading/ELA
   13(iii) Science
   13(iv) Social Studies
14 Excessive Discrepancy between PEIMS LEP Status and TELPAS Answer Documents Submitted (Report Only Indicator)

Detailed information on all of these indicators is provided in the next section of this manual.

**Data Validation Reports**

The 2006 student assessment data validation analysis for the indicators listed above is based on the spring 2006 student assessment answer documents submitted by districts for the following assessments: the TAKS (English and Spanish versions), TAKS-I, the State-Developed Alternative Assessment (SDAA II), and the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS). District-level reports and student level data will be produced for each district that is identified for further review as a result of this analysis. These reports and data will be available via the TEA Secure Environment (TEASE). Districts not identified for further review will receive the following message if they attempt to access the report on TEASE: “Your district was not identified in the performance-based monitoring system’s 2006 student assessment data validation analysis, and therefore no report will be generated.”
If a district has been identified for further review on an indicator, this is referred to as “triggering” an indicator. Only the indicators that a district triggers will be listed on the report. For example, in the sample report below, only some of the indicators are listed because the district only triggered those specific indicators as shown.
## SAMPLE REPORT
CONFIDENTIAL
Texas Education Agency
2006 Data Validation Report
Student Assessment Data

Example ISD                          Region ZZ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDICATOR</th>
<th>2006 RATE</th>
<th>2006 NUMERATOR</th>
<th>2006 DENOMINATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(i) ALL STUDENTS</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1(iv) WHITE</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(ii) AFRICAN AMERICAN</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(iii) HISPANIC</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This report contains confidential information and data that are not masked to protect individual student confidentiality. Unauthorized disclosure of confidential student information is illegal as provided in the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA) and implementing federal regulations found in 34 CFR, Part 99.

For detailed information on each of the indicators above, see the 2006 Student Assessment Data Validation Manual available at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pbm/DIManuals.html.
The data in the sample report above can be interpreted as follows:

**EXCESSIVE CODING OF ABSENT (MATHEMATICS)**

1(i) **ALL STUDENTS:** The district’s percent of TAKS, TAKS-I, and SDAA II answer documents coded absent for the All Students group on the 2006 mathematics test was 28.1 percent. (Of 89 total answer documents, 25 were coded absent for the mathematics test.)

1(iv) **WHITE:** The district’s percent of TAKS, TAKS-I, and SDAA II answer documents coded absent for White students on the 2006 mathematics test was 20.6 percent. (Of 63 total answer documents, 13 were coded absent for the mathematics test.)

**EXCESSIVE CODING OF “OTHER” (READING/ELA)**

7(ii) **AFRICAN AMERICAN:** The district’s percent of TAKS, TAKS-I, and SDAA II answer documents coded “other” for African American students on the 2006 reading/ELA test was 15.3 percent. (Of 124 total answer documents, 19 were coded “other” for the reading/ELA test.)

7(iii) **HISPANIC:** The district’s percent of TAKS, TAKS-I, and SDAA II answer documents coded “other” for Hispanic students on the 2006 reading/ELA test was 18.2 percent. (Of 33 total answer documents, 6 were coded “other” for the reading/ELA test.)

**Data Validation Requirements for Districts**

Districts will be notified by the Program Monitoring and Interventions Division of any required data validation activities and the timelines for completing those activities. Guidance and resource documents that pertain specifically to the performance-based monitoring data validation indicators are available at: [http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pmi/datamon/](http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pmi/datamon/). These documents have been developed to support districts in reviewing their current data reporting and programmatic practices related to student assessment data.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicators
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Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #1(i-iv): Excessive Coding of Absent (Mathematics)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent (mathematics) on the TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District absence rate for mathematics} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) with a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document coded absent for the mathematics test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents coded absent for the mathematics test.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
- Indicator #1(i): All Students
- Indicator #1(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #1(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #1(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #2(i-iv): Excessive Coding of Absent (Reading/ELA)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent (reading/ELA) on the TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District absence rate for reading/ELA} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) with a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document coded absent for the reading/ELA test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents coded absent for the reading/ELA test.

- This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
  - Indicator #2(i): All Students
  - Indicator #2(ii): African American Students
  - Indicator #2(iii): Hispanic Students
  - Indicator #2(iv): White Students

  Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.

**NOTES**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents coded absent for the reading/ELA test.

- This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
  - Indicator #2(i): All Students
  - Indicator #2(ii): African American Students
  - Indicator #2(iii): Hispanic Students
  - Indicator #2(iv): White Students

- Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #3(i-iv): Excessive Coding of Absent (Science)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent (science) on the TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District absence rate for science} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 5, 8, 10, 11) with a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document coded absent for the science test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 5, 8, 10, 11) for whom a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents coded absent for the science test.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:

- Indicator #3(i): All Students
- Indicator #3(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #3(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #3(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #4(i-iv): Excessive Coding of Absent (Social Studies)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent (social studies) on the TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District absence rate for social studies} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 8, 10, 11) with a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document coded absent for the social studies test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 8, 10, 11) for whom a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents coded absent for the social studies test.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:

- Indicator #4(i): All Students
- Indicator #4(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #4(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #4(iv): White Students
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #5(i-iv): Excessive Coding of Absent (Writing)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent (writing) on the TAKS or SDAA II answer documents.

INDICATOR CALCULATION

\[
district \text{ absence rate for writing} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 4, 7) with a TAKS or SDAA II answer document coded absent for the writing test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 4, 7) for whom a TAKS or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or SDAA II answer documents coded absent for the writing test.

NOTES

- This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
  - Indicator #5(i): All Students
  - Indicator #5(ii): African American Students
  - Indicator #5(iii): Hispanic Students
  - Indicator #5(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #6(i-iv): Excessive Coding of “Other” (Mathematics)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” (mathematics) on the TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents.

