

Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools 2000-01

Project Staff Spring W. Lee Linda A. Roska Mei-Ling Shen David Lynch

Editorial Staff Richard Kallus

Division of Research and Evaluation
Department of Accountability Reporting and Research
Texas Education Agency
October 2002

Texas Education Agency

Felipe Alanis Commissioner of Education

Office of Finance and Accountability

Ron McMichael Deputy Commissioner

Department of Accountability Reporting and Research

Criss Cloudt Associate Commissioner

Division of Research and Evaluation

Karen Dvorak Senior Director

Additional Acknowledgments

Special thanks to David D. Anderson, Lisa Chandler, Debbie Graves-Ratcliffe, Shannon Housson, Adam Jones, Don Long, Ron McMichael, Melanie Pritchett, Ann Smisko, and Wells Teague for their kind reviews of this report.

Citation. Texas Education Agency. (2002). *Grade-level retention in Texas public schools, 2000-01* (Document No. GE02 601 09). Austin TX: Author.

Abstract. This report provides annual information for the 2000-01 school year on grade-level retention in the Texas public school system, based on the Public Education Information Management System. Data on retention are provided by grade, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, gender, participation in special education, degree of English proficiency, and performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS).

Keywords. Retention, retention rate, special education, TAAS, English proficiency, economically disadvantaged.

Material in this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced. The Texas Education Agency would appreciate credit for the material used and a copy of the reprint.

Additional copies of this document may be purchased using the order form in the back of this publication. Additional information about this report may be obtained by contacting the Texas Education Agency Division of Research and Evaluation by phone at (512) 475-3523, by e-mail at research@tea.state.tx.us, or via the division website at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/.

Contents

Highlights	ix
Promotion Policies in Texas	1
Retention Reporting for 2000-01	7
Definitions and Calculations	9
State Summary	12
Grade-Level Retention by Grade	14
Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity	18
Grade-Level Retention by Gender	24
Grade-Level Retention by Socioeconomic Status	26
Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficiency Status	32
Grade-Level Retention by Special Education Status	36
Retention and Student Performance	43
TAAS Scores	44
TAAS Passing Rates	46
Student Performance and Promotion	47
Student Performance and Promotion	48
Grade 3-8 Summary	49
Grade 3	50
Grade 4	52
Grade 5	54
Grade 6	56
Grade 7	58
Grade 8	60
Appendix A. Law and Rules	63
Texas Education Code §28.021 and §28.0211	64
Commissioner's Rules Concerning the Student Success Initiative	67
Appendix B. District Characteristics	75
Grade-Level Retention by District Characteristic, 2000-01	76
Notes on Appendix B	79

Appendix C. Campus Characteristics	83
Grade-Level Retention by Campus Characteristic, 2000-01	84
Notes on Appendix C	86
Appendix D. Grade-Level Retention Rates, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	89
References	211

List of Tables

Table 1.	Grade-Level Retention by Student Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01	13
Table 2.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	15
Table 3.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01	17
Table 4.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	19
Table 5.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01	23
Table 6.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	24
Table 7.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	25
Table 8.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	27
Table 9.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01	31
Table 10.	Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	33
Table 11.	Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01	35
Table 12.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	37
Table 13.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01	11
Table 14.	Promotion Status 2000-01 and Average Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools	15
Table 15.	Promotion Status 2000-01 and Percentage Passing the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools	16
Table 16.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Reading and Mathematics Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Grade, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools	19
Table 17.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools	51

Table 18.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools	51
Table 19.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools	53
Table 20.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools	53
Table 21.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools	55
Table 22.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools	55
Table 23.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools	57
Table 24.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools	57
Table 25.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools	59
Table 26.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools	59
Table 27.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools	61
Table 28.	Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools	61

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Grade-Level Retention by Student Group, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01	12
Figure 2.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	14
Figure 3.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01	6
Figure 4.	Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity, Grade 1, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01	8
Figure 5.	Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity, Grade 9, Texas Public Schools, 2000-012	22
Figure 6.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	24
Figure 7.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	25
Figure 8.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	26
Figure 9.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	30
Figure 10.	Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01	32
Figure 11.	Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01	34
Figure 12.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	36
Figure 13.	Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01	10
Figure 14.	Grade-Level Retention 2000-01 and Average Reading Performance on the English-Version Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Texas Public Schools	15
Figure 15.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading Test 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools	50
Figure 16.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools	52
Figure 17.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools	54

Figure 18.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools	56
Figure 19.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools	58
Figure 20.	Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools	60

Highlights

- In 2000-01, the state retention rate for all grades was 4.7 percent. The rate was unchanged from the previous two years.
- Across Grades K-6, the retention rate was highest in Grade 1 at 6.3 percent. Across Grades 7-12, the retention rate was highest in Grade 9 at 17.4 percent.
- The disparities in retention rates across ethnic groups were significant. In elementary school, Hispanic and African American students were more than twice as likely to be retained as White students. At the secondary level, about one out of four African American students and almost one out of four Hispanic students in Grade 9 did not accumulate enough credits to advance to Grade 10.
- Depending on grade level and subject, between 83.9 and 94.7 percent of students who were promoted in Grades 3-8 had passed the reading or mathematics portions of the English-version Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) in spring 2001, whereas 23.3 to 60.7 percent of students who were retained had passed. After repeating the grade, the TAAS scores of retained students improved. Between 67.7 and 87.8 percent of them passed when they took the tests at the end of their second year.
- Of the Grade 3 students who passed the reading TAAS in spring 2001, 99.4 percent were promoted to Grade 4. Of the Grade 3 students who did not pass the reading TAAS, 11.2 percent were retained in Grade 3 the next year.
- Over 99 percent of students in Grades 4-8 who passed the reading and mathematics TAAS in spring 2001 were promoted to the next grade level. Of those students who did not pass both subjects, between 3.5 and 8.1 percent, depending on the grade level, were retained at the end of the year.

Promotion Policies in Texas

Promotion Policies in Texas

Texas educational policy has held consistently that student promotion decisions be based on academic achievement. Over the past two decades, though, the policies surrounding student promotion decisions have evolved. Initially, Texas state laws and State Board of Education (SBOE) rules pertaining to retention and promotion were designed to establish consistent promotion policies at the local level. Alternatives to retention were encouraged and funding was provided for retention reduction programs. Between 1984 and 1993, revisions of the law and rules gave school districts greater flexibility in deciding the most appropriate academic settings for individual students. In 1995 and 1996, the remaining regulations were repealed. In 1999, state standards were established for advancement at Grades 3, 5, and 8, and resources were made available to districts to prepare all children to meet the standards beginning with Grade 3 by 2003.

Legislation passed in 1984 required that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement and disallowed social promotion (Texas Education Code [TEC] §21.721 *Grade Requirement for Advancement or Course Credit*, 1986). The SBOE rules implementing the legislation, *Promotions and Alternatives to Social Promotion* (Title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code [TAC] §§75.191-195, 1985), outlined the grading procedures to be used by districts and guidelines for promotion. The rules included the provisions that no student could repeat the same grade more than once or repeat more than two grade levels during the elementary grades.

In 1987, legislation was enacted to expand TEC §21.557 *Compensatory and Remedial Instruction*. The legislation provided a definition of students in Grades 7-12 considered to be at risk of dropping out of school and required districts to provide remedial and support programs for these students (TEC, 1988). The definition of "at-risk" students included students who had not advanced from one grade level to the next in two or more school years.

In 1991, the rule prohibiting retention of students below Grade 1 was amended to allow districts to assign six-year-old students who were not developmentally ready for the first grade to grades deemed appropriate by the schools (19 TAC §75.195(j), 1992 Supplement).

Also in 1991, legislation updated TEC §21.721 to eliminate the prohibition on advancement of students with grade averages below 70. Policies on advancement from one grade level to the next were to be adopted by school districts. Local policies on promotion had to incorporate a variety of factors, including a

minimum, yearly grade point average of 70, grades in each subject, performance on the TAAS, extenuating circumstances, and the judgments of parents and teachers. Districts were required to consider alternatives to retention, including extended school day, extended school year, specialized tutorial support, peer tutoring, cross-age tutoring, student mentoring, and summer programs (TEC, 1992).

A Retention Reduction Grant Program was enacted in 1993 (TEC §21.562, 1994). A \$5 million appropriation allowed 54 Texas school districts to pilot extended instructional programs to eliminate retentions in first grade during the 1992-93 school year. The Retention Reduction Grants allowed school districts and campuses to offer programs based on lengthening the school year as an alternative to retention. These programs provided additional instruction to students who needed extra assistance to master the "Essential Elements"—the state-mandated curriculum in the first grade. The pilots were extended to the second grade in 1994-95.

School districts not receiving Retention Reduction Grants could apply to the commissioner of education for approval to provide extended year programs (TEC §21.563 *Optional Extended Year Program*, 1994). Optional Extended Year Programs (OEYPs) of up to 45 days in length could be provided to students in Grades K-8 who would otherwise be retained. To fund the programs, school districts could reduce the number of instructional days during the regular school year by five.

In 1995, the Texas Education Code was reviewed and readopted. In the new code, the provisions on promotion, *Student Advancement*, reiterated that students be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency in the subject matter of the course or grade level (TEC §28.021, 1996). At the same time, the language regulating local promotion and retention policies was repealed. In April 1996, the SBOE rules regulating local policies, including restrictions on the number of times students could be retained in grade, were also repealed. The definition of students at risk of dropping out was carried forward (TEC §29.081, 1996).

The 1995 TEC revisions included a single set of provisions for extended year programs (TEC §29.082, 1996). Commissioner of Education rules implementing the OEYP were adopted to be effective in May 1996 (19 TAC §105.1001, 1997). Districts were required to advance students who attended at least 90 percent of the

extended year program days unless the parents requested otherwise. The principal, teacher, and counselor were required to meet with parents requesting retention and provide information on the effects of retention on future academic performance, student self-esteem, and high school completion. The commissioner of education was directed to withhold 5 percent of the Foundation School Program compensatory education allotment to finance extended year programs. This increased the allocation for extended year programs for students in Grades K-8 who were identified as not likely to be promoted to about \$50 million a year. Districts could use portions of their compensatory education allotments or apply for state funds to implement extended year programs. Although districts had to apply for state OEYP funds, they were no longer required to apply for approval to operate OEYPs funded locally.

The promotion policies that will be implemented in Texas public schools in 2002-03 build on the state curriculum and assessment programs that have been developed over many years. In 1984, Texas adopted a state curriculum known as the Essential Elements (19 TAC Chapter 75, Subchapters B-D, 1984). Over the years, the rigor of student knowledge and skills required has increased. A revised curriculum, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), was adopted by the SBOE and became effective on September 1, 1998 (19 TAC Chapters 110-128, 1998). By state law and SBOE rule, the TEKS in the foundation areas of English language arts and reading, mathematics, science, and social studies are required for use in instruction and statewide assessment. The TEKS have been widely distributed to schools and to the public. Professional development on TEKS implementation in the classroom has been widely available.

State criterion-referenced tests, or the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS), were introduced in 1990. Since 1994, the TAAS has measured mastery of the state curriculum in reading and mathematics at Grades 3-8 and 10; in writing at Grades 4, 8, and 10; and in science and social studies at Grade 8. The Grade 10 tests serve as an exit-level examination. Since the TAAS exit-level examination was introduced, satisfactory performance has been a prerequisite to a high school diploma.

In 2002-03, a new, more rigorous state assessment system, the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS), will be introduced. Like the TAAS, the TAKS will be aligned with the state curriculum.

State testing procedures allow accommodations for students who need them. In some circumstances, students are exempted from the standard assessments. There are Spanish-language versions of the tests available in Grades 3-6 to assess the progress of students proficient in Spanish but not yet proficient in English. The Admission, Review, and Dismissal Committees responsible for students receiving special education services may specify alternate assessment instruments, including the State Developed Alternative Assessment (SDAA).

Since 1995, Texas statute has stipulated that "a student may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or grade level" (TEC §28.021, 1996). In 1999, some specific provisions linking test performance, promotion, and instruction were added (TEC §28.0211, 1999). Beginning in 2002-03, students in Grade 3 will be required to pass the state reading test to advance to Grade 4. Students in Grades 5 and 8 will have to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 2004-05 and 2007-08, respectively. Students will be given three opportunities to pass the tests. School districts are required to provide accelerated instruction in the subject areas failed after each test administration. An accelerated instruction group may not have a ratio of more than 10 students for each teacher. For students experiencing difficulties, accelerated instruction will provide the opportunity to engage in more intensive, more targeted, and more supportive reading and mathematics instruction. The goal is for students to acquire the skills needed to continue with their classmates.

If a student fails the test a second time, the district must establish a grade placement committee to determine the accelerated instruction the student will receive before the third testing opportunity. Each grade placement committee will consist of the principal or a designee, the parent or guardian of the student, and the teacher of the student in the subject of the test the student fails. The grade placement committee may determine the student should take an alternate assessment. A student who fails to perform satisfactorily on the third opportunity is to be retained. A parent or guardian may appeal the retention decision to the grade placement committee. The grade placement committee may decide in favor of advancement if committee members unanimously conclude, based on standards adopted by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform on grade level if given additional accelerated instruction during the next school year.

State initiatives have provided support in reading and mathematics to students in the grades leading up to the promotion requirements. In 1999, the 76th Texas Legislature approved the implementation of the Student Success Initiative to ensure that all students are reading at grade level by Grade 3. The Student Success Initiative has three components: professional development, instruments to diagnose and assess reading difficulties in Grades K-2, and accelerated reading instruction. Through the Initiative, Teacher Reading Academies were established. Training focused on kindergarten teachers in 1999-00, and on kindergarten and Grade 1 teachers in 2000-01. Training of Grade 2 teachers began in spring 2001, and of Grade 3 teachers in 2002. Fourth grade reading academies are scheduled to begin spring 2003. Diagnostic and assessment data are employed to identify struggling readers and monitor their progress. Significant levels of Accelerated Reading Instruction (ARI) intervention funding have been sent to districts to provide support for students identified as at-risk for reading difficulties on the basis of the results of early reading instruments in K-2 and diagnostic assessment data in Grade 3. Districts provided ARI intervention support to students in kindergarten in 1999-00; in kindergarten and Grade 1 in 2000-01; and in kindergarten, Grade 1, and Grade 2 in 2001-02; and kindergarten, Grade 1, Grade 2, and Grade 3 in 2002-03. Over \$415 million has been devoted to the Student Success Initiative in reading since 1999-00. In 2001, the 77th Legislature appropriated funding for the Texas Math Initiative, an algebra readiness initiative focused on Grades 5-8. Professional Development Institutes for teachers in Grades 5 and 6 began spring 2002 with Grade 7 and 8 teacher training beginning spring 2003. In addition to teacher training, the math initiative also features a research and evaluation component; on-line diagnostic assessment; a Master Mathematics Teacher certification program; after-school and summer program grant funding; and a pilot homework and grading service for teachers and parents.

Retention Reporting for 2000-01

Definitions and Calculations

State Summary

Grade-Level Retention by Grade

Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity

Grade-Level Retention by Gender

Grade-Level Retention by Socioeconomic Status

Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficiency Status

Grade-Level Retention by Special Education Status

Definitions and Calculations

Grade retention has traditionally been defined as "the practice of requiring a child to repeat a particular grade or requiring a child of appropriate chronological age to delay entry to kindergarten or first grade" (Rafoth, Dawson, & Carey, 1988). This definition of retention—delayed entry or repetition of a grade—applies primarily to Grades K-6. The same grade level in successive years in high school does not necessarily represent the repetition of a full year's curriculum, as it does in elementary school. Secondary school programs are structured around individual courses. Because passing and failing are determined at the level of the course and credits are awarded for courses completed successfully, the concept of a "grade level" becomes more fluid. Students who fail to earn credit in a single course or take fewer courses than required in one year may be classified at the same grade level in two consecutive years. Practices in Grades 7 and 8 may be like those in elementary school or like those in high school, depending on local school district policies.

Data on the grade levels of all students in the Texas public school system were available in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) (Texas Education Code [TEC] §29.083, 1996). Data regarding student characteristics and program participation were also available in PEIMS. Data on Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) performance were provided to the Texas Education Agency (TEA) by the state's contractor, NCS Pearson.

Retention rates for the 2000-01 school year were calculated by comparing 2000-01 attendance records to fall 2001 enrollment records. Students who left the Texas public school system for any reason other than graduation were excluded from the total student count. Students new to Texas public school in fall 2001 were also excluded. Students who enrolled both years or graduated were included in the total student count. Students found to have been enrolled in the same grade in both years were counted as retained. Students found to have been in a higher grade in fall 2001 than in 2000-01 were counted as promoted. Students reported to have had improbable grade sequences were assigned an "unknown" promotion status. Retention rates were calculated by dividing number of students retained by total student count. Because of the criteria used, student counts in this report differ from those in other agency publications.

Retention rates have been calculated by the agency based on year-to-year progress of individual students since 1994-95. In this report, data on retention rates for Grades K-6 are reported for 1994-95 through 2000-01. Secondary school retention data are reported for 1998-99 through 2000-01 because the method of

calculating rates for Grades 7-12 was changed. Prior to the 1998-99 school year, the retention calculations included only students who were enrolled on the last Friday in October. Beginning in 1998-99, additional enrollment data for Grades 7-12 were collected for calculation of the secondary school dropout and completion rates. This collection expanded Grades 7-12 enrollment from students enrolled the last Friday in October to students enrolled at any time during the fall. The change in the retention calculation allowed more secondary school students to be included and made the calculation of the retention rate more like those of the TEA secondary school dropout rate and completion rates. Expanded enrollment data were not collected for Grades K-6, so the method of calculating enrollment counts for Grades K-6 was unchanged.

NCS Pearson provides student TAAS data to the agency for each grade level, language version, and subject. For each subject, each student received either a score or a code indicating why no score was reported. The latter included students who were absent, exempted from testing by Language Proficiency Assessment Committees (LPACs), removed from the test for illness or cheating, or assessed with the State Developed Alternative Assessment (SDAA) instead of grade-level TAAS tests. English-version reading and mathematics results were reported as Texas Learning Indices (TLIs). A TLI itself is not a percentage of items correct. Its functions are to describe how close a score is to the passing standard and to indicate progress over time. TLIs ranged from 0 to a maximum around 99. Spanish-version reading and mathematics results were reported as scale scores. Scale scores ranged from approximately 400 to approximately 2400.

Students taking the English-version test have met minimum expectations, or "passed," in reading or mathematics if they achieve TLIs of 70 or above. The same is true of students who achieved scale scores of 1500 or above on the Spanish-version tests. TLIs and scale scores were compared across years to assess progress of students who had been retained.

Some of the analyses in this report required that promotion status and TAAS performance be analyzed jointly. For these analyses, matching student records had to appear in the promotion database and in the test database. Student records in the promotion database were matched to student records in the test database based on social security number, last name, and date of birth. In cases where students had more than one record in the test database, the test records were combined and the highest scores attained were used. Records that could not be matched were excluded. In some cases, students participated in TAAS testing in spring

2001 but were not enrolled in Texas public school in fall 2001. In other cases, students enrolled after TAAS testing in spring 2001, and so had records in the promotion database but not in the testing database. In analyses using two years of TAAS data, an additional match—to the spring 2002 TAAS data files—was required. Finally, some records failed to match because of errors in reporting student identification.

In 1991, the 72nd Texas Legislature required the agency to report biennially on students retained in grade. The first report, for the 1991-92 school year, was submitted to the legislature in May 1993 (TEA, 1993). Comprehensive biennial reports to the legislature in 1993, 1995, 1997, and 1999 included sections on retention, disaggregated by grade level. In 2001, the 77th Legislature required that TEA produce an annual, rather than biennial, comprehensive report to the legislature that included information on retention (TEA, 2001c). Senate Bill 702, §9 expanded reporting on retention to include "retention and performance" (TEC §39.182, 2001). In addition, the agency has produced more detailed annual reports on retention annually since 1995 (TEA, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2001a, 2002a).

State Summary

In the 2000-01 school year, 4.7 percent of students (177,400) in kindergarten through Grade 12 were retained (Table 1). The rate was unchanged from the previous two years.

In 2000-01, the retention rate for females was 3.7 percent, and the rate for males was 5.6 percent. Males were more likely than females to be retained in each grade, ethnic group, and year. Male students made up 61.2 percent of all students retained.

Average rates for Hispanic and African American students were over twice that for White students (Figure 1). In 2000-01, 2.9 percent of White students were retained in grade, compared to 6.2 percent of Hispanic students and 6.3 percent of African American students. Although 54.3 percent of students enrolled in Texas public schools were Hispanic or African American, 72.5 percent of students retained in Texas public schools were from one of these two ethnic groups.

Figure 1
Grade-Level Retention by Student Group, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01




Table 1
Grade-Level Retention by Student Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01

		1999-00			2000-01	
	Number	Retained	Rate	Number	Retained	Rate
African American	519,817	32,489	6.3	537,831	34,137	6.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	95,477	2,151	2.3	101,818	2,225	2.2
Hispanic	1,438,136	91,104	6.3	1,515,010	94,536	6.2
Native American	10,162	484	4.8	10,846	481	4.4
White	1,617,377	45,283	2.8	1,612,953	46,021	2.9
Economically disadvantaged	1,699,244	97,082	5.7	1,755,656	99,921	5.7
Female	1,796,407	66,510	3.7	1,842,920	68,751	3.7
Male	1,884,562	105,001	5.6	1,935,538	108,649	5.6
Grades K-6	2,074,114	56,018	2.7	2,124,405	59,317	2.8
Grades 7-12	1,606,855	115,493	7.2	1,654,053	118,083	7.1
State	3,680,969	171,511	4.7	3,778,458	177,400	4.7

Grade-Level Retention by **Grade**

Elementary Grades

Across Grades K-6, the retention rate was much higher in first grade than in any other grade (Table 2). In 2000-01, the retention rate in Grade 1 remained the same as the previous year, 6.3 percent. The biggest increase for the second consecutive year was in kindergarten; the rate increased by 0.4 percentage points to 3.2 percent in 2000-01. Retention in the fifth grade (0.9%) remained the lowest across elementary grade levels.

