# College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools 2000-01

Division of Research and Evaluation
Department of Accountability Reporting and Research
Texas Education Agency
August 2003

# College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools 2000-01

Project Staff Paul R. Gesn Angie H. Liu Nelson Loponi

Editorial Staff Richard Kallus Vicky A. Killgore Sue Mutchler

Division of Research and Evaluation
Department of Accountability Reporting and Research
Texas Education Agency
August 2003

#### **Texas Education Agency**

Robert Scott, Chief Deputy Commissioner

#### Office of Finance and Accountability

Ron McMichael, Deputy Commissioner

#### **Department of Accountability Reporting and Research**

Criss Cloudt, Associate Commissioner

#### **Division of Research and Evaluation**

Karen Dvorak, Senior Director

#### **Additional Acknowledgments**

Special thanks to Debra Craig from the College Board and Glen Gullikson from ACT, Inc., for their kind reviews of this report.

**Citation.** Texas Education Agency. (2003.) *College admissions testing of graduating seniors in Texas high schools*, 2000-01 (Document No. GE03 601 03). Austin, TX: Author.

**Abstract.** Texas SAT® I and ACT participation and performance in 2000-01 were examined. The highest number of Texas public school graduates ever—122,417 or 62.9 percent—took either the SAT I or ACT in 2000-01, up slightly from 62.2 percent in 1999-00. Less than one-third (26.9%) of all examinees achieved the AEIS criterion score on either test in 2000-01, down from 27.3 percent in 1999-00. Asian American graduates had the highest participation rate at 85.2 percent, followed by Native American (76.9%) and White graduates (70.0%). Hispanics had the lowest rate, with 46.5 percent of graduates participating in SAT I or ACT testing. A larger proportion of Asian American examinees (42.8%) met the criterion score than did any other group, while African Americans had the smallest proportion of examinees (7.4%) who met the criterion score. The percentages of White and African American SAT I and ACT examinees in public and non-public schools combined were generally similar in Texas and the nation from 1996 through 2001. During this same time period, the percentages of Texas Hispanic examinees were about three to four times larger than the percentages of Hispanic test takers nationally. Mean scores for Texas and the nation remained relatively stable.

**Keywords.** SAT<sup>®</sup>, ACT, college admission, testing, acknowledgment, accountability, high school, scores, research and evaluation, graduate, TASP.

Material in this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced. The Texas Education Agency would appreciate credit for the material used and a copy of the reprint.

Advanced Placement Program<sup>®</sup>, AP<sup>®</sup>, Pre-AP<sup>®</sup>, AP Vertical Teams<sup>®</sup>, SAT<sup>®</sup>, and PSAT/NMSQT<sup>®</sup>, are either registered trademarks or trademarks of the College Board. Other product and company names mentioned in this report may be the trademarks of their respective owners.

Additional information about this report may be obtained by contacting the Texas Education Agency, Department of Accountability Reporting and Research, Division of Research and Evaluation by phone at (512) 475-3523, by email at research@tea.state.tx.us, or via the division website: <a href="http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/">http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</a>. Copies of the report may be purchased using the order form in the back of this publication.

For information regarding administration, preparation for, and scoring of the SAT examination, contact the College Board's Southwestern Regional Office at (512) 891-8400 or <a href="http://www.collegeboard.com/">http://www.collegeboard.com/</a>. For such information on the ACT Assessment, contact ACT, Inc., Southwest Region, at (512) 345-1949 or <a href="http://www.act.org/">http://www.act.org/</a>

# **Contents**

Highlights		
Te	xas Public Schools	2
Te	xas and the United States	
Overview.		
College Ad	missions Testing: The SAT I and ACT	
Ge	neral Information	8
Ac	cess to Testing	8
Us	e and Interpretation of SAT I and ACT Scores	9
Us	e of College Entrance Examination Scores in Texas	11
Data Sourc	es and Reporting Information	13
Pu	blic and Non-Public Schools	14
Te	st Data	14
Da	ta Sources	14
Results for	Texas Public Schools	17
Ac	ademic Excellence Indicator System Measures	18
SA	Т I	22
AC	Т	28
Results for	Texas and the Nation	35
Te	xas and the United States: SAT I	36
Te	xas and the United States: ACT	40
Te	xas and Other States: SAT I and ACT	45
Appendix A	A. Supplemental Tables	49
Appendix 1	3. District and Campus Listings by County	57
No	tes on Appendix B	209
Appendix (	C. College Admissions Examinations by District Characteristic	211
No	tes on Appendix C	216
Te	xas Education Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2000-01	217
References		223
	List of Tables	
Table 1.	Levels of Data Reporting	15
Table 2.	SAT I and/or ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	
	OCHUCI, I EXAS I UUIIC SCHOOIS, ZUUU-UI	1 (

Table 3.	SAT I and/or ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	20
Table 4.	SAT I Participation Rates and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	22
Table 5.	SAT I Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	23
Table 6.	SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	25
Table 7.	ACT Participation Rates and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	28
Table 8.	ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	29
Table 9.	ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	31
Table 10.	SAT I Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	36
Table 11.	SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	37
Table 12.	SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	38
Table 13.	SAT I Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	39
Table 14.	SAT I Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	39
Table 15.	ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	40
Table 16.	ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	42
Table 17.	ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	43
Table 18.	ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	44
Table 19.	ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	44
Table 20.	SAT I Participation and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by State, 2000-01	46
Table 21.	ACT Participation and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by State, 2000-01	48
Table A-1.	SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01	50
Table A-2.	ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01	52

Table A-3.	SAT I and ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01	55
	List of Figures	
Figure 1.	Relationship Between SAT I Participation Rates and SAT I Mathematics Performance Across States, 2000-01	11
Figure 2.	SAT I and/or ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1990-91 Through 2000-01	19
Figure 3.	SAT I and/or ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1990-91 Through 2000-01	21
Figure 4.	SAT I Total Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	24
Figure 5.	SAT I Mathematics Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	26
Figure 6.	Comparison of Graduating Seniors and SAT I Examinees, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	27
Figure 7.	ACT Composite Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	30
Figure 8.	ACT English Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01	32
Figure 9.	Comparison of Graduating Seniors and ACT Examinees, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	33

# Highlights

**Texas Public Schools** 

Texas and the United States

#### **Texas Public Schools**

#### Academic Excellence Indicator System Measures

#### **Participation**

- In 2000-01, a total of 122,417 Texas public high school graduates took either the SAT I or ACT. This was up from 120,077 graduates in 1999-00. The number of examinees in 2000-01 reflects an overall participation rate of 62.9 percent.
- Asian/Pacific Islanders had the highest participation rate at 85.2 percent, and Hispanics had the lowest participation rate at 46.5 percent.

#### Performance

- In 2000-01, only 26.9 percent of all examinees achieved the criterion score on either the SAT I or ACT. In 1999-00, 27.3 percent of examinees met the criterion.
- A larger proportion of Asian/Pacific Islander examinees met the criterion scores (42.8%) than did any other group. African Americans had the smallest proportion of examinees who met the criterion scores (7.4%).

#### SATI

#### **Participation**

- In the 2000-01 school year, 98,336 public high school graduates took the SAT I examination. This represents a slight increase in participation rate (50.6%) from the previous year (50.0% in 1999-00).
- The participation rates in SAT I testing by ethnicity were 77.6 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander graduates, 48.5 percent of White graduates, 44.0 percent of African American graduates, and 32.7 percent of Hispanic graduates.

#### Performance

- The average SAT I Total score in 2000-01 was 987, down from 990 in 1999-00.
- In 2000-01, Asian/Pacific Islander examinees obtained the highest average SAT I score at 1069, and African American examinees obtained the lowest average SAT I score at 846.

#### ACT

#### **Participation**

- In the 2000-01 school year, 60,536 public high school graduates took the ACT examination. This represents a participation rate of 31.1 percent, up from 30.8 percent in 1999-00.
- The participation rates in ACT testing by ethnicity were 33.1 percent of White graduates, 28.6 percent of African American graduates, 27.4 percent of Asian/Pacific Islander graduates, and 20.4 percent of Hispanic graduates.

#### **Performance**

- The average ACT Composite score in 2000-01 was 20.2, down one-tenth of a point from 20.3 in 1999-00.
- In 2000-01, Asian/Pacific Islander examinees obtained the highest average ACT Composite score at 22.1, and African American examinees obtained the lowest average ACT score at 17.1. Asian/Pacific Islander examinees scored considerably higher on the Mathematics section than did the other ethnic groups; their average score of 23.8 was almost three points higher than the next highest average Mathematics score of 21.4, obtained by White examinees.

#### **Texas and the United States**

#### SATI

- In 2000-01, a total of 111,277 Texas public and non-public high school graduates took the SAT I, resulting in a participation rate of approximately 53 percent, up from 52 percent in 1999-00. Nationally 1,276,320 public and non-public high school graduates took the SAT I, resulting in a participation rate of about 45 percent, up from 44 percent in 1999-00.
- The percentages of White examinees and African American examinees were comparable in Texas and in the nation. However, whereas Hispanics made up 20.5 percent of the test-taking population in Texas, nationally the average percentage of Hispanic test takers was only 7.9 percent.
- In 2000-01, the mean SAT I Total score in Texas was 992, down from 993 in 1999-00. In 2000-01, the mean SAT I Total score nationally was 1020, up from 1019 in 1999-00. Subject scores were generally higher nationally than in Texas; however, the mean Verbal score of Asian/Pacific Islander examinees was higher in Texas than nationally.

### **ACT**

- In 2000-01, a total of 68,967 Texas public and non-public high school graduates took the ACT, for a participation rate of approximately 33 percent, up from 32 percent in 1999-00. Nationally 1,069,772 public and non-public high school graduates took the ACT, for a participation rate of about 38 percent, the same as in 1999-00.
- The percentages of African American examinees were comparable in Texas and the nation. However, the percentage of Hispanic test takers in Texas was about four times larger than the percentage of Hispanic test takers nationally. The percentage of White examinees in Texas was close to 17 percentage points smaller than the percentage of White examinees nationally.

# **Overview**

This report provides results for 2000-01 graduating seniors from the SAT I: Reasoning Test, published by the College Board, and from the ACT Assessment, published by ACT, Inc. The first section provides (1) general information on the SAT I and ACT examinations, (2) a discussion of the importance of participation rate in the interpretation of test scores and as a high school performance indicator, (3) information on the use and interpretation of SAT I and ACT scores, and (4) specific uses of college admissions test scores in Texas. After an explanation of the data sources in the second section, the third section provides SAT I and ACT results for the graduates of Texas public schools in 2000-01. Participation rates and performance on the examinations are provided for all examinees and by ethnicity and gender. In addition, trends in participation and performance are examined for the time period 1995-96 through 2000-01. The fourth section provides a comparison of SAT I and ACT performance for all graduates in public and non-public schools in Texas and in the nation as a whole. The fifth section provides SAT I and ACT scores for all 50 states, along with the percentage of graduates who took the tests in each state. In the appendices can be found the SAT I and ACT results for each district and public school in Texas in which at least five graduates were tested.

# College Admissions Testing: The SAT I and ACT

**General Information** 

Access to Testing

Use and Interpretation of SAT I and ACT Scores

Use of College Entrance Examination Scores in Texas

#### **General Information**

The SAT I and ACT are national college admissions examinations designed to assess the readiness of high school students for college-level work. Some studies have shown that high scorers on these examinations are likely to perform well in college, as indicated by significant correlations between scores on college admissions tests and college grades (e.g., Camara & Echternacht, 2000). Unlike many state assessments, the SAT I and ACT examinations are voluntary. Depending on their future college plans, students may take either, both, or neither of the examinations. Both the SAT I and the ACT assess a broad range of academic skills and are not directly tied to any specific curriculum.

The SAT I examination consists of two sections on which examinees receive scores: Verbal and Mathematics. In addition, a Total score is assigned as the sum of the scores on the two sections. The Verbal and Mathematics scores range from 200 to 800, and the Total score ranges from 400 to 1600. College Board publications provide additional information about the SAT I (College Board, 2001a, 2001b). Beginning in March of 2005, a revised SAT I examination will be implemented: the Critical Reading section, currently known as the Verbal section, will become more of a reading assessment and will no longer contain analogy items; the Mathematics section will be expanded to include items from Algebra II and will no longer include quantitative comparison items; and a Writing section will be added to include multiple choice questions on the use of standard English and a written essay.

The ACT examination consists of four sections on which examinees receive scores: English, Mathematics, Reading, and Science Reasoning. In addition, a Composite score is assigned as the average of the scores on these four sections. All scores range from 1 to 36. ACT, Inc. publications provide additional information about this examination (ACT, Inc., 2002). Beginning in the spring of 2005, an optional writing sample will be added to the ACT.

## **Access to Testing**

Participation in SAT I or ACT testing is influenced by many factors. Not participating in testing is sometimes a voluntary decision on the part of examinees, influenced by factors such as the decision not to attend college and inadequate preparation for the examinations. In other cases, factors such as financial hardship and disability may influence the decision whether to participate in testing. The state and testing companies have implemented policies to help remove these barriers to testing. The College Board website at <a href="www.collegeboard.com">www.collegeboard.com</a> and the ACT website at <a href="www.act.org">www.act.org</a> have additional information on fees, fee waivers, and special services for examinees.

#### Fees and fee waivers

For junior and senior high school students who may be affected by financial constraints, test fee waivers from the College Board and from ACT, Inc. are available based on economic need. Eligibility criteria include: (1) family income; (2) whether the family receives public assistance; (3) whether the student lives in a foster home; and (4) whether the student participates in a program

for the economically disadvantaged, such as Upward Bound. A student may receive a maximum of two fee waivers for the SAT I. A student may receive only one fee waiver for the ACT. In many Texas schools and districts, local criteria and availability of local funding determine the extent to which testing fees for students who do not meet the above criteria may also be waived.

#### Services for students with disabilities

Both the College Board and ACT, Inc. provide special services for students with disabilities. To qualify, a student must have a documented need for testing accommodations. In most cases, a student must also be receiving special accommodations for classroom tests. Texas State Board of Education rules relating to testing accommodations for classroom tests are specified in 19 Texas Administrative Code [TAC] §101.3 (1999). Beginning in fall 2003, both ACT Inc. and the College Board will no longer identify the test scores of a student who takes the tests under special circumstances because of a documented disability.

## Use and Interpretation of SAT I and ACT Scores

#### Individual Scores

For individual students, college admissions tests are measures of readiness for first-year college-level academic work. Unlike achievement tests, which assess mastery of specific subjects, the SAT I and ACT focus on measuring general verbal and mathematical skills not directly tied to specific courses or high school curricula (Atkinson, 2001). An individual student's performance on the SAT I and ACT is reported as a scale score. For each scale score there is a corresponding percentile rank, which is the percentage of test takers who score below that particular scale score. For example, if a test taker scores at the 90th percentile, 90 percent of the other test takers received scale scores lower than this individual's score. Although the difficulty of test items and tests may change from test form to test form or from year to year, statistical equating ensures that any given score has the same meaning in terms of student ability level across test forms or testing dates (College Board, 2001b). Percentile ranks provide information on examinees' standing relative to other examinees and thus enable high scorers to be discriminated from low scorers.

SAT I or ACT scores are used by a majority of colleges and universities as part of college admissions selection processes. As norm-referenced tests, the SAT I and ACT can be used to predict who is likely to succeed in college study, although, according to the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing* (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education, 1999), "any decision about a student should not be based on the results of a single test, but should include other relevant and valid information." Most institutions of higher education do, in fact, include other relevant and valid information in making admission decisions, including high school grade point average, courses taken, and participation in extracurricular activities. The manner in which all the relevant information is combined as part of the selection decision is unique to each institution. Colleges and universities have

developed their own equations for evaluating information and determining whether students meet final criteria for admission. As a result, admission standards associated with SAT I and ACT scores differ from institution to institution. In addition to their use as admissions tools by colleges and universities, college admissions tests are also used in awarding scholarships to students and may be used for freshman course placement.


#### **Group Scores**

Because both the SAT I and ACT are voluntary, only a self-selected portion of the graduate population takes either test each year. Participation rate becomes important in interpreting examination scores when only a subset of a population takes the examination. The average score of a population is dependent on the percentage of its members who actually take the test, which, in turn, affects the kinds of comparisons that can be made. For example, if only 10 percent of high school graduates take the SAT I, their mean SAT I score is not likely to be an accurate estimate of the mean SAT I score that would be obtained if the entire graduate population took the test. On the other hand, if 90 percent of high school graduates take the SAT I, their mean SAT I score is likely to be rather close to the mean SAT I score of the whole graduate population.

In addition, the mean score of a population with a very low participation rate will usually be higher than the mean score of a population with a high participation rate, assuming the ability level of each population is actually the same. An illustration of this is provided in Figure 1, in which the 2000-01 SAT I participation rate for each state in the United States is plotted against its mean SAT I Mathematics score. As the participation rate decreases, the average score generally increases. In a population with a low participation rate, it is likely that only the most academically able, the most motivated, and the best prepared students take the test, resulting in an estimate of the population score that may be biased upward, or inflated. In a population with a moderate to high participation rate, a relatively unbiased estimate of the population score can be obtained. This directly affects the types of comparisons that can be made among states, districts, campuses, and various student groups. Generally, comparisons of average SAT I or ACT scores should be limited to groups with similar participation rates. Even so, the validity of score comparisons is less dependent on participation rate once the participation rate reaches a certain level. This is clear in Figure 1, where the relationship between participation and performance begins to stabilize between 50 and 60 percent participation.

Over time, changes in aggregate SAT I and ACT test scores indicate changes in student preparedness for college. When changes in group mean scores are compared over time, the statistical significance of a change in scores depends on the average size of the group of examinees. Smaller groups require a larger change in order for the change to be statistically significant; larger groups require a smaller change to attain the same level of statistical significance. Therefore, it is more appropriate to make comparisons of score changes within a single group than to make comparisons of score changes between groups of different sizes. For example, the increase from a mean Verbal SAT I score of 600 to a mean score of 700 does not have the same implications in a group with 100 examinees as it does in a group with 10,000 examinees.

Figure 1
Relationship Between SAT I Participation Rates and SAT I Mathematics Performance Across States, 2000-01



Source. College Board (2003).

Within states, performance trends on the SAT I and ACT can be used as an indicator of change in how well high schools are preparing their graduates for college-level coursework. To properly interpret score changes over time, it is important to examine educational and demographic changes in the population of test takers and how these changes covary with changes in performance. Between-state comparisons of performance on the SAT I and ACT must be limited to states that have similar participation rates and are similar demographically.

## **Use of College Entrance Examination Scores in Texas**

## College Admission

Each institution of higher education in Texas establishes its own criteria for admissions. Most take into consideration some combination of college admission test scores and school achievement records. The score standards required for the SAT I and ACT vary, based on the selectivity of the institution. Some institutions allow high school records, that is, grade point averages and/or class ranks, and scores on college admissions tests to compensate for each other. That is, the higher the class rank or grade point average, the lower the test score required, and vice versa. Some institutions maintain an open admissions policy, under which any person with a high school diploma or its equivalent may be accepted. Still other institutions guarantee admission to students who graduate from high schools within the state in the top percentages of their class. In Texas, since the fall semester of 1998, public higher education institutions have been required by law to admit applicants who graduate with grade point averages in the top 10 percent of their classes.

#### Exemption from TASP Testing in Texas

In 1987, the Texas Legislature established a system of testing and remediation called the Texas Academic Skills Program, or TASP (Texas Education Code [TEC] §51.306, 1988). Under the program, each student who enrolls in a public institution of higher education must take a diagnostic test of basic reading, writing, and mathematics skills. The TASP cannot be used as a condition of admission to the institution, but must be taken before the student completes nine semester hours of coursework. Colleges and universities are then responsible for providing remediation to students who fail any part of the test.

In 1993, the legislature created special exemptions from the TASP based on SAT I, ACT, and exit-level Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) scores (TEC §51.306, 1994). To qualify for an exemption based on the ACT, a student must receive an ACT Composite score of at least 23 and English and Mathematics scores of at least 19 each. On the SAT I examination, a student must receive an SAT I Total score of at least 1070 and Verbal and Mathematics scores of at least 500 each.

# Gold Performance Acknowledgment in the Texas Academic Excellence Indicator System

In 1993, the Texas legislature enacted the statutes mandating the creation of the Texas public school accountability system to evaluate school districts and campuses. Base indicators in the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS), such as performance on TAAS and dropout rate, are used to determine accountability ratings. In addition, districts and campuses receive recognition for high performance on additional indicators that do not affect accountability ratings, for example, advanced course completion and performance on the SAT I or ACT. In 2001, the Texas legislature enacted the Gold Performance Acknowledgment (GPA) system to acknowledge districts and campuses on additional indicators (TEA, 2002). The GPA system replaced the Additional Acknowledgments process that had been part of the accountability system since 1994.

The SAT I/ACT Gold Performance Acknowledgment indicator includes two components: the percentage of non-special education graduates tested and the percentage of examinees scoring at or above a criterion score. For a district or campus to meet the GPA standard, at least 70 percent of non-special education graduates must have taken the SAT I or ACT and at least 40 percent of the examinees must have scored at least 1110 on the SAT I Total score or 24 on the ACT Composite. Standards must be met for each student group (African American, Hispanic, and White) at a district or campus, as well as for all students combined. Further information on current college admissions indicator definitions and acknowledgment standards can be found in TEA's current accountability manual (TEA, 2002).

SAT I and ACT criterion scores for recognizing high campus and district performance were established by the Texas State Board of Education (SBOE) in 1996. The scores are used only for public school accountability purposes through the Gold Performance Acknowledgment system. The SBOE criterion scores are not used by colleges to evaluate students for admission. The criteria for admission into a college or university can be obtained from the specific institution.

# **Data Sources and Reporting Information**

Public and Non-Public Schools

**Test Data** 

**Data Sources** 

## **Public and Non-Public Schools**

Texas SAT I and ACT examination results are presented in separate sections of this report. One section is based on the examination results of Texas public high school students only. In the 2000-01 school year, 98,336 Texas public high school students took the SAT I, and 60,536 Texas public high school students took the ACT. The other section, in which the results for Texas are presented along with those for other states and the nation as a whole, is based on the combined results of public and non-public high school students. In the 2000-01 school year, 111,277 Texas public and non-public high school students took the SAT I, and 68,967 Texas public and non-public high school students took the ACT.

#### **Test Data**

TEA receives scores from the testing companies based on a self-reported graduation year. Although students other than graduating seniors, primarily high school juniors, may take the SAT I and ACT examinations, the results in this report are based on the scores of only those students who indicated they were graduating seniors in the reporting year. In addition, although students may take the SAT I and ACT examinations more than once, TEA receives and reports only the results of the examinees' most recent examinations. In a case in which the number of students tested is larger than the number of graduates reported by a district or campus, the percentage of graduates tested appears as 100.

Performance on each examination is reported as a scale score. In 1996, the SAT I Verbal and Mathematics scores were recentered to realign the distributions of the Verbal and Mathematics scales. Recentering set the mean of each section to approximately 500. As a result of recentering, SAT I scores reported since 1996 cannot be compared to scores reported before 1996 without a score conversion. The ACT score scale was changed in 1990; therefore, ACT scores reported since 1990 cannot be compared to scores reported before 1990 without a score conversion.

Table 1 provides a summary of the various ways in which data are aggregated in the following sections of this report.

#### **Data Sources**

SAT I test data for Texas public high school examinees were provided to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) by the College Board. ACT test data for Texas public high school examinees were provided to TEA by ACT, Inc. Previous years' SAT I and ACT results for Texas public high schools were obtained from previous TEA annual reports (TEA 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001). Results for all public and non-public examinees in Texas and the nation were obtained from summary reports released annually by the College Board (College Board, 1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b) and by ACT, Inc. (ACT, Inc., 1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

Table 1
Levels of Data Reporting

Unit	Levels
Examination	SAT I ACT
	SAT I, ACT, or both (Academic Excellence Indicator System [AEIS])
Measures	Participation rate
	Mean test score
	Percentage meeting criterion (AEIS)
Type of school	Public
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Public and non-public
Student group	All students
Otadent group	By ethnicity
	By gender
	Dy gondon
Geographic area	Texas
	United States
	Other states

In the source notes for tables and figures in this report, publications are listed first, cited by author and publication date; proprietary data sets are listed second, cited by authorizing agency.

Gender and ethnicity information was obtained from data that examinees provided to the College Board and ACT, Inc. Information on the number of Texas public school graduates was obtained from the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS). In test score data from the testing companies, ethnicity is self-reported by the examinees. In PEIMS data, ethnicity is reported to TEA by the school districts. In a small percentage of records, ethnicity information is not consistent between the two sources of data; in such cases, results were calculated using information from PEIMS. Trends in the rates and scores for Native Americans may be unstable due to two additional limitations of the data. First, the number of Native American students in Texas is very small (519 public school graduates in 2001), and the number of examinees is even smaller (399 in 2001). Second, College Board, ACT, Inc., and TEA use slightly different data collection methods for reporting students as members of Native American ethnic groups. As a consequence, single-year results and trends reported for this student group should be interpreted with caution.

# **Results for Texas Public Schools**

Academic Excellence Indicator System Measures

SAT I

ACT

## **Academic Excellence Indicator System Measures**

#### Participation Rates

As noted previously, in 1993, the Texas Legislature mandated the creation of the Texas public school accountability system to evaluate districts and campuses. "Base" indicators in the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) are used to determine accountability ratings. College admissions test results are "additional" indicators in the AEIS, which are used to acknowledge districts and campuses for high performance on measures that do not affect accountability ratings. The AEIS measures regarding college admissions testing are (1) the percentage of graduating seniors tested on either the SAT I or ACT and (2) the percentage of examinees meeting the criterion established by the State Board of Education on either the SAT I (a score of at least 1110 on the SAT I Total) or the ACT (a score of at least 24 on the ACT Composite). If an examinee takes both tests and meets the criterion on both of them, performance on the AEIS achievement indicators is counted only once.

In 2000-01, a total of 122,417 public high school graduates took either the SAT I or ACT; this was up from 120,077 examinees in 1999-00 (see Table 2). The overall participation rate was 62.9 percent. In terms of participation by ethnic group, Asian/Pacific Islanders had the highest participation rate at 85.2 percent, followed by Native Americans (76.9%) and Whites (70.0%). Hispanics had the lowest participation rate, with 46.5 percent of graduates participating in SAT I or ACT testing, while African American graduates participated at a rate of 58.6 percent. A larger percentage of female graduates (65.1%) than male graduates (60.5%) were tested.

Table 2 SAT I and/or ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01


		Ex	aminees
Group	Graduates	Number	Participation rate (%)
African American	24,388	14,298	58.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	7,058	6,012	85.2
Hispanic	62,708	29,133	46.5
Native American	519	399	76.9
White	99,821	69,924	70.0
Female	102,997	67,066	65.1
Male	91,497	55,323	60.5
State	194,494	122,417	62.9

Source. ACT, Inc.; College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

Participation rates in 2000-01 were slightly lower than participation rates in mid-decade for all students and for most student groups, although the decline does not necessarily reflect a trend.

Participation rates of high school graduates have fluctuated over the eleven-year time period from 1990-91 through 2000-01 (see Figure 2). Because SAT I Verbal and Mathematics scores were recentered in 1996, the following discussion focuses on the trend since 1995-96. In 1995-96, 64.7 percent of all students participated in testing, and in 2000-01 the rate had decreased to 62.9 percent. The SAT I participation rate of Asian/Pacific Islander graduates was 86.9 percent in 1995-96 and 85.2 percent in 2000-01. The participation rate of White graduates decreased slightly from 71.1 percent in 1995-96 to 70.0 percent in 2000-01. The participation rate of African American graduates was 60.1 percent in 1995-96 and 58.6 percent in 2000-01. A noticeable drop in the African American participation rate occurred in 1998 when only 55.9 percent of graduates participated in testing. Finally, 48.8 percent of Hispanic graduates participated in testing in 1995-96, decreasing to 46.5 percent in 2000-01. During that six-year period, the participation rates also decreased somewhat for females (66.9% in 1995-96 and 65.1% in 2000-01) and for males (62.4% in 1995-96 and 60.5% in 2000-01).

Figure 2
SAT I and/or ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1990-91 Through 2000-01



Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); ACT, Inc.; College Board; and Texas Education Agency. Note. Results are not available for Asian/Pacific Islanders and Native Americans from 1990-91 through 1992-93.

## Percentage Meeting Criterion on Either the SAT I or ACT

In 2000-01, less than one-third (26.9%) of the graduating public school seniors who took a college entrance examination achieved the score criterion established by the Texas State Board of Education on either the SAT I or ACT (see Table 3). There were large ethnic group differences in the percentages of examinees who met the score criteria. As a group, a larger proportion of Asian/Pacific Islander examinees (42.8%) achieved the criterion score than did any other ethnic group. The next largest percentages were found among White examinees (36.2%) and Native American examinees (28.3%). The smallest percentages of examinees achieving the criterion score were found among Hispanic examinees (10.7%) and African American examinees (7.4%). A larger proportion of male than female examinees (29.9% and 24.4%, respectively) met the criterion.

Table 3
SAT I and/or ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01


		Examinees scoring a	at or above criterion
Group	Examinees	Number	Percent
African American	14,298	1,058	7.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	6,012	2,571	42.8
Hispanic	29,133	3,118	10.7
Native American	399	113	28.3
White	69,924	25,326	36.2
Female	67,066	16,359	24.4
Male	55,323	16,531	29.9
State	122,417	32,894	26.9

Source. ACT, Inc.; College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

Note. The criterion score for SAT I and ACT performance was established by the State Board of Education in 1996.

An examination of the performance trend since mid-decade, when the SAT I scores were recentered, reveals there has been a slight increase in the percentage of examinees in all groups who met the criterion (see Figure 3). The disparities among ethnic groups in the percentages of examinees who met the criterion were consistent from 1995-96 through 2000-01. Males outperformed females in all six years; the proportion of male examinees meeting the criterion ranged from 29.0 to 30.4 percent, while the proportion of female examinees meeting the criterion ranged from 24.1 to 24.9 percent.

Figure 3 SAT I and/or ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1990-91 Through 2000-01



Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); ACT, Inc.; College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

Note. The criterion score for SAT I and ACT performance was established by the State Board of Education in 1996. Results are not available for Asian/Pacific Islanders and Native Americans from 1990-91 through 1992-93.

#### SATI

#### SAT I Participation

The SAT I is most commonly taken by high school students in their junior and senior years. Because the test is not mandatory, only a self-selected portion of the population of high school seniors takes the test each year, that is, those students who intend to pursue college studies in an institution requiring SAT I scores. In 2000-01, 50.6 percent of Texas public school graduates participated in SAT I testing (see Table 4). Over three-fourths of Asian/Pacific Islander graduates, nearly half of African American and White graduates, and about one-third of Hispanic graduates participated in SAT I testing. Just over half of female graduates and just under half of male graduates participated in testing.

Table 4
SAT I Participation Rates and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender,
Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			ninees	M	Mean scores		
Group	Graduates	Number	Rate (%)	Mathematics	Verbal	Total	
African American	24,388	10,734	44.0	421	425	846	
Asian/Pacific Islander	7,058	5,475	77.6	564	504	1069	
Hispanic	62,708	20,521	32.7	451	446	897	
Native American	519	602	100a	492	491	983	
White	99,821	48,391	48.5	526	520	1047	
Female	102,997	53,536	52.0	483	486	970	
Male	91,497	44,800	49.0	515	494	1009	
State	194,494	98,336	50.6	498	490	987	

Source. College Board and Texas Education Agency.

From 1995-96 through 2000-01, the overall rate of participation in SAT I testing held steady at about 50 percent (see Table 5). The ranking of ethnic groups by participation rate did not change during this period of time, but the level of participation decreased somewhat for each group. Most noticeably, the participation rate for Asian/Pacific Islanders decreased eight percentage points between 1995-96 and 2000-01. The female participation rate was a little higher than the rate for males each year.

Due to discrepancies in the reporting of ethnicity between test score data from the testing companies and the Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System database, participation rates for ethnic groups with small numbers of graduates may be greater than 100 percent. In such cases, the number is presented in the table as 100 percent.

Table 5
SAT I Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

		Group (%)					Gender (%)	
Graduation year	African American	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Native American <sup>a</sup>	White	Female	Male	State (%)
1995-96	47.7	85.4	34.3	100	52.9	52.7	48.9	50.9
1996-97	44.5	88.2	34.1	100	52.2	52.3	48.5	50.5
1997-98	44.1	82.6	32.3	100	51.3	51.3	47.7	49.6
1998-99	45.8	82.0	32.5	100	50.2	51.8	48.1	50.1
1999-00	43.3	77.5	32.1	100	49.1	51.3	48.6	50.0
2000-01	44.0	77.6	32.7	100	48.5	52.0	49.0	50.6

Source. College Board and Texas Education Agency.


<sup>a</sup>Due to discrepancies in the reporting of ethnicity between test score data from the testing companies and the Texas Education Agency Public Education Information Management System database, participation rates for ethnic groups with small numbers of graduates may be greater than 100 percent. In such cases, the number is presented in the table as 100 percent.

#### Mean SAT I Scores

The average SAT I Total score in 2000-01 was 987 (see Table 4 on page 22), three points below the average score of 990, obtained in 1999-00. There appear to be significant mean differences among student groups. In 2000-01, the highest average SAT I Total scores were obtained by Asian/Pacific Islander examinees (with an average of 1069) and White examinees (with an average of 1047). Asian/Pacific Islander examinees scored considerably higher on the Mathematics section than the other ethnic groups. Males' average SAT I Total, Verbal, and Mathematics scores were higher than females' average scores. Males had an average Total score of 1009, whereas females had a Total average score of 970.

The average scores for all students declined slightly from 1995-96 through 2000-01 (see Table 6). Verbal scores held steady in the range of 490 to 493, and Mathematics scores held steady in the range of 498 to 500. Within ethnic groups, the average Verbal scores were relatively stable, although there was a slight upward trend for Asian/Pacific Islander and Native American examinees. Average Mathematics scores were stable as well within each ethnic group. Between groups, the differences in average group scores were stable over this time period. Average SAT I Total scores of Asian/Pacific Islander, White, and Native American examinees were consistently higher than those of Hispanic and African American examinees (see Figure 4). Verbal and Mathematics scores also remained stable for male and female examinees, with males consistently obtaining much higher scores on Mathematics than females (see Table 6 on page 25 and Figure 5 on page 26).

Figure 4
SAT I Total Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01




Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

Table 6
SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

	Group					Gender		
Graduation year	African American	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Native American	White	Female	Male	State
Mean Verbal score								
1995-96	428	502	450	487	520	491	495	493
1996-97	426	501	449	482	519	490	494	492
1997-98	425	504	449	483	520	488	496	492
1998-99	426	506	449	490	519	488	496	492
1999-00	426	503	447	493	521	489	493	491
2000-01	425	504	446	491	520	486	494	490
Mean Mathematics	score							
1995-96	425	564	458	485	523	485	517	500
1996-97	422	566	458	486	525	486	518	500
1997-98	423	562	457	493	525	486	517	500
1998-99	421	562	453	491	524	482	517	498
1999-00	423	563	453	492	527	484	517	499
2000-01	421	564	451	492	526	483	515	498
Mean Total score								
1995-96	852	1066	908	973	1043	976	1013	993
1996-97	849	1067	907	967	1044	976	1011	992
1997-98	848	1066	906	976	1045	974	1014	992
1998-99	847	1068	902	981	1044	970	1013	989
1999-00	849	1066	900	985	1048	973	1010	990
2000-01	846	1069	897	983	1047	970	1009	987

Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

Figure 5 SAT I Mathematics Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01




Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); College Board; and Texas Education Agency.

#### SAT I Examinee Profile

In 2000-01, except in the case of African American students, a discrepancy was found between the representation of each ethnic group in the SAT I examinee population and its representation in the graduate population (see Figure 6). The proportion of African American students was the same in both instances: 12.5 percent of examinees and 12.5 percent of graduates were African American. Among Asian/Pacific Islander, Native American, and White students, each group's proportion of SAT I examinees was greater than its proportion of high school graduates. For example, Asian/Pacific Islanders made up 6.4 percent of examinees, although only 3.6 percent of the graduate population was Asian/Pacific Islander. On the other hand, the percentage of SAT I examinees who were Hispanic was considerably smaller than the Hispanic proportion of graduates; only 23.9 percent of examinees were Hispanic, although Hispanics made up 32.2 percent of graduates.

The percentages of male and female SAT I examinees in 2000-01 were similar to their proportions of the graduate population. Females made up 54.4 percent of examinees and 53.0 percent of all graduates; males made up 45.6 percent of SAT I examinees and 47.0 percent of all graduates.

Figure 6
Comparison of Graduating Seniors and SAT I Examinees, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01



Source. College Board and Texas Education Agency.

## **ACT**

## **ACT Participation**

Approximately 31.1 percent of all Texas public school graduates took the ACT in 2000-01 (see Table 7). Native Americans had the highest level of participation (64.7%). Participation rates of the other ethnic groups ranged from a high of 33.1 percent of White examinees to a low of 20.4 percent of Hispanic examinees. The participation rate of female graduates was 34.5 percent, and the participation rate of male graduates was 27.0 percent.

Table 7
ACT Participation Rates and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		Examinees			Mean scores				
							Science		
Group	Graduates	Number	Rate (%)	English	Mathematics	Reading	Reasoning	Composite	
African American	24,388	6,974	28.6	16.2	17.2	17.0	17.4	17.1	
Asian/Pacific Islander	7,058	1,937	27.4	20.8	23.8	21.4	21.9	22.1	
Hispanic	62,708	14,090	22.5	16.9	18.3	17.9	18.4	18.0	
Native American	519	336	64.7	20.4	20.6	21.5	21.2	21.1	
White	99,821	33,068	33.1	21.1	21.4	21.9	21.6	21.6	
Female	102,997	35,514	34.5	19.9	19.8	20.6	20.0	20.2	
Male	91,497	24,738	27.0	18.8	20.7	19.9	20.7	20.1	
State	194,494	60,536	31.1	19.4	20.2	20.3	20.3	20.2	

Source. ACT, Inc. and Texas Education Agency.

The rate of participation in ACT testing held relatively steady between 1996-97 and 2000-01 (see Table 8). The participation rate for each ethnic group also changed little across this time period. The female participation rate was somewhat higher than that for males during each year.

Table 8
ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

			Gender (%)					
Graduation year	African American	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Native American	White	Female	Male	State (%)
1995-96	27.8	30.9	25.1	81.5	33.1	36.1	30.2	33.3
1996-97	25.4	30.1	23.4	95.1	31.4	34.9	28.5	31.9
1997-98	24.8	28.0	22.4	58.5	31.7	33.8	26.4	30.4
1998-99	26.4	28.4	21.6	63.0	31.7	33.5	25.8	30.0
1999-00	27.6	26.3	22.4	56.6	32.9	34.3	26.7	30.8
2000-01	28.6	27.4	22.5	64.7	33.1	34.5	27.0	31.1


Source. ACT, Inc. and Texas Education Agency.

### Mean ACT Scores

The average ACT Composite score in 2000-01 was 20.2 (see Table 7 on page 28), one-tenth of a point below the average score of 20.3 in 1999-00. The highest average ACT Composite scores in 2000-01 were obtained by Asian/Pacific Islander and White examinees. Asian/Pacific Islander examinees scored considerably higher on the Mathematics section than did the other ethnic groups; their average score of 23.8 was almost three points higher than the next highest average Mathematics score of 21.4, obtained by White examinees. There were no consistent patterns of differences in the scores of males and females.

From 1995-96 through 2000-01, there was little variability in average ACT scores (see Table 9). Average scores on all sections of the ACT were in the range of 19-21. From 1995-96 through 2000-01, average ACT Composite scores were highest for Asian/Pacific Islander and White examinees (see Table 9 and Figure 7). Scores were generally stable within each ethnic group across all six years. Males had higher average scores than females on Mathematics and Science Reasoning each year, but females had higher average scores than males on English and Reading each year (see Table 9 on page 31 and Figure 8 on page 32). Scores were consistent across years for males and females on all sections.

Figure 7
ACT Composite Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96
Through 2000-01




Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); ACT, Inc.; and Texas Education Agency.

Table 9
ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96
Through 2000-01

			Group			Gen	ider	
Graduation year	African American	Asian/Pacific Islander	Hispanic	Native American	White	Female	Male	State
Mean English sco	re							
1995-96	16.3	20.1	16.9	19.6	21.0	19.9	18.8	19.4
1996-97	16.2	20.1	17.0	20.0	20.9	19.7	18.8	19.3
1997-98	16.4	20.4	17.0	20.2	20.9	20.0	18.8	19.5
1998-99	16.5	20.5	17.0	19.4	21.0	20.0	18.8	19.5
1999-00	16.4	20.3	17.0	18.8	21.1	20.0	18.8	19.5
2000-01	16.2	20.8	16.9	20.4	21.1	19.9	18.8	19.4
Mean Mathematic	s score							
1995-96	17.3	23.4	18.2	19.5	20.8	19.5	20.3	19.8
1996-97	17.4	23.9	18.4	20.2	21.1	19.8	20.6	20.1
1997-98	17.3	23.7	18.3	20.5	21.3	19.9	20.7	20.2
1998-99	17.5	23.5	18.3	20.0	21.1	19.8	20.6	20.1
1999-00	17.3	23.6	18.3	19.8	21.4	19.8	20.7	20.2
2000-01	17.2	23.8	18.3	20.6	21.4	19.8	20.7	20.2
Mean Reading sc	ore							
1995-96	17.1	21.2	17.8	20.7	21.9	20.7	19.7	20.3
1996-97	16.9	21.2	17.8	21.4	21.8	20.5	19.9	20.2
1997-98	17.4	21.3	18.0	21.2	21.9	20.9	20.0	20.5
1998-99	17.3	21.3	18.1	20.5	21.8	20.7	20.0	20.4
1999-00	17.1	21.2	18.1	20.8	22.1	20.8	20.1	20.5
2000-01	17.0	21.4	17.9	21.5	21.9	20.6	19.9	20.3
Mean Science Re	asoning score							
1995-96	17.4	21.5	18.3	20.5	21.5	19.9	20.7	20.2
1996-97	17.5	21.6	18.3	20.9	21.4	19.9	20.6	20.2
1997-98	17.5	21.5	18.5	20.8	21.5	20.1	20.8	20.4
1998-99	17.7	21.6	18.5	20.4	21.4	20.1	20.7	20.3
1999-00	17.5	21.5	18.5	20.5	21.5	20.0	20.7	20.3
2000-01	17.4	21.9	18.4	21.2	21.6	20.0	20.7	20.3
Mean Composite	score							
1995-96	17.1	21.7	17.9	20.2	21.4	20.1	20.0	20.1
1996-97	17.2	21.8	18.0	20.8	21.4	20.1	20.1	20.1
1997-98	17.3	21.8	18.1	20.8	21.5	20.3	20.2	20.3
1998-99	17.4	21.8	18.1	20.2	21.5	20.3	20.2	20.2
1999-00	17.2	21.8	18.1	20.1	21.7	20.3	20.2	20.3
2000-01	17.1	22.1	18.0	21.1	21.6	20.2	20.1	20.2

Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); ACT, Inc.; and Texas Education Agency.

Figure 8
ACT English Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas Public Schools, 1995-96
Through 2000-01




Source. Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001); ACT, Inc.; and Texas Education Agency.

#### **ACT Examinee Profile**

In 2000-01, the proportions of African Americans and Asian-Pacific Islanders among graduates were only slightly higher than their proportions of ACT examinees: 12.5 percent of graduates and 12.4 percent of examinees were African American and 3.6 percent of graduates and 3.4 percent of examinees were Asian-Pacific Islander. A discrepancy was found between the representation of each of the other ethnic groups in the ACT examinee population and its representation in the graduate population (see Figure 9). Among Whites and Native Americans, the percentage of examinees was greater than the percentage of graduates. For example, Whites made up 58.6 percent of ACT examinees but only 51.3 percent of graduates. On the other hand, the percentage of Hispanic students who took the ACT was less than their proportion of the graduate population; Hispanics made up only 25.0 percent of examinees, whereas they made up 32.2 percent of all graduates.

The percentages of males and females taking the ACT in 2000-01 and their proportions of the graduate population were found to be somewhat different (see Figure 9). Females made up 58.9 percent of ACT examinees and 53.0 percent of all graduates. A smaller proportion of males took the examination: 41.1 percent of examinees were male, while 47.0 percent of graduates were male.

Figure 9
Comparison of Graduating Seniors and ACT Examinees, by Ethnicity and Gender, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01



Source. ACT, Inc. and Texas Education Agency.

## **Results for Texas and the Nation**

Texas and the United States: SAT I

Texas and the United States: ACT

Texas and Other States: SAT I and ACT

## Texas and the United States: SAT I

## **Participation**

The number of SAT I examinees among graduating seniors in both public and non-public Texas schools steadily increased from 89,329 in 1995-96 to 111,277 in 2000-01, an increase of 24.6 percent (see Table 10). During the same period, the number of SAT I examinees in the nation increased 17.7 percent, from 1,084,725 to 1,276,320. The rate at which Texas graduating seniors took the SAT I increased from 48 percent to 53 percent, and the national participation rate increased from 41 percent to 45 percent.

Table 10
SAT I Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

	Exa	minees	Participation rate (%)		
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	
1995-96	89,329	1,084,725	48	41	
1996-97	94,034	1,127,021	49	42	
1997-98	100,417	1,172,779	51	43	
1998-99	104,144	1,220,130	50	43	
1999-00	108,919	1,260,278	52	44	
2000-01	111,277	1,276,320	53	45	

Source. College Board (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

Note. Rates that involve both public and private schools were obtained from summary reports released annually by the College Board. In these reports, the rates are rounded to the nearest whole number.

#### Mean Scores

From 1995-96 through 2000-01, the national mean SAT I scores were generally higher than the Texas mean scores for all ethnic and gender groups, with one notable exception (see Table 11 below and Table 12 on page 38). The exception was for Asian/Pacific Islander examinees, whose mean SAT I Total and Verbal scores were higher in Texas than in the nation across all six years. Mathematics scores of Asian/Pacific Islanders were also higher in Texas than in the nation during three of those years (1995-96, 1996-97, and 1998-99). In addition, the gaps between national and Texas mean SAT I subject and total scores increased for both females and males over the six-year period. For mean scores by ethnicity prior to 1995-96, see Table A-1 in Appendix A.

Table 11
SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

				Gr	oup					
	African American			/Pacific ander	Hisp	oanic	W	hite		All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Verbal score										
1995-96	428	434	503	496	454	458	521	526	495	505
1996-97	426	434	502	496	452	457	521	526	494	505
1997-98	426	434	505	498	452	456	522	526	494	505
1998-99	427	434	507	498	452	457	522	527	494	505
1999-00	427	434	504	499	450	457	523	528	493	505
2000-01	425	433	506	501	448	455	523	529	493	506
Mean Mathematics sc	ore									
1995-96	424	422	564	558	459	460	523	523	500	508
1996-97	422	423	566	560	458	460	525	526	501	511
1997-98	424	426	562	562	458	460	526	528	501	512
1998-99	420	422	563	560	453	458	525	528	499	511
1999-00	423	426	564	565	454	461	528	530	500	514
2000-01	421	426	565	566	452	460	528	531	499	514
Mean Total score										
1995-96	852	856	1067	1054	913	918	1044	1049	995	1013
1996-97	848	857	1068	1056	910	917	1046	1052	995	1016
1997-98	850	860	1067	1060	910	916	1048	1054	995	1017
1998-99	847	856	1070	1058	905	915	1047	1055	993	1016
1999-00	850	860	1068	1064	904	918	1051	1058	993	1019
2000-01	846	859	1071	1067	900	915	1051	1060	992	1020

Source. College Board (2001a, 2001b) and Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001).

Table 12 SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

	Fer	nale	Ma	ale
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Verbal score				
1995-96	493	503	498	507
1996-97	493	503	496	507
1997-98	490	502	499	509
1998-99	491	502	499	509
1999-00	491	504	496	507
2000-01	489	502	497	509
Mean Mathematics score				
1995-96	485	492	518	527
1996-97	487	494	518	530
1997-98	486	496	518	531
1998-99	483	495	517	531
1999-00	485	498	518	533
2000-01	485	498	516	533
Mean Total score				
1995-96	978	995	1016	1034
1996-97	980	997	1014	1037
1997-98	976	998	1017	1040
1998-99	974	997	1016	1040
1999-00	976	1002	1014	1040
2000-01	974	1000	1013	1042

Source. College Board (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

### **Examinee Profile**

Across the period 1995-96 through 2000-01, the percentage of SAT I examinees in each ethnic group in Texas public and non-public high schools was similar to the national percentage, except for Hispanic students (see Table 13). Whereas Hispanics made up about 20 percent of the test-taking population in Texas, Hispanics were only about eight percent of test takers across the nation. The proportions of female and male examinees in Texas were also similar to the national percentages (see Table 14). For SAT I participation trends by ethnic group prior to 1995-96, see Table A-3 in Appendix A.

Table 13 SAT I Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

					Percent of e	xaminees		
	Examinees		African American		Hispanic		White	
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
1995-96	89,329	1,084,725	10.6	9.8	19.7	7.6	57.6	62.8
1996-97	94,034	1,127,021	10.3	9.8	20.2	7.6	56.2	61.6
1997-98	100,417	1,172,779	10.6	9.8	20.1	7.7	55.0	60.1
1998-99	104,144	1,220,130	10.8	9.8	20.0	7.8	53.5	58.8
1999-00	108,919	1,260,278	10.5	9.5	20.3	7.8	50.9	56.5
2000-01	111,277	1,276,320	10.6	9.4	20.5	7.9	49.4	55.1

Source. College Board (2001a, 2001b) and Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001).

Table 14 SAT I Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

			Percent of examinees						
Graduation year	Examinees		Fe	male	Male				
	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation			
1995-96	89,329	1,084,725	54.2	53.5	45.8	46.5			
1996-97	94,034	1,127,021	54.3	53.8	45.7	46.2			
1997-98	100,417	1,172,779	54.3	53.8	45.7	46.2			
1998-99	104,144	1,220,130	54.2	53.9	45.8	46.1			
1999-00	108,919	1,260,278	54.0	53.7	46.0	46.3			
2000-01	111,277	1,276,320	53.9	53.6	46.1	46.4			

Source. College Board (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

## **Texas and the United States: ACT**

## **Participation**

The number of ACT examinees in Texas public and non-public schools increased from 55,442 in 1995-96 to 68,967 in 2000-01, an increase of 24.4 percent (see Table 15). The number of ACT examinees nationally increased from 924,663 to 1,069,772, an increase of 15.7 percent. In Texas, the rate at which graduating seniors took the ACT increased from 30 percent to 33 percent during this time period. Nationally, the participation rate increased from 35 percent to 38 percent.

Table 15
ACT Participation Rates, Graduating Seniors, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

	Exa	Participation rate (%)		
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
1995-96	55,442	924,663	30	35
1996-97	58,395	959,301	30	36
1997-98	64,064	995,039	32	37
1998-99	65,094	1,019,053	31	36
1999-00	68,010	1,065,138	32	38
2000-01	68,967	1,069,772	33	38

Source. ACT, Inc. (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

### Mean Scores

From 1995-96 through 2000-01, the average scores for White and Hispanic students were generally higher nationally than in Texas on all ACT sections (see Table 16 on page 42). This trend was the same for scores by gender (see Table 17 on page 43). Among Asian/Pacific Islander and African American examinees, however, the majority of scores were a few tenths of a point higher in Texas than in the nation on most ACT sections during this time period. For mean scores by ethnicity prior to 1995-96, see Table A-2 in Appendix A.

Table 16 ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

				Gr	oup					
		rican erican		/Pacific ander	Hisp	oanic	W	hite		All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean English score										
1995-96	16.4	16.4	20.2	20.3	17.0	17.9	21.1	21.1	19.5	20.3
1996-97	16.2	16.4	20.2	20.4	17.0	18.0	20.9	21.2	19.4	20.3
1997-98	16.4	16.4	20.4	20.5	17.1	17.9	20.9	21.2	19.5	20.4
1998-99	16.5	16.4	20.6	20.5	17.2	17.9	21.1	21.3	19.7	20.5
1999-00	16.4	16.4	20.3	20.5	17.2	17.9	21.2	21.3	19.7	20.5
2000-01	16.2	16.2	20.9	20.7	17.0	17.8	21.2	21.3	19.6	20.5
Mean Mathematics sc	ore									
1995-96	17.3	16.8	23.4	22.9	18.3	18.7	20.8	20.8	19.9	20.2
1996-97	17.4	16.9	23.9	23.3	18.5	19.0	21.1	21.2	20.2	20.6
1997-98	17.2	16.9	23.7	23.4	18.3	19.0	21.2	21.4	20.2	20.8
1998-99	17.4	16.9	23.5	23.1	18.4	19.0	21.2	21.3	20.2	20.7
1999-00	17.3	16.8	23.5	23.2	18.4	18.9	21.4	21.3	20.2	20.7
2000-01	17.2	16.8	23.8	23.1	18.3	18.9	21.4	21.3	20.2	20.7
Mean Reading score										
1995-96	17.2	17.1	21.3	21.3	18.0	19.1	21.9	22.2	20.4	21.3
1996-97	16.9	17.1	21.2	21.2	17.9	19.0	21.8	22.2	20.3	21.3
1997-98	17.4	17.2	21.3	21.3	18.1	19.1	22.0	22.1	20.6	21.4
1998-99	17.3	17.1	21.4	21.2	18.3	19.1	22.0	22.1	20.6	21.4
1999-00	17.1	17.0	21.3	21.3	18.2	19.1	22.2	22.2	20.6	21.4
2000-01	17.0	16.9	21.5	21.1	18.0	18.9	22.0	22.2	20.5	21.3
Mean Science Reason	ning score									
1995-96	17.4	17.3	21.5	21.5	18.4	19.1	21.5	21.8	20.3	21.1
1996-97	17.5	17.4	21.6	21.6	18.4	19.1	21.4	21.8	20.3	21.1
1997-98	17.5	17.3	21.5	21.6	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.8	20.3	21.1
1998-99	17.6	17.3	21.6	21.3	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.7	20.4	21.0
1999-00	17.4	17.3	21.5	21.5	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.7	20.3	21.0
2000-01	17.4	17.2	21.9	21.5	18.5	19.0	21.6	21.8	20.3	21.0
Mean Composite sco	re									
1995-96	17.2	17.0	21.8	21.6	18.0	18.8	21.5	21.6	20.2	20.9
1996-97	17.1	17.1	21.8	21.7	18.1	18.9	21.4	21.7	20.2	21.0
1997-98	17.2	17.1	21.8	21.8	18.2	18.9	21.5	21.7	20.3	21.0
1998-99	17.3	17.1	21.9	21.7	18.3	18.9	21.6	21.7	20.3	21.0
1999-00	17.2	17.0	21.8	21.7	18.2	18.9	21.7	21.8	20.3	21.0
2000-01	17.1	16.9	22.2	21.7	18.1	18.8	21.7	21.8	20.3	21.0

Table 17 ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

	Fer	nale	M	ale
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean English score				
1995-96	20.0	20.7	18.9	19.8
1996-97	19.8	20.7	18.9	19.9
1997-98	20.0	20.8	18.9	19.9
1998-99	20.2	20.9	18.9	20.0
1999-00	20.2	20.9	18.9	20.0
2000-01	20.0	20.8	18.9	20.0
Mean Mathematics score				
1995-96	19.6	19.7	20.4	20.9
1996-97	19.8	20.1	20.6	21.3
1997-98	19.8	20.2	20.7	21.5
1998-99	19.8	20.2	20.7	21.4
1999-00	19.9	20.2	20.7	21.4
2000-01	19.9	20.2	20.7	21.4
Mean Reading score				
1995-96	20.9	21.6	19.9	21.0
1996-97	20.6	21.5	20.0	21.2
1997-98	20.9	21.6	20.1	21.1
1998-99	20.9	21.6	20.1	21.1
1999-00	20.9	21.5	20.2	21.2
2000-01	20.7	21.5	20.1	21.1
Mean Science Reasoning score				
1995-96	20.0	20.5	20.7	21.7
1996-97	20.0	20.6	20.7	21.7
1997-98	20.0	20.6	20.8	21.8
1998-99	20.1	20.6	20.7	21.5
1999-00	20.1	20.6	20.7	21.6
2000-01	20.1	20.6	20.7	21.6
Mean Composite score				
1995-96	20.2	20.8	20.1	21.0
1996-97	20.2	20.8	20.2	21.1
1997-98	20.3	20.9	20.2	21.2
1998-99	20.4	20.9	20.3	21.1
1999-00	20.4	20.9	20.3	21.2
2000-01	20.3	20.9	20.2	21.1

Source. ACT, Inc. (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

#### **Examinee Profile**

Across the period 1995-96 through 2000-01, the percentages of African American ACT examinees in Texas were similar to the percentages of African American examinees nationally (see Table 18). However, in the United States as a whole, only 5.6 percent of test takers were Hispanic, whereas in Texas, 22.8 percent of test takers were Hispanic. In contrast, the percentages of White examinees in Texas were close to 16 percentage points smaller than the percentages of White examinees nationally. The proportions of male and female examinees were similar in Texas and the nation across the six-year period (see Table 19). For ACT participation trends by ethnic group prior to 1995-96, see Table A-3 in Appendix A.

Table 18
ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

			Percent of examinees							
	Examinees		African American		Hispanic		White			
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation		
1995-96	55,442	924,663	9.4	9.5	21.7	5.1	55.5	70.8		
1996-97	58,395	959,301	9.5	9.4	21.6	5.0	53.7	69.2		
1997-98	64,064	995,039	10.1	10.1	22.4	5.2	55.7	71.1		
1998-99	65,094	1,019,053	10.6	10.2	21.7	5.2	56.9	71.8		
1999-00	68,010	1,065,138	10.9	10.4	22.6	5.4	55.8	71.5		
2000-01	68,967	1,069,772	11.3	10.6	22.8	5.6	55.0	71.4		

Source. ACT, Inc. (2001a, 2001b) and Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001).

Table 19
ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Gender, Texas and the Nation, 1995-96 Through 2000-01

			Percent of examinees						
	Examinees		Fe	male	Male				
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation			
1995-96	55,442	924,663	57.2	55.9	42.8	44.1			
1996-97	58,395	959,301	57.5	56.3	42.5	43.7			
1997-98	64,064	995,039	58.8	56.7	41.2	43.3			
1998-99	65,094	1,019,053	58.8	56.7	40.8	42.9			
1999-00	68,010	1,065,138	58.7	56.8	40.9	42.8			
2000-01	68,967	1,069,772	58.3	56.5	41.1	43.0			

Source. ACT, Inc. (1996a, 1996b, 1997a, 1997b, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b, 2000a, 2000b, 2001a, 2001b).

## Texas and Other States: SAT I and ACT

Participation rates for both SAT I and ACT varied considerably across states (see Table 20 on page 46 and Table 21 on page 48). On the SAT I, Mississippi (4%), North Dakota (4%), and South Dakota (4%) had the lowest participation rates, while Connecticut (82%), New Jersey (81%), and Massachusetts (79%) had the highest participation rates. On the ACT, Connecticut (4%), Delaware (4%), and New Jersey (4%) had the lowest participation rates, while Mississippi (89%), Louisiana (80%), and North Dakota (80%) had the highest participation rates. Participation in SAT I testing was highest in states of the Northeast, whereas participation in ACT testing was highest in states of the South and Midwest. In western states, about half of the high school graduates took each test.

It is important to keep in mind that it is not appropriate to compare mean scores among states with very different rates of participating in college admissions testing. Given two groups with very different participation rates but equal ability levels, the mean score of the group with a very low participation rate will tend to be higher than the mean score of the group with a very high participation rate even though there is no actual difference in ability levels. Comparisons should be limited to states with similar participation rates. For example, states with SAT I participation rates similar to the rate in Texas (53%) include Alaska, California, Hawaii, Washington, Florida, and Oregon. States with ACT participation rates similar to Texas' (33%) include the District of Columbia, Arizona, South Carolina, Alaska, Nevada, and Florida. Even in states with similar participation rates, demographic differences can influence aggregate scores and the validity of comparisons.

Table 20 SAT I Participation and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by State, 2000-01

		Mean scores						
State	Percent tested	Mathematics	Verbal	Total				
Mississippi	4	551	566	1117				
North Dakota	4	599	592	1191				
South Dakota	4	582	577	1159				
lowa	5	603	593	1196				
Utah	5	570	575	1145				
Arkansas	6	550	562	1112				
Wisconsin	6	596	584	1180				
Louisiana	7	562	564	1126				
Missouri	8	577	577	1154				
Nebraska	8	568	562	1130				
Oklahoma	8	561	567	1128				
Alabama	9	554	559	1113				
Kansas	9	580	577	1157				
Minnesota	9	589	580	1169				
Michigan	11	572	561	1133				
Wyoming	11	545	547	1092				
Illinois	12	589	576	1165				
Kentucky	12	550	550	1100				
New Mexico	13	542	551	1093				
Tennessee	13	553	562	1115				
Idaho	17	542	543	1085				
West Virginia	18	512	527	1039				
Montana	23	539	539	1078				
Ohio	26	539	534	1073				
Colorado	31	542	539	1081				
Nevada	33	515	509	1024				
Arizona	34	525	523	1048				
Alaska	51	510	514	1024				
California	51	517	498	1015				
Hawaii	52	515	486	1001				

Source. College Board (2003).

continues

46

Table 20 (continued)
SAT I Participation and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by State, 2000-01

			Mean scores	
State	Percent tested	Mathematics	Verbal	Total
Texas	53	499	493	992
Washington	53	527	527	1054
Florida	54	499	498	997
Oregon	55	526	526	1052
District of Colombia	56	474	482	956
South Carolina	57	488	486	974
Indiana	60	501	499	1000
Georgia	63	489	491	980
Maryland	65	510	508	1018
North Carolina	65	499	493	992
Delaware	67	499	501	1000
Virginia	68	501	510	1011
Maine	69	500	506	1006
Vermont	69	506	511	1017
Pennsylvania	71	499	500	999
Rhode Island	71	499	501	1000
New Hampshire	72	516	520	1036
New York	77	505	495	1000
Massachusetts	79	515	511	1026
New Jersey	81	513	499	1012
Connecticut	82	510	509	1019
Nation	45	514	506	1020

Source. College Board (2003).

Table 21 ACT Participation and Performance, Graduating Seniors, by State, 2000-01

		ean Composite			Mean Composite
State	Percent tested	score	State	Percent tested	score
Connecticut	4	21.8	Florida	40	20.4
Delaware	4	20.6	Montana	55	21.7
New Jersey	4	20.6	Idaho	59	21.5
Rhode Island	5	21.2	West Virginia	61	20.2
Maine	6	21.4	Colorado	62	21.5
New Hampshire	7	22.3	Ohio	63	21.4
Massachusetts	8	21.9	New Mexico	64	19.9
Pennsylvania	8	21.4	Wyoming	64	21.5
Vermont	9	22.2	Minnesota	66	22.1
Virginia	10	20.6	lowa	67	22.0
Maryland	11	20.5	Wisconsin	68	22.2
Oregon	11	22.6	Alabama	69	20.1
California	12	21.4	Michigan	69	21.3
North Carolina	13	19.7	Utah	69	21.4
New York	14	22.2	Missouri	70	21.4
Washington	17	22.4	South Dakota	70	21.4
Georgia	19	19.9	Illinois	71	21.6
Hawaii	19	21.7	Oklahoma	71	20.5
Indiana	20	21.4	Kentucky	72	20.1
District of Colombia	26	17.4	Nebraska	74	21.6
Arizona	28	21.5	Arkansas	75	20.1
South Carolina	28	19.3	Kansas	78	21.6
Texas	33	20.3	Tennessee	79	20.0
Alaska	34	21.0	Louisiana	80	19.6
Nevada	39	21.3	North Dakota	80	21.4
			Mississippi	89	18.5
			Nation	38	21.0

Source. ACT, Inc. (2001c).

## Appendix A

Supplemental Tables

Table A-1 SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

				Gro	oup					
		ican erican		/Pacific ander	Hisp	oanic	W	hite		All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Verbal score										
1986-87	417	428	466	479	450	455	514	524	493	507
1987-88	423	429	475	482	452	455	515	522	494	505
1988-89	422	428	479	483	452	457	514	523	492	504
1989-90	424	428	482	483	451	454	513	519	490	500
1990-91	421	427	486	485	448	452	512	518	488	499
1991-92	417	428	491	487	445	452	512	519	487	500
1992-93	420	429	495	489	449	453	516	520	490	500
1993-94	418	428	493	489	449	452	516	520	489	499
1994-95	427	432	499	492	455	457	521	525	495	504
1995-96	428	434	503	496	454	458	521	526	495	505
1996-97	426	434	502	496	452	457	521	526	494	505
1997-98	426	434	505	498	452	456	522	526	494	505
1998-99	427	434	507	498	452	457	522	527	494	505
1999-00	427	434	504	499	450	457	523	528	493	505
2000-01	425	433	506	501	448	455	523	529	493	506
Mean Mathematics sc	ore									
1986-87	404	411	532	541	451	453	502	514	486	50 <i>°</i>
1987-88	417	418	534	541	457	456	505	514	490	501
1988-89	419	421	535	545	458	459	507	515	490	502
1989-90	418	419	537	546	456	457	506	515	489	50
1990-91	421	419	542	548	456	457	510	513	491	500
1991-92	418	419	552	551	457	456	513	515	493	501
1992-93	425	421	557	553	462	457	518	517	498	503
1993-94	425	421	556	553	464	458	522	519	500	504
1994-95	426	422	562	555	462	460	522	521	501	506
1995-96	424	422	564	558	459	460	523	523	500	508
1996-97	422	423	566	560	459	460	525	526	501	511
1997-98	424	426	562	562	458	460	526	528	501	512
1998-99	420	422	563	560	454	458	525	528	499	511
1999-00	423	426	564	565	454	461	528	530	500	514
2000-01	421	426	565	566	452	460	528	531	499	514

Note. Mean scores are based on both public and private school students. Scores beginning with the class of 1994-95 are from the SAT I, a revised SAT. Mean scores are on the recentered SAT/SAT I score scale.

continues

Table A-1 (continued)
SAT I Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

				Gro	oup					
	African American			Asian/Pacific Islander		Hispanic		hite	All examinees	
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Total score										
1986-87	821	839	998	1020	901	908	1016	1038	979	1008
1987-88	840	847	1009	1023	909	910	1020	1036	984	1006
1988-89	841	849	1014	1028	910	919	1021	1038	982	1006
1989-90	842	847	1019	1029	906	911	1019	1034	979	1001
1990-91	842	846	1028	1033	904	909	1022	1031	979	999
1991-92	835	847	1043	1038	902	908	1025	1034	980	1001
1992-93	845	850	1052	1042	911	910	1034	1037	988	1003
1993-94	843	849	1049	1042	913	909	1038	1039	989	1003
1994-95	853	854	1061	1047	917	916	1043	1046	996	1010
1995-96	852	856	1067	1054	912	918	1044	1049	995	1013
1996-97	848	857	1068	1056	911	917	1046	1052	995	1016
1997-98	850	860	1067	1060	910	916	1048	1054	995	1017
1998-99	847	856	1070	1058	906	915	1047	1055	993	1016
1999-00	850	860	1068	1064	904	918	1051	1058	993	1019
2000-01	846	859	1071	1067	900	915	1051	1060	992	1020

Note. Mean scores are based on both public and private school students. Scores beginning with the class of 1994-95 are from the SAT I, a revised SAT. Mean scores are on the recentered SAT/SAT I score scale.

