Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

Texas Education Agency Department of Assessment, Accountability, and Data Quality Performance-Based Monitoring Division June 2007 Copies of the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual may be purchased from:

Publications Distribution Office Texas Education Agency P.O. Box 13817 Austin, Texas 78711-3817 pubdist@tea.state.tx.us

Please use the order form on the last page of this publication. Remit \$10.00 for each copy for a non-profit institution, or \$12.00 for all others. These amounts include mailing and handling charges. Inventory of this publication is not guaranteed.

This publication can also be accessed and downloaded from the Texas Education Agency internet site at:

http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pbm/PBMASManuals.html

Copyright @ **Notice** The materials are copyrighted @ and trademarked TM as the property of the Texas Education Agency (TEA) and may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TEA, except under the following conditions:

- Texas public school districts, charter schools, and Education Service Centers may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for the districts' and schools' educational use without obtaining permission from TEA.
- 2) Residents of the state of Texas may reproduce and use copies of the Materials and Related Materials for individual personal use only without obtaining written permission of TEA.
- 3) Any portion reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety and remain unedited, unaltered and unchanged in any way.
- 4) No monetary charge can be made for the reproduced materials or any document containing them; however, a reasonable charge to cover only the cost of reproduction and distribution may be charged.

Private entities or persons located in Texas that are **not** Texas public school districts, Texas Education Service Centers, or Texas charter schools **or** any entity, whether public or private, educational or non-educational, located **outside the state of Texas** *MUST* obtain written approval from TEA and will be required to enter into a license agreement that may involve the payment of a licensing fee or a royalty.

For information contact:

Office of Copyrights, Trademarks, License Agreements, and Royalties Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701-1494 Phone: (512) 463-9270 Email: <u>copyrights@tea.state.tx.us</u>

Table of ContentsPerformance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

Section I: Introduction

| Background Information | 1 |
|---|---|
| Development of the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS) | |
| Transition to PBMAS | |
| Guiding Principles of the PBMAS. | |
| PBMAS Manual | 5 |
| Changes to the PBMAS in 2007 | 5 |
| Planning for the Future: 2008 and Beyond | 5 |

Section II: Components of the 2007 PBMAS

| Data Sources | 6 |
|--|----|
| <u>Filters</u> | 6 |
| No Data Available for an Indicator. | 6 |
| Rounding | 7 |
| Masking | 7 |
| Standards and Performance Levels | 7 |
| Changes to PBMAS Standards | |
| Report Only Indicators. | |
| Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis | |
| Required Improvement | 17 |
| System Safeguards | |

Sections III-VI: Performance Indicators

Section III: Bilingual Education (BE) / English as a Second Language (ESL) Indicators

| BE/I | ESL #1(i-v)—BE English TAKS Passing Rate | . 27 |
|------|---|------|
| BE/I | ESL #2(i-v)—ESL English TAKS Passing Rate | . 29 |

| BE/ESL #3(i-iv)—BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate | 31 |
|--|----|
| BE/ESL #4(i-iv)—ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate | 33 |
| BE/ESL #5(i-v)—LEP Year-After-Exit (YAE) English TAKS Passing Rate | 35 |
| BE/ESL #6—LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation Rate | 37 |
| BE/ESL #7—LEP Annual Dropout Rate | 39 |
| BE/ESL #8—LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | 41 |
| BE/ESL #9—LEP Graduation Rate | 43 |
| BE/ESL #10—RPTE Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate | 44 |

Section IV: Career and Technical Education (CTE) Indicators

| CTE #1(i-iv)—CTE TAKS Passing Rate | |
|---|----|
| CTE #2(i-iv)—CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate | 49 |
| CTE #3(i-iv)—CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate | 51 |
| CTE #4(i-iv)—CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate | 53 |
| CTE #5(i-iv)—CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate | 55 |
| CTE #6—CTE Annual Dropout Rate | 57 |
| CTE #7—CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | 59 |
| CTE #8—CTE Graduation Rate | 61 |
| CTE #9—CTE Nontraditional Courses—Male | |
| CTE #10—CTE Nontraditional Courses—Female. | |

Section V: No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Indicators

| NCLB #1(i-ii)—Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | 67 |
|---|----|
| NCLB #2(i-v)—Migrant TAKS Passing Rate | 69 |
| NCLB #3—Migrant Annual Dropout Rate. | 71 |
| NCLB #4—Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | 73 |
| NCLB #5—Migrant Graduation Rate | 75 |
| NCLB #6—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Progress Grades K-2) | 77 |
| NCLB #7—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Progress Grades 3-12). | 79 |
| NCLB #8—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Attainment K-2) | 81 |
| | |

| NCLB #9 (Method 1/Method 2)—Annual Measurable Achievement | Objective (LEP Student Attainment Grades 3-12) 83 |
|--|---|
| NCLB #10—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP AYP) | |

Section VI: Special Education (SPED) Indicators

| SPED #1(i-v)—SPED TAKS Passing Rate | 89 |
|--|-----|
| SPED #2(i-v)—SPED Year-After-Exit (YAE) TAKS Passing Rate | |
| SPED #3(i-iii)—SPED SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-8) | |
| SPED #4(i-ii)—SPED SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-10) | |
| SPED #5—SPED TAKS Only Participation Rate | |
| SPED #6—SPED TAKS/TAKS-I Only Participation Rate | |
| SPED #7—SPED SDAA II Only Participation Rate | 101 |
| SPED #8—SPED 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate | 103 |
| SPED #9-SPED 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate | 105 |
| SPED #10—SPED 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate | 107 |
| SPED #11—SPED Annual Dropout Rate | 109 |
| SPED #12—SPED RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | 111 |
| SPED #13—SPED Graduation Rate | 113 |
| SPED #14—SPED Identification | 115 |
| SPED #15—SPED African American Representation | 117 |
| SPED #16—SPED Hispanic Representation | |
| SPED #17—SPED LEP Representation | 121 |
| SPED #18—SPED Discretionary DAEP Placements. | |
| SPED #19—SPED Discretionary Expulsions. | |
| SPED #20—SPED Discretionary Placements to ISS | |
| SPED #20—SPED Discretionary Placements to ISS | |

Section VII: Comments and Questions

Section VIII: Appendix

| Appendix A- Career and Technical Education Nontraditional Courses |
|---|
| Appendix B – 2006 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System State Report |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

Section I: Introduction

Background Information

Over the past decade state and federal statute have guided the Texas Education Agency (TEA) in its monitoring of students served in programs that are supported by state and federal funds. These programs include special education, bilingual education, career and technical education, and many of the federal Title programs under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, which was reauthorized as the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act in 2001. The agency's monitoring efforts have also been guided by the results of external audits and reviews, including those of the State Auditor's Office (SAO), the United States Department of Education (USDE), and the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). The following information summarizes some of these external reviews as well as several of the statutory changes that have occurred, but it is not intended to provide an exhaustive description of all external reviews of the agency's monitoring responsibilities or of all legislation pertaining to state and federal monitoring.

Senate Bill 1 of the 74th Texas Legislature (1995) recodified the Texas Education Code (TEC), Chapter 29, *Educational Programs, Subchapter A, Special Education Program.* It stipulated that the agency develop and implement a statewide plan that included procedures designed to: 1) ensure state compliance with requirements for supplemental federal funding for all state-administered programs involving the delivery of instructional or related services to students with disabilities; 2) allow the agency to effectively monitor and periodically conduct site visits of all school districts to ensure that rules adopted under this section were applied in a consistent and uniform manner; 3) ensure that districts were complying with those rules; and 4) ensure that annual statistical reports filed by districts and not otherwise available through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) under TEC, §42.006, were accurate and complete.

In 1995, the agency formed a study group comprised of agency staff, school district personnel, and regional education service center representatives to conduct a needs assessment focused on addressing corrective actions as required by the findings of a report administered through the OSEP. The agency developed the District Effectiveness and Compliance (DEC) process as a result of the needs assessment. The DEC process was based on a plan to conduct onsite monitoring of special programs in every school district within a five-year cycle. That plan was later amended, with OSEP approval, to a six-year cycle.

House Bill 2172 of the 76th Texas Legislature (1999) modified the TEC, §29.010, *Compliance*, by mandating a comprehensive system for monitoring school district compliance with federal and state laws relating to special education. The monitoring system was required to provide for the ongoing analysis of district special education data and of complaints filed with the agency concerning special education services. Statute also required that the monitoring system include onsite inspections of school districts and district facilities. The agency used information obtained through an analysis of district data and from the complaints management system to determine the appropriate schedule for, and extent of, the inspection. The initial analysis led to the development of the Special Education Data Analysis System (DAS), which was a data-driven system comprised of various elements designed to predict a district or charter's overall risk of special education program area noncompliance.

A 1999 SAO report entitled, *A Report on the 1998 Financial and Compliance Audit Results* (SAO Report, No. 99-555), recommended that the agency develop an agencywide plan for federal monitoring that included steps to shift from a cyclical onsite monitoring system to a risk-based monitoring system. As a result of that report, the agency initiated the development of the Program Analysis System (PAS). PAS, like its counterpart DAS, was a data-driven system designed to predict a district or charter's overall program area risk. PAS, however, focused on programs other than special education—programs such as bilingual education, career and technical education, gifted and talented education, state compensatory education, as well as certain federal Title programs and the Optional Extended Year Program. PAS and DAS were used by the agency from 2000 to 2003 to incorporate a risk-based approach to both the DEC process and the process for conducting Comprehensive Special Education Self Evaluations and Reviews (CSESER).

House Bill 3459 of the 78th Texas Legislature, Regular Session (2003) limited and redirected the agency's monitoring activities, with the exception of special education monitoring. This legislation also included a new performance-based section on bilingual education, new local board of trustees' responsibilities for ensuring school district compliance with all applicable requirements of state programs, and an emphasis on data integrity.

Development of the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS)

Statutory changes, combined with 2003 and 2004 reorganizations of the agency, resulted in a realignment of agency functions and an emphasis on a coordinated approach to agency monitoring. Through this new approach, TEA began a coordinated implementation of several different agency evaluation and monitoring components, including the following:

- the PBMAS;
- the Data Validation System;
- federal program and fiscal compliance;
- the state accountability system, including alternative education accountability (AEA) procedures;
- federal accountability provisions, including Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP);
- the Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST);
- financial audits;
- complaints;
- due process hearings;
- governance; and
- other monitoring responsibilities such as those required by the Office for Civil Rights.

These changes also led to a new definition of agency monitoring:

Agency monitoring is 1) using a data-driven, performance-based model to observe, evaluate, and report on the public education system at the individual student group, campus, local education agency, regional, and statewide levels across diverse areas including program effectiveness, compliance with federal and state law and regulations, financial management, and data integrity for the purpose of assessing that student needs are being met; 2) promoting diagnostic and evaluative systems in local education agencies (LEAs) that are integrated with the agency's desk audit and intervention process; and 3) relying on a research-based framework of interventions that ensures compliance and enhances student success.

Transition to PBMAS

The 2003-2004 school year was a transition year for monitoring systems across the agency. Monitoring activities with districts continued while development began on a new data-driven analysis system that would focus on student performance and program effectiveness in the following program areas: bilingual education, career and technical education, special education, and NCLB. During the 2003-2004 school year, the final 165 "cycle" districts identified under DEC participated in graduated interventions focused on improvement planning. In addition, a limited number of other districts were identified for monitoring interventions as a result of previous monitoring history and/or outstanding compliance issues in their special education programs.

In 2004, the agency initiated the development of a statistically sound, meaningful set of performance indicators to evaluate student performance and program effectiveness in special program areas and in the area of data integrity. To assist in this effort, the Performance-Based Monitoring (PBM) Division conducted a series of stakeholder meetings in 2004 to gather educator input on proposed indicators, performance criteria, and performance standards. During the period of May – August 2004, several stakeholder meetings were held with diverse groups of individuals representing school districts, education service centers, professional organizations, advocacy groups, and others. Meeting participants provided input on ways to structure effective and meaningful measures to evaluate student performance and data quality. Input continues to be received through monthly Texas Education Telecommunications Network (TETN) sessions, focus group meetings, and public comment periods that are part of the annual rule adoption process for PBMAS manuals. In addition, comments and recommendations on the PBMAS may be submitted at any time through the PBM Division's e-mail address (pbm@tea.state.tx.us).

The 2004-2005 school year marked the first implementation year of the PBMAS. Features of the system included new indicators to evaluate student performance and program effectiveness and the use of performance levels rather than risk levels to report on district and charter performance. These performance levels are one of several evaluation criteria used by the agency to identify districts for further intervention or monitoring. Other evaluation criteria examined by the agency include financial and compliance information, complaints, results of due process hearings, governance issues, and previous monitoring and accountability history.

Guiding Principles of the PBMAS

The PBMAS is based on the following principles:

School District Effectiveness

PBMAS is designed to assist school districts and charters in their efforts to improve local performance.

Statutory Requirements

PBMAS is designed to meet statutory requirements.

Valid Indicators of Performance

PBMAS indicators are designed to reflect critical areas of student performance, program effectiveness, and data integrity.

Maximum Inclusion

PBMAS is designed to evaluate a maximum number of school districts and charters by using appropriate alternatives to analyze the performance of districts and charters with small numbers of students.

Individual Program Accountability

PBMAS evaluations are structured to ensure that low performance in one program area cannot be masked by high performance in other program areas or lead to interventions in program areas where performance is high.

High Standards

PBMAS is designed to encourage high standards for all students in all districts and charters. Standards will be adjusted over time to ensure high expectations continue to be set.

Annual Statewide Evaluation

PBMAS allows for the annual evaluation of a maximum number of school districts and charters in the state, and all evaluated school districts and charters can access PBMAS performance data on a yearly basis.

Public Input and Accessibility

The design, development, and implementation of PBMAS are structured to reflect public input. Performance information that PBMAS generates is available to the public.

System Evolution

PBMAS is a dynamic system in which indicators are added, revised, or deleted in response to changes and developments that occur outside of the system, including new legislation and the development of new assessments.

Coordination

PBMAS is part of an overall agency coordination strategy for the performance-based evaluation of school districts and charters.

PBMAS Manual

The *Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual* is a comprehensive technical resource designed to explain the PBMAS, which is used by the agency as one part of its overall evaluation of the performance and program effectiveness of school districts and charters. The PBMAS is a district-level, data-driven analysis system developed and implemented by the PBM Division in coordination with agency divisions representing the Department of Assessment, Accountability, and Data Quality and the Department of Standards and Programs. Key PBMAS program areas in the Department of Standards and Programs include the Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language (BE/ESL) Unit, the Career and Technical Education (CTE) Unit, the NCLB Program Coordination Division, and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Coordination Division.

Changes to the PBMAS in 2007

The 2007 PBMAS includes several key changes from the 2006 system. A new graduation rate indicator is previewed in each of the four program areas. Performance levels will be assigned for the four Recommended High School Program / Distinguished Achievement Program (RHSP/DAP) indicators that have been Report Only for the last three years. The CTE program area has been renamed Career and <u>Technical</u> Education. In addition, the Nontraditional Course indicators in the CTE program area are reported using a new list of nontraditional courses (see Appendix A). The Highly Qualified Teachers indicator has been deleted from the NCLB program area. The required improvement component has been expanded to many more indicators, and science has been added as a subject area for which professional judgment special analysis is available on certain indicators. The Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills-Alternate (TAKS-Alt¹) has been added to the PBMAS participation indicators. Two indicators in the special education program area that evaluate placements in less restrictive environments (LRE) have changed. A performance level will be assigned to the 3-5 LRE indicator, and the age range for the 3-11 LRE indicator has changed to 6-11. Additional numerator controls have been added to the special education identification and representation indicators, and some of the performance level cut points for the representation indicators have been adjusted. The special education Statewide Assessment Exemption indicator has been suspended for 2007 and will be revised in 2008 to reflect new assessments for students with disabilities. And finally, additional specificity has been added to the PBMAS performance levels to designate required improvement and professional judgment special analysis. Changes to the PBMAS indicators for 2007 are marked in the manual as "*New!*" for easy reference.

Planning for the Future: 2008 and Beyond

It is anticipated that the ongoing implementation of PBMAS will include the addition of new indicators, revision of current indicators, and deletion of indicators that are no longer necessary. Factors independent from the PBMAS itself are also likely to have an impact on the future versions of PBMAS. These factors include the following:

- replacement of the State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II) with alternate assessments for students with disabilities in 2008;
- reauthorizations of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act, IDEA, and NCLB;
- results of any USDE reviews, guidance, or regulations;
- changes to data collection definitions and processes; and
- legislation from a regular or special legislative session.

¹ TAKS-Alt is an assessment designed for students with significant cognitive disabilities to meet the federal requirements mandated under the NCLB Act. TAKS-Alt involves teachers observing students as they complete teacher-designed activities that link to the grade-level Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) curriculum. Teachers submit results and documentation through an online instrument. TAKS-Alt is tested in the same grades and subjects as the general assessment (TAKS).

Section II: Components of the 2007 PBMAS

Data Sources

Data used in PBMAS come from a variety of sources. Student assessment data, including Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) data, are obtained from data sets produced by the Student Assessment Division of the agency. Data obtained from other divisions within TEA include the list of dropouts and graduation data from the Division of Accountability Research; Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS), AYP, and graduation data from the Performance Reporting Division; and PEIMS data from the PEIMS Division. The data source for each performance indicator is included as a part of the explanation of each indicator described in this manual.

The calculations for each indicator are based on the most current data available and, for ease of understanding, are presented in this manual as single-year calculations. In certain instances, however, multiple years of data are combined in order to meet minimum size requirements. (See *Minimum Size Requirements* on page 12.)

The PBMAS relies on district-reported data. As such, the integrity of the system is ensured only when districts collect and submit accurate data. (See also *No Data Available for an Indicator* below.)

<u>Filters</u>

At times, there are unique circumstances surrounding the evaluation of a district on a particular performance indicator. For example, a residential facility for students with disabilities in a particular district is likely to increase the percentage of students identified for special education programs. As such, it may be appropriate to apply certain filters to the data sets before performance indicators are calculated. Any filters applied to data sets used to calculate performance indicators are included in the description of the indicator in this manual. In addition, TEC, §39.072, requires that the performance of students in certain Texas Youth Commission settings be excluded from districts' student performance determinations, and these exclusions have been applied to the PBMAS calculations.