For the most part, retention rates in Grades K-3 were rising between 1994-95 and 2000-01 (Figure 2). Retention in kindergarten has more than doubled over that period. Retention rates in Grades 4-6 have been lower than those in Grades K-3 and have not shown the same kind of increases over the seven-year period.

Figure 2
Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01




Table 2 Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01

	Grade							
	K		1		2		3	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1994-95	3,726	1.5	15,998	5.8	5,958	2.2	3,453	1.3
1995-96	4,524	1.7	17,299	5.9	7,225	2.6	4,251	1.5
1996-97	5,081	1.9	16,777	5.6	7,222	2.5	4,400	1.6
1997-98	6,070	2.2	17,763	6.0	8,938	3.1	5,373	1.9
1998-99	6,996	2.5	19,693	6.5	9,460	3.2	7,129	2.4
1999-00	7,941	2.8	19,505	6.3	9,852	3.3	6,862	2.3
2000-01	9,110	3.2	19,529	6.3	11,001	3.6	7,659	2.5

	Grade							
	4		5		6		Total K-6	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1994-95	2,581	1.0	2,223	0.9	4,561	1.7	38,500	2.1
1995-96	2,952	1.1	2,355	8.0	4,821	1.7	43,427	2.2
1996-97	3,030	1.1	2,272	8.0	4,592	1.6	43,374	2.2
1997-98	3,546	1.3	2,587	0.9	4,808	1.7	49,085	2.5
1998-99	3,881	1.3	2,502	0.9	4,762	1.6	54,423	2.7
1999-00	4,014	1.3	2,938	1.0	4,906	1.7	56,018	2.7
2000-01	4,405	1.4	2,789	0.9	4,824	1.6	59,317	2.8

Grade-Level Retention by **Grade**

Secondary Grades

The highest retention rate in the secondary grades was 17.4 percent in Grade 9 in 2000-01. The lowest retention rate occurred in Grade 8 (2.1%).

Being classified in the same grade for two years was much more common in high school than in elementary school (Tables 2 and 3). Grade 9 and Grade 10 retention rates (17.4% and 8.1%, respectively) were higher than those of all elementary grades, and Grade 11 and Grade 12 retention rates (5.9% and 4.7%, respectively) were higher than those of all elementary grades except Grade 1. In high school, though, students can be classified at the same grade level for two years because one course out of six or seven was not completed successfully. In such cases, students may be able to "catch up" with their peers by making up the required course. In the elementary grades, retained students are much more likely to repeat a year's curriculum in its entirety.

Figure 3
Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01




Table 3
Grade-Level Retention by Grade, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01

				Gr	ade			
	7		8		9		10	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1998-99	8,642	3.0	6,533	2.3	59,738	18.8	19,552	7.8
1999-00	8,513	2.9	6,169	2.1	58,451	17.7	19,923	7.9
2000-01	7,762	2.5	6,353	2.1	58,363	17.4	21,754	8.1

			Gra	ide		
	11		12		Total 7-12	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1998-99	12,063	5.6	9,583	4.6	116,111	7.4
1999-00	12,806	5.8	9,631	4.5	115,493	7.2
2000-01	13,440	5.9	10,411	4.7	118,083	7.1

Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity

Elementary Grades

For the most part, in all elementary grades except kindergarten, Hispanic and African American students had the highest retention rates among all ethnic groups (Table 4). In first grade, 7.7 percent of Hispanic and African American students were retained, compared to 4.3 percent of White students (Figure 4). In Grades 2-6, retention rates for African American and Hispanic students were almost always more than double those for White students. Moreover, rates for African American and Hispanic students increased between 1994-95 and 2000-01 in Grades K-4.

Figure 4
Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity, Grade 1, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01




Table 4
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01

Grade	Year	African American		Asian/ Pacific Islander		Hispanic		Native An	nerican	White	
		Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
	1994-95	474	1.3	30	0.6	1,165	1.2	10	1.4	2,047	1.8
	1995-96	519	1.3	42	0.8	1,504	1.4	18	2.2	2,441	2.1
	1996-97	556	1.4	42	0.7	1,764	1.6	21	2.6	2,698	2.3
Κ	1997-98	769	2.0	55	0.9	2,306	2.0	20	2.3	2,920	2.6
	1998-99	845	2.1	46	0.7	2,752	2.3	23	2.8	3,330	3.0
	1999-00	952	2.5	90	1.3	3,504	2.8	30	3.4	3,365	3.1
	2000-01	1,099	2.9	106	1.4	3,988	3.0	40	3.8	3,877	3.6
	1994-95	2,708	7.0	182	3.1	7,353	7.1	41	5.7	5,714	4.6
	1995-96	3,174	7.4	167	2.7	7,956	7.0	49	6.0	5,953	4.6
	1996-97	3,039	7.0	167	2.7	7,866	6.6	50	5.7	5,655	4.4
1	1997-98	3,375	7.9	167	2.6	8,689	7.2	57	6.4	5,475	4.4
	1998-99	3,779	8.6	165	2.4	10,014	7.8	65	6.9	5,670	4.6
	1999-00	3,515	7.8	202	2.8	10,533	7.8	50	5.6	5,205	4.3
	2000-01	3,379	7.7	208	2.6	10,830	7.7	46	4.8	5,066	4.3
	1994-95	1,130	3.0	57	1.0	3,157	3.2	13	2.0	1,601	1.3
	1995-96	1,425	3.5	68	1.1	3,808	3.5	18	2.4	1,906	1.5
	1996-97	1,337	3.2	82	1.3	3,784	3.4	17	2.1	2,002	1.6
2	1997-98	1,692	4.1	81	1.3	4,986	4.3	30	3.4	2,149	1.7
	1998-99	1,896	4.4	86	1.3	5,313	4.4	23	2.6	2,142	1.7
	1999-00	1,811	4.2	110	1.5	5,787	4.5	22	2.5	2,122	1.7
	2000-01	2,081	4.6	141	1.8	6,611	4.9	21	2.3	2,147	1.8

continues

Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

Table 4
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

Grade	Year	African American		Asian/ Pacific Islander		Hispanic		Native An	nerican	White	
		Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
	1994-95	753	2.1	43	0.8	1,689	1.7	11	1.7	957	0.8
	1995-96	906	2.2	44	0.7	2,166	2.1	8	1.1	1,127	0.9
	1996-97	870	2.1	54	0.8	2,289	2.1	16	2.0	1,171	0.9
3	1997-98	1,138	2.8	50	0.7	2,899	2.7	14	1.7	1,272	1.0
	1998-99	1,680	4.0	88	1.3	3,964	3.4	14	1.6	1,383	1.1
	1999-00	1,497	3.4	75	1.0	3,902	3.1	11	1.3	1,377	1.1
	2000-01	1,662	3.7	88	1.1	4,450	3.4	18	2.0	1,441	1.2
	1994-95	505	1.4	35	0.6	1,234	1.3	11 1.9		796	0.6
	1995-96	579	1.5	34	0.6	1,456	1.4	9	1.3	874	0.7
	1996-97	532	1.3	32	0.5	1,538	1.4	11	1.5	917	0.7
4	1997-98	727	1.8	45	0.7	1,806	1.7	16	2.0	952	0.8
	1998-99	784	1.9	47	0.7	2,049	1.8	12	1.3	989	0.8
	1999-00	846	2.0	46	0.6	2,217	1.9	6	0.7	899	0.7
	2000-01	986	2.2	46	0.6	2,423	1.9	15	1.7	935	0.7
	1994-95	448	1.3	-	0.5	1,017	1.1	-	0.8	728	0.6
	1995-96	424	1.1	36	0.6	1,062	1.1	6	0.9	827	0.6
	1996-97	339	0.9	23	0.4	1,081	1.0	9	1.3	820	0.6
5	1997-98	443	1.1	36	0.6	1,261	1.2	8	1.1	839	0.7
	1998-99	445	1.1	-	0.6	1,211	1.1	-	0.5	797	0.6
	1999-00	612	1.5	37	0.5	1,445	1.3	8	1.0	836	0.7
	2000-01	539	1.2	41	0.5	1,358	1.1	7	0.8	844	0.7

continues

Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

Table 4
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

Grade		African American		Asian/ Pacific Islander		Hispanic		Native American		White	
	Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
	1994-95	928	2.6	28	0.5	2,295	2.4	9	1.6	1,301	1.0
	1995-96	1,016	2.6	23	0.4	2,450	2.5	12	2.0	1,320	1.0
	1996-97	830	2.1	36	0.6	2,373	2.3	13	2.0	1,340	1.0
6	1997-98	900	2.3	27	0.4	2,458	2.4	16	2.2	1,407	1.1
	1998-99	873	2.1	31	0.5	2,468	2.3	18	2.3	1,372	1.0
	1999-00	880	2.1	41	0.5	2,694	2.4	16	1.9	1,275	1.0
	2000-01	980	2.2	33	0.4	2,522	2.1	18	2.1	1,271	1.0
	1994-95	6,946	2.7	401	1.0	17,910	2.6	99	2.3	13,144	1.5
	1995-96	8,043	2.9	414	1.0	20,402	2.8	120	2.4	14,448	1.6
	1996-97	7,503	2.6	436	1.0	20,695	2.7	137	2.5	14,603	1.6
Total K-6	1997-98	9,044	3.2	461	1.0	24,405	3.1	161	2.8	15,014	1.7
	1998-99	10,302	3.5	508	1.1	27,771	3.4	159	2.7	15,683	1.8
	1999-00	10,113	3.4	601	1.2	30,082	3.5	143	2.4	15,079	1.8
	2000-01	10,726	3.5	663	1.2	32,182	3.6	165	2.6	15,581	1.8

Note. A dash (-) indicates data are not reported to protect student anonymity.

Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity

Secondary Grades

As in the elementary grades after kindergarten, Hispanic and African American student retention rates were substantially higher than White and Asian/Pacific Islander student retention rates (Table 5). Hispanic and African American students in Grade 9 had retention rates well over twice those of Whites and Asian/Pacific Islanders (Figure 5).

Figure 5
Grade-Level Retention by Ethnicity, Grade 9, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 5
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Ethnicity, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01

Grade		African American		Asian/ Pacific Islander		Hispanic		Native American		White	
	Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
	1998-99	1,633	4.0	54	0.8	4,432	4.1	36	4.5	2,487	1.8
7	1999-00	1,562	3.7	51	0.7	4,459	3.9	34	4.2	2,407	1.8
	2000-01	1,564	3.6	52	0.6	3,996	3.3	29	3.3	2,121	1.6
	1998-99	1,049	2.7	55	0.8	3,440	3.3	27	3.6	1,962	1.5
8	1999-00	964	2.4	70	0.9	3,384	3.1	29	3.6	1,722	1.3
	2000-01	1,084	2.5	66	0.9	3,350	2.9	19	2.3	1,834	1.4
	1998-99	11,558	25.0	644	8.1	33,046	27.1	149	19.0	14,341	10.2
9	1999-00	11,682	24.3	642	7.8	32,382	25.2	166	19.6	13,579	9.4
	2000-01	11,967	23.9	639	7.5	32,205	24.0	153	17.3	13,399	9.4
	1998-99	3,856	11.5	314	4.2	9,716	11.5	53	6.8	5,613	4.6
10	1999-00	4,183	12.1	299	4.0	9,934	11.4	53	8.4	5,454	4.4
	2000-01	4,473	12.0	343	4.3	11,093	11.7	51	7.3	5,794	4.5
	1998-99	2,261	8.3	270	4.0	5,722	8.3	38	5.7	3,772	3.4
11	1999-00	2,445	8.5	300	4.3	6,096	8.5	32	6.1	3,933	3.5
	2000-01	2,670	8.9	270	3.6	6,469	8.6	36	6.4	3,995	3.5
	1998-99	1,562	5.9	196	3.0	4,693	7.2	47	7.1	3,085	2.9
12	1999-00	1,540	5.5	188	2.7	4,767	6.8	27	5.2	3,109	2.8
	2000-01	1,653	5.7	192	2.6	5,241	7.2	28	4.8	3,297	3.0
	1998-99	21,919	10.2	1,533	3.6	61,049	11.0	350	7.9	31,260	4.2
Γotal 7-12	1999-00	22,376	10.1	1,550	3.5	61,022	10.5	341	8.2	30,204	4.0
ı - IZ	2000-01	23,411	10.0	1,562	3.3	62,354	10.2	316	7.1	30,440	4.0

Grade-Level Retention by **Gender**

Elementary Grades

Males in the first grade had the highest retention rate (7.4%) among elementary-grade students (Figure 6). Fifth-grade female students had the lowest retention rate (0.7%) across elementary grades.

Figure 6
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 6
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		Grade												
	K		1		2		3		4		5		6	
Gender	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
Female	3,211	2.3	7,636	5.1	4,543	3.0	3,243	2.2	1,777	1.2	1,037	0.7	1,523	1.0
Male	5,899	4.0	11,893	7.4	6,458	4.1	4,416	2.8	2,628	1.7	1,752	1.1	3,301	2.1

Secondary Grades

Males had higher retention rates than females at all grade levels. At the secondary level, the retention rate for males was highest in ninth grade at 20.2 percent (Figure 7). The retention rate for females was lowest in the eighth grade (1.7%).

Figure 7
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 7
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Gender, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		Grade											
	7		8		9	9		10		11		12	
Gender	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	
Female	2,801	1.9	2,437	1.7	22,703	14.2	8,497	6.4	5,103	4.5	4,240	3.8	
Male	4,961	3.2	3,916	2.5	35,660	20.2	13,257	9.7	8,337	7.4	6,171	5.7	

Grade-Level Retention by Socioeconomic Status

Elementary Grades

Across Grades K-6, students who were economically disadvantaged had higher retention rates than did students who were not economically disadvantaged (Figure 8). For both student groups, the highest retention rates across 1994-95 through 2000-01 were in first grade, with the lowest rates in fifth grade. The retention rate for kindergarten students in both groups continued to increase, up from 1.4 percent for economically disadvantaged students and 1.6 percent for students not economically disadvantaged in 1994-95 to over 3.0 percent in 2000-01 (Table 8).

Figure 8
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 8 Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01

		Economically disa	dvantaged	Not economically dis	sadvantaged
	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1994-95	1,744	1.4	1,982	1.6
	1995-96	2,250	1.6	2,274	1.7
	1996-97	2,652	1.8	2,429	1.9
K	1997-98	3,287	2.2	2,783	2.2
	1998-99	3,732	2.5	3,264	2.5
	1999-00	4,468	3.0	3,473	2.7
	2000-01	5,029	3.3	4,081	3.1
	1994-95	10,413	7.2	5,585	4.3
	1995-96	11,609	7.3	5,690	4.2
	1996-97	11,480	6.9	5,297	4.0
1	1997-98	12,441	7.5	5,322	4.1
	1998-99	13,754	8.2	5,939	4.3
	1999-00	13,749	8.0	5,756	4.2
	2000-01	13,483	7.9	6,046	4.3
	1994-95	4,099	3.0	1,859	1.4
	1995-96	5,150	3.4	2,075	1.6
	1996-97	5,185	3.3	2,037	1.6
2	1997-98	6,685	4.3	2,253	1.7
	1998-99	6,929	4.3	2,531	1.9
	1999-00	7,244	4.4	2,608	1.9
	2000-01	8,053	4.7	2,948	2.1

continues

Table 8
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

		Economically disa	dvantaged	Not economically dis	sadvantaged
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1994-95	2,314	1.7	1,139	0.9
	1995-96	3,048	2.1	1,203	0.9
	1996-97	3,108	2.1	1,292	1.0
3	1997-98	4,057	2.7	1,316	1.0
	1998-99	5,388	3.5	1,741	1.2
	1999-00	5,113	3.1	1,749	1.3
	2000-01	5,656	3.4	2,003	1.4
	1994-95	1,668	1.3	913	0.7
	1995-96	2,038	1.4	914	0.7
	1996-97	2,140	1.5	890	0.7
4	1997-98	2,549	1.8	997	0.7
	1998-99	2,723	1.8	1,158	0.8
	1999-00	2,927	1.9	1,087	0.8
	2000-01	3,172	2.0	1,233	0.9
	1994-95	1,467	1.2	756	0.6
	1995-96	1,542	1.1	813	0.6
	1996-97	1,486	1.0	786	0.6
5	1997-98	1,785	1.3	802	0.6
	1998-99	1,632	1.1	870	0.6
	1999-00	1,993	1.3	945	0.7
	2000-01	1,827	1.2	962	0.7

continues

Table 8
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

		Economically disa	dvantaged	Not economically disadvantaged			
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate		
	1994-95	3,095	2.6	1,466	1.0		
	1995-96	3,270	2.5	1,551	1.1		
	1996-97	3,185	2.3	1,407	1.0		
6	1997-98	3,392	2.5	1,416	1.0		
	1998-99	3,248	2.4	1,514	1.0		
	1999-00	3,470	2.4	1,436	1.0		
	2000-01	3,359	2.3	1,465	1.0		

Grade-Level Retentionby Socioeconomic Status

Secondary Grades

Across Grades 7-12, students who were economically disadvantaged had higher retention rates than did students who were not economically disadvantaged (Table 9). Regardless of socioeconomic status, the highest retention rates were in Grade 9 (Figure 9). Although Grade 9 students who were economically disadvantaged had the highest retention rate between 1998-99 and 2000-01, they also showed the greatest decrease in 2000-01 from the previous year, 1.1 percentage points.

Figure 9
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 9
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Socioeconomic Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01

Grade 7		Economically disa	dvantaged	Not economically dis	sadvantaged
7	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1998-99	5,489	4.2	3,153	2.0
7	1999-00	5,448	4.0	3,065	1.9
	2000-01	5,079	3.6	2,683	1.6
	1998-99	3,796	3.2	2,737	1.6
8	1999-00	3,588	2.9	2,581	1.6
	2000-01	3,707	2.8	2,646	1.6
	1998-99	30,615	25.0	29,123	14.8
9	1999-00	30,803	23.8	27,648	13.8
	2000-01	30,769	22.7	27,594	13.7
	1998-99	8,666	10.7	10,886	6.4
10	1999-00	9,063	10.7	10,860	6.4
	2000-01	10,074	10.9	11,680	6.6
	1998-99	4,883	8.0	7,180	4.7
11	1999-00	5,306	8.2	7,500	4.9
	2000-01	5,542	8.0	7,898	5.0
	1998-99	3,768	7.1	5,815	3.8
12	1999-00	3,910	6.8	5,721	3.6
	2000-01	4,171	6.9	6,240	3.9


Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficiency Status

Elementary Grades

Reading and language difficulties have been shown to be highly correlated with retention in the elementary grades (Kaczala, 1991; Marcon, 1993). Students with limited English proficiency (LEP) were learning English at the same time they were learning reading and other language arts skills. Depending on grade level and program availability, most LEP students were enrolled in bilingual or English as a second language (ESL) programs (TEA, 2002b). LEP students participating in special education received bilingual or ESL services as part of their special education programs. While parents could request that a child not receive special language services, in 2000-01, nearly 92 percent of LEP students participated in bilingual or ESL programs.

LEP students being served in the elementary grades had higher retention rates than students not being served for limited English proficiency (Figure 10). In 2000-01, for the first time since 1997-98, the gap in the retention rates between LEP students and other students did not increase (Table 10).

Figure 10
Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01



Note. ESL = English as a second language.

Table 10 Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01

		Services received by retained LEP students										
	Bilingual		ESLa		Special ed	Special education		/ices ^b	All LEP students		All other students	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1994-95	4,803	2.8	2,141	3.1	201	3.6	539	2.5	7,684	2.9	30,816	2.0
1995-96	4,929	2.7	2,303	3.1	228	4.2	527	2.5	7,987	2.8	35,440	2.1
1996-97	5,036	2.6	2.302	2.8	234	4.2	614	2.5	8,186	2.7	35,188	2.1
1997-98	6,458	3.2	2,776	3.2	231	4.2	647	2.9	10,112	3.2	38,973	2.3
1998-99	7,509	3.7	3,266	3.5	233	4.6	646	3.0	11,654	3.6	42,769	2.5
1999-00	8,217	3.8	3,780	3.8	216	3.9	703	2.9	12,916	3.8	43,102	2.5
2000-01	8,753	4.0	3,954	3.9	242	3.9	909	3.4	13,858	3.9	45,459	2.6

^aEnglish as a second language. ^bIncluding students whose parents requested the students not be served by special language programs.


Grade-Level Retentionby Limited English Proficiency Status

Secondary Grades

In the secondary grades, the retention rate for LEP students decreased for a second year between 1998-99 and 2000-01, and the gap in retention rates between students who were limited English proficient and students who were not continued to narrow (Figure 11).

Nearly 82 percent of LEP students in secondary school were served in ESL programs. The retention rate for secondary school ESL students in 2000-01 was 12.9 percent (Table 11).

Figure 11
Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1999-00 and 2000-01



LEP Status and Services Received

Note. ESL = English as a second language.

Table 11
Grade-Level Retention by Limited English Proficient (LEP) Status and Services Received, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01

		Services received by retained LEP students										
	Bilingual		ESLa		Special education		No services ^b		All LEP students		All other students	
Year	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate	Number	Rate
1998-99	40	5.8	9,806	13.4	729	13.5	1,737	12.4	12,312	13.2	103,799	7.0
1999-00	28	3.8	10,128	13.0	631	11.4	1,787	12.7	12,574	12.8	102,919	6.8
2000-01	13	2.9	10,352	12.9	747	11.6	1,570	12.5	12,682	12.7	105,401	6.8

^aEnglish as a second language. ^bIncluding students whose parents requested the student not be served by a special language program.