Table A-2 ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

				Gr	oup					
		rican erican		/Pacific inder	Hisp	oanic	W	hite		All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean English scorea										
1990-91	16.7	16.7	20.3	20.6	17.5	18.1	21.1	21.0	19.7	20.3
1991-92	16.8	16.6	20.6	20.5	17.4	18.0	21.0	20.9	19.6	20.2
1992-93	16.7	16.6	20.2	20.4	17.4	18.0	21.1	21.0	19.7	20.3
1993-94	16.5	16.4	20.4	20.4	17.2	17.8	21.2	21.0	19.7	20.3
1994-95	16.5	16.4	20.1	20.2	17.1	17.7	21.0	21.0	19.5	20.2
1995-96	16.4	16.4	20.2	20.3	17.0	17.9	21.1	21.1	19.5	20.3
1996-97	16.2	16.4	20.2	20.4	17.0	18.0	20.9	21.2	19.4	20.3
1997-98	16.4	16.4	20.4	20.5	17.1	17.9	20.9	21.2	19.5	20.4
1998-99	16.5	16.4	20.6	20.5	17.2	17.9	21.1	21.3	19.7	20.5
1999-00	16.4	16.4	20.3	20.5	17.2	17.9	21.2	21.3	19.7	20.5
2000-01	16.2	16.2	20.9	20.7	17.0	17.8	21.2	21.3	19.6	20.5
Mean Mathematics so	orea									
1990-91	17.0	16.8	23.1	22.9	18.1	18.6	20.2	20.4	19.5	20.0
1991-92	17.2	16.9	23.6	23.0	18.3	18.7	20.3	20.4	19.6	20.0
1992-93	17.4	16.9	23.3	23.0	18.5	18.7	20.7	20.5	19.9	20.
1993-94	17.3	16.8	23.3	23.0	18.4	18.6	20.8	20.6	19.9	20.2
1994-95	17.5	16.8	23.6	22.8	18.5	18.6	20.8	20.7	20.0	20.2
1995-96	17.3	16.8	23.4	22.9	18.3	18.7	20.8	20.8	19.9	20.2
1996-97	17.4	16.9	23.9	23.3	18.5	19.0	21.1	21.2	20.2	20.6
1997-98	17.2	16.9	23.7	23.4	18.3	19.0	21.2	21.4	20.2	20.8
1998-99	17.4	16.9	23.5	23.1	18.4	19.0	21.2	21.3	20.2	20.
1999-00	17.3	16.8	23.5	23.2	18.4	18.9	21.4	21.3	20.2	20.7
2000-01	17.2	16.8	23.8	23.1	18.3	18.9	21.4	21.3	20.2	20.7

Note. Mean scores are based on both public and private school students. Scores beginning with the class of 1990 are for the (Enhanced) ACT, a revised ACT; all scores are reported on the Enhanced ACT score scale.

continues

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Scores for subject tests by ethnic group were unavailable on the Enhanced ACT score scale prior to 1991.

Table A-2 (continued)
ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

				Gro	oup					,
		ican erican		/Pacific ander	Hisp	oanic	W	hite		All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Reading score <sup>a</sup>										
1990-91	17.0	17.0	21.2	21.4	17.8	18.9	21.7	22.0	20.2	21.2
1991-92	16.8	16.9	21.1	21.2	17.7	18.8	21.6	21.9	20.1	21.1
1992-93	17.0	17.0	20.9	21.4	18.0	18.8	21.8	22.0	20.3	21.2
1993-94	17.0	17.1	21.2	21.4	17.8	18.9	21.9	22.0	20.3	21.2
1994-95	17.1	17.1	21.2	21.3	18.0	18.8	21.8	22.1	20.3	21.3
1995-96	17.2	17.1	21.3	21.3	18.0	19.1	21.9	22.2	20.4	21.3
1996-97	16.9	17.1	21.2	21.2	17.9	19.0	21.8	22.2	20.3	21.3
1997-98	17.4	17.2	21.3	21.3	18.1	19.1	22.0	22.1	20.6	21.4
1998-99	17.3	17.1	21.4	21.2	18.3	19.1	22.0	22.1	20.6	21.4
1999-00	17.1	17.0	21.3	21.3	18.2	19.1	22.2	22.2	20.6	21.4
2000-01	17.0	16.9	21.5	21.1	18.0	18.9	22.0	22.2	20.5	21.3
Mean Science Reason	ning scorea									
1990-91	17.2	17.2	20.9	21.1	18.0	18.8	20.9	21.3	19.8	20.7
1991-92	17.3	17.2	21.0	21.2	18.1	18.8	21.0	21.3	19.9	20.7
1992-93	17.5	17.3	21.3	21.4	18.3	19.0	21.3	21.4	20.2	20.8
1993-94	17.4	17.4	21.3	21.5	18.3	19.0	21.3	21.6	20.2	20.9
1994-95	17.5	17.4	21.5	21.5	18.4	19.0	21.4	21.6	20.2	21.0
1995-96	17.4	17.3	21.5	21.5	18.4	19.1	21.5	21.8	20.3	21.1
1996-97	17.5	17.4	21.6	21.6	18.4	19.1	21.4	21.8	20.3	21.1
1997-98	17.5	17.3	21.5	21.6	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.8	20.3	21.1
1998-99	17.6	17.3	21.6	21.3	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.7	20.4	21.0
1999-00	17.4	17.3	21.5	21.5	18.5	19.1	21.5	21.7	20.3	21.0
2000-01	17.4	17.2	21.9	21.5	18.5	19.0	21.6	21.8	20.3	21.0

Note. Mean scores are based on both public and private school students. Scores beginning with the class of 1990 are for the (Enhanced) ACT, a revised ACT; all scores are reported on the Enhanced ACT score scale.

continues

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Scores for subject tests by ethnic group were unavailable on the Enhanced ACT score scale prior to 1991.

Table A-2 (continued)
ACT Performance, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

				Gr	oup					
		ican erican					nic White			All ninees
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
Mean Composite sco	re									
1986-87	16.1	16.5	21.3	21.7	17.3	18.4	20.7	21.4	19.6	20.8
1987-88	16.5	16.6	21.7	21.8	17.8	18.6	20.9	21.4	19.8	20.8
1988-89	16.6	16.6	21.7	21.9	17.7	18.5	21.0	21.3	19.8	20.6
1989-90	17.1	17.0	21.2	21.7	17.9	18.6	21.0	21.2	19.8	20.6
1990-91	17.1	17.0	21.5	21.6	18.0	18.7	21.1	21.3	19.9	20.6
1991-92	17.1	17.0	21.7	21.6	18.0	18.7	21.1	21.3	19.9	20.6
1992-93	17.2	17.1	21.5	21.7	18.2	18.8	21.3	21.4	20.1	20.7
1993-94	17.2	17.0	21.7	21.7	18.0	18.7	21.4	21.4	20.2	20.8
1994-95	17.3	17.1	21.7	21.6	18.1	18.6	21.4	21.5	20.1	20.8
1995-96	17.2	17.0	21.8	21.6	18.0	18.8	21.5	21.6	20.2	20.9
1996-97	17.1	17.1	21.8	21.7	18.1	18.9	21.4	21.7	20.2	21.0
1997-98	17.2	17.1	21.8	21.8	18.2	18.9	21.5	21.7	20.3	21.0
1998-99	17.3	17.1	21.9	21.7	18.3	18.9	21.6	21.7	20.3	21.0
1999-00	17.2	17.0	21.8	21.7	18.2	18.9	21.7	21.8	20.3	21.0
2000-01	17.1	16.9	22.2	21.7	18.1	18.8	21.7	21.8	20.3	21.0

Note. Mean scores are based on both public and private school students. Scores beginning with the class of 1990 are for the (Enhanced) ACT, a revised ACT; all scores are reported on the Enhanced ACT score scale.

Table A-3 SAT I and ACT Participation, Graduating Seniors, by Ethnicity, Texas and the Nation, 1986-87 Through 2000-01

					Percent of	examinees		
	Exa	aminees	African A	American	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite
Graduation year	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation	Texas	Nation
SAT/SAT I								
1986-87	75,364	1,080,426	8.0	8.1	13.1	4.6	70.3	73.0
1987-88	80,107	1,134,364	8.6	8.6	13.6	4.8	68.6	71.7
1988-89	81,541	1,088,223	9.1	8.9	15.3	5.3	65.3	69.1
1989-90	78,057	1,025,523	9.8	9.2	16.9	6.0	63.2	67.8
1990-91	79,946	1,032,685	10.2	9.7	18.0	6.4	61.8	66.5
1991-92	80,174	1,034,131	10.5	9.6	18.7	6.7	60.4	65.8
1992-93	82,537	1,044,465	10.2	9.9	19.2	7.0	58.9	64.2
1993-94	83,963	1,050,386	10.2	9.8	19.6	7.4	57.9	63.0
1994-95	85,616	1,067,993	10.2	9.7	19.7	7.5	57.9	63.1
1995-96	89,329	1,084,725	10.6	9.8	19.7	7.6	57.6	62.8
1996-97	94,034	1,127,021	10.3	9.8	20.2	7.6	56.2	61.6
1997-98	100,417	1,172,779	10.6	9.8	20.1	7.7	55.0	60.1
1998-99	104,144	1,220,130	10.8	9.8	20.0	7.8	53.5	58.8
1999-00	108,919	1,260,278	10.5	9.5	20.3	7.8	50.9	56.5
2000-01	111,277	1,276,320	10.6	9.4	20.5	7.9	49.4	55.1
ACT								
1986-87	41,121	777,424	6.7	7.9	18.2	3.2	65.1	78.6
1987-88	46,288	842,322	7.7	8.3	18.5	3.4	63.7	77.3
1988-89	51,609	855,171	7.5	8.7	19.9	3.8	61.9	77.3
1989-90	49,047	817,096	7.9	8.7	20.9	4.1	58.9	74.1
1990-91	50,236	796,983	8.4	9.1	21.8	4.4	57.9	73.8
1991-92	53,201	832,217	8.5	9.1	22.9	4.7	57.0	72.6
1992-93	54,115	875,603	8.1	9.2	22.1	4.8	57.0	71.4
1993-94	56,735	891,714	8.5	9.2	22.0	5.0	55.7	69.9
1994-95	59,857	945,369	9.4	9.4	22.0	5.1	55.1	68.8
1995-96	55,442	924,663	9.4	9.5	21.7	5.1	55.5	70.8
1996-97	58,395	959,301	9.5	9.4	21.6	5.0	53.7	69.2
1997-98	64,064	995,039	10.1	10.1	22.4	5.2	55.7	71.1
1998-99	65,094	1,019,053	10.6	10.2	21.7	5.2	56.9	71.8
1999-00	68,010	1,065,138	10.9	10.4	22.6	5.4	55.8	71.5
2000-01	68,967	1,069,772	11.3	10.6	22.8	5.6	55.0	71.4

Source. ACT, Inc. (2001a, 2001b); College Board (2001a, 2001b); and Texas Education Agency (1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001).

# Appendix B

District and Campus Listings by County

Notes on Appendix B

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		Math.	Total	Examinees	Number		above o	Percent	***Note***
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	482	499	981	13	31	41.9			< 5 HIGH
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	515	517	1032	21	64	32.8	6	28.6	
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	506	497	1004	22	50	44.0	6	27.3	
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S				0	12		0	0.0	
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH S	472	468	940	96	176	54.5	15	15.6	
	SLOCUM ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	500	536	1036	17	83	20.5	5	29.4	
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH SCH	473	483	956	74	221	33.5	15	20.3	
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		503	457	960	22	79	27.8	5	22.7	
		CENTRAL H S	503	457	960	22	72	30.6	5	22.7	
	DIBOLL ISD		445	430	875	37	10	100	5	13.5	
		DIBOLL H S	445	430	875	37	6	100	5	13.5	
	HUDSON ISD		463	467	930	32	120	26.7	5	15.6	
		HUDSON H S	463	467	930	32	117	27.4	5	15.6	
	HUNTINGTON ISD		457	440	897	27	100	27.0			< 5 HIGH
		HUNTINGTON H S	457	440	897	27	56	48.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LUFKIN ISD		485	492	977	220	463	47.5	52	23.6	
		LUFKIN H S	485	492	977	220	445	49.4	52	23.6	
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S	491	481	973	8	13	61.5			< 5 HIGH
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNTY	ROCKPORT-FULTON	502	499	1001	95	200	47.5	27	28.4	
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY IS	ARCHER CITY H S	457	469	926	20	37	54.1			< 5 HIGH
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	492	511	1002	25	76	32.9			< 5 HIGH
	MEGARGEL ISD	MEGARGEL SCHOOL				0	4		0	0.0	
	WINDTHORST ISD						28				< 5 TESTED
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	508	508	1016	10	27	37.0			< 5 HIGH
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD						20				< 5 TESTED
	JOURDANTON ISD	JOURDANTON H S	511	491	1001	15	57	26.3			< 5 HIGH
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	487	478	964	36	66	54.5	10	27.8	
	PLEASANTON ISD	PLEASANTON H S	491	501	992	40	165	24.2	7	17.5	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Verbal Math. Total Ex			Gra	duates	Examinees at or above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
ATASCOSA	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	413	426	839	23	69	33.3			< 5 HIGH
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	495	512	1006	97	154	63.0	25	25.8	
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	478	470	949	24	53	45.3	5	20.8	
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	472	503	976	58	119	48.7	9	15.5	
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD						69				< 5 TESTED
	THREE WAY ISD	THREE WAY SCHOOL		•		0	9		0	0.0	
BANDERA	BANDERA ISD	BANDERA H S	516 519	491 496	1007 1015	44 43	109 106	40.4 40.6	12 12	27.3 27.9	
	MEDINA ISD	MEDINA H S	471	485	956	12	18	66.7			< 5 HIGH
BASTROP	BASTROP ISD	BASTROP H S	496 496	485 485	981 982	139 138	289 277	48.1 49.8	29 29	20.9 21.0	
	ELGIN ISD	ELGIN H S	472	483	956	65	164	39.6	14	21.5	
	SMITHVILLE ISD	SMITHVILLE H S	491	458	949	33	80	41.3	5	15.2	
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	532	583	1115	15	52	28.8	10	66.7	
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD	A C JONES HIGH S	475 475	458 458	933 933	92 92	226 220	40.7 41.8	17 17	18.5 18.5	
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	510	518	1028	9	35	25.7			< 5 HIGH
	SKIDMORE-TYNAN						57	•			< 5 TESTED
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	477	491	968	19	52	36.5	5	26.3	
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	481	503	984	8	27	29.6			< 5 HIGH
	BELTON ISD	BELTON H S	518 518	523 523	1042 1042	132 132	390 353	33.8 37.4	43 43	32.6 32.6	
									43	32.0	
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	453	452	905	14	36	38.9	•		< 5 HIGH
	KILLEEN ISD		464	461	925	617	1,185	52.1	93	15.1	
		ELLISON H S	460	457	916	261	501	52.1	34	13.0	
		HARKER HEIGHTS H	483	480	962	83	164	50.6	18	21.7	
		KILLEEN H S SHOEMAKER HIGH S	465 453	464 441	929 893	221 52	371 120	59.6 43.3	33 8	14.9 15.4	
		SHOLWAKEN HIGH S	400	<del>-1-1</del> 1	030	52	120	40.0	0	15.4	
	RICHARD MILBUR	KILLEEN-RICHARD		•		0	40		0	0.0	
	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	470	474	944	20	51	39.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH

 ${\it Note.}\ {\it For\ masking\ rules},\ {\it please\ see}\ {\it Notes\ following\ the\ listings.}$ 

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

DELL	
BELL SALADO ISD SALADO H S 503 560 1063 12 55 21.8 5 41.7	
TEMPLE ISD TEMPLE H S 518 539 1057 202 332 60.8 83 41.1	
TRANSFORMATIVE	< 5 TESTED
TROY ISD TROY HIGH SCHOOL 490 489 979 36 61 59.0 9 25.0	
BEXAR ALAMO HEIGHTS ALAMO HEIGHTS H 543 552 1095 262 304 86.2 126 48.1	
BLESSED SACRAM BLESSED SACRAMEN 0 42 . 0 0.0	
EAGLE PROJECT EAGLE PROJECT (S 0 10 . 0 0.0	
EAST CENTRAL I 477 480 956 217 410 52.9 46 21.2	
EAST CENTRAL H S 477 480 956 217 408 53.2 46 21.2	
EDGEWOOD ISD 403 417 820 214 469 45.6 8 3.7	
JOHN F KENNEDY H 406 425 831 122 245 49.8	<10 MASKED
MEMORIAL HIGH SC 399 407 806 92 196 46.9	<10 MASKED
FT SAM HOUSTON ROBERT G COLE JR 515 506 1021 43 55 78.2 13 30.2	
GEORGE GERVIN GEORGE GERVIN CH 0 25 . 0 0.0	
GEORGE I SANCH GEORGE I SANCHEZ 0 1 . 0 0.0	
HARLANDALE ISD 418 419 837 263 614 42.8 18 6.8	
HARLANDALE H S 414 428 842 130 319 40.8 13 10.0	
MCCOLLUM H S 421 411 832 132 266 49.6 5 3.8	
JOHN H WOOD CH JOHN H WOOD CHAR 0 2 . 0 0.0	
JUDSON ISD 491 483 973 399 699 57.1 88 22.1	
JUDSON HIGH SCHO 491 483 973 397 641 61.9 88 22.2	
LACKLAND ISD VIRGINIA ALLRED 551 528 1079 17 24 70.8 8 47.1	
NORTH EAST ISD 516 510 1027 1,870 2,528 74.0 626 33.5	
ACADEMY OF CREAT 496 501 997 7 29 24.1	<30 MASKED
CHURCHILL H S 539 534 1072 413 459 90.0 170 41.2	
INTERNATIONAL SC 531 511 1042 95 109 87.2 33 34.7	
LEE H S 502 488 991 229 388 59.0 68 29.7	
MAC ARTHUR H S 527 525 1052 304 391 77.7 119 39.1	
MADISON H S 498 503 1001 343 487 70.4 96 28.0	
REAGAN H S 526 515 1041 316 361 87.5 110 34.8	
ROOSEVELT H S 474 458 932 163 292 55.8	<30 MASKED
NORTHSIDE ISD 497 499 995 2,169 3,246 66.8 620 28.6	
BUSINESS CAREERS 450 447 898 107	<10 MASKED
COMMUNICATIONS A 564 545 1108 80 0 . 41 51.3	
HEALTH CAREERS H 575 578 1153 174 200 87.0 106 60.9 HOLMES H S 455 439 894 221 531 41.6 26 11.8	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Examinees at o						es at or		
			Me	ean scor	e		Gra	duates	above o	riterion	
County name	District name	Campus name				Examinees					***Note****
BEXAR	NORTHSIDE ISD	JAY H S	430	433	863	224	422	53.1	20	8.9	
		MARSHALL H S	505	498	1003	321	476	67.4	88	27.4	
		SANDRA DAY O'CON	505	507	1012	347	451	76.9	110	31.7	
		SUNSET H S	498	456	954	10	32	31.3			<10 MASKED
		TOM CLARK H S	513	533	1046	368	518	71.0	138	37.5	
		WILLIAM H TAFT H	491	502	994	317	597	53.1	81	25.6	
	POSITIVE SOLUT	POSITIVE SOLUTIO				0	31	-	0	0.0	
	RADIANCE ACADE	RADIANCE ACADEMY				0	2		0	0.0	
	RANDOLPH FIELD	RANDOLPH H S	504	511	1015	41	62	66.1	16	39.0	
	SAN ANTONIO IS		403	400	803	1,253	2,220	56.4	53	4.2	
		BRACKENRIDGE H S	454	446	900	197	365	54.0	23	11.7	
		BURBANK H S	391	376	767	170	200	85.0			< 5 HIGH
		EDISON H S	410	415	825	132	238	55.5			< 5 HIGH
		FOX TECHNICAL H	381	387	768	130	277	46.9			< 5 HIGH
		HIGHLANDS H S	424	418	842	185	336	55.1	13	7.0	
		HOUSTON H S	383	375	759	125	189	66.1			< 5 HIGH
		JEFFERSON H S	390	393	783	171	289	59.2			< 5 HIGH
		LANIER H S	364	370	735	141	286	49.3			< 5 HIGH
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET H S	432	445	877	50	103	48.5			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTH SAN ANTO		422	427	849	229	464	49.4	11	4.8	
		SO SAN ANTONIO H	435	441	875	65	116	56.0	5	7.7	
		SOUTH SAN ANTONI	417	422	839	164	296	55.4	6	3.7	
	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	413	412	825	60	196	30.6			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	426	420	847	167	382	43.7	11	6.6	
	SOUTHWEST PREP	SOUTHWEST PREPAR	446	406	852	5	39	12.8		•	< 5 HIGH
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	546	521	1067	18	44	40.9	6	33.3	
	JOHNSON CITY I	LYNDON B JOHNSON	472	494	966	22	38	57.9			< 5 HIGH
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY						12				< 5 TESTED
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	492	511	1002	26	56	46.4	5	19.2	
	CRANFILLS GAP						4				< 5 TESTED
	IREDELL ISD						6				< 5 TESTED
	KOPPERL ISD						1			•	< 5 TESTED
	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	542	527	1069	11	23	47.8	5	45.5	
	MORGAN ISD	MORGAN SCHOOL				0	5		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	`e		Gra	nduates		es at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
BOSQUE	VALLEY MILLS I						16				< 5 TESTED
	WALNUT SPRINGS				•		9				< 5 TESTED
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	477	473	950	7	55	12.7			< 5 HIGH
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (T				0	4		0	0.0	
	HOOKS ISD						57				< 5 TESTED
	LIBERTY-EYLAU		444	449	893	10	139	7.2			< 5 HIGH
		LIBERTY-EYLAU H	444	449	893	10	135	7.4	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	390	402	792	5	29	17.2			< 5 HIGH
	NEW BOSTON ISD	NEW BOSTON H S	491	531	1022	18	78	23.1	7	38.9	
	PLEASANT GROVE	PLEASANT GROVE H	537	525	1062	32	145	22.1	12	37.5	
	REDWATER ISD						61				< 5 TESTED
	SIMMS ISD						36			•	< 5 TESTED
	TEXARKANA ISD		547	528	1075	35	226	15.5	13	37.1	
		TEXAS H S	547	528	1075	35	196	17.9	13	37.1	
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD		501	508	1009	177	468	37.8	47	26.6	
		ALVIN HIGH SCHOO	501	508	1009	177	438	40.4	47	26.6	
	ANGLETON ISD	ANGLETON H S	500	509	1010	141	276	51.1	37	26.2	
	BRAZOSPORT ISD		505	521	1027	373	657	56.8	131	35.1	
		BRAZOSPORT H S	442	461	903	68	157	43.3	10	14.7	
		BRAZOSWOOD H S	519	535	1054	305	494	61.7	121	39.7	
	COLUMBIA-BRAZO	COLUMBIA H S	470	484	954	74	179	41.3	13	17.6	
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S	467	483	950	26	49	53.1	•		< 5 HIGH
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	512	518	1030	299	509	58.7	93	31.1	
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	491	508	999	59	142	41.5	14	23.7	
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL	BRAZOS SCHOOL FO				0	1		0	0.0	
	BRYAN ISD		506	501	1007	267	558	47.8	84	31.5	
		BRYAN H S	506	501	1007	267	469	56.9	84	31.5	
	COLLEGE STATIO		551	558	1109	373	498	74.9	179	48.0	
		A & M CONS H S	551	558	1109	373	480	77.7	179	48.0	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (B				0	5		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score				Graduates				
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	467	499	966	11	75	14.7			< 5 HIGH
	MARATHON ISD	MARATHON INDPEND	318	405	723	6	9	66.7			< 5 HIGH
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S	458	457	914	9	23	39.1			< 5 HIGH
BRISCOE	SILVERTON ISD	SILVERTON SCHOOL	452	453	905	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY	FALFURRIAS H S	384	416	800	20	148	13.5			< 5 HIGH
BROWN	BANGS ISD		501	542	1043	14	44	31.8			< 5 HIGH
		BANGS H S	501	542	1043	14	42	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	BLANKET ISD						11				< 5 TESTED
	BROOKESMITH IS	BROOKESMITH H S	512	517	1029	9	18	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	BROWNWOOD ISD		471	501	972	118	235	50.2	21	17.8	
		BROWNWOOD H S	471	501	972	118	194	60.8	21	17.8	
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	504	514	1019	38	64	59.4	15	39.5	
	MAY ISD	MAY H S	433	481	914	23	20	100			< 5 HIGH
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S				0	9		0	0.0	
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	491	514	1005	45	98	45.9	12	26.7	
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDARY	506	514	1020	16	29	55.2	5	31.3	
	SOMERVILLE ISD	SOMERVILLE H S	442	466	908	11	43	25.6			< 5 HIGH
BURNET	BURNET CONS IS	DUDNET II O	498	514	1011	80	188	42.6	26	32.5	
		BURNET H S	498	514	1011	80	171	46.8	26	32.5	
	MARBLE FALLS I	MARBLE FALLS H S	501	508	1009	77	173	44.5	20	26.0	
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD	LOCKHART H S	467 467	480 480	947 947	125 125	232 222	53.9 56.3	27 27	21.6 21.6	
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	452	475	927	51	81	63.0	8	15.7	
		PRAIRIE LEA SCHO	385	330	715	6	0				
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD	CALHOUN H S	499 499	539 539	1038 1038	49 49	220 198	22.3 24.7	17 17	34.7 34.7	
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD					•	23				< 5 TESTED
	CLYDE CONS ISD	CLYDE H S	503	539	1042	17	78	21.8	8	47.1	
	CROSS PLAINS I	CROSS PLAINS H S	536	508	1044	5	39	12.8			< 5 HIGH

 ${\it Note.}\ {\it For\ masking\ rules},\ {\it please\ see}\ {\it Notes\ following\ the\ listings.}$ 

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score				0			es at or	
County name		Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees			Number		***Note***
CALLAHAN	EULA ISD	EULA H S	521	523	1044	10	37	27.0	5	50.0	
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE IS		438	444	883	540	1,830	29.5	53	9.8	
		HANNA H S	468	471	939	170	502	33.9	27	15.9	
		LOPEZ H S	409	408	817	74	344	21.5			<10 MASKED
		PACE H S	436	443	880	102	346	29.5	12	11.8	.40 MAGKED
		PORTER H S	441	444	885	86	350	24.6		•	<10 MASKED
		RIVERA H S	411	430	840	108	266	40.6			<10 MASKED
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (B				0	19	•	0	0.0	
	HARLINGEN CONS		463	467	930	345	777	44.4	57	16.5	
		HARLINGEN H S	461	464	925	166	407	40.8	22	13.3	
		HARLINGEN H S -	465	471	936	179	357	50.1	35	19.6	
	LA FERIA ISD		504	524	1029	16	153	10.5	6	37.5	
		LA FERIA H S	504	524	1029	16	143	11.2		37.5	
	LOS FRESNOS CO	LOS FRESNOS HS	433	452	884	139	313	44.4	18	12.9	
	POINT ISABEL I	PORT ISABEL H S	431	459	891	51	116	44.0			< 5 HIGH
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	418	409	827	64	112	57.1			< 5 HIGH
	SAN BENITO CON	SAN BENITO H S	423	418	840	152	376	40.4	6	3.9	
	SANTA MARIA IS		325	353	677	11	19	57.9	_		< 5 HIGH
		SANTA MARIA H S	325	353	677	11	14	78.6			< 5 HIGH
	SANTA ROSA ISD	SANTA ROSA H S	348	370	719	43	71	60.6	•		< 5 HIGH
	SOUTH TEXAS IS		521	530	1051	283	316	89.6	99	35.0	
		HIGH SCHOOL FOR	511	513	1024	140	157	89.2	38	27.1	
		THE SCIENCE ACAD	539	563	1102	115	117	98.3	54	47.0	
		THE TEACHER ACAD	496	478	973	28	42	66.7	7	25.0	
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH				0	23		0	0.0	
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	499	501	1000	52	103	50.5	15	28.8	
CARSON	GROOM ISD						13				< 5 TESTED
	PANHANDLE ISD						42				< 5 TESTED
	WHITE DEER ISD						28				< 5 TESTED
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S	553	544	1097	10	98	10.2	6	60.0	
	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S				0	13		0	0.0	
	BLOOMBURG ISD	BLOOMBURG H S				0	9	•	0	0.0	
	HUGHES SPRINGS	HUGHES SPRINGS H	556	538	1094	5	47	10.6			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Me			Eveninees		iduates	above o	ees at or	***Note***
County name	DISTITUT Hame										
CASS	LINDEN-KILDARE	LINDEN-KILDARE H	495	450	945	8	79	10.1			< 5 HIGH
	MCLEOD ISD						29				< 5 TESTED
	QUEEN CITY ISD	QUEEN CITY H S	459	510	969	7	70	10.0			< 5 HIGH
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD						84				< 5 TESTED
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S				0	31		0	0.0	
	NAZARETH ISD						20				< 5 TESTED
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD		473	479	952	40	77	51.9	10	25.0	
		ANAHUAC H S	473	479	952	40	73	54.8	10	25.0	
	BARBERS HILL I		523	522	1044	87	152	57.2	32	36.8	
	5,1152119 11122 1	BARBERS HILL H S	523	522	1044	87	151	57.6	32	36.8	
	EAST CHAMBERS	EAST CHAMBERS H	452	462	914	39	59	66.1	6	15.4	
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	438	399	837	9	36	25.0			< 5 HIGH
	JACKSONVILLE I		526	506	1032	38	244	15.6	14	36.8	
		JACKSONVILLE H S	526	506	1032	38	226	16.8	14	36.8	
	NEW SUMMERFIEL						12				< 5 TESTED
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	519	516	1036	19	100	19.0	6	31.6	
	WELLS ISD						12			į	< 5 TESTED
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	563	579	1141	7	63	11.1		į	< 5 HIGH
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOOL	500	510	1010	6	14	42.9			< 5 HIGH
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL				0	11		0	0.0	
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	517	485	1002	24	65	36.9	7	29.2	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	519	531	1050	7	15	46.7			< 5 HIGH
	PETROLIA ISD						23				< 5 TESTED
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD						22				< 5 TESTED
	WHITEFACE CONS		523	523	1047	6	33	18.2			< 5 HIGH
		WHITEFACE H S	523	523	1047	6	32	18.8	•		< 5 HIGH
COKE	BRONTE ISD	BRONTE H S	478	524	1002	5	27	18.5		·	< 5 HIGH
	ROBERT LEE ISD		454	480	934	5	29	17.2	•		< 5 HIGH
		ROBERT LEE H S	454	480	934	5	28	17.9			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score		Graduates				ees at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number		Number	Percent	***Note***
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD	COLEMAN H S	541 541	531 531	1073 1073	7 7	69 62	10.1 11.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		COLEWAN H 3	541	331	1073	,	02	11.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	NOVICE ISD	NOVICE SCHOOL			•	0	4		0	0.0	
	PANTHER CREEK						16	-			< 5 TESTED
	SANTA ANNA ISD						19	-			< 5 TESTED
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	517	519	1036	388	539	72.0	132	34.0	
	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	455	432	887	14	35	40.0			< 5 HIGH
	BLUE RIDGE ISD	BLUE RIDGE H S	457	493	950	11	33	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	500	485	985	48	64	75.0	11	22.9	
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	458	469	927	34	60	56.7			< 5 HIGH
	FARMERSVILLE I	FARMERSVILLE H S	484	501	986	16	48	33.3	5	31.3	
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	517	507	1025	131	248	52.8	42	32.1	
	MCKINNEY ISD		524	534	1058	269	453	59.4	109	40.5	
		ACT ACADEMY AT J	458	460	918	9	8	100			<110 MASKED
		MCKINNEY HIGH SC	526	537	1063	260	407	63.9			<110 MASKED
	PLANO ISD		553	574	1127	1,980	2,323	85.2	1,075	54.3	
		PLANO EAST SR H	546	564	1111	622	864	72.0	317	51.0	
		PLANO SR H S	555	578	1133	684	741	92.3	378	55.3	
		PLANO WEST SENIO	558	577	1135	668	718	93.0	375	56.1	
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	485	502	987	41	100	41.0	8	19.5	
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	525	527	1052	35	48	72.9	14	40.0	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	491	482	973	132	208	63.5	29	22.0	
COLLINGSWORTH	SAMNORWOOD ISD	SAMNORWOOD SCHOO				0	6		0	0.0	
	WELLINGTON ISD	WELLINGTON H S				0	32		0	0.0	
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD		483	490	973	76	103	73.8	16	21.1	
		COLUMBUS H S	483	490	973	76	100	76.0	16		
	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	481	493	974	27	67	40.3	7	25.9	
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	462	497	959	30	45	66.7	5	16.7	
COMAL	COMAL ISD		496	497	993	379	566	67.0	87	23.0	
		CANYON H S	487	498	985	172	221	77.8	42		
		SMITHSON VALLEY	504	496	1000	206	321	64.2	45	21.8	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Examinees at or
---- Mean score ---- --- Graduates --- above criterion

County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
COMAL	NEW BRAUNFELS		508	507	1015	216	334	64.7	59	27.3	
		NEW BRAUNFELS H	508	507	1015	216	303	71.3	59	27.3	
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	507	471	978	19	62	30.6			< 5 HIGH
	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	456	507	962	20	34	58.8		•	< 5 HIGH
	GUSTINE ISD				•		9	•		•	< 5 TESTED
	SIDNEY ISD	SIDNEY SCHOOL	492	457	948	6	7	85.7			< 5 HIGH
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D						25				< 5 TESTED
	PAINT ROCK ISD	PAINT ROCK SCHOO				0	14		0	0.0	
COOKE	CALLISBURG ISD	CALLISBURG H S	500	485	985	21	46	45.7			< 5 HIGH
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	500	493	993	8	24	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	GAINESVILLE IS	GAINESVILLE H S	488	487	976	59	135	43.7	14	23.7	
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	530	557	1088	33	43	76.7	13	39.4	
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	531	538	1069	19	25	76.0	7	36.8	
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW HS/M	495	524	1019	26	39	66.7	7	26.9	
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE		484	482	966	199	378	52.6	39	19.6	
		COPPERAS COVE H	484	482	966	199	371	53.6	39	19.6	
	EVANT ISD						22				< 5 TESTED
	GATESVILLE ISD	GATESVILLE H S	497	492	989	37	122	30.3	12	32.4	
	JONESBORO ISD						13				< 5 TESTED
	OGLESBY ISD	OGLESBY SCHOOL			•	0	11		0	0.0	
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD				•		20				< 5 TESTED
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	484	465	949	19	72	26.4			< 5 HIGH
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO CO	OZONA H S	498	512	1010	22	45	48.9	6	27.3	
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD		448	464	912	5	35	14.3			< 5 HIGH
		CROSBYTON H S	448	464	912	5	33	15.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LORENZO ISD						21				< 5 TESTED
	RALLS ISD						38				< 5 TESTED
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COUN	VAN HORN H S	430	476	906	27	42	64.3			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Verbal Math. Total Examinee			Gra	aduates		es at or riterion		
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
DALLAM	DALHART ISD		508	522	1030	13	88	14.8			< 5 HIGH
D/ LE/ W	DALIDATI 10D	DALHART H S	508	522	1030	13	88	14.8			< 5 HIGH
									-	-	
	TEXLINE ISD						11				< 5 TESTED
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER	ALPHA CHARTER SC	•			0	2		0	0.0	
	CARROLLTON-FAR		511	538	1048	749	1,123	66.7	288	38.5	
	0,11110221011 1,111	CREEKVIEW H S	513	546	1060	271	348	77.9	102	37.6	
		NEWMAN SMITH H S	514	549	1063	255	336	75.9	112	43.9	
		TURNER H S	505	515	1020	219	392	55.9	72	32.9	
	CEDAR HILL ISD	CEDAR HILL H S	499	471	970	211	339	62.2	41	19.4	
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	533	561	1095	361	421	85.7	176	48.8	
	DALLAS CAN ACA	DALLAS CANL ACAD	398 398	365 365	763 763	13	269 134	4.8	-	•	< 5 HIGH
		DALLAS CAN! ACAD	398	303	763	13	134	9.7	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	DALLAS ISD		426	429	855	2,602	5,581	46.6	290	11.1	
		A MACEO SMITH H	365	366	731	51	134	38.1			< 5 HIGH
		BOOKER T WASHING	522	486	1007	130	162	80.2	39	30.0	
		BRYAN ADAMS H S	459	453	912	117	308	38.0	17	14.5	
		DAVID W CARTER H	397	402	799	131	280	46.8			< 5 HIGH
		H GRADY SPRUCE H	381	385	765	64	215	29.8			< 5 HIGH
		HILLCREST H S	496	489	985	128	239	53.6	47	36.7	
		JAMES MADISON H	345	360	705	38	130	29.2			< 5 HIGH
		JUSTIN F KIMBALL	382	391	774	106	265	40.0			< 5 HIGH
		L G PINKSTON H S	379	386	765	40	122	32.8			< 5 HIGH
		LINCOLN H S	364	365	728	151	184	82.1			< 5 HIGH
		METROPOLITAN ED	356	348	705	13	0				
		MIDDLE COLLEGE	375	376	751	8	30	26.7			< 5 HIGH
		MOISES MOLINA H	384	390	774	120	334	35.9	6	5.0	
		NORTH DALLAS H S	358	397	755	134	224	59.8			< 5 HIGH
		ROOSEVELT H S	376	388	763	50	112	44.6			< 5 HIGH
		SCH OF GOVT, LAW,	455	464	920	32	67	47.8	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		SCHOOL FOR THE T	648	639	1287	35	36	97.2	30	85.7	
		SCHOOL OF BUSINE	428	419	847	70	104	67.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		SCHOOL OF EDUCAT	433	401	834	30	43	69.8	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		SCHOOL OF HEALTH	477	468	945	90	109	82.6	10	11.1	
		SCHOOL OF SCIENC	528	544	1072	68	86	79.1	22	32.4	
		SEAGOVILLE H S	422	447	869	50	172	29.1		_•_	< 5 HIGH
		SKYLINE H S	430	438	868	334	719	46.5	24	7.2	
		SOUTH OAK CLIFF	367	373	739	91	198	46.0	-		< 5 HIGH
		SUNSET H S	429	409	838	36	226	15.9			< 5 HIGH
		THOMAS JEFFERSON	412	409	821	102	226	45.1	5	4.9	
		W H ADAMSON H S	380	392	771	49	164	29.9			< 5 HIGH
		W T WHITE H S	470	479	949	166	275	60.4	34	20.5	- E UTOU
		W W SAMUELL H S	368	369	737	86	186	46.2			< 5 HIGH
		WOODROW WILSON H	512	510	1021	80	221	36.2	29	36.3	
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	493	495	989	96	305	31.5	23	24.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean scoi		`e		Gra	aduates		ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name				Examinees		Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
DALLAC	DUNCANI/THE TO		400	470	061	260	654	F6 4	0.4	00.0	
DALLAS	DUNCANVILLE IS	DUNCANVILLE H S	483 483		961 961	369 369	654 619	56.4 59.6	84 84	22.8 22.8	
	EAGLE ADVANTAG	EAGLE ADVANTAGE				0	25		0	0.0	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (D				0	3		0	0.0	
	GARLAND ISD		498	501	999	1,260	2,346	53.7	374	29.7	
		GARLAND H S	549	546	1095	254	401	63.3	134	52.8	
		LAKEVIEW CENTENN	478	462	939	115	259	44.4	20	17.4	
		N GARLAND H S	458	470	928	175	407	43.0	34	19.4	
		NAAMAN FOREST H	499	509	1007	271	450	60.2		29.2	
		ROWLETT H S	488	501	989	252	439	57.4	64	25.4	
		S GARLAND H S	489	482	971	193	376	51.3	43	22.3	
	GRAND PRAIRIE		482	486	968	347	845	41.1	67	19.3	
		GRAND PRAIRIE H	481	484	966	106	395	26.8	21	19.8	
		SO GRAND PRAIRIE	482	487	969	241	411	58.6	46	19.1	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK HI	579	587	1166	387	336	100	254	65.6	
	HONORS ACADEMY		583	530	1113	39	412	9.5	21	53.8	
		EXCEL ACADEMY	580	570	1150	9	6	100	6	66.7	
		UNIVERSITY SCHOO	584	518	1102	29	90	32.2	15	51.7	
	IRVING ISD		511	515	1026	502	,	45.7	184	36.7	
		IRVING H S	498	511	1009	133	309	43.0	44	33.1	
		MACARTHUR H S	515	525	1040	206	421	48.9	86	41.7	
		NIMITZ H S	516	505	1021	163	364	44.8	54	33.1	
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	423	407	830	115	221	52.0			< 5 HIGH
	MESQUITE ISD		503	512	1015	648	•	40.6	188	29.0	
		MESQUITE H S	496	507	1003	175	552	31.7	48	27.4	
		NORTH MESQUITE H	494	505	999	233	433	53.8	62	26.6	
		RALPH H POTEET H	516	521	1037	197	321	61.4	64	32.5	
		WEST MESQUITE H	515	532	1047	43	243	17.7	14	32.6	
	RENAISSANCE CH	RENAISSANCE CHAR	561	540	1101	9	0				
	RICHARDSON ISD		533	547	1080	1,424	1,760	80.9	653	45.9	
		BERKNER H S	519	547	1066	410	531	77.2	181	44.1	
		LAKE HIGHLANDS H	541	542	1083	372	441	84.4	175	47.0	
		PEARCE H S	550	567	1117	375	415	90.4	193	51.5	
		RICHARDSON H S	521	523	1043	267	373	71.6	104	39.0	
	RYLIE FAITH FA						4				< 5 TESTED
	WILMER-HUTCHIN	WILMER-HUTCHINS	383	376	758	39	112	34.8			< 5 HIGH
	WINFREE ACADEM					0	233		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

0	District	0	Mean score Verbal Math. Total Examine		F	Graduates es Number Tested(%)				****	
County name	District name	Campus name	verbai	watn.	10tai	Examinees		resteu(%)	Number.	Percent	
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL				0	13		0	0.0	
	KLONDIKE ISD						14				< 5 TESTED
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	513	555	1068	13	144	9.0	7	53.8	
	SANDS CISD					•	14				< 5 TESTED
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD		498	543	1041	11	223	4.9			< 5 HIGH
		HEREFORD H S	498	543	1041	11	223	4.9			< 5 HIGH
DELTA	COOPER ISD					•	37				< 5 TESTED
	FANNINDEL ISD		·			i	7	•	•	•	< 5 TESTED
DENTON	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	510	490	999	23	55	41.8			< 5 HIGH
	DENTON ISD		510	513	1023	421	623	67.6	144	34.2	
		DENTON H S	511	514	1025	242	315	76.8		33.1	
		RYAN H S	509	511	1019	177	283	62.5	63	35.6	
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	487	484	971	33	44	75.0	7	21.2	
	LAKE DALLAS IS	LAKE DALLAS H S	523	511	1035	78	148	52.7	27	34.6	
	LEWISVILLE ISD		530	542	1072	1,076	1,797	59.9	441	41.0	
		LEWISVILLE H S	519	534	1053	339	627	54.1	129	38.1	
		MARCUS H S	537	540	1077	514	626	82.1	208	40.5	
		THE COLONY H S	529	561	1090	223	511	43.6	104	46.6	
	LITTLE ELM ISD	LITTLE ELM H S	446	439	885	32	68	47.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	NORTHWEST ISD		512	522	1034	130	261	49.8	37	28.5	
		NORTHWEST H S	512	522	1034	130	248	52.4	37	28.5	
	PILOT POINT IS	PILOT POINT H S	522	502	1025	22	58	37.9	8	36.4	
	PONDER ISD	PONDER H S	455	476	932	11	22	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	SANGER ISD		478	496	974	36	139	25.9	9	25.0	
		SANGER H S	478	496	974	36	103	35.0	9	25.0	
	UNIVERSITY OF	TEXAS ACADEMY OF	650	706	1357	164	0		163	99.4	
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	557	594	1152	23	116	19.8	18	78.3	
	NORDHEIM ISD						4				< 5 TESTED
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	512	508	1020	43	94	45.7	13	30.2	
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	500	515	1015	24	51	47.1	5	20.8	
DICKENS	PATTON SPRINGS	PATTON SPRINGS S				0	8		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

0	Bioloid con	0		ean scor		<b>-</b>		aduates	above c	es at or	****
County name	District name	Campus name	verbal 	матп. 	TOTAL	Examinees	Number	lested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
DICKENS	SPUR ISD			-			13				< 5 TESTED
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRING	CARRIZO SPRINGS	439	448	888	56	123	45.5	9	16.1	
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD						47				< 5 TESTED
	HEDLEY ISD						10				< 5 TESTED
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD						33				< 5 TESTED
	FREER ISD						53				< 5 TESTED
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	520	454	974	5	98	5.1			< 5 HIGH
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	470	522	992	6	57	10.5		•	< 5 HIGH
	EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND H S	500	486	986	31	70	44.3	7	22.6	
	GORMAN ISD						29	·		•	< 5 TESTED
	RANGER ISD			•			24	•			< 5 TESTED
	RISING STAR IS	RISING STAR H S	445	456	901	8	14	57.1		•	< 5 HIGH
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY I		486	481	967	501	1,393	36.0	106	21.2	
		CAREER CTR	444	388	832	5	217	2.3			<50 MASKED
		ODESSA H S	497	494	992	222	515	43.1	61	27.5	
		PERMIAN H S	477	473	951	272	525	51.8	•	·	<50 MASKED
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON						22	•			< 5 TESTED
	ROCKSPRINGS IS	ROCKSPRINGS H S	457	486	943	7	27	25.9			< 5 HIGH
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S	419	396	814	9	46	19.6			< 5 HIGH
	BURNHAM WOOD C						3				< 5 TESTED
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	415	409	824	55	179	30.7		•	< 5 HIGH
	CLINT ISD		398	404	802	146	299	48.8			< 5 HIGH
		CLINT H S	404	407	812	83	171	48.5			< 5 HIGH
		MOUNTAIN VIEW H	389	399	788	63	128	49.2	•		< 5 HIGH
	EL PASO ACADEM	EL PASO ACADEMY				0	108		0	0.0	
	EL PASO ISD		476	478	954	1,612	2,893	55.7	320	19.9	
		ANDRESS H S	462	455	917	178	331	53.8	22	12.4	
		AUSTIN H S	469	459	928	98	237	41.4	20	20.4	
		BOWIE H S	391	427	819	80	242	33.1			<20 MASKED
		BURGES H S	448	442	890	151	271	55.7			<20 MASKED
		CORONADO H S	517	512	1029	285	417	68.3	91	31.9	
		EL PASO H S	449	471	920	104	206	50.5			<20 MASKED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Verbal Math. Total Examinees			Gra	nduates		es at or riterion		
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
EL PASO	EL PASO ISD	FRANKLIN H S	517	520	1037	316	459	68.8	107	33.9	
		IRVIN H S	441	447	887	150	321	46.7		•	<20 MASKED
		JEFFERSON H S SILVA HEALTH MAG	405 500	414 502	819 1003	86 162	187 186	46.0 87.1	34	21.0	<20 MASKED
		OILVA HEAEIII WAG	300	302	1000	102	100	07.1	04	21.0	
	FABENS ISD		412	455	867	17	129	13.2	•		< 5 HIGH
		FABENS H S	412	455	867	17	115	14.8			< 5 HIGH
	PASO DEL NORTE	PASO DEL NORTE A				0	60		0	0.0	
	SAN ELIZARIO I	SAN ELIZARIO H S	402	421	824	50	130	38.5			< 5 HIGH
	SOCORRO ISD		430	440	871	674	1,215	55.5	61	9.1	
		AMERICAS H S	443	450	893	202	372	54.3	25	12.4	
		MONTWOOD H S	441	452	894	253	432	58.6	26	10.3	
		SOCORRO H S	407	418	824	218	411	53.0	10	4.6	
	TORNILLO ISD	TORNILLO H S	335	384	719	26	34	76.5			< 5 HIGH
	YSLETA ISD		396	402	799	2,473	2,515	98.3	126	5.1	
		ACAD OF SCIENCE/	363	353	715	91	78	100			<10 MASKED
		BEL AIR H S	389	396	785	356	404	88.1			<10 MASKED
		CESAR CHAVEZ ACA	323	323	645	58	53	100	•	•	<10 MASKED
		DEL VALLE H S	374	393	768	309	345	89.6			<10 MASKED
		EASTWOOD H S J M HANKS H S	444 430	451 435	896 865	370 520	399 535	92.7 97.2	45 46	12.2 8.8	
		PARKLAND H S	379	376	755	216	201	100			<10 MASKED
		RIVERSIDE H S	378	389	767	218	213	100			<10 MASKED
		TEJAS SCHOOL OF	338	350	689	58	31	100			<10 MASKED
		YSLETA H S	369	369	738	277	255	100			<10 MASKED
ELLIS	AVALON ISD						16				< 5 TESTED
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	507	512	1018	26	210	12.4	8	30.8	
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S	470	494	964	44	77	57.1	8	18.2	
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	471	467	939	7	34	20.6			< 5 HIGH
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	498	517	1015	34	40	85.0	11	32.4	
	MIDLOTHIAN ISD	MIDLOTHIAN H S	505	511	1016	67	210	31.9	14	20.9	
	MILFORD ISD	MILFORD SCHOOL	450	457	907	6	9	66.7			< 5 HIGH
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	491	496	987	16	54	29.6			< 5 HIGH
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	493	509	1002	100	214	46.7	24	24.0	
	WAXAHACHIE ISD	WAXAHACHIE H S	499 499	490 490	988 988	148 148	325 320	45.5 46.3	40 40	27.0 27.0	
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	455	471	926	33	57	57.9	8	24.2	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score		Gra	duates		es at or criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal		Total	Examinees					***Note***
ERATH	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOOL	526	490	1016	8	12	66.7			< 5 HIGH
	LINGLEVILLE IS						12				< 5 TESTED
	PARADIGM ACCEL	PARADIGM ACCELER				0	13		0	0.0	
	STEPHENVILLE I	STEPHENVILLE H S	496	513	1009	80	180	44.4	21	26.3	
FALLS	CHILTON ISD						20				< 5 TESTED
	MARLIN ISD	MARLIN H S	431	438	870	34	71	47.9		·	< 5 HIGH
	ROSEBUD-LOTT I	ROSEBUD-LOTT H S	533	560	1093	23	67	34.3	12	52.2	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	491	473	964	45	91	49.5	7	15.6	
	DODD CITY ISD						10				< 5 TESTED
	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL	422	432	854	5	9	55.6			< 5 HIGH
	HONEY GROVE IS					•	52	·		·	< 5 TESTED
	LEONARD ISD						39				< 5 TESTED
	SAM RAYBURN IS	RAYBURN H S				0	27		0	0.0	
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S				0	17		0	0.0	
	TRENTON ISD					•	22	·		·	< 5 TESTED
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE I	FAYETTEVILLE H S	523	540	1063	7	16	43.8			< 5 HIGH
	FLATONIA ISD						38				< 5 TESTED
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	503	507	1010	56	109	51.4	17	30.4	
	ROUND TOP-CARM	ROUND TOP-CARMIN	505	506	1010	20	23	87.0	5	25.0	
	SCHULENBURG IS	SCHULENBURG H S	487	510	998	27	46	58.7	6	22.2	
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD						23				< 5 TESTED
	ROTAN ISD						28				< 5 TESTED
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD						49				< 5 TESTED
	LOCKNEY ISD						52				< 5 TESTED
FOARD	CROWELL ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD	CLEMENTS H S	507 547	532 588	1039 1135	2,572 590	3,171 604	81.1 97.7	930 315	36.2 53.4	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Examinees at or
---- Mean score ---- --- Graduates --- above criterion