INDICATOR CALCULATION

\[
\text{District “other” rate for mathematics} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) with a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document coded “other” for the mathematics test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents coded “other” for the mathematics test.

NOTES

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:

- Indicator #6(i): All Students
- Indicator #6(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #6(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #6(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #7(i-iv): Excessive Coding of “Other” (Reading/ELA)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” (reading/ELA) on the TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District “other” rate for reading/ELA} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) with a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document coded “other” for the reading/ELA test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents coded “other” for the reading/ELA test.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
- Indicator #7(i): All Students
- Indicator #7(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #7(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #7(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
**Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #8(i-iv): Excessive Coding of “Other” (Science)**

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” (science) on the TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District “other” rate for science} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 5, 8, 10, 11) with a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document coded “other” for the science test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 5, 8, 10, 11) for whom a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents coded “other” for the science test.

**NOTES**

- This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
  - Indicator #8(i): All Students
  - Indicator #8(ii): African American Students
  - Indicator #8(iii): Hispanic Students
  - Indicator #8(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #9(i-iv): Excessive Coding of “Other” (Social Studies)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” (social studies) on the TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District “other” rate for social studies} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 8, 10, 11) with a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document coded “other” for the social studies test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 8, 10, 11) for whom a TAKS or TAKS-I answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents coded “other” for the social studies test.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
- Indicator #9(i): All Students
- Indicator #9(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #9(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #9(iv): White Students
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #10(i-iv): Excessive Coding of “Other” (Writing)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” (writing) on the TAKS or SDAA II answer documents.

### INDICATOR CALCULATION

\[
\text{District “other” rate for writing} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 4, 7) with a TAKS or SDAA II answer document coded “other” for the writing test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 4, 7) for whom a TAKS or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

### MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TAKS or SDAA II answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TAKS or SDAA II answer documents coded “other” for the writing test.

### NOTES

This indicator is calculated for the following groups:
- Indicator #10(i): All Students
- Indicator #10(ii): African American Students
- Indicator #10(iii): Hispanic Students
- Indicator #10(iv): White Students

Both English and Spanish TAKS answer documents are included in the calculation of this indicator.
**Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #11: Excessive Coding of Absent (RPTE)**

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded absent on the TELPAS answer documents.

### INDICATOR CALCULATION

\[
\text{District absence rate for the RPTE} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) with a TELPAS answer document coded absent for the RPTE test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) for whom a TELPAS answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

### MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TELPAS answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TELPAS answer documents coded absent for the RPTE test.
**Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #12: Excessive Coding of “Other” (RPTE)**

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive number of students coded “other” on the TELPAS answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District “other” rate for the RPTE} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) with a TELPAS answer document coded “other” for the RPTE test in spring 2006}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) for whom a TELPAS answer document was submitted in spring 2006}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 spring 2006 TELPAS answer documents submitted and at least 5 spring 2006 TELPAS answer documents coded “other” for the RPTE test.

**NOTES**
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #13(i-iv): Excessive Discrepancy between PEIMS Career and Technology Education (CTE) Status and TAKS Answer Documents Submitted

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive discrepancy between the number of students coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” in PEIMS but not coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” on the TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

$$\text{District discrepancy rate for CTE coding} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 9-11) coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” in PEIMS in fall 2005 but not coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” on the spring 2006 TAKS or TAKS-I answer documents}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 9-11) coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” in PEIMS in fall 2005 and tested on TAKS or TAKS-I in spring 2006}}$$

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 students in Grades 9-11 coded with CTE Indicator Code “2” or “3” in PEIMS in fall 2005 and tested on TAKS or TAKS-I in spring 2006.

**NOTES**

This indicator is calculated for the following subject areas:

- Indicator #13(i): Mathematics
- Indicator #13(ii): Reading/ELA
- Indicator #13(iii): Science
- Indicator #13(iv): Social Studies
Student Assessment Data Validation Indicator #14: Excessive Discrepancy between PEIMS LEP Status and TELPAS Answer Documents Submitted (Report Only Indicator)

This indicator identifies districts with an excessive discrepancy between the number of students coded LEP in PEIMS and the number of TELPAS answer document submitted.

**INDICATOR CALCULATION**

\[
\text{District discrepancy rate for TELPAS/LEP} = \frac{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) for whom a TELPAS answer document was submitted.}}{\text{District number of students (Grades 3-12) coded LEP in PEIMS during the fifth six-week attendance period}}
\]

**MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS**

- Minimum Size Criterion: At least 30 students in Grades 3-12 coded LEP in PEIMS during the fifth six-week attendance period.

**NOTES**

- This indicator is reported for district information and planning purposes only.
- LEP status is derived from the LEP Indicator Code in the PEIMS 400 Record.
### Comments and Questions:

Questions about the *2006 Student Assessment Data Validation Manual* should be addressed to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address: Division of Performance-Based Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas Education Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1701 North Congress Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, Texas 78701-1494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: (512) 936-6426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax: (512) 475-3880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:pbm@tea.state.tx.us">pbm@tea.state.tx.us</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments on the Student Assessment Data Integrity Indicators

Comments on the 2006 Student Assessment Data Validation Indicators are welcome and will assist the agency in its evaluation and future development efforts. Comments may be submitted to Rachel Harrington, Division Director, Division of Performance-Based Monitoring, Texas Education Agency, 1701 North Congress Avenue, Austin, Texas 78701-1494 or sent via e-mail to [pbm@tea.state.tx.us](mailto:pbm@tea.state.tx.us). Comments should be provided no later than May 16, 2007 in order to allow sufficient time for consideration during the 2007 data validation development cycle.
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