Grade-Level Retention by Special Education Status

Elementary Grades

Each student in a special education program had an individualized education program that specified goals and objectives for the year. The student progressed to the next grade level whenever these goals were met. It is important to note that retention and promotion policies and practices for students with disabling conditions varied across districts.

The average retention rate for students who participated in special education programs was compared to the average rate for students who did not (Figure 12). Students receiving special education services at the elementary level consistently had higher retention rates than those not receiving special education services. First-grade students receiving special education services had the highest retention rate (10.2%), followed by kindergarten students receiving special education services (9.6%). The retention rate for kindergarten students receiving special education services was nearly four times that of kindergarteners not receiving special education services (2.6%). In grades above kindergarten, this differential dropped considerably (Table 12).

Figure 12
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 12 Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01

		Special educ	cation	Not special edu	ucation
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1994-95	1,018	5.5	2,708	1.2
	1995-96	1,229	6.1	3,295	1.3
	1996-97	1,465	7.0	3,616	1.4
K	1997-98	1,679	7.9	4,391	1.7
	1998-99	1,966	8.6	5,030	2.0
	1999-00	2,078	8.6	5,863	2.3
	2000-01	2,340	9.6	6,770	2.6
	1994-95	3,418	11.2	12,580	5.2
	1995-96	3,350	10.5	13,949	5.4
	1996-97	3,326	10.2	13,451	5.0
1	1997-98	3,421	10.7	14,342	5.4
	1998-99	3,642	11.1	16,051	5.9
	1999-00	3,474	10.4	16,031	5.8
	2000-01	3,427	10.2	16,102	5.8
	1994-95	1,320	3.7	4,638	2.0
	1995-96	1,487	4.0	5,738	2.3
	1996-97	1,425	3.8	5,797	2.3
2	1997-98	1,767	4.8	7,171	2.8
	1998-99	1,580	4.3	7,880	3.0
	1999-00	1,616	4.4	8,236	3.1
	2000-01	1,557	4.2	9,444	3.5

continues

Table 12 Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

		Special educ	cation	Not special edu	ucation
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1994-95	806	2.0	2,647	1.2
	1995-96	937	2.2	3,314	1.4
	1996-97	972	2.2	3,428	1.4
3	1997-98	1,142	2.6	4,231	1.8
	1998-99	1,307	3.0	5,822	2.3
	1999-00	1,154	2.7	5,708	2.2
	2000-01	1,106	2.6	6,553	2.5
	1994-95	673	1.6	1,908	0.8
	1995-96	697	1.6	2,255	1.0
	1996-97	750	1.6	2,280	1.0
4	1997-98	788	1.7	2,758	1.2
	1998-99	871	1.9	3,010	1.2
	1999-00	762	1.7	3,252	1.3
	2000-01	719	1.6	3,686	1.4
	1994-95	847	2.1	1,376	0.6
	1995-96	848	1.9	1,507	0.6
	1996-97	911	2.0	1,361	0.6
5	1997-98	913	2.0	1,674	0.7
	1998-99	915	1.9	1,587	0.7
	1999-00	939	2.0	1,999	0.8
	2000-01	866	1.9	1,923	0.8

continues

Table 12 Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades K-6, Texas Public Schools, 1994-95 Through 2000-01 (continued)

		Special educ	cation	Not special education		
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate	
	1994-95	757	2.1	3,804	1.7	
	1995-96	869	2.1	3,952	1.7	
	1996-97	855	2.0	3,737	1.5	
6	1997-98	1,017	2.3	3,791	1.6	
	1998-99	1,028	2.2	3,734	1.5	
	1999-00	982	2.1	3,924	1.6	
	2000-01	937	2.0	3,887	1.5	

Grade-Level Retention by Special Education Status

Secondary Grades

As in the elementary grades, students receiving special education services had higher retention rates than other students at the secondary level (Table 13). The retention rate for students receiving special education services was highest in ninth grade (23.0%), as was the rate for students not receiving special education services (16.5%) (Figure 13). In Grade 12, students receiving special education services were repeating the grade at nearly three times the rate of students not receiving special education services, possibly because funding was available to provide special education services to students through the age of 21.

Figure 13
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01




Table 13
Grade-Level Retention by Grade and Special Education Status, Grades 7-12, Texas Public Schools, 1998-99 Through 2000-01

		Special educ	ation	Not special ed	ucation
Grade	Year	Retained	Rate	Retained	Rate
	1998-99	1,529	3.5	7,113	2.9
7	1999-00	1,502	3.3	7,011	2.8
	2000-01	1,386	3.0	6,376	2.5
	1998-99	1,567	3.8	4,966	2.0
8	1999-00	1,461	3.4	4,708	1.9
	2000-01	1,539	3.5	4,814	1.9
	1998-99	11,132	25.0	48,606	17.7
9	1999-00	10,396	22.8	48,055	16.9
	2000-01	10,737	23.0	47,626	16.5
	1998-99	3,653	12.0	15,899	7.3
10	1999-00	3,559	11.3	16,364	7.4
	2000-01	4,005	12.0	17,749	7.5
	1998-99	2,327	9.8	9,736	5.1
11	1999-00	2,495	10.1	10,311	5.3
	2000-01	2,681	10.2	10,759	5.4
	1998-99	2,556	10.8	7,027	3.8
12	1999-00	2,600	10.7	7,031	3.7
	2000-01	2,839	11.2	7,572	3.9

Retention and Student Performance

Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Scores

TAAS Passing Rates

TAAS Scores

In 2001, the 77th Texas Legislature required the Texas Education Agency (TEA) to begin reporting the performance of retained students (Texas Education Code [TEC] §39.182, 2001). Average spring 2001 TAAS scores of students in Grades 3-8 repeating a grade in 2001-02 were compared to average spring 2002 TAAS scores. Percentages passing were also calculated. Average scores and passing rates were calculated separately for reading and mathematics, for each grade level, and for Spanish- and English-language versions of the test. Texas Learning Index (TLI) scores were averaged for English-language tests and scale scores were averaged for Spanish-language tests. For comparison purposes, the 2001 TAAS results for promoted students were also calculated.

Of students in Grades 3-8 who took the English-version mathematics TAAS in spring 2001 and were subsequently promoted, average TLI scores ranged from 80.3 in Grade 3 to 84.7 in Grade 5 (Table 14). Of students who were subsequently retained, average TLIs ranged from 60.4 in Grade 3 to 70.9 in Grade 8. Retained students' average mathematics TLI scores were 12.1 points to 19.9 points lower than the scores of their promoted counterparts. After a second year in the same grade, the average scores of students who had been retained showed increases of 5.7 to 17.1 points, but still failed to reach those of students who had been promoted. Of students repeating Grades 3-8 who took the English-version mathematics TAAS in spring 2002, average TLIs ranged from 76.4 in Grade 7 to 80.8 in Grade 5.

Results on the English-version reading TAAS were similar (Figure 14). Average TLIs of students who were retained were below 72 in spring 2001. In spring 2002, increases in the average TLI scores of students who were retained ranged from 7.0 to 16.2 points, and the average TLIs were between 76 and 81. The average TLIs of students who were promoted were above 83.

Spanish-version TAAS results were similar in that the performance of students who would be retained was significantly lower than the performance of students who would be promoted. Also, the test scores of retained students showed gains in the second year. The performance of students after retention, relative to the performance of promoted students, was more variable. There were cases (Grades 3, 4, and 5 mathematics; Grade 6 reading) where the second-year scores of retained students surpassed those of their previously promoted counterparts.

Figure 14
Grade-Level Retention 2000-01 and Average Reading Performance on the English-Version Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Texas Public Schools




Table 14
Promotion Status 2000-01 and Average Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools

_			English	version			Spanish	version	
		Rea	Reading		matics	Rea	ding	Mathematics	
Grade	Status	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002
3	Promoted	83.2	-	80.3	-	1587.8	-	1614.9	-
3	Retained	60.9	77.1	60.4	77.5	1452.5	1555.4	1482.9	1623.0
4	Promoted	86.8	-	82.3	-	1550.4	-	1631.6	-
4	Retained	65.4	79.9	66.8	79.1	1428.8	1542.6	1512.0	1633.6
5	Promoted	87.2	-	84.7	-	1561.8	-	1638.7	-
5	Retained	66.1	80.2	70.2	80.8	1413.3	1534.4	1501.4	1649.4
6	Promoted	85.0	-	83.6	-	1490.2	-	1561.3	-
O	Retained	65.4	76.7	68.9	77.2	1443.3	1513.3	1476.7	1547.5
7	Promoted	86.9	-	82.8	-				
7	Retained	70.4	77.4	68.8	76.4				
8	Promoted	87.7	-	83.0	-				
0	Retained	71.2	80.7	70.9	76.6				

TAAS Passing Rates

In Grades 3-8, promoted students had passing rates of 83 percent or better on the 2001 English-version reading and mathematics TAAS tests (Table 15). Again, students who were retained had much lower passing rates than students who were promoted. On the first attempt, fewer than 61 percent of retained students in a grade level passed TAAS mathematics or reading. A year later, on the 2002 English-version TAAS, the performance of retained students improved, but the percentages passing were quite variable, depending on subject and grade level. For example, passing rates for retained students ranged from 67.7 percent in Grade 6 reading to 87.8 percent in Grade 5 mathematics.

The passing percentages of students in Grades 3-6 who were assessed by the Spanish-version TAAS in spring 2001 and were retained at the end of the year were quite low—ranging from a low of 5.6 percent passing in Grade 5 reading to a high of 54.0 percent passing in Grade 4 mathematics. The passing percentages of promoted students ranged from a low of 49.1 percent in Grade 6 reading to a high of 90.7 percent in Grade 4 mathematics. The percentages of retained students passing reading and mathematics increased by at least 40 percentage points in Grades 3, 4, and 5. Performance gains were lower in Grade 6.

Table 15
Promotion Status 2000-01 and Percentage Passing the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 and 2002, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools

			English	version		Spanish version				
		Rea	ding	Mathe	matics	Rea	ding	Mathe	matics	
Grade	Status	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	2001	2002	
3	Promoted	87.7	-	83.9	-	79.5	-	85.3	-	
ა	Retained	27.8	76.9	23.3	80.4	19.9	73.9	39.4	89.0	
1	Promoted	91.2	-	91.7	-	68.7	-	90.7	-	
4	Retained	40.0	81.3	37.3	87.3	14.6	66.9	54.0	93.5	
E	Promoted	90.3	-	94.7	-	72.7	-	87.7	-	
5	Retained	41.7	78.0	56.6	87.8	5.6	66.7	50.0	94.4	
6	Promoted	86.1	-	91.8	-	49.1	-	69.3	-	
0	Retained	38.3	67.7	49.2	77.7	33.3	50.0	33.3	66.7	
7	Promoted	90.0	-	90.2	-					
ı	Retained	53.3	73.6	49.2	74.6					
0	Promoted	92.3	-	92.8	-					
8	Retained	60.7	79.9	58.2	76.6					

Student Performance and Promotion

Student Performance and Promotion

Grade 3-8 Summary

Grade 3

Grade 4

Grade 5

Grade 6

Grade 7

Grade 8

Student Performance and Promotion

Beginning in 2003, students in Grade 3 must demonstrate mastery of grade-level skills on a state reading assessment (Texas Education Code [TEC] §28.0211, 2001). Students who fail to meet the standard must be provided accelerated instruction and be given a second opportunity to pass the test. The statute requires retention of a student who fails to meet standards after three opportunities unless the members of his or her grade placement committee unanimously recommend advancement to Grade 4. Similar requirements for Grade 5 and Grade 8 reading and mathematics take effect in 2004-05 and 2007-08, respectively.

Performance of Texas students on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) has improved steadily over the past decade as students, school districts, and communities have risen to the challenges of higher standards. Many local school systems have already begun preparing for the new, more stringent requirements by intensifying efforts to identify students at risk of failure and provide them instructional support. These local efforts have been supported by state initiatives in reading and mathematics.

To facilitate school district and state planning and to monitor the effects of the policies and related programs as they are phased in, the agency prepared a series of tables on TAAS performance and retention. Students were grouped by TAAS performance in spring 2001. Students in Grade 3 were grouped according to whether they passed or failed the reading TAAS test. Students in Grades 4-8 were grouped according to whether they passed or failed the reading and mathematics TAAS tests. Within each group, the numbers and percentages of students who were promoted and retained in 2000-01 were calculated.

The analyses have been prepared for planning and monitoring purposes and are not intended to be projections. There are several reasons assessment and promotion results in 2003 will differ from those in 2001. When the provisions of the new legislation are in place, students in Grades 3, 5, or 8 who fail the required assessment or assessments in the first attempt will be eligible for accelerated instruction and two additional testing opportunities. Grade placement committees will make the final decisions on grade advancements and instruction. In addition, in spring 2003, the new Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) along with new passing standards will be introduced. The effects of the new assessment program on student passing rates are as yet unknown. Finally, many of the students in Grade 3 in 2003 will have been beneficiaries of the reading programs for students in Grades K-2 funded by Student Success Initiative funds authorized in 1999.

Grade 3-8 Summary

In the 2000-01 school year, 37,766 students in the third grade did not pass the reading TAAS with one testing opportunity (Table 16). Just over 11 percent of the students (4,215) who failed the reading TAAS in spring 2001 were retained after the 2000-01 school year. In fifth and eighth grades, 32,634 and 30,323 students, respectively, would have qualified for accelerated instruction and retesting based on spring 2001 TAAS results. Of these students, 3.5 percent were retained in Grade 5 and 6.0 percent were retained in Grade 8 in 2000-01.

Table 16
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Reading and Mathematics Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Grade, Grades 3-8, Texas Public Schools

			Prom	otion	Rete	ention	Unkr	nown ^a
Grade	TAAS performance	Total	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
3	Pass reading	228,259	226,827	99.4	1,426	0.6	6	<0.1
3	Do not pass reading	37,766	33,549	88.8	4,215	11.2	2	<0.1
4	Pass both	219,495	218,947	99.8	544	0.3	4	<0.1
4	Do not pass both	38,531	36,029	93.5	2,496	6.5	6	<0.1
E	Pass both	222,799	222,381	99.8	416	0.2	2	<0.1
5	Do not pass both	32,634	31,505	96.5	1,127	3.5	2	<0.1
6	Pass both	208,992	208,110	99.6	881	0.4	1	<0.1
0	Do not pass both	46,135	43,609	94.5	2,526	5.5	0	0.0
7	Pass both	213,895	212,247	99.2	1,642	0.8	6	<0.1
1	Do not pass both	41,738	38,374	91.9	3,360	8.1	4	<0.1
0	Pass both	218,375	216,972	99.4	1,399	0.6	4	<0.1
8	Do not pass both	30,323	28,494	94.0	1,826	6.0	3	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 15
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading Test 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools




Table 17
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01,
Test Results Combined, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS					Promoti	on status		
		То	tal	Prom	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkı	nowna
TAAS reading	g and mathematics performance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	Pass reading and pass mathematics	204,323	89.5	203,746	99.7	571	0.3	6	<0.1
Door roading	Pass reading and fail mathematics	21,820	9.6	21,000	96.2	820	3.8	0	0.0
Pass reading	Pass reading and missing mathematics	2,116	0.9	2,081	98.4	35	1.7	0	0.0
	Total	228,259	100.0	226,827	99.4	1,426	0.6	6	<0.1
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	22,521	59.6	19,192	85.2	3,327	14.8	2	<0.1
Fail reading	Fail reading and pass mathematics	14,443	38.2	13,650	94.5	793	5.5	0	0.0
railleaulig	Fail reading and missing mathematics	802	2.1	707	88.2	95	11.9	0	0.0
	Total	37,766	100.0	33,549	88.8	4,215	11.2	2	<0.1
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	7,461	54.8	6,861	92.0	597	8.0	3	<0.1
Other	Missing reading and pass mathematics	3,940	28.9	3,873	98.3	67	1.7	0	0.0
Other	Missing reading and fail mathematics	2,217	16.3	2,077	93.7	140	6.3	0	0.0
	Total	13,618	100.0	12,811	94.1	804	5.9	3	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 18
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 3, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS				Promotio	on status		
	To	tal	Prom	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkn	iown ^a
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-version	on Grade 3 readin	g						
Pass	213,608	82.4	212,349	99.4	1,253	0.6	6	<0.1
Fail	33,303	12.9	29,807	89.5	3,494	10.5	2	<0.1
Exempt	12,210	4.7	11,618	95.2	591	4.8	1	<0.1
Total	259,121	100.0	253,774	97.9	5,338	2.1	9	<0.1
English-version	on Grade 3 mathe	matics						
Pass	207,102	79.9	206,010	99.5	1,086	0.5	6	<0.1
Fail	43,402	16.8	39,645	91.3	3,755	8.7	2	<0.1
Exempt	8,617	3.3	8,119	94.2	497	5.8	1	<0.1
Total	259,121	100.0	253,774	97.9	5,338	2.1	9	<0.1
Spanish-vers	ion Grade 3 readir	ng						
Pass	14,652	69.3	14,479	98.8	173	1.2	0	0.0
Fail	4,464	21.1	3,743	83.9	721	16.2	0	0.0
Exempt	2,023	9.6	1,794	88.7	227	11.2	2	0.1
Total	21,139	100.0	20,016	94.7	1,121	5.3	2	<0.1
Spanish-vers	ion Grade 3 mathe	ematics						
Pass	15,605	73.8	15,260	97.8	345	2.2	0	0.0
Fail	3,157	14.9	2,625	83.2	532	16.9	0	0.0
Exempt	2,377	11.2	2,131	89.7	244	10.3	2	0.1
Total	21,139	100.0	20,016	94.7	1,121	5.3	2	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 16
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools




Table 19
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01,
Test Results Combined, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS	•			•	Promotio	on status		•
		То	tal	Prom	Promotion		ntion	Unknowna	
TAAS reading	and mathematics performance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Pass both	Pass reading and pass mathematics	219,495	100.0	218,947	99.8	544	0.3	4	<0.1
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	11,793	30.6	10,447	88.6	1,342	11.4	4	<0.1
	Fail reading and pass mathematics	14,278	37.1	13,699	95.9	578	4.1	1	<0.1
Fail reading or	Fail reading and missing mathematics	750	2.0	699	93.2	51	6.8	0	0.0
mathematics	Missing reading and fail mathematics	1,309	3.4	1,244	95.0	65	5.0	0	0.0
	Pass reading and fail mathematics	10,401	27.0	9,940	95.6	460	4.4	1	<0.1
	Total	38,531	100.0	36,029	93.5	2,496	6.5	6	<0.1
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	8,875	55.5	8,426	94.9	446	5.0	3	<0.1
Othor	Pass reading and missing mathematics	2,482	15.5	2,444	98.5	38	1.5	0	0.0
Other	Missing reading and pass mathematics	4,646	29.0	4,607	99.2	38	0.8	1	<0.1
	Total	16,003	100.0	15,477	96.7	522	3.3	4	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 20
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 4, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS				Promotio	on status		
	То	tal	Prom	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkr	iown ^a
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-version	on Grade 4 readin	g						
Pass	225,192	86.0	224,206	99.6	982	0.4	4	<0.1
Fail	23,202	8.9	21,599	93.1	1,598	6.9	5	<0.1
Exempt	13,395	5.1	12,961	96.8	432	3.2	2	<0.1
Total	261,789	100.0	258,766	98.9	3,012	1.2	11	<0.1
English-version	on Grade 4 mathe	matics						
Pass	229,003	87.5	228,064	99.6	934	0.4	5	<0.1
Fail	22,350	8.5	20,684	92.6	1,661	7.4	5	<0.1
Exempt	10,436	4.0	10,018	96.0	417	4.0	1	<0.1
Total	261,789	100.0	258,766	98.9	3,012	1.2	11	<0.1
Spanish-versi	on Grade 4 readir	ng						
Pass	7,186	53.1	7,125	99.2	60	0.8	1	<0.1
Fail	3,620	26.8	3,247	89.7	373	10.3	0	0.0
Exempt	2,720	20.1	2,579	94.8	139	5.1	2	0.1
Total .	13,526	100.0	12,951	95.8	572	4.2	3	<0.1
Spanish-versi	on Grade 4 mathe	ematics						
Pass	9,416	69.6	9,189	97.6	226	2.4	1	<0.1
Fail	1,154	8.5	948	82.2	206	17.9	0	0.0
Exempt	2,956	21.9	2,814	95.2	140	4.7	2	0.1
Total .	13,526	100.0	12,951	95.8	572	4.2	3	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 17
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools




Table 21
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, Test Results Combined, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS					Promotio	on status		
		То	tal	Promo	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkr	nowna
TAAS reading	and mathematics performance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Pass both	Pass reading and pass mathematics	222,799	100.0	222,381	99.8	416	0.2	2	<0.1
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	8,598	26.4	8,037	93.5	560	6.5	1	<0.1
	Fail reading and pass mathematics	17,073	52.3	16,707	97.9	365	2.1	1	<0.1
Fail reading or	Fail reading and missing mathematics	821	2.5	790	96.2	31	3.8	0	0.0
mathematics	Missing reading and fail mathematics	1,014	3.1	996	98.2	18	1.8	0	0.0
	Pass reading and fail mathematics	5,128	15.7	4,975	97.0	153	3.0	0	0.0
	Total	32,634	100.0	31,505	96.5	1,127	3.5	2	<0.1
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	8,828	53.6	8,567	97.0	259	2.9	2	<0.1
Other	Pass reading and missing mathematics	2,547	15.5	2,525	99.1	21	8.0	1	<0.1
Other	Missing reading and pass mathematics	5,112	31.0	5,091	99.6	21	0.4	0	0.0
	Total	16,487	100.0	16,183	98.2	301	1.8	3	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 22
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 5, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS				Promotio	on status		
	То	tal	Prom	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkr	iown ^a
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-version	on Grade 5 readin	g						
Pass	227,024	85.2	226,441	99.7	580	0.3	3	<0.1
Fail	25,134	9.4	24,243	96.5	889	3.5	2	<0.1
Exempt	14,424	5.4	14,171	98.3	251	1.7	2	<0.1
Total	266,582	100.0	264,855	99.4	1,720	0.7	7	<0.1
English-version	on Grade 5 mathe	matics						
Pass	241,018	90.4	240,249	99.7	766	0.3	3	<0.1
Fail	14,147	5.3	13,454	95.1	692	4.9	1	<0.1
Exempt	11,417	4.3	11,152	97.7	262	2.3	3	<0.1
Total	266,582	100.0	264,855	99.4	1,720	0.7	7	<0.1
Spanish-versi	on Grade 5 readir	ng						
Pass	3,451	57.5	3,441	99.7	10	0.3	0	0.0
Fail	1,360	22.7	1,293	95.1	67	4.9	0	0.0
Exempt	1,190	19.8	1,140	95.8	50	4.2	0	0.0
Total	6,001	100.0	5,874	97.9	127	2.1	0	0.0
Spanish-versi	on Grade 5 mathe	ematics						
Pass	3,968	66.1	3,932	99.1	36	0.9	0	0.0
Fail	593	9.9	554	93.4	39	6.6	0	0.0
Exempt	1,440	24.0	1,388	96.4	52	3.6	0	0.0
Total	6,001	100.0	5,874	97.9	127	2.1	0	0.0