			IVIC	Juli 5001	C		GI C	iddacco	above	51 1 101 1011	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD	DULLES H S	511	548	1058	353	418	84.4	144	40.8	
		HIGHTOWER H S	466	466	931	190	298	63.8	32	16.8	
		KEMPNER H S	489	520	1009	366	483	75.8	97	26.5	
		LAWRENCE E ELKIN	509	519	1028	379	435	87.1	130	34.3	
		STEPHEN F AUSTIN	518	546	1065	499	594	84.0	202	40.5	
		WILLOWRIDGE H S	422	410	832	195	309	63.1	10	5.1	
	LAMAR CONSOLID		500	500	999	303	727	41.7	86	28.4	
		B F TERRY H S	492	484	977	155	369	42.0	38	24.5	
		LAMAR CONS H S	507	516	1023	148	323	45.8	48	32.4	
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	531	524	1055	51	160	31.9	23	45.1	
	STAFFORD MUNIC	STAFFORD HIGH SC	476	502	978	93	140	66.4	22	23.7	
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON I	MT VERNON H S	504	455	959	11	69	15.9			< 5 HIGH
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	502	518	1020	35	93	37.6	11	31.4	
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	488	510	998	24	60	40.0	6	25.0	
	WORTHAM ISD						21				< 5 TESTED
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S				0	37		0	0.0	
	PEARSALL ISD		471	444	916	7	112	6.3			< 5 HIGH
		PEARSALL H S	471	444	916	7	110	6.4			< 5 HIGH
GAINES	LOOP ISD	LOOP SCHOOL				0	15		0	0.0	
	SEAGRAVES ISD						32				< 5 TESTED
	SEMINOLE ISD		471	485	956	51	123	41.5	11	21.6	
	OLIMINOLE TOD	SEMINOLE H S	471	485	956	51	113	45.1	11	21.6	
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK IS		527	547	1074	1,277	1,518	84.1	558	43.7	
		CLEAR BROOK H S	507	522	1029	, 361	403	89.6			<130 MASKED
		CLEAR CREEK H S	508	523	1031	377	479	78.7			<130 MASKED
		CLEAR LAKE H S	553	582	1136	534	595	89.7	311	58.2	
		CLEAR VIEW ALTER	510	502	1012	5	41	12.2		•	<130 MASKED
	DICKINSON ISD	DICKINSON H S	463	461	923	119	229	52.0	16	13.4	
	FRIENDSWOOD IS	FRIENDSWOOD H S	526	546	1072	275	351	78.3	105	38.2	
	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	506	527	1033	168	404	41.6	66	39.3	
	HIGH ISLAND IS	HIGH ISLAND H S	466	439	905	14	21	66.7			< 5 HIGH
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S	386	366	753	19	57	33.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	438	423	862	124	211	58.8	7	5.6	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Me Verbal					duates Tested(%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent	***Note***
GALVESTON	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	467	466	934	117	264	44.3	23	19.7	
	TEXAS CITY ISD	TEXAS CITY H S	457	467	923	136	305	44.6	25	18.4	
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	487	506	993	15	48	31.3			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTHLAND ISD						13				< 5 TESTED
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBURG	FREDERICKSBURG H	502 502	511 511	1014 1014	162 162	225 200	72.0 81.0	50 50	30.9 30.9	
		I REDERICKSBORG II	302	311	1014	102	200	01.0	30	30.9	
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	543	537	1079	12	18	66.7	6	50.0	
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COUN	GLASSCOCK COUNTY	516	544	1060	12	23	52.2			< 5 HIGH
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	496	495	991	40	81	49.4	9	22.5	
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD		440	461	901	45	122	36.9	6	13.3	
		GONZALES H S	440	461	901	45	113	39.8	6	13.3	
	NIXON-SMILEY C						56	•			< 5 TESTED
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S				0	11	•	0	0.0	
GRAY	LEFORS ISD						4	•			< 5 TESTED
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL				0	16	•	0	0.0	
	PAMPA ISD		498	503	1001	95	238	39.9	28	29.5	
		PAMPA H S	498	503	1001	95	230	41.3	28	29.5	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	490	491	981	21	36	58.3	6	28.6	
	COLLINSVILLE I			•	•		35	•			< 5 TESTED
	DENISON ISD		560	567	1127	47	238	19.7	27	57.4	
		DENISON H S	560	567	1127	47	227	20.7	27	57.4	
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	494	524	1019	9	38	23.7			< 5 HIGH
	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	532	528	1059	13	65	20.0	5	38.5	
	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	483	488	971	22	79	27.8			< 5 HIGH
	S AND S CONS I	S AND S CONS H S	506	489	995	15	52	28.8	6	40.0	
	SHERMAN ISD		522	533	1056	122	287	42.5	48	39.3	
		SHERMAN HIGH SCH	522	533	1056	122	252	48.4	48	39.3	
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	454	467	921	20	47	42.6			< 5 HIGH
	VAN ALSTYNE IS	VAN ALSTYNE H S	530	520	1050	21	60	35.0	7	33.3	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Compus name	Mean score Verbal Math. Total Exa			Graduates aminees Number Tested(%)				***No+o****	
County name	DISTRICT Hame	Campus name									
GRAYSON	WHITESBORO ISD	WHITESBORO H S	504	504	1008	5	71	7.0		·	< 5 HIGH
	WHITEWRIGHT IS	WHITEWRIGHT H S	520	528	1048	12	33	36.4			< 5 HIGH
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CHA	EAST TEXAS CHART				0	68		0	0.0	
	GLADEWATER ISD	GLADEWATER H S	463	461	924	47	113	41.6	5	10.6	
	KILGORE ISD	KILGORE H S	506 506	509 509	1015 1015	35 35	207 194	16.9 18.0	9 9	25.7 25.7	
	LONGVIEW ISD		523	529	1052	162	331	48.9	66	40.7	
	LONGVIEW 105	LONGVIEW H S	523	529	1052	162	331	48.9	66	40.7	
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	525	528	1053	153	256	59.8	55	35.9	
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	496	485	980	20	74	27.0	5	25.0	
	SPRING HILL IS	SPRING HILL H S	527	510	1037	21	94	22.3	6	28.6	
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	542	529	1071	16	87	18.4	8	50.0	
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIRO	ANDERSON-SHIRO J	446	463	909	17	36	47.2			< 5 HIGH
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	460	493	953	10	27	37.0			< 5 HIGH
	NAVASOTA ISD		458	476	934	56	153	36.6	8	14.3	
		NAVASOTA H S	458	476	934	56	148	37.8	8	14.3	
	RICHARDS ISD	RICHARDS H S	457	436	893	7	15	46.7			< 5 HIGH
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD		486	501	987	59	71	83.1	14	23.7	
		MARION H S	486	501	987	59	67	88.1	14	23.7	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	503	529	1032	40	53	75.5	14	35.0	
	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO		493	502	995	216	436	49.5	61	28.2	
		SAMUEL CLEMENS H	493	502	995	216	412	52.4	61	28.2	
	SEGUIN ISD		483	487	971	209	408	51.2	53		
		SEGUIN HIGH SCHO	483	487	971	209	330	63.3	53	25.4	
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	518	513	1030	8	39	20.5	5	62.5	
	COTTON CENTER	COTTON CENTER SC				0	16	-	0	0.0	
	HALE CENTER IS	HALE CENTER H S				0	34		0	0.0	
	PETERSBURG ISD						21				< 5 TESTED
	PLAINVIEW ISD		486	491	976	87	319	27.3	18	20.7	
		PLAINVIEW HIGH S	486	491	976	87	282	30.9	18	20.7	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County namo	District name	Campus namo						iduates	above o		***Note***
County name								` '			
HALL	MEMPHIS ISD						34				< 5 TESTED
	TURKEY-QUITAQU	VALLEY SCHOOL				0	20		0	0.0	
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S	586	610	1196	5	46	10.9			< 5 HIGH
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	480	488	968	22	36	61.1	5	22.7	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD						38				< 5 TESTED
	SPEARMAN ISD						57				< 5 TESTED
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE IS		i	•			11		·	•	< 5 TESTED
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S				0	31		0	0.0	
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFERS	HARDIN-JEFFERSON	475 475	475 475	950 950	131 131	161 160	81.4 81.9	27 27	20.6 20.6	
	KOUNTZE ISD	KOUNTZE H S	446	440	886	50	70	71.4	7	14.0	
	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	495	466	962	148	197	75.1	29	19.6	
	SILSBEE ISD	SILSBEE H S	476 476	475 475	951 951	125 125	217 217	57.6 57.6	26 26	20.8 20.8	
	WEST HARDIN CO	WEST HARDIN H S	453	427	881	18	53	34.0			< 5 HIGH
HARRIS	ACADEMY OF ACC	ACADEMY OF ACCEL				0	31	•	0	0.0	
	ALDINE ISD		427	442	869	923	1,812	50.9	80	8.7	
		ALDINE H S	416	431	847	183	368	49.7	13	7.1	
		CHESTER W NIMITZ	449 445	448 469	897 913	79 187	116 370	68.1 50.5	7 22	8.9 11.8	
		CHESTER W NIMITZ EISENHOWER H S	445	433	858	274	473	57.9	26	9.5	
		MACARTHUR H S	417	437	854	197	354	55.6	12	6.1	
	ALIEF ISD		450	481	931	1,139	1,657	68.7	194	17.0	
		ELSIK H S	450	480	929	541	750	72.1	87	16.1	
		HASTINGS H S	438		910	504	785	64.2		13.9	
		KERR H S	520	530	1050	94	117	80.3	37	39.4	
	ALPHONSO CRUTC	ALPHONSO CRUTCH'				0	37		0	0.0	
	AMERICAN ACADE	AMERICAN ACADEMY				0	18		0	0.0	
	BAY AREA CHART	ED WHITE MEMORIA				0	24		0	0.0	
	BENJI'S SPECIA	BENJI'S SPECIAL				0	2		0	0.0	
	CALVIN NELMS C	CALVIN NELMS CHA				0	31	•	0	0.0	
	CHANNELVIEW IS		446	451	898	116	269	43.1	11	9.5	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Verbal Math. Total Exa			Gra	aduates				
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
HARRIS	CHANNELVIEW IS	CHANNELVIEW HIGH	446	451	898	116	264	43.9	11	9.5	
	COMQUEST ACADE	COMQUEST ACADEMY				0	27		0	0.0	
	CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SCHO	498	517	1015	87	242	36.0	28	32.2	
	CROSSROADS COM	CROSSROAD COMMUN				0	11		0	0.0	
	CYPRESS-FAIRBA		506	524	1030	2,416	3,354	72.0	807	33.4	
		CY-FAIR H S	523	527	1050	417	561	74.3	149	35.7	
		CYPRESS CREEK H	518	536	1053	486	598	81.3	185	38.1	
		CYPRESS FALLS H	493	521	1014	379	547	69.3	120	31.7	
		CYPRESS SPRINGS	480	499	979	298	406	73.4			<70 MASKED
		JERSEY VILLAGE H	498	520	1018	413	588	70.2		32.0	
		LANGHAM CREEK H	515	532	1047	408	522	78.2		37.5	
		WINDFERN H S	460	471	931	15	132	11.4			<70 MASKED
	DEER PARK ISD		503	531	1034	295	691	42.7	104	35.3	
	522 174 195	DEER PARK H S	503	531	1034	295	546	54.0	104	35.3	
	GALENA PARK IS		453	458	911	272	919	29.6	35	12.9	
		GALENA PARK H S	427	437	864	79	301	26.2			<40 MASKED
		NORTH SHORE SR H	465	466	932	191	595	32.1			<40 MASKED
	GEORGE I SANCH		404	403	806	8	17	47.1	_		< 5 HIGH
	GEORGE I OTHER	GEORGE I SANCHEZ	404	403	806	8	16	50.0	•		< 5 HIGH
	GIRLS & BOYS P	GIRLS & BOYS PRE	392	345	736	13	18	72.2		•	< 5 HIGH
	GOOSE CREEK CI		470	487	957	355	893	39.8	74	20.8	
		LEE H S	467	476	943	145	376	38.6	29	20.0	
		STERLING H S	473	494	967	209	447	46.8	45	21.5	
	GULF SHORES AC	GULF SHORES ACAD				0	83		0	0.0	
	HEIGHTS CHARTE	HEIGHTS CHARTER	•	•		0	22	•	0	0.0	
	HOUSTON CAN AC	HOUSTON CAN! ACA	322	349	671	10	71	14.1			< 5 HIGH
	HOUSTON ISD		467	473	940	4,058	6,945	58.4	935	23.0	
		AUSTIN H S	391	402	793	176	325	54.2	5	2.8	
		BARBARA JORDAN H	405	399	804	100	175	57.1			< 5 HIGH
		BELLAIRE H S	568	590	1159	507	612	82.8	316	62.3	
		CONTEMPORARY LRN	426	406	832	10	144	6.9			< 5 HIGH
		DAVIS H S	410	426	836	115	271	42.4		7.0	O HIGH
		DEBAKEY H S FOR	563	577	1140	192	178	100	110	57.3	
			404	404	808	192					∠ 5 UTCU
		EASTWOOD ACADEMY					43 165	41.9	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		FURR H S	402	384	786	67	165	40.6			< 5 HIGH
		JONES H S	449	435	884	152	200	76.0	30	19.7	. = 11=0
		KASHMERE H S	394	382	776	56	92	60.9			< 5 HIGH
		LAMAR H S	537	537	1073	418	515	81.2	180	43.1	
		LAW ENFCMT-CRIM	467	440	906	121	187	64.7	10	8.3	
		LEE H S	439	453	892	134	334	40.1	16	11.9	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	e		Gra	aduates		ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name									***Note***
HADDIC	HOHOTON TOD	MADTCON II C	405	410	010	164	205	F0 F			< F LITOU
HARRIS	HOUSTON ISD	MADISON H S MIDDLE COLLEGE F	405 454	413 423	818 876		325 15	50.5			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		MILBY H S	405	439	844	11 255	479	73.3 53.2		3.5	< 5 HIGH
		PERFOR & VIS ART	579	552	1131	148	147	100	79	53.4	
		REAGAN H S	431	436	867	111	276	40.2		9.0	
		SAM HOUSTON H S	422	416	838	112	365	30.7			< 5 HIGH
		SCARBOROUGH H S	489	471	960	62	146	42.5		24.2	· O IIIGII
		SHARPSTOWN H S	425	458	883	129	217	59.4		10.1	
		STERLING H S	416		818	120					< 5 HIGH
		WALTRIP H S	460	448	908	110	215	51.2		15.5	· O IIIGII
		WASHINGTON B T H	461	489	950	151	203	74.4	35	23.2	
		WESTBURY H S	435	441	876	168	284	59.2	17	10.1	
		WESTSIDE H S	484	492	976	134	187	71.7	35	26.1	
		WHEATLEY H S	368	390	758	22	95	23.2			< 5 HIGH
		WORTHING H S	392	401	792	160	252	63.5			< 5 HIGH
		YATES H S	389	373	762	111	206	53.9			< 5 HIGH
		YOUTH FOR EDUCAT	471	564	1036	21	0		6	28.6	
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	487	490	977	74	147	50.3	16	21.6	
	HUMBLE ISD		524	531	1055	1,151	1,501	76.7	460	40.0	
		HUMBLE H S	484	493	978	405	618	65.5	95	23.5	
		KINGWOOD H S	547	553	1100	719	848	84.8	356	49.5	
		QUEST H S	495	492	987	26	35	74.3	8	30.8	
	JESSE JACKSON	JESSE JACKSON AC				0	48		0	0.0	
	KATY ISD		531	551	1083	1,418	1,905	74.4	618	43.6	
		KATY H S	528	543	1071	351	553	63.5	142	40.5	
		MAYDE CREEK H S	504	530	1033	363	575	63.1	124	34.2	
		TAYLOR H S	547	567	1114	704	774	91.0	352	50.0	
	KLEIN ISD		513	537	1051	1,407	1,835	76.7	530	37.7	
		KLEIN FOREST H S	469	504	973	332	528	62.9	78	23.5	
		KLEIN H S	536	561	1097	670		87.7	312	46.6	
		KLEIN OAK H S	514	525	1038	405	543	74.6	140	34.6	
	LA PORTE ISD		486	514	1000	169	397	42.6	48	28.4	
		LA PORTE HIGH SC	486	514	1000	169	372	45.4	48	28.4	
	NORTH FOREST I		382	369	751	192	473	40.6			< 5 HIGH
		FOREST BROOK H S	390	373	763	87	230	37.8			< 5 HIGH
		SMILEY H S	375	366	740	105	243	43.2			< 5 HIGH
	NORTH HOUSTON	NORTH HOUSTON H				0	24		0	0.0	
	PASADENA ISD		477	491	968	641	1,817	35.3	136	21.2	
		DOBIE H S	480	509	990	199	434	45.9	55	27.6	
		PASADENA H S	463	490	953	112	468	23.9	18	16.1	
		RAYBURN H S	499	500	999	162	431	37.6	45	27.8	
		SOUTH HOUSTON H	462	461	923	167	449	37.2	18	10.8	
	PREPARED TABLE	PREPARED TABLE				0	4	-	0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	'e		Gra	iduates		es at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
HARRIS	RAUL YZAGUIRRE	RAUL YZAGUIRRE S				0	8		0	0.0	
	SHELDON ISD	C E KING H S	474	462	936	76	203	37.4	8	10.5	
	SOUTHWEST HIGH	SOUTHWEST HIGH S	394	392	786	13	68	19.1			< 5 HIGH
	SPRING BRANCH		522	556	1078	1,113	1,598	69.6	518	46.5	
		MEMORIAL H S	566	604	1170	385	391	98.5	247	64.2	
		NORTHBROOK H S	436	461	896	134	326	41.1	21	15.7	
		SPRING WOODS H S	493	505	998	199	347	57.3	56	28.1	
		STRATFORD H S	524	566	1090	395	415	95.2	194	49.1	
	SPRING ISD		491	510	1001	578	1,118	51.7	159	27.5	
		SPRING H S	508	531	1039	213	464	45.9	78	36.6	
		WESTFIELD H S	482	497	979	365	649	56.2	81	22.2	
	TOMBALL ISD	TOMBALL H S	505	516	1021	269	374	71.9	79	29.4	
	WEST HOUSTON C					·	7				< 5 TESTED
	YES COLLEGE PR	YES COLLEGE PREP				0	17		0	0.0	
HARRISON	ELYSIAN FIELDS					•	69				< 5 TESTED
	HALLSVILLE ISD	HALLSVILLE H S	474	505	979	106	182	58.2	21	19.8	
	HARLETON ISD					ė	30			•	< 5 TESTED
	KARNACK ISD	KARNACK H S				0	22		0	0.0	
	MARSHALL ISD		481	470	951	73	297	24.6	15	20.5	
		MARSHALL H S	481	470	951	73	292	25.0	15	20.5	
	WASKOM ISD	WASKOM H S	481	437	919	7	60	11.7			< 5 HIGH
HARTLEY	CHANNING ISD						7				< 5 TESTED
	HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY SCHOOL				0	11		0	0.0	
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	525	553	1078	6	36	16.7			< 5 HIGH
	PAINT CREEK IS						7				< 5 TESTED
	ROCHESTER ISD	ROCHESTER SCHOOL				0	12		0	0.0	
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	•			0	15		0	0.0	
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRIN	DRIPPING SPRINGS	556	568	1124	134	152	88.2	68	50.7	
	HAYS CONS ISD	JACK C HAYS H S	486 486	488 488	974 974	191 191	345 336	55.4 56.8	40 40	20.9 20.9	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number		above o	Percent	***Note***
HAYS	KATHERINE ANNE	KATHERINE ANNE P	488	503	992	6	33	18.2			< 5 HIGH
	SAN MARCOS CON	SAN MARCOS H S	479 478	479 480	958 959	150 149	340 307	44.1 48.5	30 30	20.0 20.1	
	WIMBERLEY ISD	WIMBERLEY H S	527	523	1050	89	113	78.8	33	37.1	
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD		•				46				< 5 TESTED
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD	ATHENS H S	500 500	513 513	1013 1013	46 46	168 157	27.4 29.3	12 12	26.1 26.1	
	BROWNSBORO ISD	BROWNSBORO H S	458 458	452 452	910 910	35 35	147 139	23.8 25.2			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	CROSS ROADS IS	CROSS ROADS H S	446	468	914	16	45	35.6			< 5 HIGH
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S	480	487	966	26	62	41.9			< 5 HIGH
	LAPOYNOR ISD						31				< 5 TESTED
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S	537	585	1122	6	51	11.8			< 5 HIGH
	TRINIDAD ISD					ė	18			•	< 5 TESTED
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	413	430	842	66	395	16.7	5	7.6	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (P				0	21		0	0.0	
	EDCOUCH-ELSA I	EDCOUCH-ELSA H S	407	416	823	112	252	44.4	9	8.0	
	EDINBURG CISD		454	461	915	214	878	24.4	28	13.1	
		ECONOMEDES H S	399	409	808	20	152	13.2			<20 MASKED
		EDINBURG H S	461	472	933	85	337	25.2		•	<20 MASKED
		EDINBURG NORTH H	460	462	921	109	389	28.0	•	•	<20 MASKED
	HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO H S	383	402	785	43	141	30.5	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LA JOYA ISD	LA JOYA H S	435 435	455 455	889 889	15 15	591 450	2.5 3.3			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	LA VILLA ISD	LA VILLA H S	402	395	797	6	30	20.0			< 5 HIGH
	MCALLEN ISD		468	478	946	527	1,093	48.2	94	17.8	
		LAMAR ACADEMY	428	414	842	18	104	17.3			<30 MASKED
		MCALLEN H S	453	464	917	171	314	54.5			<30 MASKED
		MCALLEN MEMORIAL	488	495	983	204	325	62.8	53	26.0	
		ROWE H S	463	480	943	134	328	40.9			<30 MASKED
	MERCEDES ISD		503	482	985	10	252	4.0			< 5 HIGH
		MERCEDES H S	503	482	985	10	176	5.7	•		< 5 HIGH
	MID-VALLEY ACA	MID-VALLEY ACADE				0	6		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	e		Gra	iduates		es at or	
County name	District name	Campus name				Examinees		` ,			***Note***
HIDALGO	MISSION CONS I	MISSION H S	449 449	445 445	894 894	205 205	591 581	34.7 35.3	27 27	13.2 13.2	
	ONE STOP MULTI	ONE STOP MULTISE	•		•	0	34	•	0	0.0	
	PHARR-SAN JUAN	PSJA H S	435 450	445 463	880 914	66 22	1,020 281	6.5 7.8	5	7.6	<10 MASKED
		PSJA MEMORIAL H	424	436	860	41	324	12.7			<10 MASKED
	PROGRESO ISD		436	470	906	8	95	8.4			< 5 HIGH
		PROGRESO H S	436	470	906	8	92	8.7			< 5 HIGH
	SENTRY TECHNOL	SENTRY TECHNOLOG				0	75		0	0.0	
	SHARYLAND ISD	SHARYLAND H S	475	506	981	130	270	48.1	31	23.8	
	TECHNOLOGY EDU	TECHNOLOGY EDUCA				0	20		0	0.0	
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW H S	417	401	819	15	95	15.8			< 5 HIGH
	WESLACO ISD		485	497	982	43	539	8.0	9	20.9	
		WESLACO H S	483	497	980	42	476	8.8	9	21.4	
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	523	610	1133	6	13	46.2	•		< 5 HIGH
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL		•		0	11		0	0.0	
	BLUM ISD		•				18	•			< 5 TESTED
	BYNUM ISD						8				< 5 TESTED
	COVINGTON ISD						16				< 5 TESTED
	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	461	490	951	25	76	32.9	7	28.0	
	HUBBARD ISD						38				< 5 TESTED
	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	536	542	1078	5	22	22.7			< 5 HIGH
	PENELOPE ISD	PENELOPE SCHOOL	436	442	878	5	8	62.5		•	< 5 HIGH
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	483	470	953	27	80	33.8			< 5 HIGH
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD			•			18				< 5 TESTED
	LEVELLAND ISD		530	511	1041	48	179	26.8	15	31.3	
		LEVELLAND H S	530	511	1041	48	179	26.8	15	31.3	
	ROPES ISD					0	49	-	0	0.0	
	SMYER ISD		494	504	999	7	27	25.9			< 5 HIGH
		SMYER H S	494	504	999	7	26	26.9	•		< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	`e		Gra	duates		ees at or	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal			Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
HOCKLEY	SUNDOWN ISD	SUNDOWN H S	535 535	550 550	1085 1085	8	41 37	19.5 21.6	5 5	62.5 62.5	
	WHITHARRAL ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD	GRANBURY H S	513 513	513 513	1025 1025	144 144	313 303	46.0 47.5	45 45	31.3 31.3	
	LIPAN ISD	LIPAN H S	494	480	974	7	18	38.9			< 5 HIGH
	TOLAR ISD						27				< 5 TESTED
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON C						32				< 5 TESTED
	CUMBY ISD	CUMBY H S				0	14		0	0.0	
	MILLER GROVE I					•	18				< 5 TESTED
	NORTH HOPKINS		·			ē	20	·			< 5 TESTED
	SALTILLO ISD	SALTILLO SCHOOL	·			0	15	·	0	0.0	
	SULPHUR BLUFF						16				< 5 TESTED
	SULPHUR SPRING	SULPHUR SPRINGS	497	506	1003	80	225	35.6	23	28.8	
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	448	456	904	52	102	51.0	7	13.5	
	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	453	460	913	23	47	48.9			< 5 HIGH
	KENNARD ISD						24				< 5 TESTED
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S	460	464	924	13	0	·			
	LOVELADY ISD	LOVELADY H S	436	431	867	20	40	50.0			< 5 HIGH
HOWARD	BIG SPRING ISD	BIG SPRING H S	534	545	1079	32	195	16.4	15	46.9	
	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	499	486	986	18	58	31.0			< 5 HIGH
	FORSAN ISD						38				< 5 TESTED
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD						11				< 5 TESTED
	FT HANCOCK ISD		·			ē	29	·			< 5 TESTED
	SIERRA BLANCA	SIERRA BLANCA SC				0	5		0	0.0	
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	460	418	878	5	22	22.7			< 5 HIGH
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH S	420	394	814	17	24	70.8			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name			re Total			iduates	above c		***Note***
		····						` '			
HUNT	CADDO MILLS IS	CADDO MILLS H S	494	475	969	28	50	56.0	7	25.0	
	CAMPBELL ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH SCH	572	556	1128	5	26	19.2			< 5 HIGH
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	534	527	1062	36	97	37.1	18	50.0	
	GREENVILLE ISD		501	497	998	128	259	49.4	39	30.5	
		GREENVILLE H S	500	497	998	127	215	59.1	39	30.7	
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	464	474	938	28	42	66.7	7	25.0	
	QUINLAN ISD	FORD H S	448	442	891	33	172	19.2			< 5 HIGH
	WOLFE CITY ISD						31				< 5 TESTED
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD		537	529	1065	23	199	11.6	11	47.8	
		BORGER H S	537	529	1065	23	193	11.9	11	47.8	
	PLEMONS-STINNE		607	660	1267	6	40	15.0			< 5 HIGH
		WEST TEXAS H S	607	660	1267	6	37	16.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	SANFORD ISD						62	•			< 5 TESTED
IRION	IRION CO ISD	TRION II C	491	509	999	18	32	56.3	5	27.8	
		IRION H S	491	509	999	18	32	56.3	5	27.8	
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	463	448	911	9	21	42.9		•	< 5 HIGH
	JACKSBORO ISD	LAOKODODO II O	480	510	990	26	50	52.0	7	26.9	
		JACKSBORO H S	480	510	990	26	47	55.3	7	26.9	
	PERRIN-WHITT C	PERRIN H S	539	522	1061	10	21	47.6	•		< 5 HIGH
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		495	526	1021	25	93	26.9	5	20.0	
		EDNA H S	495	526	1021	25	89	28.1	5	20.0	
	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	411	458	869	28	49	57.1			< 5 HIGH
	INDUSTRIAL ISD	INDUSTRIAL H S	465	492	957	44	78	56.4	8	18.2	
JASPER	BROOKELAND ISD						12				< 5 TESTED
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	472	452	924	57	100	57.0	6	10.5	
	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S	478	451	928	13	23	56.5			< 5 HIGH
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	478	467	945	81	157	51.6	16	19.8	
	KIRBYVILLE CIS	KIRBYVILLE H S	458	452	909	12	97	12.4			< 5 HIGH
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD						15				< 5 TESTED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	e		Gra	duates		ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
JEFF DAVIS	VALENTINE ISD						4				< 5 TESTED
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		456	448	904	503	886	56.8	85	16.9	
		CENTRAL SENIOR H	395	391	786	86	206	41.7	6	7.0	
		OZEN H S	436	424	860	186	294	63.3	18	9.7	
		WEST BROOK SR H	495	487	982	231	346	66.8	61	26.4	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (B				0	22	-	0	0.0	
	HAMSHIRE-FANNE	HAMSHIRE-FANNETT	491	473	964	76	113	67.3	17	22.4	
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	480	492	972	267	342	78.1	52	19.5	
	PORT ARTHUR IS		418	422	840	199	467	42.6	18	9.0	
		AUSTIN H S	397	397	794	23	54	42.6			<20 MASKED
		JEFFERSON H S	438	437	876	86	233	36.9	•	•	<20 MASKED
		LINCOLN H S	404	413	817	90	180	50.0	•	•	<20 MASKED
	PORT NECHES-GR	PORT NECHES-GROV	481	489	971	212	307	69.1	39	18.4	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN			•	0	2		0	0.0	
	SABINE PASS IS	SABINE PASS SCHO	433	414	847	7	6	100			< 5 HIGH
	TEXAS ACADEMY	TEXAS ACADEMY OF	596	588	1184	17	0		12	70.6	
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUNT	HEBBRONVILLE H S	449	482	931	10	79	12.7			< 5 HIGH
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	500	502	1002	43	296	14.5	10	23.3	
	BEN BOLT-PALIT	BEN BOLT-PAL BLA	472	480	952	6	31	19.4			< 5 HIGH
	ORANGE GROVE I						93				< 5 TESTED
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	412	391	803	18	60	30.0			< 5 HIGH
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	453	452	905	106	158	67.1	12	11.3	
	BURLESON ISD		510	495	1005	211	383	55.1	57	27.0	
		BURLESON H S	510	495	1005	211	359	58.8	57	27.0	
	CLEBURNE ISD		495	513	1008	148	275	53.8	35	23.6	
	0223011112 103	CLEBURNE H S	495	513	1008	148	219	67.6	35	23.6	
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	456	470	926	25	60	41.7			< 5 HIGH
	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	499	511	1010	26	50	52.0	6	23.1	
	JOSHUA ISD		486	482	968	99	218	45.4	18	18.2	
		JOSHUA H S	487	482	968	98	199	49.2		18.4	
	KEENE ISD		413	402	815	12	23	52.2			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Verbal Math. Total Examinees		Graduates ees Number Tested(%)						
County name	District name	Campus name				Examinees		` ,		Percent	***Note****
JOHNSON	KEENE ISD	KEENE H S	413	402	815	12	21	57.1			< 5 HIGH
	RIO VISTA ISD	RIO VISTA H S	480	477	957	38	50	76.0	10	26.3	
	VENUS ISD		490	542	1032	11	58	19.0			< 5 HIGH
		VENUS H S	490	542	1032	11	54	20.4			< 5 HIGH
JONES	ANSON ISD	ANSON H S	500	500	1000	15	50	30.0	5	33.3	
	HAMLIN ISD						27	•			< 5 TESTED
	HAWLEY ISD						47				< 5 TESTED
	LUEDERS-AVOCA	LUEDERS-AVOCA H	452	512	963	6	7	85.7			< 5 HIGH
	STAMFORD ISD		552	586	1138	11	50	22.0	6	54.5	
		STAMFORD JR-SR H	552	586	1138	11	36	30.6	6	54.5	
KARNES	FALLS CITY ISD	FALLS CITY H S	486	461	947	15	30	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	KARNES CITY IS	KARNES CITY H S	496	507	1003	16	44	36.4	6	37.5	
	KENEDY ISD		474	483	957	21	68	30.9	7	33.3	
		KENEDY HIGH SCH	474	483	957	21	59	35.6	7	33.3	
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S				0	14		0	0.0	
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD		465	479	943	54	104	51.9	7	13.0	
		CRANDALL H S	465	479	943	54	90	60.0	7	13.0	
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	498	493	991	58	125	46.4	13	22.4	
	KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN H S	482	487	969	68	1	100	14	20.6	
	KEMP ISD	KEMP HIGH SCHOOL	515	491	1006	17	57	29.8			< 5 HIGH
	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S	464	467	931	63	146	43.2	7	11.1	
	SCURRY-ROSSER	SCURRY-ROSSER H	450	432	882	26	47	55.3	•		< 5 HIGH
	TERRELL ISD		494	476	970	64	194	33.0	16	25.0	
		TERRELL H S	494	476	970	64	194	33.0	16	25.0	
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	522	511	1032	235	265	88.7	78	33.2	
	COMFORT ISD	COMEODT LL S	489 480	471 471	959 050	34	53 51	64.2 66.7	6 6	17.6	
		COMFORT H S	489	471	959	34	51	00.7	0	17.6	
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD	JAYTON SCHOOLS	•			0	11	•	0	0.0	
KERR	CENTER POINT I	CENTER POINT H S	512	491	1002	13	27	48.1	5	38.5	
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOORE	483	471	954	33	75	44.0	8	24.2	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				ean scoi				aduates	above o	ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name			Total			Tested(%)			***Note****
KERR	KERRVILLE ISD	TIVY H S	507 508	513 513	1021 1021	161 160	253 239	63.6 66.9	52 52	32.3 32.5	
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	437	431	869	34	47	72.3			< 5 HIGH
KING	GUTHRIE CSD			•			4				< 5 TESTED
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD	DDAGKETT II O	440	448	888	6	35	17.1			< 5 HIGH
		BRACKETT H S	440	448	888	6	35	17.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE ISD	H M KING HIGH SC	457 458	453 453	910 911	103 102	334 283	30.8 36.0	9 9	8.7 8.8	
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	428	465	893	25	43	58.1			< 5 HIGH
	SANTA GERTRUDI	ACADEMY H S	449	434	883	11	26	42.3			< 5 HIGH
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOOL				0	5		0	0.0	
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL				0	1		0	0.0	
	KNOX CITY-0'BR	KNOX CITY H S	394	457	851	7	25	28.0		•	< 5 HIGH
	MUNDAY ISD						20				< 5 TESTED
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD			•			64				< 5 TESTED
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD						39				< 5 TESTED
	NORTH LAMAR IS	NORTH LAMAR H S	513	510	1023	76	181	42.0	17	22.4	
	PARIS ISD		500	498	997	60	150	40.0	15	25.0	
		PARIS H S	500	498	997	60	141	42.6	15	25.0	
	PRAIRILAND ISD	PRAIRILAND H S	452	493	946	9	48	18.8		•	< 5 HIGH
	ROXTON ISD			•			7				< 5 TESTED
LAMB	AMHERST ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
	LITTLEFIELD IS	LITTLEFIELD H S	457	460	917	9	79	11.4			< 5 HIGH
	OLTON ISD		515	547	1062	6	48	12.5			< 5 HIGH
		OLTON H S	515	547	1062	6	47	12.8		•	< 5 HIGH
	SPADE ISD	SPADE SCHOOL	•			0	13		0	0.0	
	SPRINGLAKE-EAR					•	27				< 5 TESTED
	SUDAN ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
LAMPASAS	CEDAR RIDGE CH	CEDAR RIDGE CHAR			•		0				

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

					ees at or criterion						
County name	District name	Campus name						` ,	Number	Percent	
LAMPASAS	LAMPASAS ISD		471	479	951	89	200	44.5	19	21.3	
		LAMPASAS H S	471	479	951	89	189	47.1	19	21.3	
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	490	496	986	5	12	41.7			< 5 HIGH
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE	HALLETTSVILLE H	448	463	912	26	78	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S	490	505	995	18	26	69.2			< 5 HIGH
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	448	497	945	21	34	61.8			< 5 HIGH
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOOL	520	602	1122	5	7	71.4			< 5 HIGH
	GIDDINGS ISD		478	484	962	61	121	50.4	13	21.3	
		GIDDINGS H S	481	487	968	60	114	52.6	13	21.7	
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	474	455	929	37	63	58.7			< 5 HIGH
LEON	BUFFALO ISD	BUFFALO H S	467	428	895	16	35	45.7			< 5 HIGH
LEON	CENTERVILLE IS	CENTERVILLE JR-S	422	430	852	34	45	75.6			< 5 HIGH
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	458	456	914	33	59	55.9			< 5 HIGH
	NORMANGEE ISD	NORMANGEE H S	439	454	893	18	28	64.3			< 5 HIGH
	OAKWOOD ISD	OAKWOOD H S	522	490	1012	5	13	38.5			< 5 HIGH
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	483	458	940	42	107	39.3	11	26.2	
	DAYTON ISD		446	458	904	172	209	82.3	29	16.9	
		DAYTON H S	446	458	904	172	208	82.7	29	16.9	
	HARDIN ISD		485	448	933	31	67	46.3	6	19.4	
		HARDIN H S	485	448	933	31	65	47.7	6	19.4	
	HULL-DAISETTA	HULL-DAISETTA H	440	431	872	23	45	51.1			< 5 HIGH
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	486	499	985	84	133	63.2	25	29.8	
	TARKINGTON ISD	TARKINGTON H S	459	471	929	38	77	49.4			< 5 HIGH
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD						9				< 5 TESTED
	GROESBECK ISD		486	500	985	28	84	33.3	10	35.7	
		GROESBECK H S	486	500	985	28	77	36.4	10	35.7	
	MEXIA ISD		464	480	944	22	98	22.4	6	27.3	
		MEXIA H S	464	480	944	22	97	22.7	6	27.3	
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD						28				< 5 TESTED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Me Verbal					nduates Tested(%)	above o		***Note***
LIPSCOMB	FOLLETT ISD	FOLLETT SCHOOL				0	20		0	0.0	
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL				0	12		0	0.0	
LIVE OAK	GEORGE WEST IS	GEORGE WEST H S	512	514	1026	19	83	22.9	6	31.6	
	THREE RIVERS I	THREE RIVERS H S	465	501	966	10	48	20.8			< 5 HIGH
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	485	484	969	54	78	69.2	10	18.5	
LUBBOCK	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (L				0	9		0	0.0	
	FRENSHIP ISD		495	499	994	121	293	41.3	25	20.7	
		FRENSHIP H S	495	499	994	121	258	46.9	25	20.7	
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	538	473	1012	6	52	11.5			< 5 HIGH
	LUBBOCK ISD		509	506	1015	761	1,573	48.4	249	32.7	
		CORONADO H S	505	493	997	283	467	60.6	84	29.7	
		ESTACADO H S	381	408	789	63	180	35.0		•	<50 MASKED
		LUBBOCK H S	558	557	1115	232	460	50.4	120	51.7	-50 11101/55
		MONTEREY H S	495	497	992	183	393	46.6		•	<50 MASKED
	LUBBOCK-COOPER	COOPER H S	504	514	1018	30	123	24.4	8	26.7	
	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S	453	432	885	10	37	27.0			< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN				0	36		0	0.0	
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	492	504	996	16	45	35.6	5	31.3	
	SHALLOWATER IS	SHALLOWATER H S	480	483	963	27	95	28.4	6	22.2	
	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	492	485	978	13	69	18.8			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTH PLAINS A	SOUTH PLAINS ACA				0	49		0	0.0	
	TEXAS TECH UNI	TEXAS TECH H S	520	491	1010	37	0		12	32.4	
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOOL			•	0	10	•	0	0.0	
	O'DONNELL ISD						25				< 5 TESTED
	TAHOKA ISD	TAHOKA H S	510	494	1004	7	44	15.9			< 5 HIGH
	WILSON ISD	WILSON SCHOOL	420	427	847	6	8	75.0			< 5 HIGH
MADISON	MADISONVILLE C	MADISONVILLE HIG	440	453	894	52	86	60.5			< 5 HIGH
	NORTH ZULCH IS	NORTH ZULCH H S	461	484	945	15	24	62.5			< 5 HIGH
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	496	493	989	9	77	11.7			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		ean scor Math.		Examinees		duates Tested(%)	above c	es at or riterion Percent	***Note***
MARTIN	GRADY ISD						19				< 5 TESTED
	STANTON ISD	STANTON H S	476	481	958	21	36	58.3	5	23.8	
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	471	506	976	19	44	43.2			< 5 HIGH
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	486	494	980	131	240	54.6	29	22.1	
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	475	480	955	47	99	47.5	6	12.8	
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	446	446	893	17	41	41.5			< 5 HIGH
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	468	472	940	29	57	50.9	5	17.2	
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS ISD		423	444	867	287	520	55.2	23	8.0	
		EAGLE PASS H S	423	444	867	287	0		23	8.0	
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	533	530	1063	8	77	10.4			< 5 HIGH
	LOHN ISD	LOHN SCHOOL				0	5		0	0.0	
	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOOL				0	8		0	0.0	
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD		511	526	1037	7	41	17.1			< 5 HIGH
		AXTELL H S	511	526	1037	7	33	21.2			< 5 HIGH
	BOSQUEVILLE IS	BOSQUEVILLE SCHO	512	528	1040	13	30	43.3			< 5 HIGH
	BRUCEVILLE-EDD		567	524	1091	15	46	32.6	7	46.7	
		BRUCEVILLE-EDDY	567	524	1091	15	41	36.6	7	46.7	
	CHINA SPRING I		502	528	1030	51	101	50.5	15	29.4	
		CHINA SPRING H S	502	528	1030	51	93	54.8	15	29.4	
	CONNALLY ISD		490	506	996	54	127	42.5	15	27.8	
		CONNALLY H S	490	506	996	54	117	46.2	15	27.8	
	CRAWFORD ISD	CRAWFORD H S	494	498	992	26	42	61.9	6	23.1	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (W				0	2		0	0.0	
	LA VEGA ISD		430	414	844	16	80	20.0			< 5 HIGH
		LA VEGA H S	430	414	844	16	78	20.5			< 5 HIGH
	LORENA ISD		521	524	1046	71	93	76.3	23	32.4	
		LORENA H S	521	524	1046	71	83	85.5	23	32.4	
	MART ISD		553	493	1045	12	39	30.8	5	41.7	
		MART H S	553	493	1045	12	35	34.3	5	41.7	
	MCGREGOR ISD		506	522	1028	19	64	29.7	7	36.8	
		MCGREGOR H S	506	522	1028	19	63	30.2	7	36.8	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	an scor	·e		Gra	duates		es at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
MCLENNAN	MIDWAY ISD		523	532	1055	215	385	55.8	72	33.5	
		MIDWAY H S	523	532	1055	215	382	56.3	72	33.5	
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	454	466	920	7	38	18.4			< 5 HIGH
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	534	559	1093	15	34	44.1	8	53.3	
	ROBINSON ISD	ROBINSON H S	479	484	964	76	135	56.3	14	18.4	
	WACO ISD		473	468	941	134	514	26.1	28	20.9	
		A J MOORE ACAD	451	445	896	17	130	13.1			<30 MASKED
		UNIVERSITY H S	432	455	886	19	150	12.7			<30 MASKED
		WACO H S	485	474	959	97	217	44.7			<30 MASKED
	WEST ISD		475	473	948	53	102	52.0	10	18.9	
	WEST 10D	WEST H S	475	473	948	53	81	65.4	10	18.9	
					0.0		٠.				
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUNT	MCMULLEN COUNTY		•	•	0	7	•	0	0.0	
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	468	483	950	8	8	100			< 5 HIGH
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SCHO	477	466	943	47	92	51.1	10	21.3	
	HONDO ISD		518	506	1024	8	125	6.4			< 5 HIGH
		HONDO H S	518	506	1024	8	125	6.4			< 5 HIGH
	MEDINA VALLEY		471	473	944	97	181	53.6	16	16.5	
	MEDINA VALLEY	MEDINA VALLEY H	471	473	944	97 97	177	54.8	16	16.5	
					• • • •			00			
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	432	409	842	18	36	50.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	516	520	1036	9	39	23.1		•	< 5 HIGH
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (M				0	11		0	0.0	
	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	436	465	901	93	94	98.9	14	15.1	
	MIDLAND ISD		517	520	1037	599	1,211	49.5	210	35.1	
		LEE H S	518	525	1043	307	639	48.0	106	34.5	
		MIDLAND H S	516	515	1031	290	506	57.3	103	35.5	
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD						7				< 5 TESTED
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S	444	472	916	48	110	43.6	8	16.7	
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S	452	493	945	6	43	14.0			< 5 HIGH
	ROCKDALE ISD		446	467	912	67	97	69.1	10	14.9	
		ROCKDALE H S	446	467	912	67	97	69.1	10	14.9	
	THORNDALE ISD	THORNDALE H S	485	468	953	31	34	91.2	7	22.6	
MILLS	GOLDTHWAITE IS	GOLDTHWAITE HIGH	493	499	993	15	31	48.4			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		an scor Math.		Examinees		duates Tested(%)	above o	es at or riterion Percent	***Note***
MILLS	MULLIN ISD						10				< 5 TESTED
	PRIDDY ISD						6				< 5 TESTED
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL				0	7		0	0.0	
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD					•	74			•	< 5 TESTED
	LORAINE ISD					•	6			•	< 5 TESTED
	WESTBROOK ISD	WESTBROOK SCHOOL				0	10		0	0.0	
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	470	475	945	44	72	61.1	6	13.6	
	FORESTBURG ISD	FORESTBURG SCHOO	497	470	967	6	7	85.7			< 5 HIGH
	GOLD BURG ISD						9				< 5 TESTED
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	523	498	1022	12	46	26.1			< 5 HIGH
	PRAIRIE VALLEY						12				< 5 TESTED
	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S	463	510	973	7	29	24.1			< 5 HIGH
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD		526	538	1064	1,245	1,745	71.3	522	41.9	
MOITIGOMETT	00111102 102	CANEY CREEK H S	464	467	931	96	204	47.1	14	14.6	
		CONROE H S	484	497	981	203	346	58.7	48	23.6	
		OAK RIDGE H S	519	532	1051	202	280	72.1	79	39.1	
		THE WOODLANDS H	547	560	1107	744	879	84.6	381	51.2	
	MAGNOLIA ISD	MAGNOLIA H S	513	501	1015	147	287	51.2	43	29.3	
	MONTGOMERY ISD	MONTGOMERY H S	513	506	1018	128	191	67.0	41	32.0	
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	481	466	947	105	232	45.3	17	16.2	
	SPLENDORA ISD	SPLENDORA H S	478	472	951	37	124	29.8			< 5 HIGH
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	479	475	953	105	186	56.5	19	18.1	
MOORE	DUMAS ISD		513	484	996	8	226	3.5			< 5 HIGH
		DUMAS H S	513	484	996	8	217	3.7			< 5 HIGH
	SUNRAY ISD					0	53		0	0.0	
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-L	DAINGERFIELD H S	446	466	912	39	86	45.3	5	12.8	
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S	558	555	1113	6	57	10.5			< 5 HIGH
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY	MOTLEY COUNTY SC				0	15	•	0	0.0	
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGHT	CENTRAL HEIGHTS	469	507	976	14	28	50.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	`e		Gra	duates		es at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
NACOGDOCHES	CHIRENO ISD						29				< 5 TESTED
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	563	550	1113	9	22	40.9			< 5 HIGH
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOOL	499	541	1040	12	19	63.2			< 5 HIGH
	GARRISON ISD						40				< 5 TESTED
	MARTINSVILLE I						11				< 5 TESTED
	NACOGDOCHES IS	NACOGDOCHES H S	495 495	517 517	1012 1012	165 165	333 331	49.5 49.8	47 47	28.5 28.5	
	WODEN ISD						29				< 5 TESTED
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROVE	BLOOMING GROVE H	555	517	1072	10	45	22.2			< 5 HIGH
	CORSICANA ISD	CORSICANA H IGH	481 481	482 482	963 963	123 123	248 239	49.6 51.5	26 26	21.1 21.1	
	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S	437	443	880	20	24	83.3			< 5 HIGH
	FROST ISD					·	29			•	< 5 TESTED
	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	496	552	1048	17	38	44.7	8	47.1	
	MILDRED ISD						22	•			< 5 TESTED
	RICE ISD						27				< 5 TESTED
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE ISD						17				< 5 TESTED
	DEWEYVILLE ISD	DEWEYVILLE H S	455	469	924	22	38	57.9			< 5 HIGH
	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	431	425	856	23	81	28.4			< 5 HIGH
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CONS						12				< 5 TESTED
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOOL	518	549	1067	10	21	47.6			< 5 HIGH
	ROSCOE ISD	ROSCOE H S	436 436	446 446	883 883	8 8	31 30	25.8 26.7			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	SWEETWATER ISD	SWEETWATER H S	520 520	512 512	1032 1032	20 20	122 119	16.4 16.8	9 9	45.0 45.0	
NUECES	AGUA DULCE ISD	AGUA DULCE H S	489	465	954	10	31	32.3			< 5 HIGH
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S	474	476	950	12	51	23.5			< 5 HIGH
	BISHOP CONS IS	BISHOP H S	439	457	897	47	69	68.1	5	10.6	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name District name Campus name Verbal Math. Total Examinees Number Tested(%) Number Percer	
NUECES CALALLEN ISD CALALLEN H S 501 506 1008 192 339 56.6 59 30.	
COASTAL BEND Y COASTAL BEND YOU 0 2 . 0 0.	ı
CORPUS CHRISTI 487 480 967 944 1,817 52.0 214 22.	i
CARROLL H S 506 505 1012 295 462 63.9 90 30.	
KING H S 509 499 1008 217 339 64.0 60 27.	i
MILLER HIGH SCHO 404 405 809 102 242 42.1	<10 MASKED
MOODY H S 426 424 849 130 283 45.9	HO MACKED
RAY H S 515 496 1012 200 400 50.0 55 27.	
DR M L GARZA-G DR M L GARZA-GON 0 8 . 0 0.	ı
FLOUR BLUFF IS 517 525 1042 177 301 58.8 61 34.	i
FLOUR BLUFF H S 517 525 1042 177 271 65.3 61 34.	i
PORT ARANSAS I PORT ARANSAS H S 506 504 1010 24 35 68.6 6 25.	ı
RICHARD MILBURN 0 25 . 0 0.	ı
ROBSTOWN ISD 441 455 895 26 179 14.5	< 5 HIGH
ROBSTOWN H S 440 456 896 25 169 14.8	
TULOSO-MIDWAY 502 498 1000 40 196 20.4 9 22.	
TULOSO-MIDWAY H 502 498 1000 40 184 21.7 9 22.	
WEST 0S0 ISD WEST 0S0 H S 398 409 807 37 76 48.7	< 5 HIGH
OCHILTREE PERRYTON ISD 528 546 1073 9 110 8.2	< 5 HIGH
PERRYTON H S 528 546 1073 9 110 6.2	< 5 HIGH
	0
OLDHAM ADRIAN ISD ADRIAN SCHOOL 0 4 . 0 0.	1
BOYS RANCH ISD	< 5 TESTED
VEGA ISD	ı
ORANGE BRIDGE CITY IS BRIDGE CITY H S 488 490 978 111 152 73.0 24 21.	i
LITTLE CYPRESS LIT CYPR-MRCEVIL 488 483 971 155 243 63.8 33 21.	
ORANGEFIELD IS ORANGEFIELD H S 460 484 944 61 84 72.6 9 14.	
VIDOR ISD 469 457 926 145 271 53.5 19 13.	
VIDOR H S 471 459 930 142 252 56.3 19 13.	
WEST ORANGE-CO 448 436 884 128 200 64.0 18 14.	
WEST ORANGE-STAR 448 436 884 128 196 65.3 18 14.	
PALO PINTO GORDON ISD GORDON SCHOOL 498 504 1002 5 11 45.5	< 5 HIGH
GRAFORD ISD GRAFORD H S 448 421 869 16 23 69.6	< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		ean scor		Examinees		aduates	above o	ees at or criterion	***Note***
PALO PINTO	MINERAL WELLS		483	490	973	78	179	43.6	15	19.2	
		MINERAL WELL H S	483	490	973	78	176	44.3	15	19.2	
	SANTO ISD						24				< 5 TESTED
	STRAWN ISD					•	18				< 5 TESTED
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD					•	22			•	< 5 TESTED
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	522	539	1062	30	168	17.9	12	40.0	
	GARY ISD					•	9			•	< 5 TESTED
PARKER	ALEDO ISD		532	513	1045	109	167	65.3	42	38.5	
		ALEDO H S	532	513	1045	109	152	71.7	42	38.5	
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	450	537	987	18	45	40.0			< 5 HIGH
	MILLSAP ISD	MILLSAP H S	490	483	973	20	48	41.7			< 5 HIGH
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	508	511	1019	18	43	41.9	6	33.3	
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S	466	487	953	13	22	59.1		-	< 5 HIGH
	SPRINGTOWN ISD		484	482	966	74	177	41.8	17	23.0	
		SPRINGTOWN H S	482	484	966	71	141	50.4	17	23.9	
	WEATHERFORD IS	WEATHEREODD II C	526	525	1051	148	371	39.9	50 50	33.8	
		WEATHERFORD H S	526	525	1051	148	348	42.5	50	33.8	
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	•	•	•	0	32		0	0.0	
	FARWELL ISD						25				< 5 TESTED
	FRIONA ISD		•	•	•		71	-		•	< 5 TESTED
	LAZBUDDIE ISD						12				< 5 TESTED
PECOS	BUENA VISTA IS	BUENA VISTA SCHO				0	6		0	0.0	
	FT STOCKTON IS		462	469	931	66	164	40.2		16.7	
		FORT STOCKTON H	462	469	931	66	155	42.6	11	16.7	
	IRAAN-SHEFFIEL	IRAAN H S	529 529	527 527	1056 1056	7 7	37 36	18.9 19.4			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		THAN II O	323	321	1030	,	30	13.4	•	•	1 3 man
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY SCHOOL	435	421	856	14	28	50.0	•		< 5 HIGH
	CORRIGAN-CAMDE	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN	429	423	852	24	59	40.7			< 5 HIGH
	GOODRICH ISD	GOODRICH H S	483	452	935	6	13	46.2			< 5 HIGH
	LEGGETT ISD					•	11			•	< 5 TESTED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Ма				Cna	aduates		es at or	
County name	District name	Campus name	Me Verbal			Examinees				riterion Percent	***Note***
POLK	LIVINGSTON ISD	LIVINGSTON H S	479	475	955	110	192	57.3	19	17.3	
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD		527	519	1047	515	1,345	38.3	179	34.8	
		AMARILLO H S	539	528	1067	280	448	62.5	112	40.0	
		CAPROCK H S	500	506	1006	42	224	18.8	10	23.8	
		PALO DURO H S TASCOSA H S	446 530	454 520	900 1050	31 162	238 354	13.0 45.8	5 52	16.1 32.1	
		1A3003A 11 3	330	320	1030	102	334	45.6	32	32.1	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK H	477	460	937	7	38	18.4			< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN				0	4	·	0	0.0	
	RIVER ROAD ISD	RIVER ROAD HIGH	452	473	925	10	83	12.0			< 5 HIGH
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	458	432	889	12	30	40.0			< 5 HIGH
	PRESIDIO ISD						75				< 5 TESTED
RAINS	RAINS ISD		530	504	1034	8	78	10.3			< 5 HIGH
		RAINS H S	530	504	1034	8	75	10.7			< 5 HIGH
RANDALL	CANYON ISD	CANIVON II C	517	542	1059	160	431	37.1	62	38.8	
		CANYON H S RANDALL H S	518 516	538 544	1056 1060	59 101	168 263	35.1 38.4	23 39	39.0 38.6	
		HANDALL II O	310	344	1000	101	200	30.4	09	50.0	
REAGAN	REAGAN COUNTY	REAGAN COUNTY H	495	489	984	25	55	45.5	7	28.0	
REAL	LEAKEY ISD	LEAKEY SCHOOL	434	426	860	5	12	41.7			< 5 HIGH
RED RIVER	AVERY ISD						21				< 5 TESTED
	CLARKSVILLE IS	CLARKSVILLE H S	412	430	842	5	71	7.0			< 5 HIGH
	DETROIT ISD					·	26				< 5 TESTED
	RIVERCREST ISD	RIVERCREST H S	602	582	1184	5	40	12.5			< 5 HIGH
REEVES	BALMORHEA ISD	BALMORHEA SCHOOL				0	18		0	0.0	
	PECOS-BARSTOW-	PECOS H S	427	405	833	87	147	59.2	7	8.0	
REFUGIO	AUSTWELL-TIVOL					•	6				< 5 TESTED
	REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO HIGH SCH	485	481	966	13	39	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	WOODSBORO ISD	WOODSBORO H S	498	513	1010	8	31	25.8			< 5 HIGH
ROBERTS	MIAMI ISD	MIAMI SCHOOL				0	11		0	0.0	
ROBERTSON	BREMOND ISD	BREMOND H S	408	444	852	32	28	100			< 5 HIGH
	CALVERT ISD						15				< 5 TESTED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	·e		Gra	duates		es at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name									***Note***
ROBERTSON	FRANKLIN ISD	FRANKLIN H S	521	507	1028	22	42	52.4	6	27.3	
	HEARNE ISD	HEARNE H S	373	395	768	30	50	60.0			< 5 HIGH
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD	ROCKWALL H S	518 518	542 542	1061 1061	274 274	445 442	61.6 62.0	104 104	38.0 38.0	
	ROYSE CITY ISD	ROYSE CITY H S	486 486	510 510	996 996	31 31	70 60	44.3 51.7	7 7	22.6 22.6	
RUNNELS	BALLINGER ISD	BALLINGER H S	466 466	549 549	1015 1015	12 12	78 71	15.4 16.9	5 5	41.7 41.7	
	MILES ISD						39				< 5 TESTED
	WINTERS ISD						50				< 5 TESTED
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD						26				< 5 TESTED
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	526	565	1091	23	163	14.1	13	56.5	
	LANEVILLE ISD	LANEVILLE SCHOOL				0	6		0	0.0	
	LEVERETTS CHAP					•	5	ē		·	< 5 TESTED
	MOUNT ENTERPRI	MT ENTERPRISE H				0	20		0	0.0	
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S	473	479	952	9	32	28.1			< 5 HIGH
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S	537	543	1080	12	106	11.3	5	41.7	
	WEST RUSK ISD						52				< 5 TESTED
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	447	489	936	21	55	38.2			< 5 HIGH
	WEST SABINE IS	WEST SABINE H S	392	411	803	36	34	100		•	< 5 HIGH
SAN AUGUSTINE	BROADDUS ISD						26				< 5 TESTED
	SAN AUGUSTINE						62				< 5 TESTED
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OAK	COLDSPRING-OAKHU	468	451	919	38	91	41.8	6	15.8	
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	461	460	921	39	78	50.0	6	15.4	
SAN PATRICIO	ARANSAS PASS I	ARANSAS PASS HIG	430	454	885	31	100	31.0	5	16.1	
	GREGORY-PORTLA	GREGORY-PORTLAND	491	525	1015	160	223	71.7	45	28.1	
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	462	485	947	31	71	43.7			< 5 HIGH
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	454	479	933	15	109	13.8			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score					Examinees at or Graduates above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal			Examinees		Tested(%)			***Note***
SAN PATRICIO	ODEM-EDROY ISD		507	488	995	16	67	23.9			< 5 HIGH
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	476	463	939	58	128	45.3	7	12.1	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	461	475	936	13	83	15.7			< 5 HIGH
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S				0	7		0	0.0	
	RICHLAND SPRIN						12				< 5 TESTED
	SAN SABA ISD						49				< 5 TESTED
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER ISD			·			49				< 5 TESTED
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD	HERMLEIGH SCHOOL				0	11		0	0.0	
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL				0	13		0	0.0	
	SNYDER ISD		540	531	1071	20	170	11.8	8	40.0	
		SNYDER H S	540	531	1071	20	165	12.1	8	40.0	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H S	491	501	992	31	42	73.8	7	22.6	
	MORAN ISD			-			5				< 5 TESTED
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	495	510	1005	34	116	29.3	9	26.5	
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S				0	37	-	0	0.0	
	SHELBYVILLE IS						34				< 5 TESTED
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S		•	•	0	26		0	0.0	
	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S				0	30		0	0.0	
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD						33				< 5 TESTED
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	473	460	933	16	0				
	BULLARD ISD		480	477	956	30	70	42.9	6		
		BULLARD H S	480	477	956	30	66	45.5	6	20.0	
	CHAPEL HILL IS	CHAPEL HILL H S	491 491	472 472	963 963	84 84	183 176	45.9 47.7	19 19	22.6 22.6	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (T				0	10		0	0.0	
	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	517	499	1017	63	154	40.9	16	25.4	
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S	508	493	1002	19	48	39.6			< 5 HIGH
	TYLER ISD		498	504	1001	398	851	46.8	123	30.9	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		ean scor				aduates	above o	ees at or criterion	***Note***
		····									
SMITH	TYLER ISD	JOHN TYLER H S	465	460	925	109	319	34.2	25	22.9	
02		LEE H S	510	520	1030	289	497	58.1	98	33.9	
	WHITEHOUSE ISD	WHITEHOUSE H S	499	515	1013	127	241	52.7	41	32.3	
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	539	531	1070	7	59	11.9			< 5 HIGH
SOMERVELL	BRAZOS RIVER C	BRAZOS RIVER CHA			•	0	14		0	0.0	
	GLEN ROSE ISD		500	508	1008	67	107	62.6	18	26.9	
		GLEN ROSE H S	500	508	1008	67	104	64.4	18	26.9	
STARR	RIO GRANDE CIT	RIO GRANDE CITY	433	427	860	84	319	26.3	9	10.7	
	ROMA ISD					0	241		0	0.0	
	SAN ISIDRO ISD						18				< 5 TESTED
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE I		474	476	950	57	98	58.2	11	19.3	
		BRECKENRIDGE H S	474	476	950	57	95	60.0	11	19.3	
STERLING	STERLING CITY						17				< 5 TESTED
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT H S				0	24		0	0.0	
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	568	586	1154	5	61	8.2			< 5 HIGH
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD						13				< 5 TESTED
	KRESS ISD					į	17				< 5 TESTED
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	525	551	1076	8	68	11.8			< 5 HIGH
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD		514	522	1036	1,628	2,652	61.4	593	36.4	
		ARLINGTON H S	528	532	1061	327	503	65.0	129	39.4	
		BOWIE H S LAMAR H S	497 518	501 527	997 1045	280 320	502 432	55.8 74.1	74 128	26.4 40.0	
		MARTIN H S	529	541	1071	550	772	71.2	236	42.9	
		SAM HOUSTON H S	451	460	912	149	372	40.1	26	17.4	
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	513	497	1010	133	267	49.8	35	26.3	
	BIRDVILLE ISD		499	506	1005	498	1,060	47.0	146	29.3	
		HALTOM H S	509	516	1026	179	448	40.0	61	34.1	
		RICHLAND H S	494	499	993	319	495	64.4	85	26.6	
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL H S	536	560	1096	399	406	98.3	186	46.6	
	CASTLEBERRY IS		462	469	931	78	152	51.3	11	14.1	
		CASTLEBERRY H S	462	469	931	78	137	56.9	11	14.1	
	CROWLEY ISD	CROWLEY H S	497 497		995 990	357 191	485 259	73.6 73.7	105 55	29.4 28.8	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	`e		Gra	iduates		es at or	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees					***Note***
TARRANT	CROWLEY ISD	NORTH CROWLEY H	497	504	1002	166	226	73.5	50	30.1	
17HHZIN1	ONOWELT TOD	NOMITI ONOWELL II	431	304	1002	100	220	70.5	30	50.1	
	EAGLE MT-SAGIN		519	511	1030	159	362	43.9	53	33.3	
		BOSWELL H S	519	511	1030	159	329	48.3	53	33.3	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (F				0	10		0	0.0	
	ERATH EXCELS A	ERATH EXCELS ACA				0	34	ē	0	0.0	
				_	-						
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	436	436	872	82	114	71.9	8	9.8	
	FORT WORTH ACA	FORT WORTH ACADE				0	4	-	0	0.0	
	FORT WORTH CAN	FORT WORTH CAN A				0	49		0	0.0	
	FORT WORTH ISD		469	471	940	1,405	2,891	48.6	321	22.8	
		ARLINGTON HEIGHT	512	493	1005	174	239	72.8	56	32.2	
		CARTER-RIVERSIDE	430	467	897	29	109	26.6			< 5 HIGH
		DIAMOND HILL-JAR	397	419	815	45	117	38.5			< 5 HIGH
		DUNBAR H S	495	500	996	124	217	57.1	42	33.9	
		EASTERN HILLS H	415	412	827	92	224	41.1	5	5.4	
		NORTH SIDE H S	465	477	941	85	225	37.8	18	21.2	
		O D WYATT H S	382	388	770	109	236	46.2			< 5 HIGH
		PASCHAL H S	524	525	1048	235	477	49.3		42.1	· O IIIGII
		POLYTECHNIC H S	372	393	765	58	131	44.3		72.1	< 5 HIGH
			487	490	977	207		65.3	40	23.7	< 5 midii
		SOUTHWEST H S				207 79	317				< F LITOU
		TRIMBLE TECHNICA	411	400	811		254	31.1			< 5 HIGH
		WESTERN HILLS H	489	492	981	161	246	65.4	41	25.5	
	GRAPEVINE-COLL		525	531	1056	663	812	81.7	253	38.2	
		COLLEYVILLE HERI	526	537	1063	332	387	85.8	134	40.4	
		GRAPEVINE H S	524	525	1050	331	402	82.3		36.0	
	HURST-EULESS-B		508	514	1022	670	1,125	59.6		30.7	
		BELL H S	517	519	1036	302	523	57.7		32.5	
		TRINITY H S	501	510	1010	367	557	65.9	108	29.4	
	KELLER ISD		510	516	1027	532	833	63.9	167	31.4	
		FOSSIL RIDGE H S	500	505	1005	266	436	61.0	77	28.9	
		KELLER H S	521	528		263	389	67.6		34.2	
	KENNEDALE ISD	KENNEDALE H S	494	487	981	69	120	57.5	16	23.2	
	LAVE WORTH TOD		407	460	050	24	01	27.4	5	14.7	
	LAKE WORTH ISD	LAKE WORTH H S	487 487	462 462	950 950	34 34	91 82	37.4 41.5		14.7	
		LAKE WORTH II 3	407	402	950	54	02	41.5	3	14.7	
	MANSFIELD ISD		522	521	1043	382	676	56.5	135	35.3	
		MANSFIELD HS	522	521	1043	382	628	60.8	135	35.3	
	MASONIC HOME I	MASONIC HOME HIG	431	406	837	10	8	100		•	< 5 HIGH
	THERESA B LEE	THERESA B LEE AC				0	36	•	0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	e		Gra	aduates		ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
TARRANT	TREETOPS SCHOO						10				< 5 TESTED
	WHITE SETTLEME		486	501	987	79	223	35.4	18	22.8	
		BREWER H S	486	501	987	79	222	35.6	18	22.8	
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD		507	500	1007	488	859	56.8	135	27.7	
		ABILENE H S COOPER H S	512 501	501 499	1013 1000	249 239	441 374	56.5 63.9	71 64	28.5 26.8	
		COOPER II 3	301	499	1000	239	374	03.9	04	20.8	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (A				0	6	•	0	0.0	
	JIM NED CONS I		495	469	964	18	60	30.0			< 5 HIGH
		JIM NED H S	495	469	964	18	59	30.5		•	< 5 HIGH
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	497	510	1007	7	80	8.8			< 5 HIGH
	TRENT ISD						9				< 5 TESTED
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	512	528	1040	94	162	58.0	30	31.9	
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNTY	SANDERSON H S				0	10		0	0.0	
TERRY	BROWNFIELD ISD	BROWNFIELD H S	487	489	976	27	96	28.1	9	33.3	
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	496	451	947	9	23	39.1			< 5 HIGH
	WELLMAN-UNION	WELLMAN-UNION SC	508	535	1043	8	15	53.3			< 5 HIGH
THROCKMORTON	THROCKMORTON I						17				< 5 TESTED
	WOODSON ISD						10				< 5 TESTED
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL IS	CHAPEL HILL H S	472	482	953	18	46	39.1			< 5 HIGH
	MOUNT PLEASANT		489	493	981	55	223	24.7	10	18.2	
		MT PLEASANT H S	489	493	981	55	215	25.6	10	18.2	
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL ISD		499	521	1020	7	25	28.0			< 5 HIGH
		CHRISTOVAL H S	499	521	1020	7	25	28.0	•		< 5 HIGH
	GRAPE CREEK IS	GRAPE CREEK H S	478	456	933	40	63	63.5	9	22.5	
	SAN ANGELO ISD		505	511	1017	421	869	48.4	139	33.0	
		CENTRAL H S LAKE VIEW H S	513 471	517 486	1030 957	348 71	629 233	55.3 30.5	124 15	35.6 21.1	
		ETAIL VIEW II O	7/1	400	307	, ,	200	00.0	10	21.1	
	VERIBEST ISD	VERIBEST H S	•			0	4		0	0.0	
	WALL ISD		524	544	1069	7	70	10.0			< 5 HIGH
		WALL H S	524	544	1069	7	69	10.1	•		< 5 HIGH
	WATER VALLEY I		471	469	940	11	27	40.7			< 5 HIGH
		WATER VALLEY H S	471	469	940	11	27	40.7			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		Mean score								ees at or criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
TRAVIS	AMERICAN YOUTH	AMERICAN YOUTH W	482	482	964	5	0		•		
	AUSTIN ISD		519	524	1043	1,891	3,259	58.0	726	38.4	
		ANDERSON H S	539	572	1111	255	336	75.9	121	47.5	
		AUSTIN H S	556	563	1119	248	334	74.3	130	52.4	
		BOWIE H S	518	522	1040	469	633	74.1	176	37.5	
		CROCKETT H S	480	473	953	181	355	51.0	32	17.7	
		GONZALO GARZA IN	559	489	1048	18	116	15.5			<10 MASKED
		JOHNSON H S	548	554	1102	232	286	81.1	119	51.3	
		JOHNSTON H S	553	507	1060	113	236	47.9	52	46.0	
		LANIER H S	449	467	916	100	258	38.8	14	14.0	
		MCCALLUM H S	535	529	1064	148	308	48.1	64	43.2	
		REAGAN H S	381	412	794	58	146	39.7			<10 MASKED
		TRAVIS H S	452	448	900	69	245	28.2	•		<10 MASKED
	DEL VALLE ISD		430	438	868	87	286	30.4	8	9.2	
		DEL VALLE H S	430	438	868	87	261	33.3	8	9.2	
	EANES ISD	WESTLAKE H S	582	598	1181	493	509	96.9	341	69.2	
	FRUIT OF EXCEL	FRUIT OF EXCELLE				0	1		0	0.0	
	LAGO VISTA ISD	LAGO VISTA H S	493	505	997	30	45	66.7	8	26.7	
	LAKE TRAVIS IS	LAKE TRAVIS H S	537	548	1085	203	238	85.3	90	44.3	
	MANOR ISD		467	482	949	42	101	41.6	8	19.0	
		MANOR H S	467	482	949	42	87	48.3	8	19.0	
	PFLUGERVILLE I		503	515	1018	505	774	65.2	162	32.1	
		JOHN B CONNALLY	496	516	1013	245	373	65.7	76	31.0	
		PFLUGERVILLE H S	510	513	1023	260	401	64.8	86	33.1	
	STAR CHARTER S			•			4				< 5 TESTED
	TEXAS SCH FOR	TEXAS SCHOOL FOR				_	0	_			
		TX SCH FOR DEAF	263	345	608	6	0	•			
	UNIVERSITY CHA		•	·		0	3		0	0.0	
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS	APPLE SPRINGS H	438	426	863	9	13	69.2			< 5 HIGH
	CENTERVILLE IS						8				< 5 TESTED
	GROVETON ISD	GROVETON J H-H S	418	427	845	23	29	79.3			< 5 HIGH
	TRINITY ISD	TRINITY H S	469	531	1000	12	68	17.6			< 5 HIGH
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S	423	433	855	8	13	61.5			< 5 HIGH
	COLMESNEIL ISD	COLMESNEIL H S	442	461	903	20	37	54.1			< 5 HIGH

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	ean scor Math.	Total			aduates Tested(%)	above c	es at or criterion Percent	***Note***
TYLER	SPURGER ISD	SPURGER H S	409	423	831	7	11	63.6			< 5 HIGH
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S	470	460	930	29	38	76.3			< 5 HIGH
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	417	416	832	37	63	58.7			< 5 HIGH
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S					0				
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	501	502	1003	38	121	31.4	11	28.9	
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	478	476	954	5	58	8.6			< 5 HIGH
	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	473	462	935	27	40	67.5			< 5 HIGH
	ORE CITY ISD						35				< 5 TESTED
	UNION GROVE IS	UNION GROVE H S	480	497	977	7	39	17.9			< 5 HIGH
	UNION HILL ISD					·	7			•	< 5 TESTED
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	455	484	939	14	34	41.2			< 5 HIGH
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S				0	20		0	0.0	
UVALDE	GABRIEL TAFOLL	GABRIEL TAFOLLA				0	13		0	0.0	
	KNIPPA ISD						13				< 5 TESTED
	SABINAL ISD						30				< 5 TESTED
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL	439	501	940	9	11	81.8			< 5 HIGH
	UVALDE CONS IS	UVALDE H S	467 467	479 479	946 946	121 121	259 247	46.7 49.0	21 21	17.4 17.4	
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD	COMSTOCK SCHOOL	465	520	985	11	11	100			< 5 HIGH
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (D				0	8		0	0.0	
	SAN FELIPE-DEL	DEL RIO H S	467	486	953	153	411	37.2	26	17.0	
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	493	485	978	45	108	41.7	9	20.0	
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	469	455	924	16	42	38.1			< 5 HIGH
	FRUITVALE ISD	FRUITVALE H S	460	478	938	5	11	45.5		•	< 5 HIGH
	GRAND SALINE I	GRAND SALINE H S	467 467	497 497	964 964	18 18	52 52	34.6 34.6			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	MARTINS MILL I	MARTINS MILL H S	593	552	1145	6	21	28.6			< 5 HIGH
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY				0	1		0	0.0	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	ean scor	`e		Gra	duates		es at or	
County name	District name	Campus name					Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note***
VAN ZANDT	VAN ISD	VAN H S	492	477	969	50	124	40.3	7	14.0	
	WILLS POINT IS	WILLS POINT H S	523	499	1023	32	134	23.9	9	28.1	
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON IS	BLOOMINGTON H S	474	470	944	15	37	40.5			< 5 HIGH
	VICTORIA ISD		478	481	959	348	822	42.3	59	17.0	
		MEMORIAL HIGH SC	476	479	955	318	727	43.7	50	15.7	
		MEMORIAL HIGH SC	507	500	1007	29	0	•	9	31.0	
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE ISD		501	515	1016	166	377	44.0	53	31.9	
		HUNTSVILLE H S	501	515	1016	166	368	45.1	53	31.9	
	NEW WAVERLY IS		433	440	873	14	5	100			< 5 HIGH
		NEW WAVERLY H S	433	440	873	14	3	100	•		< 5 HIGH
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	419	438	857	33	69	47.8			< 5 HIGH
	ROYAL ISD						53				< 5 TESTED
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	463	473	936	93	234	39.7	18	19.4	
WARD	GRANDFALLS-ROY						9				< 5 TESTED
	MONAHANS-WICKE		584	624	1209	7	142	4.9	6	85.7	
		MONAHANS H S	584	624	1209	7	134	5.2	6	85.7	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD		501	511	1012	149	287	51.9	43	28.9	
		BRENHAM HIGH SCH	501	511	1012	149	267	55.8	43	28.9	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	450	479	929	15	26	57.7		•	< 5 HIGH
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (L				0	9		0	0.0	
	GATEWAY ACADE	GATEWAY ACADEMY				0	26		0	0.0	
	LAREDO ISD		423	426	849	263	889	29.6	13	4.9	
		DR LEO CIGARROA	431	438	869	59	209	28.2			<10 MASKED
		MARTIN H S	421	411	832	103	321	32.1			<10 MASKED
		NIXON H S	421	434	855	101	356	28.4			<10 MASKED
	UNITED ISD		449	446	895	428	1,201	35.6	46	10.7	
		JOHN B ALEXANDER	476	480	956	188	397	47.4		18.6	
		UNITED H S	446	440	886	127	335	37.9			<10 MASKED
		UNITED SOUTH H S	407	397	804	113	469	24.1	•	•	<10 MASKED
	WEBB CONS ISD	BRUNI H S	468	481	949	15	26	57.7			< 5 HIGH
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	485	518	1004	22	48	45.8	7	31.8	
	EAST BERNARD I	EAST BERNARD H S	479	484	963	53	73	72.6	15	28.3	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		ean scor Math.		Examinees		aduates Tested(%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent	***Note***
WHARTON	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	455	466	920	101	205	49.3	17	16.8	
	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	433	475	908	6	43	14.0			< 5 HIGH
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	517	527	1044	26	175	14.9	10	38.5	
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL				0	7		0	0.0	
	FORT ELLIOTT C		·				10				< 5 TESTED
	SHAMROCK ISD						30				< 5 TESTED
	WHEELER ISD						23				< 5 TESTED
WICHITA	BURKBURNETT IS		509	532	1040	73	200	36.5	27	37.0	
		BURKBURNETT H S	509	532	1040	73	174	42.0	27	37.0	
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	511	487	999	7	40	17.5			< 5 HIGH
	IOWA PARK CONS	IOWA PARK H S	515	529	1044	37	131	28.2	14	37.8	
	WICHITA FALLS		500	519	1018	406	788	51.5	133	32.8	
		HIRSCHI HS	456	494	950	84	187	44.9	16	19.0	
		RIDER H S	513	526	1039	195	319	61.1	70	35.9	
		WICHITA FALLS H	508	524	1033	127	251	50.6	47	37.0	
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL		•	•	0	7	•	0	0.0	
	NORTHSIDE ISD	NORTHSIDE SCHOOL	٠	•	•	0	5	•	0	0.0	
	VERNON ISD	VERNON H S	541	563	1103	20	142	14.1	11	55.0	
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	449	429	878	17	72	23.6			< 5 HIGH
	RAYMONDVILLE I	RAYMONDVILLE H S	425	451	876	47	148	31.8			< 5 HIGH
	SAN PERLITA IS	SAN PERLITA H S	358	398	756	5	13	38.5			< 5 HIGH
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	484	484	968	31	56	55.4			< 5 HIGH
	GEORGETOWN ISD		536	545	1081	281	438	64.2	121	43.1	
		GEORGETOWN H S	536	545	1081	279	416	67.1	120	43.0	
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	446	492	938	20	31	64.5	•		< 5 HIGH
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	469	520	989	35	62	56.5	10	28.6	
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	497	505	1002	15	37	40.5	5	33.3	
	LEANDER ISD		510	521	1030	419	595	70.4	138	32.9	
		CEDAR PARK H S	509	516	1025	215	270	79.6	68	31.6	
		LEANDER H S	511	525	1036	204	325	62.8	70	34.3	

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			`e		Gra	aduates		ees at or criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name									***Note****
WILLIAMSON	LIBERTY HILL I	LIBERTY HILL H S	520	539	1059	35	79	44.3	12	34.3	
	ROUND ROCK ISD		532	539	1071	1,295	1,707	75.9	553	42.7	
		MCNEIL H S	524	523	1047	346	462	74.9			<130 MASKED
		ROUND ROCK H S	514	523	1036	485	676	71.7	174	35.9	
		STONY POINT HIGH	470	464	934	10	46	21.7			<130 MASKED
		WESTWOOD H S	559	571	1130	453	477	95.0	253	55.8	
	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	507	510	1017	71	143	49.7	22	31.0	
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	421	460	881	16	28	57.1			< 5 HIGH
WILSON	FLORESVILLE IS	FLORESVILLE H S	462	495	957	52	169	30.8	9	17.3	
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH S	493	524	1017	63	109	57.8	19	30.2	
	POTH ISD	РОТН Н Ѕ	470	470	940	28	55	50.9			< 5 HIGH
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	474	459	934	17	45	37.8			< 5 HIGH
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	538	576	1113	9	98	9.2	6	66.7	
	WINK-LOVING IS	WINK H S	542	622	1163	6	24	25.0			< 5 HIGH
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	499	496	995	11	22	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	467	497	964	33	56	58.9	7	21.2	
	BRIDGEPORT ISD		489	511	1000	39	137	28.5	10	25.6	
		BRIDGEPORT H S	489	511	1000	39	116	33.6	10	25.6	
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCHOO	457	469	926	9	25	36.0			< 5 HIGH
	DECATUR ISD		479	489	968	73	170	42.9	9	12.3	
		DECATUR H S	479	489	968	73	142	51.4	9		
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	466	521	986	17	50	34.0	5	29.4	
	SLIDELL ISD	SLIDELL H S	479	479	958	8	20	40.0			< 5 HIGH
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN IS		491	493	984	8	43	18.6			< 5 HIGH
		ALBA-GOLDEN H S	491	493	984	8	40	20.0			< 5 HIGH
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	478	506	984	22	46	47.8	5	22.7	
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	497	466	964	17	73	23.3	•		< 5 HIGH
	QUITMAN ISD		482	445	927	29	77	37.7			< 5 HIGH
		QUITMAN H S	482	445	927	29	76	38.2			< 5 HIGH
	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	510	535	1045	6	88	6.8			< 5 HIGH
<i>Note.</i> For mas	YANTIS ISD	se see Notes follow	ving the	listing		•	16				< 5 TESTED