No Data Available for an Indicator

A district with no data available for evaluation receives a designation of "*No Data*", meaning that the district cannot be evaluated because of an absence of data. For example, if a district has no migrant students, then for all performance indicators applied to migrant students (such as NCLB #2 Migrant TAKS Passing Rate or NCLB #3 Migrant Annual Dropout Rate), the PBMAS report for the district will show "*No Data*" instead of a performance level on those indicators. Districts with one or more designations of "*No Data*" should examine their local data collection and submission procedures as well as the Data Source section for each PBMAS indicator to confirm the accuracy of the "*No Data*" designation. It is the ongoing responsibility of districts to ensure that students are coded correctly for both PEIMS and student assessment data. In addition, data integrity analyses and reviews are conducted by the agency as part of its performance-based monitoring activities.

Rounding

All PBMAS calculations are rounded to one decimal place; for example, 79.877% is rounded to 79.9%.

<u>Masking</u>

District data are released to each school district and charter as allowed under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). PBMAS data released to the public are masked to protect student confidentiality.

Standards and Performance Levels

A performance level is the result that occurs when a standard is applied to a district's performance on an indicator. The performance levels available for indicators in the 2007 PBMAS include *Not Assigned*, *0*, *0SA*, *0PJSA*, *0RI*, *1*, *1SA*, *1PJSA*, *2*, *2SA*, *2PJSA*, *3*, *3SA*, *or 3PJSA*. (SA refers to special analysis, while PJSA refers to professional judgment special analysis; both are described in the *Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis* section below. RI refers to Required Improvement, which is also described in a separate section below.) A performance level of *0* is the highest designation for any indicator, meaning that the district met the standard for the indicator. A performance level of *3* is the lowest designation, indicating that the district performance was farthest from the *0* - *Met Standard* designation. (An exception to these performance levels can be found in certain NCLB indicators where designations of <u>Met</u> and <u>Not Met</u> are used.)

Types of Standards

Absolute standards are tied to an absolute requirement or goal that all districts have the possibility of achieving each year. The state accountability system provides absolute standards to which PBMAS standards can be aligned for certain TAKS indicators. The standards, by subject, for a rating of *Academically Acceptable* in the 2007 state accountability system are as follows:

| TAKS Subject | Percent of Students Passing |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| Mathematics | 45.0% |
| Reading/ELA* | 65.0% |
| Science | 40.0% |
| Social Studies | 65.0% |
| Writing | 65.0% |

* English Language Arts (ELA)

Standards for certain PBMAS TAKS indicators are aligned with these state accountability standards so that a district achieving the performance standard for an accountability rating of *Academically Acceptable* in a TAKS subject area test receives a PBMAS performance level assignment of 0 - Met Standard for that TAKS subject area indicator in PBMAS. In standard PBMAS analysis, a district with performance up to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level assignment of 1, and a district with performance 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level assignment of 2. Any district with performance 10.1 or more percentage points below the state accountability standard receives a performance level of 3, the lowest designation in the PBMAS. The following chart summarizes the assignment of performance levels for many of the PBMAS TAKS indicators:

| Performance Level Criterion: District Group TAKS Passing Rate Compared to PBMAS Standards | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance |
| Level = Not Assigned | Level = 0 | Level = 1 | Level = 2 | Level = 3 |
| | (met standard) | (Also includes 1SA and | (Also includes 2SA and 2DISA) | (Also includes 3SA and 3DISA) |
| | (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | 11 JSA) | 2F JSA) | JE JSA) |
| PL not equal to 0 | The district group | The district group | The district group | The district group |
| and | TAKS passing rate is | TAKS passing rate is | TAKS passing rate is | TAKS passing rate is |
| special analysis | at or above the state | 0.1 to 5.0 percentage | 5.1 to 10.0 percentage | at least 10.1 |
| determination process | accountability | points below the state | points below the state | percentage points |
| results in the | standard for the | accountability | accountability | below the state |
| assignment of a | subject. (Minimum | standard for the | standard for the | accountability |
| performance level of | size requirements not | subject. | subject. | standard for the |
| Not Assigned. | applicable if $PL = 0$.) | | | subject. |

Absolute standards set in the federal AYP system are also used in some of the PBMAS indicators.

Relative standards are not tied to an absolute requirement or goal. Rather, they are usually based on the distribution of scores of the population being evaluated. While absolute standards are preferred, they are not always possible to determine with a new indicator and may not always be appropriate depending on the purpose of a particular indicator. Relative standards may be used in the PBMAS to determine a baseline absolute standard for certain indicators.

Changes to PBMAS Standards

As part of the annual PBMAS development cycle, the agency considers the standards for each PBMAS indicator. A decision to increase standards for one or more indicators is based on a careful consideration of the following:

- whether a state or federal goal has been identified for the indicator;
- actual improvement on the indicator over one or more previous years;
- amount of improvement that is reasonable for the indicator;
- overall impact on the PBMAS of increased standards;
- other considerations that could affect performance on particular indicators;
- setting appropriate standards across similar indicators; and
- external input.

The table below identifies the PBMAS standards for 2006 and 2007, as well as anticipated standards for 2008. However, all standards are subject to change as a result of any state or federal requirements that the agency is required to implement.

| PBMAS STANDARDS | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| TPD - To Do Determined, LED - Limited English Dusfisiont | PBMAS Standards by Year | | | |
| <i>IBD</i> = 10 Be Determined; <i>LEP</i> = Limited English Proficient | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
| | Subject | | | |
| | Mathematics | 40.0% | 45.0% | 50.0% |
| TAKS Indicators (All program areas) | Reading/ELA | 60.0% | 65.0% | 70.0% |
| Trico indicators (Tric program areas) | Science | 35.0% | 40.0% | 45.0% |
| | Social Studies | 60.0% | 65.0% | 65.0% |
| | Writing | 60.0% | 65.0% | 65.0% |
| Annual Dropout Rate Indicators (All program areas) | | 2.0% | 2.0% | TBD |
| Bilingual Education (BE)/English as a Second Language (ESL) Indicators | | | | |
| LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation Rate | | 82.0% | 82.0% | TBD |
| LEP Recommended High School Program (RHSP)/Distinguished Achievement Program | | Report | (0.00/ | (0.00/ |
| (DAF) Dipionia Kate | | Only | 60.0% | 60.0% |
| LEP Graduation Rate | | | Report Only | Report Only |
| Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) | | Report | Report | |
| Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate | | Only | Only | TBD |
| Career and Technical Education (CTE) Indicators | | | | |
| Non-Traditional Courses-Male | | Report | Report | TDD |
| | | Only | Only | TBD |
| Non-Traditional Courses-Female | | Report Only | Report Only | TBD |
| CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | | Report | | |
| 1 | | Only | 70.0% | 70.0% |
| CTE Graduation Rate | | | Report Only | Report Only |

| PBMAS STANDARDS | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| TPD - To Po Determined, LED - Limited English Profisiont | PBMAS Standards by Year | | | | | |
| <i>IBD – 10 Be Determined, LEF – Limited English Proficient</i> | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | | |
| No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act Indicators | | | | | | |
| | Subject | | | | | |
| AYP Performance | Mathematics | 42.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | | |
| | Reading/ELA | 53.0% | 60.0% | 60.0% | | |
| Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | | Report Only | 70.0% | 70.0% | | |
| Migrant Graduation Rate | | | Report Only | Report Only | | |
| Highly Qualified Teachers as Defined by NCLB (Deleted in the 2007 PBMAS) | | 95.0% | | | | |
| Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Progress K-2) | | 15.0% | 17.0% | TBD | | |
| Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Progress Grades 3-12) | | 42.0% | 44.0% | TBD | | |
| Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Attainment K-2) | | 2.0% | 2.5% | TBD | | |
| Annual Measurable Achievement Objective | Method 1 | 25.5% | 26.0% | TBD | | |
| (LEP Attainment Grades 3-12) | Method 2 | 42.0% | 44.0% | TBD | | |
| Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP AYP Status) | | Met AYP | Met AYP | Met AYP | | |
| Special Education Indicators | | | | | | |
| SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-8) | Subject | | | | | |
| | Mathematics | 48.1% | 48.1% | | | |
| | Reading | 43.1% | 43.1% | | | |
| | Writing | Report Only | Report Only | | | |
| SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-10) | Subject | | | | | |
| | Mathematics | Report Only | Report Only | | | |
| | Reading/ELA | Report Only | Report Only | | | |

| PBMAS STANDARDS | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| TRD - To Be Determined: IFP - Limited English Proficient | PBMAS Standards by Year | | | | | | |
| TDD – To be Determined, LET – Limited English Trojicieni | | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | | | |
| Special Education Indicators (continued) | | - | | | | | |
| TAKS Only Participation Rate | | 20.0% | 20.0% | TBD | | | |
| TAKS/TAKS-I Only Participation Rate | | Report Only | Report Only | TBD | | | |
| SDAA II Only Participation Rate | | 56.0% | 56.0% | | | | |
| Statewide Assessment Exemption Rate | Average Daily A | ttendance (A | ADA) | | | | |
| | 1600 or higher | 3.0% | | | | | |
| | Less than 1600 | 8.0% | | | | | |
| 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | Report Only | 11.0% | TBD | | | |
| 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | 25.0% | 25.0% | TBD | | | |
| 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | 46.5% | 46.5% | TBD | | | |
| RHSP/DAP Graduation Rate | | Report Only | 16.5% | 16.5% | | | |
| Identification | | 8.5% | 8.5% | 8.5% | | | |
| African American Representation | | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | | | |
| Hispanic Representation | | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | | | |
| LEP Representation | | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | | | |
| Discretionary Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP) Placements | | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | | | |
| Discretionary Expulsions | | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | 1.0 percentage point | | | |
| Discretionary Placements to In-School Suspension (ISS) | | 16.0 percentage points | 16.0 percentage points | TBD | | | |

Report Only Indicators

Some PBMAS indicators are reported for district information and planning purposes. For these indicators, the district performance will be reported along with the overall statewide rate for the indicator. No minimum size requirements are applied, and no performance levels are set for these indicators.

After a period of two years, performance levels are typically assigned to Report Only indicators, and district performance on these indicators will be evaluated. The inclusion of Report Only indicators in PBMAS provides districts with an opportunity to review current performance and plan ahead.

Minimum Size Requirements and Special Analysis

A minimum size requirement is incorporated into all indicators that are assigned a performance level (PL). In general, districts must have at least thirty (30) students in the relevant segment of the student population <u>denominator</u> to be evaluated on an indicator using the standard PBMAS analysis. In addition, depending on the particular indicator districts must have at least five (5) or thirty (30) students in the relevant segment of the student population <u>numerator</u> to be evaluated on certain PBMAS indicators. The minimum size requirements are noted in the description of each indicator in this manual.

Minimum size requirements can be met either in the current year or through the aggregation of numerators and denominators over the most recent two years. If the minimum size requirement is met for a particular performance indicator, then a district is evaluated using the standard PBMAS analysis. Under standard analysis, when minimum size requirements are met with the current year's data, a performance level is assigned based on that data in relation to the standard for the indicator. When minimum size requirements are met based on the most recent two years of data, the numerator and denominator for the current and prior years are aggregated, the indicator is calculated, and a performance level is assigned based on the current year's standard for the indicator. Depending on the particular indicator, there may be one or two prior years of data that are aggregated in the assignment of a performance level. If the minimum size requirement is not met, then the district is evaluated under a process called "special analysis," which is described below.

There is one exception to the minimum size requirement. If a district does not meet the minimum size requirement for an indicator, but the performance of the district meets the criteria to earn a performance level of 0 - Met Standard, then the district receives a performance level of 0, regardless of the number of students in the relevant segment of the student population.

Special analysis is a tool that can be used to analyze the performance of districts and charters with small numbers of students. Performance levels established using the special analysis process will have "SA" or "PJSA" appended (*OSA, OPJSA, 1SA, 1PJSA, 2SA, 2PJSA, 3SA, 3PJSA*) and will be included on PBMAS reports to districts and charters.

The flowcharts on the following pages depict the process of determining whether standard or special analysis (including professional judgment special analysis) is applied in the PBMAS:

2007 PBMAS Performance Level Assignment and Special Analysis Determination Process



Note: For indicators eligible for the PBMAS special analysis process that have minimum size requirements in both the denominator and the numerator(s), a district's group size is determined by the *smallest* denominator or numerator(s) over the most recent two years.



2007 PBMAS Performance Level Assignment and Special Analysis Process for Group Size of 5-14 (sum of most current two years)



This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Required Improvement

The PBMAS, by design, has a built-in improvement component. Because the system includes a range of performance levels, districts that demonstrate improvement from one year to the next can progress from one performance level to another. For example, a district with 55% of its Special Education (SPED) students passing the TAKS reading/ELA test in 2006 received a performance level of 1. If that same district is able to improve the SPED TAKS reading passing rate to 65% in 2007, it would receive a performance level of 0 because its performance meets the 2007 standard.

In addition to the system's built-in improvement component, the 2007 PBMAS will again include implementation of a "required improvement" component for certain indicators, similar to the required improvement feature in the state accountability system. The 2007 PBMAS indicators for which required improvement is available are the following:

- BE/ESL #1(i-v)—BE English TAKS Passing Rate
- BE/ESL #2(i-v)—ESL English TAKS Passing Rate
- BE/ESL #3(i-iv)—BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate
- BE/ESL #4(i-iv)—ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate
- BE/ESL #6—LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation Rate
- BE/ESL #8—LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate
- CTE #1(i-iv)—CTE TAKS Passing Rate
- CTE #2(i-iv)—CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate
- CTE #3(i-iv)—CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate
- CTE #4(i-iv)—CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate
- CTE #5(i-iv)—CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate
- CTE #7—CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate
- NCLB #2(i-iv)—Migrant TAKS Passing Rate
- NCLB #4—Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate
- NCLB #6—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Progress Grades K-2)
- NCLB #7—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Progress Grades 3-12)
- NCLB #8—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Attainment Grades K-2)
- NCLB #9—Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Attainment Grades 3-12)
- SPED #1(i-iv)—SPED TAKS Passing Rate
- SPED #5—SPED TAKS Only Participation Rate

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

- SPED #8—SPED 3-5 Year-Olds in Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate
- SPED #10—SPED 12-21 Year-Olds in Less Restrictive Environments Placement Rate
- SPED #12—SPED RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate
- SPED #14—SPED Identification
- SPED # 15—SPED African American Representation
- SPED #16—SPED Hispanic Representation
- SPED #17—SPED LEP Representation
- SPED #18—SPED Discretionary Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP) Placements
- SPED #19—SPED Discretionary Expulsions
- SPED #20—SPED Discretionary Placements to In-School Suspension (ISS)

For the <u>TAKS passing rate indicators</u> where required improvement is available, the following calculation will be used for districts that meet minimum size requirements in both the current and previous year <u>and</u> have a performance level that is not equal to 0 - Met Standard:

| <u>Actual Change:</u> | | <u>Required Improvement:</u> |
|---|-----|--|
| [performance in 2007] – [performance in 2006] | 2 · | [standard for 2010] – [performance in 2006] [number of years to reach standard] |

| | EXAMPLE OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT USING SPECIAL EDUCATION INDICATOR #1(ii): SPED TAKS Passing Rate (Reading/ELA) | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | 1. First, calculate the Actual Change for the district's SPED TAKS reading/ELA passing rate: | | | | | | |
| | Actual Change: | | | | | | |
| | $\begin{array}{rcl} [50.0\%] &- & [43.0\%] &= & 7.0 \\ (2007) & (2006) \end{array}$ | | | | | | |
| 2. | Next, calculate the Required Improvement for the district's SPED TAKS reading passing rate: | | | | | | |
| | <u>Required Improvement:</u> | | | | | | |
| | $\frac{[70.0\%^*] - [43.0\%]}{6(2012-2006)} = 4.5$ | | | | | | |
| | Note: The 2012 target year allows districts two years beyond 2010 to reach the 2010 standard. | | | | | | |
| 3. | Then compare the two numbers to see if the Actual Change is greater than or equal to the Required Improvement : $7.0 > 4.5$. (Gains in passing rates are measured in <i>positive</i> numbers.) | | | | | | |
| 4. | Result: the district meets Required Improvement and receives a performance level of <i>0</i> – <i>Met Standard</i> . | | | | | | |

^{*} In 2010, the anticipated standard in the state accountability system for Academically Acceptable for TAKS reading/ELA is currently 70% passing. The PBMAS TAKS passing rate target standards used for 2007 Required Improvement will be equivalent to the Academically Acceptable standards in the state accountability system currently planned for each subject area in 2010. For information on anticipated standards in the state accountability system, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/.

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

For all other indicators for which required improvement is available, the only differences in the required improvement calculation are that the target year standard is the current [2007] PBMAS standard and the number of years to reach the standard is two. In addition, note that the calculation below measures *reductions* in rates which are measured in *negative* numbers. The actual change in special education identification needs to be *less than or equal to Required Improvement* for the standard to be met.

| | EXAMPLE OF REQUIRED IMPROVEMENT USING SPECIAL EDUCATION INDICATOR #14: SPED Identification | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | 1. First, calculate the Actual Change for the district's SPED identification rate: | | | | | |
| | Actual Change: | | | | | |
| | $ \begin{array}{cccc} [15.0\%] &- [25.0\%] && -10.0 \\ (2007) & (2006) &= \end{array} $ | | | | | |
| 2. | 2. Next, calculate the Required Improvement for the district's SPED identification rate: | | | | | |
| | Required Improvement: | | | | | |
| | $\frac{[8.5\%] - [25.0\%]}{2 (2008-2006)} = -8.25$ | | | | | |
| 3. | Then compare the two numbers to see if the Actual Change is less than or equal to the Required Improvement: $-10.0 < -8.25$. (Reductions in identification rates are measured in <i>negative</i> numbers.) | | | | | |
| 4. | Result: the district meets Required Improvement and receives a performance level of <i>0</i> – <i>Met Standard</i> . | | | | | |

System Safeguards

System safeguard activities are conducted by the agency to ensure the integrity of the performance-based monitoring system. These activities include data validation analyses of leaver data, student assessment data, and discipline data as well as random or other means of selection to verify system effectiveness and districts' implementation of monitoring requirements, including, but not limited to, accuracy of data reporting, implementation of intervention activities, implementation of plans for improvement or correction, and accuracy of findings made through the performance-based monitoring process.