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 18
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools




Table 23
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01,
Test Results Combined, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS					Promotic	on status		
		То	tal	Promo	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkı	nowna
TAAS reading	and mathematics performance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Pass both	Pass reading and pass mathematics	208,992	100.0	208,110	99.6	881	0.4	1	<0.1
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	14,261	30.9	12,920	90.6	1,341	9.4	0	0.0
	Fail reading and pass mathematics	22,120	48.0	21,454	97.0	666	3.0	0	0.0
Fail reading or	Fail reading and missing mathematics	1,236	2.7	1,147	92.8	89	7.2	0	0.0
mathematics	Missing reading and fail mathematics	1,536	3.3	1,431	93.2	105	6.8	0	0.0
	Pass reading and fail mathematics	6,982	15.1	6,657	95.4	325	4.7	0	0.0
	Total	46,135	100.0	43,609	94.5	2,526	5.5	0	0.0
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	11,358	63.4	10,994	96.8	363	3.2	1	<0.1
Other	Pass reading and missing mathematics	2,407	13.4	2,361	98.1	46	1.9	0	0.0
Other	Missing reading and pass mathematics	4,149	23.2	4,109	99.0	40	1.0	0	0.0
	Total	17,914	100.0	17,464	97.5	449	2.5	1	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 24
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 6, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS				Promotic	on status		
	To	tal	Prom	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkr	iown ^a
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
English-ver	sion Grade 6 re	ading						
Pass	217,907	80.2	216,657	99.4	1,250	0.6	0	0.0
Fail	37,107	13.7	35,028	94.4	2,079	5.6	0	0.0
Exempt	16,864	6.2	16,364	97.0	499	3.0	1	<0.1
Total	271,878	100.0	268,049	98.6	3,828	1.4	1	<0.1
English-vers	sion Grade 6 m	athematics						
Pass	234,614	86.3	233,031	99.3	1,583	0.7	0	0.0
Fail	22,475	8.3	20,720	92.2	1,755	7.8	0	0.0
Exempt	14,789	5.4	14,298	96.7	490	3.3	1	<0.1
Total	271,878	100.0	268,049	98.6	3,828	1.4	1	<0.1
Spanish-ve	rsion Grade 6 re	eading						
Pass	480	33.3	477	99.4	2	0.4	1	0.2
Fail	511	35.4	494	96.7	17	3.3	0	0.0
Exempt	452	31.3	438	96.9	14	3.1	0	0.0
Total	1,443	100.0	1,409	97.6	33	2.3	1	0.1
Spanish-ve	rsion Grade 6 n	nathematics						
Pass	654	45.3	649	99.2	4	0.6	1	0.2
Fail	304	21.1	288	94.7	16	5.3	0	0.0
Exempt	485	33.6	472	97.3	13	2.7	0	0.0
Total	1,443	100.0	1,409	97.6	33	2.3	1	0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 19
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools




Table 25
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01,
Test Results Combined, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS					Promotio	on status		
		Tot	tal	Promo	otion	Rete	ntion	Unkr	nowna
TAAS reading	and mathematics performance	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Pass both	Pass reading and pass mathematics	213,895	100.0	212,247	99.2	1,642	0.8	6	<0.1
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	13,391	32.1	11,792	88.1	1,597	11.9	2	<0.1
	Fail reading and pass mathematics	13,027	31.2	12,387	95.1	639	4.9	1	<0.1
Fail reading or	Fail reading and missing mathematics	1,269	3.0	1,131	89.1	138	10.9	0	0.0
mathematics	Missing reading and fail mathematics	1,766	4.2	1,598	90.5	168	9.5	0	0.0
	Pass reading and fail mathematics	12,285	29.4	11,466	93.3	818	6.7	1	<0.1
	Total	41,738	100.0	38,374	91.9	3,360	8.1	4	<0.1
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	14,993	69.0	14,290	95.3	702	4.7	1	<0.1
Other	Pass reading and missing mathematics	3,053	14.1	2,934	96.1	118	3.9	1	<0.1
Other	Missing reading and pass mathematics	3,681	16.9	3,583	97.3	98	2.7	0	0.0
	Total	21,727	100.0	20,807	95.8	918	4.2	2	<0.1

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 26
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 7, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS				Promotic	on status			
	Total		Promotion		Rete	ntion	Unknowna		
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
English-ver	sion Grade 7 re	ading							
Pass	229,233	82.7	226,647	98.9	2,578	1.1	8	<0.1	
Fail	27,687	10.0	25,310	91.4	2,374	8.6	3	<0.1	
Exempt	20,440	7.4	19,471	95.3	968	4.7	1	<0.1	
Total	277,360	100.0	271,428	97.9	5,920	2.1	12	<0.1	
English-ver	sion Grade 7 m	athematics							
Pass	230,603	83.1	228,217	99.0	2,379	1.0	7	<0.1	
Fail	27,442	9.9	24,856	90.6	2,583	9.4	3	<0.1	
Exempt	19,315	7.0	18,355	95.0	958	5.0	2	<0.1	
Total	277,360	100.0	271,428	97.9	5,920	2.1	12	<0.1	

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Figure 20
Performance on the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) Reading and Mathematics Tests 2001 and Promotion Status 2000-01, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools




Table 27
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01,
Test Results Combined, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools

TAAS					Promotion status					
		Total		Promotion		Retention		Unknown ^a		
TAAS reading and mathematics performance		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Pass both	Pass reading and pass mathematics	218,375	100.0	216,972	99.4	1,399	0.6	4	<0.1	
	Fail reading and fail mathematics	9,398	31.0	8,537	90.8	859	9.1	2	<0.1	
	Fail reading and pass mathematics	9,839	32.5	9,494	96.5	345	3.5	0	0.0	
Fail reading or	Fail reading and missing mathematics	1,241	4.1	1,136	91.5	105	8.5	0	0.0	
mathematics	Missing reading and fail mathematics	1,215	4.0	1,121	92.3	94	7.7	0	0.0	
	Pass reading and fail mathematics	8,630	28.5	8,206	95.1	423	4.9	1	<0.1	
	Total	30,323	100.0	28,494	94.0	1,826	6.0	3	<0.1	
	Missing reading and missing mathematics	27,171	80.6	25,741	94.7	1,417	5.2	13	0.1	
Other	Pass reading and missing mathematics	3,034	9.0	2,946	97.1	88	2.9	0	0.0	
	Missing reading and pass mathematics	3,525	10.5	3,432	97.4	93	2.6	0	0.0	
	Total	33,730	100.0	32,119	95.2	1,598	4.7	13	<0.1	

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Table 28
Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS) 2001 Performance and Promotion Status 2000-01, by Test, Grade 8, Texas Public Schools

	TAAS		Promotion status							
		tal	Promotion		Rete	ntion	Unknowna			
Results	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
English-ver	sion Grade 8 re	ading								
Pass	230,039	81.5	228,124	99.2	1,910	8.0	5	<0.1		
Fail	20,478	7.3	19,167	93.6	1,309	6.4	2	<0.1		
Exempt	31,911	11.3	30,294	94.9	1,604	5.0	13	<0.1		
Total	282,428	100.0	277,585	98.3	4,823	1.7	20	<0.1		
English-ver	sion Grade 8 m	athematics								
Pass	231,739	82.1	229,898	99.2	1,837	8.0	4	<0.1		
Fail	19,243	6.8	17,864	92.8	1,376	7.2	3	<0.1		
Exempt	31,446	11.1	29,823	94.8	1,610	5.1	13	<0.1		
Total	282,428	100.0	277,585	98.3	4,823	1.7	20	<0.1		

^aPromotion status could not be determined because of a grade-level reporting error.

Appendix A Law and Rules

Texas Education Code §28.021 and §28.0211

Commissioner's Rules Concerning the Student Success Initiative

Texas Education Code §28.021 and §28.0211

§28.021. Student Advancement.

- (a) A student may be promoted only on the basis of academic achievement or demonstrated proficiency of the subject matter of the course or grade level.
- (b) In measuring the academic achievement or proficiency of a student who is dyslexic, the student's potential for achievement or proficiency in the area must be considered.

Added by Acts 1995, 74th Leg., ch. 260, §1, eff. May 30, 1995.

§28.0211. Satisfactory Performance on Assessment Instruments Required; Accelerated Instruction.

- (a) Except as provided by Subsection (b) or (e), a student may not be promoted to:
 - (1) the fourth grade program to which the student would otherwise be assigned if the student does not perform satisfactorily on the third grade reading assessment instrument under Section 39.023;
 - (2) the sixth grade program to which the student would otherwise be assigned if the student does not perform satisfactorily on the fifth grade mathematics and reading assessment instruments under Section 39.023; or
 - (3) the ninth grade program to which the student would otherwise be assigned if the student does not perform satisfactorily on the eighth grade mathematics and reading assessment instruments under Section 39.023.
- (b) A school district shall provide to a student who initially fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) at least two additional opportunities to take the assessment instrument. A school district may administer an alternate assessment instrument to a student who has failed an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) on the previous two opportunities. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, a student may be promoted if the student performs at grade level on an alternate assessment instrument under this subsection that is appropriate for the student's grade level and approved by the commissioner.
- Each time a student fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a), the school district in which the student attends school shall provide to the student accelerated instruction in the applicable subject area, including reading instruction for a student who fails to perform satisfactorily on a reading assessment instrument. After a student fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument a second time, a grade placement committee shall be established to prescribe the accelerated instruction the district shall provide to the student before the student is administered the assessment instrument the third time. The grade placement committee shall be composed of the principal or the principal's designee, the student's parent or guardian, and the teacher of the subject of an assessment instrument on which the student failed to perform satisfactorily. The district shall notify the parent or guardian of the time and place for convening the grade placement committee and the purpose of the committee. An accelerated instruction group administered by a school district under this section may not have a ratio of more than 10 students for each teacher.
- (d) In addition to providing accelerated instruction to a student under Subsection (c), the district shall notify the student's parent or guardian of:
 - (1) the student's failure to perform satisfactorily on the assessment instrument;
 - (2) the accelerated instruction program to which the student is assigned; and
 - (3) the possibility that the student might be retained at the same grade level for the next school year.

- (e) A student who, after at least three attempts, fails to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) shall be retained at the same grade level for the next school year in accordance with Subsection (a). The student's parent or guardian may appeal the student's retention by submitting a request to the grade placement committee established under Subsection (c). The school district shall give the parent or guardian written notice of the opportunity to appeal. The grade placement committee may decide in favor of a student's promotion only if the committee concludes, using standards adopted by the board of trustees, that if promoted and given accelerated instruction, the student is likely to perform at grade level. A student may not be promoted on the basis of the grade placement committee's decision unless that decision is unanimous. The commissioner by rule shall establish a time line for making the placement determination. This subsection does not create a property interest in promotion. The decision of the grade placement committee is final and may not be appealed.
- (f) A school district shall provide to a student who, after three attempts, has failed to perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) accelerated instruction during the next school year as prescribed by an educational plan developed for the student by the student's grade placement committee established under Subsection (c). The district shall provide that accelerated instruction regardless of whether the student has been promoted or retained. The educational plan must be designed to enable the student to perform at the appropriate grade level by the conclusion of the school year. During the school year, the student shall be monitored to ensure that the student is progressing in accordance with the plan. The district shall administer to the student the assessment instrument for the grade level in which the student is placed at the time the district regularly administers the assessment instruments for that school year.
- (g) This section does not preclude the retention at a grade level, in accordance with state law or school district policy, of a student who performs satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a).
- (h) In each instance under this section in which a school district is specifically required to provide notice to a parent or guardian of a student, the district shall make a good faith effort to ensure that such notice is provided either in person or by regular mail and that the notice is clear and easy to understand and is written in English or the parent or guardian's native language.
- (i) The admission, review, and dismissal committee of a student who participates in a district's special education program under Subchapter B, Chapter 29, and who does not perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument specified under Subsection (a) and administered under Section 39.023(a) or (b) shall determine:
 - (1) the manner in which the student will participate in an accelerated instruction program under this section; and
 - (2) whether the student will be promoted or retained under this section.
- (j) A school district or open-enrollment charter school shall provide students required to attend accelerated programs under this section with transportation to those programs if the programs occur outside of regular school hours.
- (k) The commissioner shall adopt rules as necessary to implement this section, including rules concerning when school districts shall administer assessment instruments required under this section and which administration of the assessment instruments will be used for purposes of Section 39.051.
- (l) The commissioner shall issue a report to the legislature not later than December 1, 2000, that reviews the enrollment of students in accelerated instruction and the quality and availability of accelerated instruction programs, including accelerated instruction-related teacher professional development programs.

(m) The commissioner shall certify, not later than July 1 of each school year or as soon as practicable thereafter, whether sufficient funds have been appropriated statewide for the purposes of this section. A determination by the commissioner is final and may not be appealed. For purposes of certification, the commissioner may not consider Foundation School Program funds. This section may be implemented only if the commissioner certifies that sufficient funds have been appropriated during a school year for administering the accelerated instruction programs specified under this section.

Text of subsection (n) effective until January 1, 2008

- (n) This section applies to the assessment instrument administered to students in:
 - (1) the third grade beginning with the 2002–2003 school year;
 - (2) the fifth grade beginning with the 2004–2005 school year; and
 - (3) the eighth grade beginning with the 2007–2008 school year.

Text of subsection (o) effective until January 1, 2008

(o) Subsection (n) and this subsection expire January 1, 2008.

Added by Acts 1999, 76th Leg., ch. 396, §2.12, eff. Sept. 1, 1999.

Commissioner's Rules Concerning the Student Success Initiative

Statutory Authority: The provisions of this Subchapter BB issued under the Texas Education Code, §28.0211, unless otherwise noted.

§101.2001. Policy.

- (a) The policy of the Texas Education Agency relating to the grade advancement testing requirements, as specified in the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211(a), is to support student academic achievement of the essential knowledge and skills at each grade level to enable a student to succeed at the next grade level.
- (b) In addition to local policy relating to grade advancement, students in Grades 3, 5, and 8 shall demonstrate proficiency in the subjects required by TEC, §28.0211(a), in order to advance to the next grade. Demonstrated proficiency is defined under this section as meeting the passing standard on the appropriate assessment instruments specified by §101.2003(a) of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements) or on a state-approved alternate assessment authorized in §101.2011 of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment). A student who does not demonstrate proficiency as described in this section may only advance to the next grade if the student's Grade Placement Committee, as specified in §101.2007 of this title (relating to Role of Grade Placement Committee), determines by unanimous decision, in accordance with the standards for promotion established by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform at grade level at the end of the next year given additional accelerated instruction.
- (c) The purpose of these rules is to ensure the effective implementation of the grade advancement testing requirements as part of an overall system of support for student academic achievement. This system includes but is not limited to the following:
 - (1) informal and formal assessment of student needs at preceding grades and corresponding early intervention activities that address those needs;
 - (2) continuous and ongoing evaluation by a variety of means;
 - (3) research-based instructional programs;
 - (4) targeted accelerated instruction informed by multiple testing opportunities and other means of evaluation;
 - a grade placement committee which decides on an individual student basis the most effective way to support a student's academic achievement on grade level; and
 - (6) accelerated education plans for every student who does not pass the required grade advancement assessments after three opportunities, whether he or she is retained or promoted by his or her grade promotion committee.

Source: The provisions of this \$101.2001 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2003. Grade Advancement Testing Requirements.

- (a) Each school district and charter school shall test eligible students in accordance with the grade advancement requirements for the grades and subjects specified in the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211(a). These requirements pertain to the following assessment instruments under TEC, §39.023(a), (b), and (l):
 - (1) the reading test at Grade 3, beginning in the 2002-2003 school year;
 - (2) the reading and mathematics tests at Grade 5, beginning in the 2004-2005 school year; and
 - (3) the reading and mathematics tests at Grade 8, beginning in the 2007-2008 school year.
- (b) These requirements apply to any eligible student who is enrolled in a local school district or charter school at the time of testing as established in the assessment calendar by the commissioner of education.

- (c) A student receiving special education services under the TEC, Chapter 29, Subchapter A, enrolled in Grades 3, 5, or 8 and who is receiving instruction on grade level in the essential knowledge and skills in a subject specified under subsection (a) of this section is eligible under this section. In accordance with \$101.5(b) of this title (relating to Student Testing Requirements) and TEC, \$28.0211(i), the student's admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee shall determine appropriate assessment and acceleration options for each eligible student. Assessment decisions must be made on an individual basis and in accordance with administrative procedure established by the Texas Education Agency (TEA). These decisions shall be documented in the student's individualized education program (IEP).
- (d) A limited English proficient (LEP) student, as defined by the TEC, Chapter 29, Subchapter B, who is administered an assessment in English or Spanish for a grade and subject specified in subsection (a) of this section is eligible under this section. In accordance with §101.1003 of this title (relating to Role of the Language Proficiency Assessment Committee), the student's language proficiency assessment committee (LPAC) shall determine appropriate assessment and acceleration options for each eligible student. The grade placement committee, as specified in §101.1007 of this title (relating to Limited English Proficient Students at Grades Other Than Exit Level), shall make its decisions in consultation with a member of the student's LPAC. Assessment decisions must be made on an individual basis and in accordance with administrative procedure established by the TEA.
- (e) As specified in §101.1009 of this title (relating to Limited English Proficient Students Who Receive Special Education Services), decisions regarding assessments for LEP students who receive special education services shall be made by the ARD committee, which includes a member of the LPAC to ensure that issues related to the student's language proficiency are duly considered.
- (f) In accordance with TEC, §28.021(b), decisions regarding a student who is dyslexic and eligible under this section shall consider the student's potential for achievement or proficiency in the tested subject.
- (g) A school district or charter school must determine a student's previous testing history and, if applicable, the accelerated instructional program he or she has received.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2003 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2005. Test Administration and Schedule.

- (a) The Texas Education Agency (TEA) shall establish the test administration procedures in the applicable test administration materials. The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall be responsible for following these procedures and maintaining the integrity of the test administration and the security and confidentiality requirements, as specified in Chapter 101, Subchapter C, of this title (relating to Security and Confidentiality).
- (b) The TEA shall provide three opportunities per year for the tests required for grade advancement as specified in the Texas Education Code, §28.0211(a). The commissioner of education shall specify the dates of these administrations in the assessment calendar. Additional test opportunities will not be provided.
- (c) The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish procedures to ensure:
 - (1) that each eligible student who is absent or does not receive a test score for any test administration shall receive appropriate accelerated instruction as warranted on an individual student basis; and
 - that each eligible student who is absent or does not receive a test score for all three test opportunities and is consequently retained shall receive other appropriate means of evaluation, including the administration of an alternate assessment, as provided under §101.2011(a) of this

title (relating to Alternate Assessment), so that the grade placement committee has sufficient evidence for its review upon appeal by a parent or guardian.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2005 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2007. Role of Grade Placement Committee.

- (a) In accordance with the Texas Education Code (TEC), §28.0211, the superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish procedures for convening a grade placement committee (GPC) for each student who fails to demonstrate proficiency on the second administration of the test required for grade advancement. Decisions by the GPC shall be made on an individual student basis to ensure the most effective way to support the student's academic achievement on grade level.
- (b) The GPC shall be composed of the principal or principal's designee, the student's parent or guardian, and the student's teacher(s) of the subject of the grade advancement(s) test on which the student has failed to demonstrate proficiency. If this teacher is unavailable, the principal shall designate a certified professional educator who is most familiar with the student in the subject area to serve on the GPC. If more than one parent or guardian has the authority to make educational decisions regarding the student, a good faith effort must be made to notify both parents, but participation of any one parent or guardian is sufficient. Either parent or only one guardian may initiate an appeal. If both parents or guardians serve on the GPC but do not agree, either may agree to promote the student (if the remaining members of the GPC also agree to the promotion). The district may accept a parent's or guardian's written designation of another individual to serve on the GPC for all purposes. The district may accept a parent's or guardian's written and signed waiver of participation in the GPC and designation of the remaining members of the GPC as the decision-making entity for all purposes.
 - (1) If a parent or guardian or designee is unable to attend a meeting, the district may use other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual and conference telephone calls. The district may designate an individual to act on behalf of the student in place of a parent, guardian, or designee if no such person can be located. A surrogate parent named to act on behalf of a student with a disability shall be considered a parent for purposes of TEC, §28.0211.
 - (2) The district shall make a good faith effort to notify a parent or guardian to attend the GPC. If a parent or guardian is unavailable, the remaining members of the GPC must convene as required by this section and take any actions required, except that the GPC may not agree to promote a student under TEC, §28.0211(e), unless a parent, guardian, or designee has appealed. A district may allow an appeal to be filed in writing in lieu of attending the GPC.
- (c) Within five working days of receipt of student test results for the second administration of the test required for grade advancement, the district shall notify (for each student who fails to demonstrate proficiency) the campus principal of student test results. Upon receipt of this notice, the principal shall notify the teacher and parent or guardian of the test results. This notice shall include a description of the purpose and responsibilities of the GPC and the time and place for the GPC to hold its first meeting.
- (d) The GPC is responsible for prescribing the accelerated instruction the student is to receive before the third testing opportunity. The GPC shall also decide at this time whether the student shall take the assessment specified in §101.2003 of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements) or the alternate assessment, as authorized by §101.2011 of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment). In the absence of unanimous agreement, the student shall take the assessment specified in §101.2003.
- (e) The GPC must convene again if a student fails to demonstrate proficiency on the third administration of a test required for grade advancement and is thereby automatically retained at the same grade level. Within five working days of receipt of student test results for this administration, the district shall notify (for each

student who fails to demonstrate proficiency) the principal or principal's designee of student test results. Upon receipt of this notice from the district, the principal shall inform the teacher and parent or guardian of the time and place for the GPC to hold a meeting. This notice shall inform the parent or guardian of the opportunity to appeal the automatic retention of the student. The district shall establish a procedure to ensure a good faith effort is made toward securing the parent's or guardian's receipt of the retention notification. The parent or guardian may appeal the retention by submitting a request to the GPC within five working days of receipt of this retention notification.