Table B-1. SAT I Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Me	an scor	duates		es at or riterion				
County name	District name	Campus name	Verbal	Math.	Total	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	***Note****
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY IS	DENVER CITY H S	449	466	915	23	118	19.5			< 5 HIGH
	PLAINS ISD						44	•			< 5 TESTED
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		494	494	988	71	123	57.7	15	21.1	
		GRAHAM H S	494	494	988	71	117	60.7	15	21.1	
	NEWCASTLE ISD						10				< 5 TESTED
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	477	479	957	23	51	45.1	6	26.1	
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY	ZAPATA H S	435	466	901	17	136	12.5			< 5 HIGH
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY I						83				< 5 TESTED
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S	349	360	709	17	27	63.0			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
County name	District name	Campus name	-		Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note****
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	19.0	20.0	19.6	19.8	19.8	10	31	32.3			< 5 HIGH
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	21.9	19.7	22.6	20.9	21.4	16	64	25.0			< 5 HIGH
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	22.1	22.0	21.7	20.9	21.8	9	50	18.0			< 5 HIGH
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S	17.5	19.3	20.0	19.0	19.0	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH	18.5	19.9	19.9	20.5	19.7	34	176	19.3	8	23.5	
	SLOCUM ISD								18				< 5 TESTED
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	19.1	20.3	20.2	19.6	19.9	27	83	32.5	5	18.5	
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH S	18.7	20.4	19.5	20.1	19.8	53	221	24.0	12	22.6	
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		16.8	16.8	18.4	17.8	17.5	21	79	26.6			< 5 HIGH
		CENTRAL H S	16.8	16.8	18.4	17.8	17.5	21	72	29.2			< 5 HIGH
	DIBOLL ISD		17.8	18.2	18.8	19.8	18.8	55	10	100.0	5	9.1	
		DIBOLL H S	17.8	18.2	18.8	19.8	18.8	55	6	100.0	5	9.1	
	HUDSON ISD		18.8	18.7	19.3	19.2	19.1	38	120	31.7	5		
		HUDSON H S	18.8	18.7	19.3	19.2	19.1	38	117	32.5	5	13.2	
	HUNTINGTON IS		17.2	18.4	16.8	17.4	17.4	5	100	5.0			< 5 HIGH
		HUNTINGTON H S	17.2	18.4	16.8	17.4	17.4	5	56	8.9		•	< 5 HIGH
	LUFKIN ISD		18.1	19.4	20.1	19.6	19.4	43	463	9.3	7	16.3	
		LUFKIN H S	18.1	19.4	20.1	19.6	19.4	43	445	9.7	7	16.3	
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S	21.6	19.0	20.2	19.2	20.4	5	13	38.5			< 5 HIGH
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNT	ROCKPORT-FULTO	18.2	18.1	19.5	19.4	19.0	51	200	25.5	7	13.7	
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY I	ARCHER CITY H	20.3	19.2	19.9	20.5	20.0	25	37	67.6			< 5 HIGH
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	20.3	19.9	21.4	21.5	20.9	40	76	52.6	11	27.5	
	MEGARGEL ISD								4	·			< 5 TESTED
	WINDTHORST IS	WINDTHORST H S	21.2	20.5	23.0	21.6	21.7	21	28	75.0	7	33.3	
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	21.2	22.6	22.2	22.8	22.5	13	27	48.1	5	38.5	
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD	CHARLOTTE H S	16.8	17.4	16.6	17.6	17.1	8	20	40.0			< 5 HIGH
	JOURDANTON IS	JOURDANTON H S	21.1	19.0	20.5	21.2	20.6	44	57	77.2	9	20.5	
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	18.9	19.1	18.0	19.3	19.0	29	66	43.9	5	17.2	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
-	District name				Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
ATASCOSA		PLEASANTON H S	18.4	19.4	19.5	20.2	19.5	87	165	52.7	13	14.9	
	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	14.6	16.6	14.8	17.2	16.0	13	69	18.8			< 5 HIGH
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	21.6	21.6	21.9	21.3	21.6	61	154	39.6	23	37.7	
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	17.6	19.1	18.9	19.4	18.9	23	53	43.4			< 5 HIGH
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	17.8	18.9	17.4	19.7	18.5	35	119	29.4			< 5 HIGH
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD		19.1	19.5	20.3	20.1	19.9	54	69	78.3	9	16.7	
		MULESHOE H S	19.1	19.5	20.3	20.1	19.9	54	65	83.1	9	16.7	
	THREE WAY ISD	THREE WAY SCHO	13.0	18.0	14.8	16.0	15.4	5	9	55.6			< 5 HIGH
BANDERA	BANDERA ISD		21.6	20.3	23.3	22.1	21.9	35	109	32.1	12	34.3	
		BANDERA H S	21.6	20.3	23.3	22.1	21.9	35	106	33.0	12	34.3	
	MEDINA ISD								18				< 5 TESTED
BASTROP	BASTROP ISD		19.9	19.6	20.4	20.2	20.2	56	289	19.4	14	25.0	
		BASTROP H S	19.9	19.6	20.4	20.2	20.2	56	277	20.2	14	25.0	
	ELGIN ISD	ELGIN H S	20.1	18.7	20.3	20.7	20.1	15	164	9.1			< 5 HIGH
	SMITHVILLE IS	SMITHVILLE H S	18.8	17.8	20.0	19.5	19.2	33	80	41.3	5	15.2	
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	21.6	23.2	22.0	22.0	22.4	37	52	71.2	14	37.8	
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD		17.2	18.2	18.5	19.1	18.3	64	226	28.3	7	10.9	
		A C JONES HIGH	17.2	18.2	18.5	19.1	18.3	64	220	29.1	7	10.9	
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	25.0	19.7	22.9	21.7	22.4	7	35	20.0			< 5 HIGH
	SKIDMORE-TYNA	SKIDMORE-TYNAN	18.9	17.8	19.0	19.4	18.8	36	57	63.2	7	19.4	
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	20.2	20.5	20.8	21.8	20.9	33	52	63.5	8	24.2	
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	20.4		19.2	18.9	19.8	13		48.1			< 5 HIGH
	BELTON ISD		18.3	19.2	18.8	19.3	19.0	322	390	82.6	60	18.6	
		BELTON H S	18.3	19.2	18.8	19.3	19.0	321	353	90.9	60	18.7	
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	19.0	17.7	19.6	19.1	19.0	16	36	44.4			< 5 HIGH
	KILLEEN ISD		18.7	19.0	19.6	20.0	19.4	285	1185	24.1	52	18.2	
		ELLISON H S	18.7	19.0	19.7	19.7	19.4	131	501	26.1	22	16.8	
		HARKER HEIGHTS	18.9	19.0	18.7	19.9	19.2	37	164	22.6			< 5 HIGH
		KILLEEN H S SHOEMAKER HIGH	18.9 17.7	19.4 16.9	20.0 18.7	20.6 18.6	19.8 18.1	99 18	371 120	26.7 15.0	23	23.2	< 5 HIGH
		SHOEWAKER HIGH	17.7	10.9	10.7	10.0	10.1	10	120	10.0	•	•	· O HIGH
	RICHARD MILBU	KILLEEN-RICHAR						0	40				

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
•	District name	•	•		Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)		Pcnt.	***Note***
BELL	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	18.6	19.4	20.0	19.5	19.5	17	51	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	SALADO ISD	SALADO H S	19.1	19.7	20.1	20.4	19.8	32	55	58.2	6	18.8	
	TEMPLE ISD	TEMPLE H S	22.0	22.9	23.0	22.6	22.7	105	332	31.6	47	44.8	
	TRANSFORMATIV	TRANSFORMATIVE						0	42				
	TROY ISD	TROY HIGH SCHO	19.2	19.3	20.1	20.1	19.8	43	61	70.5	8	18.6	
BEXAR	ALAMO HEIGHTS	ALAMO HEIGHTS	22.6	23.0	22.7	22.2	22.7	122	304	40.1	53	43.4	
	BLESSED SACRA	BLESSED SACRAM						0	42				
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	10				
	EAST CENTRAL		19.3	19.6	20.2	20.4	20.0	147	410	35.9	30	20.4	
		EAST CENTRAL H	19.3	19.6	20.2	20.4	20.0	147	408	36.0	30	20.4	
	EDGEWOOD ISD		15.8	17.6	16.8	17.2	16.9	79	469	16.8			< 5 HIGH
		JOHN F KENNEDY	16.3	18.0	16.7	17.6	17.3	43	245	17.6			< 5 HIGH
		MEMORIAL HIGH	15.3	17.3	16.8	16.8	16.6	36	196	18.4	•		< 5 HIGH
	FT SAM HOUSTO	ROBERT G COLE	21.0	21.2	22.8	20.9	21.5	17	55	30.9	6	35.3	
	GEORGE GERVIN	GEORGE GERVIN						0	25				
	GEORGE I SANC	GEORGE I SANCH						0	1				
	HARLANDALE IS		17.5	18.1	18.3	18.4	18.3	126	614	20.5	9	7.1	
		HARLANDALE H S	17.7	18.2	18.4	18.6	18.4	91	319	28.5			<10 MASKED
		MCCOLLUM H S	17.1	17.8	17.8	18.1	17.9	35	266	13.2	•		<10 MASKED
	JOHN H WOOD C	JOHN H WOOD CH						0	2				
	JUDSON ISD		19.2	19.7	20.1	20.4	20.0	218	699	31.2	53	24.3	
		JUDSON HIGH SC	19.2	19.8	20.2	20.4	20.0	216	641	33.7	53	24.5	
	LACKLAND ISD	VIRGINIA ALLRE	22.6	21.9	25.7	22.4	23.4	10	24	41.7			< 5 HIGH
	NORTH EAST IS		20.3	20.8	21.6	21.1	21.0	660	2528	26.1	193	29.2	
		CHURCHILL H S	20.7	20.7	22.2	21.3	21.3	126	459	27.5	34	27.0	
		INTERNATIONAL	20.6	19.9	21.2	21.0	20.7	31	109	28.4	5	16.1	
		LEE H S	19.3	19.6	20.3	20.4	20.0	64	388	16.5	16	25.0	
		MAC ARTHUR H S	21.3	22.1	22.6	22.0	22.1	81	391	20.7	30		
		MADISON H S	19.6	21.1	20.7	20.7	20.6	179	487	36.8	50		
		REAGAN H S	22.1	21.7	23.3	22.5	22.5	115	361	31.9	45		
		ROOSEVELT H S	18.3	18.5	19.4	19.3	19.0	63	292	21.6	13	20.6	
	NORTHSIDE ISD	BUSINESS CAREE	20.5 18.5	21.4 18.7	21.5 18.6	21.3 19.2	21.3 18.9	1033 56	3246 0	31.8	334 7	32.3 12.5	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	,		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
BEXAR	NORTHSIDE ISD	COMMUNICATIONS	23.8	23.3	24.3	23.1	23.8	43	0		24	55.8	
		HEALTH CAREERS	24.3	25.3	25.5	24.5	25.0	101	200	50.5	71	70.3	
		HOLMES H S	17.6	18.6	19.0	18.6	18.7	92	531	17.3	13	14.1	
		JAY H S	16.8	18.2	17.7	18.4	17.9	96	422	22.7	8	8.3	
		MARSHALL H S	19.8	20.6	21.0	20.8	20.7	125	476	26.3	32	25.6	
		SANDRA DAY O'C	20.9	21.9	21.8	22.0	21.8	206	451	45.7	64	31.1	
		SUNSET H S	19.4	19.8	22.0	22.2	20.8	5	32	15.6			< 5 HIGH
		TOM CLARK H S	21.7	23.1	22.7	22.2	22.6	174	518	33.6	76	43.7	
		WILLIAM H TAFT	20.6	21.1	21.5	21.3	21.3	135	597	22.6	37	27.4	
	POSITIVE SOLU	POSITIVE SOLUT		•	•			0	31				
	RADIANCE ACAD	RADIANCE ACADE						0	2				
	RANDOLPH FIEL	RANDOLPH H S	22.6	23.3	22.2	21.3	22.5	28	62	45.2	12	42.9	
	SAN ANTONIO I		15.4	16.7	16.3	17.2	16.5	853	2220	38.4	44	5.2	
	5/41/741/5/115 I	BRACKENRIDGE H	17.6	18.0	18.3	18.4	18.2	171	365	46.8	22	12.9	
		BURBANK H S	15.0	16.2	15.6	16.4	15.9	157	200	78.5		1210	<10 MASKED
		EDISON H S	15.5	16.9	16.9	17.8	16.9	85	238	35.7			<10 MASKED
		FOX TECHNICAL	14.2	15.9	14.7	16.3	15.4	69	277	24.9			<10 MASKED
		HIGHLANDS H S	16.3	17.5	18.1	18.3	17.6	124	336	36.9	11	8.9	TO METOTICE
		HOUSTON H S	13.8	16.0	14.4	15.3	15.0	49	189	25.9			<10 MASKED
		JEFFERSON H S	15.1	16.9	15.8	17.0	16.4	65	289	22.5			<10 MASKED
		LANIER H S	13.8	15.4	14.5	16.4	15.1	133	286	46.5	•		<10 MASKED
											-		
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET H S	20.4	20.6	22.3	21.6	21.3	18	103	17.5	5	27.8	
	COUTLI CAN ANT		16.0	17.0	17.0	17 5	17 1	100	464	07.6	4.4	0.6	
	SOUTH SAN ANT	CO CAN ANTONIO	16.2	17.2	17.2	17.5	17.1	128	464	27.6	11	8.6	
		SO SAN ANTONIO SOUTH SAN ANTO	17.8 15.3	17.9 16.9	18.4 16.6	18.7 17.0	18.3 16.5	43 85	116 296	37.1 28.7	5 6	11.6 7.1	
		SOUTH SAN ANTO	15.5	10.9	10.0	17.0	10.5	60	290	20.7	O	7.1	
	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	16.3	17.2	17.3	17.6	17.2	65	196	33.2			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	17.7	17.8	18.8	18.7	18.4	79	382	20.7	10	12.7	
	SOUTHWEST PRE		•		•			Ē	39	·			< 5 TESTED
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	20.5	19.2	21.5	20.4	20.5	24	44	54.5	7	29.2	
	JOHNSON CITY	LYNDON B JOHNS	18.9	20.4	20.1	20.9	20.2	19	38	50.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY		23.3	21.3	22.6	22.0	22.3	20	12	100.0	8	40.0	
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	18.9	19.4	20.3	19.3	19.6	32	56	57.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	CRANFILLS GAP IREDELL ISD		•	•	•	•	•	•	6	•	•	•	< 5 TESTED
	KOPPERL ISD								1				< 5 TESTED

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

										aduates	crite	above	
County name		Campus name	_			Sci.	Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
BOSQUE	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	23.4	20.8	22.7	21.9	22.4	16	23	69.6	8	50.0	
	MORGAN ISD								5	-	•		< 5 TESTED
	VALLEY MILLS								16				< 5 TESTED
	WALNUT SPRING	WALNUT SPRINGS	18.8	20.4	19.2	18.8	19.6	5	9	55.6			< 5 HIGH
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	18.0	17.5	18.2	18.8	18.2	57	55	100.0	5	8.8	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	4				
	HOOKS ISD	HOOKS H S	18.3	18.3	18.4	19.4	18.8	38	57	66.7			< 5 HIGH
	LIBERTY-EYLAU		18.7	18.4	18.9	19.1	18.9	92	139	66.2	16	17.4	
		LIBERTY-EYLAU	18.7	18.4	18.9	19.1	19.0	91	135	67.4	16	17.6	
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	19.2	18.8	18.7	18.6	18.9	19	29	65.5			< 5 HIGH
	NEW BOSTON IS	NEW BOSTON H S	20.6	20.3	20.7	20.1	20.5	72	78	92.3	16	22.2	
	PLEASANT GROV	PLEASANT GROVE	22.0	20.9	22.7	21.7	21.9	141	145	97.2	49	34.8	
	REDWATER ISD	REDWATER H S	24.1	20.8	24.5	22.4	23.0	44	61	72.1	20	45.5	
	SIMMS ISD		18.7	18.5	18.9	18.9	18.8	30	36	83.3			< 5 HIGH
		JAMES BOWIE H	18.7	18.5	18.9	18.9	18.8	30	35	85.7		•	< 5 HIGH
	TEXARKANA ISD		20.5	19.3	20.5	20.2	20.3	142	226	62.8	39	27.5	
	ILAANIANA 13D	TEXAS H S	20.5	19.3	20.5	20.2	20.3	142	196	72.4	39	27.5	
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD	ALVIN HIGH SCH	20.5	20.8 20.8	20.7 20.7	20.7	20.8	92 92	468 438	19.7 21.0	24 24	26.1 26.1	
		ALVIN HIGH CON	20.0	20.0	20.7	20.7	20.0	32	400	2110	2-7	20.1	
	ANGLETON ISD	ANGLETON H S	21.2	22.1	21.8	21.0	21.6	61	276	22.1	21	34.4	
	BRAZOSPORT IS		20.8	22.3	21.8	21.8	21.8	116	657	17.7	39	33.6	
		BRAZOSPORT H S BRAZOSWOOD H S	17.6 21.1	20.0 22.5	17.9 22.2	19.0 22.1	18.8 22.1	12 104	157 494	7.6 21.1	•	•	<40 MASKED <40 MASKED
		BNAZOONOOD 11 O	21.1	22.5	22.2	22.1	22.1	104	434	21.1	•	•	V40 WAOKED
	COLUMBIA-BRAZ	COLUMBIA H S	19.4	19.6	19.5	20.3	19.9	57	179	31.8	9	15.8	
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S	20.1	21.3	20.8	20.3	20.8	9	49	18.4	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	20.1	20.1	20.9	20.8	20.6	99	509	19.4	20	20.2	
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	19.8	21.7	20.8	21.2	20.9	71	142	50.0	18	25.4	
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL					-			1				< 5 TESTED
	BRYAN ISD		18.5	20.5	20.1	20.2	19.9	130	558	23.3	31	23.8	
		BRYAN H S	18.5	20.5	20.1	20.2	19.9	130	469	27.7	31	23.8	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Mo	an soon			Tostod	Gn	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name			Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
•			•				•			. ,			
BRAZOS	COLLEGE STATI		22.1	23.1	23.6	23.4	23.2	109	498	21.9	52	47.7	
DNAZUS	COLLEGE STATE	A & M CONS H S	22.1	23.1	23.6	23.4	23.2	109	480	21.9	52	47.7	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT	•	•	•	•	•	0	5	•	•	•	
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	19.4	19.6	20.3	19.9	19.9	55	75	73.3	7	12.7	
									_				
	MARATHON ISD		•	•	•	•	•	•	9	•	•	•	< 5 TESTED
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S						0	23				
DDIOOOE	OTLVEDTON TOD	OTI VERTONI CONO	00.0	40.0	00.0	00.0	00.4	4.0	40	100.0			4 E UTOU
BRISCOE	SILVERION 15D	SILVERTON SCHO	20.0	19.9	20.0	20.3	20.1	16	12	100.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY	FALFURRIAS H S	16.5	17.0	16.4	17.5	17.0	63	148	42.6			< 5 HIGH
BROWN	BANGS ISD		19.6	21.3	21.6	21.8	21.3	34	44	77.3	11	32.4	
DROWN	BANGS 13D	BANGS H S	19.6	21.3	21.6	21.8	21.3	34	42	81.0	11	32.4	
	BLANKET ISD	BLANKET H S	19.5	19.3	22.1	20.6	20.6	12	11	100.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	BROOKESMITH I	BROOKESMITH H	20.8	20.6	21.4	21.1	21.1	17	18	94.4			< 5 HIGH
	BROWNWOOD ISD	BROWNWOOD H S	18.9 19.1	20.7 21.0	19.5 19.7	20.3	20.0	79 75	235 194	33.6 38.7	18 18	22.8 24.0	
		Briefininger 11 G	.011	2110	1017	2010	2012	, 0	101	0017	10	2110	
	BROWNWOOD STA	BROWNWOOD STAT	22.7	22.6	25.4	25.0	24.0	7	0	•			
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	21.8	20.9	21.8	22.5	21.8	43	64	67.2	14	32.6	
	2.1.2. 193	2, 11, 2, 11, 0							٠.	5		02.0	
	MAY ISD	MAY H S	18.5	22.2	22.8	23.3	22.0	6	20	30.0			< 5 HIGH
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S	16.8	17.8	18.1	18.9	17.8	13	9	100.0			< 5 HIGH
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	19.5	19.8	17.8	19.9	19.4	17	98	17.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDAR	19.5	20.7	20.2	19.5	20.3	6	29	20.7			< 5 HIGH
	SOMERVILLE IS	SOMERVILLE H S	15.4	16.8	16.1	19.0	16.9	8	43	18.6	•	•	< 5 HIGH
BURNET	BURNET CONS I		19.3	21.9	19.9	20.5	20.5	69	188	36.7	12	17.4	
		BURNET H S	19.3	21.9	19.9	20.5	20.5	69	171	40.4	12	17.4	
	MARBLE FALLS	MARBLE FALLS H	20.9	20.7	21.8	21.4	21.4	103	173	59.5	34	33.0	
OAL DIVE:	1.00(4)457 705		40.0	46 .	46.	40 .	46.5		0				
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD	LOCKHART H S	18.2 18.2	19.1 19.1	19.1 19.1	19.4 19.4	19.0 19.0	58 58	232 222	25.0 26.1	10 10	17.2 17.2	
			<b>-</b>										
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	18.6	20.4	19.1	19.6	19.6	35	81	43.2	9	25.7	
	PRAIRIE LEA I	PRAIRIE LEA SC							0				

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
•	District name	•	-	Math.			Comp.	Num.		Tested(%)		Pont.	
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO IS		18.1	17.8	18.8	18.7	18.5	49	220	22.3	6	12.2	
		CALHOUN H S	18.3	17.8	18.9	18.8	18.5	48	198	24.2	6	12.5	
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD	BAIRD H S	19.6	18.5	19.0	19.6	19.2	23	23	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	CLYDE CONS IS	CLYDE H S	22.0	22.0	22.6	22.5	22.4	44	78	56.4	19	43.2	
	CROSS PLAINS	CROSS PLAINS H	18.1	19.3	19.3	19.6	19.2	24	39	61.5			< 5 HIGH
	EULA ISD	EULA H S	19.0	19.7	19.8	20.7	20.0	20	37	54.1	6	30.0	
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE I		17.1	18.2	17.7	18.2	17.9	269	1830	14.7	21	7.8	
		HANNA H S	19.1	18.7	20.0	20.0	19.5	57	502	11.4	9	15.8	
		LOPEZ H S	17.0	17.1	16.0	17.3	17.0	42	344	12.2			< 5 HIGH
		PACE H S	16.8	18.1	17.2	17.2	17.4	42	346	12.1			< 5 HIGH
		PORTER H S	17.3	18.8	18.5	18.7	18.4	43	350	12.3	6	14.0	
		RIVERA H S	15.8	18.3	16.8	17.9	17.3	85	266	32.0			< 5 HIGH
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	19				
	HARLINGEN CON		18.1	19.7	19.3	19.3	19.2	169	777	21.8	22	13.0	
		HARLINGEN H S	18.1	19.0	19.1	19.1	18.9	71	407	17.4	6	8.5	
		HARLINGEN H S	18.1	20.2	19.5	19.4	19.4	98	357	27.5	16	16.3	
	LA FERIA ISD		18.0	18.7	17.8	18.8	18.5	64	153	41.8	7	10.9	
	22	LA FERIA H S	18.0	18.7	17.8	18.8	18.5	64	143	44.8	7	10.9	
	LOS FRESNOS C	LOS FRESNOS HS	17.1	19.2	17.8	18.3	18.2	63	313	20.1	11	17.5	
	POINT ISABEL	PORT ISABEL H	17.0	18.0	15.3	16.4	16.7	9	116	7.8			< 5 HIGH
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	17.1	17.7	16.5	18.6	17.5	52	112	46.4	•		< 5 HIGH
	SAN BENITO CO	SAN BENITO H S	15.3	16.8	16.5	17.5	16.8	32	376	8.5			< 5 HIGH
	SANTA MARIA I								19				< 5 TESTED
	SANTA ROSA IS	SANTA ROSA H S	14.6	16.7	15.8	16.2	15.9	38	71	53.5			< 5 HIGH
	SOUTH TEXAS I		20.8	22.0	21.5	21.2	21.5	173	316	54.7	60	34.7	
		HIGH SCHOOL FO	21.3	21.6	21.4	20.9	21.4	82	157	52.2			<30 MASKED
		THE SCIENCE AC	22.0	23.9	22.9	22.5	22.9	67	117	57.3	33	49.3	
		THE TEACHER AC	16.0	18.3	18.3	18.5	18.0	23	42	54.8			<30 MASKED
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH	•	•	•	•	•	0	23	•	•	•	
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	23.3	25.3	26.8	24.2	24.9	13	103	12.6	8	61.5	
CARSON	GROOM ISD	GROOM SCHOOL	20.4	18.9	20.7	19.9	20.1	11	13	84.6			< 5 HIGH
	PANHANDLE ISD		19.5	19.9	21.0	21.0	20.4	31	42	73.8			< 5 HIGH
		PANHANDLE H S	19.5	19.9	21.0	21.0	20.4	31	41	75.6			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note****
CARSON	WHITE DEER IS	WHITE DEER H S	20.3	20.4	18.6	20.5	20.1	20	28	71.4	•	•	< 5 HIGH
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S	21.1	19.0	21.4	20.5	20.6	91	98	92.9	22	24.2	
	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S	17.9	18.2	17.6	18.5	18.1	17	13	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	BLOOMBURG ISD								9				< 5 TESTED
	HUGHES SPRING	HUGHES SPRINGS	19.8	18.3	21.0	20.0	19.9	41	47	87.2	11	26.8	
	LINDEN-KILDAR	LINDEN-KILDARE	20.0	18.5	19.7	19.9	19.7	66	79	83.5	12	18.2	
	MCLEOD ISD	MCLEOD H S	19.6	20.0	18.4	18.3	19.3	23	29	79.3			< 5 HIGH
	QUEEN CITY IS	QUEEN CITY H S	17.1	18.6	18.1	18.7	18.3	55	70	78.6	5	9.1	
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD	DIMMITT H S	17.1	18.2	18.7	18.9	18.3	58	84	69.0	10	17.2	
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S	14.1	16.7	16.9	17.8	16.6	20	31	64.5			< 5 HIGH
	NAZARETH ISD	NAZARETH SCHOO	25.5	22.7	23.2	21.8	23.4	17	20	85.0	7	41.2	
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD		25.4	23.4	24.0	22.9	24.0	11	77	14.3	6	54.5	
		ANAHUAC H S	25.4	23.4	24.0	22.9	24.0	11	73	15.1	6	54.5	
	BARBERS HILL		23.3	22.5	23.2	22.3	23.0	40	152	26.3	20	50.0	
		BARBERS HILL H	23.3	22.5	23.2	22.3	23.0	40	151	26.5	20	50.0	
	EAST CHAMBERS	EAST CHAMBERS	20.7	21.9	21.3	21.8	21.6	18	59	30.5	5	27.8	
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	19.1	18.7	19.7	20.3	19.7	7	36	19.4			< 5 HIGH
	JACKSONVILLE		18.2	18.9	18.9	19.1	18.9	130	244	53.3	23	17.7	
		JACKSONVILLE H	18.2	18.9	18.9	19.1	18.9	130	226	57.5	23	17.7	
	NEW SUMMERFIE				•				12				< 5 TESTED
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	21.5	21.0	22.7	21.2	21.7	43	100	43.0	12	27.9	
	WELLS ISD	WELLS H S	17.2	18.8	15.3	16.8	17.0	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	18.6	20.0	20.0	20.8	20.0	51	63	81.0	9	17.6	
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOO	19.1	19.4	20.7	20.1	20.1	9	14	64.3			< 5 HIGH
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL	19.4	20.6	19.0	20.4	20.0	5	11	45.5			< 5 HIGH
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	20.0	19.5	22.1	21.8	20.9	35	65	53.8	8	22.9	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	20.1	21.6	22.3	19.3	21.0	7	15	46.7			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
•	District name	•	-	Math.			Comp.			Tested(%)		Pcnt.	***Note****
CLAY	PETROLIA ISD	PETROLIA H S	20.1	20.5	19.7	21.2	20.4	13	23	56.5	•	•	< 5 HIGH
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD		17.2	19.5	17.9	19.1	18.6	14	22	63.6			< 5 HIGH
		MORTON H S	17.2	19.5	17.9	19.1	18.6	14	17	82.4	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	WHITEFACE CON		20.5	20.7	20.3	20.3	20.5	22	33	66.7	5	22.7	
		WHITEFACE H S	20.5	20.7	20.3	20.3	20.5	22	32	68.8	5	22.7	
COKE	BRONTE ISD	BRONTE H S	18.6	22.4	20.0	20.7	20.6	18	27	66.7			< 5 HIGH
OOKL	BROWLE 10D	BROWLE II 9	10.0	22.4	20.0	20.7	20.0	10	21	00.7	•	•	V O HIGH
	ROBERT LEE IS		18.6	18.8	18.4	19.6	18.9	16	29	55.2			< 5 HIGH
		ROBERT LEE H S	18.6	18.8	18.4	19.6	18.9	16	28	57.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD		19.5	20.0	20.5	20.9	20.3	56	69	81.2	13	23.2	
		COLEMAN H S	19.5	20.0	20.5	20.9	20.3	56	62	90.3	13	23.2	
	NOVICE ISD								4	•			< 5 TESTED
	PANTHER CREEK	PANTHER CREEK	24.3	22.3	23.7	21.8	23.1	10	16	62.5			< 5 HIGH
	SANTA ANNA IS	SANTA ANNA H S	19.5 19.2	18.2 18.5	20.2 20.7	20.2	19.7 19.9	13 11	19 17	68.4 64.7		•	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		OANTA ANNA II O	13.2	10.5	20.7	20.5	13.3		17	04.7	•	•	V 3 III GII
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	21.0	21.7	22.8	22.0	22.0	161	539	29.9	55	34.2	
	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	18.4	18.9	19.6	19.1	19.1	8	35	22.9			< 5 HIGH
	BLUE RIDGE IS	BLUE RIDGE H S	17.7	18.6	18.4	20.9	19.0	7	33	21.2	•		< 5 HIGH
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	19.6	21.0	21.6	20.9	20.9	34	64	53.1	9	26.5	
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	19.4	17.8	20.4	19.8	19.3	20	60	33.3	5	25.0	
	FARMERSVILLE	FARMERSVILLE H	18.1	19.4	18.7	19.5	19.0	14	48	29.2		•	< 5 HIGH
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	21.1	20.7	21.9	20.9	21.3	96	248	38.7	30	31.3	
	MCKINNEY ISD		21.2	21.9	22.9	21.8	22.1	199	453	43.9	71	35.7	
		MCKINNEY HIGH	21.4	22.0	22.9	21.9	22.2	193	407	47.4	70	36.3	
	PLANO ISD		23.4	24.6	24.3	23.7	24.1	1079	2323	46.4	602	55.8	
		PLANO EAST SR	23.2	24.5	24.1	23.2	23.9	296	864	34.3	152		
		PLANO SR H S PLANO WEST SEN	23.4	25.0 24.3	24.5 24.4	24.0 23.7	24.3 24.1	358 423	741 718	48.3 58.9	212 237	59.2 56.0	
			_5.5	5		,		123	. 10	55.5	_0,	23.0	
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	19.6	20.4	20.9	19.8	20.3	15	100	15.0		•	< 5 HIGH
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	23.3	24.4	23.2	23.7	23.8	24	48	50.0	12	50.0	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	20.1	20.1	21.9	21.2	21.0	99	208	47.6	28	28.3	
COLLINGSWOR	SAMNORWOOD IS	SAMNORWOOD SCH	18.0	17.4	21.2	17.6	18.8	5	6	83.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
-	District name	•	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
COLLINGSWOR	WELLINGTON IS	WELLINGTON H S	17.0	18.2	18.5	18.8	18.3	28	32	87.5	•		< 5 HIGH
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD		20.5	20.4	21.4	20.9	20.9	49	103	47.6	13	26.5	
		COLUMBUS H S	20.5	20.4	21.4	20.9	20.9	49	100	49.0	13	26.5	
	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	18.8	19.8	20.1	20.7	19.9	14	67	20.9	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	19.1	21.9	20.4	21.9	20.9	16	45	35.6	•		< 5 HIGH
COMAL	COMAL ISD		20.7	21.0	21.9	21.5	21.4	225	566	39.8	68	30.2	
		CANYON H S	20.3	20.8	21.1	20.9	20.9	104	221	47.1	28	26.9	
		SMITHSON VALLE	21.0	21.2	22.6	22.1	21.8	120	321	37.4	40	33.3	
	NEW BRAUNFELS		21.0	21.0	21.4	21.3	21.3	138	334	41.3	40	29.0	
		NEW BRAUNFELS	21.0	21.0	21.4	21.3	21.3	138	303	45.5	40	29.0	
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	18.9	19.1	21.0	19.7	19.8	33	62	53.2	7	21.2	
	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	18.2	21.3	18.7	19.9	19.6	15	34	44.1			< 5 HIGH
	GUSTINE ISD	GUSTINE SCHOOL	16.2	17.1	18.0	17.5	17.3	10	9	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	SIDNEY ISD								7				< 5 TESTED
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D	EDEN H S	17.1	18.4	17.3	18.3	17.9	26	25	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	PAINT ROCK IS	PAINT ROCK SCH	16.6	18.8	19.1	19.8	18.6	11	14	78.6			< 5 HIGH
COOKE	CALLISBURG IS	CALLISBURG H S	20.5	21.1	21.2	21.5	21.0	28	46	60.9	7	25.0	
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	20.7	19.6	22.0	20.6	20.8	12	24	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	GAINESVILLE I	GAINESVILLE H	19.6	20.0	20.6	20.1	20.2	37	135	27.4	8	21.6	
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	21.8	20.4	22.5	22.4	21.9	16	43	37.2			< 5 HIGH
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	23.9	21.2	23.2	21.4	22.5	11	25	44.0	5	45.5	
	TYC - GAINESV	GAINESVILLE ST	20.0	16.4	19.8	20.6	19.6	5	0				
	VALLEY VIEW I	VALLEY VIEW HS	22.3	24.9	22.6	22.6	23.1	7	39	17.9			< 5 HIGH
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE	COPPERAS COVE	19.9 19.9	20.1 20.1	21.1 21.1	20.9	20.6 20.6	99 99	378 371	26.2 26.7	20 20	20.2	
	EVANT ISD	EVANT H S		18.6	20.8	19.2	19.7	18	22	81.8			< 5 HIGH
	GATESVILLE IS	GATESVILLE H S	19.7	19.5	21.6	21.3	20.7	54	122	44.3		25.9	
	JONESBORO ISD	JONESBORO SCHO	20.4	20.8	22.4	22.0	21.4	5	13	38.5			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
		Campus name		Math.			Comp.			Tested(%)		Pont.	***Note***
CORYELL	OGLESBY ISD		•		•	•			11	•			< 5 TESTED
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD	PADUCAH H S	21.2	19.9	20.3	21.4	20.9	17	20	85.0	6	35.3	
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	18.3	18.7	19.3	19.2	19.0	31	72	43.1			< 5 HIGH
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO C	OZONA H S	19.9	20.5	21.3	20.0	20.6	14	45	31.1			< 5 HIGH
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD		19.6	18.9	19.5	19.8	19.6	33	35	94.3			< 5 HIGH
		CROSBYTON H S	19.6	18.9	19.5	19.8	19.6	33	33	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	LORENZO ISD	LORENZO H S	17.1	18.1	17.1	19.7	18.0	7	21	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	RALLS ISD		19.7	18.8	19.7	19.8	19.7	12	38	31.6			< 5 HIGH
		RALLS H S	19.7	18.8	19.7	19.8	19.7	12	29	41.4			< 5 HIGH
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COU	VAN HORN H S	17.6	20.3	18.9	18.5	19.0	25	42	59.5			< 5 HIGH
DALLAM	DALHART ISD		19.3	20.1	20.6	20.1	20.2	72	88	81.8	19	26.4	
DALLAW	DALHAITI 10D	DALHART H S	19.3	20.1	20.6	20.1	20.2	72	88	81.8	19	26.4	
	TEXLINE ISD	TEXLINE SCHOOL	17.6	18.4	18.9	19.0	18.5	12	11	100.0			< 5 HIGH
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER	ALPHA CHARTER						0	2				
	CARROLLTON-FA		20.5	21.9	21.3	21.1	21.3	299	1123	26.6	83	27.8	
	5, 11110221011171	CREEKVIEW H S	21.3	22.9	22.4	22.0	22.3	138	348	39.7	48	34.8	
		NEWMAN SMITH H	19.8	21.1	19.9	20.2	20.3	90	336	26.8	19	21.1	
		TURNER H S	19.8	21.0	20.8	20.7	20.7	71	392	18.1	16	22.5	
	CEDAR HILL IS	CEDAR HILL H S	19.9	19.1	20.9	20.2	20.1	147	339	43.4	30	20.4	
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	21.7	22.9	23.0	22.3	22.6	156	421	37.1	63	40.4	
	DALLAS CAN AC		13.8	15.0	14.3	16.9	14.9	8	269	3.0			< 5 HIGH
		DALLAS CAN! AC	13.8	15.0	14.3	16.9	14.9	8	134	6.0			< 5 HIGH
	DALLAS ISD	A MAGEO OMITIL	16.6	17.5	17.3	17.7	17.4	832	5581	14.9	75	9.0	40 MACKED
		A MACEO SMITH	14.8	16.0	14.5	16.6	15.8	13	134	9.7	•	•	<10 MASKED
		BOOKER T WASHI BRYAN ADAMS H	20.5 17.4	19.2 17.9	20.5 19.6	19.5 19.4	20.1 18.7	40 34	162 308	24.7 11.0		•	<10 MASKED
		DAVID W CARTER	15.7	16.9	16.6	16.3	16.5	58	280	20.7	•	:	<10 MASKED
		H GRADY SPRUCE	12.6	14.5	14.2	15.5	14.4	22	215	10.2	•	·	<10 MASKED
		HILLCREST H S	20.0	20.3	20.7	20.1	20.4	47	239	19.7	14	29.8	
		JAMES MADISON	12.8	14.9	13.7	14.8	14.2	13	130	10.0			<10 MASKED
		JUSTIN F KIMBA	13.3	15.4	14.6	15.1	14.7	51	265	19.2			<10 MASKED
		L G PINKSTON H	14.3	15.4	14.7	16.3	15.4	9	122	7.4			<10 MASKED
		LINCOLN H S	14.9	15.7	14.6	15.3	15.2	92	184	50.0			<10 MASKED
		MOISES MOLINA	13.3	16.7	14.8	16.2	15.4	9	334	2.7			<10 MASKED
		ROOSEVELT H S	11.9	14.9	14.1	15.0	14.2	21	112	18.8			<10 MASKED
		SCH OF GOVT,LA	17.0	17.8	19.2	18.3	18.2	26	67	38.8			<10 MASKED
		SCHOOL FOR THE	25.4	24.4	28.3	22.9	25.3	8	36	22.2	•	•	<10 MASKED

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	•	Eng.	Math.	Read.		Comp.	Num.		Tested(%)		Pont.	***Note****
DALLAS	DALLAS ISD	SCHOOL OF BUSI	17.6	17.8	18.5	18.6	18.2	26	104	25.0			<10 MASKED
		SCHOOL OF HEAL	19.2	18.0	19.2	18.4	18.9	35	109	32.1			<10 MASKED
		SCHOOL OF SCIE	20.2	22.9	20.4	21.3	21.3	19	86	22.1			<10 MASKED
		SEAGOVILLE H S	17.1	17.3	14.8	18.5	17.1	25	172	14.5			<10 MASKED
		SKYLINE H S	16.7	18.1	17.7	18.2	17.8	67	719	9.3			<10 MASKED
		SOUTH OAK CLIF	14.4	16.0	14.4	16.0	15.2	33	198	16.7			<10 MASKED
		SUNSET H S	17.3	16.9	18.3	18.3	17.8	37	226	16.4			<10 MASKED
		THOMAS JEFFERS	15.6	18.1	15.8	17.3	16.9	27	226	11.9			<10 MASKED
		W H ADAMSON H	12.1	16.3	13.3	15.5	14.4	18	164	11.0			<10 MASKED
		W T WHITE H S	18.4	18.9	19.6	19.7	19.3	68	275	24.7	12	17.6	
		WOODROW WILSON	23.7	22.3	24.8	23.9	23.7	19	221	8.6			<10 MASKED
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	19.5	19.5	20.3	20.0	19.9	183	305	60.0	38	20.8	
	DUNCANVILLE I		19.0	19.4	19.8	20 1	19.7	200	654	45.6	61	20.5	
	DUNGANVILLE I	DUNCANVILLE H	19.0	19.4	19.8	20.1	19.7	298 298	619	48.1	61 61	20.5	
		DUNCANVILLE H	19.0	19.4	19.0	20.1	19.7	290	019	40.1	01	20.5	
	EAGLE ADVANTA	EAGLE ADVANTAG						0	25				
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT			•	•		0	3				
	GARLAND ISD		20.2	20.8	21.1	20.6	20.8	519	2346	22.1	148	28.5	
		GARLAND H S	24.2	23.6	24.9	24.0	24.3	97	401	24.2	59	60.8	
		LAKEVIEW CENTE	18.6	18.3	20.4	18.8	19.2	41	259	15.8	5	12.2	
		N GARLAND H S	17.6	19.4	19.0	19.3	19.0	67	407	16.5	13	19.4	
		NAAMAN FOREST	20.9	21.6	21.8	21.0	21.4	116	450	25.8	39	33.6	
		ROWLETT H S	19.6	20.9	20.5	20.5	20.5	103	439	23.5	22	21.4	
		S GARLAND H S	18.1	19.0	18.9	18.5	18.7	95	376	25.3	10	10.5	
	GRAND PRAIRIE		17.8	18.9	18.8	19.6	18.9	184	845	21.8	24	13.0	
		GRAND PRAIRIE	17.3	18.2	18.9	19.7	18.6	76	395	19.2	8	10.5	
		SO GRAND PRAIR	18.1	19.4	18.8	19.6	19.1	108	411	26.3	16	14.8	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK	24.8	24.9	25.4	24.0	24.9	216	336	64.3	134	62.0	
	HONORS ACADEM							0	412				
	IRVING ISD		19.9	20.3	20.8	20.8	20.6	304	1099	27.7	77	25.3	
		IRVING H S	20.3	21.2	21.2	21.6	21.2	71	309	23.0	19	26.8	
		MACARTHUR H S	19.6	20.1	21.0	20.7	20.5	98	421	23.3	27	27.6	
		NIMITZ H S	19.8	20.0	20.5	20.4	20.3	135	364	37.1	31	23.0	
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	15.0	16.6	16.6	16.9	16.4	67	221	30.3			< 5 HIGH
	MESQUITE ISD		19.5	19.7	20.6	20.1	20.1	529	1595	33.2	97	18.3	
		MESQUITE H S	18.9	19.4	20.1	20.2	19.8	235	552	42.6	40	17.0	
		NORTH MESQUITE	20.7	20.6	22.2	20.7	21.2	91	433	21.0	23	25.3	
		RALPH H POTEET	20.1	19.9	21.2	19.9	20.4	132	321	41.1	21	15.9	
		WEST MESQUITE	18.5	18.8	19.3	19.1	19.0	70	243	28.8	13	18.6	
	RENAISSANCE C	RENAISSANCE CH				•			0				

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				· Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name	-		Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note****
DALLAS	RICHARDSON IS		22.2	22.9	23.1	22.5	22.8	671	1760	38.1	292	43.5	
		BERKNER H S	21.9	22.9	22.5	22.4	22.5	167	531	31.5	73	43.7	
		LAKE HIGHLANDS	21.8	22.5	22.5	21.7	22.3	181	441	41.0	73	40.3	
		PEARCE H S	23.2	23.7	24.6	23.7	23.9	188	415	45.3	97	51.6	
		RICHARDSON H S	21.4	22.5	22.5	22.0	22.2	135	373	36.2	49	36.3	
	RYLIE FAITH F	RYLIE FAITH FA						0	4	•		•	
	WILMER-HUTCHI		•	-					112				< 5 TESTED
	WINFREE ACADE							0	233				
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL	22.0	22.4	23.0	24.8	23.0	5	13	38.5			< 5 HIGH
	KLONDIKE ISD	KLONDIKE H S	22.9	23.5	21.2	21.7	22.5	13	14	92.9			< 5 HIGH
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	19.7	21.0	21.6	21.0	20.9	78	144	54.2	19	24.4	
	SANDS CISD	SANDS H S	18.3	19.2	18.0	19.3	18.7	6	14	42.9			< 5 HIGH
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD		19.3	19.8	20.1	20.3	20.0	95	223	42.6	22	23.2	
DET II GINITITI	TIETIET OND 10D	HEREFORD H S	19.3	19.8	20.1	20.3	20.0	95	223	42.6	22	23.2	
DELTA	COOPER ISD	COOPER H S	19.0	20.3	20.4	20.7	20.3	23	37	62.2	5	21.7	
	FANNINDEL ISD	FANNINDEL H S	18.2	18.8	17.8	19.8	18.8	5	7	71.4			< 5 HIGH
DENTON	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	19.7	18.3	19.3	20.0	19.5	20	55	36.4		•	< 5 HIGH
	DENTON ISD		20.5	20.7	21.4	20.7	20.9	221	623	35.5	65	29.4	
		DENTON H S	20.7	21.4	21.5	21.1	21.3	118	315	37.5	37	31.4	
		RYAN H S	20.3	20.0	21.2	20.2	20.6	99	283	35.0	28	28.3	
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	21.0	22.0	21.4	21.0	21.3	7	44	15.9			< 5 HIGH
	LAKE DALLAS I	LAKE DALLAS H	22.8	21.5	23.1	22.1	22.5	35	148	23.6	14	40.0	
	LEWISVILLE IS		20.5	21.0	21.7	21.1	21.3	1166	1797	64.9	362	31.0	
		LEWISVILLE H S	20.1	20.9	21.4	21.0	21.0	409	627	65.2	109	26.7	
		MARCUS H S	21.1	21.2	22.4	21.4	21.7	400	626	63.9	146	36.5	
		THE COLONY H S	20.4	20.9	21.4	21.0	21.1	354	511	69.3	105	29.7	
	LITTLE ELM IS	LITTLE ELM H S	17.4	17.8	17.3	18.7	17.8	11	68	16.2			< 5 HIGH
	NORTHWEST ISD		19.6	20.2	21.1	20.9	20.6	71	261	27.2	16	22.5	
		NORTHWEST H S	19.6	20.2	21.1	20.9	20.6	71	248	28.6	16	22.5	
	PILOT POINT I	PILOT POINT H	21.7	21.1	21.7	22.6	21.9	35	58	60.3	11	31.4	
	PONDER ISD				•	-			22	·			< 5 TESTED
	SANGER ISD		19.4	19.8	20.5	20.3	20.1	58	139	41.7	13	22.4	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	·		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name	-			Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
DENTON	SANGER ISD	SANGER H S	19.4	19.8	20.5	20.3	20.1	58	103	56.3	13	22.4	
	UNIVERSITY OF	TEXAS ACADEMY	28.3	31.7	28.6	27.5	29.2	22	0		21	95.5	
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	19.9	20.5	20.2	20.6	20.4	72	116	62.1	22	30.6	
	NORDHEIM ISD	NORDHEIM SCHOO				•		0	4				
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	22.1	20.7	22.5	21.6	21.9	44	94	46.8	13	29.5	
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	18.8	19.7	19.2	20.4	19.7	12	51	23.5			< 5 HIGH
DICKENS	PATTON SPRING	PATTON SPRINGS	21.2	20.3	22.8	19.7	21.0	6	8	75.0		•	< 5 HIGH
	SPUR ISD	SPUR SCHOOL	20.1	19.5	21.1	21.1	20.4	12	13	92.3			< 5 HIGH
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRIN	CARRIZO SPRING	16.3	17.8	18.0	17.7	17.6	47	123	38.2	6	12.8	
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD	CLARENDON H S	20.1	18.6	21.6	20.5	20.3	39	47	83.0	8	20.5	
	HEDLEY ISD	HEDLEY SCHOOL	16.7	18.9	17.4	19.4	18.1	7	10	70.0		•	< 5 HIGH
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD	BENAVIDES H S	15.6	15.6	15.4	16.6	16.0	23	33	69.7			< 5 HIGH
	FREER ISD	FREER H S	17.4	19.2	17.9	17.7	18.2	43	53	81.1	6	14.0	
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	16.7	16.0	16.0	17.0	16.5	48	98	49.0			< 5 HIGH
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	20.0	21.5	20.1	21.8	21.0	25	57	43.9	7	28.0	
	EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND H S	19.8	19.8	20.8	21.3	20.6	20	70	28.6			< 5 HIGH
	GORMAN ISD	GORMAN H S	19.3	19.3	18.9	19.5	19.2	16	29	55.2		•	< 5 HIGH
	RANGER ISD	RANGER H S	16.9	18.1	17.7	18.4	17.9	18	24	75.0			< 5 HIGH
	RISING STAR I	RISING STAR H	19.5	17.3	20.2	19.7	19.5	10	14	71.4			< 5 HIGH
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY		19.3	19.5	20.0	20.2	19.9	149	1393	10.7	31	20.8	
		CAREER CTR	15.0	16.8	16.8	17.6	17.0	5	217	2.3	•	•	<20 MASKED
		ODESSA H S PERMIAN H S	19.4 19.5	20.0 19.3	20.4 20.0	20.1	20.1 19.9	67 76	515 525	13.0 14.5			<20 MASKED <20 MASKED
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON	NUECES CANYON	20.2	19.4	20.9	22.0	20.9	14	22	63.6			< 5 HIGH
	ROCKSPRINGS I	ROCKSPRINGS H	16.6	18.1	17.1	17.8	17.5	18	27	66.7			< 5 HIGH
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S	14.5	16.1	16.3	16.3	16.0	35	46	76.1			< 5 HIGH
	BURNHAM WOOD	BURNHAM WOOD C						0	3				
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	16.7	17.4	17.8	18.0	17.6	76	179	42.5			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Mo	an coon			Tostod	Gr	aduates		above	
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
•			-							. ,			
EL DAGO	OLINIT TOD		45.4	46.7	47.5	46.6	40.0	00	000	0.7			4 E UTOU
EL PASO	CLINT ISD	CLINT H S	15.1 18.7	16.7 17.5	17.5 19.6	16.6 18.3	16.6 18.7	29 12	299 171	9.7 7.0	:		< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		MOUNTAIN VIEW	12.6	16.1	16.0	15.4	15.2	17	128	13.3			< 5 HIGH
								• • •			•	•	3
	EL PASO ACADE	EL PASO ACADEM						0	108	•			
	EL PASO ISD		20.3	21.3	21.3	20.7	21.0	442	2893	15.3	121	27.4	
		ANDRESS H S	19.7	19.5	20.0	19.4	19.7	55	331	16.6	7	12.7	
		AUSTIN H S	20.1	20.5	21.7	20.6	20.8	32	237	13.5	9	28.1	
		BOWIE H S	15.4	18.5	16.1	17.0	16.8	22	242	9.1	•		< 5 HIGH
		BURGES H S	18.0	19.6	19.6	20.2	19.5	33	271	12.2	7	21.2	
		CORONADO H S	22.2	22.4	23.0	22.0	22.5	64	417	15.3	25	39.1	. 5 117011
		EL PASO H S	17.6	20.1	19.1	19.0	19.2	17	206	8.3			< 5 HIGH
		FRANKLIN H S IRVIN H S	22.1 18.3	22.8 19.0	23.0 20.1	22.1 19.4	22.6 19.3	108 19	459 321	23.5 5.9	45	41.7	< 5 HIGH
		SILVA HEALTH M	20.3	22.3	21.6	20.8	21.3	89	186	47.8	19	21.3	< 5 nigh
		OTEVA HEALIN W	20.0	22.0	21.0	20.0	21.0	09	100	47.0	19	21.0	
	FABENS ISD		14.4	17.3	16.1	16.7	16.2	39	129	30.2			< 5 HIGH
		FABENS H S	14.4	17.3	16.1	16.7	16.2	39	115	33.9			< 5 HIGH
	PASO DEL NORT	PASO DEL NORTE						0	60				
	SAN ELIZARIO	SAN ELIZARIO H	15.5	18.2	17.6	17.3	17.3	43	130	33.1			< 5 HIGH
	0000000 100		40.4	10.0	40.0	10.5	40.4	405	1015	40.0	05	40.0	
	SOCORRO ISD	AMERICAS H S	18.1 18.9	19.2 19.2	19.2 20.0	19.5 19.9	19.1 19.6	195 97	1215 372	16.0 26.1	25 14	12.8 14.4	
		MONTWOOD H S	18.5	20.1	17.8	19.5	19.0	35	432	8.1		14.4	<10 MASKED
		SOCORRO H S	16.8	18.6	18.6	19.0	18.4	63	411	15.3			<10 MASKED
									• • • •		•	•	
	TORNILLO ISD								34	•			< 5 TESTED
	YSLETA ISD		18.2	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.0	176	2515	7.0	23	13.1	
		BEL AIR H S	18.1	18.6	18.5	19.2	18.7	30	404	7.4			< 5 HIGH
		DEL VALLE H S	17.4	18.6	17.9	18.8	18.3	32	345	9.3			< 5 HIGH
		EASTWOOD H S	19.3	20.5	20.5	20.6	20.3	30	399	7.5	•		< 5 HIGH
		J M HANKS H S	20.9	20.5	21.0	20.5	20.8	31	535	5.8	8	25.8	
		PARKLAND H S	17.4	18.4	21.0	20.6	19.5	11	201	5.5	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		RIVERSIDE H S	16.5	18.4	17.0	18.0	17.8	8	213	3.8	•	•	< 5 HIGH
		YSLETA H S	10.4	17.9	17.1	17.3	17.3	34	255	13.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
ELLIS	AVALON ISD								16				< 5 TESTED
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	18.6	18.5	19.4	20.0	19.2	134	210	63.8	22	16.4	
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S	18.0	21.9	19.0	20.1	19.9	15	77	19.5			< 5 HIGH
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	18.5	18.6	18.8	19.4	18.9	18	34	52.9	•		< 5 HIGH
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	22.9	21.5	25.2	22.8	23.3	10	40	25.0	6	60.0	
	MIDLOTHIAN IS	MIDLOTHIAN H S	20.8	20.9	22.2	21.5	21.5	138	210	65.7	41	29.7	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Ū	Math.			Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note****
ELLIS	MILFORD ISD								9				< 5 TESTED
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	19.9	19.0	19.9	19.9	19.8	24	54	44.4			< 5 HIGH
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	19.9	20.5	20.6	20.3	20.4	133	214	62.1	27	20.3	
	WAXAHACHIE IS	WAXAHACHIE H S	21.1 21.2	20.3 20.5	21.9 22.0	21.0 21.1	21.2 21.4	103 101	325 320	31.7 31.6	34 34	33.0 33.7	
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	18.1	19.7	19.7	19.5	19.3	15	57	26.3			< 5 HIGH
	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOO	19.8	22.3	20.5	19.4	20.5	11	12	91.7			< 5 HIGH
	LINGLEVILLE I	LINGLEVILLE SC	20.5	19.2	19.3	20.2	20.0	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	PARADIGM ACCE	PARADIGM ACCEL						0	13				
	STEPHENVILLE	STEPHENVILLE H	19.6	20.3	20.3	20.6	20.3	110	180	61.1	29	26.4	
FALLS	CHILTON ISD				•		•		20			•	< 5 TESTED
	MARLIN ISD	MARLIN H S	15.4	17.4	16.6	17.3	16.8	19	71	26.8			< 5 HIGH
	ROSEBUD-LOTT	ROSEBUD-LOTT H	21.6	22.4	22.7	23.2	22.6	41	67	61.2	19	46.3	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	20.3	18.8	21.8	20.6	20.5	44	91	48.4	6	13.6	
	DODD CITY ISD	DODD CITY SCHO	18.6	19.1	17.3	19.0	18.6	7	10	70.0		·	< 5 HIGH
	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL	17.2	16.8	18.8	18.1	17.9	9	9	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	HONEY GROVE I	HONEY GROVE H	17.7	18.2	18.7	18.6	18.4	26	52	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	LEONARD ISD	LEONARD HIGH S	19.9	19.1	21.7	19.7	20.2	15	39	38.5			< 5 HIGH
	SAM RAYBURN I	RAYBURN H S	18.1	18.3	20.6	19.7	19.3	19	27	70.4		•	< 5 HIGH
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S	19.2	20.7	20.4	21.4	20.4	10	17	58.8			< 5 HIGH
	TRENTON ISD	TRENTON H S	20.7	18.0	22.4	21.7	20.8	9	22	40.9		•	< 5 HIGH
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE	FAYETTEVILLE H	18.4	21.6	17.0	19.4	19.2	5	16	31.3			< 5 HIGH
	FLATONIA ISD	FLATONIA SECON	19.9	20.1	20.0	21.4	20.4	25	38	65.8	7	28.0	
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	22.5	20.7	20.8	20.9	21.5	10	109	9.2			< 5 HIGH
	ROUND TOP-CAR	ROUND TOP-CARM						0	23				
	SCHULENBURG I	SCHULENBURG H	23.6	21.4	24.4	21.2	22.8	5	46	10.9			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name	-		Read.	Sci.	Comp.			Tested(%)		Pont.	***Note***
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD	ROBY H S	17.4	17.7	18.1	17.8	17.9	15	23	65.2		•	< 5 HIGH
	ROTAN ISD	ROTAN H S	17.9	18.9	19.3	19.0	19.0	22	28	78.6			< 5 HIGH
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD		20.3	22.4	20.2	20.1	20.9	22	49	44.9	9	40.9	
		FLOYDADA H S	20.3	22.4	20.2	20.1	20.9	22	34	64.7	9	40.9	
	LOCKNEY ISD	LOCKNEY H S	18.8	20.2	19.7	20.0	19.8	33	52	63.5	8	24.2	
FOARD	CROWELL ISD	CROWELL H S	17.5	17.5	18.3	17.3	17.6	11	18	61.1	•		< 5 HIGH
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD		19.8	21.2	20.8	20.7	20.8	913	3171	28.8	256	28.0	
		CLEMENTS H S	21.6	24.1	22.3	22.5	22.7	163	604	27.0	67	41.1	
		DULLES H S	20.2	21.9	21.8	20.7	21.3	79	418	18.9			<30 MASKED
		HIGHTOWER H S	18.1	19.1	18.9	19.0	19.0	100	298	33.6			<30 MASKED
		KEMPNER H S	19.7	21.7	20.9	21.2	21.0	96	483	19.9			<30 MASKED
		LAWRENCE E ELK	20.0	20.8	21.2	20.9	20.9	186	435	42.8	55	29.6	
		STEPHEN F AUST	20.7	22.1	22.0	21.5	21.7	194	594	32.7	61	31.4	
		WILLOWRIDGE H	15.9	16.5	15.9	17.1	16.5	95	309	30.7		•	<30 MASKED
	LAMAR CONSOLI		19.0	19.7	20.2	20.2	19.9	272	727	37.4	61	22.4	
		B F TERRY H S	18.5	19.3	19.8	19.8	19.5	176	369	47.7	33	18.8	
		LAMAR CONS H S	19.9	20.5	20.9	20.8	20.6	95	323	29.4	28	29.5	
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	20.8	20.3	21.1	21.6	21.1	78	160	48.8	21	26.9	
	STAFFORD MUNI	STAFFORD HIGH	19.4	20.4	19.7	21.1	20.3	23	140	16.4	6	26.1	
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON	MT VERNON H S	21.4	19.4	21.4	21.2	21.0	41	69	59.4	11	26.8	
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	20.0	21.1	20.9	20.5	20.8	52	93	55.9	18	34.6	
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	20.7	20.2	22.6	21.4	21.3	23	60	38.3	8	34.8	
	WORTHAM ISD	WORTHAM H S	18.6	18.6	18.8	19.6	19.1	17	21	81.0			< 5 HIGH
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S	17.6	17.9	19.1	18.4	18.3	23	37	62.2			< 5 HIGH
	PEARSALL ISD		17.5	18.6	18.6	18.9	18.4	47	112	42.0	6	12.8	
		PEARSALL H S	17.5	18.6	18.6	18.9	18.4	47	110	42.7	6	12.8	
GAINES	LOOP ISD		•		•				15			•	< 5 TESTED
	SEAGRAVES ISD	SEAGRAVES H S	18.3	18.9	19.6	20.5	19.5	19	32	59.4			< 5 HIGH
	SEMINOLE ISD		18.4	19.8	19.8	20.8	19.9	21	123	17.1	5	23.8	
		SEMINOLE H S	18.4		19.8	20.8	19.9	21	113	18.6	5		
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK I		21.3	22.6	22.5	22.3	22.3	333	1518	21.9	132	39.6	
		CLEAR BROOK H	20.8	22.0	22.3	22.0	21.8	91	403	22.6	33	36.3	
		CLEAR CREEK H	20.0	21.3	21.3	21.3	21.1	115	479	24.0	36	31.3	
		CLEAR LAKE H S	22.8	24.2	23.7	23.3	23.6	126	595	21.2	63	50.0	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Tested Graduates ne Eng. Math. Read. Sci. Comp. Num. Num. Tested(%)						Exami at or crite	above			
•	District name	Campus name					•			٠,			***Note***
GALVESTON		DICKINSON H S	21.2	21.9	21.9	22.8	21.9	13	229	5.7	5	38.5	
	FRIENDSWOOD I	FRIENDSWOOD H	22.2	23.5	22.6	22.3	22.8	108	351	30.8	43	39.8	
	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	19.2	21.8	21.1	20.2	20.7	52	404	12.9	13	25.0	
	HIGH ISLAND I								21				< 5 TESTED
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S	10.2	12.8	12.2	13.2	12.2	5	57	8.8			< 5 HIGH
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	16.1	17.1	17.5	17.9	17.2	44	211	20.9			< 5 HIGH
	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	19.4	20.0	22.1	20.7	20.6	7	264	2.7			< 5 HIGH
	TEXAS CITY IS	TEXAS CITY H S	18.3	18.9	20.3	19.5	19.4	30	305	9.8			< 5 HIGH
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	19.4	21.0	19.2	19.3	19.9	24	48	50.0	6	25.0	
	SOUTHLAND ISD	SOUTHLAND SCHO	17.4	18.1	19.1	20.1	18.7	7	13	53.8			< 5 HIGH
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBUR		19.9	19.9	19.7	20.8	20.2	84	225	37.3	14	16.7	
		FREDERICKSBURG	19.9	19.9	19.7	20.8	20.2	84	200	42.0	14	16.7	
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	22.0	20.8	23.2	22.8	22.4	13	18	72.2		•	< 5 HIGH
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COU	GLASSCOCK COUN	20.0	22.5	20.6	22.3	21.4	16	23	69.6	7	43.8	
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	18.3	18.5	18.9	18.9	18.8	32	81	39.5			< 5 HIGH
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD		17.6	19.1	18.0	18.6	18.4	70	122	57.4	10	14.3	
		GONZALES H S	17.6	19.1	18.0	18.6	18.4	70	113	61.9	10	14.3	
	NIXON-SMILEY	NIXON-SMILEY H	19.3	20.1	20.2	20.6	20.1	35	56	62.5	6	17.1	
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S	12.7	15.9	13.6	15.9	14.6	10	11	90.9			< 5 HIGH
GRAY	LEFORS ISD	LEFORS SCHOOL						0	4				
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL	20.1	18.0	22.6	19.9	20.3	11	16	68.8			< 5 HIGH
	PAMPA ISD	PAMPA H S	21.8 21.8	21.1 21.1	22.4 22.4	21.6 21.6	21.9 21.9	119 119	238 230	50.0 51.7	41 41	34.5 34.5	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	20.5	22.0	20.8	21.1	21.4	11	36	30.6	5	45.5	
	COLLINSVILLE	COLLINSVILLE H	18.0	18.9	19.2	19.8	19.1	37	35	100.0	6	16.2	
	DENISON ISD	DENISON H S	20.4	20.9	21.4 21.4	21.2 21.2	21.1	96 96	238 227	40.3 42.3	27 27	28.1 28.1	
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	19.4	20.0	19.8	21.3	20.3	28	38	73.7	7	25.0	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	e		Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
•		Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	
GRAYSON	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	22.8	21.4	22.8	22.2	22.4	35	65	53.8	13	37.1	
	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	19.9	20.0	21.3	21.8	20.8	34	79	43.0	9	26.5	
	S AND S CONS	S AND S CONS H	21.5	20.6	21.2	20.3	21.0	35	52	67.3	11	31.4	
	SHERMAN ISD	SHERMAN HIGH S	21.3 21.3	22.0 22.0	21.8 21.8	21.4 21.4	21.7 21.7	51 51	287 252	17.8 20.2	19 19	37.3 37.3	
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	19.8	19.2	20.0	19.1	19.6	30	47	63.8			< 5 HIGH
	VAN ALSTYNE I	VAN ALSTYNE H	23.3	19.2	21.0	20.0	20.8	6	60	10.0			< 5 HIGH
	WHITESBORO IS	WHITESBORO H S	19.7	19.7	19.9	19.8	19.9	40	71	56.3			< 5 HIGH
	WHITEWRIGHT I								33				< 5 TESTED
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CH	EAST TEXAS CHA						0	68		·		
	GLADEWATER IS	GLADEWATER H S	19.0	19.2	19.4	19.9	19.6	38	113	33.6	7	18.4	
	KILGORE ISD	KILGORE H S	18.6 18.6	18.7 18.7	19.4 19.4	19.3 19.3	19.1 19.1	87 84	207 194	42.0 43.3	13 13	14.9 15.5	
	LONOVIEW TOD	KILGONE II O											
	LONGVIEW ISD	LONGVIEW H S	19.7 19.7	20.7 20.7	20.5 20.5	20.3	20.4	112 112	331 331	33.8 33.8	27 27	24.1 24.1	
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	21.8	22.1	23.1	22.6	22.5	111	256	43.4	49	44.1	
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	21.0	19.4	23.0	21.4	21.2	21	74	28.4	5	23.8	
	SPRING HILL I	SPRING HILL H	21.6	21.2	21.5	20.9	21.4	65	94	69.1	19	29.2	
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	21.1	20.8	22.5	21.3	21.6	59	87	67.8	24	40.7	
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIR	ANDERSON-SHIRO	19.5	19.8	20.1	21.4	20.4	11	36	30.6			< 5 HIGH
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	20.3	20.0	19.8	21.1	20.5	15	27	55.6			< 5 HIGH
	NAVASOTA ISD		18.4	19.8	18.9	19.2	19.2	39	153	25.5		17.9	
		NAVASOTA H S	18.4	19.8	18.9	19.2	19.2	39	148	26.4	7	17.9	
	RICHARDS ISD		·	•	•	•	•	•	15	ē	·		< 5 TESTED
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD	MARION H S	18.8 18.8	20.6 20.6	19.6 19.6	20.5 20.5	20.1 20.1	28 28	71 67	39.4 41.8	6 6	21.4 21.4	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	20.9	21.6	19.5	20.2	20.8	25	53	47.2	7	28.0	
	SCHERTZ-CIBOL		20.2	21.2	20.9	21.0	21.0	184	436	42.2	48	26.1	
		SAMUEL CLEMENS	20.2	21.2	20.9	21.0	21.0	184	412	44.7	48	26.1	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Examinee at or ab Mean score Tested Graduates criterio ame Eng. Math. Read. Sci. Comp. Num. Num. Tested(%) Num. Pc						above				
•	District name	Campus name								` ,			***Note***
GUADALUPE	SEGUIN ISD	OFOUTN LITOU CO	19.9	20.3	20.8	20.7	20.5	121	408	29.7	25	20.7	
		SEGUIN HIGH SC	19.9	20.3	20.8	20.7	20.5	121	330	36.7	25	20.7	
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	19.4	19.1	19.8	20.4	19.7	25	39	64.1	7	28.0	
	COTTON CENTER	COTTON CENTER	17.2	19.4	17.9	18.7	18.3	9	16	56.3			< 5 HIGH
	HALE CENTER I	HALE CENTER H	18.9	17.5	18.1	19.8	18.6	23	34	67.6	•		< 5 HIGH
	PETERSBURG IS	PETERSBURG H S	17.1	19.7	19.8	19.7	19.1	24	21	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	PLAINVIEW ISD		18.4	19.3	19.9	20.2	19.5	100	319	31.3	16	16.0	
		PLAINVIEW HIGH	18.4	19.3	19.9	20.2	19.6	98	282	34.8	16	16.3	
HALL	MEMPHIS ISD	MEMPHIS H S	15.2	16.8	17.0	17.0	16.7	24	34	70.6			< 5 HIGH
	TURKEY-QUITAQ	VALLEY SCHOOL	18.5	18.6	19.6	20.8	19.5	13	20	65.0			< 5 HIGH
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S	19.7	20.3	20.1	20.6	20.3	48	46	100.0	9	18.8	
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	19.6	21.3	20.6	20.6	20.7	23	36	63.9	5	21.7	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD	GRUVER H S	19.8	21.4	19.9	20.8	20.6	39	38	100.0	7	17.9	
	SPEARMAN ISD	SPEARMAN H S	23.3	20.9	23.0	22.1	22.5	44	57	77.2	17	38.6	
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE I							•	11				< 5 TESTED
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S	20.5	19.3	19.1	19.5	19.7	32	31	100.0			< 5 HIGH
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFER		18.1	20.1	20.2	20.1	19.8	15	161	9.3			< 5 HIGH
		HARDIN-JEFFERS	18.1	20.1	20.2	20.1	19.8	15	160	9.4	•		< 5 HIGH
	KOUNTZE ISD			•					70				< 5 TESTED
	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	18.4	17.8	18.7	20.1	18.8	26	197	13.2			< 5 HIGH
	SILSBEE ISD		17.8	18.8	19.4	18.3	18.7	19	217	8.8			< 5 HIGH
		SILSBEE H S	17.8	18.8	19.4	18.3	18.7	19	217	8.8			< 5 HIGH
	WEST HARDIN C	WEST HARDIN H	19.0	17.7	19.8	19.9	19.3	12	53	22.6			< 5 HIGH
HARRIS	ACADEMY OF AC	ACADEMY OF ACC						0	31				
	ALDINE ISD		16.2	17.8	16.7	17.4	17.2	314	1812	17.3	17	5.4	
		ALDINE H S	15.1	16.8	15.9	16.5	16.3	80	368	21.7			<10 MASKED
		CARVER H S FO	15.8	17.2	16.9	18.0	17.0	12	116	10.3			<10 MASKED
		CHESTER W NIMI	17.6	19.2	17.9	18.6	18.5	76	370	20.5			<10 MASKED
		EISENHOWER H S	16.3	17.5	16.9	17.4	17.1	115	473	24.3			<10 MASKED
		MACARTHUR H S	14.7	18.5	15.3	16.5	16.3	26	354	7.3		•	<10 MASKED
		W T HALL SCHOO	17.8	17.2	16.8	18.0	17.6	5	131	3.8			<10 MASKED

