



## Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP)

### Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers

#### LAMAR UNIVERSITY

This report presents results from the principal survey of beginning teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of new teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first-year teachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texas public school system for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period. Teachers on both standard and probationary certificates are included.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The percentages within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to average to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey, visit: <https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8>

#### Indicator 2 Outcomes

**EPP Score: 83**

**Standard: 70\***

**State Average: 73**

Met Standard 2: Yes

Region ESC: Beaumont

EPP Type: Traditional/  
Alternative

# Certifications: 83

# Graduates Rated (N): 81

\* Reporting purposes only for 2016-17 \*\*Small Group Exception

#### 2016-17 Percentage of First Year Teachers Designated as Sufficiently or Well Prepared

	LAMAR UNIVERSITY	All EPPs with Traditional and Alternative Programs	All TX EPPS
Classroom Environment	86%	83%	80%
Instruction	88%	84%	79%
Students with Disabilities	90%	84%	79%
English Language Learners	98%	88%	84%
Technology Integration	94%	91%	89%
Use Technology with Data	94%	90%	87%

#### 2016-17 Number of Teachers Rated by Area

Area	Number	Area	Number	Area	Number
English Language Arts	3	General Elementary	16	Languages other than English	8
Mathematics	3	Special Education	2	Career and Technical Education	
Science	1	Health/Physical Ed.	5	Technology/Applications/ Computer Science	
Social Studies	4	Professional		Bilingual/English as a Second Language	
Fine Arts	11	Other			

## 2016-17 Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

### LAMAR UNIVERSITY (N=81)

<b>Classroom Environment</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	88%	82%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning	88%	84%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	94%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	95%	92%
To build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families	93%	89%
<b>Instruction</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	95%	86%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction	95%	86%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	93%	86%
To engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction	95%	87%
To integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction	94%	87%
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)	93%	88%
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards-based content	94%	89%
To provide quality and timely feedback to students	95%	90%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	94%	84%
To differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	88%	82%
To provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	94%	87%
To understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services	94%	91%
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an IEP	93%	88%
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	94%	86%
To collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities	94%	91%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning	98%	88%
To understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students	98%	91%
To comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students	98%	93%
To support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)	98%	88%
To model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas	98%	89%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Application TEKS to support student learning	99%	94%
To provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or On line content	99%	92%
To teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills	93%	92%
To use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students	99%	92%
<b>Using Technology with Data</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use available technology to collect, manage and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic gradebook)	94%	92%
To use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students	95%	90%
To use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate	98%	89%
To use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction	96%	90%

## Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2015 – 2017

### LAMAR UNIVERSITY

Performance Standard: 70% (Reporting purposes only for 2016-17)

	<b>N</b>	<b>2014-15</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2016-17</b>
<b>Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers</b>	82	76%	74	86%	81	83%
<b>Classroom Environment</b>	82	79%	74	84%	81	86%
<b>Instruction</b>	82	75%	74	85%	81	88%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	94	74%	49	88%	67	90%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	90	74%	56	88%	52	98%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	82	77%	74	95%	81	94%
<b>Use Technology with Data</b>	82	73%	74	92%	81	94%

Alternative - Teacher training offered by education service centers, school districts and other entities, as well as colleges and universities, for individuals who already hold a baccalaureate degree.

Traditional - Teacher training offered by colleges and universities as part of an undergraduate degree program.

Traditional/Alternative - Teacher training includes undergraduate preparation as well as alternative and/or post-baccalaureate preparation

Source: State Board for Educator Certification (<https://secure.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/approvedprograms.asp?s=3>)