- (f) If an appeal has been initiated by the parent or guardian, the GPC may decide in favor of promotion only if the GPC concludes, upon review of all facts and circumstances and in accordance with standards adopted by the local school board, that the student is likely to perform on grade level given additional accelerated instruction during the next school year. A student may be promoted only if the GPC's decision is unanimous. The review and final decision of the GPC must be appropriately documented as meeting the standards adopted by the local school board. These standards may include but are not limited to the following:
 - (1) evidence of satisfactory student performance, including grades, portfolios, work samples, local assessments, and individual reading and mathematics diagnostic tests or inventories;
 - (2) improvement in student test performance over the three testing opportunities; and
 - (3) extenuating circumstances that have adversely affected the student's participation in either the required assessments or accelerated instruction.
- (g) In accordance with TEC, §28.0211(e), the placement decision by the GPC shall be made before the start of the next school year or, if applicable, upon reenrollment of a student after this date.
- (h) A student who has been promoted upon completion of a school year in a school other than a Texas public school may be enrolled in that grade without regard to whether the student has successfully completed an assessment required under TEC, §28.0211. This subsection does not limit the authority of a district to appropriately place a student under TEC, Chapter 25, Subchapter B.
- (i) In addition to the placement decision, the GPC shall develop an accelerated educational plan for each student who does not pass after three testing opportunities, regardless of whether the student has been promoted or retained. This plan shall include the accelerated instruction that the district must provide during the next school year. The plan must be designed to enable the student to perform at the appropriate grade level by the end of the next school year. The district shall establish a policy for monitoring the student during the school year to ensure that the student is progressing in accordance with the plan. The accelerated education plan must provide for interim progress reports to the student's parent or guardian and the opportunity for consultation with the teacher and/or principal as needed.

Source: The provisions of this \$101.2007 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2009. Notice to Parents or Guardians.

- (a) As specified in §101.9 of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Requirements), the superintendent of each school district or chief administrative officer of each charter school shall notify parents or guardians of the grade advancement requirements.
- (b) The district shall provide early notice to parents or guardians of students identified in a preceding grade to be at risk of failure on the first administration of the test required for grade advancement the next year. The superintendent must establish the instruments/procedures to be used to make this determination. In the case of second grade students, it must include the results of the reading inventory required under Texas Education Code, §28.006. This notice shall be provided before the end of the school year preceding the grade advancement requirements.

- (c) The district shall establish procedures to notify the parent or guardian of a student who has failed to demonstrate proficiency on the first administration of a grade advancement test. This notification should be made within five working days of district receipt of student test results from this administration. This notice shall include the student's test results, description of the grade advancement policy, the accelerated instruction to which the student has been assigned, and the possibility that the student might be retained at the same grade level for the next school year. In addition, the notice shall encourage parents or guardians to meet immediately with the student's teacher to outline mutual responsibilities to support the student during accelerated instruction.
- (d) Whenever the district is required to notify a parent or guardian about the requirements related to promotion and accelerated instruction for students at risk of retention, including the notification requirements for the grade placement committee under §101.2007 of this title (relating to Role of the Grade Placement Committee), the district shall make a good faith effort to ensure that the notice is provided either in person or by regular mail, is clear and easy to understand, and is written in English or in the parent's or guardian's native language.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2009 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2011. Alternate Assessment.

- (a) On the third testing opportunity, each school district and charter school may establish by local board policy a district-wide procedure to use a state-approved alternate assessment instead of the statewide assessment instrument specified in §101.2003(a) of this title (relating to Grade Advancement Testing Requirements). The commissioner of education shall provide annually, to school districts and charter schools, a list of state-approved group-administered achievement tests certified by test publishers as meeting the requirements of Texas Education Code, §28.0211. This list shall include nationally recognized instruments for obtaining valid and reliable data, which demonstrate student competencies in the applicable subject at the appropriate grade level range. The district shall select only one test for each applicable grade and subject to be used under this section.
- (b) The alternate assessment must be given during the period established in the assessment calendar by the commissioner of education to coincide with the date of the third administration of the statewide assessment.
- (c) A company or organization scoring a test defined in subsection (a) of this section shall send test results to the school district for verification within ten working days following receipt of the test materials from the school district.
- (d) To maintain the security and confidential integrity of group-administered achievement tests, school districts and charter schools shall follow the procedures for test security and confidentiality delineated in Chapter 101, Subchapter C, of this title (relating to Security and Confidentiality).

Source: The provisions of this \$101.2011 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2013. Accelerated Instruction.

- (a) Each time a student fails to demonstrate proficiency on an assessment required for grade advancement, the school district or charter school shall provide the student with accelerated instruction in the applicable subject. Accelerated instruction should be consistent with previous diagnostic testing and intervention activities, if any, the student has received. Group-administered accelerated instruction may not have a ratio of more than ten students to each teacher.
- (b) Accelerated instruction required after the first and second testing opportunities should be designed to address student needs to the greatest extent possible before the next respective testing opportunity.

- (c) Each school district and each charter school shall be responsible for providing transportation to students required to attend acceleration programs if these programs occur outside of regular school hours.
- (d) Accelerated instruction shall be based on but not limited to the following:
 - (1) assessment of specific student needs, which may include as appropriate the following: teacher observations and evaluations; academic progress reports; previous identification of student needs and corresponding interventions; and performance on previous assessment instruments in the applicable subject.
 - (2) best instructional practices identified through research that the district may obtain and implement through technical assistance from the Texas Education Agency and education service centers.

Source: The provisions of this \$101.2013 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2015. Parental Waiver.

The superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall establish a waiver process by which a parent or guardian may request that a student not participate in the third test opportunity due to potential harm to the student. The waiver must provide documentation of potential harm, student need, and other appropriate information. If a parental waiver is granted, the student must still participate in all required acceleration and is subject to retention based on the failure on the second test administration.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2015 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2017. Scoring and Reporting.

- (a) In accordance with §101.81 of this title (relating to Scoring and Reporting), the scoring contractor will provide school districts with the results of the assessments required by the Texas Education Code, §28.0211, or, if applicable, the results of the alternate assessment specified in §101.2011 of this title (relating to Alternate Assessment), within ten working days following the receipt of the test materials from the school district or charter school.
- (b) As specified by Texas Education Code (TEC), §39.051(b)(7), the superintendent of each school district and chief administrative officer of each charter school shall report the following information to the Texas Education Agency:
 - the percentage of students, aggregated by grade level, provided accelerated instruction under TEC, §28.0211(c);
 - (2) the results of assessments administered under TEC, §28.0211(c);
 - the percentage of students promoted through the grade placement committee process under TEC, §28.0211; and
 - (4) the subject of the assessment instrument on which each student failed to perform satisfactorily, and the performance of those students in the school year following that promotion on the assessment instruments required under TEC, §39.023.

Source: The provisions of this \$101.2017 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

§101.2019. Credit for High School Graduation.

(a) Students who have been retained in Grade 8 in accordance with the grade advancement testing requirements may earn course credit for high school graduation during the next school year in subject areas other than the required courses in the subject area which caused the student to be retained.

(b) The school board of each district and each charter school may establish a policy that provides for the placement of retained students in an age-appropriate learning environment. In accordance with local grade configurations for elementary, middle, and high school campuses, this policy may specify the age by which a retained student should be placed on the next level campus even though not yet promoted to the grade of that campus.

Source: The provisions of this §101.2019 adopted to be effective May 26, 2002, 27 TexReg 4337.

Appendix B District Characteristics

Grade-Level Retention by District Characteristic, 2000-01

Notes on Appendix B

Appendix B Grade-Level Retention by District Characteristic, 2000-01

Number of Districts Category	Number Retained	All Students	Retention Rate
ENROLLMENT GROUPINGS			
13 50,000 AND OVER 22 25,000 TO 49,999 47 10,000 TO 24,999 69 5,000 TO 9,999 84 3,000 TO 4,999 129 1,600 TO 2,999 125 1,000 TO 1,599 231 500 TO 999 479 UNDER 500	56,832 27,285 34,163 18,117 12,236 10,675 4,628 6,798 6,528	956,494 705,447 703,496 440,121 303,287 263,577 148,939 157,679 98,965	5.9 4.9 4.1 4.1 3.1 4.6
DISTRICT TYPE			
10 MAJOR URBAN 63 MAJOR SUBURBAN 38 OTHER CENTRAL CITY 91 OTHER CC SUBURBAN 75 INDEPENDENT TOWN 62 NON-METRO FAST GROWING 281 NON-METRO STABLE 420 RURAL 159 CHARTERS	50,181 42,274 28,589 14,965 12,991 2,173 14,852 3,177 8,060	790,991 1,062,678 627,770 346,390 304,602 70,784 413,334 128,901 32,555	6.3 4.0 4.3 4.3 3.1 3.5 2.5 24.8
WEALTH (MEDIAN=\$158,866)			
103 UNDER \$80,442 103 \$80,442 TO \$100,745 104 \$100,746 TO \$117,086 103 \$117,087 TO \$134,645 104 \$134,646 TO \$158,865 103 \$158,866 TO \$183,520 104 \$183,521 TO \$214,674 103 \$214,675 TO \$282,141 104 \$282,142 TO \$451,285 103 OVER \$451,285 105 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	18,282 9,511 6,494 10,694 20,883 18,230 16,437 19,031 44,074 5,338 8,288	330,461 230,262 151,997 251,755 428,180 421,890 446,444 511,443 767,048 200,662 37,863	5.5 4.1 4.2 4.9 4.3 3.7 3.7 5.7 21.9
WEALTH (ST AVG=\$215,232)			
725 UNDER \$215,232 309 OVER \$215,232 165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	100,591 68,383 8,288	2,265,924 1,474,218 37,863	4.4 4.6 21.9
WEALTH BY EQUAL PUPILS PER GROUP			
42 UNDER \$60,828 75 \$60,828 TO < \$83,660 87 \$83,660 TO < \$100,381 137 \$100,381 TO < \$122,291 71 \$122,291 TO < \$134,443 31 \$134,443 TO < \$140,689 63 \$140,689 TO < \$164,345 53 \$156,187 TO < \$164,345 53 \$164,345 TO < \$177,218 60 \$177,218 TO < \$177,218 60 \$177,218 TO < \$216,156 50 \$216,156 TO < \$221,571 39 \$201,571 TO < \$216,156 50 \$216,156 TO < \$245,432 21 \$245,432 TO < \$262,854 35 \$262,854 TO < \$289,683 29 \$289,683 TO < \$319,591 13 \$319,591 TO < \$335,187 48 \$335,187 TO < \$435,649 65 \$435,649 TO < \$750,384 42 \$750,384 AND OVER 165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	10,491 9,508 7,866 9,419 10,7136 8,273 9,612 6,528 7,463 7,220 8,442 19,925 6,528 11,198 7,826 11,198 7,826 5,251 8,288	183,708 185,694 188,937 190,201 215,238 183,497 181,036 186,004 213,427 191,863 186,542 188,735 215,96 190,681 264,767 183,240 179,007 197,175 192,274 22,520 37,863	5.7 5.1 4.1 4.4 5.9 4.5 6.5 4.3 4.5 6.0 7.7 6.0 7.5 9
LOC. ADOPT TAX RATE (ST AVG=\$1.4750)			
257 UNDER \$1.3941 257 \$1.3941 TO UNDER \$1.4901 263 \$1.4901 TO UNDER \$1.5601 257 \$1.5601 AND OVER 165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	8,703 20,403 63,884 75,984 8,288	239,535 524,716 1,140,266 1,835,625 37,863	3.6 3.9 5.6 4.1 21.9
LOCAL M&O TAX RATE (ST AVG=\$1.3844)			
257 UNDER \$1.3291 260 \$1.3291 TO \$1.4040 258 \$1.4041 TO \$1.4730 259 \$1.4731 AND OVER 165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	17,265 44,218 53,224 54,267 8,288	480,919 885,322 1,216,460 1,157,441 37,863	3.6 5.0 4.4 4.7 21.9

Appendix B Grade-Level Retention by District Characteristic, 2000-01

Number of Districts Category	Number Retained	All Students	Retention Rate
HIGHEST PROPERTY VALUE CATEGORY			
395 RESIDENTIAL 313 LAND 115 OIL AND GAS 211 BUSINESS 165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	119,510 4,042 2,144 43,278 8,288	2,650,697 145,935 67,368 876,142 37,863	4.5 2.8 3.2 4.9 21.9
SMALL/SPARSE ADJSTMNT (ST AVG=25.5%)			
308 NO SMALL/SPARSE ADJUSTMENT 222 UNDER 9.4% 223 9.4% TO UNDER 27.1% 223 27.1% TO UNDER 35.9% 223 35.9% AND OVER	142,497 24,391 6,255 2,502 1,617	2,797,652 616,853 213,855 94,853 54,792	5.1 4.0 2.9 2.6 3.0
CEI LEVEL (MEDIAN=1.06)			
202 UNDER 1.04 248 1.04 TO UNDER 1.06 264 1.06 TO UNDER 1.08 266 1.08 TO 1.11 219 1.11 AND OVER	8,687 4,626 8,722 25,159 130,068	56,623 169,368 263,704 635,086 2,653,224	15.3 2.7 3.3 4.0 4.9
OPERATING COST/PUPIL (ST AVG=\$5,915)			
239 UNDER \$5,471 240 \$5,471 TO \$5,947 240 \$5,948 TO \$6,466 240 \$6,467 TO \$7,484 240 OVER \$7,484	31,767 57,182 77,283 8,347 2,683	712,092 1,338,689 1,433,937 223,858 69,429	4.5 4.3 5.4 3.7 3.9
ESC REGION			
49 I EDINBURG 49 II CORPUS CHRISTI 40 III VICTORIA 95 IV HOUSTON 33 V BEAUMONT 60 VI HUNTSVILLE 102 VII KILGORE 49 VIII MT PLEASANT 41 IX WICHITA FALLS 107 X RICHARDSON 85 XI FORT WORTH 85 XII FORT WORTH 85 XII AUSTIN 44 XIV ABILENE 44 XIV ABILENE 44 XV SAN ANGELO 64 XVII AMARILLO 63 XVII LUBBOCK 36 XVIII MIDLAND 16 XIX EL PASO 69 XX SAN ANTONIO	15,899 4,936 2,345 47,033 3,457 5,474 5,446 1,149 1,140 25,148 15,713 5,762 9,747 1,771 2,248 2,473 3,248 6,983 15,401	280,031 99,893 52,691 811,891 79,426 127,779 146,949 50,965 37,912 557,863 390,865 123,651 253,901 44,243 72,364 74,180 72,824 144,702 308,373	5.7 4.5 4.3 4.3 2.9 4.5 4.5 4.5 3.7 3.1 3.3 4.8 5.0
TAAS: PCT PASSING ALL TESTS TAKEN			
12 NO STUDENTS TESTED 237 UNDER 73.7% 243 73.7% TO UNDER 81.5% 236 81.5% TO UNDER 86.0% 236 86.0% TO UNDER 90.4% 235 90.4% AND OVER	133 31,808 67,158 41,186 24,857 12,120	613 442,514 1,161,009 954,732 731,905 487,232	21.7 7.2 5.8 4.3 3.4 2.5
SAT/ACT: PCT TAKING			
386 0% TO UNDER 55% 345 55% TO UNDER 70% 299 70% AND OVER 169 NO GRADUATES	68,689 73,795 32,045 2,733	1,213,580 1,524,713 1,008,514 31,198	5.7 4.8 3.2 8.8
SAT/ACT: PCT AT OR ABOVE CRITERION			
78 NONE MET CRITERION 108 UNDER 10% 278 10% TO UNDER 20% 396 20% TO UNDER 35% 119 35% AND OVER 220 NO TEST TAKERS	1,687 22,670 37,066 79,130 29,360 7,349	24,224 440,205 727,183 1,623,852 921,651 40,890	7.0 5.1 5.1 4.9 3.2 18.0

Appendix B Grade-Level Retention by District Characteristic, 2000-01

Number of Districts Category	Number	All	Retention
	Retained	Students	Rate
DENSITY (ST AVG=14.82 PUPILS/SQ MI)			
491 FEWER THAN 5	8,013	260,215	3.1
302 5 TO FEWER THAN 20	19,441	533,753	3.6
133 20 TO FEWER THAN 100	28,079	634,534	4.4
108 100 AND OVER	113,441	2,311,640	4.9
165 NON-TAXING DISTRICTS	8,288	37,863	21.9
PUPIL CHG:99/00-00/01 (ST AVG=1.73%)			
577 DECLINING PUPILS 274 0% TO UNDER 3% 131 3% TO UNDER 6% 71 6% TO UNDER 10% 146 10% AND OVER	61,542	1,205,397	5.1
	68,139	1,446,889	4.7
	31,983	804,379	4.0
	8,700	231,442	3.8
	6,898	89,898	7.7
PCT AFRICAN AM PUPILS (ST AVG=14.4%)			
677 UNDER 5% 153 5% TO UNDER 10% 144 10% TO UNDER 20% 94 20% TO UNDER 30% 65 30% TO UNDER 50% 66 50% AND OVER	50,759	1,214,999	4.2
	28,751	784,937	3.7
	30,071	713,070	4.2
	14,165	337,339	4.2
	46,765	642,972	7.3
	6,751	84,688	8.0
PCT HISPANIC PUPILS (ST AVG=40.6%)			
184 UNDER 5%	4,919	166,399	3.0
186 5% TO UNDER 10%	7,855	252,078	3.1
226 10% TO UNDER 20%	27,741	817,802	3.4
148 20% TO UNDER 30%	22,375	536,397	4.2
203 30% TO UNDER 50%	34,882	713,147	4.9
252 50% AND OVER	79,490	1,292,182	6.2
PCT MINORITY PUPILS (ST AVG=58.0%)			
38 UNDER 5%	571	27,162	2.1
115 5% TO UNDER 10%	2,649	108,286	2.4
196 10% TO UNDER 20%	7,889	291,590	2.7
161 20% TO UNDER 30%	12,031	397,829	3.0
250 30% TO UNDER 50%	24,839	682,614	3.6
439 50% AND OVER	129,283	2,270,524	5.7
PCT ECON DISADV (ST AVG=49.31%)			
131 UNDER 20%	13,756	493,064	2.8
117 20% TO UNDER 30%	13,942	409,756	3.4
177 30% TO UNDER 40%	17,727	483,230	3.7
453 40% TO UNDER 60%	54,878	1,207,051	4.5
214 60% TO UNDER 80%	55,728	841,556	6.6
107 80% AND OVER	21,231	343,348	6.2
AVG. TEACHER EXPER (ST AVG=11.9 YRS)			
299 UNDER 10.1 YEARS	22,719	416,558	5.5
298 10.1 TO UNDER 12.1 YEARS	62,066	1,519,918	4.1
302 12.1 TO UNDER 13.6 YEARS	69,706	1,281,889	5.4
300 13.6 YEARS AND OVER	22,771	559,640	4.1
AVG. TEACHER SALARY (ST AVG=\$38,361)			
299 UNDER \$33,933	10,343	118,611	8.7
300 \$33,933 TO UNDER \$35,712	15,241	385,899	3.9
300 \$35,712 TO UNDER \$37,261	25,796	663,046	3.9
300 \$37,261 AND OVER	125,882	2,610,449	4.8
PCT MINORITY TCHRS (ST AVG=26.8%)			
552 UNDER 5% 201 5% TO UNDER 10% 166 10% TO UNDER 20% 50 20% TO UNDER 30% 55 30% TO UNDER 50% 175 50% AND OVER	16,763	569,820	2.9
	23,716	739,734	3.2
	33,270	812,412	4.1
	14,492	293,141	4.9
	25,643	434,414	5.9
	63,378	928,484	6.8
% TCHRS W ADV DEGREE (ST AVG=23.9%)			
299 UNDER 11.2%	9,361	173,275	5.4
300 11.2% TO UNDER 17.4%	25,667	552,949	4.6
300 17.4% TO UNDER 23.7%	47,961	1,097,990	4.4
300 23.7% AND OVER	94,273	1,953,791	4.8

Notes on Appendix B

All Students

A cumulative total of students served in a district, during the school year. Students who dropped or migrated out of the Texas public school system after the first year were exclude from the total student count, as were students new to the system in the second year. Students who enrolled both years or graduated were included in the total student count.

Average Teacher Experience

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers within a district and divided by the total teacher FTE count within that respective district, result in the average years of teacher experience.

Average Teacher Salary

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Average teacher salary is calculated as the total salary of teachers divided by the total FTE count of teachers. The total salary amount is for regular duties only and does not include pay for any supplemental duties.