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

MARTIS   MAIF   TITLE   MAIF					Mo	an score	a		heteaT	Gr	raduates		above	
HARRIS  ALIEF ISD  ELSIK H S 16.9 18.8 17.4 18.9 18.1 16.5 750 20.4 45 10.7 17.2 19.6 18.8 17.4 18.9 18.1 15.3 750 20.4 45 10.7 17.2 19.0 17.9 18.5 16.6 755 21.1 18 10.8 10.8 18.1 17.2 19.0 17.9 18.7 18.5 16.6 755 21.1 18 10.8 10.8 18.1 18.1 18.3 18.2 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5	County name	District name												***Note***
ELSIK H S   16.9   18.8   17.4   19.9   18.1   15.3   750   20.4   11   7.2											٠,			
ELSIK H S   16.9   18.8   17.4   19.9   18.1   15.3   750   20.4   11   7.2														
HASTINIOS IN SERRIN S 17.7 19.0 17.9 18.7 18.5 166 785 21.1 18 10.8 KERR H S 21.2 20.7 22.4 21.5 21.5 652 117 44.4 16 30.8 ALPHONSO CRUIT C 21.2 20.7 22.4 21.5 21.5 652 117 44.4 16 30.8 ALPHONSO CRUIT C 21.2 20.7 22.4 21.5 21.5 21.5 652 117 44.4 16 30.8 ALPHONSO CRUIT C 21.2 21.0 18.8 10.8 ALPHONSO CRUIT C 21.2 21.0 2.0 18.8 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	HARRIS	ALIEF ISD				18.3	19.2					45		
ALPHONSO CRUT ALPHONSO CRUTC  ALPHONSO CRUT ALPHONSO CRUTC  AMERICAN ACAD  AMERICAN ACAD  AMERICAN ACAD  BAY AREA CH4R  BU WHITE MENOR  CALVIN NELMS  CALVIN NELMS  CHANNELVIEW II  CHANNELVIEW II  CHANNELVIEW II  COMQUEST ACAD  COMQUEST ACAD  COMSSROAD COMM  CYPRESS FAILS  CYPRESS FALLS  CYPRESS FALLS  CYPRESS FALLS  CYPRESS FALLS  CYPRESS FALLS  CHANNELVILAGE  LAMPHAN GREEK  MINDFERN H S  COLD 19.2  20.1  21.0														
ALPHONSO CRUT  AMERICAN ACAD  AMERICAN ACAD  BAY AREA CHAR  ED WHITE MEMOR  BENLI'S SPECI  CALVIN NELMS  CHANNELVIEW II  CHANN														
AMERICAN ACAD AMERICAN ACADE  BAY AREA CHAR ED WHITE MEMOR  CALVIN NELMS  CHANNELVIEW II  CHANNELVIEW TI  CHANNELVIEW TI  CHANNELVIEW TI  CHANNELVIEW TI  CHANNELVIEW TI  CHANNELVIEW TI  BB.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 269 11.2 < 5 HIGH  COMOUEST ACADE  CROSSPY ISO  CROSSPOADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  DEER PARK ISO  SERVE ISO  17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.4 198 919 21.5 12.6 22 25.3  4.50 MASKED  4.50			KERR H S	21.2	20.7	22.4	21.5	21.5	52	117	44.4	16	30.8	
AMERICAN ACAD AMERICAN ACADE  BAY AREA CHAR ED WHITE MEMOR  BENJI'S SPECI BENJI'S SPECIA  CALVIN NELMS  CHANNELVIEW II  CHANNELVIEW III  CHANNELVIEW III  CHANNELVIEW III  CHANNELVIEW III  B18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 269 11.2 < 5 HIGH  COMOUEST ACAD  COMOUEST ACAD  CROSSPY ISD  CROSSPY ISD  CROSSPOADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CROSSROADS CO  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  CYPRESS FALIB  DEER PARK ISD  SERSEY VILLAGE  19.0 19.9 20.1 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 661 12.6 22 25.3 30.9 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 4		AL DUOLIGO ODUT	AL DUONOG ODUTO						•	07				
BAY AREA CHAR ED WHITE MEMOR		ALPHONSO CRUT	ALPHONSO CRUIC	•	•	•	•		U	37	•	•		
BAY AREA CHAR ED WHITE MEMOR		AMERICAN ACAD	AMERICAN ACADE						0	18				
BENJI'S SPECI BENJI'S SPECIA		AWEITIOAN AOAD	AWEITIOAN AOADE	•	•	•	•	•	Ü	10	•	•	•	
BENJI'S SPECI BENJI'S SPECIA		BAY AREA CHAR	FD WHITE MEMOR						0	24	_			
CALVIN NELMS		DATE THE CONTRACT	LD MITTE MEMOR	•	•	•	•	•	ŭ		•	•	•	
CHANNELVIEW I 1 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 269 11.2 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.2 20.7 19.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6		BENJI'S SPECI	BENJI'S SPECIA						0	2				
CHANNELVIEW I 1 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 269 11.2 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH CHANNELVIEW HI 18.2 20.7 19.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6														
CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH  COMQUEST ACAD COMQUEST ACADE		CALVIN NELMS								31				< 5 TESTED
CHANNELVIEW HI 18.3 19.2 19.9 19.4 19.3 30 264 11.4 < 5 HIGH  COMQUEST ACAD COMQUEST ACADE														
COMQUEST ACAD COMQUEST ACADE		CHANNELVIEW I		18.3	19.2	19.9	19.4	19.3	30	269	11.2			< 5 HIGH
CROSBY ISD CROSBY HIGH SC 19.3 19.7 19.2 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2  CROSSROADS CO CROSSROAD COMM			CHANNELVIEW HI	18.3	19.2	19.9	19.4	19.3	30	264	11.4			< 5 HIGH
CROSBY ISD CROSBY HIGH SC 19.3 19.7 19.2 19.6 19.6 128 242 52.9 22 17.2  CROSSROADS CO CROSSROAD COMM														
CROSSROADS CO CROSSROAD COMM		COMQUEST ACAD	COMQUEST ACADE	•		•	•		0	27	-			
CROSSROADS CO CROSSROAD COMM														
CYPRESS-FAIRB  CY-FAIR H S  CY-		CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SC	19.3	19.7	19.2	19.6	19.6	128	242	52.9	22	17.2	
CYPRESS-FAIRB  CY-FAIR H S  CY-		CDOCCDOADC CO	CDOCCDOAD COM						0	4.4				
CY-FAIR H S		CHUSSHUADS CU	CHOSSHOAD COMM	•	•	•	•		U	11	•	•		
CY-FAIR H S		CVDDESS EVIDD		20. 7	22.2	22.0	22 1	21.0	11/2	3354	24 1	300	3/ 0	
CYPRESS CREEK 21.3 23.0 22.1 22.3 22.3 201 598 33.6 77 38.3 CYPRESS FALLS 19.8 22.3 21.3 21.8 21.4 200 547 36.6 64 32.0 CYPRESS SPRING 20.8 21.9 21.7 21.8 21.7 115 406 28.3 <50 MASKED JERSEY VILLAGE 19.6 21.6 21.4 21.3 21.1 175 588 29.8 52 29.7 LANGHAM CREEK 20.1 21.6 21.1 21.5 21.2 188 522 36.0 58 30.9 WINDFERN H S 20.0 19.2 20.8 18.8 19.8 5 132 3.8 <50 MASKED DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S 19.3 21.2 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 691 12.6 22 25.3 CALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I H. 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 <20 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4 <20 MASKED GEORGE I SANC		OTFILOS-TAIND	CV-FATE H S											
CYPRESS FALLS 19.8 22.3 21.3 21.8 21.4 200 547 36.6 64 32.0 CYPRESS SPRING 20.8 21.9 21.7 21.8 21.7 115 406 28.3 <50 MASKED JERSEY VILLAGE 19.6 21.6 21.4 21.3 21.1 175 588 29.8 52 29.7 LANGHAM CREEK 20.1 21.6 21.1 21.5 21.2 188 522 36.0 58 30.9 WINDFERN H S 20.0 19.2 20.8 18.8 19.8 5 132 3.8 <50 MASKED DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S 19.3 21.2 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 691 12.6 22 25.3   GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 <20 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4 <20 MASKED GEORGE I SANC														
CYPRESS SPRING 20.8 21.9 21.7 21.8 21.7 115 406 28.3 <50 MASKED JERSEY VILLAGE 19.6 21.6 21.4 21.3 21.1 175 588 29.8 52 29.7 LANGHAM CREEK 20.1 21.6 21.1 21.5 21.2 188 522 36.0 58 30.9 WINDFERN H S 20.0 19.2 20.8 18.8 19.8 5 132 3.8 <50 MASKED DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S 19.3 21.2 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 691 12.6 22 25.3    GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 17.4 18.1 17.4 198 919 21.5 12 6.1 GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 <20 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4 <20 MASKED GEORGE I SANC														
JERSEY VILLAGE														<50 MASKED
LANGHAM CREEK VINDFERN H S 20.0 19.2 20.8 18.8 19.8 5 132 36.0 58 30.9 VINDFERN H S 20.0 19.2 20.8 18.8 19.8 5 132 3.8 <50 MASKED  DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S 19.3 21.2 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 691 12.6 22 25.3 20.4 15.9 22 25.3 20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4 20.4														00 111 101.125
DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S														
DEER PARK ISD DEER PARK H S 19.3 21.2 19.8 20.3 20.3 87 691 12.6 22 25.3  GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 <20 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4 <5 TESTED  GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE I SANC  LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC  LEE H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC  LEE H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC  LEE H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED														<50 MASKED
GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK H 16.4 17.2 17.4 18.1 17.4 198 919 21.5 12 6.1 GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 420 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4														
GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK I GALENA PARK H 14.8 16.5 16.3 17.2 16.3 52 301 17.3 <20 MASKED NORTH SHORE SR 17.0 17.5 17.7 18.4 17.8 145 595 24.4 <20 MASKED GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE GIRLS & BOYS P 17.8 15.0 15.7 15.3 16.2 6 18 33.3 <5 High GOOSE CREEK C 19.0 19.9 20.1 19.7 19.8 92 893 10.3 19 20.7  LEE H S STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC  GALENA PARK I 18.1 17.4 198 919 21.5 12 6.1 17.3 <20 MASKED 17.4 18.1 17.4 19.8 919 17.5 16.3 595 24.4 <20 MASKED 17.6 18.3 33.3 <5 High 18.6 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED 18.6 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED		DEER PARK ISD		19.3	21.2	19.8	20.3	20.3	87	691	12.6	22	25.3	
GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE			DEER PARK H S	19.3	21.2	19.8	20.3	20.3	87	546	15.9	22	25.3	
GEORGE I SANC  GEORGE														
NORTH SHORE SR   17.0   17.5   17.7   18.4   17.8   145   595   24.4   <20 MASKED		GALENA PARK I		16.4	17.2	17.4	18.1	17.4	198	919	21.5	12	6.1	
GEORGE I SANC			GALENA PARK H	14.8	16.5	16.3	17.2	16.3	52	301	17.3			<20 MASKED
GIRLS & BOYS GIRLS & BOYS P 17.8 15.0 15.7 15.3 16.2 6 18 33.3 < 5 HIGH  GOOSE CREEK C 19.0 19.9 20.1 19.7 19.8 92 893 10.3 19 20.7  LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC 0 83			NORTH SHORE SR	17.0	17.5	17.7	18.4	17.8	145	595	24.4			<20 MASKED
GIRLS & BOYS GIRLS & BOYS P 17.8 15.0 15.7 15.3 16.2 6 18 33.3 < 5 HIGH  GOOSE CREEK C 19.0 19.9 20.1 19.7 19.8 92 893 10.3 19 20.7  LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC 0 83														
GOOSE CREEK C 19.0 19.9 20.1 19.7 19.8 92 893 10.3 19 20.7  LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC		GEORGE I SANC				-				17	•			< 5 TESTED
GOOSE CREEK C 19.0 19.9 20.1 19.7 19.8 92 893 10.3 19 20.7  LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC									_					
LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC		GIRLS & BOYS	GIRLS & BOYS P	17.8	15.0	15.7	15.3	16.2	6	18	33.3	•		< 5 HIGH
LEE H S 18.3 19.1 20.0 19.6 19.3 23 376 6.1 <20 MASKED STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC		0000E 00EEK 0		10.0	10.0	00.4	10.7	10.0	00	000	10.0	40	00.7	
STERLING H S 19.2 20.1 20.1 19.7 19.9 69 447 15.4 <20 MASKED  GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC		GUUSE CHEEK C	LEE H C									19	20.7	ZOO MACKED
GULF SHORES A GULF SHORES AC												•	•	
			SIENLING H 9	19.2	∠∪. I	∠∪.1	19.7	19.9	69	447	15.4	•	•	~∠U IVIAONEU
		GULE SHORES A	GULE SHORES AC						0	83				
		GOLI GIOREO A	GOLI GIOTILO AO	•	•	•	•	•	0	00	•	•	•	
HEIGHTS CHART HEIGHTS CHARTE 0 22		HEIGHTS CHART	HEIGHTS CHARTE						0	22				

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Examinees at or above

												above	
County name	District name	Campus name		· Me Math.			Comp.			raduates Tested(%)	crite Num.		***Note***
HARRIS	HOUSTON CAN A	HOUSTON CAN! A	•		•			0	71			•	
	HOUSTON ISD		18.0	18.8	18.7	18.6	18.6	1261	6945	18.2	192	15.2	
		AUSTIN H S	14.8	17.8	14.9	16.9	16.3	32	325	9.8			<10 MASKED
		BARBARA JORDAN	15.7	15.8	15.6	15.8	15.8	38	175	21.7			<10 MASKED
		BELLAIRE H S	21.5	22.7	22.9	21.7	22.3	126	612	20.6	49	38.9	
		CONTEMPORARY L	13.3	14.0	13.5	14.6	13.9	8	144	5.6			<10 MASKED
		DAVIS H S	12.3	15.9	12.7	15.5	14.2	20	271	7.4			<10 MASKED
		DEBAKEY H S FO	23.5	24.0	23.4	22.6	23.5	107	178	60.1	48	44.9	
		FURR H S	14.3	15.9	15.4	16.1	15.5	16	165	9.7			<10 MASKED
		JONES H S	19.7	19.3	20.8	19.8	20.0	35	200	17.5			<10 MASKED
		KASHMERE H S	16.3	16.2	16.5	15.7	16.2	25	92	27.2			<10 MASKED
		LAMAR H S	21.2	20.9	22.0	20.7	21.3	140	515	27.2	44	31.4	
		LAW ENFCMT-CRI	18.9	17.9	20.2	18.5	19.0	54	187	28.9			<10 MASKED
		LEE H S	20.3	21.1	21.4	21.1	21.2	22	334	6.6			<10 MASKED
		MADISON H S	15.8	16.5	16.3	17.4	16.6	49	325	15.1			<10 MASKED
		MILBY H S	15.7	19.3	16.8	17.6	17.5	23	479	4.8			<10 MASKED
		PERFOR & VIS A	22.7	21.3	22.1	21.5	22.1	30	147	20.4	10	33.3	
		REAGAN H S	16.1	17.9	17.2	17.7	17.3	30	276	10.9			<10 MASKED
		SAM HOUSTON H	15.0	16.6	16.2	16.5	16.2	73	365	20.0			<10 MASKED
		SCARBOROUGH H	18.0	18.2	18.2	19.0	18.5	43	146	29.5			<10 MASKED
		SHARPSTOWN H S	14.9	17.2	16.0	16.8	16.3	67	217	30.9			<10 MASKED
		STERLING H S	14.4	15.7	14.9	15.7	15.2	49	213	23.0	•		<10 MASKED
		WALTRIP H S	17.4	17.8	18.2	17.6	17.9	33	215	15.3	•	•	<10 MASKED
		WASHINGTON B T	16.6	18.8	17.3	17.8	17.8	39	203	19.2	•	•	<10 MASKED
		WESTBURY H S	16.4	17.7	17.2	17.1	17.3	64	284	22.5	•	•	<10 MASKED
		WHEATLEY H S	14.3	17.0	14.7	15.8	15.6	18	95	18.9		•	<10 MASKED
		WORTHING H S	15.2	16.2	15.7	16.3	16.0	61	252	24.2	•	•	<10 MASKED
		YATES H S	15.8	15.7	16.7	16.5	16.3	57	206	27.7	•	•	<10 MASKED
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	20.6	20.6	21.7	19.9	20.8	22	147	15.0			< 5 HIGH
	HUMBLE ISD		20.5	21.9	21.9	21.5	21.6	376	1501	25.0	121	32.2	
		HUMBLE H S	19.1	20.9	20.5	20.7	20.4	198	618	32.0	52	26.3	
		KINGWOOD H S	22.1	23.0	23.5	22.4	22.9	174	848	20.5	67	38.5	
	JESSE JACKSON	JESSE JACKSON						0	48	•			
	KATY ISD		21.9	23.2	23.1	22.5	22.8	908	1905	47.7	369	40.6	
		KATY H S	21.9	22.3	22.9	22.4	22.5	290	553	52.4	100	34.5	
		MAYDE CREEK H	20.0		21.3	21.1	21.2	208	575	36.2	58	27.9	
		TAYLOR H S	22.9	24.5	24.0	23.2	23.8	410	774	53.0	211	51.5	
	KLEIN ISD		21.2	23.3	21.8	21.9	22.2	639	1835	34.8	242	37.9	
		KLEIN FOREST H	18.4	21.3	19.0	19.9	19.8	152	528	28.8	31	20.4	
		KLEIN H S	22.3	24.4	22.9	22.7	23.2	326	764	42.7	147	45.1	
		KLEIN OAK H S	21.4	22.9	22.4	22.1	22.3	161	543	29.7	64	39.8	
	LA PORTE ISD		19.5	20.0	19.5	19.9	19.8	44	397	11.1	8	18.2	
		LA PORTE HIGH	19.5	20.0	19.5	19.9	19.8	44	372	11.8	8	18.2	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name
FOREST BROOK H 16.0 16.9 16.3 16.7 16.6 32 230 13.9 < 5 HIGH SMILEY H S 14.5 16.4 15.3 16.0 15.7 44 243 18.1 < 5 HIGH
FOREST BROOK H 16.0 16.9 16.3 16.7 16.6 32 230 13.9 < 5 HIGH SMILEY H S 14.5 16.4 15.3 16.0 15.7 44 243 18.1 < 5 HIGH
SMILEY H S 14.5 16.4 15.3 16.0 15.7 44 243 18.1 < 5 HIGH
NOTEL HOLOTON, NOTEL HOLOTON
NORTH HOUSTON NORTH HOUSTON 0 24
PASADENA ISD 19.5 20.6 20.5 20.5 20.4 175 1817 9.6 40 22.9
DOBIE H S 18.5 20.2 19.3 20.0 19.5 76 434 17.5 13 17.1
PASADENA H S 21.5 22.8 22.3 21.1 22.0 17 468 3.6 <10 MASKE
RAYBURN H S 20.8 21.0 22.1 21.3 21.5 65 431 15.1 20 30.8
SOUTH HOUSTON 17.1 18.9 18.0 19.2 18.4 17 449 3.8 <10 MASKE
PREPARED TABL PREPARED TABLE
RAUL YZAGUIRR
SHELDON ISD C E KING H S 17.4 18.8 19.1 19.2 18.8 65 203 32.0 9 13.8
SOUTHWEST HIG SOUTHWEST HIGH 0 68
SPRING BRANCH 22.3 24.0 22.3 22.5 22.9 460 1598 28.8 209 45.4
MEMORIAL H S 24.4 26.3 24.3 23.8 24.8 170 391 43.5 104 61.2
SPRING WOODS H 20.2 21.8 20.8 20.7 21.0 103 347 29.7 <40 MASKE STRATFORD H S 22.4 23.8 21.7 22.8 22.8 166 415 40.0 74 44.6
OTHER OND IT G 22.14 20.00 21.7 22.00 100 410 40.00 74 44.00
SPRING ISD 19.1 20.6 20.2 20.3 20.2 334 1118 29.9 77 23.1
SPRING H S 20.0 21.3 21.2 21.3 21.1 134 464 28.9 30 22.4
WESTFIELD H S 18.5 20.1 19.6 19.7 19.6 200 649 30.8 47 23.5
TOMBALL ISD TOMBALL H S 20.7 21.3 21.6 21.2 21.3 112 374 29.9 37 33.0
WEST HOUSTON
YES COLLEGE P YES COLLEGE PR
HARRISON ELYSIAN FIELD ELYSIAN FIELDS 19.0 18.4 19.3 18.6 18.9 59 69 85.5 10 16.9
HALLSVILLE IS HALLSVILLE H S 18.5 20.5 20.1 19.7 19.9 37 182 20.3 8 21.6
HARLETON ISD HARLETON H S 21.4 20.2 20.8 20.8 21.0 24 30 80.0 7 29.2
KARNACK ISD KARNACK H S 16.7 15.7 14.9 16.7 16.2 18 22 81.8 < 5 HIGH
MARSHALL ISD 17.8 18.3 18.2 18.4 18.3 166 297 55.9 22 13.3 MARSHALL H S 17.8 18.3 18.2 18.4 18.3 166 292 56.8 22 13.3
WASKOM ISD WASKOM H S 19.5 19.6 20.5 19.6 19.8 36 60 60.0 9 25.0
HARTLEY CHANNING ISD
HARTLEY ISD HARTLEY SCHOOL 16.9 16.8 17.3 18.8 17.5 11 11 100.0 < 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.		Tested(%)			***Note***
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	18.6	19.4	19.5	20.1	19.5	37	36	100.0	7	18.9	
	PAINT CREEK I								7				< 5 TESTED
	ROCHESTER ISD	ROCHESTER SCHO	17.9	17.4	18.2	18.6	18.3	10	12	83.3			< 5 HIGH
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	20.3	22.8	21.5	20.9	21.5	8	15	53.3			< 5 HIGH
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRI	DRIPPING SPRIN	21.5	23.3	22.4	22.9	22.7	42	152	27.6	14	33.3	
	HAYS CONS ISD		19.7	19.5	20.7	20.5	20.3	127	345	36.8	25	19.7	
		JACK C HAYS H	19.7	19.5	20.7	20.5	20.3	127	336	37.8	25	19.7	
	KATHERINE ANN								33				< 5 TESTED
	SAN MARCOS CO		18.4	18.7	19.2	19.3	19.1	140	340	41.2	16	11.4	
		PRIDE HIGH SCH	17.2	16.8	15.6	16.0	16.8	5	33	15.2			< 5 HIGH
		SAN MARCOS H S	18.5	18.8	19.3	19.5	19.2	135	307	44.0	16	11.9	
	WIMBERLEY ISD	WIMBERLEY H S	20.6	20.7	20.9	21.4	21.0	50	113	44.2	14	28.0	
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD	CANADIAN H S	20.8	21.0	22.0	22.2	21.7	46	46	100.0	19	41.3	
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD		19.2	19.4	21.0	20.7	20.2	45	168	26.8	8	17.8	
		ATHENS H S	19.2	19.4	21.0	20.7	20.2	45	157	28.7	8	17.8	
	BROWNSBORO IS		17.6	17.7	19.0	18.6	18.3	26	147	17.7			< 5 HIGH
	Briomioborio 10	BROWNSBORO H S	17.6	17.7	19.0	18.6	18.3	26	139	18.7			< 5 HIGH
	CROSS ROADS I	CROSS ROADS H	20.5	19.7	19.9	19.6	20.0	14	45	31.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S	19.3	20.4	21.3	21.2	20.8	12	62	19.4	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LAPOYNOR ISD	LAPOYNOR H S	19.6	17.1	19.1	18.7	18.7	12	31	38.7			< 5 HIGH
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S	19.1	19.2	20.4	19.3	19.6	25	51	49.0	7	28.0	
	TRINIDAD ISD				-	•			18	•			< 5 TESTED
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	15.0	16.8	16.0	17.1	16.4	214	395	54.2	6	2.8	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	21				
	EDCOUCH-ELSA	EDCOUCH-ELSA H	14.4	16.2	15.5	16.3	15.7	125	252	49.6			< 5 HIGH
	EDINBURG CISD		15.6	17.3	16.6	17.5	16.9	616	878	70.2	45	7.3	
		ECONOMEDES H S	14.2	16.1	15.7	16.2	15.6	67	152	44.1	•		<20 MASKED
		EDINBURG H S EDINBURG NORTH	15.8 15.6	17.5 17.4	16.8 16.6	17.9 17.4	17.1 16.9	246 303	337 389	73.0 77.9	24	7.9	<20 MASKED
		ESTABOLIC NOTTH	15.0	.,.+	10.0	.,	10.3	505	503	11.3	24	1.3	
	HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO H S	14.6	17.0	14.8	16.8	15.9	59	141	41.8		•	< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Examinees at or above

				Ma	an score			Tastad	Gr	aduates	crite	rion	
County name	District name	Campus name			Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
HIDALGO	LA JOYA ISD		15.3	17.2	16.5	17.7	16.8	238	591	40.3	12		
		LA JOYA H S	15.3	17.2	16.5	17.7	16.8	238	450	52.9	12	5.0	
	LA VILLA ISD	LA VILLA H S	16.3	15.7	14.9	16.6	16.1	16	30	53.3			< 5 HIGH
	MCALLEN ISD		17.5	19.2	18.5	18.5	18.5	466	1093	42.6	59	12.7	
		LAMAR ACADEMY	15.7	16.3	16.5	16.8	16.4	12	104	11.5			<20 MASKED
		MCALLEN H S	17.6	18.9	18.6	18.5	18.5	120	314	38.2		•.	<20 MASKED
		MCALLEN MEMORI	18.1	19.6	19.6	19.0	19.2	152	325	46.8	26	17.1	
		ROWE H S	16.9	19.2	17.8	18.3	18.2	182	328	55.5			<20 MASKED
	MERCEDES ISD		16.4		17.1	18.1	17.3	100	252	39.7			< 5 HIGH
		MERCEDES H S	16.4	17.0	17.1	18.1	17.3	100	176	56.8		•	< 5 HIGH
	MTD-VALLEY AC	MID-VALLEY ACA						0	6				
			•	•	•	•	•	· ·	•	•	•	•	
	MISSION CONS		16.7	17.7	17.7	17.9	17.6	253	591	42.8	17	6.7	
		MISSION H S	16.7	17.7	17.7	17.9	17.6	253	581	43.5	17	6.7	
	ONE OTOD MILLT	ONE OTOD MILLT						0	0.4				
	ONE STOP MULT	ONE STOP MULTI	•	•	•	•	•	0	34	•	•	•	
	PHARR-SAN JUA		15.9	17.3	17.1	17.8	17.2	623	1020	61.1	46	7.4	
		PSJA CENTRAL H	14.1	15.8	14.8	16.4	15.5	21	24	87.5			<20 MASKED
		PSJA H S	16.6	17.8	17.9	18.3	17.8	190	281	67.6			<20 MASKED
		PSJA MEMORIAL	15.6	17.3	16.7	17.5	16.9	219	324	67.6			<20 MASKED
		PSJA NORTH H S	15.9	17.2	17.0	18.1	17.2	178	335	53.1			<20 MASKED
		TEENAGE PARENT	13.3	15.7	14.5	16.3	15.0	15	56	26.8	•	•	<20 MASKED
	PROGRESO ISD		15.3	17.9	16.0	16.4	16.4	42	95	44.2			< 5 HIGH
	THOURLOO TOD	PROGRESO H S	15.3	17.9	16.0	16.4	16.4	42	92	45.7	•	:	< 5 HIGH
											-		
	SENTRY TECHNO	SENTRY TECHNOL						0	75				
	CHADVI AND TCD	SHARYLAND H S	17.5	19.4	18.6	18.5	18.6	144	270	53.3	21	14.6	
	SHARTLAND 13D	SHARTLAND II S	17.5	19.4	10.0	10.5	10.0	144	210	33.3	21	14.0	
	TECHNOLOGY ED								20				< 5 TESTED
	VALLEY VIEW I	VALLEY VIEW H	16.4	17.1	15.3	16.8	16.5	87	95	91.6	•		< 5 HIGH
	WESLACO ISD		17.0	18.4	17.5	18.3	18.0	225	539	41.7	19	8.4	
		WESLACO H S	17.0	18.4	17.5	18.4	18.0	221	476	46.4	19	8.6	
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	19.0	20.9	18.8	19.4	19.7	16	13	100.0	5	31.3	
	AOUTU A TOD	AOUTU A CCUOOL	17 5	10.0	00.0	00.0	10 5	6	4.4	E4 E			< F LITOU
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL	17.5	19.8	20.2	20.0	19.5	6	11	54.5	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	BLUM ISD								18				< 5 TESTED
	BYNUM ISD	BYNUM SCHOOL	19.9	21.9	19.5	22.4	21.1	8	8	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	COVINGTON ISD								16				< 5 TESTED
	CONTINUION 19D		•	•	•	•	•	•	16	•	•	•	· O IESIED

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	-	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note***
HILL	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	17.5	18.3	18.2	18.8	18.4	37	76	48.7	5	13.5	
	HUBBARD ISD	HUBBARD H S	19.5	20.7	20.7	20.5	20.6	23	38	60.5	5	21.7	
	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	21.3	21.7	21.8	21.8	21.8	12	22	54.5	5	41.7	
	PENELOPE ISD								8				< 5 TESTED
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	19.7	19.8	20.8	20.0	20.3	23	80	28.8			< 5 HIGH
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD		18.5	19.7	19.4	21.3	19.9	15	18	83.3	5	33.3	
		ANTON H S	18.5	19.7	19.4	21.3	19.9	15	18	83.3	5	33.3	
	LEVELLAND ISD		19.1	19.6	20.1	20.3	19.9	87	179	48.6	17	19.5	
		LEVELLAND H S	19.1	19.6	20.1	20.3	19.9	87	179	48.6	17	19.5	
	ROPES ISD		16.1	16.7	16.4	17.5	16.8	12	49	24.5	_		< 5 HIGH
		ROPES SCHOOL	16.1	16.7	16.4	17.5	16.8	12	42	28.6			< 5 HIGH
	SMYER ISD		20.2	19.8	20.5	20.5	20.5	13	27	48.1			< 5 HIGH
	OWITER TOD	SMYER H S	20.2	19.8	20.5	20.5	20.5	13	26	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	OLINDOUNI TOD		10.0	00.4	00.0	40.0	00.0	0.4		54.0	_	20.0	
	SUNDOWN ISD	SUNDOWN H S	18.3 18.3	22.1 22.1	20.3 20.3	19.8 19.8	20.2	21 21	41 37	51.2 56.8	7 7		
	WHITHARRAL IS	WHITHARRAL SCH	16.9 16.9	18.9 18.9	18.0 18.0	19.3 19.3	18.4 18.4	15 15	18 16	83.3 93.8	•		< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		WIII IIIANNAL 30II	10.9	10.9	10.0	19.0	10.4	13	10	93.6	•	•	V 3 IIIGII
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD		20.9	21.0	22.5	21.7	21.7	123	313	39.3	44	35.8	
		GRANBURY H S	21.0	21.1	22.5	21.7	21.7	122	303	40.3	44	36.1	
	LIPAN ISD		٠	•	•	•	•	•	18		•	•	< 5 TESTED
	TOLAR ISD	TOLAR H S	21.5	20.3	21.4	21.1	21.1	14	27	51.9			< 5 HIGH
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON	COMO-PICKTON S	19.2	18.8	20.0	20.8	19.8	13	32	40.6	•		< 5 HIGH
	CUMBY ISD								14	-			< 5 TESTED
	MILLER GROVE	MILLER GROVE S	17.4	18.2	18.0	18.1	18.1	10	18	55.6			< 5 HIGH
	NORTH HOPKINS	NORTH HOPKINS	16.9	18.0	20.2	20.3	18.9	11	20	55.0			< 5 HIGH
	SALTILLO ISD		•			•			15		•		< 5 TESTED
	SULPHUR BLUFF	SULPHUR BLUFF	18.9	17.8	19.4	19.0	18.9	13	16	81.3	•		< 5 HIGH
	SULPHUR SPRIN	SULPHUR SPRING	19.8	20.2	20.8	20.4	20.5	107	225	47.6	23	21.5	
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	17.6	18.3	17.8	17.9	18.0	25	102	24.5			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name			Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)			***Note***
HOUSTON	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	20.4	20.2	21.4	19.6	20.5	16	47	34.0	5	31.3	
	KENNARD ISD	KENNARD H S	19.2	17.5	18.5	19.2	18.5	6	24	25.0			< 5 HIGH
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S							0				
	LOVELADY ISD								40				< 5 TESTED
HOWARD	BIG SPRING IS	BIG SPRING H S	20.9	20.6	21.5	20.9	21.2	67	195	34.4	17	25.4	
	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	20.4	20.9	21.1	20.8	21.0	35	58	60.3	10	28.6	
	FORSAN ISD	FORSAN H S	20.3	21.0	20.3	19.9	20.6	15	38	39.5	5	33.3	
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD								11				< 5 TESTED
	FT HANCOCK IS	FORT HANCOCK S	18.4	18.7	19.4	19.4	19.0	7	29	24.1			< 5 HIGH
	SIERRA BLANCA								5				< 5 TESTED
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	20.3	18.8	20.8	21.2	20.3	12	22	54.5			< 5 HIGH
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH	19.3	17.8	20.9	18.0	18.9	8	24	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	CADDO MILLS I	CADDO MILLS H	19.9	20.5	20.1	21.7	20.8	15	50	30.0	7	46.7	
	CAMPBELL ISD	CAMPBELL H S	19.8	18.7	20.6	20.8	20.0	10	18	55.6			< 5 HIGH
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH S	19.2	19.2	19.6	19.9	19.6	18	26	69.2			< 5 HIGH
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	20.8	21.2	22.4	21.0	21.5	74	97	76.3	25	33.8	
	GREENVILLE IS		19.3	19.7	19.6	19.5	19.6	69	259	26.6	16	23.2	
		GREENVILLE H S	19.3	19.7	19.6	19.5	19.6	69	215	32.1	16	23.2	
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	20.1	20.3	20.8	20.6	20.7	20	42	47.6	5	25.0	
	QUINLAN ISD	FORD H S	18.7	17.7	19.1	19.7	18.9	54	172	31.4	5	9.3	
	WOLFE CITY IS	WOLFE CITY H S	19.6	19.9	20.1	20.4	20.1	25	31	80.6			< 5 HIGH
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD		21.0	20.5	21.6	21.5	21.3	98	199	49.2	29	29.6	
		BORGER H S	21.0	20.5	21.6	21.5	21.3	98	193	50.8	29	29.6	
	PLEMONS-STINN	WEST TEXAS H S	20.3	21.8 21.8	21.4 21.4	21.7 21.7	21.4 21.4	27 27	40 37	67.5 73.0	9 9	33.3 33.3	
		WEST TEXAS IT S	20.3	21.0	21.4	21.7	21.4	21	37	73.0	9	33.3	
	SANFORD ISD	SANFORD-FRITCH		18.8 18.8	20.8	21.1	20.3	48 48	62 61	77.4 78.7		18.8 18.8	
TRION	TDTON 60 705												. = 11500
IRION	IRION CO ISD	IRION H S	19.1 19.1	21.3 21.3	20.7 20.7	21.7 21.7	20.8	15 15	32 32	46.9 46.9			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	ean scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	-	Math.			Comp.			Tested(%)		Pont.	***Note****
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	18.9	18.9	19.1	20.3	19.5	8	21	38.1			< 5 HIGH
	JACKSBORO ISD		22.1	22.1	22.7	22.6	22.5	21	50	42.0	10	47.6	
		JACKSBORO H S	22.1	22.1	22.7	22.6	22.5	21	47	44.7	10	47.6	
	PERRIN-WHITT			•		•		•	21				< 5 TESTED
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		19.8	20.5	20.0	20.4	20.3	57	93	61.3	11	19.3	
		EDNA H S	19.8	20.5	20.0	20.4	20.3	57	89	64.0	11	19.3	
	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	16.7	20.2	16.6	18.4	18.1	19	49	38.8			< 5 HIGH
	INDUSTRIAL IS	INDUSTRIAL H S	19.5	20.9	19.3	20.6	20.1	40	78	51.3	8	20.0	
JASPER	BROOKELAND IS	BROOKELAND H S	20.0	18.7	19.5	19.8	19.8	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	18.2	16.7	18.9	19.3	18.4	13	100	13.0			< 5 HIGH
	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S						0	23		-		
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	19.9	19.0	20.2	20.0	20.0	72	157	45.9	12	16.7	
	KIRBYVILLE CI	KIRBYVILLE H S	18.5	18.9	19.4	19.2	19.2	45	97	46.4	6	13.3	
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD		19.9	19.0	21.0	21.1	20.3	8	15	53.3			< 5 HIGH
		FT DAVIS H S	21.1	19.9	21.4	22.1	21.1	7	15	46.7			< 5 HIGH
	VALENTINE ISD	VALENTINE SCHO						0	4				
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		18.0	18.8	19.0	19.1	18.8	128	886	14.4	19	14.8	
		CENTRAL SENIOR OZEN H S	14.7 17.3	17.6 17.8	17.4 17.3	16.7 18.2	16.7 17.8	18 66	206 294	8.7 22.4		•	<10 MASKED <10 MASKED
		WEST BROOK SR	20.3	20.7	22.1	21.3	21.2	44	346	12.7	12	27.3	VIO MAGNED
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	22				
	HAMSHIRE-FANN	HAMSHIRE-FANNE	21.0	20.9	21.1	20.4	21.0	7	113	6.2			< 5 HIGH
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	21.0	23.5	21.4	20.8	21.8	22	342	6.4	6	27.3	
	PORT ARTHUR I		15.3	16.6	16.5	17.3	16.6	57	467	12.2			< 5 HIGH
		JEFFERSON H S	15.8	17.0	16.7	17.6	17.0	19	233	8.2			< 5 HIGH
		LINCOLN H S	15.0	16.6	16.5	17.2	16.4	36	180	20.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	PORT NECHES-G	PORT NECHES-GR	21.0	21.8	21.5	21.4	21.6	48	307	15.6	14	29.2	
	RICHARD MILBU	RICHARD MILBUR						0	2				
	SABINE PASS I	SABINE PASS SC						0	6				
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUN	HEBBRONVILLE H	16.7	17.2	16.3	18.0	17.2	62	79	78.5			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	ean score	)		Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
•	District name	Campus name	•	Math.			Comp.			Tested(%)		Pont.	
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	18.0	18.0	18.5	18.5	18.3	223	296	75.3	24	10.8	
	BEN BOLT-PALI	BEN BOLT-PAL B	19.4	17.8	18.3	18.5	18.5	33	31	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	ORANGE GROVE	ORANGE GROVE H	19.3	18.7	19.5	19.7	19.4	73	93	78.5	17	23.3	
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	16.0	16.3	17.2	17.3	16.8	36	60	60.0			< 5 HIGH
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	19.9	19.7	21.6	20.6	20.6	21	158	13.3	7	33.3	
	BURLESON ISD		19.5	20.0	20.9	20.8	20.5	68	383	17.8	17	25.0	
		BURLESON H S	19.5	20.0	20.9	20.8	20.5	68	359	18.9	17	25.0	
	CLEBURNE ISD		19.7	20.8	20.9	20.7	20.7	67	275	24.4	16	23.9	
		CLEBURNE H S	19.7	20.8	20.9	20.7	20.7	67	219	30.6	16	23.9	
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	20.1	20.3	19.8	21.0	20.4	29	60	48.3	6	20.7	
	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	21.1	21.8	22.1	21.5	21.8	18	50	36.0	5	27.8	
	JOSHUA ISD		19.4	19.5	20.5	20.4	20.1	38	218	17.4			< 5 HIGH
		JOSHUA H S	19.4	19.5	20.5	20.4	20.1	38	199	19.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	KEENE ISD								23				< 5 TESTED
	RIO VISTA ISD	RIO VISTA H S	22.2	22.8	24.5	22.5	23.2	6	50	12.0	•		< 5 HIGH
	VENUS ISD		17.9	20.1	19.2	20.2	19.5	25	58	43.1			< 5 HIGH
		VENUS H S	17.9	20.1	19.2	20.2	19.5	25	54	46.3			< 5 HIGH
JONES	ANSON ISD	ANSON H S	20.5	21.0	21.9	20.5	21.1	23	50	46.0	7	30.4	
	HAMLIN ISD	HAMLIN H S	19.5	20.0	21.1	21.7	20.8	21	27	77.8	5	23.8	
	HAWLEY ISD	HAWLEY H S	20.5	19.3	22.0	21.3	20.9	32	47	68.1	11	34.4	
	LUEDERS-AVOCA		•						7				< 5 TESTED
	STAMFORD ISD		21.6	21.2	22.8	22.0	21.9	31	50	62.0	10	32.3	
		STAMFORD JR-SR	21.6	21.2	22.8	22.0	21.9	31	36	86.1	10	32.3	
KARNES	FALLS CITY IS	FALLS CITY H S	19.3	18.0	18.9	19.8	19.1	24	30	80.0			< 5 HIGH
	KARNES CITY I	KARNES CITY H	19.3	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20	44	45.5			< 5 HIGH
	KENEDY ISD		20.7	20.7	21.0	21.3	21.0	23	68	33.8	9	39.1	
		KENEDY HIGH S	20.7	20.7	21.0	21.3	21.0	23	59	39.0	9	39.1	
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S	16.8	17.6	16.3	17.9	17.3	12	14	85.7			< 5 HIGH
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD		19.1	20.3	18.5	19.6	19.5	39	104	37.5	9	23.1	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD	CRANDALL H S	19.1	20.3	18.5	19.6	19.5	39	90	43.3	9	23.1	
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	20.5	20.1	20.7	20.1	20.5	75	125	60.0	14	18.7	
	KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN H S	17.9	19.4	19.0	19.7	19.1	47	1	100.0	8	17.0	
	KEMP ISD	KEMP HIGH SCHO	20.8	20.1	22.4	21.1	21.3	15	57	26.3			< 5 HIGH
	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S	19.4	19.0	20.1	19.2	19.5	28	146	19.2			< 5 HIGH
	SCURRY-ROSSER	SCURRY-ROSSER	20.5	19.0	20.3	19.4	20.0	18	47	38.3			< 5 HIGH
	TERRELL ISD		19.2	17.9	19.6	18.8	19.0	57	194	29.4	13	22.8	
		TERRELL H S	19.2	17.9	19.6	18.8	19.0	57	194	29.4	13	22.8	
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	21.4	21.2	23.1	22.1	22.1	108	265	40.8	37	34.3	
	COMFORT ISD		20.2	19.9	21.3	20.6	20.6	20	53	37.7			< 5 HIGH
		COMFORT H S	20.2	19.9	21.3	20.6	20.6	20	51	39.2	•		< 5 HIGH
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD	JAYTON SCHOOLS	17.0	17.2	19.0	17.3	17.7	9	11	81.8			< 5 HIGH
KERR	CENTER POINT	CENTER POINT H	20.5	20.0	23.6	21.1	21.5	11	27	40.7			< 5 HIGH
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOO	19.6	20.1	20.5	21.0	20.4	35	75	46.7	10	28.6	
	KERRVILLE ISD		20.0	21.3	20.4	20.8	20.8	106	253	41.9	27	25.5	
		TIVY H S	20.1	21.5	20.6	20.9	20.9	103	239	43.1	27	26.2	
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	18.2	18.4	17.1	18.8	18.1	10	47	21.3		•	< 5 HIGH
KING	GUTHRIE CSD	GUTHRIE SCHOOL	22.6	23.0	24.4	22.0	23.2	5	4	100.0			< 5 HIGH
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD		16.4	17.3	17.2	18.8	17.6	19	35	54.3			< 5 HIGH
		BRACKETT H S	16.4	17.3	17.2	18.8	17.6	19	35	54.3	•	•	< 5 HIGH
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE IS		17.8	17.5	18.7	18.8	18.3	133	334	39.8	13	9.8	
		H M KING HIGH	17.8	17.6	18.7	18.8	18.3	130	283	45.9	13	10.0	
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	17.1	19.6	17.7	19.4	18.6	26	43	60.5	•		< 5 HIGH
	SANTA GERTRUD	ACADEMY H S	19.1	18.1	18.7	19.7	19.1	18	26	69.2			< 5 HIGH
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOO	14.4	16.4	16.8	16.6	16.0	5	5	100.0	•		< 5 HIGH
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL						0	1				
	KNOX CITY-0'B	KNOX CITY H S	17.5	18.1	17.6	17.7	17.9	16	25	64.0			< 5 HIGH
	MUNDAY ISD	MUNDAY H S	19.7	19.5	19.2	20.4	19.9	15	20	75.0			< 5 HIGH
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD		17.2	16.7	19.0	17.7	17.9	23	64	35.9		•	< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note***
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD	COTULLA H S	17.2	16.7	19.0	17.7	17.9	23	51	45.1			< 5 HIGH
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD	CHISUM H S	21.0	19.3	19.7	19.8	20.1	26	39	66.7	5	19.2	
	NORTH LAMAR I	NORTH LAMAR H	19.9	20.6	21.6	21.6	21.1	54	181	29.8	12	22.2	
	PARIS ISD		17.7	19.3	18.7	19.0	18.8	42	150	28.0	6	14.3	
		PARIS H S	17.7	19.3	18.7	19.0	18.8	42	141	29.8	6	14.3	
	PRAIRILAND IS	PRAIRILAND H S	19.2	19.2	19.0	19.5	19.4	19	48	39.6			< 5 HIGH
	ROXTON ISD			•					7				< 5 TESTED
LAMB	AMHERST ISD		19.5	20.2	21.3	19.9	20.4	15	18	83.3			< 5 HIGH
		AMHERST SCHOOL	19.5	20.2	21.3	19.9	20.4	15	17	88.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	LITTLEFIELD I	LITTLEFIELD H	18.0	19.3	19.2	19.6	19.1	42	79	53.2	9	21.4	
	OLTON ISD		19.6	21.0	19.9	19.5	20.1	22	48	45.8	7		
		OLTON H S	19.6	21.0	19.9	19.5	20.1	22	47	46.8	7	31.8	
	SPADE ISD		•	•	•			•	13	·	•		< 5 TESTED
	SPRINGLAKE-EA	SPRINGLAKE-EAR	19.6	22.8	19.2	20.7	20.8	21	27	77.8			< 5 HIGH
	SUDAN ISD	SUDAN H S	21.4	20.7	20.5	19.8	20.9	14	18	77.8			< 5 HIGH
LAMPASAS	LAMPASAS ISD		19.4	19.4	19.6	19.9	19.7	47	200	23.5	9	19.1	
		LAMPASAS H S	19.4	19.4	19.6	19.9	19.7	47	189	24.9	9	19.1	
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	20.2	22.0	23.8	21.7	22.3	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE	HALLETTSVILLE	18.5	18.6	18.4	19.2	18.8	39	78	50.0	6	15.4	
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S	18.8	22.0	21.0	21.6	20.9	8	26	30.8			< 5 HIGH
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	19.8	19.0	18.5	18.8	19.3	15	34	44.1			< 5 HIGH
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOO	19.8	23.3	22.2	19.7	21.3	6	7	85.7			< 5 HIGH
	GIDDINGS ISD		20.9	21.1	21.8	20.8	21.2	37	121	30.6	11	29.7	
		GIDDINGS H S	20.9	21.1	21.8	20.8	21.2	37	114	32.5	11	29.7	
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	17.3	20.1	21.3	19.3	19.6	7	63	11.1			< 5 HIGH
	TYC - GIDDING	GIDDINGS STATE							0				
LEON	BUFFALO ISD							-	35	•			< 5 TESTED
	CENTERVILLE I								45	į			< 5 TESTED
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	20.0	20.7	20.5	21.1	20.8	23	59	39.0			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
LEON		NORMANGEE H S				18.3	18.0	8	28	28.6			< 5 HIGH
	OAKWOOD ISD				•				13				< 5 TESTED
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	17.1	17.6	18.5	17.5	17.8	24	107	22.4			< 5 HIGH
	DAYTON ISD	DAYTON H S	18.8 18.8	20.9 20.9	20.6 20.6	21.3 21.3	20.4 20.4	19 19	209 208	9.1 9.1	5 5	26.3 26.3	
	HARDIN ISD	HARDIN H S	18.9 18.9	19.8 19.8	18.1 18.1	20.2	19.2 19.2	9	67 65	13.4 13.8			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	HULL-DAISETTA	HULL-DAISETTA	20.4	18.9	21.0	20.5	20.2	14	45	31.1			< 5 HIGH
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	22.2	22.3	23.0	22.2	22.6	25	133	18.8	7	28.0	
	TARKINGTON IS	TARKINGTON H S	21.3	18.9	22.1	20.6	20.8	9	77	11.7			< 5 HIGH
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD								9				< 5 TESTED
	GROESBECK ISD		19.8	20.7	20.6	20.0	20.3	37	84	44.0	11	29.7	
		GROESBECK H S	19.8	20.7	20.6	20.0	20.3	37	77	48.1	11	29.7	
	MEXIA ISD	MEXIA H S	19.4 19.4	21.0 21.0	20.2	20.5 20.5	20.4	53 53	98 97	54.1 54.6	12 12	22.6 22.6	
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD	BOOKER JH/H S	18.7	20.4	20.0	20.3	19.9	26	28	92.9	8		
ETI GOGINE	FOLLETT ISD	,	18.1	18.7	19.2	18.8	18.9	19	20	95.0	Ū		< 5 HIGH
		FOLLETT SCHOOL									•	•	
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL	18.4	19.0	20.7	18.0	19.3	7	12	58.3	•		< 5 HIGH
LIVE OAK	GEORGE WEST I	GEORGE WEST H	18.6	19.1	18.7	19.6	19.2	35	83	42.2	6	17.1	
	THREE RIVERS	THREE RIVERS H	19.7	20.2	19.8	21.1	20.3	19	48	39.6			< 5 HIGH
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	19.1	18.7	19.8	19.6	19.5	16	78	20.5			< 5 HIGH
LUBBOCK	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	9				
	FRENSHIP ISD	FRENSHIP H S	19.9 19.9	20.8	21.4 21.4	21.7 21.7	21.1 21.1	87 87	293 258	29.7 33.7	26 26	29.9 29.9	
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	20.7	20.0	21.2	20.6	20.8	30	52	57.7	7	23.3	
	LUBBOCK ISD		20.3	20.6	21.4	21.0	21.0	403	1573	25.6	123	30.5	
		CORONADO H S	20.6	20.4	21.0	20.9	20.9	138	467	29.6	42	30.4	
		ESTACADO H S	15.5	17.1	17.4	17.6	17.0	51	180	28.3			<20 MASKED
		LUBBOCK H S	22.7	22.2	24.1	22.8	23.1	134	460	29.1	63	47.0	
		MONTEREY H S	18.9	20.3	20.2	20.3	20.1	80	393	20.4			<20 MASKED

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note****
LUBBOCK	LUBBOCK-COOPE	COOPER H S	20.0	20.7	21.5	21.3	21.0	62	123	50.4	19	30.6	
	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S	19.0	18.4	18.7	20.6	19.3	24	37	64.9			< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBU	RICHARD MILBUR						0	36				
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	19.4	20.7	21.4	20.5	20.6	14	45	31.1			< 5 HIGH
	SHALLOWATER I	SHALLOWATER H	18.8	20.4	21.2	20.9	20.5	57	95	60.0	14	24.6	
	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	19.5	18.6	20.6	20.4	19.9	52	69	75.4	11	21.2	
	SOUTH PLAINS	SOUTH PLAINS A	•					0	49			•	
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOO	18.1	18.2	18.7	18.1	18.4	10	10	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	O'DONNELL ISD	O'DONNELL HIGH	18.4	19.2	18.4	19.3	18.8	14	25	56.0			< 5 HIGH
	TAHOKA ISD	TAHOKA H S	19.0	19.5	19.6	20.1	19.6	37	44	84.1	11	29.7	
	WILSON ISD	WILSON SCHOOL	17.9	18.6	20.7	21.3	19.7	7	8	87.5			< 5 HIGH
MADISON	MADISONVILLE	MADISONVILLE H	19.7	18.9	19.7	19.0	19.5	23	86	26.7	5	21.7	
	NORTH ZULCH I	NORTH ZULCH H	20.2	19.8	21.3	21.0	20.8	12	24	50.0			< 5 HIGH
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	19.0	19.2	19.3	19.5	19.4	45	77	58.4	5	11.1	
MARTIN	GRADY ISD	GRADY SCHOOL	22.0	19.6	23.5	23.7	22.4	14	19	73.7	5	35.7	
	STANTON ISD							-	36				< 5 TESTED
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	19.4	19.8	18.9	19.6	19.5	21	44	47.7			< 5 HIGH
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	17.6	20.1	18.5	19.5	19.1	24	240	10.0			< 5 HIGH
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	18.1	20.2	19.9	20.6	19.8	23	99	23.2	5	21.7	
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	16.1	17.7	17.6	17.5	17.5	11	41	26.8			< 5 HIGH
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	18.3	18.9	19.6	19.0	19.1	21	57	36.8			< 5 HIGH
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS IS		18.1	20.5	19.8	19.7	19.7	39	520	7.5	8	20.5	
		EAGLE PASS H S	18.1	20.5	19.8	19.7	19.7	39	0	•	8	20.5	
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	19.8	18.9	19.7	20.5	19.8	41	77	53.2	6	14.6	
	LOHN ISD	LOHN SCHOOL	16.2	17.4	15.6	17.0	16.6	5	5	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOO	18.8	19.2	19.3	19.0	19.3	6	8	75.0	•		< 5 HIGH
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD		18.5	19.1	20.0	20.6	19.8	20	41	48.8			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	۵		heteeT	Gr	aduates		above	
		Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note***
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD	AXTELL H S					19.8	20	33	60.6			
	BOSQUEVILLE I	BOSQUEVILLE SC	21.6	20.1	22.0	19.9	21.1	13	30	43.3			< 5 HIGH
	BRUCEVILLE-ED		21.2	20.3	23.7	21.9	21.9	15	46	32.6	5	33.3	
		BRUCEVILLE-EDD	21.2	20.3	23.7	21.9	21.9	15	41	36.6	5	33.3	
	CHINA SPRING		19.1	19.2	20.1	21.1	20.0	34	101	33.7	7	20.6	
		CHINA SPRING H	19.1	19.2	20.1	21.1	20.0	34	93	36.6	7	20.6	
	CONNALLY ISD		19.3	19.5	19.6	19.3	19.6	28	127	22.0	6	21.4	
		CONNALLY H S	19.3	19.5	19.6	19.3	19.6	28	117	23.9	6	21.4	
	CRAWFORD ISD	CRAWFORD H S	22.4	23.0	23.7	24.0	23.6	9	42	21.4	5	55.6	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	2	•			
	LA VEGA ISD		18.2	17.5	18.7	19.1	18.5	31	80	38.8			< 5 HIGH
		LA VEGA H S	18.2	17.5	18.7	19.1	18.5	31	78	39.7		•	< 5 HIGH
	LORENA ISD		21.4	22.8	21.3	21.4	21.8	46	93	49.5	12	26.1	
		LORENA H S	21.4	22.8	21.3	21.4	21.8	46	83	55.4	12	26.1	
	MART ISD		19.8	21.0	21.5	20.9	20.9	28	39	71.8	9	32.1	
		MART H S	19.8	21.0	21.5	20.9	20.9	28	35	80.0	9	32.1	
	MCGREGOR ISD		18.4	21.0	20.2	21.9	20.5	25	64	39.1	5	20.0	
		MCGREGOR H S	18.4	21.0	20.2	21.9	20.5	25	63	39.7	5	20.0	
	MIDWAY ISD		22.2	21.9	23.0	22.4	22.5	175	385	45.5	68	38.9	
		MIDWAY H S	22.2	21.9	23.0	22.4	22.5	175	382	45.8	68	38.9	
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	17.3	17.6	17.9	17.9	17.8	19	38	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	23.2	24.4	22.5	23.9	23.6	19	34	55.9	9	47.4	
	ROBINSON ISD	ROBINSON H S	19.4	20.5	19.9	21.1	20.4	57	135	42.2	11	19.3	
	WACO ISD		17.7	18.4	18.5	18.5	18.4	99	514	19.3	14	14.1	
		A J MOORE ACAD	14.8	18.9	14.0	16.8	16.0	8	130	6.2			<20 MASKED
		UNIVERSITY H S WACO H S	16.7 18.7	18.0 18.5	17.1 19.8	17.9 19.0	17.5 19.1	32 59	150 217	21.3 27.2			<20 MASKED <20 MASKED
											_		
	WEST ISD	WEST H S	20.8	21.3 21.3	20.7 20.7	21.0 21.0	21.2 21.2	23 23	102 81	22.5 28.4	7 7	30.4 30.4	
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUN								7				< 5 TESTED
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	17.2	22.2	18.7	20.2	19.5	6	8	75.0			< 5 HIGH
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SC	18.9	19.9	21.3	20.2	20.2	33	92	35.9	8	24.2	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name			Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
MEDINA	HONDO ISD	HONDO H S	20.1 20.1	21.1 21.1	21.8 21.8	21.1	21.2 21.2	64 64	125 125	51.2 51.2	22 22	34.4 34.4	
	MEDINA VALLEY	MEDINA VALLEY	19.7 19.7	19.1 19.1	19.8 19.8	20.2	19.8 19.8	56 56	181 177	30.9 31.6	10 10	17.9 17.9	
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	16.1	17.1	17.8	18.8	17.6	8	36	22.2			< 5 HIGH
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	19.7	18.5	21.1	19.6	19.8	23	39	59.0		i	< 5 HIGH
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT				•		0	11				
	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	22.1	22.1	22.0	21.1	22.0	16	94	17.0	5	31.3	
	MIDLAND ISD	LEE H S MIDLAND H S	21.1 21.2 20.8	22.3 22.4 22.0	22.4 22.4 22.2	21.9 22.0 21.6	22.0 22.1 21.7	215 138 74	1211 639 506	17.8 21.6 14.6	78 49 27	36.3 35.5 36.5	
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD								7				< 5 TESTED
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S	17.6	19.0	18.9	20.0	19.0	40	110	36.4	6	15.0	
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S	18.2	20.8	19.3	19.6	19.6	25	43	58.1			< 5 HIGH
	ROCKDALE ISD	ROCKDALE H S	17.8 17.8	20.3	17.5 17.5	20.0	19.0 19.0	6 6	97 97	6.2 6.2			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	THORNDALE ISD	THORNDALE H S	20.4	18.4	19.6	20.0	19.6	5	34	14.7			< 5 HIGH
MILLS	GOLDTHWAITE I	GOLDTHWAITE HI	21.8	21.2	21.6	21.8	21.7	22	31	71.0	8	36.4	
	MULLIN ISD						•		10	•			< 5 TESTED
	PRIDDY ISD				•				6				< 5 TESTED
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL	18.6	17.2	20.4	20.2	19.4	5	7	71.4			< 5 HIGH
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD	COLORADO HIGH	19.4	20.6	19.6	20.1	20.1	41	74	55.4	9	22.0	
	LORAINE ISD				•				6				< 5 TESTED
	WESTBROOK ISD						•		10	•			< 5 TESTED
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	21.3	19.8	20.8	19.3	20.3	12	72	16.7			< 5 HIGH
	FORESTBURG IS				•				7				< 5 TESTED
	GOLD BURG ISD	GOLD BURG H S						0	9				
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	21.6	19.5	22.3	20.7	21.2	28	46	60.9	8	28.6	
	PRAIRIE VALLE	PRAIRIE VALLEY	19.4	19.5	20.6	20.2	20.1	10	12	83.3			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
•		Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
MONTAGUE	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S	19.1	19.8	20.8	21.1	20.3	16	29	55.2			< 5 HIGH
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD		22.0	23.1	22.8	22.4	22.7	535	1745	30.7	237	44.3	
		CANEY CREEK H	18.6	19.6	18.5	19.7	19.3	36	204	17.6	•		<20 MASKED
		CONROE H S OAK RIDGE H S	19.2 21.1	20.1 21.7	19.6 21.7	20.0 21.8	19.9 21.7	71 55	346 280	20.5 19.6			<20 MASKED <20 MASKED
		THE WOODLANDS	23.0	24.2	24.0	23.2	23.7	373	879	42.4	201	53.9	120 WAGNED
	MAGNOLIA ISD	MAGNOLIA H S	18.4	19.0	20.0	19.9	19.4	71	287	24.7	14	19.7	
	MONTGOMERY IS	MONTGOMERY H S	20.7	20.0	21.5	21.3	21.0	45	191	23.6	14	31.1	
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	21.5	19.4	21.6	20.9	21.0	24	232	10.3	7	29.2	
	SPLENDORA ISD		•	•	•	•	•	-	124	•	•	•	< 5 TESTED
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	19.5	19.9	20.7	20.5	20.2	44	186	23.7	10	22.7	
MOORE	DUMAS ISD		19.4	19.8	20.6	20.3	20.1	127	226	56.2	31	24.4	
		DUMAS H S	19.4	19.8	20.6	20.3	20.1	127	217	58.5	31	24.4	
	SUNRAY ISD		19.4	19.5	19.4	19.3	19.5	39	53	73.6	6	15.4	
		SUNRAY H S	19.4	19.5	19.4	19.3	19.5	39	52	75.0	6	15.4	
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-	DAINGERFIELD H	18.7	19.3	20.0	19.7	19.5	34	86	39.5	6	17.6	
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S	21.7	20.8	20.3	21.1	21.0	34	57	59.6	10	29.4	
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY	MOTLEY COUNTY	18.3	18.2	20.4	20.5	19.4	12	15	80.0		•	< 5 HIGH
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGH	CENTRAL HEIGHT	19.9	20.8	20.8	21.7	20.9	11	28	39.3			< 5 HIGH
	CHIRENO ISD	CHIRENO H S	23.6	23.1	23.8	21.9	23.1	9	29	31.0	٠		< 5 HIGH
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	19.5	19.3	22.1	19.9	20.3	15	22	68.2			< 5 HIGH
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOO	23.3	24.5	23.5	22.5	23.5	15	19	78.9	8	53.3	
	GARRISON ISD	GARRISON H S	18.5	19.4	18.3	20.0	19.2	32	40	80.0	6	18.8	
	MARTINSVILLE		•	•		•	•	-	11	•			< 5 TESTED
	NACOGDOCHES I		20.0	20.2	20.7	20.1	20.4	64	333	19.2	16	25.0	
		NACOGDOCHES H	20.0	20.2	20.7	20.1	20.4	64	331	19.3	16	25.0	
	WODEN ISD	WODEN H S	19.1	18.9	17.9	20.4	19.2	18	29	62.1			< 5 HIGH
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROV	BLOOMING GROVE	19.6	19.4	21.4	20.5	20.4	39	45	86.7	9	23.1	
	CORSICANA ISD	CORSICANA H IG	20.8	20.3	20.3 20.3	21.0 21.0	20.7 20.7	42 42	248 239	16.9 17.6	13 13	31.0 31.0	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name		Campus name								Tested(%)			***Note***
NAVARRO	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S	19.3	19.5	18.6	19.3	19.3	10	24	41.7	•		< 5 HIGH
	FROST ISD	FROST H S	17.7	19.5	18.5	19.9	19.1	13	29	44.8			< 5 HIGH
	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	20.5	25.0	21.3	22.3	22.3	13	38	34.2	6	46.2	
	MILDRED ISD	MILDRED H S	20.3	19.8	22.2	19.6	20.6	17	22	77.3		•	< 5 HIGH
	RICE ISD	RICE H S	16.3	16.7	16.4	18.9	17.1	10	27	37.0			< 5 HIGH
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE IS	BURKEVILLE JR-	19.1	15.7	19.1	18.5	18.3	11	17	64.7			< 5 HIGH
	DEWEYVILLE IS	DEWEYVILLE H S	19.8	20.9	20.1	21.0	20.7	9	38	23.7			< 5 HIGH
	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	17.0	17.8	17.9	17.2	17.6	37	81	45.7	5	13.5	
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CON	BLACKWELL SCHO	17.9	18.5	20.5	19.5	19.2	13	12	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOO	22.6	23.2	25.0	23.9	23.8	11	21	52.4	6	54.5	
	ROSCOE ISD		20.5	19.3	20.5	19.9	20.1	15	31	48.4			< 5 HIGH
		ROSCOE H S	20.5	19.3	20.5	19.9	20.1	15	30	50.0	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	SWEETWATER IS		20.5	20.2	21.3	20.5	20.7	75	122	61.5	19	25.3	
		SWEETWATER H S	20.5	20.2	21.3	20.5	20.7	75	119	63.0	19	25.3	
NUECES	AGUA DULCE IS	AGUA DULCE H S	17.8	18.0	19.3	18.8	18.6	24	31	77.4			< 5 HIGH
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S	17.9	18.7	17.4	19.3	18.4	45	51	88.2	5	11.1	
	BISHOP CONS I	BISHOP H S	18.9	19.9	18.5	19.5	19.3	31	69	44.9	6	19.4	
	CALALLEN ISD	CALALLEN H S	20.1	20.9	21.2	21.0	21.0	176	339	51.9	48	27.3	
	COASTAL BEND	COASTAL BEND Y						0	2				
	CORPUS CHRIST		18.7	18.9	19.3	19.5	19.2	630	1817	34.7	113	17.9	
		CARROLL H S	19.7	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.3	145	462	31.4	32	22.1	
		KING H S	20.8	19.9	21.0	20.3	20.7	90	339	26.5	23	25.6	
			15.5	16.7	16.6	17.4	16.7	84	242	34.7	•	•	<10 MASKED
		MOODY H S RAY H S	15.5 19.9	16.8 19.6	16.0 20.8	17.5 20.4	16.6 20.3	107 204	283 400	37.8 51.0	49	24.0	<10 MASKED
	DD M I CADZA	DD M I CADZA C						0	0				
	UN W L GARZA-	DR M L GARZA-G	•	•	•	•	•	0	8	•	•	•	
	FLOUR BLUFF I		20.2	20.5	21.0	21.0	20.8	60	301	19.9	17	28.3	
		FLOUR BLUFF H	20.2	20.5	21.0	21.0	20.8	60	271	22.1	17	28.3	
	PORT ARANSAS	PORT ARANSAS H	18.9	21.3	20.8	20.6	20.5	25	35	71.4			< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBU	RICHARD MILBUR						0	25				