Performance-based monitoring interventions determinations are based on PBMAS performance levels and other criteria as well as results of the data validation analyses described above. Sanctions are applied as necessary and according to state and federal law and Texas Administrative Code, including, but not limited to, Texas Education Code §39.075.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Sections III - VI

Performance Indicators This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SECTION III:

Bilingual Education (BE)/ English as a Second Language (ESL) Indicators This Page Intentionally Left Blank

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #1(i-v)</u>: BE English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of Bilingual Education (BE) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district BE English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

=

District BE passing rate for an English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test District number of BE students who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007

District number of BE students who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | DATA SOURCE | |
|---|-----------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 BE English TAKS test takers in the subject area. <i>New!</i> Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics and Reading/ELA subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | ist 30 BE English T analysis is available ect areas evaluated analysis under this | AKS test e in the under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved bilingual program on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Bilingual indicator code). |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| • The BE English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | or each TAKS subj following grades: | ect test is | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | • Reading and ELA are combined. |
| | 1(i) | Mathematics | 3-11 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| | 1(ii) | Reading/ELA | 3-11 | | grades. |
| | 1(iii) | Science | 5, 10, 11 | | |
| | 1(iv) | Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 | | |
| | 1(v) | Writing | 4, 7 |] | |
| | | | | - | |

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district BE English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District BE English TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district BE English TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district BE English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district BE English TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district BE English TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the BE English TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Science, Social Studies, and Writing.

Note: The state *Academically Acceptable* accountability standard for each subject is used for this indicator. Those standards are listed below:

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 1(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 1(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 1(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 1(v) | Writing | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #2(i-v)</u>: ESL English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of English as a Second Language (ESL) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

District ESL

For each district, calculate the district ESL English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

District number of ESL students who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 passing rate for an English TAKS District number of ESL students who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 [subject (i-v)] test **DATA SOURCE** MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 ESL English TAKS test The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students • ٠ takers in the subject area. reported by the district as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics participating in a state-approved ESL program on the spring 2007 and Reading/ELA subject areas evaluated under this indicator. TAKS answer documents (ESL indicator code). Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. NOTES The ESL English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is *New!* Required improvement is available for this indicator. ٠ based on results from students in the following grades: Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. **Subject Test** Grade Levels Indicator Reading and ELA are combined. Mathematics 3-11 2(i)The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across Reading/ELA 3-11 2(ii)grades. 5, 10, 11 2(iii)Science 2(iv)Social Studies 8, 10, 11 Writing 2(v) 4.7

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District ESL English TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district ESL English TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the ESL English TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Science, Social Studies, and Writing.

Note: The state *Academically Acceptable* accountability standard for each subject is used for this indicator. Those standards are listed below:

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | $\mathbf{PL} = 0$ | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 2(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 2(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 2(v) | Writing | 65.0% | \geq 65.0% | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
<u>BE/ESL Indicator #3(i-iv)</u>: BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of Bilingual Education (BE) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading, Science, Writing) in Spanish.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

=

District BE passing rate for a Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test District number of BE students who passed the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

District number of BE students who took the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS | AND SPECIAL A | ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At a takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special anal Mathematics, Reading, and Scienc this indicator. Three years of data are available for the subject area and the subject area. | east 30 BE Spanish 7 ysis is available in the subject areas evalua r analysis under this | FAKS test e ated under indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved bilingual program on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Bilingual indicator code). |
| NOTES | | | |
| • The BE Spanish TAKS passing rat based on results from students in the | e for each TAKS sub e following grades: | ject test is | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. <i>New!</i> Professional judgment special analysis is available for science. |
| Indicator Subject Test | Grade Levels | | • Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included |
| 3(i) Mathematics | 3-6 | | The account chility subset is used, and results are summed across |
| 3(ii) Reading | 3-6 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across grades |
| 3(iii) Science | 5 | | grades. |
| 3(iv) Writing | 4 | | |
| | | | |

The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district BE Spanish TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the BE Spanish TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Writing.

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL =0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|-------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 3(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 3(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 3(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 3(iv) | Writing | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #4(i-iv)</u>: ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of English as a Second Language (ESL) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading, Science, Writing) in Spanish.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

District ESL passing rate for a Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test

District number of ESL students who passed the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

District number of ESL students who took the Spanish TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | DATA SOURCE | |
|--|-----------|--------------|---|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 ESL Spanish TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics and Reading subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | ast 30 ESL Spanish is is available in the under this indicator analysis under this i | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as participating in a state-approved ESL program on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (ESL indicator code). | |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| • The ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | | | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels |] | The account chility subject is used and regults are summed across |
| | 4(i) | Mathematics | 3-6 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| | 4(ii) | Reading | 3-6 | | grades. |
| 4(iii) Science 5 | | | | | |
| | 4(iv) | Writing | 4 |] | |
| | | | | | |

The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district ESL Spanish TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the ESL Spanish TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Science and Writing.

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | $\mathbf{PL} = 0$ | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 4(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 4(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 4(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 4(iv) | Writing | 65.0% | \geq 65.0% | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #5(i-v)</u>: LEP Year-After-Exit (YAE) English TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of former limited English proficient (LEP) students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) in English.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

4,7

| District LEP YAE passing | District number of students in their first year of monitoring who passed the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 |
|--------------------------|---|
| subject (i-v)] test | District number of students in their first year of monitoring who took the English TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 |
| | |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | | DATA SOURCE | |
|---|---|--------|--|---|----------------|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP YAE English TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | st 30 LEP YAE Eng is is not available fo analysis under this i | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents as having met the criteria for BE/ESL program exit, no longer classified as LEP in PEIMS, and in their first year of monitoring (M1 indicator code). | | |
| NOTE | ES | | | | | |
| • , | • The LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | rate for each TAKS e following grades: | S subject test | Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. Reading and ELA are combined |
| | Indicator Subject Test Grade Levels | |] | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across | | |
| | | 5(i) | Mathematics | 3-11 | | grades. |
| | | 5(ii) | Reading/ELA | 3-11 | | |
| | | 5(iii) | Science | 5, 10, 11 | | |
| | | 5(iv) | Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 | | |

Writing

5(v)

The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District LEP YAE English TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| PerformancePerformanceLevel = NotLevel = 0Assigned(met standard)(Also includes 0SA) | | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district LEP YAE English TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | $\mathbf{PL} = 0$ | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 5(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 5(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 5(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 5(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 5(v) | Writing | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

BE/ESL Indicator #6: LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation Rate

This indicator is the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students taking the TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II in every subject (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, and Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II participation rate:

| District LEP | District number of LEP stude | nts (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II for all subjects in spring 2007 |
|---|--|---|
| TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II = participation rate | District number of LEP student document was submitted in spre | ts (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer ing 2007 and district number of students who participated in the TAKS-Alt field test in spring 2007 |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS A | ND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
| Minimum Size Requirements: At lease 11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SI submitted in spring 2007 or who partie TAKS-Alt field test. Professional judgment special analysis indicator. | st 30 LEP students (Grades 3- DAA II answer document was cipated in the spring 2007 s is not available for this | • The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as LEP on the spring 2007 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents (LEP indicator code) or through the spring 2007 TAKS-Alt field test. |

• Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

NOTES

- *New!* Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- LEP exempt students taking a linguistically accommodated TAKS or SDAA II test are not included in the numerator of this indicator.
- The LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Subject Test | TAKS Grade Levels | TAKS-I Grade Levels | SDAA II Grade Levels |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Mathematics | 3-11 | 11 | 3-10 |
| Reading/ELA | 3-11 | 11 | 3-10 |
| Science | 5, 8, 10, 11 | 5, 8, 10, 11 | |
| Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 | 8, 10, 11 | |
| Writing | 4, 7 | | 4, 7 |

The district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II participation rate and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation Rate | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/ SDAA II participation rate is 82.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/ SDAA II participation rate is between 75.0% and 81.9%. | The district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/ SDAA II participation rate is between 64.0% and 74.9%. | The district LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/ SDAA II participation rate is 63.9% or lower. | | | | |

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #7</u>: LEP Annual Dropout Rate

This indicator is the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district LEP annual dropout rate:

District LEP annual dropout rate District number of LEP students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006

District number of LEP students (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2005-2006

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students (Grades 7-12) in attendance and at least 5 LEP dropouts in 2005-2006. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . |
| | |
| NOTES | |

- Dropout data are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- *New!* As required by state law [§39.051(b)(2)], the annual dropout rate must now be computed in accordance with the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) dropout definition. In the 2005-2006 school year, districts began collecting information according to the new definition and began submitting data using the new definition in the 2006-2007 school year. Students who dropped out during the 2005-2006 school year are reported in the 2007 PBMAS in accordance with the NCES dropout definition.

The district LEP annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the annual dropout rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District LEP Annual Dropout Rate | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | | | | | | |
| Assigned | (met standard) | | | | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district LEP annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0 | The district LEP annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 5.0%. | The district LEP annual dropout rate is between 5.1% and 8.0%. | The district LEP annual dropout rate is 8.1% or higher. | | | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #8</u>: LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator is the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of LEP students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

| District LEP | | District number of LEP students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2005-2006 |
|--------------|---|---|
| RHSP/DAP | = | |
| diploma rate | | District number of LEP students who graduated in 2005-2006 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP graduates. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the RHSP/DAP diploma rate, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/ . |
| NOTES | |
| <i>New!</i> Performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007. <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | |

The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Cr | Performance Level Criterion: District LEP RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | | |
| PerformancePerformancePerformancePerformancePerformanceLevel = NotLevel = 0Level = 1Level = 2Level = 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Assigned | (met standard) (Also includes 0RI) | | | | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 60.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 59.9%. | The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%. | The district LEP RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower. | | | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #9</u>: LEP Graduation Rate

This indicator is the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of LEP students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

District LEP graduation rate = District number of LEP students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma in 2005-2006 District number of LEP students in the Class of 2006

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the LEP graduation rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . | | | | | |
| NOTES | | | | | | |
| <i>New!</i> This is a Report only indicator for 2007. The district LEP graduation rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007. Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | | | | | | |

<u>BE/ESL Indicator #10</u>: RPTE Multi-Year Beginning Proficiency Level Rate

This indicator is the percent of BE and ESL students performing at the Beginning proficiency level on the RPTE for multiple years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district RPTE multi-year Beginning proficiency level rate:

| District RPTE multi- | | District number of BE/ESL students in Grades 3-12 scoring at the Beginning level of RPTE for any two or more years |
|--|---|---|
| year Beginning proficiency level rate | = | District number of BE/ESL students in Grades 3-12 tested on RPTE in any two or more years of the spring 2005, 2006, and 2007 test administrations |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students meeting the following criteria: (1) enrolled in the district for two or more years based on the PEIMS fall snapshot data from 2004, 2005, and 2006 (110 Record); and (2) reported on the spring 2005, 2006, or 2007 TELPAS answer documents as participating in the district's state-approved BE or ESL program for one or more years. | | | | | | |
| NOTES | | | | | | | |
| • This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The district RPTE multi-year Beginning proficiency level rate is reported for district information and | | | | | | | |

planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007.

SECTION IV:

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

<u>CTE Indicator #1(i-iv)</u>: CTE TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

=

District CTE passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test District number of CTE students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

District number of CTE students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM | SIZE REQ | UIREMENTS A | ND SPECIAL A | DATA SOURCE | | |
|--|-----------|--------------|--|---|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics, Reading/ELA, and Science subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | t 30 CTE TAKS te is available in the as evaluated under nalysis under this in | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as a 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Career and Technical Education indicator code). | | |
| NOTES | | | | | | |
| • The CTE TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | | | <i>New!</i> Professional judgment special analysis is available for science. Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 | |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the | |
| | 1(i) | Mathematics | 9-11 | | calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.) | |
| 1(ii) Reading/ELA 9-11 | | | | • Reading and ELA are combined. | | |
| 1(iii) Science 10, 11 | | | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across | | |
| 1(iv) Social Studies 10, 11 | | | 10, 11 | grades. | | |
| | | | | | • Required improvement is available for this indicator. | |

The district CTE TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District CTE TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | PerformancePerformanceLevel = NotLevel = 0Assigned(met standard)(Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district CTE TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | | |

* For the CTE TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Social Studies.

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | $\mathbf{PL} = 0$ | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 1(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 1(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 1(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>CTE Indicator #2(i-iv)</u>: **CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate**

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) with limited English proficiency (LEP) who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

District CTE LEP passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test [subject (i-iv)] test District number of CTE LEP students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 District number of CTE LEP students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007

| MINI | MUM S | SIZE REQ | UIREMENTS A | ND SPECIAL A | NALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE LEP TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as LEP and a 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (LEP and Career and Technical Education indicator codes). | | | |
| NOTE | S | | | | | | | |
| • | The CT on result | TE LEP TAK lts from stud | KS passing rate for elements in the following | each TAKS subjec ng grades: | t test is based | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation | | |
| | | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.) | | |
| | | 2(i) | Mathematics | 9-11 |] | Reading and ELA are combined. | | |
| | | 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 9-11 |] | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across | | |
| | | 2(iii) | Science | 10, 11 | | grades. | | |
| | | 2(iv) | Social Studies | 10, 11 |] | | | |
| | | | | | - | | | |

The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District CTE LEP TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Perfor | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE LEP TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | |

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 2(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 2(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>CTE Indicator #3(i-iv)</u>: CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) who are economically disadvantaged and who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

| District CTE economically | District number of CTE economically disadvantaged students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test | District number of CTE economically disadvantaged students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 | | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | | |

| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics and Reading/ELA subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as economically disadvantaged and a 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Economic Disadvantage and Career and Technical Education indicator codes). |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--|
| NOTES | | | | | |
| • The TAK grad | CTE economi S subject test es: | cally disadvantaged is based on results f | TAKS passing rate from students in the | e for each following | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.) |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | • Reading and ELA are combined. |
| | 3(i) | Mathematics | 9-11 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| | 3(ii) | Reading/ELA | 9-11 |] | grades. |
| | 3(iii) | Science | 10, 11 | | |
| | 3(iv) | Social Studies | 10, 11 | | |
| | | | | | |

The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Perfor | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE economically disadvantaged TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | |

* For the CTE Economically Disadvantaged TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Science and Social Studies.

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 3(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 3(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 3(iii) | Science | 40.0% | $\geq 40.0\%$ | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | ≤29.9% |
| 3(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>CTE Indicator #4(i-iv)</u>: **CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate**

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-11) who receive special education services and who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE special education TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

| District CTE special | _ | District number of CTE special education students (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test | _ | District number of CTE special education students (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | | DATA SOURCE | | |
|---|---|----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE special education TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as a participant in a special education program and a 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) participant on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Special Education and Career and Technical Education indicator codes). | | |
| NOTES | | | | | | | |
| • The C test is | • The CTE special education TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Only Career and Technical Education students with status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculat | | |
| | IndicatorSubject TestGrade Levels4(i)Mathematics9-11 | | | of this indicator. (See also Data Source above.) | | | |
| | | | | Reading and ELA are combined. | | | |
| | 4(ii) | Reading/ELA | 9-11 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across | | |
| | 4(iii) | Science | 10, 11 | | grades. | | |
| | 4(iv) | Social Studies | 10, 11 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District CTE Special Education TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Perfor | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE special education TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | |

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 4(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 4(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 4(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 4(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>CTE Indicator #5(i-iv)</u>: CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students coded as Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

| District CTE Tech Prep passing rate | District number of CTE Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who passed the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 |
|--|--|
| for a TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test | District number of CTE Tech Prep program participants (Grades 9-11) who took the TAKS [subject (i-iv)] test in spring 2007 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | DATA SOURCE | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------|---|---|--|
| M tal Pr an Th | Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE Tech Prep TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics and Reading/ELA subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | ep TAKS test e Mathematics icator. indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as a 3 (Tech Prep) program participant on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Career and Technical Education indicator code). | |
| NOTES | | | | | | |
| • The CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | | <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Only Career and Technical Education students with status code 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. | | |
| | Indicator Subject Test Grade Levels | | | | (See also Data Source above.) | |
| | 5(i)Mathematics9-115(ii)Reading/ELA9-11 | | | | • Reading and ELA are combined. | |
| | | | | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across | |
| | 5(iii) Science 10, 11 | | | | grades. | |
| | 5(iv) | Social Studies | 10, 11 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Perfor | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district CTE Tech Prep TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | |

* For the CTE Tech Prep TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Science and Social Studies.

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 5(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 5(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 5(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 5(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

<u>CTE Indicator #6</u>: CTE Annual Dropout Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE annual dropout rate:

District CTE annual dropout rate District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006

District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) in attendance in 2005-2006

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE students (Grades 9-12) in attendance and at least 5 CTE dropouts in 2005-2006. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/ . |
| NOTES | |

• Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.

• Dropout data are for the 2005-2006 school year.

• *New!* As required by state law [§39.051(b)(2)], the annual dropout rate must now be computed in accordance with the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) dropout definition. In the 2005-2006 school year, districts began collecting information according to the new definition and began submitting data using the new definition in the 2006-2007 school year. Students who dropped out during the 2005-2006 school year are reported in the 2007 PBMAS in accordance with the NCES dropout definition.

| Performance Level Cr | iterion: District CTE A | Annual Dropout Rate | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Perform | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | |
| Performance Level = Not | Performance Level = 0 | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 |
| Assigned | (met standard) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and | The district CTE annual dropout rate is |
| district does not meet minimum size requirements | Minimum size requirements not | 2.1% and 5.0%. | 5.1% and 8.0%. | 8.170 of higher. |
| requirements. | applicable if $PL = 0.$ | | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

<u>CTE Indicator #7</u>: CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of CTE students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

| District CTE | | District number of CTE students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2005-2006 |
|--------------|---|---|
| RHSP/DAP | = | |
| diploma rate | | District number of CTE students who graduated in 2005-2006 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 CTE graduates. (See Notes below.) The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the RHSP/DAP diploma rate, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/ . |
| NOTES | |
| <i>New!</i> Performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007. <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. |

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Cr | Performance Level Criterion: District CTE RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not | Performance Level = 0 | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | | |
| Assigned | (met standard) (Also includes 0RI) | | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%. | The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%. | The district CTE RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower. | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

<u>CTE Indicator #8</u>: CTE Graduation Rate

This indicator is the percent of Career and Technical Education (CTE) students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of CTE students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

| | District number of CTE students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma in |
|-----------------|---|
| District CTE | 2005-2006 |
| graduation rate | District number of CTE students in the Class of 2006 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the CTE graduation rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . | | |
| NOTES | | | |
| <i>New!</i> This is a Report only indicator for 2007. The district CTE graduation rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007. Only Students with PEIMS CTE status codes 2 (Coherent Sequence) or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator. | | | |

• Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year.