CEI Level, see Cost of Education Index.

Cost of Education Index

The Cost of Education Index (CEI) reflects geographic variations in costs beyond the control of school districts. The index currently in use was first implemented in 1991-92. The CEI has a minimum value of 1.0 and a maximum of 1.20. This category divides districts into five groups with approximately equal numbers of districts in each.

Density, see Student Density.

District Type

Districts are classified on a scale ranging from major urban to rural. The charter school districts are in a separate subcategory. Factors such as size, growth rates, student economic status, and proximity to urban areas are used to determine the appropriate group. The groups are:

Major Urban. The largest school districts in the state that serve the six metropolitan areas of Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, Fort Worth, Austin, and El Paso. A district is designated major urban if it is the largest in counties with populations of 650,000 or over, and there is greater than 35% low-income students in the school district. Or, if not the largest district in the county, the number of students in membership is 75% of the largest district and there are more than 35% low-income students in the district.

Major Suburban. Other school districts in and around the major urban areas. A district is major suburban if it is contiguous to a major urban district and the number of students in membership is at least 3% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500. If a district is not contiguous to a major urban area, then it must be within the same county and have an enrollment of 15% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500 in order to be classified as major suburban.

Other Central City. The major school districts in other large Texas cities. If the district is not contiguous to one of the major urban districts but the county population is between 100,000 and 650,000 and it is the largest district in the county or its population is 75% of the largest district then the district is designated as other central city.

Other Central City Suburban. Other school districts in and around the other large, but not major, Texas cities. If the district is in a county between 100,000 and 650,000 population and the number of students in membership is at least 15% of the largest district in the county then it is designated central city suburban. If a district is contiguous to a central city district, its population is greater than 3% of that district's, and the number of students in membership is greater than the corresponding median figure for the state, it is also central city suburban.

Independent Town. If the district is the largest in a county having a population of 25,000 to 100,000, or the number of students in membership is greater than 75% of the largest district, the district is considered an independent town.

Non-Metro: Fast Growing. The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories and that exhibit a five-year growth rate of at least 20 percent.

These districts must have at least 300 students in membership.

Non-Metro: Stable. The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories, yet the number of students in membership exceeds the state median of 726.

Rural. The school districts that fail all of the above tests for placement into a subcategory. These districts either have a growth rate less than 20 percent and the number of students in membership is between 300 and the state median of 726, or the number of students in membership is less than 300.

Charter Schools. The 159 open-enrollment schools granted a charter by the State Board of Education for operation during 2000-2001. Open-enrollment charter schools operate in a facility of a commercial or nonprofit entity or a school district.

ESC Region, see Education Service Center Regions.

Economically Disadvantaged

The number of students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or eligible for other public assistance as defined in the 2001-2002 Public Education Information Management System Data Standards (TEA, 2001d) is used as a proxy for the number of economically disadvantaged students. Eligibility for free or reduced price lunch or other public assistance is reported for each student at the time of enrollment. (See also Percent Economically Disadvantaged Students.)

Education Service Center Regions

The state is divided into 20 geographic regions, each served by an Education Service Center (ESC). The ESC region reflected in this category is the region from which the district receives services, not the geographically assigned ESC region. For the vast majority of districts, these are the same.

Enrollment

Districts are grouped by size into nine subcategories based on their number of students in membership. This is the total number of students in membership in the district on a day in late October of each year. It does not include students who are served by the

district but are not in membership in the serving district.

Enrollment Groupings, see Enrollment.

Highest Property Value Category

Currently, the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD) classifies property into multiple subcategories based on how the property is used. These subcategories are aggregated into four classifications as follows:

Residential. Single-family and multi-family residential, and residential inventory

Land. Vacant lots, and rural real (taxable)

Oil and Gas. Oil, gas, and minerals

Business. Commercial and industrial real, commercial and industrial personal, and utilities

The one subcategory of these four which has the greatest total property value for a district determines in which category the district is placed. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group because they have no taxable property wealth.

Loc. Adopt Tax Rate, see Locally Adopted Tax Rates.

Local M&O Tax Rate, see Local Maintenance and Operations Tax Rates.

Locally Adopted Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the total adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts are in a separate subcategory because they do not levy property taxes.

Local Maintenance and Operations Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the maintenance and operation (M&O) adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The M&O levy includes money generated by districts for equalizing

wealth. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group in both categories because they do not levy property taxes.

Number Retained

To determine the number of students retained in grade, fall enrollment data were compared to attendance in the final, six-week period of the previous school year. Students enrolled in the same grade in both years were counted as retained.

Operating Cost Per Student

Operating costs are the sum of all expenditures budgeted for the operation of the district, for all funds which are reported. The operating expenditures are a subset of the total expenditures; they do not include debt service, capital outlay, or ancillary services expenditures. Per student amounts are the current school year expenditures divided by the current number of students. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The source for budgeted expenditures is the fall submission of the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS).

Percent African American, Hispanic, and Minority Students

In these categories, districts are grouped according to the ethnic composition of their student populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white populations expressed as a percent of the total. The non-white populations include Native American or Alaskan Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; African American, not of Hispanic origin; and Hispanic. Each of the three categories has six subgroups with the particular population ranging from "under 5%" to "50 percent and over."

Percent Economically Disadvantaged (Low Income) Students

Percent low income is the number of students reported as economically disadvantaged on PEIMS, expressed as a percent of the total number of students. Districts report students as economically disadvantaged if they meet any of the following conditions:

 a. eligible for free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch and Child Nutrition Program;

- b. from a family with an annual income at or below the federal poverty line;
- c. eligible for AFDC or other public assistance;
- d. recipients of a Pell Grant or comparable state program of need-based financial assistance;
- e. eligible for programs assisted under Title II of the Job Training Partnership Act.

Districts are grouped into six subgroups ranging from "under 20%" to "80% and over."

Percent Minority Teachers

In this category, districts are grouped according to the minority composition of their teacher populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white teacher FTEs expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. The category has six groupings with the minority population ranging from "under 5%" to "50% and over."

Percent of Teachers with Advanced Degrees

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The percent of teachers with an advanced degree is calculated as the FTE count of teachers with a master's degree or doctorate divided by the FTE count for all teachers.

Property Wealth

Wealth is defined as total taxable property value divided by the total number of students and is used as an indicator of a district's ability to raise local funds on a per pupil basis. The property value used is total taxable value for the last completed calendar year, i.e. 2001, as determined by the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD). This taxable value includes a reduction for 50% of a locally adopted optimal homestead exemption, and will affect state aid in the 2001-02 school year. The total number of students is for the current school year, i.e. 2001-02. The first wealth grouping classifies districts into ten subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each, called deciles. The second grouping simply shows districts above and below state average wealth. The third wealth grouping classifies districts into 20 subcategories with approximately equal numbers of students in each. The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group

in all three categories because they have no taxable property wealth.

Pupil Change: 99/00 - 00/01

This category looks at the growth or decline in student population over a one-year period. Districts where the total number of students declined represent one grouping, while the remaining groups show one year growth rate ranging from "0%-3%" to "10% and over."

Retention Rate

The retention rate was calculated by dividing the number of students retained by the total student count. Through the 1999-00 school year, the retention calculations included only students who were enrolled on the last Friday in October. Beginning in 1990-00, the retention calculations for Grades 7-12 included all students enrolled at any time during the fall.

SAT I/ACT: Percent Scoring At or Above Criterion

Districts are grouped into five subcategories based on the number of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score for either the ACT or SAT I in the previous year. The number of examinees meeting the criterion is divided by the number of examinees. A sixth subcategory is for those districts that have no test takers. The criterion score is 1110 for the SAT I Total and 24 for the ACT Composite.

SAT I/ACT: Percent Taking

Districts are grouped into three subcategories based on the number of prior year graduates who were administered either the SAT I or ACT, or both. The number of test-takers taking one or both tests is divided by the number of non-special education graduates. A fourth subcategory is for those districts that have no graduates.

Small/Sparse Adjustment

Districts are grouped into four small/sparse subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The category shows the amount of small/sparse adjustment as a percent of the total adjusted basic allotment amount. A fifth subcategory contains all districts receiving no small/sparse adjustment. This small/sparse percentage is a measure of the extent to which state

funding is adjusted to compensate for small and/or sparsely populated districts.

Student Density

Many years ago, the square miles in a school district were determined through a joint effort by the State Property Tax Board, now the CPTD, the Texas Education Agency, and the Texas Water Commission. School district maps provided by school districts to the CPTD were digitized by the Water Commission and acreage was determined. Density is the number of students per square mile. Density groups range from "fewer than five students per square mile" to "100 or more students per square mile." The six special statutory and 159 charter school districts form a separate group because mileage information is not available for them.

TAAS: Percent Passing All Tests Taken

For grades 3-8 and 10, the total number of students who passed all sections taken is expressed as a percentage of the total number of students taking one or more tests. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with the percent passing ranging from "under 73.7%" to "90.4% and over." These percentages exclude performance on Science and Social Studies in grade 8. Furthermore, these percentages include only those students enrolled in the district in October of the school year. These are the results used for accountability purposes. A sixth subcategory refers to districts not administering the test.

Wealth, see Property Wealth.

Wealth by Equal Pupils Per Group, see Property Wealth.

Appendix C Campus Characteristics

Grade-Level Retention by Campus Characteristic, 2000-01

Notes on Appendix C

Appendix C
Grade-Level Retention by Campus Characteristic, 2000-01

Number of Campuses Category	Number Retained	All Students	Retention Rate
CAMPUS TYPE			
3,862 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS 1,440 MIDDLE & JR. HIGH SCHOOLS 1,623 HIGH SCHOOLS 487 K - 12 SCHOOLS	53,840 17,166 96,164 9,770	1,817,660 851,260 1,021,005 84,263	3.0 2.0 9.4 11.6
CAMPUS ACCOUNTABILITY RATING			
1,570 EXEMPLARY 2,328 RECOGNIZED 2,480 ACCEPTABLE 100 LOW-PERFORMING 336 ALTERNATIVE EDUCATION 63 NOT-RATED (PK-K) 15 NOT-RATED (CHARTERS (NEW) 12 NOT-RATED CHARTERS (ID) 508 NOT APPLICABLE	18,843 52,790 83,136 5,882 9,812 338 301 189 5,649	754,222 1,313,192 1,601,195 41,669 31,130 11,048 1,550 442 19,740	2.5 4.0 5.2 14.1 31.5 3.1 19.4 42.8 28.6
AVG. TEACHER EXPER (ST AVG=11.9 YRS)			
1,398 UNDER 9.3 YEARS 1,418 9.3 TO UNDER 11.1 YEARS 1,430 11.1 TO UNDER 12.7 YEARS 1,429 12.7 TO UNDER 14.4 YEARS 1,426 14.4 YEARS AND OVER 311 NOT APPLICABLE	27,853 28,256 37,414 39,694 40,779 2,944	655,116 779,617 833,978 806,267 687,616 11,594	4.3 3.6 4.5 4.9 5.9 25.4
PCT TCHRS W/ADV DEG (ST AVG=23.9%)			
1,338 UNDER 12.7% 1,350 12.7% TO UNDER 18.9% 1,358 18.9% TO UNDER 24.5% 1,351 24.5% TO UNDER 32.2% 1,357 32.2% AND OVER 658 NOT APPLICABLE	18,443 23,935 30,860 41,506 54,296 7,900	545,459 674,713 778,229 881,810 827,149 66,828	3.4 3.5 4.0 4.7 6.6 11.8
PCT MINORITY TCHRS (ST AVG=26.8%)			
1,484 NONE 1,155 UNDER 5.7% 1,423 5.7% TO UNDER 14.0% 1,535 14.0% TO UNDER 41.2% 1,815 41.2% AND OVER	12,444 17,182 32,970 48,575 65,769	315,395 627,810 874,628 934,434 1,021,921	3.9 2.7 3.8 5.2 6.4
PCT AFRICAN AM TCHRS (ST AVG=8.8%)			
3,621 NONE 955 UNDER 3.3% 947 3.3% TO UNDER 7.3% 947 7.3% TO UNDER 19.1% 942 19.1% AND OVER	46,421 31,427 28,639 27,725 42,728	1,282,957 762,000 643,539 561,574 524,118	3.6 4.1 4.5 4.9 8.2
PCT HISPANIC TCHRS (ST AVG=17.1%)			
2,517 NONE 1,232 UNDER 4.3% 1,227 4.3% TO UNDER 10.1% 1,213 10.1% TO UNDER 34.2% 1,223 34.2% AND OVER	27,222 34,046 40,331 38,774 36,567	705,575 874,050 787,557 712,881 694,125	3.9 3.9 5.1 5.4 5.3
AVERAGE TEACHER SALARY			
1,405 UNDER \$34,844 1,421 \$34,844 TO UNDER \$36,672 1,425 \$36,672 TO UNDER \$38,314 1,427 \$38,314 TO UNDER \$40,423 1,424 \$40,423 AND OVER 310 NOT APPLICABLE	20,113 21,769 27,593 42,269 62,257 2,939	432,835 636,780 763,890 924,867 1,004,237 11,579	4.6 3.4 3.6 4.6 6.2 25.4
AVG. STU/TCHR RATIO (ST AVG=14.8)			
1,419 UNDER 11.9 1,431 11.9 TO UNDER 13.9 1,425 13.9 TO UNDER 15.2 1,432 15.2 TO UNDER 16.5 1,395 16.5 AND OVER 310 NOT APPLICABLE	13,320 27,816 36,485 41,544 54,836 2,939	259,408 653,924 870,359 1,012,136 966,782 11,579	4.2 4.1 5.7
INSTRUCTIONAL COST/PUPIL			
1,392 UNDER \$2,902 1,433 \$2,902 TO UNDER \$3,234 1,433 \$3,234 TO UNDER \$3,569 1,435 \$3,569 TO UNDER \$4,117 1,424 \$4,117 AND OVER 295 NO INSTRUCTIONAL COSTS	38,925 39,939 38,317 34,122 24,027 1,610	776,457 948,754 893,945 780,741 368,229 6,062	5.0 4.2 4.3 4.4 6.5 26.6

Appendix C Grade-Level Retention by Campus Characteristic, 2000-01

Number of Campuses Category	Number Retained	All Students	Retention Rate
ENROLLMENT GROUPINGS			
1,385 800 AND OVER 1,268 600 TO UNDER 800 1,652 400 TO UNDER 600 1,539 200 TO UNDER 400 1,568 UNDER 200	97,401 26,243 23,434 15,300 14,562	1,638,090 811,737 760,528 432,092 131,741	5.9 3.2 3.1 3.5
PCT MINORITY PUPILS (ST AVG=58.0%)			
1,387 UNDER 20% 1,109 20% TO UNDER 35% 1,301 35% TO UNDER 55% 1,599 55% TO UNDER 85% 2,016 85% AND OVER	14,528 18,695 28,111 41,738 73,868	575,708 592,146 680,856 807,982 1,117,496	2.5 3.2 4.1 5.2 6.6
PCT AFRICAN AM PUPILS (ST AVG=14.4%)			
1,812 UNDER 1% 1,309 1% TO UNDER 4% 1,438 4% TO UNDER 10% 1,135 10% TO UNDER 20% 1,718 20% AND OVER	31,834 25,571 35,319 29,648 54,568	711,325 705,642 848,695 649,404 859,122	
PCT HISPANIC PUPILS (ST AVG=40.6%)			
997 UNDER 6% 1,353 6% TO UNDER 15% 1,431 15% TO UNDER 30% 1,699 30% TO UNDER 60% 1,932 60% AND OVER	10,342 25,781 33,647 46,575 60,595	383,959 715,834 784,498 850,283 1,039,614	2.7 3.6 4.3 5.5 5.8
PUPIL CHANGE: 99/00-00/01			
1,428 UNDER -6.0% 1,440 -6.0% TO UNDER -1.7% 1,441 -1.7% TO UNDER 1.8% 1,420 1.8% TO UNDER 6.6% 1,423 6.6% AND OVER 260 NEW CAMPUSES	24,515 33,236 38,222 42,725 32,662 5,580	504,625 765,240 853,787 920,284 642,186 88,066	4.9 4.3 4.5 4.6 5.1 6.3
PCT ECON DISADV PUPILS (ST AVG=49.3%)			
1,476 UNDER 22.9% 1,499 22.9% TO UNDER 41.7% 1,496 41.7% TO UNDER 59.5% 1,497 59.5% TO UNDER 82.0% 1,444 82.0% AND OVER	31,004 37,932 35,035 35,616 37,353	904,800 777,704 697,379 689,445 704,860	3.4 4.9 5.0 5.2 5.3
TAAS: PCT PASSING ALL TESTS TAKEN			
1,375 UNDER 71.3% 1,385 71.3% TO UNDER 80.1% 1,367 80.1% TO UNDER 86.5% 1,390 86.5% TO UNDER 91.5% 1,370 91.5% AND OVER 525 TAAS NOT ADMINISTERED	52,723 44,068 33,559 26,930 14,350 5,310	652,035 825,012 791,788 798,816 676,966 29,571	8.1 5.3 4.2 3.4 2.1 18.0
AVERAGE DROPOUT RATE (99-00)			
2,507 UNDER 1.0% 604 1.0% TO UNDER 3.0% 168 3.0% TO UNDER 5.5% 123 5.5% AND OVER 4,010 NOT APPLICABLE	55,410 46,363 12,688 5,151 57,328	1,270,734 478,253 92,368 17,315 1,915,518	4.4 9.7 13.7 29.7 3.0
SAT/ACT: PCT TAKING (99-00)			
309 UNDER 3.2% 303 3.2% TO UNDER 50.0% 320 50.0% TO UNDER 61.7% 312 61.7% TO UNDER 74.0% 312 74.0% AND OVER 5,856 NO GRADUATES	9,540 25,244 24,156 20,623 12,931 84,446	44,321 208,559 264,157 254,705 242,835 2,759,611	21.5 12.1 9.1 8.1 5.3 3.1
SAT/ACT: PCT >= CRITERION (99-00)			
251 UNDER 7.6% 255 7.6% TO UNDER 15.7% 246 15.7% TO UNDER 23.1% 257 23.1% TO UNDER 31.7% 252 31.7% AND OVER 6,151 NO TEST TAKERS	22,054 15,038 14,445 15,865 16,325 93,213	153,484 155,933 165,710 222,395 280,460 2,796,206	14.4 9.6 8.7 7.1 5.8 3.3

Notes on Appendix C

Note. All data about teachers, campus budgets, and students is from the fall submission of the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS). College admissions and TAAS information are provided to the TEA by the test contractors. All data is for the 2000-01 school year with the exception of college admissions and dropout data which lag one year behind.

All Students

A cumulative total of students served at the campus during the school year. Students who dropped or migrated out of the Texas public school system after the first year were excluded from the total student count, as were students new to the system in the second year. Students who enrolled both years or graduated were included in the total student count.

Average Student/Teacher Ratio

In this category, campuses are grouped into six subcategories with approximately equal numbers of campuses in the first five. The sixth subcategory, "Not Applicable," pertains to campuses reporting enrollment but no teachers. Average student/teacher ratio is obtained by dividing the total number of students in membership by the total teacher FTE count.

Average Teacher Experience

In this category, campuses are grouped into six subcategories with approximately equal numbers of campuses in the first five. The sixth subcategory, "Not Applicable," pertains to campuses reporting enrollment but no teachers. Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers within a campus and divided by the total teacher FTE count within that respective campus, result in the average years of teacher experience.

Average Teacher Salary

In this category, campuses are grouped into six subcategories with approximately equal numbers of campuses in the first five. The sixth subcategory, "Not Applicable," pertains to campuses reporting enrollment but no teachers. Average teacher salary is calculated as the total salary of teachers divided by the total FTE count of teachers. The total salary

amount is for regular duties only and does not include pay for any supplemental duties.

Campus Accountability Rating

This category refers to the campus rating based on the 2001 accountability system. A campus' rating is based on performance on the Spring 2001 TAAS and the 1999-2000 dropout rate. The four levels of accountability ratings for campuses and the *general* conditions of each of the criteria for 2001 are as shown:

Rating	TAAS	Dropout Rate		
Exemplary	>=90%	<=1.0%		
Recognized	>=80%	<=3.0%		
Acceptable	>=50%	<=5.5%		
Low-Performing	<50%	>5.5%		

Some campuses are shown as "Alternative Education," "Not Rated," or "Not Applicable." "Alternative Education" campuses are evaluated separately and are categorized as either AE:

Commended, AE: Acceptable, AE: Needs Peer Review or AE: Not Rated. "Not Rated" campuses include first-year charter schools, charters with insufficient data, or the pre-kindergarten, kindergarten, or early education centers that are not rated because standardized test data are not available at those grade levels. "Not Applicable" campuses are those non-charter schools with insufficient data to evaluate.

For a more detailed explanation of the accountability system, see the *2001 Accountability Manual* (TEA, 2001b).

Campus Type

Campuses are classified into four types according to the range of grades offered. Total membership information as reported to the TEA is used to construct grade ranges. The type classifications are:

- 1. Elementary Schools
- 2. Middle and Junior High Schools
- 3. High Schools
- 4. K 12 Schools

For a more extensive review of grade ranges, please refer to the grid in Exhibit C in the appendix of the Texas Education Agency (TEA) *Snapshot* publication

(TEA, 2002c), which presents the possible combinations of low and high grades for each of the campus types.

Enrollment Groupings

Campuses are grouped by size into five subcategories based on their number of students in membership. This is the total number of public school students who were reported in membership on a day in late October of each year. It does not include students who are served by the campus, but are not in membership there.

Instructional Cost Per Pupil

Instructional costs are the sum of expenditures budgeted for all activities dealing directly with the instruction of pupils, including instruction through the use of computers, and managing, directing, supervising, and providing leadership for staff who provide instructional services. The per pupil amounts are the current school year budgeted expenditures divided by the current number of students in membership. Campuses are grouped into six subcategories with approximately equal numbers of campuses in the first five. The sixth subcategory refers to campuses with no reported instructional costs.