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	ean scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates		above	
•	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	
NUECES	ROBSTOWN ISD	ROBSTOWN H S	15.5 15.6	17.0 17.1	16.1 16.1	16.8 16.9	16.5 16.5	144 142	179 169	80.4 84.0			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		Hoboromy II o	1010		1011	1010	1010		100	0110	•	•	· O IIIGII
	TULOSO-MIDWAY	TULOSO-MIDWAY	20.3	20.2	20.3	20.8	20.5	112 112	196 184	57.1 60.9	28 28	25.0 25.0	
		102000 111211/11	2010	2012	2010	2010	2010		101	0010	20	2010	
	WEST OSO ISD	WEST OSO H S	16.2	18.4	17.9	17.5	17.6	29	76	38.2	•	•	< 5 HIGH
OCHILTREE	PERRYTON ISD		20.9	20.8	21.2	20.6	21.1	83	110	75.5	19	22.9	
		PERRYTON H S	20.9	20.8	21.2	20.6	21.1	83	108	76.9	19	22.9	
OLDHAM	ADRIAN ISD		•			•			4	•	•		< 5 TESTED
	BOYS RANCH IS	BOYS RANCH H S	18.4	17.5	20.7	20.1	19.5	32	30	100.0	5	15.6	
	VEGA ISD	VEGA H S	19.7	19.0	17.8	19.2	19.0	22	26	84.6			< 5 HIGH
ORANGE	BRIDGE CITY I	BRIDGE CITY H	21.6	21.2	22.7	21.4	21.9	24	152	15.8	8	33.3	
	LITTLE CYPRES	LIT CYPR-MRCEV	20.0	20.0	21.2	20.8	20.6	59	243	24.3	15	25.4	
	ORANGEFIELD I	ORANGEFIELD H	18.3	20.3	20.4	20.8	20.0	20	84	23.8	5	25.0	
	VIDOR ISD		18.5	18.5	19.9	20.0	19.3	11	271	4.1			< 5 HIGH
		VIDOR H S	17.6	17.8	18.7	19.5	18.5	10	252	4.0			< 5 HIGH
	WEST ORANGE-C		16.6	17.9	16.3	19.0	17.7	9	200	4.5			< 5 HIGH
		WEST ORANGE-ST	16.6	17.9	16.3	19.0	17.7	9	196	4.6			< 5 HIGH
PALO PINTO	GORDON ISD	GORDON SCHOOL	20.6	18.9	22.8	23.1	21.6	8	11	72.7	•		< 5 HIGH
	GRAFORD ISD	GRAFORD H S						0	23				
	MINERAL WELLS		20.2	21.4	21.9	21.6	21.4	19	179	10.6			< 5 HIGH
		MINERAL WELL H	20.2	21.4	21.9	21.6	21.4	19	176	10.8			< 5 HIGH
	SANTO ISD	SANTO HIGH SCH	20.9	19.6	21.1	20.4	20.8	14	24	58.3			< 5 HIGH
	STRAWN ISD	STRAWN SCHOOL	17.1	21.0	19.5	20.2	19.5	15	18	83.3			< 5 HIGH
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD	BECKVILLE JR-S	18.2	18.8	17.8	18.5	18.4	20	22	90.9			< 5 HIGH
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	21.5	20.9	21.1	21.6	21.4	85	168	50.6	23	27.1	
	GARY ISD	GARY SCHOOL	19.6	19.9	18.7	19.3	19.4	7	9	77.8			< 5 HIGH
PARKER	ALEDO ISD		22.3	22.3	23.7	22.5	22.8	69	167	41.3	33	47.8	
		ALEDO H S	22.3	22.3	23.7	22.5	22.8	69	152	45.4	33	47.8	
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	18.3	20.3	19.6	17.8	19.3	12	45	26.7			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
PARKER	MILLSAP ISD								48				< 5 TESTED
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	21.1	21.6	23.4	20.9	21.8	16	43	37.2	5	31.3	
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S				-		0	22				
	SPRINGTOWN IS	SPRINGTOWN H S	18.8 18.9	19.1 19.2	19.8 19.8	20.4	19.7 19.8	36 35	177 141	20.3 24.8	6 6	16.7 17.1	
	WEATHERFORD I	WEATHERFORD H	20.8 20.8	20.8	22.0 22.0	21.2 21.2	21.3 21.3	124 124	371 348	33.4 35.6	31 31	25.0 25.0	
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	17.8	19.8	20.4	19.8	19.6	17	32	53.1			< 5 HIGH
	FARWELL ISD	FARWELL H S	21.7	21.4	22.1	21.3	21.8	20	25	80.0			< 5 HIGH
	FRIONA ISD	FRIONA H S	18.6	19.6	19.2	19.6	19.4	57	71	80.3	12	21.1	
	LAZBUDDIE ISD	LAZBUDDIE SCHO	18.7	18.6	18.3	19.6	19.0	12	12	100.0			< 5 HIGH
PECOS	BUENA VISTA I								6				< 5 TESTED
	FT STOCKTON I		18.8	19.4	19.0	19.5	19.2	25	164	15.2			< 5 HIGH
		FORT STOCKTON	18.8	19.4	19.0	19.5	19.2	25	155	16.1	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	IRAAN-SHEFFIE	IRAAN H S	20.4 20.4	20.9 20.9	21.9 21.9	22.2 22.2	21.5 21.5	16 16	37 36	43.2 44.4	7 7	43.8 43.8	
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD								28	•			< 5 TESTED
	CORRIGAN-CAMD	CORRIGAN-CAMDE	15.2	16.0	16.9	17.3	16.6	12	59	20.3			< 5 HIGH
	GOODRICH ISD								13				< 5 TESTED
	LEGGETT ISD								11				< 5 TESTED
	LIVINGSTON IS	LIVINGSTON H S	18.2	18.8	20.0	19.6	19.3	40	192	20.8	6	15.0	
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD	AMARILLO H S	19.9 22.1	20.7 21.8	20.8 22.5	20.9	20.7	384 175	1345 448	28.6 39.1	100 65	26.0 37.1	
		CAPROCK H S	18.5	20.6	20.4	20.5	20.1	59	224	26.3			<20 MASKED
		PALO DURO H S	15.5	17.7	16.9	17.8	17.1	71	238	29.8			<20 MASKED
		TASCOSA H S	20.1	20.9	21.0	21.5	21.0	79	354	22.3	20	25.3	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK	18.2	18.9	18.5	20.4	19.1	15	38	39.5	•		< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBU	RICHARD MILBUR						0	4				
	RIVER ROAD IS	RIVER ROAD HIG	20.4	19.8	21.7	22.6	21.2	28	83	33.7	8	28.6	
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	17.6	17.8	18.9	19.1	18.4	16	30	53.3			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.		Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note****
PRESIDIO	PRESIDIO ISD	PRESIDIO H S	13.8	16.1	15.3	16.1	15.4	59	75	78.7	•		< 5 HIGH
RAINS	RAINS ISD		19.0	18.6	20.2	20.1	19.6	46	78	59.0	10	21.7	
		RAINS H S	19.0	18.6	20.2	20.1	19.6	46	75	61.3	10	21.7	
RANDALL	CANYON ISD		21.2	21.7	22.2	22.3	22.0	233	431	54.1	80	34.3	
		CANYON H S RANDALL H S	21.5	21.3 22.0	22.6 21.8	22.2 22.5	22.1 21.9	114 119	168 263	67.9 45.2	40 40	35.1 33.6	
		TO WED LEE TO	20.5	22.0	21.0	22.0	2113	113	200	40.2	40	00.0	
REAGAN	REAGAN COUNTY	REAGAN COUNTY	18.4	18.1	19.3	19.4	19.0	21	55	38.2			< 5 HIGH
REAL	LEAKEY ISD	LEAKEY SCHOOL	17.3	18.3	20.1	21.4	19.4	7	12	58.3			< 5 HIGH
RED RIVER	AVERY ISD	AVERY H S	19.3	22.1	20.5	21.2	20.9	19	21	90.5			< 5 HIGH
	CLARKSVILLE I	CLARKSVILLE H	18.1	17.5	18.3	19.2	18.4	42	71	59.2			< 5 HIGH
	DETROIT ISD	DETROIT H S	16.9	17.6	16.2	17.5	17.1	10	26	38.5			< 5 HIGH
	RIVERCREST IS	RIVERCREST H S	19.5	19.9	20.4	20.1	20.1	34	40	85.0	11	32.4	
REEVES	BALMORHEA ISD	BALMORHEA SCHO	16.9	17.9	19.2	19.2	18.4	10	18	55.6			< 5 HIGH
	PECOS-BARSTOW								147	ē			< 5 TESTED
REFUGIO	AUSTWELL-TIVO	AUSTWELL-TIVOL	19.8	23.8	20.2	21.6	21.4	5	6	83.3			< 5 HIGH
	REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO HIGH S	20.1	21.0	21.7	20.3	21.0	23	39	59.0			< 5 HIGH
	WOODSBORO ISD	WOODSBORO H S	18.2	19.8	19.0	20.7	19.5	24	31	77.4	5	20.8	
ROBERTS	MIAMI ISD	MIAMI SCHOOL	17.6	18.9	19.7	20.3	19.2	9	11	81.8			< 5 HIGH
ROBERTSON	BREMOND ISD	BREMOND H S	18.2	20.7	18.2	20.3	19.5	6	28	21.4			< 5 HIGH
	CALVERT ISD	CALVERT H S	14.6	14.8	14.4	14.4	14.6	5	15	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	FRANKLIN ISD	FRANKLIN H S	20.9	20.3	24.1	21.6	21.9	16	42	38.1	6	37.5	
	HEARNE ISD	HEARNE H S	15.0	16.2	15.1	16.0	15.8	9	50	18.0			< 5 HIGH
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD		20.9	21.4	21.6	21.2	21.4	189	445	42.5	46	24.3	
		ROCKWALL H S	20.9	21.4	21.6	21.2	21.4	189	442	42.8	46	24.3	
	ROYSE CITY IS		19.2	20.0	20.0	19.2	19.7	22	70	31.4			< 5 HIGH
		ROYSE CITY H S	19.2	20.0	20.0	19.2	19.7	22	60	36.7	•		< 5 HIGH
RUNNELS	BALLINGER ISD		20.3	21.1	20.6	21.7	21.1	63	78	80.8	15	23.8	
		BALLINGER H S	20.3	21.1	20.6	21.7	21.1	63	71	88.7	15	23.8	
	MILES ISD	MILES H S	17.9	17.9	19.5	19.6	18.8	33	39	84.6		•	< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note***
RUNNELS	WINTERS ISD	WINTERS H S	20.1	19.4 19.4	19.8 19.8	20.4	19.9 19.9	34 34	50 44	68.0 77.3	7 7	20.6 20.6	
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD	CARLISLE SCHOO	19.5	17.4	18.6	18.9	18.9	17	26	65.4			< 5 HIGH
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	20.0	20.3	20.2	19.9	20.2	118	163	72.4	26	22.0	
	LANEVILLE ISD								6				< 5 TESTED
	LEVERETTS CHA					•			5		•		< 5 TESTED
	MOUNT ENTERPR	MT ENTERPRISE	20.6	18.1	20.5	19.3	19.6	13	20	65.0			< 5 HIGH
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S	21.4	21.7	23.3	22.2	22.2	16	32	50.0	7	43.8	
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S	19.2	18.3	20.1	20.1	19.6	69	106	65.1	15	21.7	
	WEST RUSK ISD	WEST RUSK H S	18.6	17.9	20.1	19.1	19.1	30	52	57.7	•		< 5 HIGH
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	17.9	19.6	19.3	19.6	19.2	21	55	38.2	•		< 5 HIGH
	WEST SABINE I	WEST SABINE H	22.0	18.0	19.2	18.2	19.2	5	34	14.7	•		< 5 HIGH
SAN AUGUSTI	BROADDUS ISD	BROADDUS H S	17.4	16.3	17.7	18.3	17.6	18	26	69.2	•		< 5 HIGH
	SAN AUGUSTINE	SAN AUGUSTINE	16.9 16.9	17.9 17.9	17.3 17.3	17.9 17.9	17.5 17.5	35 35	62 54	56.5 64.8			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OA	COLDSPRING-OAK	19.7	17.5	19.2	19.2	19.0	19	91	20.9			< 5 HIGH
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	20.8	19.3	20.5	20.0	20.3	6	78	7.7			< 5 HIGH
SAN PATRICI	ARANSAS PASS	ARANSAS PASS H	17.4	18.2	18.2	19.1	18.4	44	100	44.0	5	11.4	
	GREGORY - PORTL	GREGORY-PORTLA	18.5	20.0	19.7	19.9	19.6	79	223	35.4	13	16.5	
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	18.9	19.3	19.9	19.5	19.5	28	71	39.4	5	17.9	
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	16.3	17.5	17.6	17.7	17.4	80	109	73.4	8	10.0	
	ODEM-EDROY IS	ODEM H S	18.0	18.3	18.4	18.8	18.4	31	67	46.3	5	16.1	
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	19.7	19.8	20.2	20.9	20.2	52	128	40.6	10	19.2	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	16.5	17.5	17.7	17.6	17.5	47	83	56.6	5	10.6	
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S	18.9	18.9	19.9	19.5	19.3	8	7	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	RICHLAND SPRI	RICHLAND SPRIN	17.5	20.0	18.2	20.2	19.2	6	12	50.0			< 5 HIGH
	SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA H S	20.0	19.8	21.2	20.6	20.5	37	49	75.5	8	21.6	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	a		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Num.		Tested(%)		Pont.	***Note***
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER IS	ELDORADO H S	19.3	20.7	19.9	20.0	20.1	37	49	75.5	11	29.7	
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD		•		•	•		•	11				< 5 TESTED
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL	19.0	20.5	17.9	21.0	19.7	15	13	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	SNYDER ISD		20.7	20.0	21.0	20.6	20.7	95	170	55.9	21	22.1	
		SNYDER H S	20.7	20.0	21.0	20.6	20.7	95	165	57.6	21	22.1	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H	21.5	22.4	22.9	21.2	22.1	15	42	35.7	5	33.3	
	MORAN ISD	MORAN SCHOOL						0	5				
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	18.9	19.6	18.8	19.5	19.3	75	116	64.7	14	18.7	
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S	20.2	20.4	20.7	19.4	20.3	25	37	67.6	5	20.0	
	SHELBYVILLE I	SHELBYVILLE SC	18.2	19.0	19.7	18.7	19.1	19	34	55.9			< 5 HIGH
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S	21.5	19.6	21.8	20.4	20.9	14	26	53.8			< 5 HIGH
	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S	18.5	17.5	19.1	19.8	18.8	20	30	66.7			< 5 HIGH
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD	STRATFORD H S	19.3	21.1	20.3	21.0	20.6	23	33	69.7	6	26.1	
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	17.3	17.7	19.3	18.9	18.4	38	0	•			
	BULLARD ISD		19.4	20.3	19.1	20.8	20.0	32	70	45.7	5	15.6	
		BULLARD H S	19.4	20.3	19.1	20.8	20.0	32	66	48.5	5	15.6	
	CHAPEL HILL I		19.0	19.3	20.4	20.4	19.9	72	183	39.3	16	22.2	
	3.2.1 22222 2	CHAPEL HILL H	19.0	19.3	20.4	20.4	19.9	72	176	40.9	16	22.2	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	10				
	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	19.0	19.7	19.8	19.9	19.6	38	154	24.7			< 5 HIGH
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S	19.7	19.0	18.4	19.3	19.3	7	48	14.6			< 5 HIGH
	TYLER ISD		19.4	20.0	20.8	20.2	20.2	247	851	29.0	63	25.5	
		JOHN TYLER H S	17.3	17.7	18.8	18.6	18.2	101	319	31.7	13	12.9	
		LEE H S	20.9	21.6	22.2	21.4	21.6	146	497	29.4	50	34.2	
	WHITEHOUSE IS	WHITEHOUSE H S	22.2	22.6	22.0	21.9	22.3	26	241	10.8	11	42.3	
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	19.6	18.2	20.4	19.8	19.6	36	59	61.0	8	22.2	
SOMERVELL	BRAZOS RIVER	BRAZOS RIVER C	•		·			0	14				
	GLEN ROSE ISD		23.2	23.0	23.0	22.6	23.1	30	107	28.0	13	43.3	
		GLEN ROSE H S	23.2				23.1	30	104	28.8	13	43.3	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Mean score To County name District name Campus name Eng. Math. Read. Sci. Comp.						Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above			
•		•	-				Comp.			Tested(%)			***Note****
STARR	RIO GRANDE CI	RIO GRANDE CIT	14.2	16.2	15.2	16.5	15.6	212	319	66.5	6	2.8	
	ROMA ISD		15.2	16.9	15.2	16.4	16.1	127	241	52.7	7	5.5	
		ROMA H S	15.2	16.9	15.2	16.4	16.1	127	240	52.9	7	5.5	
	SAN ISIDRO IS	SAN ISIDRO H S	17.5	16.6	16.6	17.4	17.1	17	18	94.4			< 5 HIGH
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE		20.5	21.3	20.8	21.1	21.0	59	98	60.2	20	33.9	
		BRECKENRIDGE H	20.5	21.3	20.8	21.1	21.0	59	95	62.1	20	33.9	
STERLING	STERLING CITY	STERLING CITY	19.8	21.2	20.7	20.3	20.7	16	17	94.1			< 5 HIGH
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT H S	18.8	17.5	18.0	19.0	18.4	21	24	87.5			< 5 HIGH
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	18.6	20.0	19.6	19.4	19.5	50	61	82.0	11	22.0	
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD	HAPPY H S	19.1	19.0	20.7	19.1	19.7	11	13	84.6			< 5 HIGH
	KRESS ISD	KRESS H S	19.0	17.2	21.4	19.9	19.4	9	17	52.9	•		< 5 HIGH
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	20.7	20.7	21.8	20.5	21.1	51	68	75.0	17	33.3	
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD	ADI TNOTON II O	20.5	21.6	21.2	21.2	21.3	555	2652	20.9	178	32.1	
		ARLINGTON H S BOWIE H S	21.7 18.6	22.8 19.9	22.6 19.3	22.1 19.6	22.5 19.5	151 82	503 502	30.0 16.3	64 18	42.4 22.0	
		LAMAR H S	20.1	20.9	20.7	20.8	20.7	91	432	21.1	20	22.0	
		MARTIN H S	21.5	22.9	22.1	22.0	22.3	174	772	22.5	69	39.7	
		SAM HOUSTON H	17.5	18.2	18.9	18.9	18.6	56	372	15.1	7	12.5	
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	21.3	20.8	22.4	21.3	21.6	63	267	23.6	17	27.0	
	BIRDVILLE ISD		19.6	19.4	20.6	20.4	20.1	251	1060	23.7	48	19.1	
		HALTOM H S	18.6	18.6	20.1	19.4	19.3	94	448	21.0	15	16.0	
		RICHLAND H S	20.1	19.8	20.8	21.0	20.6	156	495	31.5	32	20.5	
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL H S	23.2	23.8	24.3	23.3	23.8	283	406	69.7	146	51.6	
	CASTLEBERRY I		18.1	18.7	19.0	20.1	19.1	24	152	15.8			< 5 HIGH
		CASTLEBERRY H	18.1	18.7	19.0	20.1	19.1	24	137	17.5			< 5 HIGH
	CROWLEY ISD		20.2		21.0	21.2	20.9	173	485	35.7	46		
		CROWLEY H S	20.5		21.3	21.6	21.1	79		30.5	22		
		NORTH CROWLEY	19.9	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.7	94	226	41.6	24	25.5	
	EAGLE MT-SAGI		20.7	20.7	21.2	21.3	21.1	38	362	10.5	10	26.3	
	21.002	BOSWELL H S	20.7		21.2	21.3	21.1	38	329	11.6		26.3	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT		•		•		0	10				
	ERATH EXCELS								34				< 5 TESTED
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	15.8	17.0	15.7	17.1	16.5	35	114	30.7			< 5 HIGH

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Examinees at or above ----- Mean score ------ Tested -- Graduates -criterion Num. Pcnt. \*\*\*Note\*\*\*\* County name District name Campus name Eng. Math. Read. Sci. Comp. Num. Num. Tested(%) TARRANT FORT WORTH AC FORT WORTH ACA 0 FORT WORTH CA FORT WORTH CAN O 49 FORT WORTH IS 17.9 18.9 19.4 19.2 19.0 532 2891 18.4 110 20.7 ARLINGTON HEIG 20.2 19.7 20.9 20.3 20.4 59 239 24.7 15 25.4 DIAMOND HILL-J 12.7 16.8 14.9 15.8 15.1 16 117 13.7 < 5 HIGH DUNBAR H S 18.6 7 22.6 20.1 20.3 19.6 19.8 31 217 14.3 EASTERN HILLS 15.6 16.4 17.6 17.5 16.9 224 38.4 7 8.1 86 NORTH SIDE H S 17.7 19.4 18.5 19.3 18.8 25 225 11.1 < 5 HIGH O D WYATT H S 14.1 15.9 16.4 16.7 15.9 61 236 25.8 < 5 HIGH PASCHAL H S 21.2 21.5 22.4 21.0 21.6 74 477 15.5 31 41.9 POLYTECHNIC H 16.3 17.0 16.6 17.2 17.0 16 131 12.2 < 5 HIGH SOUTHWEST H S 19.7 20.7 20.3 20.7 20.5 91 317 28.7 27 29.7 TRIMBLE TECHNI 15.2 16.9 18.0 18.0 17.2 26 254 10.2 < 5 HIGH WESTERN HILLS 28.6 18.9 20.3 20.7 21.3 20.4 42 246 17.1 12 GRAPEVINE-COL 21.0 21.9 22.6 22.3 22.0 230 812 28.3 84 36.5 COLLEYVILLE HE 21.1 21.9 22.7 22.4 22.2 134 387 34.6 52 38.8 GRAPEVINE H S 20.9 21.9 22.5 22.1 21.9 96 402 23.9 32 33.3 **HURST-EULESS-**20.8 21.7 22.1 21.3 21.6 357 1125 31.7 122 34.2 BELL H S 20.9 22.1 21.4 523 21.5 186 35.6 59 31.7 TRINITY H S 20.7 21.8 22.0 21.2 21.5 170 557 30.5 62 36.5 KELLER ISD 20.6 20.9 833 30.0 21.7 21.1 21.2 240 28.8 72 FOSSIL RIDGE H 21.0 21.6 22.3 21.5 21.7 107 436 24.5 37 34.6 KELLER H S 20.4 20.3 21.4 20.9 389 33.9 26.5 20.9 132 35 KENNEDALE ISD KENNEDALE H S 19.4 18.4 20.3 20.2 19.8 30 120 25.0 5 16.7 LAKE WORTH IS 18.0 17.5 18.9 19.9 18.7 16 91 17.6 < 5 HIGH LAKE WORTH H S 18.0 17.5 18.9 19.9 16 82 < 5 HIGH 18.7 19.5 MANSETELD ISD 20.4 21.2 676 22.3 46 30.5 21.1 21.3 21.1 151 MANSFIELD HS 30.5 20.4 21.1 21.3 21.2 21.1 628 24.0 46 151 MASONIC HOME MASONIC HOME H 16.7 17.4 17.5 16.0 16.8 10 8 100.0 < 5 HIGH THERESA B LEE THERESA B LEE 0 36 TREETOPS SCHO 10 < 5 TESTED 20.9 WHITE SETTLEM 19.8 21.7 20.7 20.9 48 223 21.5 13 27.1 BREWER H S 19.8 21.7 20.7 20.9 20.9 222 21.6 13 27.1 48 **TAYLOR** ABILENE ISD 20.1 20.3 20.9 20.6 20.6 307 859 35.7 70 22.8 ABILENE H S 20.2 20.1 21.1 20.6 20.7 196 441 44.4 48 24.5 22 COOPER H S 20.1 20.5 20.4 20.7 20.5 374 29.7 19.8 111 EAGLE PROJECT EAGLE PROJECT 0 6

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
-		Campus name	-		Read.		•			Tested(%)			***Note****
TAYLOR	JIM NED CONS		18.9	19.8	20.1	19.9	19.8	47	60	78.3	9	19.1	
		JIM NED H S	18.9	19.8	20.1	19.9	19.8	47	59	79.7	9	19.1	
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	20.1	19.9	20.8	20.2	20.4	42	80	52.5	8	19.0	
	TRENT ISD	TRENT SCHOOL	18.9	21.7	20.0	19.7	20.0	9	9	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	21.4	21.9	22.7	22.3	22.2	106	162	65.4	37	34.9	
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNT	SANDERSON H S	19.3	17.6	19.8	21.1	19.7	9	10	90.0			< 5 HIGH
TERRY	BROWNFIELD IS	BROWNFIELD H S	19.3	19.2	19.5	20.0	19.6	44	96	45.8	7	15.9	
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	19.7	18.2	20.5	20.8	19.9	19	23	82.6			< 5 HIGH
	WELLMAN-UNION	WELLMAN-UNION	19.8	20.3	20.5	21.2	20.6	13	15	86.7	·	•	< 5 HIGH
THROCKMORTO	THROCKMORTON	THROCKMORTON H	20.4	18.6	19.3	20.3	19.9	16	17	94.1			< 5 HIGH
	WOODSON ISD	WOODSON SCHOOL	19.1	19.1	17.4	20.6	19.1	7	10	70.0			< 5 HIGH
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL I	CHAPEL HILL H	22.9	21.6	24.5	22.8	23.0	15	46	32.6	5	33.3	
	MOUNT PLEASAN		19.4	19.8	20.9	20.3	20.2	72	223	32.3	17	23.6	
	WOONT TEE/TO/TT	MT PLEASANT H	19.4	19.8	20.9	20.3	20.2	72	215	33.5	17	23.6	
TOM CDEEN	CUDICTOVAL IC		10 5	10.0	10.6	10.0	10.1	1.4	05	F6 0			Z E LITOU
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL IS	CHRISTOVAL H S	18.5 18.5	19.0 19.0	19.6 19.6	19.0 19.0	19.1 19.1	14 14	25 25	56.0 56.0			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	GRAPE CREEK I	GRAPE CREEK H	19.6	19.5	19.9	20.0	20.0	20	63	31.7	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	SAN ANGELO IS		20.1	20.5	21.2	20.8	20.8	339	869	39.0	100	29.5	
		CENTRAL H S	20.8	21.2	21.8	21.1	21.3	234	629	37.2	81	34.6	
		LAKE VIEW H S	18.3	19.0	19.9	20.1	19.4	103	233	44.2	18	17.5	
	VERIBEST ISD			•			•		4				< 5 TESTED
	WALL ISD		19.8	20.7	19.5	20.8	20.3	53	70	75.7	9	17.0	
		WALL H S	19.8	20.7	19.5	20.8	20.3	53	69	76.8	9	17.0	
	WATER VALLEY		18.0	19.6	19.6	20.7	19.5	14	27	51.9			< 5 HIGH
		WATER VALLEY H	18.0	19.6	19.6	20.7	19.5	14	27	51.9			< 5 HIGH
TRAVIS	AUSTIN ISD		19.8	20.5	21.0	20.7	20.6	522	3259	16.0	149	28.5	
1101110	7,001111 105	ANDERSON H S	22.0	23.3	22.5	22.6	22.7	66	336	19.6	30	45.5	
		AUSTIN H S	20.7	20.7	21.7	20.8	21.1	55	334	16.5	18	32.7	
		BOWIE H S	21.2	22.1	22.1	22.2	22.0	117	633	18.5	43	36.8	
		CROCKETT H S	17.4	17.8	19.0	19.4	18.5	43	355	12.1			<10 MASKED
		GONZALO GARZA	22.4	16.8	24.6	21.8	21.4	5	116	4.3			<10 MASKED
		JOHNSON H S	20.0	21.0	20.7	20.7	20.7	47	286	16.4	15	31.9	
		JOHNSTON H S	19.0	17.9	20.8	19.5	19.5	52	236	22.0	11	21.2	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Tested Graduates									nees above rion	
•	District name	•	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note***
TRAVIS	AUSTIN ISD	LANIER H S	16.8	18.6	18.2	19.0	18.3	23	258	8.9			<10 MASKED
		MCCALLUM H S	21.5	22.0	22.8	21.6	22.1	59	308	19.2 21.2	23	39.0	<10 MACKED
		REAGAN H S TRAVIS H S	13.5 16.0	16.5 17.0	15.2 18.5	14.8 18.9	15.2 17.8	31 24	146 245	9.8	•		<10 MASKED <10 MASKED
											-	•	
	DEL VALLE ISD	DEL VALLE H S	16.8 16.8	17.2 17.2	17.2 17.2	17.8 17.8	17.3 17.3	33 33	286 261	11.5 12.6	•		< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		DEL VALLE II O	10.0	17.2	17.2	17.0	17.0	00	201	12.0	•	•	V 3 III GII
	EANES ISD	WESTLAKE H S	23.4	25.0	24.8	23.6	24.3	190	509	37.3	109	57.4	
	FRUIT OF EXCE	FRUIT OF EXCEL	•	•			•	0	1				
	LAGO VISTA IS	LAGO VISTA H S	23.1	23.4	24.8	25.0	24.2	18	45	40.0	11	61.1	
	LAKE TRAVIS I	LAKE TRAVIS H	21.8	22.6	23.2	22.2	22.7	41	238	17.2	17	41.5	
	MANOR ISD		18.9	19.3	19.6	19.3	19.3	41	101	40.6	9	22.0	
		MANOR H S	18.9	19.3	19.6	19.3	19.3	41	87	47.1	9	22.0	
	PFLUGERVILLE		20.0	20.9	20.8	20.6	20.7	175	774	22.6	52	29.7	
		JOHN B CONNALL	19.8	20.1	20.3	20.0	20.2	79	373	21.2	18	22.8	
		PFLUGERVILLE H	20.2	21.5	21.1	21.2	21.1	96	401	23.9	34	35.4	
	STAR CHARTER								4				< 5 TESTED
	UNIVERSITY CH			•			•	-	3			•	< 5 TESTED
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS	APPLE SPRINGS						0	13				
	CENTERVILLE I	CENTERVILLE H		•			•	0	8			•	
	GROVETON ISD	GROVETON J H-H	18.1	19.7	19.7	20.1	19.4	7	29	24.1			< 5 HIGH
	TRINITY ISD	TRINITY H S	17.1	18.1	18.3	18.3	18.2	31	68	45.6			< 5 HIGH
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S	16.3	17.3	17.0	18.5	17.7	6	13	46.2			< 5 HIGH
	COLMESNEIL IS	COLMESNEIL H S	16.9	18.5	18.1	18.4	18.1	18	37	48.6			< 5 HIGH
	SPURGER ISD								11				< 5 TESTED
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S	19.0	19.7	18.6	20.2	19.5	11	38	28.9			< 5 HIGH
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	15.4	17.2	15.5	17.9	16.7	29	63	46.0	•		< 5 HIGH
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S	19.0	19.4	20.7	20.1	20.0	15	0				
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	18.6	19.9	20.2	19.8	19.7	59	121	48.8	14	23.7	
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	20.5	20.2	20.9	20.8	20.6	36	58	62.1	7	19.4	
	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	20.4	19.7	21.2	20.5	20.6	23	40	57.5	7	30.4	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Mean score Tested Graduates - ame Eng. Math. Read. Sci. Comp. Num. Num. Tested(%			aduates	Exami at or crite	above					
•	District name	Campus name	•				•			` ,		Pont.	***Note***
UPSHUR	ORE CITY ISD	ORE CITY HIGH	20.6	20.0	21.2	20.8	20.6	20	35	57.1			< 5 HIGH
	UNION GROVE I	UNION GROVE H	19.2	19.0	20.7	20.7	20.0	15	39	38.5			< 5 HIGH
	UNION HILL IS	UNION HILL H S	17.3	15.2	17.0	17.3	16.8	6	7	85.7		-	< 5 HIGH
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	18.9	20.2	19.4	20.1	19.8	14	34	41.2			< 5 HIGH
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S	17.5	18.3	17.9	18.7	18.1	14	20	70.0			< 5 HIGH
UVALDE	GABRIEL TAFOL	GABRIEL TAFOLL						0	13				
	KNIPPA ISD	KNIPPA SCHOOL	17.5	20.7	18.3	18.8	18.8	13	13	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	SABINAL ISD	SABINAL H S	16.4	15.8	18.1	17.9	17.2	16	30	53.3			< 5 HIGH
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL	18.4	19.6	19.5	19.2	19.3	10	11	90.9		•	< 5 HIGH
	UVALDE CONS I	UVALDE H S	17.6 18.0	18.7 19.5	19.6 20.0	19.3 19.5	19.0 19.5	23 20	259 247	8.9 8.1			< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD								11				< 5 TESTED
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT						0	8				
	SAN FELIPE-DE	DEL RIO H S	17.2	18.7	18.5	18.7	18.4	120	411	29.2	15	12.5	
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	20.6	19.9	22.4	20.9	21.1	31	108	28.7	6	19.4	
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	20.9	19.6	22.1	20.7	20.9	14	42	33.3			< 5 HIGH
	FRUITVALE ISD								11			•	< 5 TESTED
	GRAND SALINE		20.6	22.7	23.8	21.1	22.2	16	52	30.8	5	31.3	
		GRAND SALINE H	20.6	22.7	23.8	21.1	22.2	16	52	30.8	5	31.3	
	MARTINS MILL	MARTINS MILL H	21.5	20.7	22.9	22.2	21.9	17	21	81.0	8	47.1	
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY		•	•		•	0	1			•	
	VAN ISD	VAN H S	19.9	18.6	21.6	20.4	20.3	10	124	8.1			< 5 HIGH
	WILLS POINT I	WILLS POINT H	20.5	19.2	21.5	20.5	20.5	44	134	32.8	11	25.0	
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON I	BLOOMINGTON H	20.1	18.5	20.5	20.6	20.1	11	37	29.7			< 5 HIGH
	VICTORIA ISD		18.6	19.2	19.4	19.8	19.4	162	822	19.7	21	13.0	
		MEMORIAL HIGH	18.7	19.4	19.4	19.9	19.5	150	727	20.6			<30 MASKED
		MEMORIAL HIGH	17.8	17.6	19.4	18.3	18.4	11	•	•	•	•	<30 MASKED
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE IS		18.5	19.4	20.7	20.1	19.8	60	377	15.9	8	13.3	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				· Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pont.	***Note***
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE IS	HUNTSVILLE H S	18.5	19.4	20.7	20.1	19.8	60	368	16.3	8	13.3	
	NEW WAVERLY I		20.1	19.3	19.3	19.6	19.8	8	5	100.0			< 5 HIGH
		NEW WAVERLY H	20.1	19.3	19.3	19.6	19.8	8	3	100.0			< 5 HIGH
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	16.9	18.3	17.8	18.5	18.0	24	69	34.8			< 5 HIGH
	ROYAL ISD	ROYAL H S	17.5	18.9	18.3	18.9	18.5	37	53	69.8			< 5 HIGH
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	18.5	18.9	19.4	19.3	19.1	97	234	41.5	15	15.5	
WARD	GRANDFALLS-RO		•					-	9			•	< 5 TESTED
	MONAHANS-WICK		18.9	20.6	20.1	19.9	20.0	91	142	64.1	17	18.7	
		MONAHANS H S	18.9	20.6	20.1	19.9	20.0	91	134	67.9	17	18.7	
WAGUTNOTON	DDENHAM TOD		40.5	00.0	00.0	00.5	00.4	50	007	47.4		00.0	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD	BRENHAM HIGH S	19.5 19.5	20.8	20.3 20.3	20.5	20.4	50 50	287 267	17.4 18.7	11 11	22.0 22.0	
		BRENIFAW HIGH 3	19.5	20.8	20.5	20.5	20.4	30	201	10.7	- ' '	22.0	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	21.8	25.0	21.8	22.7	22.7	6	26	23.1			< 5 HIGH
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT	•					0	9				
	GATEWAY ACAD	GATEWAY ACADEM						0	26	•			
	LAREDO ISD		17.0	18.0	17.2	17.7	17.6	143	889	16.1	11	7.7	
		DR LEO CIGARRO	16.5	18.0	17.1	17.9	17.6	32	209	15.3			<10 MASKED
		MARTIN H S	16.8	17.8	16.9	17.4	17.3	55	321	17.1			<10 MASKED
		NIXON H S	17.4	18.2	17.5	18.0	17.9	56	356	15.7	•	•	<10 MASKED
	UNITED ISD		16.6	18.0	17.5	18.5	17.7	329	1201	27.4	26	7.9	
	ONTILD 13D	JOHN B ALEXAND	18.0	19.1	19.1	19.9	17.7	144	397	36.3		1.9	<30 MASKED
		UNITED H S	16.6	17.9	17.4	18.3	17.7	79	335	23.6			<30 MASKED
		UNITED SOUTH H	14.7	16.4	15.4	16.6	15.9	106	469	22.6			<30 MASKED
	WEBB CONS ISD	BRUNI H S	19.8	20.3	18.2	18.8	19.3	12	26	46.2			< 5 HIGH
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	19.3	19.6	20.4	19.5	19.8	21	48	43.8			< 5 HIGH
	EAST BERNARD	EAST BERNARD H	21.0	20.7	21.3	21.9	21.3	7	73	9.6			< 5 HIGH
	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	17.5	18.0	17.9	17.5	17.8	23	205	11.2			< 5 HIGH
	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	15.7	17.5	16.4	16.3	16.4	12	43	27.9			< 5 HIGH
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	19.1	19.3	19.6	19.5	19.5	102	175	58.3	22	21.6	
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL	16.6	19.1	18.4	18.4	18.3	7	7	100.0			< 5 HIGH
	FORT ELLIOTT	FORT ELLIOTT S	18.6	22.3	21.0	22.1	21.1	8	10	80.0		•	< 5 HIGH
	SHAMROCK ISD	SHAMROCK H S	19.0	18.8	18.9	19.1	19.0	30	30	100.0	5	16.7	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		Mean score T				Tostod	Gr	eaduat os		above			
County name	District name	Campus name			Read.					Tested(%)			***Note****
-			_							` ,			
WHEELER	WHEELER ISD	WHEELER SCHOOL	21.5	19.4	20.3	19.4	20.2	15	23	65.2			< 5 HIGH
WICHITA	BRIGHT IDEAS	BRIGHT IDEAS C							0				
	BURKBURNETT I		21.0	21.3	22.1	21.6	21.6	100	200	50.0	34	34.0	
		BURKBURNETT H	21.0	21.3	22.1	21.6	21.6	100	174	57.5	34	34.0	
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	21.8	20.8	22.9	21.6	22.0	21	40	52.5	9	42.9	
	IOWA PARK CON	IOWA PARK H S	19.8	20.6	20.8	20.8	20.6	68	131	51.9	13	19.1	
	WICHITA FALLS	LITPOOLIT LIO	20.6	21.0	21.4	21.0	21.1	243	788	30.8	67	27.6	
		HIRSCHI HS RIDER H S	19.8 20.6	20.5 21.1	21.5 21.0	21.3	20.9	61	187 319	32.6 32.6	15 29	24.6 27.9	
		WICHITA FALLS	21.1	21.1	21.7	20.9	21.3	104 78	251	31.1	23		
		WIGHTIA TALLO	21.1	21.1	21.7	20.0	21.0	70	201	01.1	20	29.5	
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL						0	7				
	NORTHSIDE ISD					•	•		5	•		•	< 5 TESTED
	VERNON ISD	VERNON H S	20.9	20.7	21.2	21.3	21.1	86	142	60.6	24	27.9	
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	16.4	16.8	17.1	18.0	17.2	46	72	63.9	5	10.9	
	RAYMONDVILLE	RAYMONDVILLE H	16.9	18.2	18.1	18.2	18.0	61	148	41.2	6	9.8	
	SAN PERLITA I	SAN PERLITA H	15.7	16.9	16.7	18.1	16.9	15	13	100.0			< 5 HIGH
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	20.5	19.7	20.6	21.7	20.6	19	56	33.9			< 5 HIGH
	GEORGETOWN IS		21.3	21.9	21.9	21.8	21.8	138	438	31.5	46	33.3	
		GEORGETOWN H S	21.4	22.0	22.1	21.8	21.9	135	416	32.5	46	34.1	
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	16.7	18.6	18.2	18.6	18.1	17	31	54.8		·	< 5 HIGH
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	17.1	20.2	18.0	18.7	18.7	21	62	33.9			< 5 HIGH
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	18.2	18.5	18.3	19.6	18.8	18	37	48.6			< 5 HIGH
	LEANDER ISD		21.3	22.3	22.2	21.9	22.0	138	595	23.2	50	36.2	
		CEDAR PARK H S	20.7	21.3	21.8	21.3	21.4	78	270	28.9	24	30.8	
		LEANDER H S	22.0	23.6	22.8	22.7	22.9	60	325	18.5	26	43.3	
	LIBERTY HILL	LIBERTY HILL H	21.6	20.0	22.9	20.4	21.2	11	79	13.9			< 5 HIGH
	ROUND ROCK IS		21.8	22.9	23.3	22.6	22.8	653	1707	38.3	284	43.5	
	NOOK 10	MCNEIL H S	21.0	21.5	22.4	21.6	21.7	144	462	31.2			<50 MASKED
		ROUND ROCK H S	20.9	22.2	22.4	22.0	22.0	250	676	37.0	96	38.4	IOILD
		STONY POINT HI	19.2	19.8	20.2	20.0	19.8	6		13.0			<50 MASKED
		WESTWOOD H S	23.3	24.5	24.9	24.0	24.3	253		53.0	143	56.5	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an score			Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	_	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note***
WILLIAMSON	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	18.2	20.5	19.8	19.8	19.7	17	143	11.9	5	29.4	
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	16.6	19.3	17.9	19.3	18.4	8	28	28.6			< 5 HIGH
WILSON	FLORESVILLE I	FLORESVILLE H	19.1	20.1	18.9	19.6	19.5	94	169	55.6	17	18.1	
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH	19.6	20.2	20.6	21.0	20.5	26	109	23.9	7	26.9	
	POTH ISD	POTH H S	19.5	19.1	20.4	20.4	20.0	31	55	56.4			< 5 HIGH
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	19.0	17.9	18.9	20.7	19.2	15	45	33.3			< 5 HIGH
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	18.8	20.7	19.3	21.0	20.0	48	98	49.0	13	27.1	
	WINK-LOVING I	WINK H S	19.6	20.0	20.9	20.8	20.5	22	24	91.7	7	31.8	
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	20.9	20.4	21.0	20.1	20.6	14	22	63.6			< 5 HIGH
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	18.8	21.3	20.0	20.5	20.3	31	56	55.4	6	19.4	
	BRIDGEPORT IS		19.2	20.2	20.1	20.3	20.1	65	137	47.4	13	20.0	
		BRIDGEPORT H S	19.2	20.2	20.1	20.3	20.1	65	116	56.0	13	20.0	
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCH	17.2	19.0	18.6	19.7	18.8	17	25	68.0			< 5 HIGH
	DECATUR ISD		18.7	19.3	19.2	19.8	19.4	51	170	30.0	9	17.6	
		DECATUR H S	18.7	19.3	19.2	19.8	19.4	51	142	35.9	9	17.6	
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	18.3	20.4	20.4	19.8	19.8	36	50	72.0	7	19.4	
	SLIDELL ISD			•					20				< 5 TESTED
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN I		20.8	19.8	22.2	20.0	20.8	13	43	30.2			< 5 HIGH
		ALBA-GOLDEN H	20.8	19.8	22.2	20.0	20.8	13	40	32.5	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	21.8	20.8	20.8	19.6	20.8	5	46	10.9	•	•	< 5 HIGH
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	20.3	19.0	21.9	20.3	20.6	35	73	47.9	7	20.0	
	QUITMAN ISD		20.0	18.1	21.7	20.4	20.3	21	77	27.3			< 5 HIGH
		QUITMAN H S	20.0	18.1	21.7	20.4	20.3	21	76	27.6		•	< 5 HIGH
	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	19.1	19.0	19.8	20.0	19.6	53	88	60.2	7	13.2	
	YANTIS ISD	YANTIS SCHOOL	22.2	19.3	21.5	21.6	21.3	10	16	62.5			< 5 HIGH
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY I	DENVER CITY H	18.2	19.1	19.1	19.3	19.0	65	118	55.1	7	10.8	
	PLAINS ISD	PLAINS H S	19.4	21.1	19.3	19.8	20.1	31	44	70.5	6	19.4	
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		22.3	22.1	22.5	22.6	22.4	38	123	30.9	14	36.8	
		GRAHAM H S	22.2	22.2	22.4	22.5	22.4	37	117	31.6	14	37.8	

Table B-2. ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	at or	above	
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested(%)	Num.	Pcnt.	***Note****
YOUNG	NEWCASTLE ISD								10		•	•	< 5 TESTED
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	19.1	19.1	20.5	20.1	19.8	30	51	58.8			< 5 HIGH
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY	ZAPATA H S	15.4	16.5	15.8	17.7	16.5	33	136	24.3			< 5 HIGH
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY	CRYSTAL CITY H	15.4	16.8	16.6	17.7	16.8	42	83	50.6			< 5 HIGH
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S						0	27	•			

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name		Number		above o	Percent		****Note***
ANDEDCON		CAVUCA II C			F4 0				
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	17					ВОТН	
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	30	64	46.9	9	30.0	вотн	
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	24	50	48.0	7	29.2	вотн	
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S	6	12	50.0	•		ACT	< 5 HIGH
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH SC	102	176	58.0	20	19.6	вотн	
	SLOCUM ISD	SLOCUM H S	5	18	27.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	37	83	44.6	8	21.6	вотн	
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH SCHO	92	221	41.6	23	25.0	вотн	
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		34	79	43.0	5	14.7	вотн	
		CENTRAL H S	34	72	47.2	5	14.7	вотн	
	DIBOLL ISD		61	10	100.0	7	11.5	вотн	
		DIBOLL H S	61	6	100.0	7	11.5	BOTH	
	HUDSON ISD		52	120	43.3	7	13.5	вотн	
		HUDSON H S	52	117	44.4	7	13.5	BOTH	
	HUNTINGTON ISD		27	100	27.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		HUNTINGTON H S	27	56	48.2			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	LUFKIN ISD		232	463	50.1	56	24.1	вотн	
		LUFKIN H S	232	445	52.1	56	24.1	BOTH	
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S	10	13	76.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNTY	ROCKPORT-FULTON H	121	200	60.5	32	26.4	вотн	
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY ISD	ARCHER CITY H S	28	37	75.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	53	76	69.7	14	26.4	вотн	
	MEGARGEL ISD			4				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	WINDTHORST ISD	WINDTHORST H S	21	28	75.0	7	33.3	вотн	
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	17	27	63.0	6	35.3	вотн	
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD	CHARLOTTE H S	9	20	45.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	JOURDANTON ISD	JOURDANTON H S	44	57	77.2	9	20.5	вотн	
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	45	66	68.2	10	22.2	вотн	
	PLEASANTON ISD	PLEASANTON H S	94	165	57.0	18	19.1	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examine	es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	aduates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
ATASCOSA	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	28	69	40.6		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	107	154	69.5	32	29.9	вотн	
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	33	53	62.3	6	18.2	вотн	
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	65	119	54.6	9	13.8	вотн	
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD		53	69	76.8	9	17.0	вотн	
		MULESHOE H S	53	65	81.5	9	17.0	BOTH	
	THREE WAY ISD	THREE WAY SCHOOL	5	9	55.6			ACT	< 5 HIGH
BANDERA	BANDERA ISD		58	109	53.2	18	31.0		
		BANDERA H S	57	106	53.8	18	31.6	вотн	
	MEDINA ISD	MEDINA H S	15	18	83.3	-	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
BASTROP	BASTROP ISD		154	289	53.3	34	22.1	вотн	
		BASTROP H S	153	277	55.2	34	22.2	BOTH	
	ELGIN ISD	ELGIN H S	70	164	42.7	15	21.4	вотн	
	SMITHVILLE ISD	SMITHVILLE H S	48	80	60.0	8	16.7	вотн	
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	38	52	73.1	17	44.7	вотн	
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD		124	226	54.9	20	16.1	вотн	
		A C JONES HIGH SC	124	220	56.4	20	16.1	BOTH	
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	13	35	37.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SKIDMORE-TYNAN	SKIDMORE-TYNAN H	36	57	63.2	7	19.4	вотн	
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	32	52	61.5	9	28.1	вотн	
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	13	27	48.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BELTON ISD		325	390	83.3	65	20.0	вотн	
		BELTON H S	324	353	91.8	65	20.1	BOTH	
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	24	36	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	KILLEEN ISD		672	1,185	56.7	115	17.1	вотн	
		ELLISON H S	289	501	57.7	44		BOTH	
		HARKER HEIGHTS HI	94	164		20		BOTH	
		KILLEEN H S SHOEMAKER HIGH SC	234 55	371 120	63.1 45.8	42 9	17.9 16.4		
		GROLWANLE FIRE SC	ວວ	120	40.8	9	10.4	ВОТП	
	RICHARD MILBURN	KILLEEN-RICHARD M	0	40	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	30	51	58.8	5	16.7	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Evaminoos		aduates	above o		Tost	****Note***
•		·							
BELL	SALADO ISD	SALADO H S	40	55	72.7	9	22.5	вотн	
	TEMPLE ISD	TEMPLE H S	217	332	65.4	90	41.5	вотн	
	TRANSFORMATIVE		•	42				SAT	< 5 TESTED
	TROY ISD	TROY HIGH SCHOOL	48	61	78.7	11	22.9	вотн	
BEXAR	ALAMO HEIGHTS I	ALAMO HEIGHTS H S	270	304	88.8	134	49.6	вотн	
	BLESSED SACRAME	BLESSED SACRAMENT	0	42	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (SA	0	10	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	EAST CENTRAL IS		260	410	63.4	56	21.5	вотн	
		EAST CENTRAL H S	260	408				вотн	
	EDGEWOOD ISD	JOHN F KENNEDY HI	229 134					BOTH BOTH	<10 MASKED
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCH		196	48.5				<10 MASKED
	FT SAM HOUSTON	ROBERT G COLE JR-	45	55	81.8	14	31.1	вотн	
	GEORGE GERVIN A	GEORGE GERVIN CHA	0	25	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	GEORGE I SANCHE	GEORGE I SANCHEZ	0	1	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	HARLANDALE ISD		293	614	47.7	18	6.1	вотн	
		HARLANDALE H S	155	319	48.6			вотн	
		MCCOLLUM H S	137	266	51.5	5	3.6	BOTH	
	JOHN H WOOD CHA	JOHN H WOOD CHART	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	JUDSON ISD		447	699	63.9	109	24.4	вотн	
	OODSON 13D	JUDSON HIGH SCHOO			69.1			BOTH	
	LAGIK AND TOD	VIDOINIA ALL DED O	40	0.4	75.0			DOTU	
	LACKLAND ISD	VIRGINIA ALLRED S	18	24	75.0	8	44.4	вотн	
	NORTH EAST ISD		2,000	2,528	79.1	679	34.0	вотн	
		ACADEMY OF CREATI	8	29	27.6			BOTH	<40 MASKED
		CHURCHILL H S	433	459	94.3	178	41.1	BOTH	
		INTERNATIONAL SCH	98	109	89.9			BOTH	<40 MASKED
		LEE H S	242	388	62.4	72	29.8		
		MAC ARTHUR H S MADISON H S	318 391	391 487	81.3 80.3	128 114	40.3 29.2		
		REAGAN H S	331	361	91.7	119	36.0		
		ROOSEVELT H S	179	292	61.3			ВОТН	<40 MASKED
	NORTHSIDE ISD		2,333	3,246	71.9	710	30.4		
		BUSINESS CAREERS	115		•			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		COMMUNICATIONS AR	81	0	. 02 5	43	53.1		
		HEALTH CAREERS H	187	200	93.5	125	8.00	вотн	

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Note.}}$  For masking rules, please see Notes following the listings.

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Examinees at or					
					duates				
County name	District name	Campus name							
DEVAD	NODTHOTOE TOD	HOLMEO II O	20.4	504	44.4		40.0	DOTU	
BEXAR	NORTHSIDE ISD	HOLMES H S	234					BOTH	
		JAY H S	240					BOTH	
		MARSHALL H S	349					BOTH BOTH	
		SANDRA DAY O'CONN SUNSET H S	377 13					BOTH BOTH	<20 MASKED
		TOM CLARK H S	395			159		BOTH	\20 WASKED
		WILLIAM H TAFT H						BOTH	
		WILLIAM II IAI I II	042	331	37.0	30	20.0	БОПП	
	POSITIVE SOLUTI	POSITIVE SOLUTION	0	31	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	RADIANCE ACADEM	RADIANCE ACADEMY	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	RANDOLPH FIELD	RANDOLPH H S	47	62	75.8	18	38.3	вотн	
	SAN ANTONIO ISD		1,417	2,220	63.8	69	4.9	вотн	
		BRACKENRIDGE H S	232	365	63.6		12.5	вотн	
		BURBANK H S	194	200	97.0	7	3.6	BOTH	
		EDISON H S	148	238	62.2			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		FOX TECHNICAL H S	145	277	52.3			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		HIGHLANDS H S	209	336	62.2	18	8.6	BOTH	
		HOUSTON H S	130	189	68.8			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		JEFFERSON H S	180	289	62.3			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		LANIER H S	177	286	61.9			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET H S	53	103	51.5	5	9.4	вотн	
	SOUTH SAN ANTON		266	464	57.3	14	5.3	вотн	
	OCCITI OF WY TWY TO IN	SO SAN ANTONIO H	72	116	62.1			ВОТН	
		SOUTH SAN ANTONIO	194	296	65.5	8		вотн	
	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	95	196	48.5			вотн	
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	182	382	47.6	17	9.3	вотн	
	SOUTHWEST PREPA	SOUTHWEST PREPARA	5	39	12.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	31	44	70.5	11	35.5	вотн	
	JOHNSON CITY IS	LYNDON B JOHNSON	28	38	73.7	8	28.6	вотн	
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY I	BORDEN COUNTY SCH	18	12	100.0	7	38.9	вотн	
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	41	56	73.2	8	19.5	вотн	
	CRANFILLS GAP I			4				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	IREDELL ISD		•	6	•			вотн	< 5 TESTED
	KOPPERL ISD			1	•			вотн	< 5 TESTED
	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	19	23	82.6	10	52.6		
	MORGAN ISD		•	5	•	•	•	ACT	< 5 TESTED

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Graduates		Examinees at or above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent		
BOSQUE	VALLEY MILLS IS	VALLEY MILLS H S	5	16	31.3	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WALNUT SPRINGS	WALNUT SPRINGS SC	5	9	55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	50	55	90.9	5	10.0	вотн	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (TE	0	4	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	HOOKS ISD	HOOKS H S	36	57	63.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LIBERTY-EYLAU I		90	139	64.7	16		вотн	
		LIBERTY-EYLAU H S	89	135	65.9	16	18.0	вотн	
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	20	29	69.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NEW BOSTON ISD	NEW BOSTON H S	70	78	89.7	16	22.9	вотн	
	PLEASANT GROVE	PLEASANT GROVE H	140	145	96.6	52	37.1	вотн	
	REDWATER ISD	REDWATER H S	42	61	68.9	19	45.2	вотн	
	SIMMS ISD	LAMES BOWLE II S	30	36	83.3			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		JAMES BOWIE H S	30	35	85.7	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TEXARKANA ISD	TEXAS H S	146 146	226 196	64.6 74.5	44 44		BOTH BOTH	
		12,016 11 6							
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD	ALVIN HIGH SCHOOL	210 210	468 438	44.9 47.9	59 59		BOTH BOTH	
	ANGLETON ISD	ANGLETON H S	154	276	55.8	42	27.3	вотн	
	DDAZOCDODI ICD		207	657	50.0	140	06.7	DOTU	
	BRAZOSPORT ISD	BRAZOSPORT H S	387 71	657 157	58.9 45.2	142 10	14.1	BOTH BOTH	
		BRAZOSWOOD H S	316	494	64.0	132		вотн	
	COLUMBIA-BRAZOR	COLUMBIA H S	94	179	52.5	18	19.1	вотн	
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S	27	49	55.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	329	509	64.6	101	30.7	вотн	
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	88	142	62.0	21	23.9	вотн	
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL F			1				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	BRYAN ISD		301	558	53.9	89	29.6		
		BRYAN H S	301	469	64.2	89	29.6	вотн	
	COLLEGE STATION		393	498	78.9	196	49.9		
		A & M CONS H S	393	480	81.9	196	49.9	BOTH	

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Note.}}$  For masking rules, please see Notes following the listings.