<u>CTE Indicator #9</u>: **CTE Nontraditional Courses**—Male

This indicator is the percent of male students (Grades 9-12) completing Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses that are nontraditional for their gender.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district CTE male nontraditional course completion rate:

| District male nontraditional | ı = - | District number of male CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed male nontraditional courses | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| course completion rate | | District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed male nontraditional courses | |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| • Minimum Size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | PEIMS fall 2005 snapshot data (110 Record). PEIMS summer 2006 data (415 Record). | | |

NOTES

- New! An updated list of CTE nontraditional courses is located in the back of this manual as Appendix A.
- This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The district CTE male nontraditional course completion rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007.
- Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 1 (Career/Technology Elective), 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Course completion data are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- Each student is counted for each course completed. For example, a student completing five nontraditional courses is counted five times in this indicator.

<u>CTE Indicator #10</u>: CTE Nontraditional Courses—Female

This indicator is the percent of female students (Grades 9-12) completing Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses that are nontraditional for their gender.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the CTE female nontraditional course completion rate:

| District female nontraditional course completion rate | = - | District number of female CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed female nontraditional courses |
|---|-----|--|
| | | District number of CTE students (Grades 9-12) who completed female nontraditional courses |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | |
|--|---|--|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | PEIMS fall 2005 snapshot data (110 Record). PEIMS summer 2006 data (415 Record). | |

NOTES

- New! An updated list of CTE nontraditional courses is located in the back of this manual as Appendix A.
- This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The district CTE female nontraditional course completion rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator for 2007.
- Only students with PEIMS CTE status codes 1 (Career/Technology Elective), 2 (Coherent Sequence), or 3 (Tech Prep) are included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Course completion data are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- Each student is counted for each course completed. For example, a student completing five nontraditional courses is counted five times in this indicator.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SECTION V

No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank
NCLB Indicator #1(i-ii): Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

This indicator evaluates the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) performance of districts' economically disadvantaged students in Reading/ELA and Mathematics.

CALCULATION

For more information on Adequate Yearly Progress, refer to the 2007 Adequate Yearly Progress Guide available at: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/ayp.

NOTES

- The performance level assignments for this indicator are based on districts' preliminary AYP status prior to appeals and will not be changed due to any resulting appeals being granted.
- Districts meeting the AYP performance improvement ("safe harbor") criteria for reading/ELA or mathematics are considered to have met the standard for that subject area in this indicator and will receive a performance level of 0. Districts not meeting AYP MSR will receive a performance level of Not Assigned.
- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

Performance levels for the Adequate Yearly Progress indicator are assigned as follows:

| Р | Performance Level Criterion: Economically Disadvantaged Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--------------|
| | | | | Perfor | mance Level (PI | L) Assig | nments | | | | |
| | Performance Level = Not Assigned | | Perf Le (met : | ormance vel = 0 standard) | Performan Level = 1 | ice | Perf Le | formance evel = 2 | Perf Lo | formance evel = 3 | |
| р (| The district was Not Assigned a performance level for its economically disadvantaged population in 2007. (Refer to AYP Guide for more information.) | | The econ disadvan or ma perform above acco standa st | district's comically taged reading thematics cance is at or the federal untability ard for the ubject. | The district economical disadvantaged r or mathema performance is 5.0 percentage below the fect accountabil standard for subject. | t's lly eading tics 0.1 to points leral ity the | The ecor disadvan or ma perform 10.0 j points federal a stand | district's nomically itaged reading athematics ance is 5.1 to percentage s below the accountability ard for the ubject. | The econ disadvar or ma perfor least 10 points federal a stand stand | district's nomically ntaged readin athematics mance is at .1 percentage s below the accountabilit lard for the ubject. | ıg e y |
| | Indicator | Subje | ct | Standard | PL = 0 | P | L = 1 | $\mathbf{PL} = 2$ | P | $\mathbf{L} = 3$ | |
| | 1 1(1) | Mathe | ematics | 50.0% | \geq 50.0% | 45.0% | o - 49.9% | 40.0% - 44.9% | o | 39.9% | |

 $\geq 60.0\%$

55.0% - 59.9%

50.0% - 54.9%

< 49.9%

Reading/ELA

60.0%

<u>1(i)</u> 1(ii) This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NCLB Indicator #2(i-v): Migrant TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of migrant students passing the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district migrant TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

District migrant passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test = District number of migrant students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 District number of migrant students who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007

| MINIM | MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | | DATA SOURCE |
|--|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available in the Mathematics, Reading/ELA, and Science subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as a migrant student on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (Migrant Student indicator code). |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| • The migrant TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | ch TAKS subject to ollowing grades: | test is | <i>New!</i> Professional judgment special analysis is available for science. Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | Mathematics are included. |
| | 2(i) | Mathematics | 3-11 | | • Reading and ELA are combined. |
| | 2(ii) Reading/ELA 3-11 | | | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| | 2(iii) Science 5, 10, 11 | | grades. | | |
| 2(iv) Social Studies 8, 10, 11 | | | 8, 10, 11 | • Spanish TAKS is included. | |
| | 2(v) | Writing | 4, 7 | | • Required improvement is available for this indicator. |
| | · · | | | | |

The district migrant TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District Migrant TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district migrant TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district migrant TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district migrant TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district migrant TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the Migrant TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Social Studies and Writing.

Note: The state *Academically Acceptable* accountability standard for each subject is used for this indicator. Those standards are listed below:

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | $\mathbf{PL} = 0$ | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 2(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 2(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 2(v) | Writing | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

| NCLB Indicator #3: Migrant Annual Dropout Rate This indicator is the percent of migrant students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| CALCULATION | | | | | |
| For each district, calculate the district migrant and | nnual dropout rate: | | | | |
| District migrant = annual dropout rate | District number of migra District number of migr | nt students (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006 rant students (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | | |
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant students (Grades 7-12) in attendance and at least 5 migrant dropouts in 2005-2006. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator. | | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . | | | |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| Dropout data are for the 2005-2006 school year. <i>New!</i> As required by state law [§39.051(b)(2)], the annual dropout rate must now be computed in accordance with the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) dropout definition. In the 2005-2006 school year, districts began collecting information according to the new definition and began submitting data using the new definition in the 2006-2007 school year. Students who dropped out during the 2005-2006 school year are reported in the 2007 PBMAS in accordance with the NCES dropout definition. | | | | | |

| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district migrant annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district migrant annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 5.0%. | The district migrant annual dropout rate is between 5.1% and 8.0%. | The district migrant annual dropout rate is 8.1% or higher. | | | |

The district migrant annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the annual dropout rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

NCLB Indicator #4: Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator is the percent of migrant students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of migrant students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma:

| District migrant | | District number of migrant students who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2005-2006 |
|------------------|---|---|
| KHSP/DAP | = | |
| diploma rate | | District number of migrant students who graduated in 2005-2006 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 migrant graduates. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the RHSP/DAP diploma rate, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/ . |
| NOTES | |
| <i>New!</i> Performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007. <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | |

The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Cr | Performance Level Criterion: District Migrant RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | | | |
| Level = Not | Level = 0 | Level = 1 | Level = 2 | Level = 3 | | | |
| Assigned | (met standard) (Also includes 0RI) | | | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 70.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 50.0% and 69.9%. | The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 30.1% and 49.9%. | The district migrant RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 30.0% or lower. | | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

<u>NCLB Indicator #5</u>: Migrant Graduation Rate

This indicator is the percent of migrant students who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of migrant students graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

_

District number of migrant students in the Grade 9 cohort who graduated with a high school diploma in 2005-2006

District migrant graduation rate

District number of migrant students in the Class of 2006

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|---|--|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • For additional information about data source and methods for calculating the migrant graduation rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . |
| NOTES | |
| <i>New!</i> This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The district migrant graperformance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007. Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | aduation rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

NCLB Indicator #6: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Progress Grades K-2)

This indicator is the percent of current limited English proficient (LEP) students in Grades K-2 who progressed at least one proficiency level on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Composite Rating from 2005-2006 to 2006-2007.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the Grades K-2 TELPAS progress rate for LEP students:

District LEP K-2 TELPAS = District number of current LEP students (Grades K-2) who progressed at least one proficiency level on the TELPAS Composite Rating from 2005-2006 to 2006-2007 District number of current LEP students (Grades K-2) assessed on TELPAS in both 2005-2006 and 2006-2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|---|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 current LEP students (Grades K-2) assessed on TELPAS in 2005-2006 and in 2006-2007. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also assessed in the four language domains (listed in the table below) for both the 2006 and 2007 TELPAS administrations. |
| | • |

NOTES

- *New!* Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The TELPAS Composite Score integrates the results of the Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) and the Texas Observation Protocols (TOP) into a single measure. A weighted formula is used to generate composite scores and composite ratings of Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High. (A student with a composite rating of Advanced High in both the current year and previous year is considered to have made progress for the purpose of this indicator.) Additional information on TELPAS is available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/. Additional information on the AMAOs is available at: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/nclb/.

| Language Domain | Test | Weight in Composite Score |
|--------------------|------|------------------------------|
| Listening | TOP | 5.0% |
| Speaking | TOP | 5.0% |
| Reading | TOP | 75.0% |
| Writing | ТОР | 15.0% |

| ict TELPAS progress rate for Grades K-2 LEP students is compared to the AMAO standards, and performance levels are assigne Performance Level Criterion: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Progress Grades K-2) | | |
|--|--|---|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = <i>Met</i> | Performance Level = Not Met |
| PL not equal to <i>Met</i> and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The percent of current LEP students progressing by at least one proficiency level a year on the TELPAS Composite Rating is at least 17.0%. | The percent of current LEP students progressing by at least one proficiency level a year on the TELPAS Composite Rating is less than 17.0%. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

NCLB Indicator #7: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Progress Grades 3-12)

This indicator is the percent of current limited English proficient (LEP) students in Grades 3-12 who progressed at least one proficiency level on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Composite Rating from 2005-2006 to 2006-2007.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the Grades 3-12 TELPAS progress rate for LEP students:

District LEP 3-12 TELPAS = District number of current LEP students (Grades 3-12) who progressed at least one proficiency level on the TELPAS Composite Rating from 2005-2006 to 2006-2007 District number of current LEP students (Grades 3-12) assessed on TELPAS in both 2005-2006 and 2006-2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|---|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 current LEP students (Grades 3-12) assessed on TELPAS in 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also assessed in the four language domains (listed in the table below) for both the 2006 and 2007 TELPAS administrations. |
| | |

NOTES

- *New!* Required improvement is available for this indicator.
- The TELPAS Composite Score integrates the results of the Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) and the Texas Observation Protocols (TOP) into a single measure. A weighted formula is used to generate composite scores and composite ratings of Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High. (A student with a composite rating of Advanced High in both the current year and previous year is considered to have made progress for the purpose of this indicator.) Additional information on TELPAS is available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/. Additional information on the AMAOs is available at: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/.

| Language Domain | Test | Weight in Composite Score |
|--------------------|------|------------------------------|
| Listening | TOP | 5.0% |
| Speaking | TOP | 5.0% |
| Reading | RPTE | 75.0% |
| Writing | TOP | 15.0% |

| ogress rate for Grades 3-12 LEP students is | s compared to the AMAO standards, and | performance levels are assigned as foll |
|---|--|---|
| Performance Level Criterion: Annua | al Measurable Achievement Objective (| LEP Student Progress Grades 3-12) |
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance |
| Level = Not Assigned | Level = <i>Met</i> | Level = <i>Not Met</i> |
| PL not equal to <i>Met</i> and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The percent of current LEP students progressing by at least one proficiency level a year on the TELPAS Composite Rating is at least 44.0%. | The percent of current LEP students progressing by at least one proficiency level a year on the TELPAS Composite Rating is less than 44.0%. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

NCLB Indicator #8: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Attainment Grades K-2)

This indicator is the percent of current limited English proficient (LEP) students in Grades K-2 who reached the Advanced High level on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Composite Rating in 2006-2007.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the K-2 TELPAS student attainment rate:

| District | | District number of current LEP students (Grades K-2) who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High in 2006 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| LEP K-2 TELPAS attainment rate | = | District number of current LEP students (Grades K-2) assessed on TELPAS in 2006-2007 |

District number of current LEP students (Grades K-2) assessed on TELPAS in 2006-2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 current LEP students (Grades K-2) assessed on TELPAS in 2006-2007. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also assessed in the four language domains (listed in the table below) on the 2007 TELPAS administration. |

NOTES

- *New!* Required improvement is available for this indicator. •
- The TELPAS Composite Score integrates the results of the Texas Observation Protocols (TOP) into a single measure. A weighted formula is used • to generate composite scores and composite ratings of Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High. Additional information on TELPAS is available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/. Additional information on the AMAOs is available at: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/nclb/.

| Language Domain | Test | Weight in Composite Score |
|--------------------|------|------------------------------|
| Listening | TOP | 5.0% |
| Speaking | TOP | 5.0% |
| Reading | TOP | 75.0% |
| Writing | ТОР | 15.0% |

| | | la performance revers are assigned as for |
|---|--|---|
| Performance Level Criterion: Annua | l Measurable Achievement Objective (| LEP Student Attainment Grades K-2) |
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance |
| Level = Not Assigned | Level = <i>Met</i> | Level = <i>Not Met</i> |
| PL not equal to <i>Met</i> and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The percent of current LEP students who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High is at least 2.5%. | The percent of current LEP students who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High is less than 2.5%. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

| <u>NCLB Indicator #9</u>: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective | e (LEP Student Attainment Grades 3-12) | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) English language proficiency in 2006-2007. | students in Grades 3-12 who met the attainment goal for | | |
| CALCULATION | | | |
| For each district, there are two methods for meeting the standard for this indicate Method 1: | DT: | | |
| District LEP District number of current LEP students 3-12 TELPAS = <u>Adv</u> | District LEP District number of current LEP students (Grades 3-12) who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High in 2006-2007 | | |
| attainment rate District number of current LEP stu | District number of current LEP students (Grades 3-12) assessed on TELPAS in 2006-2007 | | |
| Method 2: | | | |
| District LEP 3-12 attainment rate District number of current LEP students TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced Hi passed Englis District number of current LEP students (Gr 2006-2007 and monitored LEP students (Gr | (Grades 3-12 based on years in U.S. schools) who received a gh in 2006-2007 and monitored LEP students (Grades 3-11) who h TAKS Reading/ELA in 2006-2007 rades 3-12 based on years in U.S. schools) assessed on TELPAS in rades 3-11) assessed on English TAKS Reading/ELA in 2006-2007 | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | |
| New! Required improvement is available for Method 1 of this indicator. Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students in the denominator for Method 1 or Method 2. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under Method 1 of this indicator, and one year of data is available for analysis under Method 2 of this indicator. | • The data for Method 1 and Method 2 of this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also assessed in the four TELPAS language domains in the spring of 2007. The data for Method 2 also include the performance of enrolled students reported by the district as either first or second year monitored LEP (M1 and M2 indicator codes) and assessed on English TAKS Reading/ELA in the spring of 2007. | | |

NOTES

- The TELPAS Composite Score integrates the results of the Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) and the Texas Observation Protocols (TOP) into a single measure. A weighted formula is used to generate composite scores and composite ratings of Beginning, Intermediate, Advanced, and Advanced High. (See Notes section of NCLB Indicator #7 for table showing the weighted formula.)
- Method 2 current LEP students include Grade 3 current LEP students who have been in U.S. schools for 3 or more years and Grades 4-12 current LEP students who have been in U.S. schools for 4 or more years. Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 TAKS Reading are included in Method 2.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

The district attainment rate for Grades 3-12 LEP students is compared to the AMAO standards, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Attainment Grades 3-12) | | |
|---|--|---|
| Method 1 Porformance Level (PL) Assignments | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = Met | Level = AMAO Not Met |
| PL not equal to <i>Met</i> and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The percent of current LEP students who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High is at least 26.0%. (Minimum size requirements not applicable if $PL = Met$) | The percent of current LEP students who received a TELPAS Composite Rating of Advanced High is less than 26.0%. |

| Performance Level Criterion: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP Student Attainment Grades 3-12) | | |
|---|---|--|
| <u>Method 2</u> Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = Met | Performance Level = Not Met |
| PL not equal to <i>Met</i> and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The percent of current LEP students demonstrating attainment based on years in U.S. schools and the percent of monitored LEP students demonstrating attainment is at least 44.0%. (Minimum size requirements not applicable if $PL = Met$.) | The percent of current LEP students demonstrating attainment based on years in U.S. schools and the percent of monitored LEP students demonstrating attainment is less than 44.0%. |

Performance Level Assignment Continued on next page.

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

| Overall Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | |
|---|---|--|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = <i>Met</i> | Performance Level = Not Met |
| District assigned PL = Not Assigned under both Method 1 and Method 2 or a combination on the two methods of PL = Not Assigned and PL = Not Met. | District assigned a PL = <i>Met</i> under either Method 1 or Method 2. | District assigned PL = <i>Not Met</i> under both Method 1 and Method 2. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

• Additional information on TELPAS is available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/</u>. Additional information on the AMAOs is available at: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/nclb/</u>.

<u>NCLB Indicator #10</u>: Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (LEP AYP)

This indicator measures the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) of students with limited English proficiency (LEP).

CALCULATION

For each district, determine whether the district's LEP student group met 2007 AYP requirements.