Number Retained

To determine the number of students retained in grade, fall enrollment data were compared to attendance in the final, six-week period of the previous school year. Students enrolled in the same grade in both years were counted as retained.

Percent Economically Disadvantaged (Low Income) Pupils

Percent low income is the number of students reported as economically disadvantaged on PEIMS, expressed as a percent of the total number of students. Districts report students as economically disadvantaged if they meet any of the following conditions:

- a. eligible for free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch and Child Nutrition Program;
- b. from a family with an annual income at or below the federal poverty line;
- c. eligible for AFDC or other public assistance;

- d. recipients of a Pell Grant or comparable state program of need-based financial assistance;
- e. eligible for programs assisted under Title II of the Job Training Partnership Act.
- f. Campuses are grouped into one of five subcategories with the percent economically disadvantaged ranging from "under 22.9%" to "82.0% and over."

Percent Minority, African American, and Hispanic Pupils

In these categories, campuses are grouped according to the ethnic composition of their student populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white populations expressed as a percent of the total. The non-white populations include Native American or Alaska Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; African American, not of Hispanic origin; and Hispanic. Each of the three categories has five subcategories with the following population ranges:

Category	Lowest Subcategory	Highest Subcategory		
Minority Percent	Less than 20%	85% and over		
African American Percent	Less than 1%	20% and over		
Hispanic Percent	Less than 6%	60% and over		

Percent Minority, African American, and Hispanic Teachers

In these categories, campuses are grouped according to the minority composition of their teacher populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white teacher FTEs expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. The non-white populations include Native American or Alaskan Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; African American, not of Hispanic origin; and Hispanic. Each of the three categories has five subcategories with the following population ranges:

Category	Lowest Subcategory	Highest Subcategory
Minority Percent	None	41.2% and over
African American Percent	None	19.1% and over
Hispanic Percent	None	34.2% and over

Percent of Teachers with Advanced Degrees

In this category, campuses are grouped into six subcategories with approximately equal numbers of campuses in the first five. The sixth subcategory refers to campuses with unreported teacher FTEs or campuses with no teachers with advanced degrees. The percent of teachers with an advanced degree is calculated as the FTE count of teachers with a master's degree or doctorate divided by the FTE count for all teachers.

Pupil Change: 99/00-00/01

This category looks at the growth or decline in student population over a one-year period. Campuses where the total number of students declined are represented with negative percent change values. Categories with positive growth rates range from "-1.7% to 1.8%" to "6.6% and over." The last grouping, "New Campuses," refers to campuses reporting students in the fall of 2000 for the first time.

Retention Rate

The retention rate was calculated by dividing the number of students retained by the total student count. Through the 1999-00 school year, the retention calculations included only students who were enrolled on the last Friday in October. Beginning in 1999-00, the retention calculations for Grades 7-12 included all students enrolled at any time during the fall.

SAT I/ACT: Percent Scoring at or Above Criterion (99-00)

Campuses are grouped into five subcategories based on the number of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score for either the ACT or SAT I in the previous year (1110 for the SAT I; 24 for the ACT). The number of examinees meeting the criterion is divided by the number of examinees. A sixth subcategory is for those campuses that have no college admissions test takers.

SAT I/ACT: Percent Taking (99-00)

Campuses are grouped into five subcategories based on the number of graduates who were administered either the SAT I, the ACT, or both. The number of test-takers taking one or both tests is divided by the number of non-special education graduates. A sixth subcategory is for those campuses that have no graduates.

TAAS: Percent Passing All Tests Taken

For grades 3-8 and 10, the total number of students who passed all sections taken is expressed as a percentage of the total number of students taking one or more tests. Campuses are grouped into five subcategories with the percent passing ranging from "under 71.3%" to "91.5% and over." These percentages exclude performance on Science and Social Studies in grade 8. Furthermore, these percentages include only those students enrolled in the district in October of the school year. These are the results used for accountability purposes. A sixth subcategory refers to campuses with no students tested on the TAAS.

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention Rates by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade								
		Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
A W BROWN-FELLOWSHIP	ALL	_ 	_ 	0.7	ACADEMY ISD	ALL	25		2.7
	KG 01	0 _	34	0.0 2.5		KG 01		- 59	3.4 13.6
	02 03	0 0 0	20 22	0.0		02 03	0	- 52	3.1 0.0
	04	0	20	0.0		04 05	0	64 62	0.0
						06 07	0 0 0 -	_	3.9 3.4
						08 09 10	_	_	1.1
						11	_ _ 0		5.1 1.2
A+ ACADEMY	ΔΤ.Τ.	Λ	64	0 0	ACADEMY OF ACCELERAT				34.4
					richbarii or ricobbariii	09	22		
	01 02	0 0 0 0 0	8 11	0.0		11	15 6	40 20	37.5 30.0
	03 04	0	10 10	0.0		12	-	-	3.6
	05 06	0	6 9	0.0					
		- - -			ACADEMY OF BEAUMONT		6		
	01	-	- -	6.3 14.3		Λ1	_	_	5.3 9.1
	03	0	15	0.0		03	- 0 0 0	16	5.6 0.0 0.0
	05	0	18 17	0.0					0.0 7.4
	07 08	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 27	0.0		07	0	16	0.0
	09 10	0	_ 24	4.0					
	11 12	0	21 19	0.0					
	ALL			3.4	ACADEMY OF CAREERS A	ALL	6	38	15.8
	KG 01	7 5 5 0 - 0 - 0	72 63	9.7 7.9		09	6	38	15.8
	02 03	5 0	58 62	8.6 0.0					
	04 05	0	- 61	2.1					
	06 07	0	64	0.0					
	09 10	_ _ 0	- - 75	1.5					
	11 12	0	59 -	0.0					
	ALL	989 1	.6 , 630	5.9	ACADEMY OF DALLAS	ALL	119	209	56.9
	KG	124	1,408	8.8		KC.	22	38	57.9 65.1
	01 02 03	45	1,372 1,240 1,362	9.0 3.6 3.7		01 02 03	28 15 12 15 9 6	43 26 26	65.1 57.7 46.2
	04 05	24	1,276 1,245	1.9		04 05	15	26 15	57.7 60.0
	06 07	48 54	1,379 1,303	3.5 4.1		06 07	6 12	15 20	40.0
	08 09	56 247	1,359 1,356	4.1 18.2		-	_		
	10 11	98 40	1,284 1,059	7.6 3.8					
	12	63	987	6.4					

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All Stu	dents				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
ACADEMY OF HOUSTON		14		2.9	AGUA DULCE ISD	ALL	-		1.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 6 - - 0 -	- 86 - - 46 -	2.2 1.2 7.0 3.3 2.4 0.0 2.7 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 18 27 24 16 16 27 24 - - 32	5.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 4.2 3.6 0.0
ACADEMY OF SAN ANTON	ALL			3.1	ALAMO HEIGHTS ISD	ALL	79	4,291	1.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 0 0 0	17 10 13 10 9 -	5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 9.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 7 8 - 0 - 5 24 7 - 5	305 313 333 320 330 340 343 343	2.6 2.2 2.4 0.9 0.3 0.0 0.9 1.2 1.5 7.1 2.0 1.2
ACADEMY OF SKILLS &				4.0	ALBA-GOLDEN ISD	ALL	10	718	1.4
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 - 0 - 0	- 18 - 18 - 8	8.3 7.7 0.0 4.5 0.0 11.1 0.0		03 04 05 06 07	- - - 0 -		1.6 1.6 2.0 2.5 0.0
ACADEMY OF TRANSITIO	ALL	82	209	39.2	ALBANY ISD	ALL	12	567	2.1
	01 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 6 15 42 7 - 7	- 24 46 74 24 - 13	7.7 25.0 32.6 56.8 29.2 36.4 53.8		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	_	44 46 54 37 - 42 - 40	2.5
ADRIAN ISD					ALDINE ISD	ALL	3 , 792	48,037	7.9
		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	317 531 457 269 101 21 30 176 92 703 598 196 301	4,102 4,465 4,468 4,198 3,890 3,844 3,823 3,879 3,573 4,051 3,289 2,185 2,270	7.7 11.9 10.2 6.4 2.6 0.5 0.8 4.5 2.6 17.4 18.2 9.0 13.3

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S						tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District		Retained		Rate
ALEDO ISD					ALLISON ISD		0	49	0.0
	KG 01	10	159 -	6.3 1.1		KG 02 03 04 05	-	-	_
	02 03	-	-	0.9 1.3		03 04	-		_
	04 05	_ 0	- 278	0.8		05 06	-	-	-
	06 07	- - 0 0 0 13	250	0.0		07 08	0	5 6	0.0 0.0
	08	0 13	235	0.0		09	0	7	0.0
	10 11	-	-	1.2		11	- - 0 0 0	6	0.0
	12	_	_	1.8		12	U	,	0.0
ALICE ISD		294			ALPHONSO CRUTCH'S-LI				77.0
	KG 01	0 26 11 7 - 0	383 429	0.0 6.1		06 07	9 25 180 187 92 58	- 33	11.8 27.3
	02 03	11 7	379 468	2.9 1.5		08 09	25 180	61 202	41.0 89.1
	04 05	_ 0	448	0.7		10 11	187 92	210 112	89.0 82.1
	06 07	-	-	0.9		12	58	83	69.9
	08 09	10 121	417 476	2.4					
	10 11	10 121 50 53 5	410	12.2 15.6					
ALIEF ISD	ALL	2,091	37 , 600	5.6	ALPINE ISD	ALL	41	1,103	3.7
	01	14 158 124 94 46 23 24 28 44 868 448 159 61	2,742 3,280	4.8		01	10 5 - - 0 0 11 -	89	11.2
	03	94	3,337	2.9		03	- -	91	4.5
	05	23	3,189 3,188	0.7		05	-	_	2.0
	06 07	24 28	3,061 3,113	0.8		06 07	0	90	2.2
	08 09	44 868	2,797 3,323	1.6 26.1		08 09	0 11	84 90	0.0 12.2
	10 11	448 159	2,680 1,842	16.7 8.6		10 11	_	-	2.4 1.2
ALTER MONTECCORT COM	12	61	1,826	3.3	ALTO ISD				
ALIEF MONTESSORI COM					ALTO ISD		19 		3.4
	01	0 0 0 -	8 7	0.0		01	0 - 0 5 - 0	41	0.0
	03	-	10	0.0		03	_	- 36	2.3
		- -	-	-		05	5	39	12.8
	06	-	_	_		07	0	49	0.0
						09	_	_	4.3
						10 11 12	0 0 -	48 48 -	0.0 0.0 5.7
ALLEN ISD	ALL		10,354		ALVARADO ISD	ALL	90	2,985	3.0
	KG	31	771	4.0		KG	-	- 100	1.5
	01 02	23	838	2.7		01 02	13 12	192 244	6.8 4.9
	03 04	-	-	0.5		03 04	-	- -	0.9
	05 06	- - -	<u>-</u> -	0.1		05 06	- - 5 5 23 16	- -	0.4
	07 08	8	835 820	0.3 1.0		07 08	5 5	280 259	1.8 1.9
	09 10	0 7	791	0.0		09 10	23 16	263 246	8.7 6.5
	11 12	12 14	715 633	1.7 2.2		11 12	-	<u>-</u>	2.3

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	- '		Students			- 1		tudents	
District					District		Retained		Rate
ALVIN ISD	ALL	502 	10,511	4.8		ALL		-	1.7
	KG 01	35 37	860 850	4.1		KG 01	0	15	14.3
	02 03	20 8	917 871	2.2 0.9		02 03	0	14 10	0.0
	04 05	6	849	0.7 0.2		04 05	0	13 15	0.0
	06 07	- 12	883	0.4		06 07	0	11 15	0.0
	08	7	857	0.8		08	Ö	16	0.0
	10	116	717	16.2		10	0	12	0.0
	12	17	860 850 917 871 849 - 883 857 973 717 517	3.3		12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	5.3
ALVORD ISD	ALL	-	-	0.7	AMIGOS POR VIDA-FRIE	ALL	8	219	3.7
	KG 01	0	46 51 45 49 60 42 - 40 - 42 26	2.6		KG 01	- 0 - 0 0	-	3.2 7.0
	02	0	51 45	0.0		02	0	42	4.5
	04 05	0	49 60	0.0		04 05	0	17 19	0.0
	06 07	0	42	0.0 2.3		06	-	-	14.3
	08 09	0	40	0.0					
	10	_ 0	42	2.1					
AMARILLO ISD	ALL	829 	26,303	3.2	ANAHUAC ISD	ALL	62 18 7 8 - - - 7 -	1,326	4.7
	01	51	2,088 2,125 2,160 2,194 2,227 2,148 2,077 2,113 2,020 2,229 1,846 1,535 1,541	2.4		01	18	108	16.7
	03	9	2,194	0.4		03	8	106	7.5
	05	8	2,227	0.7		05	-	-	3.1
	06	45	2,077	2.1		06	-	_	2.5
	08 09	240	2,020 2,229	2.3 10.8		08 09	7	128	1.0 5.5
	10 11	121 84	1,846 1,535	6.6 5.5		10 11	_	_	3.3 1.4
AMERICAN ACADEMY OF	12 AT.T.	80 110	1,541 211	5.2 52 1	ANDERSON-SHIRO CONS		24		
APIDICIONI ACADDRII OI									
	08 09	- 66	100 43 31	37.5 66.0		01 02		_	7.1 2.3
	10	24	43	55.8		03	_	_ 46	8.3
	12	_	_	16.0		05	Ö	43	0.0
						07	-	-	2.0
						09		41	8.3 17.1
						10 11	_ 0 0	45	4.1 0.0
AMERICAN YOUTH WORKS					ANDREWS ISD	ALL	58	2,970	2.0
	09	19	 59	32.2		KG	16	194	8.2
	10 11	18 16	59 30 37 16	60.0 43.2		01 02	16 13 11 6	193 206	6.7 5.3
	12	13	16	81.3		03 04	6	205	2.9
						05 06	- - 0 0	_	0.4
						07	0	239	0.0
						09 10	-	243	1.1
						11	-	- - -	0.5
						12	-	_	1.1

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Bistorial and the second secon	Q 1	All St			Pintala	0 1 .		tudents	D. L.
District	Grade				District		Retained	Total	Rate
ANGLETON ISD	ALL				APPLE SPRINGS ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 		2.5
ANNA ISD	ALL	20 11 0 - 0 0 0 0 0	867 71 56 65 72 70 73	2.3 15.5 0.0 3.1 0.0 1.6 0.0 0.0 1.7 3.3 1.3 0.0 2.4	AQUILLA ISD	ALL			2.4
ANSON ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	11 		1.4 6.1 4.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.4 1.7 1.6	ARANSAS COUNTY ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	106 	3,094 	3.4 1.9 10.3 2.4 0.8 0.4 3.0 0.9 0.4 1.1 11.3 7.4 3.0 1.5
ANTHONY	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47 46 48 52 54 63 56 53 47 65 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ARANSAS PASS ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	106	1,992 147 155 167 181	5.3 5.4 4.5 4.8 6.6 2.4 1.8 2.3 2.5
ANTON ISD	ALL				ARCHER CITY ISD	ALL 	17 	542 41 - 38 45 34 45 45 45 41	3.1

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			tudents			-		Students	
District	Grade				District		Retained		Rate
ARGYLE ISD			904		ATHENS ISD	ALL	113	3,124	3.6
	01 02	0		0.0		01 02	15 14	239 249 240 232 242 239 273 210 300	5.8 6.0
	03	0	76 96	0.0		03	8 7	232	3.4
	05	0	85	0.0		05	5	239	2.1
	07 08	0	91 78	0.0		07 08	10	210	1.4
	09 10	8	82	9.8		09 10	27	300	9.0
						11 12	- -	-	
ARLINGTON CLASSICS A					ATLANTA ISD	ALL	15	1,776	0.8
	KG 01	_ 0	48 - 18 17 - 15 11	6.3 0.0		KG 01	0 _	115 - 121 144 138 150 134 - 160	0.0 3.0
	02 03	-	-	6.1 3.2		02 03	0	121	0.8
	05	0	18 17	0.0		05	0	144	0.0
	06	0	15 11	0.0		06	0	134	0.0
	09	_	-	18.2		09	6	160	3.8
						11 12		120	0.8
ARLINGTON ISD	ALL	2,698	54,422	5.0	AUBREY ISD	ALL	_	_	0.2
	KG 01	89 188	4,357 4,441	2.0 4.2		KG 01	0	70 65	0.0
	02 03	90 63	4,461 4,477	2.0 1.4		02 03	0	- 84	1.7 0.0
	04 05	57 46	4,600 4,561	1.2 1.0		04 05	0	- 71	1.3
	06 07	22 101	4,486 4,511	0.5 2.2		06 07	0	73 88	0.0
	08 09	102 1,348	4,353 5,142	2.3		08 09	0	73 76	0.0
	10 11 12	209 204 179	4,357 4,441 4,461 4,477 4,600 4,561 4,486 4,511 4,353 5,142 3,245 2,784 3,004	6.4 7.3		10 11	0	70 65 - 84 - 71 73 88 73 76 74 55	0.0
ARP ISD	71 T T	20	7.01	2 (AUSTIN ISD	ALL	3,375	68,943	4.9
	KG 01	-	764 - - 60 52 59 68 76 - 92	3.6		KG 01	135 256	5,806 6,149 5,795 5,676 5,729 5,540 5,200 5,514 5,171 5,906	2.3
	02	- 8	- 60	1.5		02	129	5,795 5,676	2.2
	04 05	0	52 59	0.0		04 05	57 29	5,729 5,540	1.0
	06 07	0	68 76	0.0		06 07	71 157	5,200 5,514	1.4
	08 09	- 5	- 92	1.6 5.4		08 09	117 1,122	5,171 5,906	2.3 19.0
	10	0	60 51	0.0		10	100	4,801 3,808 3,848	100
ASPERMONT ISD	12 ALL	<u>-</u> 0	-	0.0	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI ISD		419		10.9 7.9
	KG		 18	0.0	11001111111 111011 101				
	01 02	0	16 18	0.0		01 02	0 _	15	0.0
	03 04	0	13 11	0.0		03 04	0	7 15	0.0
	05 06	0	20 16	0.0		05 06	0	10 17	0.0
	07 08	0	25 22	0.0		07 08	- -	- - -	19.0 11.8
	09 10	0	19 13	0.0		09 10	5	20	25.0 6.7
	11 12	0	15 26	0.0		11 12	0	10 7	0.0
	11 12	0	18 16 18 13 11 20 16 25 22 19 13 15 26	0.0		11 12	0	15 -7 15 10 17 20 -10 7	

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total		District		Retained	Total	Rate
AVALON ISD					BAIRD ISD				2.3
	KG 01	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_ 16	17.6 0.0		KG 01	-	24 29 22 33 - - 33 43	5.3 11.1
	02 03	0	17 13	0.0		02 03	0	24 29	0.0
	04 05	0	21 14	0.0		04 05	_ 0	_ 22	4.0
	06 07	0	16 13	0.0		06 07	0_	33	0.0 3.6
	08 09	0	16 25	0.0		08 09	-	-	2.7
	10 11	0 -	22	0.0 5.9		10 11	0	33 43	2.7 0.0 0.0
	12	0	21	0.0					
AVERY ISD		7			BALLINGER ISD				
	01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	38	0.0		01	8 -	71 - 67 71 76 88 78 80 102	2.8
	03	-	31	8.0		03	0	67 71	0.0
	05	-	32 _ 21	4.5		05	0	76 76	0.0
	06	0	32	0.0		06	0	78	0.0
	08 09 10	0	27	0.0		08	13	102	12.7
	11 12	_	- - -	4 5		11 12	- - -		4.6
AVINGER ISD	AT.T.	5	157	3.2	BALMORHEA ISD	ALL	-	-	
	KG 01	0	5 a	0.0					9.1
	02	0	12 13	0.0		02	0	16 17 12 16 22 - 17 18 21 18	0.0
	04	0	8	0.0		04 05	0	12	0.0
	06 07	0	15 15	0.0		06 07	0	16	0.0
	08	-	10	7.1		08	<u>-</u>	17	5.0
	10	-	_	14.3		10 11	0	18 21	0.0
	12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0							
AXTELL ISD				3.4	BANDERA ISD				
	01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	41 46	0.0		KG 01 02 03	11 - - - - 5 -	152 - -	7.2 1.1
	03	-	39 - 31	7.1		03	-	- - -	1.1
	05	0	42	0.0		05	-	-	0.5
	07	-	- - 70	1.7		06	- - -	233	2.1
						08 09	56 12	252	0.5
	10 11 12	- - -	- - -	2.7 4.3 2.2		10 11 12	12 5 13	252 173 148 144	3.4
AZLE ISD	ALL	260	5,581	4.7	BANGS ISD	ALL	36	1,057	3.4
		34	429	7.9 5.6		KG	6		8.1
	02 03	22 12 7 6	392 423 398 459	2.8 1.8		02	- - 0 0	- - -	3.8 2.7 3.3
	04 05			1.3		04	0	77 84	0.0
	06 07	- 7 25	484 465	1.4 5.4		06 07	- -		3.1 4.2
	08	8	460	1.7		07 08 09 10	- - 7	- 89	3.1
	10	13	574 391 350 297	17.8 3.3 3.4		10	-	_	7.9 2.6
	11 12	12 10	350 297	3.4		11 12			3.3 1.7