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	ıduates	Examinees at or above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name			` ,				****Note****
BRAZOS	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (BR	0	5	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	55	75	73.3	8	14.5	вотн	
	MARATHON ISD	MARATHON INDPENDE	6	9	66.7		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S	9	23	39.1		•	SAT	< 5 HIGH
BRISCOE	SILVERTON ISD	SILVERTON SCHOOL	16	12	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY I	FALFURRIAS H S	69	148	46.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
BROWN	BANGS ISD	BANGS H S	36 36	44 42	81.8 85.7	11 11	30.6 30.6		
	BLANKET ISD	BLANKET H S	12	11	100.0		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BROOKESMITH ISD	BROOKESMITH H S	18	18	100.0	5	27.8	вотн	
	BROWNWOOD ISD	BROWNWOOD H S	145 141	235 194	61.7 72.7			BOTH BOTH	
	BROWNWOOD STATE	BROWNWOOD STATE S	7	0	į		•	ACT	
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	55	64	85.9	19	34.5	вотн	
	MAY ISD	MAY H S	23	20	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S	12	9	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	52	98	53.1	13	25.0	вотн	
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDARY	19	29	65.5	7	36.8	вотн	
	SOMERVILLE ISD	SOMERVILLE H S	17	43	39.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
BURNET	BURNET CONS ISD	BURNET H S	105 105	188 171	55.9 61.4	26 26	24.8 24.8	BOTH BOTH	
	MARBLE FALLS IS	MARBLE FALLS H S	122	173	70.5	39	32.0	вотн	
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD	LOCKHART H S	138 138	232 222	59.5 62.2	30 30	21.7 21.7		
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	56	81	69.1	13	23.2	вотн	
	PRAIRIE LEA ISD	PRAIRIE LEA SCHOO	6	0				вотн	
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD	CALHOUN H S	88 87	220 198	40.0 43.9	22 22	25.0 25.3	BOTH BOTH	
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD	BAIRD H S	23	23	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Graduates		Examinees at or above criterion					
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees					Test	****Note***		
CALLAHAN	CLYDE CONS ISD	CLYDE H S	45	78	57.7	20	44.4	вотн			
	CROSS PLAINS IS	CROSS PLAINS H S	22	39	56.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	EULA ISD	EULA H S	25	37	67.6	9	36.0	вотн			
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE ISD		603	1,830	33.0	60	10.0	вотн			
		HANNA H S	184	502	36.7	31	16.8	BOTH			
		LOPEZ H S	82	344	23.8			BOTH	<10 MASKED		
		PACE H S	113		32.7			ВОТН			
		PORTER H S	95		27.1			BOTH	.40 140455		
		RIVERA H S	129	266	48.5	•	•	вотн	<10 MASKED		
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (BR	0	19	0.0	0	0.0	NONE			
	HARLINGEN CONS		399	777	51.4	64	16.0	вотн			
		HARLINGEN H S	195	407	47.9	27	13.8	BOTH			
		HARLINGEN H S - S	204	357	57.1	37	18.1	BOTH			
	LA FERIA ISD		67	153	43.8	9	13 4	вотн			
	EX TENTA TOD	LA FERIA H S	67	143	46.9			вотн			
	LOS FRESNOS CON	LOS FRESNOS HS	153	313	48.9	19		вотн			
	POINT ISABEL IS	PORT ISABEL H S	52	116	44.8		·	вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	77	112	68.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	SAN BENITO CONS	SAN BENITO H S	160	376	42.6	8	5.0	вотн			
	SANTA MARIA ISD		11	19	57.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
		SANTA MARIA H S	11	14	78.6	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	SANTA ROSA ISD	SANTA ROSA H S	55	71	77.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	SOUTH TEXAS ISD		312	316	98.7	121	38.8	вотн			
		HIGH SCHOOL FOR H	153	157	97.5	46	30.1	BOTH			
		THE SCIENCE ACADE	120	117	100.0	68	56.7	BOTH			
		THE TEACHER ACADE	38	42	90.5	7	18.4	BOTH			
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH	0	23	0.0	0	0.0	NONE			
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	52	103	50.5	17	32.7	вотн			
CARSON	GROOM ISD	GROOM SCHOOL	11	13	84.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	PANHANDLE ISD		32	42	76.2	5	15.6	вотн			
	· ·	PANHANDLE H S	32	41	78.0	5	15.6				
	WHITE DEER ISD	WHITE DEER H S	20	28	71.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S	91	98	92.9	24	26.4	вотн			

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)		riterion Percent	Test	****Note***
CASS	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S	17	13	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	BLOOMBURG ISD			9				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	HUGHES SPRINGS	HUGHES SPRINGS HI	41	47	87.2	11	26.8	вотн	
	LINDEN-KILDARE	LINDEN-KILDARE H	65	79	82.3	12	18.5	вотн	
	MCLEOD ISD	MCLEOD H S	23	29	79.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	QUEEN CITY ISD	QUEEN CITY H S	52	70	74.3	6	11.5	вотн	
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD	DIMMITT H S	58	84	69.0	10	17.2	вотн	
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S	20	31	64.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	NAZARETH ISD	NAZARETH SCHOOL	17	20	85.0	7	41.2	вотн	
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD		41	77	53.2	10	24.4	вотн	
		ANAHUAC H S	41	73	56.2	10	24.4	вотн	
	BARBERS HILL IS		98	152	64.5	38		вотн	
		BARBERS HILL H S	98	151	64.9	38	38.8	вотн	
	EAST CHAMBERS I	EAST CHAMBERS H S	43	59	72.9	8	18.6	вотн	
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	11	36	30.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	JACKSONVILLE IS		137	244	56.1	26		вотн	
		JACKSONVILLE H S	137	226	60.6	26	19.0	вотн	
	NEW SUMMERFIELD	NEW SUMMERFIELD S	6	12	50.0		į	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	44	100	44.0	12	27.3	вотн	
	WELLS ISD	WELLS H S	7	12	58.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	50	63	79.4	9	18.0	вотн	
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOOL	10	14	71.4		į	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL	5	11	45.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	41	65	63.1	11	26.8	вотн	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	8	15	53.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PETROLIA ISD	PETROLIA H S	14	23	60.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD		14	22	63.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		MORTON H S	14	17	82.4	•	•	ВОТН	< 5 HIGH
	WHITEFACE CONS		22	33	66.7	5	22.7	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Chaduatas		Examinees at or above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees					Test	****Note***
-									
COCHRAN	WHITEFACE CONS	WHITEFACE H S	22	32	68.8	5	22.7	вотн	
COKE	BRONTE ISD	BRONTE H S	19	27	70.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ROBERT LEE ISD		17	29	58.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		ROBERT LEE H S	17	28	60.7			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD		57	69	82.6	13	22 8	вотн	
OOLLWAN	OOLLWAN 10D	COLEMAN H S	57	62	91.9	13		BOTH	
	NOVICE ISD			4				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	PANTHER CREEK C	PANTHER CREEK H S	10	16	62.5	5	50.0	вотн	
	SANTA ANNA ISD	CANTA ANNA II C	13	19	68.4	•		BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		SANTA ANNA H S	11	17	64.7	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	412	539	76.4	149	36.2	вотн	
	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	20	35	57.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BLUE RIDGE ISD	BLUE RIDGE H S	12	33	36.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	52	64	81.3	13	25.0	вотн	
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	41	60	68.3	8	19.5	вотн	
	FARMERSVILLE IS	FARMERSVILLE H S	26	48	54.2	7	26.9	вотн	
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	157	248	63.3	54	34.4	вотн	
	MCKINNEY ISD		318	453	70.2	137	43.1	BOTH	
		ACT ACADEMY AT J	9	8	100.0			BOTH	<140 MASKED
		MCKINNEY HIGH SCH	306	407	75.2	•	•	вотн	<140 MASKED
	PLANO ISD		2,093	2,323	90.1	1198	57.2	вотн	
		PLANO EAST SR H S	664	864	76.9	347	52.3	BOTH	
		PLANO SR H S	721	741	97.3	422	58.5	BOTH	
		PLANO WEST SENIOR	702	718	97.8	424	60.4	BOTH	
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	44	100	44.0	10	22.7	вотн	
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	38	48	79.2	19	50.0	вотн	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	147	208	70.7	38	25.9	вотн	
COLLINGSWORTH	SAMNORWOOD ISD	SAMNORWOOD SCHOOL	5	6	83.3			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	WELLINGTON ISD	WELLINGTON H S	28	32	87.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD		79	103	76.7	22	27.8	вотн	
		COLUMBUS H S	79	100	79.0	22	27.8	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Examinees at or					
0	Biological comm	0			duates			<b>.</b>	****
County name	District name	Campus name						lest	****Note****
COLORADO	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	33	67	49.3	7	21.2	BOTH	
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	29	45	64.4	5	17.2	вотн	
COMAL	COMAL ISD	OANDON II O	421	566	74.4			BOTH	
		CANYON H S SMITHSON VALLEY H	190 229	221 321	86.0 71.3	56 66		BOTH BOTH	
		OMITHOON VALLET II	223	021	7110	00	20.0	БОПП	
	NEW BRAUNFELS I		236	334	70.7	68	28.8	BOTH	
		NEW BRAUNFELS H S	236	303	77.9	68	28.8	BOTH	
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	38	62	61.3	9	23.7	вотн	
	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	23	34	67.6	•		BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	GUSTINE ISD	GUSTINE SCHOOL	10	9	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SIDNEY ISD	SIDNEY SCHOOL	7	7	100.0		•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D	EDEN H S	26	25	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PAINT ROCK ISD	PAINT ROCK SCHOOL	11	14	78.6		•	ACT	< 5 HIGH
C00KE	CALLISBURG ISD	CALLISBURG H S	32	46	69.6	8	25.0	вотн	
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	15	24	62.5			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	GAINESVILLE ISD	GAINESVILLE H S	67	135	49.6	17	25.4	вотн	
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	38	43	88.4	14	36.8	BOTH	
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	20	25	80.0	10	50.0	вотн	
	TYC - GAINESVIL	GAINESVILLE STATE	5	0				ACT	
	VALLEY VIEW ISD	VALLEY VIEW HS/MS	28	39	71.8	7	25.0	вотн	
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE I		225	378	59.5	49		BOTH	
		COPPERAS COVE H S	225	371	60.6	49	21.8	вотн	
	EVANT ISD	EVANT H S	19	22	86.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GATESVILLE ISD	GATESVILLE H S	64	122	52.5	19	29.7	вотн	
	JONESBORO ISD	JONESBORO SCHOOL	6	13	46.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	OGLESBY ISD		•	11	•	•	•	ACT	< 5 TESTED
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD	PADUCAH H S	15	20	75.0	5	33.3	вотн	
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	38	72	52.8	6	15.8	вотн	
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO CON	OZONA H S	25	45	55.6	8	32.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examinees at or			
				Gra	duates	above c	riterion		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees	Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	Test	****Note****
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD		30	35	85.7	5	16.7	BOTH	
		CROSBYTON H S	30	33	90.9	5	16.7	BOTH	
	LORENZO ISD	LORENZO H S	7	21	33.3			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	RALLS ISD		12	38	31.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		RALLS H S	12	29	41.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
						_			
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COUNT	VAN HORN H S	30	42	71.4	5	16.7	BOTH	
DALLAM	DALLIART TOD	DALLIADT II O	70	00	04.0	40	00.4	DOTU	
DALLAM	DALHART ISD	DALHART H S	72	88	81.8	19	26.4	BOTH	
	TEVLINE TOD	TEVI THE COURSE	40		100.0			DOTU	. E LITOU
	TEXLINE ISD	TEXLINE SCHOOL	12	11	100.0	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
DALLAC	ALDUA CHADTED C	ALDUA CHADTED CCH	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER 5	ALPHA CHARTER SCH	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	CARROLL TON EARM		901	1 100	71 2	201	27.6	DOTU	
	CARROLLTON-FARM	CDEEKVIEW H C	801	1,123	71.3	301		BOTH BOTH	
		CREEKVIEW H S	292	348	83.9	109		BOTH	
		NEWMAN SMITH H S	274	336	81.5	114		BOTH	
		TURNER H S	231	392	58.9	76	32.9	BOTH	
	CEDAD UTIL TOD	CEDAD UTIL U C	051	339	74.0	E0	01 1	вотн	
	CEDAR HILL ISD	CEDAR HILL H S	251	339	74.0	53	21.1	БОТП	
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	389	421	92.4	194	40.0	вотн	
	COFFELL 13D	COFFELL II 3	369	421	92.4	194	49.9	БОПП	
	DALLAS CAN ACAD		20	269	7.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	DILLETO OTHE TOTAL	DALLAS CAN! ACADE	20	134	14.9		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
		DALLAG GAN: AGADL	20	104	14.9	•	•	БОТП	V 5 HIGH
	DALLAS ISD		2,781	5,581	49.8	318	11.4	вотн	
	DALLA TOD	A MACEO SMITH H S	59	134	44.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		BARBARA MANNS H S	5	0		:		вотн	· O IIIGII
		BOOKER T WASHINGT	136	162	84.0	39		вотн	
		BRYAN ADAMS H S	127	308	41.2	22		вотн	
		DAVID W CARTER H	144	280	51.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		H GRADY SPRUCE H	68	215	31.6			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		HILLCREST H S	136	239	56.9	51		ВОТН	V 5 IIIGII
		JAMES MADISON H S	43	130	33.1			ВОТН	< 5 HIGH
		JUSTIN F KIMBALL	124	265	46.8	•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		L G PINKSTON H S	42	122	34.4	•	•	ВОТН	< 5 HIGH
					87.0	•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		LINCOLN H S METROPOLITAN ED C	160 13	184 0		•	•	SAT	< 5 HIGH
		MIDDLE COLLEGE	10	30	33.3		•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		MOISES MOLINA H S	120	334	35.9	6	5 0	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
			134				5.0		< 5 HIGH
		NORTH DALLAS H S		224	59.8	•	•	SAT	
		ROOSEVELT H S	55 37	112	49.1		16.2	BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		SCH OF GOVT, LAW, & SCHOOL FOR THE TA	37 36	67 36	55.2	6	16.2	BOTH BOTH	
			36	36 104	100.0	32	88.9	BOTH BOTH	
		SCHOOL OF BUSINES	72	104	69.2	5	6.9	BOTH	Z E LITOU
		SCHOOL OF EDUCATI	31	43	72.1		10.0	BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		SCHOOL OF HEALTH	92	109	84.4	10	10.9		
		SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	70	86	81.4	24	34.3	BOTH	. E UTOU
		SEAGOVILLE H S	59	172	34.3	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examinees at or			
				Gra	duates	above criterion			
County name		Campus name							
		0.00 7.15 0							
DALLAS	DALLAS ISD	SKYLINE H S	345				7.8		
		SOUTH OAK CLIFF H						вотн	< 5 HIGH
		SUNSET H S	57		25.2				< 5 HIGH
		THOMAS JEFFERSON	108	226	47.8	5	4.6	BOTH	
		W H ADAMSON H S	58	164	35.4			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		W T WHITE H S	175	275	63.6	38	21.7	BOTH	
		W W SAMUELL H S	87	186	46.8			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		WOODROW WILSON H	84	221	38.0	31	36.9	BOTH	
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	228	305	74.8	54	23.7	BOTH	
	DUNCANI/TULE TOD		455	654	60.6	105	00.1	DOTU	
	DUNCANVILLE ISD	DUNGANUTU E U O	455	654	69.6			BOTH	
		DUNCANVILLE H S	455	619	73.5	105	23.1	вотн	
	EAGLE ADVANTAGE	EAGLE ADVANTAGE C	0	25	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	27.022 7.077.117.102	27.022 7.27.117.102 0	· ·		0.0	· ·	0.0		
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (DA	0	3	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	GARLAND ISD		1,371	2,346	58.4	413	30.1	BOTH	
		GARLAND H S	267	401	66.6	146	54.7	BOTH	
		LAKEVIEW OFNITENNI	400	050	47.5	04	47.4	DOTU	
		LAKEVIEW CENTENNI			47.5	21		BOTH	
		N GARLAND H S	193		47.4			BOTH	
		NAAMAN FOREST H S	297	450	66.0	90	30.3	BOTH	
		ROWLETT H S	268	439	61.0	72	26.9	BOTH	
		S GARLAND H S	223	376	59.3	46	20.6	BOTH	
	ODAND DDATDIE I		440	0.45	40.0	70	40.0	БОТИ	
	GRAND PRAIRIE I		416	845	49.2			BOTH	
		GRAND PRAIRIE H S			36.2			вотн	
		SO GRAND PRAIRIE	273	411	66.4	53	19.4	вотн	
	HTGHI AND PARK T	HIGHLAND PARK HIG	399	336	100.0	274	68.7	вотн	
	HIGHE/WD 17WK I	HIGHEAND FAIR HIG	000	000	100.0	214	00.7	ВОТП	
	HONORS ACADEMY		38	412	9.2	21	55.3	SAT	
		EXCEL ACADEMY	9	6	100.0	6	66.7	SAT	
		UNIVERSITY SCHOOL	28	90	31.1	15			
	IRVING ISD		609	1,099	55.4	210	34.5	BOTH	
		IRVING H S	154	309	49.8	47	30.5	BOTH	
		MACARTHUR H S	245	421	58.2	99	40.4	BOTH	
		NIMITZ H S	210	364	57.7	64	30.5	BOTH	
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	132	221	59.7	6	4.5	вотн	
	MESQUITE ISD		897	1,595	56.2	227	25.3	вотн	
		MESQUITE H S	303	552	54.9	68		вотн	
		NORTH MESQUITE H	255	433	58.9	69		BOTH	
		RALPH H POTEET H	248	321	77.3	68		BOTH	
		WEST MESQUITE H S	90	243	37.0	22	24.4	вотн	
	RENAISSANCE CHA	RENAISSANCE CHART	9	0				вотн	
			· ·	3	•	•	-		
	RICHARDSON ISD		1,494	1,760	84.9	719	48.1	BOTH	
		BERKNER H S	436	531	82.1	205	47.0	BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	aduates		es at or criterion		
County name	District name	Campus name			Tested(%)				****Note****
DALLAS	RICHARDSON ISD	LAKE HIGHLANDS H	391	441					
		PEARCE H S	383	415					
		RICHARDSON H S	284	373	76.1	112	39.4	вотн	
	RYLIE FAITH FAM			4			•	SAT	< 5 TESTED
	WILMER-HUTCHINS	WILMER-HUTCHINS H	40	112	35.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WINFREE ACADEMY		0	233	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL	5	13	38.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	KLONDIKE ISD	KLONDIKE H S	13	14	92.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	82	144	56.9	22	26.8	вотн	
	SANDS CISD	SANDS H S	5	14	35.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD	HEREFORD H S	96	223	43.0	22	22.9	вотн	
DELTA	COOPER ISD	COOPER H S	22	37	59.5	5	22.7	вотн	
	FANNINDEL ISD	FANNINDEL H S	5	7	71.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
DENTON	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	31	55	56.4	6	19.4	вотн	
	DENTON ISD		471	623	75.6	166	35.2	вотн	
	DENTION TOD	DENTON H S	260	315			36.5		
		FRED MOORE HIGH S	6	25	24.0			BOTH	<80 MASKED
		RYAN H S	205	283	72.4			вотн	<80 MASKED
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	35	44	79.5	8	22.9	вотн	
	LAKE DALLAS ISD	LAKE DALLAS H S	88	148	59.5	32	36.4	вотн	
	LEWISVILLE ISD		1,530	1,797	85.1	575	37.6	вотн	
		LEWISVILLE H S	507	627	80.9	169	33.3	BOTH	
		MARCUS H S	610	626	97.4	256	42.0	BOTH	
		THE COLONY H S	410	511	80.2	148	36.1	вотн	
	LITTLE ELM ISD	LITTLE ELM H S	36	68	52.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NORTHWEST ISD		155	261	59.4	43	27.7	вотн	
		NORTHWEST H S	155	248	62.5	43		BOTH	
	PILOT POINT ISD	PILOT POINT H S	42	58	72.4	14	33.3	вотн	
	PONDER ISD	PONDER H S	12	22	54.5		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SANGER ISD		66	139	47.5	15	22.7	вотн	
		SANGER H S	66	103		15		вотн	
	UNIVERSITY OF N	TEXAS ACADEMY OF	164	0		163	99.4	вотн	

 $\ensuremath{\textit{Note.}}$  For masking rules, please see Notes following the listings.

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	ıduates		es at or				
County name	District name	Campus name			Tested(%)	Number	Percent	Test	****Note***		
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	80	116	69.0	31	38.8	вотн			
	NORDHEIM ISD			4				SAT	< 5 TESTED		
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	58	94	61.7	19	32.8	вотн			
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	27	51	52.9	6	22.2	вотн			
DICKENS	PATTON SPRINGS	PATTON SPRINGS SC	6	8	75.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH		
	SPUR ISD	SPUR SCHOOL	12	13	92.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRINGS	CARRIZO SPRINGS H	73	123	59.3	10	13.7	вотн			
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD	CLARENDON H S	38	47	80.9	8	21.1	вотн			
	HEDLEY ISD	HEDLEY SCHOOL	7	10	70.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD	BENAVIDES H S	23	33	69.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	FREER ISD	FREER H S	43	53	81.1	6	14.0	вотн			
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	48	98	49.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	27	57	47.4	7	25.9	вотн			
	EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND H S	38	70	54.3	8	21.1	вотн			
	GORMAN ISD	GORMAN H S	16	29	55.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	RANGER ISD	RANGER H S	19	24	79.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	RISING STAR ISD	RISING STAR H S	9	14	64.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY IS	CAREER CTR	541 9	1,393 217	38.8 4.1	118	21.8	ВОТН ВОТН	<60 MASKED		
		ODESSA H S	241	515	46.8	66	27.4		VOO WASKED		
		PERMIAN H S	288	525	54.9			вотн	<60 MASKED		
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON C	NUECES CANYON H S	17	22	77.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	ROCKSPRINGS ISD	ROCKSPRINGS H S	18	27	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S	38	46	82.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH		
	BURNHAM WOOD CH			3				SAT	< 5 TESTED		
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	98	179	54.7	5	5.1	вотн			
	CLINT ISD		154	299	51.5	5	3.2	вотн			
		CLINT H S	84	171	49.1			вотн	<10 MASKED		
		MOUNTAIN VIEW H S	70	128	54.7			вотн	<10 MASKED		

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Graduates		Examinees at or			
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees					Test	****Note***
EL PASO	EL PASO ACADEMY	EL PASO ACADEMY E	0	108	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
LL TAGO	EL TAGO AGABEMI	EE 17100 71071DEM1 E	· ·	100	0.0	Ü	0.0	NONE	
	EL PASO ISD		1,673	2,893	57.8	351	21.0	BOTH	
		ANDRESS H S	189	331	57.1	24	12.7	BOTH	
		AUSTIN H S	101	237	42.6	22	21.8	BOTH	
		BOWIE H S	88	242	36.4			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		BURGES H S	157	271	57.9	15	9.6	BOTH	
		CORONADO H S	292	417	70.0	94	32.2	BOTH	
		EL PASO H S	107	206	51.9	13	12.1	BOTH	
		FRANKLIN H S	331	459	72.1	124	37.5	BOTH	
		IRVIN H S	152	321	47.4	17	11.2	BOTH	
		JEFFERSON H S	87	187	46.5			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		SILVA HEALTH MAGN	167	186	89.8	36	21.6	BOTH	
	FABENS ISD		48	129	37.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		FABENS H S	48	115	41.7	•		BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	PASO DEL NORTE	PASO DEL NORTE AC	0	60	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	SAN ELIZARIO IS	SAN ELIZARIO H S	65	130	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SOCORRO ISD		707	1,215	58.2	71	10.0	вотн	
		AMERICAS H S	225	372	60.5	31	13.8	BOTH	
		MONTWOOD H S	259	432	60.0	28	10.8	BOTH	
		SOCORRO H S	222	411	54.0	12	5.4	BOTH	
	TORNILLO ISD	TORNILLO H S	27	34	79.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	YSLETA ISD		2,492	2,515	99.1	134	5.4	вотн	
		ACAD OF SCIENCE/T	91	78	100.0			SAT	<10 MASKED
		BEL AIR H S	359	404	88.9			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		CESAR CHAVEZ ACAD	58	53	100.0			SAT	<10 MASKED
		DEL VALLE H S	314	345	91.0	10	3.2	BOTH	
		EASTWOOD H S	373	399	93.5	46	12.3	BOTH	
		J M HANKS H S	523	535	97.8	48	9.2	BOTH	
		PARKLAND H S	215	201	100.0			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		RIVERSIDE H S	218		100.0				<10 MASKED
		TEJAS SCHOOL OF C	58	31	100.0		•	SAT	<10 MASKED
		YSLETA H S	283	255	100.0	•	•	вотн	<10 MASKED
ELLIS	AVALON ISD	AVALON SCHOOL	5	16	31.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	132	210	62.9	23	17.4	вотн	
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S	46	77	59.7	8	17.4	вотн	
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	20	34	58.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	34	40	85.0	11	32.4	вотн	
	MIDLOTHIAN ISD	MIDLOTHIAN H S	151	210	71.9	44	29.1	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	duates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent		****Note***
ELLIS	MILFORD ISD	MILFORD SCHOOL	7	9	77.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	28	54	51.9	7	25.0	вотн	
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	161	214	75.2	38	23.6	вотн	
	WAXAHACHIE ISD	WAXAHACHIE H S	178 176	325 320	54.8 55.0	53 53		BOTH BOTH	
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	38	57	66.7	9	23.7	вотн	
	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOOL	12	12	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LINGLEVILLE ISD	LINGLEVILLE SCHOO	6	12	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PARADIGM ACCELE	PARADIGM ACCELERA	0	13	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	STEPHENVILLE IS	STEPHENVILLE H S	141	180	78.3	41	29.1	вотн	
FALLS	CHILTON ISD			20				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	MARLIN ISD	MARLIN H S	42	71	59.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ROSEBUD-LOTT IS	ROSEBUD-LOTT H S	47	67	70.1	22	46.8	вотн	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	58	91	63.7	10	17.2	вотн	
	DODD CITY ISD	DODD CITY SCHOOL	7	10	70.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL	8	9	88.9	ě	·	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HONEY GROVE ISD	HONEY GROVE H S	27	52	51.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LEONARD ISD	LEONARD HIGH SCHO	15	39	38.5	ě	·	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SAM RAYBURN ISD	RAYBURN H S	19	27	70.4			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S	10	17	58.8			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	TRENTON ISD	TRENTON H S	11	22	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE IS	FAYETTEVILLE H S	9	16	56.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FLATONIA ISD	FLATONIA SECONDAR	25	38	65.8	7	28.0	вотн	
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	56	109	51.4	18	32.1	вотн	
	ROUND TOP-CARMI	ROUND TOP-CARMINE	20	23	87.0	5	25.0	SAT	
	SCHULENBURG ISD	SCHULENBURG H S	27	46	58.7	8	29.6	вотн	
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD	ROBY H S	15	23	65.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examine	es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
FISHER	ROTAN ISD	ROTAN H S	23	28	82.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD		22	49			40.9	вотн	
		FLOYDADA H S	22	34	64.7	9	40.9	ВОТН	
	LOCKNEY ISD	LOCKNEY H S	33	52	63.5	8	24.2	вотн	
FOARD	CROWELL ISD	CROWELL H S	12	18	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD		2,733	3,171	86.2	994	36.4	вотн	
		CLEMENTS H S	615	604	100.0	335	54.5	BOTH	
		DULLES H S	365	418	87.3	149	40.8	BOTH	
		HIGHTOWER H S	214			38		BOTH	
		KEMPNER H S	389			104		вотн	
		LAWRENCE E ELKINS				137		BOTH	
		STEPHEN F AUSTIN	528		88.9			BOTH	
		WILLOWRIDGE H S	220	309	71.2	11	5.0	вотн	
	LAMAR CONSOLIDA		411	727	56.5	109	26.5	вотн	
		B F TERRY H S	230	369	62.3	52	22.6	BOTH	
		LAMAR CONS H S	180	323	55.7	57	31.7	вотн	
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	104	160	65.0	36	34.6	вотн	
	STAFFORD MUNICI	STAFFORD HIGH SCH	97	140	69.3	25	25.8	вотн	
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON IS	MT VERNON H S	42	69	60.9	11	26.2	вотн	
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	56	93	60.2	18	32.1	вотн	
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	41	60	68.3	10	24.4	вотн	
	WORTHAM ISD	WORTHAM H S	18	21	85.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S	23	37	62.2			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	PEARSALL ISD		49	112	43.8	6	12.2	вотн	
	PEAROALE 10D	PEARSALL H S	49	110		6		вотн	
GAINES	LOOP ISD			15				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	SEAGRAVES ISD	SEAGRAVES H S	20	32	62.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SEMINOLE ISD		58	123	47.2	12	20.7	вотн	
	CENTINGEE TOD	SEMINOLE H S	58	113	51.3	12	20.7		
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK ISD		1,319	1,518	86.9	596	45.2	вотн	
	JEE SHEEK TOD	CLEAR BROOK H S	367	403	91.1			ВОТН	<140 MASKED
		CLEAR CREEK H S	396	479	82.7	:		BOTH	<140 MASKED
		CLEAR LAKE H S	551	595	92.6	327	59.3		
		CLEAR VIEW ALTER	5	41	12.2			вотн	<140 MASKED
	DICKINSON ISD	DICKINSON H S	120	229	52.4	18	15.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Fxaminees		duates	above c		Test	****Note***
=		·							
GALVESTON	FRIENDSWOOD ISD	FRIENDSWOOD H S	293	351	83.5	120	41.0	вотн	
	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	183	404	45.3	69	37.7	вотн	
	HIGH ISLAND ISD	HIGH ISLAND H S	14	21	66.7	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S	22	57	38.6	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	132	211	62.6	8	6.1	вотн	
	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	119	264	45.1	24	20.2	вотн	
	TEXAS CITY ISD	TEXAS CITY H S	143	305	46.9	26	18.2	вотн	
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	26	48	54.2	7	26.9	вотн	
	SOUTHLAND ISD	SOUTHLAND SCHOOL	7	13	53.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBURG	FREDERICKSBURG H	175 175	225 200	77.8 87.5	54 54	30.9 30.9	BOTH BOTH	
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	15	18	83.3	7	46.7	вотн	
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COUNT	GLASSCOCK COUNTY	18	23	78.3	7	38.9	вотн	
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	64	81	79.0	9	14.1	вотн	
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD		78	122	63.9	12	15.4	вотн	
		GONZALES H S	78	113	69.0	12	15.4	вотн	
	NIXON-SMILEY CO	NIXON-SMILEY H S	34	56	60.7	6	17.6	вотн	
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S	10	11	90.9			ACT	< 5 HIGH
GRAY	LEFORS ISD			4			•	SAT	< 5 TESTED
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL	11	16	68.8			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	PAMPA ISD		144	238	60.5	46	31.9	вотн	
		PAMPA H S	144	230	62.6	46	31.9	вотн	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	23	36	63.9	8	34.8	вотн	
	COLLINSVILLE IS	COLLINSVILLE H S	36	35	100.0	6	16.7	вотн	
	DENISON ISD		115	238	48.3	40	34.8		
		DENISON H S	115	227	50.7	40	34.8	вотн	
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	27	38	71.1	8	29.6	вотн	
	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	36	65	55.4	13	36.1	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	ıduates		es at or criterion		
County name		Campus name			Tested(%)				
GRAYSON	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	38	79	48.1	9	23.7	вотн	
	S AND S CONS IS	S AND S CONS H S	34	52	65.4	11	32.4	вотн	
	SHERMAN ISD		137	287	47.7	52	38.0	вотн	
		SHERMAN HIGH SCHO	137	252	54.4	52	38.0	BOTH	
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	35	47	74.5	5	14.3	вотн	
	VAN ALSTYNE ISD	VAN ALSTYNE H S	22	60	36.7	8	36.4	вотн	
	WHITESBORO ISD	WHITESBORO H S	41	71	57.7	5	12.2	вотн	
	WHITEWRIGHT ISD	WHITEWRIGHT H S	13	33	39.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CHAR	EAST TEXAS CHARTE	0	68	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	GLADEWATER ISD	GLADEWATER H S	65	113	57.5	10	15.4	вотн	
	KILGORE ISD		98	207	47.3	17	17.3	вотн	
		KILGORE H S	95	194	49.0	17	17.9	BOTH	
	LONGVIEW ISD	LONGVIEW H S	207	331	62.5	72	34.8	вотн	
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	184	256	71.9	76	41.3	вотн	
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	31	74	41.9	8	25.8	вотн	
	SPRING HILL ISD	SPRING HILL H S	69	94	73.4	21	30.4	вотн	
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	61	87	70.1	25	41.0	вотн	
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIRO	ANDERSON-SHIRO JR	20	36	55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	18	27	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NAVASOTA ISD		73	153	47.7	11	15.1	вотн	
		NAVASOTA H S	73	148	49.3	11	15.1	BOTH	
	RICHARDS ISD	RICHARDS H S	7	15	46.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD		63	71	88.7	16	25.4	вотн	
		MARION H S	63	67	94.0	16	25.4	BOTH	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	41	53	77.4	17	41.5	вотн	
	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-		272	436	62.4	76	27.9	вотн	
		SAMUEL CLEMENS H	272	412	66.0	76		вотн	
	SEGUIN ISD		241	408	59.1	60	24.9	вотн	
		SEGUIN HIGH SCHOO	241	330	73.0	60		вотн	
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	26	39	66.7	7	26.9	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates		riterion	Test	****Note***
•		·							
HALE	COTTON CENTER I	COTTON CENTER SCH	9	16	56.3			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	HALE CENTER ISD	HALE CENTER H S	23	34	67.6			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	PETERSBURG ISD	PETERSBURG H S	24	21	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PLAINVIEW ISD		133	319	41.7	27	20.3	вотн	
		PLAINVIEW HIGH SC	131	282	46.5	27	20.6	BOTH	
HALL	MEMPHIS ISD	MEMPHIS H S	24	34	70.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TURKEY-QUITAQUE	VALLEY SCHOOL	13	20	65.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S	46	46	100.0	10	21.7	вотн	
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	28	36	77.8	7	25.0	вотн	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD	GRUVER H S	39	38	100.0	7	17.9	вотн	
	SPEARMAN ISD	SPEARMAN H S	43	57	75.4	17	39.5	вотн	
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE ISD	CHILLICOTHE H S	5	11	45.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S	31	31	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFERSO		132	161	82.0	28	21 2	вотн	
10 (10 11)	TWAIDIN GETTENGG	HARDIN-JEFFERSON	132	160	82.5	28		вотн	
	KOUNTZE ISD	KOUNTZE H S	50	70	71.4	7	14.0	вотн	
	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	153	197	77.7	30	19.6	вотн	
	SILSBEE ISD	SILSBEE H S	130	217	59.9	26	20.0	вотн	
	WEST HARDIN COU	WEST HARDIN H S	23	53	43.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
HARRIS	ACADEMY OF ACCE	ACADEMY OF ACCELE	0	31	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	ALDINE ISD		1,000	1,812	55.2	89	8.9	вотн	
		ALDINE H S	•	,				вотн	
		CARVER H S FOR A	79	116	68.1			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		CHESTER W NIMITZ	210	370	56.8	26	12.4	BOTH	
		EISENHOWER H S	292	473	61.7	30	10.3		
		MACARTHUR H S	199 8	354	56.2	12	6.0		<10 MACKED
		W T HALL SCHOOL	8	131	6.1	•	•	вотн	<10 MASKED
	ALIEF ISD		1,209	1,657	73.0	210	17.4	BOTH	
		ELSIK H S	569	750	75.9	92	16.2		
		HASTINGS H S	532	785	67.8	75	14.1		
		KERR H S	108	117	92.3	43	39.8	вотн	
	ALPHONSO CRUTCH	ALPHONSO CRUTCH'S	0	37	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

					aduates	above o			
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		lested(%) 				
			_						
HARRIS	AMERICAN ACADEM	AMERICAN ACADEMY	0	18	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	BAY AREA CHARTE	ED WHITE MEMORIAL	0	24	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	BENJI'S SPECIAL	BENJI'S SPECIAL E	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	CALVIN NELMS CH			31	•			ACT	< 5 TESTED
	CHANNELVIEW ISD		121	269	45.0	12	0.0	вотн	
	CHANNELVIEW 15D	CHANNELVIEW HIGH	121						
	COMQUEST ACADEM	COMQUEST ACADEMY	0	27	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SCHOO	163	242	67.4	34	20.9	вотн	
	CROSSROADS COMM	CROSSROAD COMMUNI	0	11	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	CYPRESS-FAIRBAN		2,584	3,354	77.0	911	35.3	вотн	
		CY-FAIR H S	449	561	80.0	171	38.1	вотн	
		CYPRESS CREEK H S	504	598	84.3	204	40.5	BOTH	
		CYPRESS FALLS H S	415	547	75.9	140	33.7	BOTH	
		CYPRESS SPRINGS H	308	406	75.9			вотн	<80 MASKED
		JERSEY VILLAGE H	453	588	77.0	147		вотн	
		LANGHAM CREEK H S	439	522	84.1	169	38.5	вотн	
		WINDFERN H S	16	132	12.1		•	BOTH	<80 MASKED
	DEED DADK IOD		217	601	45.0	111	25.0	DOTU	
	DEER PARK ISD	DEED DADK II C	317					BOTH BOTH	
		DEER PARK H S	317	546	58.1	111	35.0	вотн	
	GALENA PARK ISD		366	919	39.8	40	10.9	вотн	
		GALENA PARK H S	106						
		NORTH SHORE SR H	257		43.2		13.6		
	CEODCE I CANCHE		0	17	47.1			DOTU	- E UTCU
	GEORGE I SANCHE	GEORGE I SANCHEZ	8	17 16				BOTH BOTH	
		GLONGE I SANGILE	0	10	30.0		•	БОПП	< 5 mun
	GIRLS & BOYS PR	GIRLS & BOYS PREP	13	18	72.2		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GOOSE CREEK CIS		377	893	42.2	82	21.8	вотн	
		LEE H S	153	376	40.7	31	20.3	вотн	
		STERLING H S	223	447	49.9	51		вотн	
	GULF SHORES ACA	GULF SHORES ACADE	0	83	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	HEIGHTS CHARTER	HEIGHTS CHARTER S	0	22	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	HOUSTON CAN ACA	HOUSTON CAN! ACAD	10	71	14.1			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	HOUSTON ISD		4,346					BOTH	
		AUSTIN H S	180	325	55.4	5	2.8	BOTH	
		BARBARA JORDAN H	113	175	64.6				< 5 HIGH
		BELLAIRE H S	534	612	87.3	330	61.8	BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gno	aduates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name			Tested(%)				****Note***
HARRIS	HOUSTON ISD	CONTEMPORARY LRN	17		11.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		DAVIS H S	124	271	45.8	8	6.5		
		DEBAKEY H S FOR H	197	178	100.0	119	60.4		
		EASTWOOD ACADEMY	18	43	41.9		•	SAT	< 5 HIGH
		FURR H S	73	165	44.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		JONES H S	156	200	78.0	32	20.5		
		KASHMERE H S	59	92				вотн	< 5 HIGH
		LAMAR H S	431	515	83.7	191	44.3		
		LAW ENFCMT-CRIM J	130	187		13	10.0		
		LEE H S	136	334	40.7	17	12.5		. 5 117011
		MADISON H S	173	325	53.2	•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		MIDDLE COLLEGE FO	12	15	80.0			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		MILBY H S	259	479	54.1	10		BOTH	
		PERFOR & VIS ARTS	154	147		82	53.2		
		REAGAN H S	114	276		9	7.9		. 5 117011
		SAM HOUSTON H S	155	365	42.5			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		SCARBOROUGH H S	79	146	54.1	15		BOTH	
		SHARPSTOWN H S	153	217		14		BOTH	
		STERLING H S	134	213	62.9	5		BOTH	
		WALTRIP H S	117	215	54.4	18	15.4		
		WASHINGTON B T H	160	203	78.8	36	22.5		
		WESTBURY H S	187	284	65.8	18	9.6		
		WESTSIDE H S	133	187		34	25.6		. 5 117011
		WHEATLEY H S	33	95		•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		WORTHING H S	164	252		•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		YATES H S	127		61.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		YOUTH FOR EDUCATI	21	0	•	6	28.6	SAT	
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	83	147	56.5	16	19.3	вотн	
	HUMBLE ISD		1,212	1,501	80.7	490	40.4	вотн	
		HUMBLE H S	452	618	73.1	111	24.6	BOTH	
		KINGWOOD H S	733	848	86.4	370	50.5	BOTH	
		QUEST H S	26	35	74.3	8	30.8	вотн	
	JESSE JACKSON A	JESSE JACKSON ACA	0	48	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	KATY ISD		1,582	1,905	83.0	716	45.3	вотн	
		KATY H S	432	553	78.1	177		вотн	
		MAYDE CREEK H S	409	575	71.1	143		вотн	
		TAYLOR H S	741	774	95.7	396	53.4		
	KLEIN ISD		1,495	1,835	81.5	583	39.0	вотн	
	NEETIN TOD	KLEIN FOREST H S	362	528	68.6	80	22.1		
		KLEIN H S	708	764	92.7	345	48.7		
		KLEIN OAK H S	425	543	78.3	158	37.2		
	LA PORTE ISD		181	397	45.6	50	27.6	вотн	
	EN FORTE TOD	LA PORTE HIGH SCH	181	372	48.7	50	27.6		
		Et Tonie IIIan 3011	101	012	70.7	30	21.0	DOTT	
	NORTH FOREST IS		212	473	44.8	5	2.4	вотн	
		FOREST BROOK H S	91	230	39.6	3	2.7	ВОТН	<10 MASKED
		SMILEY H S	121	243	49.8	:	•	ВОТН	<10 MASKED
		SMILLI II U	121	240	43.0	•	•	DOTT	TO WACKED

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				0			es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
		•							
HARRIS	NORTH HOUSTON H	NORTH HOUSTON H S	0	24	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	PASADENA ISD		696	1,817	38.3	151	21.7	вотн	
	17.67.52.61. 105	DOBIE H S	229	434	52.8	60		вотн	
		PASADENA H S	115		24.6			вотн	
		RAYBURN H S	178		41.3			вотн	
		SOUTH HOUSTON H S	173	449	38.5	19	11.0	BOTH	
	PREPARED TABLE	PREPARED TABLE	0	4	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	RAUL YZAGUIRRE			8		•		ACT	< 5 TESTED
	SHELDON ISD	C E KING H S	104	203	51.2	14	13.5	вотн	
	SOUTHWEST HIGH	SOUTHWEST HIGH SC	13	68	19.1			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	SPRING BRANCH I		1,151	1,598	72.0	547	47.5	вотн	
		MEMORIAL H S	391	391	100.0			вотн	
		NORTHBROOK H S	138	326	42.3	22		вотн	
		SPRING WOODS H S	216	347	62.2	61	28.2	BOTH	
		STRATFORD H S	406	415	97.8	209	51.5	BOTH	
	SPRING ISD		697	•	62.3			вотн	
		SPRING H S	279	464	60.1			BOTH	
		WESTFIELD H S	418	649	64.4	101	24.2	вотн	
	TOMBALL ISD	TOMBALL H S	283	374	75.7	89	31.4	вотн	
	WEST HOUSTON CH			7				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	YES COLLEGE PRE	YES COLLEGE PREPA	0	17	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
HARRISON	ELYSIAN FIELDS	ELYSIAN FIELDS H	58	69	84.1	10	17.2	вотн	
	HALLSVILLE ISD	HALLSVILLE H S	114	182	62.6	25	21.9	вотн	
	HARLETON ISD	HARLETON H S	24	30	80.0	7	29.2	вотн	
	KARNACK ISD	KARNACK H S	17	22	77.3	-		ACT	< 5 HIGH
	MARSHALL ISD		182	297	61.3	27	14.8	BOTH	
		MARSHALL H S	182	292	62.3	27	14.8	BOTH	
	WASKOM ISD	WASKOM H S	37	60	61.7	9	24.3	вотн	
HARTLEY	CHANNING ISD			7				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY SCHOOL	11	11	100.0	-		ACT	< 5 HIGH
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	35	36	97.2	7	20.0	вотн	
	PAINT CREEK ISD		•	7	•			вотн	< 5 TESTED

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number		Number		Test	****Note***
HASKELL	ROCHESTER ISD	ROCHESTER SCHOOL	10	12	83.3			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	8	15	53.3			ACT	< 5 HIGH
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRING	DRIPPING SPRINGS	140	152	92.1	72	51.4	вотн	
	HAYS CONS ISD		225	345	65.2	53	23.6	вотн	
		JACK C HAYS H S	225	336	67.0	53	23.6	вотн	
	KATHERINE ANNE	KATHERINE ANNE PO	6	33	18.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SAN MARCOS CONS		203	340	59.7	35	17.2	вотн	
		PRIDE HIGH SCHOOL	6	33	18.2			BOTH	<40 MASKED
		SAN MARCOS H S	197	307	64.2	•		BOTH	<40 MASKED
	WIMBERLEY ISD	WIMBERLEY H S	100	113	88.5	40	40.0	вотн	
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD	CANADIAN H S	45	46	97.8	18	40.0	вотн	
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD		77	168	45.8	16	20.8	вотн	
	71112110 200	ATHENS H S	77	157		16		вотн	
	BROWNSBORO ISD		49	147	33.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		BROWNSBORO H S	49	139	35.3	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CROSS ROADS ISD	CROSS ROADS H S	22	45	48.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S	31	62	50.0	5	16.1	вотн	
	LAPOYNOR ISD	LAPOYNOR H S	12	31	38.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S	28	51	54.9	9	32.1	вотн	
	TRINIDAD ISD			18				вотн	< 5 TESTED
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	234	395	59.2	10	4.3	вотн	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (PH	0	21	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	EDCOUCH-ELSA IS	EDCOUCH-ELSA H S	171	252	67.9	9	5.3	вотн	
	EDINBURG CISD		658	878	74.9	55	8.4	вотн	
		ECONOMEDES H S	73	152	48.0			BOTH	<30 MASKED
		EDINBURG H S	267	337	79.2			BOTH	<30 MASKED
		EDINBURG NORTH H	318	389	81.7	32	10.1	вотн	
	HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO H S	71	141	50.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LA JOYA ISD		236	591	39.9	12	5.1	вотн	
		LA JOYA H S	236	450	52.4	12	5.1	вотн	
	LA VILLA ISD	LA VILLA H S	16	30	53.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Graduates		Examinees at or above criterion			
County name	District name	Campus name		Number		Number	Percent		****Note***
LITRAL CO									
HIDALGO	MCALLEN ISD	LAMAR ACADEMY	735 29	1,093 104	67.2 27.9	117		BOTH BOTH	<30 MASKED
		MCALLEN H S	219					BOTH	<30 MASKED
		MCALLEN MEMORIAL	247					вотн	OU WINDINED
		ROWE H S	240		73.2			вотн	
	MERCEDES ISD		103	252	40.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		MERCEDES H S	103	176	58.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	MID-VALLEY ACAD	MID-VALLEY ACADEM	0	6	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	MISSION CONS IS		337	591	57.0	32	9.5	вотн	
		MISSION H S	337	581	58.0	32	9.5	вотн	
	ONE STOP MULTIS	ONE STOP MULTISER	0	34	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	PHARR-SAN JUAN-		630	1,020	61.8	46	7.3	вотн	
		PSJA CENTRAL H S	21	24	87.5			ACT	<20 MASKED
		PSJA H S	192	281	68.3			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		PSJA MEMORIAL H S	224	324	69.1			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		PSJA NORTH H S	178	335	53.1			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		TEENAGE PARENT PR	15	56	26.8		•	ACT	<20 MASKED
	PROGRESO ISD		42					вотн	< 5 HIGH
		PROGRESO H S	42	92	45.7		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SENTRY TECHNOLO	SENTRY TECHNOLOGY	0	75	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	SHARYLAND ISD	SHARYLAND H S	211	270	78.1	41	19.4	вотн	
	TECHNOLOGY EDUC			20				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	VALLEY VIEW ISD	VALLEY VIEW H S	86	95	90.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WESLACO ISD		238	539	44.2	23	9.7	вотн	
		SOUTH PALM GARDEN	5	63	7.9		•	BOTH	<30 MASKED
		WESLACO H S	233	476	48.9		•	вотн	<30 MASKED
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	15	13	100.0	5	33.3	вотн	
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL	6	11	54.5		•	ACT	< 5 HIGH
	BLUM ISD			18				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	BYNUM ISD	BYNUM SCHOOL	9	8	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	COVINGTON ISD	COVINGTON SCHOOL	5	16	31.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	51	76	67.1	9	17.6	вотн	
	HUBBARD ISD	HUBBARD H S	24	38	63.2	6	25.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number		Number	Percent		****Note***
HILL	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	12			5		вотн	
	PENELOPE ISD	PENELOPE SCHOOL	5	8	62.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	37	80	46.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD	ANTON H S	15	18	83.3	5	33.3	вотн	
	LEVELLAND ISD	LEVELLAND H S	93	179	52.0	22	23.7	вотн	
	ROPES ISD		12	49	24.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
		ROPES SCHOOL	12	42	28.6	•		ACT	< 5 HIGH
	SMYER ISD		15		55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		SMYER H S	15	26	57.7	٠	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SUNDOWN ISD	SUNDOWN H S	24 24	41 37	58.5 64.9	7 7		BOTH BOTH	
		SUNDOWN 11 S	24	37	04.9	,	29.2	БОПП	
	WHITHARRAL ISD	WHITHARRAL SCHOOL	15 15	18 16	83.3 93.8			BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
		militation Condoc							· O IIIaii
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD	GRANBURY H S	180 179	313 303	57.5 59.1	64 64		BOTH BOTH	
	LIPAN ISD	LIPAN H S	7	18	38.9		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TOLAR ISD	TOLAR H S	14	27	51.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON CI	COMO-PICKTON SCHO	13	32	40.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CUMBY ISD			14				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	MILLER GROVE IS	MILLER GROVE SCHO	11	18	61.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NORTH HOPKINS I	NORTH HOPKINS H S	11	20	55.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SALTILLO ISD			15				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	SULPHUR BLUFF I	SULPHUR BLUFF SCH	13	16	81.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SULPHUR SPRINGS	SULPHUR SPRINGS H	132	225	58.7	35	26.5	вотн	
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	61	102	59.8	8	13.1	вотн	
	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	29	47	61.7	8	27.6	вотн	
	KENNARD ISD	KENNARD H S	7	24	29.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S	15	0			·	вотн	
	LOVELADY ISD	LOVELADY H S	21	40	52.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				0	1 -1		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
HOWARD	BIG SPRING ISD	BIG SPRING H S	81	195	41.5	26	32.1	вотн	
	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	37	58	63.8	10	27.0	вотн	
	FORSAN ISD	FORSAN H S	18	38	47.4	5	27.8	вотн	
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD	DELL CITY SCHOOL	5	11	45.5		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FT HANCOCK ISD	FORT HANCOCK SCHO	7	29	24.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SIERRA BLANCA I			5				ACT	< 5 TESTED
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	12	22	54.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH SC	17	24	70.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CADDO MILLS ISD	CADDO MILLS H S	32	50	64.0	11	34.4	вотн	
	CAMPBELL ISD	CAMPBELL H S	10	18	55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH SCHO	19	26	73.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	81	97	83.5	29	35.8	вотн	
	GREENVILLE ISD		143	259	55.2			вотн	
		GREENVILLE H S	142	215	66.0	42	29.6	вотн	
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	30	42	71.4	7	23.3	вотн	
	QUINLAN ISD	FORD H S	67	172	39.0	7	10.4	вотн	
	WOLFE CITY ISD	WOLFE CITY H S	26	31	83.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD		102	199	51.3	34		BOTH	
		BORGER H S	102	193	52.8	34	33.3	вотн	
	PLEMONS-STINNET		28	40	70.0	10	35.7	вотн	
		WEST TEXAS H S	28	37	75.7	10	35.7	вотн	
	SANFORD ISD		47	62	75.8	8	17.0	вотн	
		SANFORD-FRITCH H	47	61	77.0	8	17.0	BOTH	
IRION	IRION CO ISD	IRION H S	21	32	65.6	5	23.8	вотн	
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	11	21	52.4		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	JACKSBORO ISD		30	50	60.0	12	40.0	вотн	
		JACKSBORO H S	30	47	63.8	12	40.0	BOTH	
	PERRIN-WHITT CO	PERRIN H S	10	21	47.6	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		61	93	65.6	14	23.0	вотн	
		EDNA H S	61	89	68.5	14	23.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	duates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent		
JACKSON	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	32	49	65.3		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	INDUSTRIAL ISD	INDUSTRIAL H S	53	78	67.9	11	20.8	вотн	
JASPER	BROOKELAND ISD	BROOKELAND H S	6	12	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	61	100	61.0	6	9.8	вотн	
	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S	13	23	56.5			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	108	157	68.8	18	16.7	вотн	
	KIRBYVILLE CISD	KIRBYVILLE H S	47	97	48.5	6	12.8	вотн	
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD		8	15	53.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		FT DAVIS H S	7		46.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	VALENTINE ISD			4				SAT	< 5 TESTED
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		522	886	58.9	86	16.5	вотн	
		CENTRAL SENIOR H	88	206	42.7			вотн	
		OZEN H S	199	294	67.7	18	9.0	BOTH	
		WEST BROOK SR H S	235	346	67.9	62	26.4	вотн	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (BE	0	22	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	HAMSHIRE-FANNET	HAMSHIRE-FANNETT	77	113	68.1	17	22.1	вотн	
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	274	342	80.1	56	20.4	вотн	
	PORT ARTHUR ISD		215	467	46.0	19	8.8	вотн	
		AUSTIN H S	25	54	46.3			вотн	<20 MASKED
		JEFFERSON H S	93	233	39.9			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		LINCOLN H S	97	180	53.9			BOTH	<20 MASKED
	PORT NECHES-GRO	PORT NECHES-GROVE	224	307	73.0	43	19.2	вотн	
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN A	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	SABINE PASS ISD	SABINE PASS SCHOO	7	6	100.0			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	TEXAS ACADEMY O	TEXAS ACADEMY OF	17	0		12	70.6	SAT	
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUNTY	HEBBRONVILLE H S	61	79	77.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	221	296	74.7	24	10.9	вотн	
	BEN BOLT-PALITO	BEN BOLT-PAL BLAN	30	31	96.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ORANGE GROVE IS	ORANGE GROVE H S	70	93	75.3	17	24.3	вотн	
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	40	60	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

					ıduates	above c			
County name	District name	Campus name							****Note***
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	111	158	70.3	15	13.5	вотн	
	BURLESON ISD		220	383	57.4	65	29.5	вотн	
		BURLESON H S	220	359	61.3	65	29.5	BOTH	
	CLEBURNE ISD		162	275	58.9	43	26.5	вотн	
		CLEBURNE H S	162	219	74.0	43	26.5	BOTH	
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	34	60	56.7	7	20.6	вотн	
	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	32	50	64.0	7	21.9	вотн	
	JOSHUA ISD		109	218	50.0	19	17 <i>4</i>	вотн	
	00010/1102	JOSHUA H S	108	199	54.3	19		вотн	
	VEENE TOD		10	00	F0. 0			DOTU	< F LITOU
	KEENE ISD	KEENE H S	12 12	23 21	52.2 57.1			BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	RIO VISTA ISD	RIO VISTA H S	38	50	76.0	10	26.3	BOTH	
	VENUS ISD		28	58	48.3	5	17.9	вотн	
		VENUS H S	28	54	51.9	5	17.9	BOTH	
JONES	ANSON ISD	ANSON H S	27	50	54.0	9	33.3	вотн	
	HAMLIN ISD	HAMLIN H S	22	27	81.5	6	27.3	BOTH	
	HAWLEY ISD	HAWLEY H S	32	47	68.1	11	34.4	вотн	
	LUEDERS-AVOCA I	LUEDERS-AVOCA H S	6	7	85.7			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	STAMFORD ISD		31	50	62.0	11	35.5	вотн	
		STAMFORD JR-SR H	31	36	86.1			вотн	
KARNES	FALLS CITY ISD	FALLS CITY H S	25	30	83.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	KARNES CITY ISD	KARNES CITY H S	26	44	59.1	8	30.8	вотн	
	KENEDY ISD		28	68	41.2	11	39.3	вотн	
		KENEDY HIGH SCHO	28	59	47.5			вотн	
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S	12	14	85.7			ACT	< 5 HIGH
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD		62	104	59.6	10	16.1	вотн	
		CRANDALL H S	62	90	68.9	10	16.1	BOTH	
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	87	125	69.6	18	20.7	вотн	
	KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN H S	90	1		17	18.9	вотн	
	KEMP ISD	KEMP HIGH SCHOOL	24	57	42.1	6	25.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number		Number		Test	****Note***
KAUFMAN	MADANIZ TOD	MADANIZ LI C	68	146	46.6	8		вотн	
KAUFWAN	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S							
	SCURRY-ROSSER I	SCURRY-ROSSER H S	28	47	59.6	5	17.9	вотн	
	TERRELL ISD	TERRELL H S	87	194	44.8	23	26.4	вотн	
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	246	265	92.8	94	38.2	вотн	
	COMFORT ISD	OOMEODE II O	37	53	69.8	7	18.9		
		COMFORT H S	37	51	72.5	7	18.9	вотн	
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD I	JAYTON SCHOOLS	9	11	81.8	·	•	ACT	< 5 HIGH
KERR	CENTER POINT IS	CENTER POINT H S	16	27	59.3	5	31.3	вотн	
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOORE	41	75	54.7	11	26.8	вотн	
	KERRVILLE ISD		180	253	71.1	57	31.7	вотн	
		TIVY H S	176	239	73.6	57	32.4	BOTH	
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	34	47	72.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
KING	GUTHRIE CSD	GUTHRIE SCHOOL	5	4				вотн	< 5 HIGH
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD	BRACKETT H S	20	35	57.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE ISD		180	334	53.9	17	9.4	вотн	
		H M KING HIGH SCH	176	283	62.2	17	9.7	вотн	
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	28	43	65.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SANTA GERTRUDIS	ACADEMY H S	19	26	73.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOOL	5	5	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL	0	1	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	KNOX CITY-0'BRI	KNOX CITY H S	19	25	76.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	MUNDAY ISD	MUNDAY H S	15	20	75.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD		22	64	34.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		COTULLA H S	22	51	43.1	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD	CHISUM H S	26	39	66.7	5	19.2	вотн	
	NORTH LAMAR ISD	NORTH LAMAR H S	89	181	49.2	21	23.6	вотн	
	PARIS ISD		80	150	53.3	19	23.8	вотн	
		PARIS H S	80	141	56.7	19	23.8	вотн	
	PRAIRILAND ISD	PRAIRILAND H S	23	48	47.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	duates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent		****Note***
LAMAR	ROXTON ISD	ROXTON H S	5	7				вотн	< 5 HIGH
LAMB	AMHERST ISD		15	18	83.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		AMHERST SCHOOL	15	17	88.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LITTLEFIELD ISD	LITTLEFIELD H S	43	79	54.4	9	20.9	вотн	
	OLTON ISD		22	48	45.8	7		вотн	
		OLTON H S	22	47	46.8	7	31.8	вотн	
	SPADE ISD			13	•			ACT	< 5 TESTED
	SPRINGLAKE-EART	SPRINGLAKE-EARTH	21	27	77.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SUDAN ISD	SUDAN H S	15	18	83.3	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
LAMPASAS	CEDAR RIDGE CHA	CEDAR RIDGE CHART		0				SAT	
	LAMPASAS ISD	LAMBAGAGULG	107	200	53.5	23		BOTH	
		LAMPASAS H S	107	189	56.6	23	21.5	вотн	
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	7	12	58.3	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE I	HALLETTSVILLE H S	44	78	56.4	6	13.6	вотн	
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S	19	26	73.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	24	34	70.6	5	20.8	вотн	
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOOL	6	7	85.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GIDDINGS ISD		68	121	56.2	19	27.9	вотн	
		GIDDINGS H S	67	114	58.8	19	28.4	вотн	
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	38	63	60.3	•		ВОТН	< 5 HIGH
	TYC - GIDDINGS	GIDDINGS STATE SC		0				ACT	
LEON	BUFFALO ISD	BUFFALO H S	17	35	48.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CENTERVILLE ISD	CENTERVILLE JR-SR	35	45	77.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	38	59	64.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NORMANGEE ISD	NORMANGEE H S	21	28	75.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	OAKWOOD ISD	OAKWOOD H S	7	13	53.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	54	107	50.5	11	20.4	вотн	
	DAYTON ISD		172	209	82.3	30	17.4		
		DAYTON H S	172	208	82.7	30	17.4	BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
0	B'alaist anns	0			duates			<b>-</b>	*****
County name	District name	Campus name							****Note***
LIBERTY	HARDIN ISD		35	67	52.2	8	22.9	вотн	
		HARDIN H S	35	65	53.8	8	22.9	BOTH	
	HULL-DAISETTA I	HULL-DAISETTA H S	27	45	60.0	6	22.2	вотн	
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	88	133	66.2	25	28.4	вотн	
	TARKINGTON ISD	TARKINGTON H S	38	77	49.4			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
LIMECTONE	COOL TROP TOD	COOLIDGE II C	-	0	FF 6			DOTU	- F LITOU
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD	COOLIDGE H S	5	9	55.6	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GROESBECK ISD		46	84	54.8	15	32.6	вотн	
		GROESBECK H S	46	77	59.7	15	32.6	вотн	
	MEXIA ISD		61	98	62.2	14		вотн	
		MEXIA H S	61	97	62.9	14	23.0	вотн	
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD	BOOKER JH/H S	23	28	82.1	7	30.4	вотн	
21. 3332	50011211 105	20011211 011/11 0			02	-		20	
	FOLLETT ISD	FOLLETT SCHOOL	19	20	95.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL	7	12	58.3	•	•	ACT	< 5 HIGH
LIVE OAK	GEORGE WEST ISD	GEORGE WEST H S	41	83	49.4	7	17.1	вотн	
2272 5783	G_011G_ 11_01 10D	G_0.1G_ 11_0. 11_0				-		20	
	THREE RIVERS IS	THREE RIVERS H S	23	48	47.9			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	60	78	76.9	11	18.3	BOTH	
LUBBOCK	FAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (LU	0	9	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
LOBBOOK	LAGEL THOOLOT (	LIGHT THOOLOT (LO	Ū	3	0.0	Ū	0.0	HONE	
	FRENSHIP ISD		154	293	52.6	37	24.0	вотн	
		FRENSHIP H S	154	258	59.7	37	24.0	BOTH	
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	30	52	57.7	7	23.3	BOTH	
	LUBBOCK ISD		870	1,573	55.3	295	33.0	вотн	
	LODDOOK TOD	CORONADO H S	317	467	67.9			BOTH	
		ESTACADO H S	75	180	41.7			вотн	<60 MASKED
		LUBBOCK H S	271	460	58.9			вотн	-00 MINIONED
		MONTEREY H S	207	393	52.7			вотн	<60 MASKED
	LUBBOCK-COOPER	COOPER H S	67	123	54.5	22	32.8	BOTH	
	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S	26	37	70.3			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN A	0	36	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	"TOIN WE WILDOWN	HISTORICE MILEDONIA A	U	00	0.0	3	0.0	INOINE	
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	24	45	53.3	5	20.8	вотн	
	SHALLOWATER ISD	SHALLOWATER H S	62	95	65.3	15	24.2	BOTH	
	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	54	69	78.3	11	20 4	вотн	
	OLATON TOD	SEATON II U	54	US	10.3	11	20.4	50111	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)	above c	es at or riterion Percent	Test	****Note***
=									
LUBBOCK	SOUTH PLAINS AC	SOUTH PLAINS ACAD	0	49	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	TEXAS TECH UNIV	TEXAS TECH H S	37	0		12	32.4	SAT	
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOOL	10	10	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	O'DONNELL ISD	O'DONNELL HIGH SC	15	25	60.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TAHOKA ISD	ТАНОКА Н S	36	44	81.8	11	30.6	вотн	
	WILSON ISD	WILSON SCHOOL	7	8	87.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
MADISON	MADISONVILLE CO	MADISONVILLE HIGH	54	86	62.8	6	11.1	вотн	
	NORTH ZULCH ISD	NORTH ZULCH H S	16	24	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	45	77	58.4	5	11.1	вотн	
MARTIN	GRADY ISD	GRADY SCHOOL	14	19	73.7	5	35.7	вотн	
	STANTON ISD	STANTON H S	23	36	63.9	6	26.1	вотн	
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	27	44	61.4	6	22.2	вотн	
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	138	240	57.5	30	21.7	вотн	
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	52	99	52.5	8	15.4	вотн	
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	24	41	58.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	36	57	63.2	7	19.4	вотн	
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS ISD		288	520	55.4	24		вотн	
		EAGLE PASS H S	288	0	•	24	8.3	вотн	
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	41	77	53.2	6	14.6	вотн	
	LOHN ISD			5				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOOL	6	8	75.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD		19	41	46.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		AXTELL H S	19	33	57.6	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	BOSQUEVILLE ISD	BOSQUEVILLE SCHOO	17	30	56.7	5	29.4	вотн	
	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY	DDUGENTI / = ====:	21	46	45.7	9	42.9		
		BRUCEVILLE-EDDY H	21	41	51.2	9	42.9	вотн	
	CHINA SPRING IS	CHINA SPRING H S	77 77	101 93	76.2 82.8	18 18		BOTH BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Cno	duatas		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
					, ,				
MCLENNAN	CONNALLY ISD		64	127	50.4	17	26.6	вотн	
WOLLNIAN	CONNALLY 13D	CONNALLY H S	64		54.7			BOTH	
	CRAWFORD ISD	CRAWFORD H S	26	42	61.9	8	30.8	вотн	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (WA	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	LA VECA TOD		34	80	42.5			DOTU	< F LITCH
	LA VEGA ISD	LA VEGA H S	34		43.6			BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
	LORENA ISD	LODENALLO	79		84.9			BOTH	
		LORENA H S	79	83	95.2	29	36.7	вотн	
	MART ISD		29	39	74.4	9	31.0	вотн	
		MART H S	29	35	82.9	9	31.0	BOTH	
	MCGREGOR ISD		32	64	50.0	10	31.3	вотн	
		MCGREGOR H S	32		50.8	10		вотн	
	MIDWAY TOD		200	205	20. 0	100	40.4	DOTU	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY H S	269 269		69.9 70.4	108 108		BOTH BOTH	
		251		552	, , , ,			50	
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	23	38	60.5	•	•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	19	34	55.9	11	57.9	вотн	
	ROBINSON ISD	ROBINSON H S	87	135	64.4	17	19.5	вотн	
	WACO ISD		184	514	35.8	31	16.8	вотн	
		A J MOORE ACAD	23	130	17.7			BOTH	<30 MASKED
		UNIVERSITY H S	38		25.3			BOTH	<30 MASKED
		WACO H S	122	217	56.2		•	вотн	<30 MASKED
	WEST ISD		59	102	57.8	11	18.6	вотн	
		WEST H S	59	81	72.8	11	18.6	BOTH	
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUNTY			7				ACT	< 5 TESTED
WOWOLLLIN	WOWOLLEN OCCUTT		•	,	•	•	•	AOT	V 3 TEGTED
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	9	8	100.0		•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SCHOO	55	92	59.8	13	23.6	вотн	
	22.1.1.2	5211H2 111GH1 001H00			33.13		20.0	50	
	HONDO ISD	HONDO H S	60	125	48.0	21	35.0	BOTH	
	MEDINA VALLEY I		114	181	63.0	21	18.4	вотн	
		MEDINA VALLEY H S	114	177	64.4	21		вотн	
	NATALTA TOD	NATALTA II C	10	06	F0. 0			DOTU	< F LITCH
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	19	36	52.8	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	23	39	59.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
MTDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT /	EAGLE DDO LECT /MT	^	4.4	0.0	^	0.0	NONE	
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (MI	0	11	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Examinees at or					
					duates		riterion		
County name	District name	Campus name						Test	****Note***
MIDLAND	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	92	94	97.9	15	16.3	вотн	
	MIDLAND ISD		630	1,211				BOTH	
		LEE H S	330	639	51.6	121	36.7		
		MIDLAND H S	297	506	58.7	110	37.0	вотн	
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD			7				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S	59	110	53.6	11	18.6	BOTH	
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S	29	43	67.4	•	•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ROCKDALE ISD	ROCKDALE H S	67	97	69.1	10	14.9	вотн	
	THORNDALE ISD	THORNDALE H S	32	34	94.1	7	21.9	BOTH	
MILLS	GOLDTHWAITE ISD	GOLDTHWAITE HIGH	22	31	71.0	9	40.9	вотн	
	MULLIN ISD			10				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	WOLLIN TOD		•	10	•	•	•	ВОТП	1 0 TEOTED
	PRIDDY ISD			6				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL	5	7	71.4			ACT	< 5 HIGH
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD	COLORADO HIGH SCH	42	74	56.8	10	23 8	вотн	
WITTOTILL	OOLONADO 10D	COLONADO III GII GOII	72	74	30.0	10	20.0	БОТП	
	LORAINE ISD			6				BOTH	< 5 TESTED
	WESTBROOK ISD		•	10	•			ACT	< 5 TESTED
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	52	72	72.2	8	15 /	вотн	
WONTAGOL	BOWIL 13D	BOWIE II 3	52	12	12.2	0	13.4	ВОПП	
	FORESTBURG ISD	FORESTBURG SCHOOL	6	7	85.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GOLD BURG ISD		•	9	•	•	•	SAT	< 5 TESTED
	NOOONA TOD	NOOONA II O	00	40	60.0	0	04.0	DOTU	
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	29	46	63.0	9	31.0	вотн	
	PRAIRIE VALLEY	PRAIRIE VALLEY H	10	12	83.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S	18	29	62.1	5	27.8	BOTH	
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD	041151/ 00551/ 11 0	1,305	1,745	74.8	577	44.2		
		CANEY CREEK H S	102	204	50.0	15	14.7		
		CONROE H S	220	346	63.6	57	25.9		
		OAK RIDGE H S	211	280	75.4	85	40.3		
		THE WOODLANDS H S	772	879	87.8	420	54.4	BOTH	
	MAGNOLIA ISD	MAGNOLIA H S	175	287	61.0	49	28.0	вотн	
	WWW.	W GROLIN II O	175	201	01.0	79	20.0	DOTT	
	MONTGOMERY ISD	MONTGOMERY H S	135	191	70.7	44	32.6	вотн	
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	113	232	48.7	21	18.6	BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examine	es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
MONTGOMERY	SPLENDORA ISD	SPLENDORA H S	38	124	30.6	5	13.2	вотн	
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	115	186	61.8	23	20.0	вотн	
MOORE	DUMAS ISD		126	226	55.8	31		вотн	
		DUMAS H S	126	217	58.1	31	24.6	вотн	
	SUNRAY ISD	CLINDAY II C	39	53	73.6	6	15.4		
		SUNRAY H S	39	52	75.0	6	15.4	ACT	
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-LO	DAINGERFIELD H S	51	86	59.3	6	11.8	вотн	
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S	33	57	57.9	10	30.3	вотн	
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY I	MOTLEY COUNTY SCH	12	15	80.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGHTS	CENTRAL HEIGHTS H	19	28	67.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CHIRENO ISD	CHIRENO H S	9	29	31.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	18	22	81.8	5	27.8	вотн	
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOOL	18	19	94.7	10	55.6	вотн	
	GARRISON ISD	GARRISON H S	32	40	80.0	6	18.8	вотн	
	MARTINSVILLE IS			11				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	NACOGDOCHES ISD		189	333	56.8	52	27.5	вотн	
		NACOGDOCHES H S	189	331	57.1	52	27.5	BOTH	
	WODEN ISD	WODEN H S	18	29	62.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROVE	BLOOMING GROVE H	40	45	88.9	10	25.0	вотн	
	CORSICANA ISD		135	248	54.4	31	23.0	вотн	
		CORSICANA H IGH S	135	239	56.5	31	23.0	вотн	
	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S	20	24	83.3		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FROST ISD	FROST H S	13	29	44.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	21	38	55.3	9	42.9	вотн	
	MILDRED ISD	MILDRED H S	16	22	72.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	RICE ISD	RICE H S	12	27	44.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE ISD	BURKEVILLE JR-SR	11	17	64.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	DEWEYVILLE ISD	DEWEYVILLE H S	23	38	60.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
-		·							
NEWTON	NEWTON TOD	NEWTON II C	40	04	40.4	_	10.5	DOTU	
NEWTON	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	40	81	49.4	5	12.5	вотн	
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CONS	BLACKWELL SCHOOL	13	12	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOOL	13	21	61.9	6	46.2	вотн	
	ROSCOE ISD		17	31	54.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	NOCOCE TOD	ROSCOE H S	17	30	56.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SWEETWATER ISD		74	122	60.7			BOTH	
		SWEETWATER H S	74	119	62.2	20	27.0	вотн	
NUECES	AGUA DULCE ISD	AGUA DULCE H S	25	31	80.6	5	20.0	вотн	
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S	47	51	92.2	5	10.6	вотн	
	BISHOP CONS ISD	BISHOP H S	58	69	84.1	9	15.5	вотн	
	CALALLEN ISD	CALALLEN H S	250	339	73.7	77	30.8	вотн	
	COASTAL BEND YO	COASTAL BEND YOUT	0	2	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
		00/10/1/12 22/13 1001	· ·	_	0.0	· ·	0.0		
	CORPUS CHRISTI		•	1,817				BOTH	
		CARROLL H S	335					BOTH	
		KING H S	238					вотн	
		MILLER HIGH SCHOO						ВОТН	
		MOODY H S	177					ВОТН	
		RAY H S	281	400	70.3	74	26.3	вотн	
	DR M L GARZA-GO	DR M L GARZA-GONZ	0	8	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	FLOUR BLUFF ISD		195	301	64.8	67	34.4	вотн	
	. 200 220 102	FLOUR BLUFF H S	195	271	72.0			вотн	
	PORT ARANSAS IS	PORT ARANSAS H S	32	35	91.4	7	21.9	вотн	
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN A	0	25	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	ROBSTOWN ISD		145	179	81.0			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		ROBSTOWN H S	142	169	84.0			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	TH OCO MIDWAY I		116	106	50.0	07	00.0	DOTU	
	TULOSO-MIDWAY I	TULOSO-MIDWAY H S	116 116	196 184	59.2 63.0	27 27	23.3		
		TULUSU-WILDWAY H S	110	104	03.0	21	23.3	БОТП	
	WEST OSO ISD	WEST OSO H S	47	76	61.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
OCHILTREE	PERRYTON ISD		83	110	75.5	20	24.1	вотн	
		PERRYTON H S	83	108	76.9	20	24.1	вотн	
OLDHAM	ADRIAN ISD			4				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	DOVE DANICH TED	DOVE DANION IN E					15 6		
	BOYS RANCH ISD	BOYS RANCH H S	32	30	100.0	5	15.6	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
OLDHAM	VEGA ISD	VEGA H S	22	26	84.6			ACT	< 5 HIGH
ORANGE	BRIDGE CITY ISD	BRIDGE CITY H S	115	152	75.7	27	23.5	вотн	
	LITTLE CYPRESS-	LIT CYPR-MRCEVILL	166	243	68.3	40	24.1	вотн	
	ORANGEFIELD ISD	ORANGEFIELD H S	64	84	76.2	12	18.8	вотн	
	VIDOR ISD		149	271	55.0	20	13.4	вотн	
		VIDOR H S	145	252	57.5	19	13.1	BOTH	
	WEST ORANGE-COV		127	200	63.5	18	14.2	вотн	
		WEST ORANGE-STARK	127	196	64.8	18	14.2	BOTH	
PALO PINTO	GORDON ISD	GORDON SCHOOL	9	11	81.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GRAFORD ISD	GRAFORD H S	16	23	69.6			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	MINERAL WELLS I		85	179	47.5	16	18.8	вотн	
		MINERAL WELL H S	85	176	48.3	16	18.8	BOTH	
	SANTO ISD	SANTO HIGH SCHOOL	14	24	58.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	STRAWN ISD	STRAWN SCHOOL	16	18	88.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD	BECKVILLE JR-SR H	19	22	86.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	90	168	53.6	23	25.6	вотн	
	GARY ISD	GARY SCHOOL	7	9	77.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
PARKER	ALEDO ISD		119	167	71.3	51	42.9	вотн	
		ALEDO H S	119	152	78.3	51	42.9	вотн	
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	26	45	57.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	MILLSAP ISD	MILLSAP H S	21	48	43.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	23	43	53.5	8	34.8	вотн	
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S	13	22	59.1			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	SPRINGTOWN ISD		84	177	47.5	18		вотн	
		SPRINGTOWN H S	81	141	57.4	18	22.2	BOTH	
	WEATHERFORD ISD		201	371	54.2	62	30.8	вотн	
		WEATHERFORD H S	201	348	57.8	62	30.8	вотн	
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	16	32	50.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	FARWELL ISD	FARWELL H S	21	25	84.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FRIONA ISD	FRIONA H S	54	71	76.1	12	22.2	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