NOTES

- For more information on Adequate Yearly Progress, please refer to the 2007 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Guide available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/ayp</u>.
- The performance level assignments for this indicator are based on districts' preliminary 2007 AYP status prior to appeals and will not be changed due to any resulting appeals being granted.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

Performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| PerformancePerformancePerformanceLevel = Not AssignedLevel = MetLevel = Not Met | | | | | | |
| The district was Not Assigned an AYP status for its LEP population. (Refer to the 2007 AYP Guide for more information.) | The district met AYP for its LEP student population. | The district missed AYP for its LEP student population. | | | | |

SECTION VI

Special Education (SPED) Indicators

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Special Education Indicator #1(i-v): SPED TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of special education (SPED) students passing each TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

District special education passing rate for a TAKS [subject (i-v)] test

District number of special education students who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007

District number of special education students who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | | | DATA SOURCE |
|---|-----------------|---|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 special education TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is available for the Mathematics, Reading/ELA, and Science subject areas evaluated under this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as participating in a special education program on the spring 2007 TAKS answer documents (special education indicator code). |
| NOTES | | | |
| • The special education TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | | <i>New!</i> Professional judgment special analysis is available for science. Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. |
| Indicator Subject T | st Grade Levels | | • Reading and ELA are combined. |
| 1(i) Mathemati | es 3-11 | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| 1(ii) Reading/E | LA 3-11 | | grades. |
| 1(iii) Science | 5, 10, 11 | | • Spanish TAKS is included. |
| 1(iv) Social Stud | ies 8, 10, 11 | _ | • Required improvement is available for this indicator. |
| 1(v) Writing | 4, 7 | | |

The district special education TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District Special Education TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process* results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district special education TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district special education TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district special education TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district special education TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | |

* For the Special Education TAKS Passing Rate Indicator, professional judgment special analysis is not available for Social Studies and Writing.

Note: The state Academically Acceptable accountability standard for each subject is used for this indicator. Those standards are listed below:

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 1(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 1(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 1(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |
| 1(v) | Writing | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | \leq 54.9% |

Special Education Indicator #2(i-v): SPED Year-After-Exit (YAE) TAKS Passing Rate

This indicator is the percent of special education students who passed the TAKS subject test (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing) one year after being exited from receiving special education (SPED) services.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject:

| District SPED YAE passing rate for a TAKS = [subject (i-v)] test | _ | District number of students in their first year of exit from special education services who passed the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 |
|--|---|--|
| | _ | District number of students in their first year of exit from special education services who took the TAKS [subject (i-v)] test in spring 2007 |

| MINIMUN | M SIZE RE | QUIREMENTS A | AND SPECIAL A | ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|-----------|----------------|---|---|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED YAE TAKS test takers in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | st 30 SPED YAE T is is not available fo analysis under this i | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2005 and 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district on PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date as no longer receiving special education services. | |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| • The SPED YAE TAKS passing rate for each TAKS subject test is based on results from students in the following grades: | | ject test is | Second administrations of Grades 3 and 5 Reading and Grade 5 Mathematics are included. Reading and ELA are combined. | | |
| | Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels | | • The accountability subset is used, and results are summed across |
| | 2(i) | Mathematics | 3-11 | | grades. |
| | 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 3-11 | | Spanish TAKS is included. |
| | 2(iii) | Science | 5, 10, 11 | | • Students must be in the same district in both school years to be |
| | 2(iv) | Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 |] | included in the calculation of this indicator. |
| | 2(v) | Writing | 4, 7 |] | |
| | | | | | |

The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the TAKS passing rate by subject, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level C | Performance Level Criterion: District SPED YAE TAKS Passing Rate | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is at or above the state accountability standard for the subject. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is 0.1 to 5.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is 5.1 to 10.0 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | The district SPED YAE TAKS passing rate is at least 10.1 percentage points below the state accountability standard for the subject. | | | | |

Note: The state Academically Acceptable accountability standard for each subject is used for this indicator. Those standards are listed below:

| Indicator | Subject | Standard | PL = 0 | PL = 1 | PL = 2 | PL = 3 |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2(i) | Mathematics | 45.0% | \geq 45.0% | 40.0% - 44.9% | 35.0% - 39.9% | \leq 34.9% |
| 2(ii) | Reading/ELA | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | ≤ 54.9% |
| 2(iii) | Science | 40.0% | \geq 40.0% | 35.0% - 39.9% | 30.0% - 34.9% | \leq 29.9% |
| 2(iv) | Social Studies | 65.0% | $\geq 65.0\%$ | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | ≤ 54.9% |
| 2(v) | Writing | 65.0% | \geq 65.0% | 60.0% - 64.9% | 55.0% - 59.9% | ≤ 54.9% |

Special Education Indicator #3(i-iii): SPED SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-8)

This indicator is the percent of special education students (Grades 3-8) taking the State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II) in Mathematics, Reading, or Writing at least on grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level.

CALCULATION

For each district, determine the district percent of special education students (Grades 3-8) taking the SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level:

District number of special education students (Grades 3-8) taking the SDAA II [subject (i-iii)] test at least on District 3-8 SDAA grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level in spring 2007 II gap closure rate for an SDAA II [subject (i-iii)] test

District number of special education students (Grades 3-8) taking the SDAA II [subject (i-iii)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|---|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students (Grades 3-8) served in special education taking the SDAA II in the subject area. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district in Grades 3-8 on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as tested on the SDAA II in spring 2007. |
| • Three years of data are available for analysis under the Reading and Mathematics components of this indicator. | |
| NOTES | |

- The district SDAA II gap closure rate for SDAA II Writing is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are • assigned for the SDAA II Writing portion of this indicator in 2007.
- Students with SDAA II performance at achievement level I are not included in the calculation of this indicator.
- The SPED SDAA II gap closure rate (Grades 3-8) is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Indicator | Subject Test | Grade Levels |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| 3(i) | Mathematics | 3-8 |
| 3(ii) | Reading | 3-8 |
| 3(iii) | Writing | 4, 7 |

The district special education SDAA II gap closure rate (Grades 3-8) is compared to the PBMAS standards for the SDAA II gap closure, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-8) Rate for Mathematics | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Performance Performance Performance Performance | | | | | | | |
| Level = Not | Level = 0 | Level = 1 | $\mathbf{Level} = 2$ | Level = 3 | | | |
| Assigned | (met standard) | (Also includes 1SA) | (Also includes 2SA) | (Also includes 3SA) | | | |
| | (Also includes 0SA) | | | | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 | 48.1% or more of | 31.1% to 48.0% of | 8.1% to 31.0% of | 8.0% or fewer | | | |
| and | students taking SDAA | students taking SDAA | students taking SDAA | students taking SDAA | | | |
| special analysis | Il at least on grade | Il at least on grade | If at least on grade | If at least on grade | | | |
| determination process | below enrolled grade | below enrolled grade | below enrolled grade | below enrolled grade | | | |
| results in the | level. Minimum size | level. | level. | level. | | | |
| assignment of a | requirements not | | | | | | |
| Not Assigned. | applicable if $PL = 0$. | | | | | | |

| Performance Level Criterion: District SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-8) Rate for Reading | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) | | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | 43.1% or more of students taking SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade below enrolled grade level. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | 29.1% to 43.0% of students taking SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade below enrolled grade level. | 5.1% to 29.0% of students taking SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade below enrolled grade level. | 5.0% or fewer students taking SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade below enrolled grade level. | | | |

Special Education Indicator #4(i-ii): SPED SDAA II Gap Closure (Grades 3-10)

This indicator is the percent of special education students (Grades 3-10) taking the State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II) in Mathematics, Reading/ELA at least on grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level.

CALCULATION

For each district, determine the district percent of special education students (Grades 3-10) taking the SDAA II at least on grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level:

District SDAA II 3-10 gap closure rate District number of special education students (Grades 3-10) taking the SDAA II [subject (i-ii)] test at least on grade level or one grade level below enrolled grade level in spring 2007

10 gap closure rate for an SDAA II [subject (i-ii)] test

District number of special education students (Grades 3-10) taking the SDAA II [subject (i-ii)] test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the performance of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district in Grades 3-10 on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as tested on the SDAA II in spring 2007. |

NOTES

- This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The district SPED SDAA II gap closure rate (Grades 3-10) is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for the SDAA II gap closure (Grades 3-10) indicator in 2007.
- Students with SDAA II performance at achievement level I are not included in the calculation of this indicator.
- Students enrolled in Grade 10 who take the instructional level 10 ELA test are included in the numerator for reading on this indicator.
- The SPED SDAA II gap closure rate (Grades 3-10) is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Indicator Subject Test | | Grade Levels |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| 4(i) | Mathematics | 3-10 |
| 4(ii) | Reading/ELA | 3-10 |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Special Education Indicator #5: SPED TAKS Only Participation Rate

This indicator is the percent of special education (SPED) students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS in all subjects (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS Only participation rate:

District special education TAKS Only participation rate = District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education tested on TAKS for all subjects in spring 2007 District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special education for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2007 and district number of students who participated in the TAKS-Alt field test in spring 2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|--|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students (Grades 3-11) for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 2007 or who participated in the spring 2007 TAKS-Alt field test. Professional judgment special analysis is not available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students reported as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating in a special education program on the spring 2007 TAKS, TAKS-I, and SDAA II answer documents (special education indicator code) or through the spring 2007 TAKS-Alt field test. |
| NOTES | |

• New! Required improvement is available for this indicator.

• The special education TAKS Only participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Subject Test | Grade Levels |
|----------------|--------------|
| Mathematics | 3-11 |
| Reading/ELA | 3-11 |
| Science | 5, 8, 10, 11 |
| Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 |
| Writing | 4,7 |

The district SPED TAKS Only participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards below for the TAKS Only participation rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District SPED TAKS Only Participation Rate | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA) |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district SPED TAKS Only participation rate is 20.0% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district SPED TAKS Only participation rate is between 8.0% and 19.9%. | The district SPED TAKS Only participation rate is between 5.0% and 7.9% | The district SPED TAKS Only participation rate is less than 5.0%. |

Special Education Indicator #6: SPED TAKS/TAKS-I Only Participation Rate

This indicator is the percent of special education (SPED) students (Grades 3-11) tested on TAKS/TAKS-I in all subjects (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Science, Social Studies, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education TAKS/TAKS-I Only participation rate:

| District special education TAKS/TAKS-I Only participation rate | = District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special educat for all subjects in spring 2007 District number of students (Grades 3-11) served in special educ TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in spring 20 students who participated in the TAKS-Alt field test i | ion tested on TAKS/TAKS-I cation for whom a TAKS, 007 and district number of n spring 2007 |
|---|--|---|
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENT | S AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | |

| • Minimum size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process | • The |
|--|-------|
| do not apply to this indicator. | rep |

| The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students |
|--|
| reported as enrolled in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot |
| date (110 Record) and also reported by the district as participating |
| in a special education program on the spring 2007 TAKS, TAKS-I, |
| or SDAA II answer documents (special education indicator code) or |
| through the spring 2007 TAKS-Alt field test. |
| |

NOTES

- This is a Report Only indicator for 2007. The TAKS/TAKS-I Only participation rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007.
- The special education TAKS/TAKS-I Only participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Subject Test | Grade Levels |
|----------------|--------------|
| Mathematics | 3-11 |
| Reading/ELA | 3-11 |
| Science | 5, 8, 10, 11 |
| Social Studies | 8, 10, 11 |
| Writing | 4, 7 |

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Special Education Indicator #7: SPED SDAA II Only Participation Rate

This indicator is the percent of special education students (Grades 3-10) tested on the State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II) in all subjects for which the SDAA II is available (Mathematics, Reading/ELA, Writing).

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education SDAA II Only participation rate:

| District number of students (Grade | es 3-10) served in special education tested on the SDAA II for all | |
|---|--|--|
| District special subjects for w | hich SDAA II was available in spring 2007 | |
| education SDAA II Only = District number of students (Grades | s 3-10) served in special education for whom a TAKS, TAKS-I, or | |
| participation rate SDAA II answer document was | submitted in spring 2007 and district number of students who | |
| participated in the TAKS-Alt field test in spring 2007 | | |
| | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | |
| • Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students for whom a | • The data for this indicator are based on the participation of students | |

| • | Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 SPED students for whom a | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer document was submitted in | | |
| | spring 2007 or who participated in the spring 2007 TAKS-Alt field | | |
| | test. | | |

- The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator.
- Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator.

NOTES

• The special education SDAA II Only participation rate is based on results from students in the following grades:

| Subject Test | Grade Levels |
|--------------|--------------|
| Mathematics | 3-10 |
| Reading/ELA | 3-10 |
| Writing | 4, 7 |

reported as **enrolled** in the district on the PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot date (110 Record) <u>and</u> also reported by the district as participating in a **special education** program on the spring 2007 TAKS, TAKS-I, or SDAA II answer documents (special education indicator code) or

through the spring TAKS-Alt field test.

| The district SPED SDAA II Only participation rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the SDAA II Only participation rate, and performance levels |
|--|
| are assigned as follows: |

| Performance Level Criterion: District SPED SDAA II Only Participation Rate | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district SPED SDAA II Only participation rate is 56.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district SPED SDAA II Only participation rate is between 56.1% and 71.0% | The district SPED SDAA II Only participation rate is between 71.1% and 81.0%. | The district SPED SDAA II Only participation rate is greater than 81.0%. | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.
| Special Education Indicator #8: SPED 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the percent of students ages 3-5 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum. | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | |
| For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 3-5 year | s old in less restrictive environments: | | | |
| District 3-5 year- olds less restrictive District number of students ag | ges 3-5 served in special education who were placed in less ictive environments in 2006-2007 | | | |
| environment District number of studen | nts ages 3-5 served in special education in 2006-2007 | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | |
| Minimum size requirements: At least 30 students ages 3-5 served in special education. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | |
| NOTES | | | | |
| <i>New!</i> Performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007. <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. PEIMS setting codes 40 (mainstream) and 41 (receive resource room less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator. | a services less than 21% of the day) are the environments that are considered | | | |
| Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator. | | | | |
| Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator. | | | | |
| • Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator. | | | | |
| • The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2006, for this indicator and is derived from the 101 Record (Element ID E0006). | | | | |

The district 3-5 year-olds less restrictive environment placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for placements in less restrictive environments, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District 3-5 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Perform | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance |
| Level = Not Assigned | Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0RI) | Level = 1 | Level = 2 | Level = 3 |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 11.0% or higher. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 4.1% and 10.9%. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 1.6% and 4.0% | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 1.5% or lower. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

| Special Education Indicator #9: SPED 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate This indicator is the percent of students ages 6-11 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum. | | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | | |
| For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 6-11 year | s old in less restrictive environments: | | | | |
| District 6-11 year- olds less restrictive District number of students age | es 6-11 served in special education who were placed in less active environments in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| environment District number of student | ts ages 6-11 served in special education in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | | |
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students ages 6-11 served in special education. The PBMAS special analysis process does not apply to this indicator. One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the placement of special education students reported by districts as enrolled in the district and placed in either 40 or 41 instructional settings (PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record, Element ID E0173). | | | | |
| NOTES | | | | | |
| <i>New!</i> The age range of this indicator has been changed from 3-11 to 6-1 PEIMS setting codes 40 (mainstream) and 41 (receive resource room less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator. | 11. services less than 21% of the day) are the environments that are considered | | | | |
| • Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator. | | | | | |
| Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator. | | | | | |
| • Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator. | | | | | |
| • The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2006, for this indicated | tor and is derived from the 101 Record (Element ID E0006). | | | | |

The district 6-11 year-olds less restrictive environment placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for placements in less restrictive environments, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Ci | Performance Level Criterion: District 6-11 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 25.0% or higher. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 17.5% and 24.9%. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 9.5% and 17.4%. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 9.4% or lower. | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #10: SPED 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate This indicator is the percent of students ages 12-21 served in special education who are placed in less restrictive environments along the Least Restrictive Environment continuum. **CALCULATION** For each district, calculate the district placement rate for students ages 12-21 years old in less restrictive environments: District 12-21 District number of students ages 12-21 served in special education who were placed in year-olds less less restrictive environments in 2006-2007 restrictive = District number of students ages 12-21 served in special education in 2006-2007 environment placement rate MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS **DATA SOURCE** Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students ages 12-21 served The data for this indicator are based on the placement of special • in special education. education students reported by districts as enrolled in the district and placed in either 40 or 41 instructional settings (PEIMS fall 2006 Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record, Element ID E0173). Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. NOTES

- PEIMS setting codes 40 (mainstream) and 41 (receive resource room services less than 21% of the day) are the environments that are considered less restrictive for the purposes of this indicator.
- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.
- The student's age is determined as of September 1, 2006, for this indicator and is derived from the 101 Record (Element ID E0006).
- Required improvement is available for this indicator.

The district 12-21 year-olds less restrictive environment placement rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for placements in less restrictive environments, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District 12-21 Year-Olds Less Restrictive Environment Placement Rate | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | |
| Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | Performance | | |
| Level = Not Assigned | Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned.</i> | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 46.5% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 38.0% and 46.4%. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is between 26.5% and 37.9%. | The district placement rate in less restrictive environments is 26.4% or lower. | | |

Special Education Indicator #11: SPED Annual Dropout Rate

This indicator is the percent of students (Grades 7-12) served in special education who dropped out in 2005-2006.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district special education annual dropout rate:

District special education annual dropout rate District number of students served in special education (Grades 7-12) who dropped out in 2005-2006

District number of students served in special education (Grades 7-12) in attendance in 2005-2006

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students (Grades 7-12) in attendance served in special education and at least 5 dropouts served in special education in 2005-2006. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. One year of data is available for analysis under this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the annual dropout rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</u> . |
| NOTES | |

• Dropout data are for the 2005-2006 school year.

 New! As required by state law [§39.051(b)(2)], the annual dropout rate must now be computed in accordance with the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) dropout definition. In the 2005-2006 school year, districts began collecting information according to the new definition and began submitting data using the new definition in the 2006-2007 school year. Students who dropped out during the 2005-2006 school year are reported in the 2007 PBMAS in accordance with the NCES dropout definition.

The district special education annual dropout rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the annual dropout rate, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Cr | Performance Level Criterion: District Special Education Annual Dropout Rate | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Perform | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district special education annual dropout rate is 2.0% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district special education annual dropout rate is between 2.1% and 5.0%. | The district special education annual dropout rate is between 5.1% and 8.0%. | The district special education annual dropout rate is 8.1% or higher. |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

Special Education Indicator #12: SPED RHSP/DAP Diploma Rate

This indicator is the percent of students served in special education graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) or Distinguished Achievement Program (DAP) diploma.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of students served in special education graduating with a RHSP or DAP diploma:

| District special education | _ | District number of students served in special education who graduated with a RHSP or DAP diploma in 2005-2006 |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| RHSP/DAP diploma rate | | District number of students served in special education who graduated in 2005-2006 |

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 special education graduates. <i>New!</i> Limited professional judgment special analysis is available on this indicator for districts with residential facilities in their attendance zones who have registered those facilities with the residential facilities data collection application called "RF Tracker." | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the RHSP/DAP diploma rate, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/ . |
| • Two years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | |
| NOTES | |
| <i>New!</i> Performance levels will be assigned for this indicator in 2007. <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year. | |

| Performance Level Cri | iterion: District SPED | RHSP/DAP Diploma R | ate | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Perform | nance Level (PL) Assig | nments | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 |
| Assigned | (Met Standard) (Also includes 0PJSA and 0RI) | (Also includes 11 JSA) | (AISO IICIUUES 21 JSA) | (Also includes 51 35A) |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements or limited professional judgment special analysis process results in the assignment of a performance level of | The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 16.5% or higher. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 6.5% and 16.4%. | The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is between 1.5% and 6.4%. | The district SPED RHSP/DAP diploma rate is 1.4% or lowe |

The district special education RHSP/DAP diploma rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the special education RHSP/DAP diploma rate, and

Limited professional judgment special analysis is available on this indicator for districts with residential facilities in their attendance zones who have registered those facilities with the residential facilities data collection application called "RF Tracker."

Special Education Indicator #13: SPED Graduation Rate

This indicator is the percent of students served in special education who graduated with a high school diploma in four years.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the percent of students served in special education graduating with a high school diploma in four years:

District special education graduation rate District number of students in the Grade 9 cohort served in special education who graduated with a high school diploma in 2005-2006 District number of students in the Class of 2006 served in special education.

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE |
|--|---|
| • Minimum Size requirements and the PBMAS special analysis process do not apply to this indicator. | • For additional information about data sources and methods for calculating the special education graduation rate, see the <i>Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools</i> report available at the following web address: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/ . |
| NOTES | |
| | |

• *New!* This is a Report only indicator for 2007. The district special education graduation rate is reported for district information and planning purposes. No performance levels are assigned for this indicator in 2007.

• Graduation data are for the 2005-2006 school year.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Special Education Indicator #14: SPED Identification

This indicator is the percent of students identified to receive special education (SPED) services.

CALCULATION

For each district, calculate the district percent of students receiving special education services as follows:

District special education identification rate District number of special education students enrolled in 2006-2007

District number of students enrolled in 2006-2007

| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <i>New!</i> Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students enrolled in the district and at least 30 students identified to receive special education services. The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the number of students reported by the district as enrolled in the district and receiving special education services (PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record). | | | |
| Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | | | | |
| NOTES | | | | |
| Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation), 31, 32, 34 (ECI 0-2), and 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator. | | | | |
| Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are <u>not</u> denominator. | 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program $< 50\%$ of the day) or 2 included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or | | | |
| • Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are denominator. | e included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and | | | |
| Required improvement is available for this indicator. | | | | |

The district-level special education identification rate is compared to the PBMAS standards for the identification of special education students, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District Special Education Identification Rate | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 | Performance Level = 2 | Performance Level = 3 | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and district does not meet minimum size requirements. | The district identification of students to receive special education services is 8.5% or lower. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district identification of students to receive special education services is between 8.6% and 12.0%. | The district identification of students to receive special education services is between 12.1% and 16.0%. | The district identification of students to receive special education services is 16.1% or higher. | | |

The PBMAS special analysis process is not applicable to this indicator. Performance levels are only assigned through standard analysis.

| Special Education Indicator #15: SPED African American Representation | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the potential disproportion of African American students served in special education. | | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | | |
| 1. For each district, calculate the district special education African American | percentage: | | | | |
| District special District number of African A | American students served in special education in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| American percentage District number of | f special education students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| 2. For each district, calculate the district overall African American percentag | je: | | | | |
| District overall African _ District number of | District number of African American students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| American percentage District r | District number of students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| For each district, a difference score is calculated by subtracting the district of district special education African American percentage. | overall African American percentage from the | | | | |
| Difference score = District special education African American percentage — District overall African American percentage | | | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | | |
| New! Required improvement is available for this indicator. New! Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 African American students enrolled, at least 30 African American students served in special education, and at least 30 enrolled students served in special education. Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the number of African American and all students reported by the district as enrolled in the district and receiving special education services (PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot data; 101 Record, 110 Record, and 163 Record). | | | | |

- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or the denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

New! For each district, the **difference score** is compared to the PBMAS standards for SPED African American representation, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of special education students who are African American is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are African American. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0 | The district percent of special education students who are African American is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American. | The district percent of special education students who are African American is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American. | The district percent of special education students who are African American is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are African American. | |

| Special Education Indicator #16: SPED Hispanic Representation | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the potential disproportion of Hispanic students served in special education. | | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | | |
| 1. For each district, calculate the district special education Hispanic percent | age: | | | | |
| District special education Hispanic percentage = District number of Hispanic students served in special education in 2006-2007 District number of special education students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | | |
| 2. For each district, calculate the district overall Hispanic percentage : | | | | | |
| District overall Hispanic District numb | er of Hispanic students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| percentage = District r | number of students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | | |
| For each district, a difference score is calculated by subtracting the district overall Hispanic percentage from the district special education Hispanic percentage. Difference = District special education Hispanic percentage — District overall Hispanic percentage | | | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | | |
| New! Required Improvement is available for this indicator. New! Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 Hispanic students enrolled, at least 30 Hispanic students served in special education, and at least 30 enrolled students served in special education. Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the number of Hispanic and all students reported by the district as enrolled in the district and receiving special education services (PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot data; 101 Record, 110 Record, and 163 Record). | | | | |

- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are not included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

New! For each district, the **difference score** is compared to the PBMAS standards for SPED Hispanic representation, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District SPED Hispanic Representation | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) | | |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic. Minimum size requirements not applicable if $PL = 0$. | The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic. | The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic. | The district percent of special education students who are Hispanic is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are Hispanic. | | |

| Special Education Indicator #17: SPED LEP Representation | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the potential disproportion of students identified as limited English proficient (LEP) served in special education. | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | |
| 1. For each district, calculate the district special education LEP percentage | | | | |
| District special education LEP = District number of LEP s | students served in special education in 2006-2007 | | | |
| percentage District number of spe | ecial education students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | |
| 2. For each district, calculate the district overall LEP percentage : | or of LEP students enrolled in 2006 2007 | | | |
| District overall LEP percentage = | Les students enrolled in 2006-2007 | | | |
| For each district, a difference score is calculated by subtracting the district overall LEP percentage from the district special education LEP percentage. Difference = District special education LEP percentage — District overall LEP percentage | | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | |
| <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. <i>New!</i> Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 LEP students enrolled, at least 30 LEP students served in special education, and at least 30 enrolled students served in special education. Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator are based on the number of LEP and all students reported by the district as enrolled in the district and receiving special education services (PEIMS fall 2006 snapshot data; 110 Record and 163 Record). | | | |

- Students coded in PEIMS under the instructional setting/arrangement codes 02 (Hospital Class), 81-89 (Residential Care and Treatment Facility), and 30 (School for Persons with Mental Retardation) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS code on the 163 Record (Element ID E0833) is 1 (Enrolled in the regional day school program < 50% of the day) or 2 (Enrolled in the regional day school program ≥ 50% of the day) are <u>not</u> included in the calculation of this indicator in either the numerator or denominator.
- Students whose PEIMS Average Daily Attendance (ADA) Code = 0 are included in the calculation of this indicator in both the numerator and denominator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

New! For each district, the **difference score** is compared to the PBMAS standards for SPED LEP representation, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level Criterion: District SPED LEP Representation | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | Perform | mance Level (PL) Assig | nments | |
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of special education students who are LEP is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district percent of special education students who are LEP is between 1.1 and 5.9 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP. | The district percent of special education students who are LEP is between 6.0 and 10.0 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP. | The district percent of special education students who are LEP is at least 10.1 percentage points higher than the percent of all district students who are LEP. |

| Special Education Indicator #18: SPED Discretionary DAEP Placements | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the potential disproportionate discretionary placement of students served in special education in Disciplinary Alternative Education Programs (DAEPs). | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | |
| 1. For each district, calculate the district special education discretionary DAI | EP placement rate: | | | |
| District special District number of discretionary DAEP | placements of students served in special education in 2005-2006 | | | |
| placement rate District number of students se | rved in special education in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| 2. For each district, calculate the overall discretionary DAEP placement rate | e: | | | |
| District overall District number of discretion | nary DAEP placements for all students in 2005-2006 | | | |
| DAEP placement = rate District number of | f all students in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| 3. For each district, a difference score is calculated by subtracting the district education DAEP placement rate . | overall discretionary DAEP placement rate from the district special | | | |
| Difference score = District special education discretionary DA placement rate | EP _ District overall discretionary DAEP placement rate | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS DATA SOURCE | | | | |
| <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students in attendance served in special education. Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator's denominator are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as in attendance (PEIMS summer 2006 data—400 Record and 405 Record). The data for this indicator's numerator are based on the number of discretionary DAEP placements (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2006 data—425 Record.) | | | |

- The agency will continue reporting the state average DAEP placement rate for all students on the 2007 PBMAS district report. Districts should compare their DAEP placement rate to the state average DAEP placement rate to determine whether a review of disciplinary decision-making is appropriate.
- *New!* This indicator also evaluates districts' decisions to <u>continue</u> DAEP placements (e.g., Action Codes 08 and 10).
- Discretionary DAEP placements are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 record was submitted for the student or if Element ID E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contained anything but 0000. A DAEP placement is counted as a special education DAEP placement in the first numerator if the student had a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element ID E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element ID E0937 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary DAEP placements are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Codes (Element ID E1005) = 07, 08, and 10 and Reason Codes (Element ID E1006) = 01, 10, 21, 22, 23, 33, 34, 41, and/or 49. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the difference score is compared to the PBMAS standards for DAEP placements, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0 | The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is between 1.1 and 3.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements. | The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is between 3.1 and 6.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements. | The district percent of SPED discretionary DAEP placements is at least 6.1 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary DAEP placements. |

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

| Special Education Indicator #1 | Special Education Indicator #19: SPED Discretionary Expulsions | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| This indicator is the potential disproportionate discretionary expulsion of students served in special education. | | | | | |
| CALCULATION | | | | | |
| 1. For each district, calculate the district | ict special education discretionary exp | ulsion rate: | | | |
| District special education discretionary expulsion rate = District number of discretionary expulsions of students served in special education in 2005-2006 District number of students served in special education in attendance in 2005-2006 | | pulsions of students served in special education in 2005-2006 | | | |
| | | erved in special education in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| 2. For each district, calculate the district | ict overall discretionary expulsion rate | e: | | | |
| District overall | District number of discretionary expulsions of all students in 2005-2006 | | | | |
| discretionary expulsion rate | <i>District number of all students in attendance in 2005-2006</i> | | | | |
| 3. For each district, a difference scor special education discretionary e | e is calculated by subtracting the district xpulsion rate . | overall discretionary expulsion rate from the district | | | |
| Difference = Distric score = | t special education discretionary expulsi | ion rate — District overall discretionary expulsion rate | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMEN | NTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | |
| <i>New!</i> Required improvement if Minimum Size Requirements: served in special education. Professional judgment special a Three years of data are availab | s available for this indicator. At least 30 students in attendance malysis is available for this indicator. e for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator's denominator are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as in attendance (PEIMS summer 2006 data—400 Record and 405 Record). The data for this indicator's numerator are based on the number of incidents of discretionary expulsion (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2006 data—425 Record). | | | |

- Discretionary expulsions are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 record was submitted for the student or if Element ID E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contained anything but 0000. An expulsion is counted as a special education expulsion in the first numerator if the student had a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element ID E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element ID E0937 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary expulsions are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Code (Element ID E1005) = 01, 02, 03, 04 and Reason Code (Element ID E1006) = 04, 05, 06, 08, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 35, and/or 49. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference score** is compared to the PBMAS standards for discretionary expulsions, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| | Perform | nance Level (PL) Assign | nments | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of SPED discretionary expulsions is no more than 1.0 percentage point higher than the percent of overall discretionary expulsions. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0 | The district percent of SPED discretionary expulsions is between 1.1 and 3.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary expulsions. | The district percent of SPED discretionary expulsions is between 3.1 and 5.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary expulsions. | The district percent of SPED discretionary expulsions is at least 5.1 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary expulsions. |

| Special Education Indicator #20: SPED Discretionary Placements to ISS This indicator is the potential disproportionate discretionary placement of students served in special education to in-school suspension (ISS). | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| CALCULATION | | | | |
| 5. For each district, calculate the district special education discretionary ISS | placement rate: | | | |
| District special education = District number of discretionary place | ements of students served in special education to ISS in 2005-2006 | | | |
| discretionary ISS District number of students | s served in special education in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| 6. For each district, calculate the district overall discretionary ISS placemen | t rate: | | | |
| District overall District number of disc | iscretionary placements of all students to ISS in 2005-2006 | | | |
| placement rate District num | ber of all students in attendance in 2005-2006 | | | |
| For each district, a difference score is calculated by subtracting the district discretionary ISS placement rate. | overall discretionary ISS placement rate from the district special education | | | |
| score = District special education discretionary ISS place | ment rate — District overall discretionary ISS placement rate | | | |
| MINIMUM SIZE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL ANALYSIS | DATA SOURCE | | | |
| <i>New!</i> Required improvement is available for this indicator. Minimum Size Requirements: At least 30 students in attendance served in special education. Professional judgment special analysis is available for this indicator. Three years of data are available for analysis under this indicator. | • The data for this indicator's denominator are based on the number of students (all students versus special education students) reported by the district as in attendance (PEIMS summer 2006 data—400 and 405 Record). The data for this indicator's numerator are based on the number of incidents of discretionary placements in ISS (all students versus special education students) reported by the district (PEIMS summer 2006 data—425 Record). | | | |

- Discretionary placements to ISS are for the 2005-2006 school year.
- A student is counted as a special education student in the first denominator if any 405 record was submitted for the student or if Element ID E0940 on any 400 Record submitted for the student contained anything but 0000. An ISS placement is counted as a special education ISS placement in the first numerator if the student had a matching record for the same reporting period on the 400 Record with Element ID E0041 containing anything but 00 and Element ID E0937 not equaling zero.
- Note that discretionary placements to ISS are defined using the PEIMS 425 Record Disciplinary Action Codes and Disciplinary Action Reason Codes as follows: Action Code (Element ID E1005) = 06 and 26 and Reason Code (Element ID E1006) = All Codes. Only one action per incident number is counted under this indicator.

PERFORMANCE LEVEL ASSIGNMENT

For each district, the **difference score** is compared to the PBMAS standards for discretionary ISS placements, and performance levels are assigned as follows:

| Performance Level (PL) Assignments | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Performance Level = Not Assigned | Performance Level = 0 (met standard) (Also includes 0SA, 0PJSA, and 0RI) | Performance Level = 1 (Also includes 1SA and 1PJSA) | Performance Level = 2 (Also includes 2SA and 2PJSA) | Performance Level = 3 (Also includes 3SA and 3PJSA) |
| PL not equal to 0 and special analysis determination process results in the assignment of a performance level of <i>Not Assigned</i> . | The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is no more than 16.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements. Minimum size requirements not applicable if PL = 0. | The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is between 16.1 and 34.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements. | The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is between 34.1 and 65.0 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements. | The district percent of SPED discretionary ISS placements is at least 65.1 percentage points higher than the percent of overall discretionary ISS placements. |

| SECTION VII: COMMENTS and QUESTIONS: | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Questions about the determination of PBMAS district performance levels should be addressed to: | | | |
| Address: Phone: Fax: E-mail: | Division of Performance-Based Monitoring Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494 (512) 936-6426 (512) 475-3880 pbm@tea.state.tx.us | | |
| Other Helpful Contact Information: | | | |
| Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | Program Monitoring and Interventions (512) 463-9414 (512) 463-9560 pmidivision@tea.state.tx.us | Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language Unit (512) 463-9581 (512) 463-8057 <u>curric@tea.state.tx.us</u> |
| Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | Career and Technical Education Unit (512) 463-9581 (512) 463-8057 <u>curric@tea.state.tx.us</u> | Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | NCLB Program Coordination (512) 463-9374 (512) 305-9447 nclb@tea.state.tx.us |
| Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | IDEA Coordination (512) 463-9414 (512) 463-9560 sped@tea.state.tx.us | Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | Student Assessment (512) 463-9536 (512) 463-9302 studenta@tea.state.tx.us |
| Division: Phone: Fax: Email: | Performance Reporting (512) 463-9704 (512) 475-3584 perfrept@tea.state.tx.us | | |

Comments on the 2007 PBMAS

Comments on the 2007 PBMAS, including indicators, performance levels, standards, and other components of the system are welcome and will assist the agency in its PBMAS evaluation and future system development. Comments may be submitted to **Rachel Harrington, Division Director, Division of Performance-Based Monitoring, Texas Education Agency, 1701 North Congress Avenue, Austin, Texas 78701-1494 or sent via e-mail to <u>pbm@tea.state.tx.us</u>. Comments should be provided no later than October 31, 2007, in order to allow sufficient time for incorporation into the 2008 PBMAS development cycle.**

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

SECTION VIII

Appendices

Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System 2007 Manual

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix A:

Career and Technical Education Nontraditional Courses

The federal Carl D. Perkins law requires states to measure participation in nontraditional courses. Nontraditional courses are defined as occupations or fields of work, including careers in computer science, technology, and other emerging high skill occupations, for which individuals from one gender comprise less than 25 percent of the individuals employed in each such occupation or field of work. The courses below were selected because, for the most part, they are occupationally specific courses in which the enrollment of one gender falls below 25 percent. Gender enrollments in the courses will be reviewed annually at the state and local levels as part of the Carl D. Perkins reporting process.