					aduates	above o			
County name	District name	Campus name			٠,				****Note***
PARMER	LAZBUDDIE ISD	LAZBUDDIE SCHOOL	12	12	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
PECOS	BUENA VISTA ISD			6				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	FT STOCKTON ISD		74	164	45.1	12	16.2	вотн	
		FORT STOCKTON H S	74	155	47.7	12	16.2	вотн	
	IRAAN-SHEFFIELD		19	37	51.4	8	42.1	вотн	
		IRAAN H S	19	36	52.8	8	42.1	BOTH	
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY SCHOOL	15	28	53.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CORRIGAN - CAMDEN	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN H	31	59	52.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GOODRICH ISD	GOODRICH H S	6	13	46.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LEGGETT ISD	LEGGETT H S	5	11	45.5		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LIVINGSTON ISD	LIVINGSTON H S	126	192	65.6	21	16.7	вотн	
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD		682	1,345	50.7	219	32.1	вотн	
		AMARILLO H S	329	448	73.4	132	40.1	B0TH	
		CAPROCK H S	76	224	33.9	18	23.7		
		PALO DURO H S	87	238	36.6			BOTH	
		TASCOSA H S	190	354	53.7	61	32.1	вотн	
	HIGHLAND PARK I	HIGHLAND PARK H S	19	38	50.0		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN A	0	4	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	RIVER ROAD ISD	RIVER ROAD HIGH S	30	83	36.1	8	26.7	вотн	
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	19	30	63.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	PRESIDIO ISD	PRESIDIO H S	56	75	74.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
RAINS	RAINS ISD		48	78	61.5	11	22.9	вотн	
		RAINS H S	48	75	64.0	11		вотн	
RANDALL	CANYON ISD		278	431	64.5	102	36.7	вотн	
		CANYON H S	120	168	71.4	42	35.0	BOTH	
		RANDALL H S	158	263	60.1	60	38.0	BOTH	
REAGAN	REAGAN COUNTY I	REAGAN COUNTY H S	34	55	61.8	8	23.5	вотн	
REAL	LEAKEY ISD	LEAKEY SCHOOL	8	12	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
RED RIVER	AVERY ISD	AVERY H S	17	21	81.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CLARKSVILLE ISD	CLARKSVILLE H S	42	71	59.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	DETROIT ISD	DETROIT H S	11	26	42.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	duates		es at or riterion		
County name	District name	Campus name						Test	****Note***
RED RIVER	RIVERCREST ISD	RIVERCREST H S	34	40	85.0	11	32.4	вотн	
REEVES	BALMORHEA ISD	BALMORHEA SCHOOL	10	18	55.6			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	PECOS-BARSTOW-T	PECOS H S	88	147	59.9	7	8.0	вотн	
REFUGIO	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI H	5	6	83.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO HIGH SCHO	26	39	66.7	5	19.2	вотн	
	WOODSBORO ISD	WOODSBORO H S	24	31	77.4	5	20.8	вотн	
ROBERTS	MIAMI ISD	MIAMI SCHOOL	9	11	81.8			ACT	< 5 HIGH
ROBERTSON	BREMOND ISD	BREMOND H S	32	28	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	CALVERT ISD	CALVERT H S	6	15	40.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FRANKLIN ISD	FRANKLIN H S	25	42	59.5	9	36.0	вотн	
	HEARNE ISD	HEARNE H S	33	50	66.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD		318	445	71.5	119		вотн	
		ROCKWALL H S	318	442	71.9	119	37.4	вотн	
	ROYSE CITY ISD	ROYSE CITY H S	39 39	70 60	55.7 65.0	10 10		BOTH BOTH	
		NOTOL OTT II C							
RUNNELS	BALLINGER ISD	BALLINGER H S	59 59	78 71	75.6 83.1	15 15		BOTH BOTH	
	MILES ISD	MILES H S	33	39	84.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WINTERS ISD		34	50	68.0	7	20.6	вотн	
	WINTERO TOD	WINTERS H S	34	44	77.3	7	20.6		
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD	CARLISLE SCHOOL	17	26	65.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	120	163	73.6	28	23.3	вотн	
	LANEVILLE ISD			6				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	LEVERETTS CHAPE			5				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	MOUNT ENTERPRIS	MT ENTERPRISE H S	13	20	65.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S	20	32	62.5	8	40.0	вотн	
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S	71	106	67.0	16	22.5	вотн	
	WEST RUSK ISD	WEST RUSK H S	30	52	57.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gra	duates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent		****Note***
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	32		58.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WEST SABINE ISD	WEST SABINE H S	37	34	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
SAN AUGUSTINE	BROADDUS ISD	BROADDUS H S	19	26	73.1		•	BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	SAN AUGUSTINE I	SAN AUGUSTINE H S	35 35	62 54	56.5 64.8			BOTH BOTH	< 5 HIGH < 5 HIGH
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OAKH	COLDSPRING-OAKHUR	44	91	48.4	7	15.9	вотн	
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	42	78	53.8	7	16.7	вотн	
SAN PATRICIO	ARANSAS PASS IS	ARANSAS PASS HIGH	56	100	56.0	9	16.1	вотн	
	GREGORY-PORTLAN	GREGORY - PORTLAND	181	223	81.2	50	27.6	вотн	
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	40	71	56.3	6	15.0	вотн	
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	82	109	75.2	7	8.5	вотн	
	ODEM-EDROY ISD	ODEM H S	39	67	58.2	7	17.9	вотн	
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	68	128	53.1	10	14.7	вотн	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	50	83	60.2	6	12.0	вотн	
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S	8	7	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	RICHLAND SPRING	RICHLAND SPRINGS	8	12	66.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA H S	36	49	73.5	7	19.4	вотн	
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER ISD	ELDORADO H S	37	49	75.5	11	29.7	вотн	
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD			11				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL	15	13	100.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
	SNYDER ISD		99	170	58.2	23	23.2	вотн	
		SNYDER H S	99	165	60.0	23	23.2	вотн	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H S	32	42	76.2	9	28.1	вотн	
	MORAN ISD			5		•		SAT	< 5 TESTED
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	83	116	71.6	15	18.1	вотн	
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S	25	37	67.6	5	20.0	ACT	
	SHELBYVILLE ISD	SHELBYVILLE SCHOO	19	34	55.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S	14	26	53.8			ACT	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		duates Tested(%)			Test	****Note***
SHELBY	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S	20	30	66.7			ACT	< 5 HIGH
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD	STRATFORD H S	24	33	72.7	6	25.0	вотн	
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	40	0		5	12.5	вотн	
	BULLARD ISD		45	70	64.3	10	22.2	вотн	
		BULLARD H S	45	66	68.2			вотн	
	CHAPEL HILL ISD		110	183	60.1	26	23.6	вотн	
		CHAPEL HILL H S	110	176	62.5	26	23.6	BOTH	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (TY	0	10	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	77	154	50.0	17	22.1	вотн	
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S	24	48	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TYLER ISD		470	851	55.2	139	29.6	вотн	
		JOHN TYLER H S	157	319	49.2	34	21.7	BOTH	
		LEE H S	313	497	63.0	105	33.5	вотн	
	WHITEHOUSE ISD	WHITEHOUSE H S	129	241	53.5	45	34.9	вотн	
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	36	59	61.0	8	22.2	вотн	
SOMERVELL	BRAZOS RIVER CH	BRAZOS RIVER CHAR	0	14	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	GLEN ROSE ISD		76	107	71.0	22	28.9	вотн	
		GLEN ROSE H S	76		73.1			вотн	
STARR	RIO GRANDE CITY	RIO GRANDE CITY H	231	319	72.4	12	5.2	вотн	
	ROMA ISD		127	241	52.7	7	<b>.</b> .	ACT	
	NOWA 10D	ROMA H S	127		52.9			ACT	
	SAN ISIDRO ISD	SAN ISIDRO H S	17	18	94.4			вотн	< 5 HIGH
STEPHENS	DDECKENDINGE TO		79	98	90 6	00	20.1	DOTU	
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE IS	BRECKENRIDGE H S	79 79	98 95	80.6 83.2	23 23		BOTH BOTH	
STERLING	STERLING CITY I	STERLING CITY H S	16	17	94.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT H S	21	24	87.5			ACT	< 5 HIGH
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	48	61	78.7	11	22.9	вотн	
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD	НАРРУ Н S	11	13	84.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	KRESS ISD	KRESS H S	9	17	52.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	50	68	73.5	18	36.0	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Examinees at or					
				Gra	aduates	above o	criterion		
County name		Campus name							
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD		1,735	2,652	65.4	638	36.8	BOTH	
		ARLINGTON H S	350	503	69.6	140	40.0	BOTH	
		BOWIE H S	303	502	60.4	79	26.1	BOTH	
		LAMAR H S	340	432	78.7	135		BOTH	
		MARTIN H S	565	772	73.2	254	45.0	BOTH	
		SAM HOUSTON H S	174	372	46.8	30	17.2	BOTH	
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	146	267	54.7	43	29.5	вотн	
	BIRDVILLE ISD		598	1,060	56.4	163	27.3	вотн	
		HALTOM H S	235	448	52.5	70	29.8	BOTH	
		RICHLAND H S	362	495	73.1	92	25.4	BOTH	
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL H S	420	406	100.0	220	52.4	вотн	
	CASTLEBERRY ISD		79	152	52.0	11	13.9	вотн	
		CASTLEBERRY H S	79	137		11		BOTH	
	CROWLEY ISD		383	485	79.0	119	31.1	вотн	
		CROWLEY H S	198	259	76.4			вотн	
		NORTH CROWLEY H S		226	81.9	56		вотн	
	EAGLE MT-SAGINA		171	362	47.2	58	33.9	BOTH	
		BOSWELL H S	171	329	52.0	58	33.9	BOTH	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (FT	0	10	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	ERATH EXCELS AC			34				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	90	114	78.9	8	8.9	вотн	
	FORT WORTH ACAD	FORT WORTH ACADEM	0	4	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	FORT WORTH CAN	FORT WORTH CAN AC	0	49	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	FORT WORTH ISD		1,520	2,891	52.6	346	22.8	вотн	
		ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	178	239	74.5	57	32.0	BOTH	
		CARTER-RIVERSIDE	29	109	26.6			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		DIAMOND HILL-JARV	45	117	38.5			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		DUNBAR H S	131	217	60.4	42	32.1	BOTH	
		EASTERN HILLS H S	130	224	58.0	10	7.7	BOTH	
		NORTH SIDE H S	91	225	40.4	19	20.9	BOTH	
		O D WYATT H S	125	236	53.0	5	4.0	BOTH	
		PASCHAL H S	241	477	50.5	99	41.1	BOTH	
		POLYTECHNIC H S	60	131	45.8			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		SOUTHWEST H S	228	317	71.9	60	26.3	BOTH	
		TRIMBLE TECHNICAL	86	254	33.9			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
		WESTERN HILLS H S	168	246	68.3	45	26.8	вотн	
	GRAPEVINE-COLLE		688	812	84.7	273	39.7	вотн	
		COLLEYVILLE HERIT	347	387	89.7	144	41.5	вотн	
		GRAPEVINE H S	341	402	84.8	129		вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested(%)				****Note***
TARRANT	HURST-EULESS-BE		750					вотн	
		BELL H S TRINITY H S	351 397	523 557		119 125		BOTH BOTH	
		TRINITY II 3	397	337	71.5	123	31.3	БОПП	
	KELLER ISD	FOOOTI DIDOF II O	595					BOTH	
		FOSSIL RIDGE H S KELLER H S	291 301	436 389		93 104		BOTH BOTH	
				-			00	20	
	KENNEDALE ISD	KENNEDALE H S	81	120	67.5	19	23.5	вотн	
	LAKE WORTH ISD		43	91	47.3	6	14.0	вотн	
		LAKE WORTH H S	43	82	52.4	6	14.0	BOTH	
	MANSFIELD ISD		417	676	61.7	145	34.8	вотн	
		MANSFIELD HS	417	628	66.4	145	34.8	вотн	
	MASONIC HOME IS	MASONIC HOME HIGH	10	8	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	THERESA B LEE A	THERESA B LEE ACA	0	36	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	TREETOPS SCHOOL			10				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	WHITE SETTLEMEN		95	223	42.6	21	22.1	вотн	
		BREWER H S	95	222	42.8	21	22.1	вотн	
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD		582	859	67.8	181	31.1	вотн	
		ABILENE H S	321					вотн	
		COOPER H S	261	374	69.8	79	30.3	вотн	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (AB	0	6	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	JIM NED CONS IS		51	60	85.0	11	21.6	вотн	
		JIM NED H S	51	59	86.4	11	21.6	BOTH	
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	46	80	57.5	8	17.4	вотн	
	TRENT ISD	TRENT SCHOOL	9	9	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	135	162	83.3	46	34.1	вотн	
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNTY	SANDERSON H S	9	10	90.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
TERRY	BROWNFIELD ISD	BROWNFIELD H S	48	96	50.0	9	18.8	вотн	
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	21	23	91.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WELLMAN-UNION C	WELLMAN-UNION SCH	15	15	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
THROCKMORTON	THROCKMORTON IS	THROCKMORTON H S	16	17	94.1			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WOODSON ISD	WOODSON SCHOOL	8	10	80.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

0	District some	0	Funnings		aduates	above o		T	****
County name	District name	Campus name							****Note***
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL ISD	CHAPEL HILL H S	23	46	50.0	5	21.7	BOTH	
	MOUNT PLEASANT		89	223	39.9	21	23.6	вотн	
	MODITI I LEMONITI	MT PLEASANT H S	89	215				ВОТН	
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL ISD	CHRISTOVAL H S	16	25	64.0			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	CDADE CDEEK ISD	GRAPE CREEK H S	45	63	71.4	9	20.0	вотн	
	GIVII E GILLER 10D	GIVII E ONLER II O	40	00	711.4	J	20.0	БОПП	
	SAN ANGELO ISD		563	869	64.8	180	32.0	BOTH	
		CENTRAL H S	437	629	69.5	157	35.9	BOTH	
		LAKE VIEW H S	122	233	52.4	22	18.0	BOTH	
	VERIBEST ISD			4	•		•	ACT	< 5 TESTED
	WALL ISD		53	70	75.7	11	20 B	вотн	
	WILL IOD	WALL H S	53	69	76.8			ВОТН	
		WILL II O	00	00	7010		2010	Вотп	
	WATER VALLEY IS	WATER VALLEY H S	15	27	55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
TRAVIS	AMERICAN YOUTH	AMERICAN YOUTH WO	5	0		•		SAT	
	AUSTIN ISD		1,986	3,259	60.9	766	38.6	вотн	
	AUGITIN TOD	ANDERSON H S	260	336	77.4			ВОТН	
		AUSTIN H S	262	334	78.4			ВОТН	
		BOWIE H S	486	633	76.4				
		CROCKETT H S	186	355	52.4			BOTH	
		GONZALO GARZA IND			19.0			ВОТН	<10 MASKED
		JOHNSON H S	234	286	81.8	118		ВОТН	VIO WACKED
		JOHNSTON H S	127		53.8			ВОТН	
		LANIER H S	101	258	39.1				
		MCCALLUM H S	160	308	51.9				
		REAGAN H S	68	146	46.6			ВОТН	<10 MASKED
		TRAVIS H S	80	245	32.7			ВОТН	<10 MASKED
					52	•	•	20	
	DEL VALLE ISD		96	286	33.6	8	8.3	вотн	
		DEL VALLE H S	96	261	36.8	8	8.3	вотн	
	EANES ISD	WESTLAKE H S	506	509	99.4	353	69.8	BOTH	
	EDUTT OF EVCELL	EDUTT OF EVCELLEN	0	1	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	FRUIT OF EXCELL	FRUIT OF EXCELLEN	0	1	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	LAGO VISTA ISD	LAGO VISTA H S	32	45	71.1	13	40.6	вотн	
	LAKE TRAVIS ISD	LAKE TRAVIS H S	210	238	88.2	97	46.2	BOTH	
	MANOR ISD		57	101	56.4	11		BOTH	
		MANOR H S	57	87	65.5	11	19.3	вотн	
	DELLICEDATURE TO		F.40	77.	70.0	100	00.5	DOTU	
	PFLUGERVILLE IS	IOUN D COMMAND V	543	774	70.2	182		BOTH BOTH	
		JOHN B CONNALLY H		373	71.3			BOTH	
		PFLUGERVILLE H S	277	401	69.1	99	35.7	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				_			es at or		
County name		Campus name		Number		Number			****Note***
TRAVIS	STAR CHARTER SC			4				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	TEXAS SCH FOR T	TEXAS SCHOOL FOR TX SCH FOR DEAF H		0				SAT SAT	
	UNIVERSITY CHAR			3				ACT	< 5 TESTED
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS I	APPLE SPRINGS H S	9	13	69.2			SAT	< 5 HIGH
	CENTERVILLE ISD			8				SAT	< 5 TESTED
	GROVETON ISD	GROVETON J H-H S	24	29	82.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	TRINITY ISD	TRINITY H S	35	68	51.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S	9	13	69.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	COLMESNEIL ISD	COLMESNEIL H S	26	37	70.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SPURGER ISD	SPURGER H S	8	11	72.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S	31	38	81.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	48	63	76.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S	16	0				вотн	
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	71	121	58.7	19	26.8	вотн	
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	39	58	67.2	7	17.9	вотн	
	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	33	40	82.5	8	24.2	вотн	
	ORE CITY ISD	ORE CITY HIGH SCH	21	35	60.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	UNION GROVE ISD	UNION GROVE H S	19	39	48.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	UNION HILL ISD	UNION HILL H S	9	7	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	18	34	52.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S	14	20	70.0			ACT	< 5 HIGH
UVALDE	GABRIEL TAFOLLA	GABRIEL TAFOLLA C	0	13	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	KNIPPA ISD	KNIPPA SCHOOL	13	13	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SABINAL ISD	SABINAL H S	17	30	56.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL	10	11	90.9	•		вотн	< 5 HIGH
	UVALDE CONS ISD	UVALDE H S	129 126	259 247	49.8 51.0	24 24	18.6 19.0	BOTH BOTH	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

						Examine	es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested(%)		riterion Percent	Test	****Note***
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD	COMSTOCK SCHOOL	12	11	100.0		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (DE	0	8	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	SAN FELIPE-DEL	DEL RIO H S	209	411	50.9	36	17.2	вотн	
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	57	108	52.8	12	21.1	вотн	
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	21	42	50.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	FRUITVALE ISD	FRUITVALE H S	6	11	54.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	GRAND SALINE IS	GRAND SALINE H S	20	52	38.5	5	25.0	вотн	
	MARTINS MILL IS	MARTINS MILL H S	19	21	90.5	9	47.4	вотн	
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY	0	1	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	VAN ISD	VAN H S	54	124	43.5	8	14.8	вотн	
	WILLS POINT ISD	WILLS POINT H S	60	134	44.8	17	28.3	вотн	
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON ISD	BLOOMINGTON H S	17	37	45.9			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	VICTORIA ISD		390	822	47.4	70	17.9	вотн	
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCH	358	727 0		61 9		BOTH BOTH	
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCH	30	U	•	9	30.0	БОТП	
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE ISD		187			54			
		HUNTSVILLE H S	187	368	50.8	54	28.9	вотн	
	NEW WAVERLY ISD		16	5	100.0		•	вотн	< 5 HIGH
		NEW WAVERLY H S	16	3	•			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	36	69	52.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	ROYAL ISD	ROYAL H S	37	53	69.8	5	13.5	вотн	
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	130	234	55.6	23	17.7	вотн	
WARD	GRANDFALLS-ROYA			9				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	MONAHANS-WICKET		92	142	64.8	19	20.7	вотн	
		MONAHANS H S	92	134	68.7	19	20.7	BOTH	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD		158	287	55.1	48	30.4	вотн	
		BRENHAM HIGH SCHO	158	267	59.2	48	30.4	вотн	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	18	26	69.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (LA	0	9	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
	-· · · ·				duates				
County name	District name	Campus name							
WEDD	OATEWAY AGAREM	OATEWAY AGAREING (		00			0.0	NONE	
WEBB	GATEWAY ACADEM	GATEWAY ACADEMY (	0	26	0.0	U	0.0	NONE	
	LAREDO ISD		302	889	34.0	18	6.0	BOTH	
		DR LEO CIGARROA H	65	209	31.1			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		MARTIN H S	116	321	36.1			BOTH	<10 MASKED
		NIXON H S	121	356	34.0			BOTH	<10 MASKED
	UNITED ISD		568	1,201	47.3	54	9.5	вотн	
		JOHN B ALEXANDER	242	397	61.0	39	16.1	BOTH	
		UNITED H S	153	335	45.7			BOTH	<20 MASKED
		UNITED SOUTH H S	173	469	36.9	•	•	BOTH	<20 MASKED
	WEBB CONS ISD	BRUNI H S	18	26	69.2			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	34	48	70.8	8	23.5	вотн	
	EAST BERNARD IS	EAST BERNARD H S	54	73	74.0	16	29.6	вотн	
	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	112	205	54.6	17	15.2	вотн	
	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	14	43	32.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	108	175	61.7	27	25.0	вотн	
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL	7	7	100.0		į	ACT	< 5 HIGH
	FORT ELLIOTT CO	FORT ELLIOTT SCHO	8	10	80.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	SHAMROCK ISD	SHAMROCK H S	30	30	100.0	5	16.7	вотн	
	WHEELER ISD	WHEELER SCHOOL	16	23	69.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WICHITA	BRIGHT IDEAS CH	BRIGHT IDEAS CHAR	•	0	•		·	ACT	
	BURKBURNETT ISD		116	200	58.0	39	33.6	BOTH	
		BURKBURNETT H S	116	174	66.7	39	33.6	BOTH	
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	22	40	55.0	9	40.9	вотн	
	IOWA PARK CONS	IOWA PARK H S	81	131	61.8	19	23.5	вотн	
	WICHITA FALLS I		471	788	59.8	152		вотн	
		HIRSCHI HS	99	187	52.9	22	22.2	BOTH	
		RIDER H S	222	319	69.6	78	35.1	BOTH	
		WICHITA FALLS H S	150	251	59.8	52	34.7	вотн	
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL	0	7	0.0	0	0.0	NONE	
	NORTHSIDE ISD			5				ACT	< 5 TESTED
	VERNON ISD	VERNON H S	79	142	55.6	24	30.4	вотн	
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	47	72	65.3	5	10.6	вотн	

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

							es at or		
		_			duates				
County name	District name	Campus name							****Note****
WILLACY	RAYMONDVILLE IS	RAYMONDVILLE H S	81	148	54.7	7	8.6	BOTH	
	SAN PERLITA ISD	SAN PERLITA H S	15	13	100.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	9,11 . 21,21 193	5, 11, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1				·		50	5
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	37	56	66.1	7	18.9	BOTH	
	GEORGETOWN ISD		319	438	72.8	139	43.6	вотн	
	GEORGE FORM TOD	CHIP RICHARTE H S		22				вотн	<140 MASKED
		GEORGETOWN H S	314	416	75.5			вотн	<140 MASKED
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	26	31	83.9			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	43	62	69.4	11	25.6	вотн	
	1101110 100	110110 11 0	10	02	0011	• •	2010	Ботп	
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	23	37	62.2	5	21.7	BOTH	
	LEANDED TOD		435	505	73.1	150	04 5	вотн	
	LEANDER ISD	CEDAR PARK H S	225	595 270	83.3			BOTH	
		LEANDER H S	210		64.6			BOTH	
		EL/WDER II O	210	020	0110	70	0012	Вотп	
	LIBERTY HILL IS	LIBERTY HILL H S	41	79	51.9	14	34.1	вотн	
	DOLIND DOOK TOD		4 000	4 707	00.0	64.5	45.0	DOTU	
	ROUND ROCK ISD	MCNEIL H S	1,366 372	-	80.0 80.5	615	45.0	BOTH BOTH	<150 MASKED
		ROUND ROCK H S	511		75.6	198		BOTH	130 MAGRED
		STONY POINT HIGH	13					ВОТН	<150 MASKED
		WESTWOOD H S	469	477	98.3			вотн	
	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	73	143	51.0	23	31.5	BOTH	
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	18	28	64.3			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	THINKEE TOD	THINKEE IT O	10	20	04.0	•	•	БОТП	1 0 man
WILSON	FLORESVILLE ISD	FLORESVILLE H S	96	169	56.8	17	17.7	BOTH	
	LA VEDNTA TOD	LA VERNIA HIGH SC	60	100	60.0	00	00.0	DOTU	
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH SC	69	109	63.3	23	33.3	вотн	
	POTH ISD	POTH H S	42	55	76.4			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	25	45	55.6			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	47	98	48.0	12	25.5	вотн	
	WINK-LOVING ISD	WINK H S	21	24	87.5	7	33.3	BOTH	
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	16	22	72.7			вотн	< 5 HIGH
							_		
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	45	56	80.4	8	17.8	BOTH	
	DDIDOEDODI IOS		70	407		10	05.0	DOTU	
	BRIDGEPORT ISD	BRIDGEPORT H S	76 76	137 116	55.5 65.5	19 19	25.0 25.0	BOTH BOTH	
		SHIDGE ON HO	70	110	00.0	13	25.0	DOTT	
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCHOOL	20	25	80.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH

Table B-3. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Gna	iduates		es at or		
County name	District name	Campus name		Number	Tested(%)	Number	Percent	Test	****Note***
WISE	DECATUR ISD	DECATUR H S	91 91	170 142	53.5 64.1			BOTH BOTH	
		DEG/TOIL II C	31	172	04.1	14	1014	DOTT	
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	40	50	80.0	9	22.5	BOTH	
	SLIDELL ISD	SLIDELL H S	8	20	40.0			вотн	< 5 HIGH
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN ISD		17	43	39.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
		ALBA-GOLDEN H S	17	40	42.5			BOTH	< 5 HIGH
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	25	46	54.3	6	24.0	вотн	
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	42	73	57.5	7	16.7	вотн	
	QUITMAN ISD		37	77	48.1	7	18.9	вотн	
		QUITMAN H S	37	76	48.7	7	18.9	BOTH	
	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	54	88	61.4	7	13.0	вотн	
	YANTIS ISD	YANTIS SCHOOL	10	16	62.5			вотн	< 5 HIGH
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY ISD	DENVER CITY H S	70	118	59.3	8	11.4	вотн	
	PLAINS ISD	PLAINS H S	31	44	70.5	6	19.4	вотн	
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		79	123	64.2	19	24.1	вотн	
		GRAHAM H S	78	117	66.7	19	24.4	вотн	
	NEWCASTLE ISD			10				вотн	< 5 TESTED
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	36	51	70.6	7	19.4	вотн	
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY I	ZAPATA H S	47	136	34.6	5	10.6	вотн	
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY IS	CRYSTAL CITY H S	43	83	51.8			вотн	< 5 HIGH
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S	17	27	63.0			SAT	< 5 HIGH

## **Notes on Appendix B**

#### Results and Notes Listed in Tables

Table B-1 includes, for each district and campus, the average SAT I Verbal, Mathematics, and Total scores of SAT I examinees in 2000-01, the number of graduates who took the SAT I, the total number of graduates and the percentage of graduates who tested, the number and percentage of examinees with SAT I Total scores of 1110 or higher, and a "Note" column for district- and campus-specific comments. Table B-2 contains the same information for the ACT English, Mathematics, Reading, Science Reasoning, and Composite scores. Table B-3 contains information for the AEIS indicators based on results for either the SAT I or ACT. Included in Table B-3 are the number and percentage of SAT I- and/or ACT-tested graduates for each district and campus, the number and percentage of examinees scoring at or above the criterion on either test, a "Note" column for district- and campus-specific comments, and a column labeled "Test" which indicates whether a district or campus had both SAT I- and ACT- tested graduates or only SAT I or ACT examinees. For districts with only one high school, campus results are the same as district results.

For districts and campuses in which the number of graduates tested was less than five, SAT I and ACT results are not listed because statistics based on such low numbers become unstable. A "<5 tested" note is printed for districts with fewer than five students tested. This precaution also helps to ensure that single sets of scores cannot be identified or linked with any individual. In addition, districts and campuses with fewer than five students scoring at or above the criterion are given a "<5 high" comment; when it is possible for these score data to be arithmetically inferred from other district- or campus-level data. Additional masking is employed using intervals of 10 students scoring at or above criterion (e.g., "<10 masked," "<20 masked," "<30 masked," etc.).

#### **Data Sources**

Data for public school graduates in 2001 who participated in the SAT I: Reasoning Test were obtained from the College Board, and data for public school graduates who participated in the ACT were obtained from ACT, Inc. Although the effect is believed to be small, some amount of inaccuracy may have resulted from using high school codes supplied by the testing programs to link students' scores to schools and districts (personal communication with B. Cumberland, Educational Testing Service, November 11, 1997). In another small set of cases, student miscoding of one of the fields used for student matching may have resulted in more than one set of scores per student (personal communication with M. Farmer, ACT, Inc., July 16, 1998). Such discrepancies may have caused slight imprecision in estimating percentages of graduates tested. The computation of percentages of graduates tested used the total number of non-special education graduating seniors as the denominator. Graduate data came from TEA data files for each district and campus. In Tables B-1 through B-3, in the event that the number of students tested was larger than the number of graduates reported for a district or campus, the percentage of graduates tested was presented as 100.

# **Appendix C**

College Admissions Examinations by District Characteristic

Notes on Appendix C

Texas Education Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2000-01

Table C-1. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	,	,	, ,		,		,
			score	Graduat	es tested(	%)	Examinees
Numbe	er of	Mean	score		S	SAT or ACT	at or above
	ricts Category	Total SAT	Composite ACT	SAT	ACT o	r both	criterion(%)
	ricts Category						
ENROL	LLMENT GROUPINGS						
LIVITOL	ELMENT GROOT INGO						
13	50,000 AND OVER	969	19.8	59.8	22.3	64.1	26.4
22	25,000 TO 49,999	1007	21.4	62.1	29.2	68.7	32.9
47	10,000 TO 24,999	990	19.6	48.6	28.7	59.6	25.8
69	5,000 TO 9,999	1010	20.6	52.6	31.0	63.5	29.8
84	3,000 TO 4,999	980	19.9	44.1	34.5	60.4	23.8
129	1,600 TO 2,999	969	19.9	39.1	36.7	58.1	21.9
125	1,000 TO 1,599	961	19.8	32.8	49.2	64.5	21.0
213	500 TO 999	963	20.0	29.7	47.5	61.0	21.1
349	UNDER 500	951	19.6	20.2	44.9		17.1
DIST	RICT TYPE						
DIOII	1101 1112						
10	MAJOR URBAN	936	19.4	60.4	19.8	64.2	22.4
63	MAJOR SUBURBAN	1020	21.1	62.1	29.1	68.7	33.5
38	OTHER CENTRAL CITY	1009	20.2	46.6	31.2	58.5	29.4
90	OTHER CC SUBURBAN	975	19.7	45.2	32.6	60.4	22.7
75	INDEPENDENT TOWN	982	19.9	42.2	33.4	58.1	23.0
56	NON-METRO FAST GROWING	995	20.8	55.2	36.4	68.0	28.0
281	NON-METRO STABLE	965	19.8	36.4	43.3	62.2	21.4
358	RURAL	957	19.6	27.0	56.7		18.2
80	CHARTERS	910	17.5	4.7	1.4	5.5	18.8
00	OHAITIENO	910	17.5	7.7	1.7	5.5	10.0
WEALT	TH (MEDIAN-\$150, 000)						
WEAL	TH (MEDIAN=\$158,866)						
98	UNDER \$80,442	865	17.7	28.7	34.1	50.6	9.2
102	\$80,442 TO \$100,745	878	19.1	49.8	32.7	68.2	12.9
100	\$100,746 TO \$117,086	967	19.8	37.2	39.0	59.6	21.1
99	\$117,087 TO \$134,645	926	19.5	45.8	34.8	61.8	18.5
101	\$134,646 TO \$158,865	952	19.4	42.9	28.0	55.7	19.3
98	\$158,866 TO \$183,520	993	20.2	49.1	30.2	60.8	26.7
97	\$183,521 TO \$214,674	1009	20.6	55.5	32.0	66.7	30.1
95	\$214,675 TO \$282,141	1032	21.2	59.3	33.3	69.2	34.3
94	\$282,142 TO \$451,285	998	20.7 22.2	58.4	26.7	65.5	31.3
81	OVER \$451,285	1072	22.2	64.4	35.3	74.6	42.4
86	NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	33.3 26.7 35.3 9.8	19.4	31.9
WEAL7	TH (ST AVG=\$215,232)						
	(						
696	UNDER \$215,232	958	19.6	45.6	32.1	60.7	21.5
269		1022	21.2	59.6	30.4		
	OVER \$215,232					68.1	34.2
86	NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	9.8	19.4	31.9
WEAL	TH BY EQUAL PUPILS PER GROUP						
41	UNDER \$60,828	845	17.1	29.8	35.0	51.7	6.6
71	\$60,828 TO < \$83,660	903	18.6	31.0	32.7	51.1	13.8
86	\$83,660 TO < \$100,381	868	19.0	51.1	32.6	70.1	12.1
132	\$100,381 TO < \$122,291	964	19.8	37.3	41.1	61.8	20.8
68	\$122,291 TO < \$134,443	923	19.4	47.2	32.3	60.2	18.1
31	\$134,443 TO < \$140,689	937	19.1	40.1	24.7	50.8	17.9
61	\$140,689 TO < \$156,187	974	19.8	42.7	31.8	58.1	21.6
34	\$156,187 TO < \$164,345	964	19.5	47.3	30.4	59.7	21.3
48	\$164,345 TO < \$177,218	982	20.0	46.5	27.6	58.2	25.9
56	\$177,218 TO < \$191,460	1010	20.7	51.3	34.1	64.1	29.3
36	\$191,460 TO < \$201,571	1015	20.4	60.3	28.6	69.4	31.3
38	\$201,571 TO < \$216,156	1008	20.9	55.5	33.1	66.3	30.2
46	\$216,156 TO < \$245,432	1032	21.5	63.1	37.4	72.5	34.9
19	\$245,432 TO < \$262,854	1028	21.0	59.6	25.6	66.1	34.6
33	\$262,854 TO < \$289,683	973	19.9	55.2	26.9	65.4	26.5
28	\$289,683 TO < \$319,591	1037	21.5	64.0	37.7	74.8	36.3
10	\$319,591 TO < \$335,187	905	19.0	49.5	18.5	53.7	17.9
43	\$335,187 TO < \$435,649	1049	21.6	61.0	28.0	67.8	39.1
53	\$435,649 TO < \$750,384	1067	22.2	65.4	32.6	73.4	42.2
31	\$750,384 AND OVER	1093	21.6	51.1	48.1	75.0	40.8
86	NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	9.8	19.4	31.9
		1010	2010	.515	-10		5.10
1 00	A STATE TOTAL	007	00.0	E0 6	01 1	60.0	06.0
1,064	4 STATE TOTAL	987	20.2	50.6	31.1	62.9	26.9

Table C-1. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Gradua	ites tested(	%)	Examinees
Number of	Mear	composite ACT		Ś	AT or ACT	at or above
districts Category	TOTAL SAI	Composite ACI	5A1	ACI 0	r botn	criterion(%)
LOC. ADOPT TAX RATE (ST AVG=\$1.4750	`					
200. ADOFT TAX HATE (31 AVG-\$1.4730	)					
222 UNDER \$1.3941	971	19.9		40.3	59.7	22.1
243 \$1.3941 TO UNDER \$1.4901	958	19.6		34.7	56.7	20.6
249 \$1.4901 TO UNDER \$1.5601 251 \$1.5601 AND OVER	948 1013	19.7		26.7 32.0	61.7 67.0	22.1 31.2
86 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.6 20.8		9.8	19.4	31.9
LOCAL M&O TAX RATE (ST AVG=\$1.3844)						
226 UNDER \$1.3291	978	19.9	40.6	34.8	58.8	23.6
226 UNDER \$1.3291 252 \$1.3291 TO \$1.4040	997	20.4		33.7	63.1	28.0
247 \$1.4041 TO \$1.4730	999	20.3		30.4	65.9	28.5
240 \$1.4731 AND OVER	968	20.0				25.1
86 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	29.5 9.8	19.4	31.9
HIGHEST PROPERTY VALUE CATEGORY						
374 RESIDENTIAL	997	20.4	55.1	30.6	65.6	28.7
288 LAND	950	19.7	31.4	48.4	63.6	18.3
99 OIL AND GAS	963	19.7	24.5	49.8	60.6	19.6
204 BUSINESS	953	19.6	44.7	29.0	57.4	22.2
86 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	9.8	19.4	31.9
SMALL/SPARSE ADJSTMNT (ST AVG=25.5%	)					
229 NO SMALL/SPARSE ADJUSTMENT	992	20.4	55.5	26.7	62.9	28.9
222 UNDER 9.4%	973	19.9		35.8	60.6	22.4
220 9.4% TO UNDER 27.1%	960	19.8		48.4	63.8	20.7
211 27.1% TO UNDER 35.9%	967	19.9		55.8	67.6	20.2
169 35.9% AND OVER	952	19.7	24.8	61.3	71.0	18.1
CEI LEVEL (MEDIAN=1.06)						
121 UNDER 1.04	965	20.1		20.0	25.8	21.4
231 1.04 TO UNDER 1.06	979	20.2		51.8	64.4	22.4
246 1.06 TO UNDER 1.08	986	20.1	38.4	43.1	62.8	23.6
244 1.08 TO 1.11 209 1.11 AND OVER	1004 983	20.4 20.1		34.8 27.5	63.5 63.6	28.3 27.1
		20.1	55.0	27.0	00.0	27.1
OPERATING COST/PUPIL (ST AVG=\$5,915	)					
181 UNDER \$5,471	1000	20.4	45.4	28.7	57.4	27.7
225 \$5,471 TO \$5,947	984	20.3		29.0	64.1	26.6
230 \$5,948 TO \$6,466	984	20.1		30.8	63.9	27.5
220 \$6,467 TO \$7,484 195 OVER \$7,484	983 976	19.9 19.7	38.6 31.5	43.5 52.7	64.2 67.1	24.0 19.9
ESC REGION	310	19.7	01.5	32.7	07.1	19.9
44 I EDINBURG	905	17.5	29.1	37.5	52.4	10.5
38 II CORPUS CHRISTI	968	18.9	39.7	44.5	64.5	18.9
33 III VICTORIA 71 IV HOUSTON	970 1000	19.7 20.7	41.9 60.0	31.6 24.0	56.6 65.3	21.2 30.4
31 V BEAUMONT	929	19.2	59.1	16.0	63.9	16.8
55 VI HUNTSVILLE	1009	20.9	57.4	24.7	63.5	30.8
96 VII KILGORE	990	19.9	34.0	38.3	57.4	23.5
42 VIII MT PLEASANT	997	20.1	21.1	58.0	64.0	22.6
39 IX WICHITA FALLS	1011	20.9	39.3	44.6	62.5	27.5
83 X RICHARDSON 76 XI FORT WORTH	1008 1015	21.0 21.0	53.2 55.8	30.8	62.3 64.5	32.4 31.5
76 XI FORT WORTH 76 XII WACO	981	20.2	55.8 42.3	30.1 37.9	59.5	24.3
58 XIII AUSTIN	1035	21.2	61.5	28.8	68.0	36.0
44 XIV ABILENE	1005	20.5	36.3	51.8	67.5	26.8
43 XV SAN ANGELO	990	20.1	36.8	48.9	66.6	23.6
55 XVI AMARILLO	1044	20.5	22.1	53.1	61.9	27.1
1,064 STATE TOTAL	987	20.2	50.6	31.1	62.9	26.9

Table C-1. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

				Graduat	os tostadí	۶۱	Evaminoos
Numbe	er of	Mean	score Composite ACT	draduat		δ) AT or ACT	
	ricts Category	Total SAT	Composite ACT	SAT		r both	
ESC F	REGION						
62	XVII LUBBOCK	1003	20. 2	30 1	41 5	55.8	25.8
33	XVII LOBBOCK XVIII MIDLAND	983	20.2 20.2 19.4 19.6	37.8	41.5 24.0 13.7 30.9	49.9	25.1
15	XIX EL PASO	858	19.4	66.2	13.7	69.5	10.8
57	XX SAN ANTONIO	951	19.6	57.4	30.9	65.3	22.9
TAAS:	PCT PASSING ALL TESTS TAKEN						
5	NO STUDENTS TESTED	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
168	UNDER 73.7%	870	17.6	36.9	23.2	47.5	11.5
228	73.7% TO UNDER 81.5%	949	19.1	45.2	27.2	57.0	20.4
222	81.5% TO UNDER 86.0%	974	20.2	49.2	29.3	61.1	24.9
220	86.0% TO UNDER 90.4% 90.4% AND OVER	1024 1061	19.1 20.2 20.9 21.7	58.3 61.7	23.2 27.2 29.3 34.2 43.7	70.7 77.6	32.0 38.7
200	90.40 AND OVER	1001	21.7	01.7	40.7	77.0	56.7
SAT/A	ACT: PCT TAKING						
384	0% TO UNDER 55%	934	19.1	37.3	22.5	47.6	18.2
345	55% TO UNDER 70%	978	19.9	49.2	32.1	62.2	24.9
299 23	70% AND OVER NO GRADUATES	1027 1025	21.2 20.3	66.9 4.1	32.1 39.3 9.9	80.7 10.3	34.2 23.3
20	NO GIADOATES	1023	20.0	7.1	9.9	10.0	20.0
SAT/A	ACT: PCT AT OR ABOVE CRITERION						
78	NONE MET CRITERION	852	18.5	22.7	31.5	45.4	13.1
108	UNDER 10%	835	17.3	40.7	33.5	60.6	7.0
278 396	10% TO UNDER 20%	914	19.0	40.8	29.4	55.8	15.7
119	20% TO UNDER 35% 35% AND OVER	984 1061	20.3 22.0	48.8 67.0	30.4	61.0 74.8	25.7 41.0
72	NO TEST TAKERS	1016	19.3	2.9	33.5 29.4 30.4 33.7 1.1	3.8	32.1
DENSI	TTY (ST AVG=14.82 PUPILS/SQ MI)						
439	FEWER THAN 5	962	19.7	28.4	51.5	64.4	19.5
288	5 TO FEWER THAN 20	967	19.9	39.2	38.6	60.4	21.8
130	20 TO FEWER THAN 100	993	20.0	45.7	30.4	58.2	25.7
108	100 AND OVER	990	20.5 20.8	58.8	38.6 30.4 27.1 9.8	65.8	29.2
86	NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	1010	20.8	16.6	9.8	19.4	31.9
PUPIL	CHG:99/00-00/01 (ST AVG=1.73%)						
521	DECLINING PUPILS	952	19.7	44.6	32.5	60.9	21.8
264	0% TO UNDER 3%	984			28.2 34.1 34.4	61.4	26.7
120	3% TO UNDER 6%	1025	20.2 20.8 20.5	58.7	34.1	69.9	32.7
63	6% TO UNDER 10%	1016			34.4	63.5	30.2
83	10% AND OVER	1013	20.5	39.8	22.5	48.3	30.1
PCT A	AFRICAN AM PUPILS (ST AVG=14.4%)						
605	UNDER 5%	962	19.7	44.2	35.0	62.1	21.6
144	5% TO UNDER 10%	1036	21.4	58.1	34.1	68.7	35.3
131	10% TO UNDER 20%	998	20.4	52.3	28.5	61.4	28.9
87 50	20% TO UNDER 30%	1019 925	20.4	55.2	32.0	67.1	31.3
50 34	30% TO UNDER 50% 50% AND OVER	925 866	18.8 18.3	49.4 40.7	21.1 22.1	56.0 51.5	19.3 12.7
	HISPANIC PUPILS (ST AVG=40.6%)					5.15	,
	,	4040	20. 0	40. 1	44 7	70.0	00.4
154 163	UNDER 5% 5% TO UNDER 10%	1013 1007	20.8 20.8	48.1 48.6	41.7 33.4	70.8 63.7	29.4 28.1
205	10% TO UNDER 10%	1007	21.3	48.6 59.7	35.4 35.5	70.8	34.8
133	20% TO UNDER 30%	1012	20.8	53.9	30.2	63.6	31.1
177	30% TO UNDER 50%	1002	20.3	49.0	28.5	60.1	28.8
219	50% AND OVER	904	18.4	43.7	27.7	56.8	15.0
1,064	STATE TOTAL	987	20.2	50.6	31.1	62.9	26.9
,							

Table C-1. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Graduate	s teste		
	Mean Total SAT	Score	SAT	ACT	SAT or ACT or both	
PCT MINORITY PUPILS (ST AVG=58.0%)						
29 UNDER 5%	1038	21.9	63.2	37.7	76.2	35.2
106 5% TO UNDER 10%	1004	21.1	43.3	41.8	65.2	27.4
183	1020 1035	21.2 21.1	53.7 57.3	33.9 38.5	67.0 72.1	30.9 34.0
229 30% TO UNDER 50%	1030	21.0	52.5	36.3	66.5	32.6
358 50% AND OVER	952	19.2	48.1	26.8	58.8	21.9
PCT ECON DISADV (ST AVG=49.31%)						
103 UNDER 20%	1066	22.2	69.8	37.7	78.5	41.7
106 20% TO UNDER 30%	1022	21.1	58.6	30.8	67.8	32.4
166 30% TO UNDER 40%	1012	20.8	54.8	32.4	66.3	30.3
417 40% TO UNDER 60%	987	20.1	43.8	31.6	58.5	25.6
185 60% TO UNDER 80% 74 80% AND OVER	895 843	18.6 17.0	47.8 30.7	23.3 34.5	58.3 51.0	14.8 6.5
74 OO 0 7110 OVER	040	17.0	00.7	04.0	31.0	0.0
AVG. TEACHER EXPER (ST AVG=11.9 YRS)						
192 UNDER 10.1 YEARS	980	19.7	44.8	29.0	56.1	24.5
288 10.1 TO UNDER 12.1 YEARS	1001	20.6	56.2	29.8	66.0	29.8
284 12.1 TO UNDER 13.6 YEARS	975 974	19.9	49.2	28.8	60.8	25.1
287 13.6 YEARS AND OVER	974	19.9	42.5	40.0	63.4	23.6
AVG. TEACHER SALARY (ST AVG=\$38,361)						
202 UNDER \$33,933	941	19.3	21.4	29.9	40.7	17.1
281 \$33,933 TO UNDER \$35,712	969	19.8	35.8	38.7	58.5	21.4
286 \$35,712 TO UNDER \$37,261	972	20.0	43.9	35.3	61.3	23.0
282 \$37,261 AND OVER	993	20.4	56.1	28.8	65.1	28.8
PCT MINORITY TCHRS (ST AVG=26.8%)						
491 UNDER 5%	1007	20.8	44.5	39.9	64.9	27.7
194 5% TO UNDER 10%	1044	21.3	58.5	37.0	71.3	35.8
158 10% TO UNDER 20%	1014	20.7	53.1	30.6	63.6	31.0
47 20% TO UNDER 30%	989	19.8	48.1	25.3	57.2	25.5
45 30% TO UNDER 50% 116 50% AND OVER	962 878	19.5	52.3 44.5	22.5	58.2	24.0
116 50% AND OVER	878	17.7	44.5	25.5	56.5	11.9
% TCHRS W ADV DEGREE (ST AVG=23.9%)						
228 UNDER 11.2%	937	18.9	24.8	43.7	55.6	15.9
277 11.2% TO UNDER 17.4%	953	19.2	36.7	35.7	56.8	18.8
276 17.4% TO UNDER 23.7%	965	20.3	50.4	28.1	61.3	23.6
270 23.7% AND OVER	1007	20.6	57.0	30.3	66.2	31.4
1,064 STATE TOTAL	987	20.2	50.6	31.1	62.9	26.9

## **Notes on Appendix C**

#### Results and Notes Listed in Table

Table C-1 presents SAT I and ACT participation and performance results disaggregated by category within 25 groupings of district characteristics, as defined by the Texas Education Agency's (TEA's) ANALYZE program. Table C-1 shows the percentage of graduates tested on the SAT I and/or the ACT, the mean SAT I Total score, the mean ACT Composite score, and the percentage of examinees scoring at or above criterion in 2000-01 in each of the 25 groupings of district characteristics. In addition, state summary statistics are provided at the bottom of each page of statistics.

#### Data Sources

Data for public school graduates in 2001 who participated in the SAT I: Reasoning Test were obtained from the College Board, and data for public school graduates who participated in the ACT were obtained from ACT, Inc. Although the effect is believed to be small, some amount of inaccuracy may have resulted from using high school codes supplied by the testing programs to link students' scores to schools and districts (personal communication with B. Cumberland, Educational Testing Service, November 11, 1997). In another small set of cases, student miscoding of one of the fields used for student matching may have resulted in more than one set of scores per student (personal communication with M. Farmer, ACT, Inc., July 16, 1998). Such discrepancies may have caused slight imprecision in estimating percentages of graduates tested.

The computation of percentages of graduates tested used the total number of non-special education graduating seniors as the denominator. Graduate data came from TEA data files for each district and campus.

The information on the 25 district characteristics was obtained from the ANALYZE program, which was created based on data from TEA's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS). All data about teachers, district budgets, and students is from the fall submission of the PEIMS. All data is for the 2000-01 school year with the exception of college admissions, which lag one year behind. Grouping criteria include student enrollment, district type, the percentage of students passing TAAS, and the percentage of teachers with an advanced degree. Although the number of categories within each grouping is consistent from year to year, the range represented by a particular category may change.

# Texas Education Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2000-01

#### **Enrollment**

Districts are grouped by size into nine subcategories based on their number of students in membership. This is the total number of students in membership in the district on a day in late October of each year. It does not include students who are served by the district but are not in membership in the serving district.

## District Type

Districts are classified on a scale ranging from major urban to rural. The charter school districts are in a separate subcategory. Factors such as size, growth rates, student economic status, and proximity to urban areas are used to determine the appropriate group. The groups are:

#### **Major Urban**

The largest school districts in the state that serve the six metropolitan areas of Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, Fort Worth, Austin, and El Paso. A district is designated major urban if it is the largest in counties with populations of 650,000 or over, and there are greater than 35% low-income students in the school district. Or, if not the largest district in the county, the number of students in membership is 75% of the largest district and there are more than 35% low-income students in the district.

## **Major Suburban**

Other school districts in and around the major urban areas. A district is major suburban if it is contiguous to a major urban district and the number of students in membership is at least 3% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500. If a district is not contiguous to a major urban area, then it must be within the same county and have an enrollment of 15% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500 in order to be classified as major suburban.

#### **Other Central City**

The major school districts in other large Texas cities. If the district is not contiguous to one of the major urban districts but the county population is between 100,000 and 650,000 and it is the largest district in the county or its population is 75% of the largest district then the district is designated as other central city.

#### Other Central City Suburban

Other school districts in and around the other large, but not major, Texas cities. If the district is in a county between 100,000 and 650,000 population and the number of students in membership is at

least 15% of the largest district in the county then it is designated central city suburban. If a district is contiguous to a central city district, its population is greater than 3% of that district's, and the number of students in membership is greater than the corresponding median figure for the state, it is also central city suburban.

#### Independent Town

If the district is the largest in a county having a population of 25,000 to 100,000, or the number of students in membership is greater than 75% of the largest district, the district is considered an independent town.

#### **Non-Metro: Fast Growing**

The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories and that exhibit a five-year growth rate of at least 20 percent. These districts must have at least 300 students in membership.

#### Non-Metro: Stable

The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories, yet the number of students in membership exceed the state median of 726.

#### Rural

The school districts that fail all of the above tests for placement into a subcategory. These districts either have a growth rate less than 20 percent and the number of students in membership is between 300 and the state median of 726, or the number of students in membership is less than 300.

#### **Charter Schools**

The 159 open-enrollment schools granted a charter by the State Board of Education for operation during 2000-01. Open-enrollment charter schools operate in a facility of a commercial or nonprofit entity or a school district.

#### **Property Wealth**

Wealth is defined as total taxable property value divided by the total number of students and is used as an indicator of a district's ability to raise local funds on a per pupil basis. The property value used is total taxable value for the last completed calendar year, i.e. 2000, as determined by the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD). This taxable value includes a reduction for 50% of a locally adopted optimal homestead exemption, and will affect state aid in the 2000-01 school year. The total number of students is for the current school year, i.e. 2000-01. The first wealth grouping classifies districts into ten subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each, called deciles. The second grouping simply shows districts above and below state average wealth. The third wealth grouping classifies districts into 20 subcategories with approximately equal numbers of

students in each. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group in all three categories because they have no taxable property wealth.

## Locally Adopted Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the total adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts are in a separate subcategory because they do not levy property taxes.

#### Local Maintenance and Operations Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the maintenance and operation (M&O) adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The M&O levy includes money generated by districts for equalizing wealth. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group in both categories because they do not levy property taxes.

## Highest Property Value Category

Currently, the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD) classifies property into multiple subcategories based on how the property is used. These subcategories are aggregated into four classifications as follows:

- Residential: single-family and multi-family residential, and residential inventory;
- Land: vacant lots, and rural real (taxable);
- Oil and Gas: oil, gas, and minerals; and
- Business: commercial and industrial real, commercial and industrial personal, and utilities

The one subcategory of these four which has the greatest total property value for a district determines in which category the district is placed. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group because they have no taxable property wealth.

## Small/Sparse Adjustment

Districts are grouped into four small/sparse subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The category shows the amount of small/sparse adjustment as a percent of the total adjusted basic allotment amount. A fifth subcategory contains all districts receiving no small/sparse adjustment. This small/sparse percentage is a measure of the extent to which state funding is adjusted to compensate for small and/or sparsely populated districts.

#### Cost of Education Index

The Cost of Education Index (CEI) reflects geographic variations in costs beyond the control of school districts. The index currently in use was first implemented in 1991-92. The CEI has a minimum value of 1.0 and a maximum of 1.20. This category divides districts into five groups with approximately equal numbers of districts in each.

#### **Operating Cost per Student**

Operating costs are the sum of all expenditures budgeted for the operation of the district, for all funds which are reported. The operating expenditures are a subset of the total expenditures; they do not include debt service, capital outlay, or ancillary services expenditures. Per student amounts are the current school year expenditures divided by the current number of students. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The source for budgeted expenditures is the fall submission of the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS).

#### **Education Service Center Regions**

The state is divided into 20 geographic regions, each served by an Education Service Center (ESC). The ESC region reflected in this category is the region from which the district receives services, not the geographically assigned ESC region. For the vast majority of districts, these are the same.

## TAAS: Percent Passing all Tests Taken

For grades 3-8 and 10, the total number of students who passed all sections taken is expressed as a percentage of the total number of students taking one or more tests. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with the percent passing ranging from "under 73.7%" to "90.4% and over." These percentages exclude performance on Science and Social Studies in grade 8. Furthermore, these percentages include only those students enrolled in the district in October of the school year. These are the results used for accountability purposes. A sixth subcategory refers to districts not administering the test.

#### SAT I/ACT: Percent Taking

Districts are grouped into three subcategories based on the number of prior year graduates who were administered either the SAT I or ACT, or both. The number of test takers taking one or both tests is divided by the number of non-special education graduates. A fourth subcategory is for those districts that have no graduates.

#### SAT I/ACT: Percent Scoring at or Above Criterion

Districts are grouped into five subcategories based on the number of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score for either the ACT or SAT I in the previous year. The number of examinees meeting the criterion is divided by the number of examinees. A sixth subcategory is for those districts that have no test takers. The criterion score is 1110 for the SAT I Total and 24 for the ACT Composite.

#### Student Density

Many years ago, the square miles in a school district were determined through a joint effort by the State Property Tax Board, now the CPTD, the Texas Education Agency, and the Texas Water Commission. School district maps provided by school districts to the CPTD were digitized by the Water Commission and acreage was determined. Density is the number of students per square mile. Density groups range from "fewer than five students per square mile" to "100 or more students per square mile." The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group because mileage information is not available for them.

#### Pupil Change: 1999/00 - 2000/01

This category looks at the growth or decline in student population over a one-year period. Districts where the total number of students declined represent one grouping, while the remaining groups show one year growth rate ranging from "0%-3%" to "10% and over."

## Percent African American, Hispanic, and Minority Students

In these categories, districts are grouped according to the ethnic composition of their student populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white populations expressed as a percent of the total. The non-white populations include Native American or Alaskan Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; African American, not of Hispanic origin; and Hispanic. Each of the three categories has six subgroups with the particular population ranging from "under 5%" to "50 percent and over."

## Percent Economically Disadvantaged (Low Income) Students

Percent low income is the number of students reported as economically disadvantaged on PEIMS, expressed as a percent of the total number of students. Districts report students as economically disadvantaged if they meet any of the following conditions:

- a. eligible for free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch and Child Nutrition Program;
- b. from a family with an annual income at or below the federal poverty line;
- c. eligible for AFDC or other public assistance;

- d. recipients of a Pell Grant or comparable state program of need-based financial assistance; or
- e. eligible for programs assisted under Title II of the Job Training Partnership Act.

Districts are grouped into six subgroups ranging from "under 20%" to "80% and over."

#### Average Teacher Experience

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers within a district and divided by the total teacher FTE count within that respective district, result in the average years of teacher experience.

## Average Teacher Salary

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Average teacher salary is calculated as the total salary of teachers divided by the total FTE count of teachers. The total salary amount is for regular duties only and does not include pay for any supplemental duties.

## **Percent Minority Teachers**

In this category, districts are grouped according to the minority composition of their teacher populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white teacher FTEs expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. The category has six groupings with the minority population ranging from "under 5%" to "50% and over."

## Percent of Teachers With Advanced Degrees

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The percent of teachers with an advanced degree is calculated as the FTE count of teachers with a master's degree or doctorate divided by the FTE count for all teachers.

# References

- ACT, Inc. (1996a). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1996: National report. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1996b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1996: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1997a). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1997: National report. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1997b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1997: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1998a). *ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1998: National report.* Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1998b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1998: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1999a). *ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1999: National report.* Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (1999b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 1999: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2000a). *ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 2000: National report.* Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2000b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 2000: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2001a). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 2001: National report. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2001b). ACT high school profile report, high school graduating class 2001: State composite for Texas. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT, Inc. (2001c). 2001 ACT national and state scores: ACT average composite scores by state, 2001 ACT-tested graduates. Retrieved March 28, 2003, from <a href="http://www.act.org/news/data/01/states.html">http://www.act.org/news/data/01/states.html</a>.
- ACT, Inc. (2002, July). *Understanding your scores*. Retrieved July 2, 2002, from <a href="http://www.act.org/aap/scores/under.html">http://www.act.org/aap/scores/under.html</a>.
- American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, and National Council on Measurement in Education. (1999). *The standards for educational and psychological testing*. Washington, DC: American Educational Research Association.

- Atkinson, R.C. (2001, December). *Achievement versus aptitude tests in college admissions*. Retrieved July 1, 2002, from <a href="http://www.ucop.edu/pres/speeches/achieve.htm">http://www.ucop.edu/pres/speeches/achieve.htm</a>.
- Camara, W.J., & Echternacht, G. (2000). *The SAT I and high school grades: Utility in predicting success in college*. New York: College Board.
- College Board. (1996a). 1996 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1996b). 1996 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1997a). 1997 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1997b). 1997 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1998a). 1998 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1998b). 1998 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1999a). 1999 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (1999b). 1999 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (2000a). 2000 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (2000b). 2000 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (2001a). 2001 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: National report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (2001b). 2001 college-bound seniors, a profile of SAT Program test takers: Texas report. New York: Author.
- College Board. (2001c). *SAT services for students with disabilities*. Retrieved July 3, 2002, from <a href="http://www.collegeboard.com/disable/students/html/eligible.html">http://www.collegeboard.com/disable/students/html/eligible.html</a>.

- College Board. (2003). 2001 college-bound seniors tables and related items. Retrieved April 5, 2003, from <a href="http://www.collegeboard.com/press/senior01/html/pdf/table3.pdf">http://www.collegeboard.com/press/senior01/html/pdf/table3.pdf</a>.
- Texas Administrative Code. (1999). *Title 19, Part II: Texas Education Agency*. St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Education Agency. (1997). Results of college admissions testing in Texas for 1995-96 graduating seniors (GE7-601-11). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1998). Results of college admissions testing in Texas for 1996-97 graduating seniors (GE8-601-06). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1999). Results of college admissions testing in Texas for 1997-98 graduating seniors (GE9-601-04). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2000). Results of college admissions testing in Texas for 1998-99 graduating seniors (GE00-601-04). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2001). Results of college admissions testing in Texas for 1999-00 graduating seniors (GE02-601-02). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2002). 2002 accountability manual: The 2002 accountability rating system for Texas public schools and school districts. Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Code. (1988). Texas school law bulletin. Austin, TX: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1994). Texas school law bulletin. Austin, TX: West Publishing.

# Texas Education Agency Publication Order Form

Purchaser Name	Date					
Send to (name, if different)						
Address						
City				State 7	Zip	
	Publ	ication T	itle			
		Price Per		cempt Only		
Publication Number and Title	Quantity	Сору	Quantity	Price per Copy	Cost	
GE03 601 03 College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, 2000-01		\$12.50		\$13.50	_	
				Subtotal		
				Total		
Price includes postage, handling, and a	applicable state tax.	. Make check or i	money order pay	able to Texas Educ	ation Agency.	
For publication inquiries and purchase order	rs† send to:	If you are ma	ailing a check or	money order, remit	this form with	
Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution 1701 North Congress Avenu Austin, Texas 78701-1494	ue	P P	exas Educations Downstrand	istribution 7		
†Purchase orders are accepted only from Te institutions and government agencies.	exas educational	<b>—</b>	Austin, Texas	10111-3011		

## **Compliance Statement**

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Modified Court Order, Civil Action 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Reviews of local education agencies pertaining to compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 and with specific requirements of the Modified Court Order, Civil Action No. 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division are conducted periodically by staff representatives of the Texas Education Agency. These reviews cover at least the following policies and practices:

- 1. acceptance policies on student transfers from other school districts;
- 2. operation of school bus routes or runs on a nonsegregated basis;
- 3. nondiscrimination in extracurricular activities and the use of school facilities;
- 4. nondiscriminatory practices in the hiring, assigning, promoting, paying, demoting, reassigning, or dismissing of faculty and staff members who work with children;
- 5. enrollment and assignment of students without discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
- 6. nondiscriminatory practices relating to the use of a student's first language; and
- 7. evidence of published procedures for hearing complaints and grievances.

In addition to conducting reviews, the Texas Education Agency staff representatives check complaints of discrimination made by a citizen or citizens residing in a school district where it is alleged discriminatory practices have occurred or are occurring.

Where a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act is found, the findings are reported to the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.

If there is a direct violation of the Court Order in Civil Action No. 5281 that cannot be cleared through negotiation, the sanctions required by the Court Order are applied.

Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964 as Amended by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972; Executive Orders 11246 and 11375; Equal Pay Act of 1964; Title IX, Education Amendments; Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended; 1974 Amendments to the Wage-Hour Law Expanding the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972 as Amended; Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986; Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

The Texas Education Agency shall comply fully with the nondiscrimination provisions of all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations by assuring that no person shall be excluded from consideration for recruitment, selection, appointment, training, promotion, retention, or any other personnel action, or be denied any benefits or participation in any educational programs or activities which it operates on the grounds of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or veteran status (except where age, sex, or disability constitutes a bona fide occupational qualification necessary to proper and efficient administration). The Texas Education Agency is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.



Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494

Document No. GE03 601 03 August 2003