| Nontraditional for Females | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 11921222 | HOME MAINTENANCE IMPROVEMENT | |
| 11921261 | INTRODUCTION TO HORTICULTURAL SCIENCE | |
| 11922312 | PERSONAL SKILL DEVELOPMENT IN AGRICULTURE | |
| 11922315 | AGRICULTURAL COMMUNICATIONS | |
| 11922373 | AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING | |
| 11922375 | ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN AGRICULTURE | |
| 11923331 | SPECIALTY AGRICULTURE | |
| 11923332 | ANIMAL SCIENCE | |
| 11923333 | PLANT AND SOIL SCIENCE | |
| 11923334 | EQUINE SCIENCE | |
| 11923336 | ADVANCED ANIMAL SCIENCE | |
| 11923337 | ADVANCED PLANT AND SOIL SCIENCE | |
| 11923361 | LANDSCAPE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE | |
| 11923362 | HORTICULTURAL PLANT PRODUCTION | |
| 11923363 | FLORAL DESIGN AND INTERIOR LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT | |
| 11923364 | FRUIT, NUT, AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTION | |

| Nontraditional for Females (continued) | | |
|--|---|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 11923365 | ADVANCED FLORAL DESIGN | |
| 11923374 | ADVANCED AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND MARKETING | |
| 11923382 | RANGE MANAGEMENT AND ECOLOGY | |
| 11934431 | ANIMAL PRODUCTION | |
| 11934461 | HORTICULTURE I | |
| 11934462 | HORTICULTURE II | |
| 11955505 | WBL/AGRICULTURE/AGRIBUSINESS | |
| 11955515 | WBL/LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT | |
| 11955535 | WBL/FOOD AND FIBER PRODUCTION | |
| 11955565 | WBL/HORTICULTURE | |
| 11955575 | WBL/AGRIBUSINESS MARKETING AND MANAGEMENT | |
| 11977339 | AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INDEPENDENT STUDY | |
| 12001100 | INTRODUCTION TO BUSINESS SUPPORT SYSTEMS | |
| 12001200 | BUSINESS VENTURE | |
| 12001300 | INTRODUCTION TO KEYBOARDING | |
| 12022800 | WORD PROCESSING APPLICATIONS | |
| 12031500 | INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS | |
| 12055400 | WBL/BUSINESS COMPUTER PROGRAMMING | |
| 12055500 | WBL/INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS | |
| 12112120 | SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN I | |
| 12112121 | SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN II | |
| 12112122 | SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND DESIGN II | |
| 12205221 | PL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I | |
| 12205222 | PL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II | |
| 12290529 | WBL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES | |
| 12311140 | EXPLORING CONSTRUCTION TECHNOLOGY | |
| 12311160 | EXPLORING MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | |

| Nontraditional for Females (continued) | | |
|--|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 12321200 | TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION | |
| 12331320 | TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS | |
| 12331321 | TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS (MODULAR COMPUTER LABORATORY-BASED) | |
| 12331330 | ENGINEERING PRINCIPLES | |
| 12341430 | MANUFACTURING SYSTEMS | |
| 12341440 | CONSTRUCTION SYSTEMS | |
| 12341470 | COMPUTER APPLICATIONS | |
| 12362620 | ARCHITECTURAL GRAPHICS | |
| 12362630 | ENGINEERING GRAPHICS | |
| 12362650 | MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | |
| 12362670 | ELECTRICITY/ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY | |
| 12382820 | PRINCIPLES OF TECHNOLOGY I | |
| 12382830 | PRINCIPLES OF TECHNOLOGY II | |
| 12422033 | TECHNOLOGY IN MARKETING | |
| 12511101 | ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING I | |
| 12511102 | ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING II | |
| 12511103 | ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURAL DRAFTING | |
| 12511701 | ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING I | |
| 12511702 | ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING II | |
| 12511703 | ADVANCED COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING III | |
| 12511704 | COMPUTER GRAPHICS AND MACHINE DRAFTING | |
| 12511705 | TECHNICAL INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING | |
| 12511903 | GRAPHICS ARTS I | |
| 12511904 | GRAPHICS ARTS II | |
| 12511905 | INTRODUCTION TO GRAPHIC COMMUNICATION CAREERS | |
| 12520177 | WBL/CONSTRUCTION MAINTENANCE SYSTEMS | |
| 12522501 | BUILDING MAINTENANCE I | |

| Nontraditional for Females (continued) | | |
|--|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 12522502 | BUILDING MAINTENANCE II | |
| 12522701 | ARCHITECTURAL BLUEPRINTS AND SPECIFICATIONS | |
| 12523101 | HEATING, VENTILATION, AIR-CONDITIONING AND REFRIGERATION I | |
| 12523301 | BRICKLAYING/STONE MASONRY I | |
| 12523701 | PIPING TRADES/PLUMBING I | |
| 12534501 | COMPUTER CABLING AND DESIGN | |
| 12534504 | INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | |
| 12534901 | MAJOR APPLIANCE SERVICE TECHNOLOGY I | |
| 12534902 | MAJOR APPLIANCE SERVICE TECHNOLOGY II | |
| 12535101 | FUNDAMENTALS OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS | |
| 12540179 | WBL/INDUSTRIAL AND MANUFACTURING SYSTEM | |
| 12550180 | WBL/METAL TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS | |
| 12557301 | MACHINE SHOP I | |
| 12557302 | MACHINE SHOP II | |
| 12557501 | METAL TRADES I | |
| 12557502 | METAL TRADES II | |
| 12557701 | SHEET METAL I | |
| 12557702 | SHEET METAL II | |
| 12557901 | WELDING I | |
| 12557902 | WELDING II | |
| 12568701 | BASIC COUNTY CORRECTIONS OFFICER | |
| 12568704 | CRIME IN AMERICA | |
| 12568705 | CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION | |
| 12568709 | INTRODUCTION TO SECURITY SERVICES | |
| 12568710 | SECURITY SERVICES | |
| 12578901 | AEROSPACE AVIATION TECH 1 | |
| 12578902 | AEROSPACE AVIATION TECH II | |

| Nontraditional for Females (continued) | | |
|--|---|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 12578903 | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS I | |
| 12578904 | AIRCRAFT MECHANICS II | |
| 12579101 | AUTOMOTIVE SPECIALIZATION | |
| 12579102 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN I | |
| 12579103 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN II | |
| 12579105 | TRANSPORTATION SERVICES TECHNICIAN | |
| 12579106 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN III | |
| 12579301 | AUTOMOTIVE COLLISION REPAIR AND REFINISHING TECHNOLOGY I | |
| 12579302 | AUTOMOTIVE COLLISION REPAIR AND REFINISHING TECHNOLOGY II | |
| 12579501 | DIESEL MECHANICS | |
| 12579901 | SMALL ENGINE REPAIR I | |
| 12579902 | SMALL ENGINE REPAIR II | |
| 12580001 | TRADE AND INDUSTRIAL EDUCATION INDEPENDENT STUDY | |
| 1192T261 | INTRODUCTION TO HORTICULTURAL SCIENCE | |
| 1192T332 | ANIMAL SCIENCE | |
| 1192T334 | EQUINE SCIENCE | |
| 1192T361 | LANDSCAPE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND MAINTENANCE | |
| 1192T362 | HORTICULTURAL PLANT PRODUCTION | |
| 1192T363 | FLORAL DESIGN AND INTERIOR LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT | |
| 1192T365 | ADVANCED FLORAL DESIGN | |
| 119T4461 | HORTICULTURE I | |
| 1202280T | WORD PROCESSING APPLICATIONS | |
| 1203150T | INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS | |
| 1229529T | WBL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I | |
| 1229T529 | WBL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II | |
| 122T5221 | PL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I | |
| 122T5222 | PL/FOOD PRODUCTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II | |

| Nontraditional for Females (continued) | | |
|--|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | |
| 1234147T | COMPUTER APPLICATIONS | |
| 1236262T | ARCHITECTURAL GRAPHICS | |
| 1236263T | ENGINEERING GRAPHICS | |
| 1236267T | ELECTRICITY/ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY | |
| 1238282T | PRINCIPLES OF TECHNOLOGY I | |
| 125117T1 | ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING I | |
| 125117T2 | ENGINEERING COMPUTER-AIDED DRAFTING II | |
| 125345T4 | INTRODUCTION TO COMPUTER MAINTENANCE | |
| 125573T1 | MACHINE SHOP I | |
| 125573T2 | MACHINE SHOP II | |
| 125579T2 | WELDING II | |
| 125687T4 | CRIME IN AMERICA | |
| 12579T03 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN II (NON-NATEF) | |
| 12579TB3 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN II (NATEF-BRAKES) | |
| 12579TS3 | AUTOMOTIVE TECHNICIAN II (NATEF-SUSPENSION & STEERING) | |
| N1192220 | AGRICULTURAL ALGEBRAIC EXPLORATION | |
| N1192327 | GIS INTERNSHIP IN AGRICULTURE | |
| N1193440 | FLORICULTURE | |
| N1202272 | TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND NETWORKING II | |
| N1205006 | OPERATING SYSTEMS II | |
| N1205007 | OPERATING SYSTEMS III | |
| N1205008 | OPERATING SYSTEMS IV | |
| N1205009 | NETWORK ADMINISTRATION I | |
| N1205010 | NETWORK ADMINISTRATION II | |
| N1205013 | ADVANCED OPERATING SYSTEMS III | |
| N1205014 | ADVANCED OPERATING SYSTEMS IV | |
| N1211203 | INTRODUCTION TO BIOTECHNOLOGY | |
| | Nontraditional for Females (continued) |
|-----------------|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course |
| N1220501 | CULINARY ARTS I |
| N1220502 | CULINARY ARTS II |
| N1220503 | CULINARY ARTS III |
| N1220504 | INTERNATIONAL CUISINE |
| N1220505 | INTRODUCTION TO CULINARY ARTS |
| N122T501 | CULINARY ARTS I |
| N122T502 | CULINARY ARTS II |
| N1232101 | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION |
| N1236202 | MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING |
| N1236208 | TECH WORLD MANUFACTURING |
| N1236212 | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS II |
| N1237207 | AEROSPACE ENGINEERING |
| N1256820 | INTRODUCTION TO CULINARY ARTS AND ENTREPRENEURIAL PRINCIPLES |
| N1256821 | CULINARY ARTS I |
| N1256822 | CULINARY ARTS II |
| N1256823 | CULINARY ARTS III |
| N1256886 | FIREFIGHTER |
| N1256887 | FIREFIGHTER II |
| N1295005 | INDUSTRIAL & ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY |
| N1295012 | BASIC COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY II |
| N1295013 | INTRODUCTION TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY |
| N1295015 | INTERNETWORKING TECHNOLOGIES III |
| N1295016 | INTERNETWORKING TECHNOLOGIES IV |
| N1295032 | CULINARY ARTS I |
| N1295033 | CULINARY ARTS II |
| N1295034 | CULINARY ARTS III |
| N1295035 | INTRODUCTION TO CULINARY ARTS |

| | Nontraditional for Males |
|-----------------|---|
| PEIMS Number | Course |
| 12001400 | INTRODUCTION TO RECORDKEEPING |
| 12011300 | BUSINESS SUPPORT SYSTEMS |
| 12011400 | INTRODUCTION TO BUSINESS |
| 12011600 | RECORDKEEPING |
| 12022100 | ACCOUNTING I |
| 12022200 | BANKING AND FINANCIAL SYSTEMS |
| 12031100 | ACCOUNTING II |
| 12055100 | WBL/ACCOUNTING II |
| 12101400 | HEALTH SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY II |
| 12101500 | HEALTH SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY III |
| 12106000 | WBL/THERAPEUTIC SERVICES FOR HSTE III |
| 12107000 | WBL/DIAGNOSTIC SERVICES FOR HSTE III |
| 12108000 | WBL/HEALTH INFORMATICS |
| 12109000 | WBL/SUPPORT SERVICES |
| 12109500 | WBL/CLINICAL ROTATIONS |
| 12121240 | CLINICAL NUTRITION |
| 12121260 | MENTAL HEALTH |
| 12202310 | FAMILY HEALTH NEEDS |
| 12203421 | PL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I |
| 12203422 | PL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II |
| 12204210 | NUTRITION AND FOOD SCIENCE |
| 12204310 | FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY |
| 12290349 | WBL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES |
| 12568101 | COSMETOLOGY I |
| 12568102 | COSMETOLOGY II |
| 12568103 | INTRODUCTION TO COSMETOLOGY |
| 1202210T | ACCOUNTING I |

| | Nontraditional for Males (continued) | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | | | | | | |
| 1202220T | BANKING AND FINANCIAL SYSTEMS | | | | | | |
| 1203110T | ACCOUNTING II | | | | | | |
| 1210140T | HEALTH SCIENCE TECHNOLOGY II | | | | | | |
| 1210151T | PHARMACY AIDE FORHSTE III | | | | | | |
| 1210154T | VOCATIONAL NURSING FOR HSTE III | | | | | | |
| 1210603T | WBL/THERAPEUTIC SERVICES FOR HSTE III | | | | | | |
| 1210703T | WBL/DIAGNOSTIC SERVICES FOR HSTE III | | | | | | |
| 1210952T | WBL/CLINICAL ROTATIONS FOR HSTE II | | | | | | |
| 1210953T | WBL/CLINICAL ROTATIONS FOR HSTE III | | | | | | |
| 1229349T | WBL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II | | | | | | |
| 1229T349 | WBL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES | | | | | | |
| 122T3421 | PL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES I | | | | | | |
| 122T3422 | PL/CHILD CARE AND GUIDANCE, MANAGEMENT, AND SERVICES II | | | | | | |
| 122T4210 | NUTRITION AND FOOD SCIENCE | | | | | | |
| 122T4310 | FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY | | | | | | |
| N1192230 | INTRODUCTION TO VETERINARY ASSISTANT TECHNOLOGY | | | | | | |
| N1192340 | VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSISTANT I | | | | | | |
| N1192341 | VETERINARY MEDICAL ASSISTANT I I | | | | | | |
| N1220301 | EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS I | | | | | | |
| N1220302 | EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS II | | | | | | |
| N1220304 | ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER ASSISTANT | | | | | | |
| N1220305 | ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHING INTERNSHIP | | | | | | |
| N1220403 | SPORTS NUTRITION | | | | | | |
| N122T301 | EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS I | | | | | | |
| N122T302 | EARLY CHILDHOOD PROFESSIONS II | | | | | | |
| N122T304 | ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHER ASSISTANT | | | | | | |
| N122T305 | ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHING INTERNSHIP | | | | | | |

| | Nontraditional for Males (continued) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| PEIMS Number | Course | | | | | | | | |
| N1256811 | COSMETOLOGY (MANICURIST SPECIALTY) | | | | | | | | |
| N1256812 | COSMETOLOGY (SHAMPOO AND CONDITIONING SPECIALIST) | | | | | | | | |
| N1256814 | COSMETOLOGY II (MANICURIST) | | | | | | | | |
| N1256817 | COSMETOLOGY HAIR WEAVING/BRAIDING | | | | | | | | |

Appendix B:

2006 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System State Report

2006 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM

STATE REPORT

| | Bilingual Education/English as a Second Language | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| | | | | | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) | |
| | (a) | (b) | (C) | (d) | 2006 | 2006 PL @ | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | (j) |
| | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 | PERFORMANCE | 2005 ACCT STDS | STATE | STATE | STATE | 2004 - 2006 |
| INDICATOR | STANDARD (%) | STATE RATE | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR | LEVEL | (TAKS ONLY) | RATE | RATE | RATE | CHANGE* |
| ************************************** | ***** | ************ | ************ | ************* | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | ******* | ********* | ******* | ******* | ****** |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 75.1 | 45.749 | 60.880 | 0 | 0 | 75.1 | 71.4 | 64.0 | 11.1 |
| (ij) BEADING/ELA | 60.0 | 73.3 | 40 517 | 55 298 | 0 | 0 | 733 | 69.8 | 60 1 | 13.2 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 46.9 | 8 125 | 17 324 | 0 | 0 | 46.9 | 33 1 | 22 7 | 24.2 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 72 4 | 126 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 72 4 | 75.8 | 79 4 | -7.0 |
| (IV) WRITING | 60.0 | 83.1 | 12 284 | 1/ 781 | 0 | 0 | /2.4 | 82 1 | 75.4 | 7.5 |
| (V) WATTING | 00.0 | 00.1 | 12,204 | 14,701 | 0 | 0 | 00.1 | 02.1 | 75.0 | 7.5 |
| 2. ESL ENGLISH TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | İ | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 46.4 | 55,856 | 120,342 | 0 | 0 | 46.4 | 40.0 | 36.1 | 10.3 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 50.0 | 59,033 | 118,140 | 2 | 0 | 50.0 | 44.3 | 38.2 | 11.8 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 28.6 | 8,202 | 28,658 | 2 | 0 | 28.6 | 21.8 | 15.6 | 13.0 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 48.1 | 15,466 | 32,154 | 3 | 1 | 48.1 | 47.7 | 42.3 | 5.8 |
| (v) WRITING | 60.0 | 65.3 | 17,352 | 26,578 | 0 | 0 | 65.3 | 61.4 | 60.1 | 5.2 |
| 3. BE SPANISH TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 69.2 | 31,242 | 45,178 | 0 | 0 | 69.2 | 66.4 | 62.3 | 6.9 |
| (ii) READING | 60.0 | 82.8 | 38,991 | 47,069 | 0 | 0 | 82.8 | 77.3 | 74.2 | 8.6 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 31.4 | 1,681 | 5,347 | 1 | 0 | 31.4 | 23.7 | 20.2 | 11.2 |
| (iv) WRITING | 60.0 | 90.1 | 14,515 | 16,104 | 0 | 0 | 90.1 | 87.6 | 88.4 | 1.7 |
| A ESI SDANTSH TAKS DASSING DATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. ESE STANISH TAKS FASSING HATE | 40.0 | 47 4 | 262 | 552 | 0 | 0 | 1 47 4 | 27 0 | 26 1 | 11 2 |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 47.4 | 202 | 555 | 0 | 0 | 47.4 | 57.0 | 52.2 | 0.6 |
| (iii) READING | 00.0 | 15.0 | 399 | 045 | 0 | 0 | 15.0 | 01.7 | 12 6 | 0.0 |
| (III) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 15.9 | 14 | 00 | 3 | 2 | 15.9 | 21.7 | 13.0 | 2.3 |
| (IV) WRITING | 60.0 | 75.0 | 12 | 96 | U | U | 75.0 | 78.0 | 71.4 | 3.0 |
| 5. LEP YEAR-AFTER-EXIT ENGLISH | | | | | | | İ | | | |
| TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | I | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 77.0 | 46,336 | 60,150 | 0 | 0 | 77.0 | 72.2 | 66.2 | 10.8 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 82.4 | 49,628 | 60,197 | 0 | 0 | 82.4 | 77.8 | 72.0 | 10.4 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 67.2 | 8,405 | 12,502 | 0 | 0 | 67.2 | 52.7 | 41.5 | 25.7 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 76.5 | 5,072 | 6,628 | 0 | 0 | 76.5 | 74.8 | 69.5 | 7.0 |
| (v) WRITING | 60.0 | 90.8 | 24,544 | 27,043 | 0 | 0 | 90.8 | 88.6 | 86.7 | 4.1 |
| 6. LEP TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II | | | | | | | | | | |
| PARTICIPATION RATE | 82.0 | 83.1 | 267,702 | 322,302 | 0 | | 83.1 | 81.5 | 84.2 | -1.1 |
| 7. LEP ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3,238 | 157,508 | 1 | | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| 8. LEP RHSP/DAP GRADUATION RATE | | 58.1 | 5,427 | 9,334 | Report Only | | 58.1 | 48.7 | 42.8 | 15.3 |
| 9. RPTE MULTI-YEAR BEGINNING | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| PROFICIENCY RATE | | 7.4 | 16,712 | 224,763 | Report Only | | 7.4 | | | |

*To ensure comparability across years when the student passing standard increased, the 2004 and 2005 state rates for all TAKS performance indicators have been recalculated based on the 2006 student passing standard. Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2006 Manual' at <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us.pbm/PBMASManuals.html</u>.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2006 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM STATE REPORT Career and Technology Education

| | | | | | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | 2006 | 2006 PL @ | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | (j) |
| | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 | 2006 | PERFORMANCE | 2005 ACCT STDS | STATE | STATE | STATE | 2004 - 2006 |
| INDICATOR | STANDARD (%) | STATE RATE | NUMERATOR | DENOMINATOR | LEVEL | (TAKS ONLY) | RATE | RATE | RATE | CHANGE* |
| ***** | **** | ***** | ********** | ****** | ******* | **** | ****** | ******* | ******* | ***** |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. CTE OVERALL TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 67.1 | 176,196 | 262,657 | 0 | 0 | 67.1 | 62.4 | 56.0 | 11.1 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 87.9 | 235,515 | 267,902 | 0 | 0 | 87.9 | 78.5 | 76.7 | 11.2 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 68.1 | 132,123 | 194,071 | 0 | 0 | 68.1 | 61.4 | 55.5 | 12.6 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 89.4 | 173,425 | 193,983 | 0 | 0 | 89.4 | 87.7 | 85.8 | 3.6 |
| 2 CTE LEP TAKS PASSING BATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40 0 | 29.2 | 3 012 | 10 307 | 3 | 2 | 1 29 2 | 23 9 | 21 5 | 77 |
| (ii) BEADING/FLA | 60.0 | 36.4 | 3 828 | 10,524 | 3 | 3 | 36.4 | 27 0 | 24.6 | 11.8 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 21 0 | 1 476 | 7 043 | 3 | 1 | 21.0 | 10 0 | 14 4 | 6.6 |
| (iii) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 53 1 | 3 731 | 7,040 | 2 | 0 | 53.1 | 13.3 | 14.4 | 8.2 |
| (IV) SOCIAL STODIES | 00.0 | 55.1 | 5,751 | 7,031 | 2 | 0 | 55.1 | 47.2 | 44.9 | 0.2 |
| 3. CTE ECON TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | i | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 56.6 | 68,019 | 120,110 | 0 | 0 | 56.6 | 50.5 | 43.2 | 13.4 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 82.8 | 101,962 | 123,207 | 0 | 0 | 82.8 | 71.3 | 67.7 | 15.1 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 55.0 | 46,385 | 84,382 | 0 | 0 | 55.0 | 47.4 | 39.7 | 15.3 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 83.8 | 70,545 | 84,203 | 0 | 0 | 83.8 | 81.3 | 77.8 | 6.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. CTE SPED TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 35.8 | 3,360 | 9,375 | 1 | 0 | 35.8 | 31.0 | 22.9 | 12.9 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 62.7 | 7,403 | 11,810 | 0 | 0 | 62.7 | 49.1 | 40.9 | 21.8 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 39.9 | 3,049 | 7,640 | 0 | 0 | 39.9 | 31.7 | 23.4 | 16.5 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 70.0 | 5,971 | 8,531 | 0 | 0 | 70.0 | 66.7 | 61.5 | 8.5 |
| 5 CTE TECH PREP TAKS PASSING BATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 70.2 | 60.977 | 86.869 | 0 | 0 | 70.2 | 63.5 | 56.5 | 13.7 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 89.2 | 78.715 | 88,249 | 0 | 0 | 89.2 | 79.2 | 77.5 | 11.7 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 69.9 | 48,281 | 69.037 | 0 | 0 | 69.9 | 62.2 | 55.2 | 14.7 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 90.8 | 62 629 | 69,002 | 0 | 0 | 90.8 | 88 6 | 86.2 | 4.6 |
| | 0010 | 0010 | 02,020 | 00,002 | Ū | 0 | 0010 | 0010 | 0012 | 110 |
| 6. CTE ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE | 2.0 | 0.9 | 3,736 | 434,420 | 0 | | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 7. CTE RHSP/DAP GRADUATION RATE | | 72.8 | 88,128 | 121,045 | Report Only | | 72.8 | 68.5 | | |
| 8. NON-TRADITIONAL COURSES MALE | | 21.6 | 1,263 | 5,843 | Report Only | | 21.6 | 20.7 | 20.5 | 1.1 |
| 9. NON-TRADITIONAL COURSES FEMALE | | 9.6 | 3,146 | 32,718 | Report Only | | 9.6 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 1.0 |

*To ensure comparability across years when the student passing standard increased, the 2004 and 2005 state rates for all TAKS performance indicators have been recalculated based on the 2006 student passing standard. Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2006 Manual' at <u>http://www.state.tx.us/pbm/PBMASManuals.html</u>.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2006 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM STATE REPORT No Child Left Behind

| INDICATOR | (a) 2006 STANDARD (%) | (b) 2006 STATE RATE | (C) 2006 NUMERATOR | (d) 2006 DENOMINATOR | (e) 2006 PERFORMANCE LEVEL | (f) 2006 PL @ 2005 ACCT STDS (TAKS ONLY) | (g) 2006 STATE RATE | (h) 2005 STATE RATE | (i) 2004 STATE RATE | (j) 2004-2006 CHANGE* |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| * | | | | ***** | | * | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | * * * * * * * * * * * * | * |
| 1. ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (ECON) | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 42.0 | 68.3 | 793,783 | 1,161,398 | 0 | | 68.3 | 64.2 | | |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 53.0 | 78.3 | 908,414 | 1,159,758 | 0 | | 78.3 | 75.0 | | |
| 2. MIGRANT TAKS PASSING RATE | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 59.9 | 18,178 | 30,347 | 0 | 0 | 59.9 | 55.0 | 48.5 | 11.4 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 73.2 | 22,137 | 30,251 | 0 | 0 | 73.2 | 67.5 | 61.6 | 11.6 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 47.4 | 4,176 | 8,814 | 0 | 0 | 47.4 | 38.7 | 31.0 | 16.4 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 72.6 | 6,326 | 8,714 | 0 | 0 | 72.6 | 72.5 | 67.1 | 5.5 |
| (v) WRITING | 60.0 | 84.6 | 5,849 | 6,911 | 0 | 0 | 84.6 | 81.0 | 79.6 | 5.0 |
| 3. MIGRANT ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE | 2.0 | 1.8 | 604 | 34,382 | 0 | | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 4. MIGRANT RHSP/DAP GRADUATION RATE | | 70.8 | 1,866 | 2,637 | Report Only | | 70.8 | 67.9 | 61.6 | 9.2 |
| 5. HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS | 95.0 | | | | Met | | | 97.0 | 95.6 | |
| 6. AMAO LEP PROGRESS (GRADES K-2) | 15.0 | 47.6 | 74,934 | 157,260 | Met | | 47.6 | | | |
| 7. AMAO LEP PROGRESS (GRADES 3-12) | 42.0 | 56.7 | 155,432 | 274,212 | Met | | 56.7 | 52.9 | | |
| 8. AMAO LEP ATTAINMENT (GRADES K-2) | 2.0 | 10.2 | 26,858 | 263,781 | Met | | 10.2 | 8.0 | | |
| 9. AMAO LEP ATTAINMENT (GRADES 3-12) | | | | | | | l | | | |
| METHOD 1 | 25.5 | 33.1 | 105,600 | 319,345 | Met | | 33.1 | 32.7 | | |
| METHOD 2 | 42.0 | 51.7 | 190,577 | 368,638 | Met | | 51.7 | 49.7 | | |
| 10. AMAO LEP AYP | | | | | Met | | 1 | | | |

*To ensure comparability across years when the student passing standard increased, the 2004 and 2005 state rates for all TAKS performance indicators have been recalculated based on the 2006 student passing standard. Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2006 Manual' at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pbm/PBMASManuals.html.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2006 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM STATE REPORT Special Education

| INDICATOR | (a) 2006 STANDARD (%) | (b) 2006 STATE RATE | (C) 2006 NUMERATOR | (d) 2006 DENOMINATOR | (e) 2006 PERFORMANCE LEVEL | (f) 2006 PL @ 2005 ACCT STDS (TAKS ONLY) | (g) 2006 STATE RATE | (h) 2005 STATE RATE | (i) 2004 STATE RATE | (j) 2004-2006 CHANGE* |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *********** | ***** | ***** | ***** | **** | ***** | **** | * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * * * | ***** | ***** |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 57 1 | 57 621 | 100 975 | 0 | 0 | | 51 0 | 44 1 | 12.0 |
| (i) MATHEMATICS (ii) PEADING/ELA | 40.0 | 71 / | 73 047 | 100,875 | 0 | 0 | 57.1 | 64 7 | 58 1 | 13.0 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 10.5 | 15,050 | 30 419 | 0 | 0 | 1 10 5 | 37.5 | 20.2 | 20.3 |
| (iii) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 49.5 | 21 557 | 32 257 | 0 | 0 | 49.5 | 64 7 | 50.6 | 20.3 |
| (1V) WRITING | 60.0 | 79.3 | 16 146 | 20 372 | 0 | 0 | 79.3 | 75.2 | 74 1 | 5.2 |
| () () | 00.0 | 75.0 | 10,140 | 20,072 | Ū | Ū | /3.0 | 75.2 | 74.1 | 0.2 |
| 2. SPED YEAR-AFTER-EXIT TAKS | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| PASSING RATE | | | | | | | Ì | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 40.0 | 69.2 | 15,252 | 22,051 | 0 | 0 | 69.2 | 65.6 | 60.2 | 9.0 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 60.0 | 80.4 | 17,780 | 22,112 | 0 | 0 | 80.4 | 77.4 | 71.6 | 8.8 |
| (iii) SCIENCE | 35.0 | 66.0 | 3,397 | 5,148 | 0 | 0 | 66.0 | 57.2 | 48.5 | 17.5 |
| (iv) SOCIAL STUDIES | 60.0 | 77.9 | 3,129 | 4,017 | 0 | 0 | 77.9 | 78.3 | 72.7 | 5.2 |
| (v) WRITING | 60.0 | 85.3 | 5,149 | 6,036 | 0 | 0 | 85.3 | 83.7 | 82.6 | 2.7 |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| 3. SDAA II GAP CLOSURE (GRADES 3-8) | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | 48.1 | 58.2 | 74,134 | 127,465 | 0 | | 58.2 | 51.8 | 46.9 | 11.3 |
| (ii) READING/ELA | 43.1 | 52.7 | 68,536 | 129,953 | 0 | | 52.7 | 49.7 | 41.8 | 10.9 |
| (v) WRITING | | 32.4 | 7,983 | 24,628 | Report Only | | 32.4 | 27.1 | 19.6 | 12.8 |
| 4 SDAA II GAP CLOSURE (GRADES 3-10) | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i) MATHEMATICS | | 52 2 | 81 948 | 156 862 | Report Only | | 52.2 | 45 1 | | |
| (ii) READING/ELA | | 48 0 | 76 261 | 158 974 | Report Only | | 48.0 | 43.8 | | |
| | | 1010 | 70,201 | 100,071 | hoport only | | 1010 | 1010 | | |
| 5. TAKS ONLY PARTICIPATION RATE | 20.0 | 22.9 | 78,174 | 341,601 | 0 | | 22.9 | 24.5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. TAKS/TAKS-I ONLY PARTICIPATION RATE | | 24.1 | 82,186 | 341,601 | Report Only | | 24.1 | | | |
| 7. SDAA II ONLY PARTICIPATION RATE | 56.0 | 51.9 | 162,566 | 312,985 | 0 | | 51.9 | 50.6 | | |
| 8. STATEWIDE ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION BATE | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE <1600 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 820 | 40.095 | 0 | | 2.0 | 2.2 | | |
| AVERAGE DAILY ATTENDANCE >=1600 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 9,238 | 272,890 | 1 | | . 3.4 | 3.5 | | |

*To ensure comparability across years when the student passing standard increased, the 2004 and 2005 state rates for all TAKS performance indicators have been recalculated based on the 2006 student passing standard. Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2006 Manual' at <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pbm/PBMASManuals.html</u>.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 2006 PERFORMANCE-BASED MONITORING ANALYSIS SYSTEM STATE REPORT Special Education (cont.)

| | INDICATOR | (a) 2006 STANDARD (%) | (b) 2006 STATE RATE | (C) 2006 NUMERATOR | (d) 2006 DENOMINATOR | (e) 2006 PERFORMANCE LEVEL | (f) 2006 PL @ 2005 ACCT STDS (TAKS ONLY) | (g) 2006 STATE RATE | (h) 2005 STATE RATE | (İ) 2004 STATE RATE | (j) 2004-2006 CHANGE* |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *** | *************************************** | **** | ******* | **** | **** | ***** | **** | ***** | * * * * * * * * * * * | * * * * * * * * * * * | **** |
| 9. | LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 3-5) | | 11.1 | 4,686 | 42,143 | Report Only | | 11.1 | 9.6 | | |
| 10. | LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 3-11) | 25.0 | 29.8 | 73,339 | 246,509 | 0 | | 29.8 | 28.0 | 27.0 | 2.8 |
| 11. | LESS RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (AGES 12-21) | 46.5 | 50.1 | 125,551 | 250,487 | 0 | | 50.1 | 47.1 | 46.8 | 3.3 |
| 12. | SPED ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE | 2.0 | 1.3 | 3,394 | 256,058 | 0 | | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.1 |
| 13. | SPED RHSP/DAP GRADUATION RATE | | 16.5 | 4,288 | 25,918 | Report Only | | 16.5 | 14.6 | 12.8 | 3.7 |
| 14. | SPED IDENTIFICATION | 8.5 | 11.0 | 498,209 | 4,509,436 | 1 | | 11.0 | 11.5 | 11.6 | -0.6 |
| 15. | SPED AFRICAN AMERICAN REPRESENTATION SPED AFRICAN AMERICAN ALL AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1.0 | 3.5 18.2 14.7 | 90,507 663,366 | 498,209 4,509,436 | 2 | | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 0.1 |
| 16. | SPED HISPANIC REPRESENTATION SPED HISPANIC ALL HISPANIC | 1.0 | -3.8 41.5 45.3 | 206,961 2,043,122 | 498,209 4,509,436 | 0 | | -3.8 | -4.0 | -3.8 | -0.0 |
| 17. | SPED LEP REPRESENTATION SPED LEP ALL LEP | 1.0 | 0.0 15.8 15.8 | 78,644 710,795 | 498,209 4,509,436 | 0 | | 0.0 | -0.5 | | |
| 18. | SPED DISCRETIONARY DAEP PLACEMENTS SPED DAEP PLACEMENTS ALL DAEP PLACEMENTS | 1.0 | 1.5 3.4 1.9 | 21,343 91,527 | 632,274 4,845,885 | 1 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.8 | -1.3 |
| 19. | SPED DISCRETIONARY EXPULSIONS SPED EXPULSIONS ALL EXPULSIONS | 1.0 | 0.1 0.2 0.1 | 1,282 5,676 | 632,274 4,845,885 | 0 | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 20. | SPED DISCRETIONARY PLACEMENTS TO ISS SPED ISS PLACEMENTS ALL ISS PLACEMENTS | 16.0 | 23.9 59.1 35.2 | 373,801 1,706,007 | 632,274 4,845,885 | 1 | | 23.9 | 23.2 | 30.4 | -6.5 |

*To ensure comparability across years when the student passing standard increased, the 2004 and 2005 state rates for all TAKS performance indicators have been recalculated based on the 2006 student passing standard. Detailed information on each of the indicators above can be found in the 'PBMAS 2006 Manual at <u>http://www.tea.state.tx.us/pbm/PBMASManuals.html</u>. This Page Intentionally Left Blank

PUBLICATION ORDER FORM

| | Date | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----|--|
| Remitter Name | | | |
| Send to (name, if different) | | | |
| Address | | | |
| City | State | Zip | |

To place an order for a publication, fill out the information below and make check or money order payable to: Texas Education Agency

| Quantity | Title of documents requested | Publication No. | Cost | TOTAL |
|----------|---|---------------------|---------|-------|
| | 2007 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System Manual | GE07-605-01 | \$12.00 | |
| | Price includes postage, han | dling, and state to | ax. | |

| FOR TAX EXEMPT ORDERS ONLY | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------|------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Make chec | Make check or money order payable to: Texas Education Agency Purchase orders are accepted | | | | | | | |
| only from | Texas educational institutions and government | nent agencies. | | | | | | |
| Quantity | Title of documents requested | Publication No. | Cost | TOTAL | | | | |
| | QuantityPrice of documents requestedProblem 100 Performance2007 Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System ManualGE07-605-01\$10.00 | | | | | | | |
| | Prices include postage | and handling. | | | | | | |

IF YOU ARE <u>MAILING</u> A <u>PURCHASE</u> <u>ORDER</u>* OR NEED INFORMATION, MAIL TO:

Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494

<u>*Purchase orders are accepted</u> <u>ONLY from</u> <u>Texas Funded Educational Institutions and</u> <u>Texas Government Agencies</u>

IF YOU ARE **MAILING** A **CHECK** OR **MONEY ORDER**, REMIT THIS FORM WITH PAYMENT TO:

Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution P.O. Box 13817 Austin, Texas 78711-3817

<u>Make check or money order payable to:</u> <u>Texas Education Agency.</u>

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



Texas Education Agency GE07 605 01