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S					All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	l Total	Rate	District				Rate
BANQUETE ISD	ALL 	11 	770	1.4	BEAUMONT ISD	ALL 	1,267	19,203	6.6
	KG 01	- -	- -	1.7		KG 01	62 119	1,488 1,645	4.2 7.2
	02	- -	54	3.3		02	63 47	1,554	4.1 3.1
	05	0	55 50	0.0		05	∠6 9	1,573 1,515	0.6
	07	0	58 60	0.0		07	61 21	1,507	4.0
	09		-	4.4		09	523 158	1,869	28.0
	11 12	0 -	54 - 55 55 55 59 58 69 - - 56	0.0		11 12	74 53	1,488 1,645 1,554 1,519 1,573 1,515 1,530 1,507 1,563 1,869 1,261 1,127 1,052	6.6
BARBERS HILL ISD	ALL	136	2 , 574	5.3	BECKVILLE ISD	ALL	8	357	2.2
	KG 01	25 28	207 205	12.1 13.7		KG 01	0	32 20	0.0
	02 03	6 7	205 227	2.9		02 03	0 -	19 -	0.0 2.9
	05 06	=	- -	1.5		05	_	- - 26	3.6
	07 08	15 11	226 219	6.6		07	0	25 20	0.0
	09 10	19 12	198 209	9.6 5.7		09 10	0	42	4.5
	11 12	-	207 205 205 227 - - 226 219 198 209 -	1.3		11 12	-	32 20 19 - - 26 25 20 - 42	6.5 6.9
BARTLETT ISD	ALL	17	499	3.4	BEEVILLE ISD	ALL	227	3,711	6.1
	KG 01	- -	31 - 39 35 37 - 60 - -	2.9		KG 01	37 35	295 211	1.7 12.5
	03	-	-	3.3		03	12	297	4.0
	05 06	0	39 35	0.0		05 06	-	-	0.8
	07 08	0_	37	0.0		07 08	24 13	292 323	8.2 4.0
	09 10	6 -	60 -	10.0 7.9		09 10	53 12	355 255	14.9 4.7
						11	26 5	295 311 297 - - 292 323 355 255 266 240	9.8 2.1
BASTROP ISD	ALL	206	6,127	3.4	BELLEVUE ISD	ALL	-	-	1.1
	01 02	20	501 465 466 - - 499 552 526 502	4.2		01 02	0	11 10 16 14 13 8 12 13 14	0.0
	03 04	- -	-	0.4		03 04	0	14 13	0.0
	05 06	_ 0	- 499	0.4		05 06	0	8 12	0.0
	07 08	13 10	552 526	2.4 1.9		07 08	0	13 14	0.0
	10	27	112	6.1		10	_		5.3
	11 12	12 33	311 344	3.9 9.6		11 12	0 _	12	0.0 6.3
BAY CITY ISD	ALL		4,151	7.6	BELLS ISD	ALL	11	733	1.5
	KG 01	12 31	268 334 325 321 307 335 323 359 372 389 316 238 264	4.5 9.3		KG 01	0	733 47 - 55 57 - 63 64 - - - 57	0.0 2.1
	02 03	6 16	325 321 307	4.9 1.9		02 03	0	55 57	0.0
	05 06	7 8	335 323	2.1		05 06	-	63	3.5
	07 08	16 14	359 372	4.5		07 08	0	64	0.0
	09 10	154 33	389 316	39.6 10.4		09 10	<u>-</u>	-	2.8
	11 12	8 5	238 264	3.4 1.9		11 12	0 _	57 -	0.0 4.7

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Biological Control	0 1 .	All	Students	B.1.	District	G 1 .	All	Students	D. L.
BELLVILLE ISD					BENJI'S SPECIAL EDUC				
	KG 01	10	126 146	7.9 5.5		KG 01 02	0	8 - - -	22.2
	02 03	0	154 144	0.0		02	-	- - -	4.5 12.5
	04 05	0	_ 159	0.6 0.0		03 04 05	-	_	12.5 11.1
	06 07	7 5	126 146 154 144 - 159 177 169	4.0 3.0		06 07	<u>-</u> -	-	14.3 27.3
			- - - - 178			08	0	8 - -	0.0
	10	-	-	0.7		10	-	-	30.0
						11 12		-	-
BELTON ISD	ALL	169	6 , 315	2.7	BIG SANDY ISD (POLK	ALL	6	428	1.4
	KG 01	18 17	426 442	4.2 3.8		KG 01	0	35	3.1 0.0
((02 03	9	485 501	1.9 1.8		02 03	0	24 37	0.0
	04 05	5 -	500	1.0		04	0	34 39	0.0
	06	5	520	1.0		06	-	-	3.2
	07	12	524 500	2.4		08	0	36	0.0
	09 10	45 24	558 481	8.1 5.0		09 10	_	_	6.7 5.0
	11 12	11 5	426 442 485 501 500 - 520 524 500 558 481 422 441	2.6 1.1		11 12	0	35 24 37 34 39 - 36 31 - 25 34	0.0
BEN BOLT-PALITO BLAN	ALL	14	565	2.5	BIG SANDY ISD (UPSHU	ALL	19	618	3.1
к 0 0 0	KG 01	0	42	0.0 3.1		KG 01	0	54	0.0 3.7
	02	_	_	8.2		02	_	_	3.6
	04	0	47	0.0		04	0	52	0.0
	06	0	41	0.0		06	0	47	0.0
	07 08	0	- 60	2.4		07 08	0	42	3.3 0.0
	09 10	-		6.5		09 10	8	72	11.1
	11 12	_ 0	42 - - 47 56 41 - 60 - 39	5.7		11 12		54 - - 52 - 47 - 42 72 - -	2.6
BENAVIDES ISD	ALL	12	494	2.4	BIG SPRING ISD	ALL	247	3,943	6.3
	KG 01	0	37 - 44 38 31 41	0.0 11.8		KG 01	18 51	303 341 356 279 321 288 336 340	5.9 15.0
	02	_	_	5.3		02	24	356	6.7
	04	0	38	0.0		04	5	321	1.6
	05 06	0	31 41	0.0		06	14	288 336	4.2
	07 08	_ 0	44	2.3		07 08	7	340	2.1 1.2
	09 10		=	10.3		09	56 35	350	16.0
	11 12	0	44 - - 36 36	0.0		11 12	13 7	350 265 227 209	5.7 3.3
BENJAMIN ISD	ALL	_	-	1.1	BIRDVILLE ISD	ALL	804	20,128	4.0
	KG		- 5 12 6 11 5 8 8 10 9 8			KG	87	1,487 1,545 1,588 1,637 1,598 1,681 1,662 1,662 1,645 1,759 1,460 1,198 1,184	5.9
	01 02	_ 0	- 5	0.0		01 02	84 35	1,545 1,588	5.4 2.2
	03 04	0	12 6	0.0		03 04	24 11	1,637	1.5
	05	Ŏ	11	0.0		05	16	1,681	1.0
	07	0	8	0.0		07	30	1,684	1.8
	บช 09	0	8 10	0.0		υ8 09	30 222	⊥,645 1,759	1.8 12.6
	10 11	0	9 8	0.0		10 11	121 59	1,460 1,198	8.3 4.9
	12	Õ	5	0 0		12	38	1.184	3 2

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All St	udents Total	Pato	District	Grade	All Stu Retained		Rate
BISHOP CONS ISD		53			BLESSED SACRAMENT AC		77		35.8
515.161 GGRG 155	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 20 5	79 77 73 91 82 87 88 - 98 112 73 - 74	8.9 11.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 8.2 17.9 6.8 2.3 0.0	DELEGED CHONTENT IN	09 10	30	65 64	46.2 37.5 28.6 25.5
BLACKWELL CONS ISD	ALL	-	-	0.6	BLOOMBURG ISD		-		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 - 14 11 15 12 11 9 13 19 17 16 14	0.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 17 20 16 19 17 18 12 21 15 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
BLANCO ISD	ALL	9	839		BLOOMING GROVE ISD		12		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	65 60 72 73 67 - 69 - 62	1.6 3.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 1.8 0.0 1.4 0.0 5.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 - 0 - - 0	41 51 60 - 69 - 77 - 53	4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 2.6 1.6 0.0 4.6 2.3 3.8 0.0
BLAND ISD	ALL		513	2.3	BLOOMINGTON ISD	ALL	58		6.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 - 0 0 - - 0	43 -44 31 -38 35 46 33 40 26	4.2 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.0 1.9 2.9 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	10 10	78 75 - - - -	13.0 14.3 10.3 0.0 2.3 2.6 1.4 2.7 2.9 8.0 6.5 10.0 9.3
BLANKET ISD	ALL		_	1.2	BLUE RIDGE ISD	ALL	5	629	0.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		16 11 21 19 14 26 20 16 - 21 25	4.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	52 37 53 58 51 59 34 - - 62 40 38	2.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.7 7.7 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St				-		Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
BLUFF DALE ISD			-	5.3	BONHAM ISD	ALL		1,802	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 - 0 0 0	- 9 8 - 6 8 7 5	25.0 20.0 0.0 0.0 - 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 0 5 6 - 0 - 9	131 145 140 158 - 148 - 136	1.5 0.0 0.0 3.6 3.8 0.7 0.6 0.0 2.0 6.6 2.3
						11 12	-	-	1.8 1.9
BLUM ISD					BOOKER ISD			-	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 21 15 20 20 21 7 21 - 23	10.0 4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 29 - 24 20 30 22 28 30 26 - 28 31	0.0 0.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
BOERNE ISD	ALL	104	4,721	2.2	BORDEN COUNTY ISD	ALL	0	153	0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	15 8 6 - 0 - - - 17 31 10 5	339 318 324 - 369 - - - 432 390 315 299	4.4 2.5 1.9 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.9 0.6 3.9 7.9 3.2 1.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 5 9 12 8 14 19 13 16 10 18 9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
BOLES ISD	ALL	17	581	2.9	BORGER ISD	ALL	58	2,854	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	7 - 0 0 0 0 0 0	61 	11.5 4.4 2.2 0.0 4.8 0.0 0.0 2.1 0.0 6.3 0.0 3.6 0.0		05 06 07	10 11 0 - 0 - 5 - 15	212	4.4 5.4 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.9 2.4 0.4 6.1 1.4 2.3
BOLING ISD		15			BOSQUEVILLE ISD	ALL		_	0.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		- - 70 70 72 76 75 84 82 73	2.2 1.3 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.3 0.0 1.7 4.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 - 29 39 38 29 34 35 27 46 41 27 34	0.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			udents		- r			Students	
District	Grade		Total		District	Grade	Retained		Rate
BOVINA ISD					BRADY ISD	ALL		1,267	
	KG 01	0 - - 0 0 0 0 - 0 6 - 0	36 -	0.0 4.9		KG 01	6 -	92	6.5 1.2
	02 03	-	-	2.1 2.5		02 03	0	- 78	0.9
	04 05	0 0	44 39	0.0		04 05	0	101 108	0.0
	06 07	0 _	32	0.0 2.9		06 07	_ 0	109	1.9
	08 09	0 6	37 53	0.0 11.3		08 09	0 6	120 116	0.0 5.2
	10 11	_ 0	- 38	5.1 0.0		10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	3.1 1.5
BOWIE ISD		37 			BRAZOS ISD		15 		
	01	8 11 - - - 0	123	8.9 0.9					
	03	_	_	3.8 2.6		03	_	-	1.4 1.3
	05	_	123	0.7		05	- 0 - - - - 0	_	1.5
	07 08	- -		0.8 1.6		07	_	-	3.5
	09 10	_ _ _	- -	2.2		09	-	76	2.4
	11 12	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	1.1		10 11 12	0 0	69	0.0
BOYD ISD	ALL		938	3.3	BRAZOS RIVER CHARTER				
	KG 01	5 - 0 0 0 0 0	66	7.6 4.5		08 09	- -	_ 	- 4.5
	02 03	_ 0	- 78	1.3		10 11	-	_	15.4 9.1
	04 05	0	86 76	0.0		12	-	-	11.1
	06 07	0	77 -	0.0 4.6					
	08 09	0 13	85 64	0.0 20.3					
	10 11	-	-	4.7 1.9					
BOYS RANCH ISD	12 ALL	- 5	324	1.7	BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR IN	ALL	_	_	6.1
	KG					KG			
	01 02	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	10	14.3		01 02	- -	_	-
	03 04	0 0	6 6	0.0		03 04		- 6	0.0
	05 06	0	12 21	0.0		05 06			8.3
	07 08	0	29 49	0.0		07 08	_ 0 0	- 9 11	0.0
	09 10	_	-	1.7		09 10	_	_	12.5
	11 12	- 0 0	30 34	0.0		11 12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-
BRACKETT ISD	ALL	20	647	3.1	BRAZOSPORT ISD	ALL	418	12,226	3.4
	KG 01	5 6 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	51 44	9.8 13.6		KG 01	24 58 22 - 6 9 16 7 166 41 28 34	916 984	2.6 5.9
	02 03	_ 0	- 52	5.6 0.0		02 03	22	1,011	2.2
	04 05	0	50 55	0.0		04 05	- 6	1,048	0.3
	06 07	0	51 53	0.0		06 07	9 16	951 966	0.9
	08 09	0	47 52	0.0 9.6		08 09	7 166	945 1,051	0.7 15.8
	10 11	0	39	2.1		10 11	41 28	908 767	4.5
	12	Ö	51	0.0		12	34	740	4.6

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	11000011100	ı ıotaı	Nate	District	Grade	Recarried	Total	Rate
ALL	49	1,659	3.0	BRIGHT IDEAS CHARTER	ALL	14	75	18.7
KG	9 	111	8.1		KG	0	5	0.0
02	- -	127	1.6		02	-	_	7.7 9.1
03 04	_	-	1.6 1.4		04	-	-	11.1
05 06	-	-	0.8 2.9		05 06	-	_	- 60.0
07	_ 0	- 130	0.8				_	50.0
09	14	144	9.7		09		_	28.6 16.7
11 12	0	122	0.0		11	_	_	-
ALL	5	448	1.1	BROADDUS ISD				3.9
KG 01	0 -	29 -	0.0		KG	_	_	4.5 0.0
02	0	45	0.0		02	-	37	5.7
04	0	26	0.0		04	-	- -	5.4
06	0	42 32	0.0		06	<u> </u>	25 -	0.0 3.4
07 08	0	- 37	2.7 0.0		07 08	0	31	7.9 0.0
09 10	_ 0	44	3.6		09 10	_	_	7.1 11.4
11 12	0	_ 29	2.3		11 12	0	_ 31	5.6 0.0
ALL	201	4,449	4.5	BROCK ISD				
KG 01	10 15	257 277	3.9 5.4		KG 01	_ 0	- 39	2.3
02	19 11	308 313	6.2		02	0	41 55	0.0
04	5	334	1.5		04	0	63 51	0.0
			0.9		06	0	43	0.0
07	-	-	0.8		07	0	63 53	0.0
09 10	58 51	438 385	13.2 13.2		09 10	7 -	63 -	11.1 5.0
11 12	13 8	346 324	3.8 2.5		11 12	-	_	5.8 2.7
ALL	104			BRONTE ISD	ALL	171	522	32.8
KG 01	_ 27	217	1.8 12.4		KG 01	-	- -	5.0 3.7
02 03	8 –	211	3.8 1.0		02	-	_	4.3
	-		2.0		04	-	-	4.2
06	_		0.5 1.0		05 06	0	20 17	0.0
			4.8		07 08	0	16	0.0
09	29	225	12.9		09	102	157 75	65.0 54.7
11 12		-	0.5 1.7		11 12	14	51 38	27.5 21.1
ALL	84	2,000	4.2	BROOKELAND ISD	ALL	22	294	7.5
KG 01	8 7	125 121	6.4		KG 01	0	15	0.0
02	8	160	5.0		02	<u>-</u>	_	6.9
04	0	171	0.0		04	-	_	11.1
05 06	8	150	0.6 5.3		05 06	0	24	0.0 4.2
07 08	0 _	165	0.0 1.7		07 08	- 6	_ 18	15.8 33.3
09 10	19 13	167 175	11.4		09 10	-	_	7.7
÷ ~		100	· • -		4.0		4.5	1.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	KG 9 01 5 02 - 03 - 04 - 05 - 06 - 07 - 08 0 09 14 10 6 11 0 12 - ALL 5 - KG 0 01 - 02 0 03 - 04 0 05 0 06 0 07 - 08 0 09 - 10 1 11 - 12 0 ALL 201	KG 9 111 01 5 127 02	KG 9 111 8.1 01 5 127 3.9 02 -	RG	NG	RG	RG

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			tudents					Students	
District					District				Rate
BROOKESMITH ISD		_ 	_ 		BROWNWOOD ISD				
	KG 01	0	5 13	0.0		KG 01	8	273 277	3.3
	03	0	11	0.0		03	8	292	1.1
	05	-	14	7.7		05	0	258	2.2 0.0 0.4
	07	0	16	0.0		07	=	277 292 277 258 -	0.3
	09	-	17	6.3		09	67 22	318 267	21.1
	11 12	0	5 13 10 11 14 - 16 16 11 - 17 21 20	0.0		11 12	13 8	318 267 246 252	5.3 3.2
BROOKS COUNTY ISD	ALL	59	1,687	3.5	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY ISD	ALL	30	906	3.3
	KG 01	-	106 - 138 147 - 127	0.9 2.5		KG 01		- - - 71 - 70	3.4 1.5
	02 03	0 _	106	0.0 0.7		02 03	0	- 71	5.5 0.0
	04 05	0 0	138 147	0.0		04 05	0	71 70 60 88 89 116	1.5
	06 07	0	127	0.8		06 07	0	60 88	0.0
	08 09	22	157	14.0		08 09	16	116	13.8
	11 12	8 8	127 - 157 135 113 152	7.1 5.3		11 12	_ _ _	- - -	5.5
BROWNFIELD ISD	ALL	56	1,874	3.0	BRYAN ISD	ALL	932	12,556	7.4
	KG 01	9	129 143	7.0 1.5		KG 01	69 159	1,079 1,164	6.4 13.7
	02 03	12	143	8.4 0.7		02 03	29 24	1,050 1,060	2.8 2.3
	04 05	_	_	0.8		04 05	19	990	1.9
	06 07	-	<u> </u>	1.3		06	26 36	1,057 958	2.5 3.8
	09	16	193	8.3		09	449	1,243	36.1
	11 12	0	193 162 - 102	0.6		11 12	25 33	1,079 1,164 1,050 1,060 990 - 1,057 958 947 1,243 701 668 646	3.7 5.1
BROWNSBORO ISD	ALL	52 	2,358 	2.2	BRYSON ISD	ALL	5	233	
	KG 01	6 13	167 198	3.6 6.6		KG 01	<u> </u>	- . -	11.1 6.7
	02 03	- -	-	1.6		02 03	0	18 16	0.0
	05	0	198 - - 167 - 178	2.0		05	0	18 16 18 17 22	0.0
	07 08	_	- - 178	1.0 0.5 0.0		06 07 08	- -		0.0 3.6 6.3
	09 10	14 5	199 173	7.0 2.9		09 10	_ _ 0	16	5.6 0.0
	11 12	- -		0.7		11 12	0	18	0.0
BROWNSVILLE ISD	ALL	2,230	37 , 292	6.0	BUCKHOLTS ISD	ALL	6	188	
	KG 01	32 233 137 78 35 25 132 140 88 876 207 125 122	3,050 3,336	1 0					
	02 03	137 78	3,288 3,182	7.0 4.2 2.5		02 03	_ 0	_ 19	5.3
	04 05	35 25	3,085 3,043	1.1		04 05	0	14 18	0.0
	06 07	132 140	3,044 2,993	4.3		06 07	0	17	0.0
	08 09	88 876	2,636 3,494	3.3		08 09	0	11	15.4
	10 11 12	125 122	2,204 2,040	9.4 6.1 6.4		10 11 12	0	15 - 19 14 18 - 17 11 - 16 12	0.0
	14	144	± , ∪ ⊅ /	0.4		14	U	J	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District Crade Notations State District Crade Notations Parts Parts			All Stu	dents					tudents	
No. No.	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
03	BUENA VISTA ISD			-		BURKBURNETT ISD			3,307	3.4
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 756 4.6 BURKEVILLE ISD ALL - - 0.8		KG 01	0	5 6	0.0		01	8	244	3.3
BUFFALO ISD		02 03	0	7 7	0.0		02 03	-	-	0.7 0.4
BUFFALO ISD		04 05	0	6 13	0.0		04 05	0	287	0.0
BUFFALO ISD		06 07	0_	5 -	0.0 16.7		06 07	_ 0	249	1.1
BUFFALO ISD		08 09	0	8 11	0.0		08 09	- 66	- 311	0.7 21.2
BUFFALO ISD ALL 35 756 4.6 80 10 58 10 58 10 58 10 58 10 10 58 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		10 11	0	11 9	0.0		10 11	-	-	1.6
NG										
03	BUFFALO ISD	ALL	35 	756 	4.6	BURKEVILLE ISD				
03		01	13	69	18.8		01	- -	17	4.2
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 135 25.9 BURLESON ISD ALL 175 6,052 2.9		03	-	-	1.7		03	0	29 23	0.0
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 135 25.9 BURLESON ISD ALL 175 6,052 2.9		05	0	53	0.0		05	0	36 37	0.0
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 135 25.9 BURLESON ISD ALL 175 6,052 2.9		07	-	-	1.5		07	0	37	0.0
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 135 25.9 BURLESON ISD ALL 175 6,052 2.9		08 09	-	-	5.3		09	-	29 -	2.5
BUILDING ALTERNATIVE ALL 35 135 25.9 BURLESON ISD ALL 175 6,052 2.9		11	0	59 43	0.0		11	0	22	0.0
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9 KG 5 97 5.2 KG 01 1.1 01 2.0 02 0 102 0 0 102 0 0 103 0 0 113 0 0 03 1.8 03 0 113 0 0 03 0.5 04 2.2 04 05 1.0 08 5 115 4.3 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 11 17 181 39 12 6 78 77 65 11 17 181 39 12 6 78 77 70 5 11 70 12 5 191 2.6 BUNA ISD ALL 32 1,517 2.1 BURNHAM WOOD CHARTER ALL 9 113 8.0 5.9 03 1.9 04 05 06 5.9 03 1.9 04 05 06 1.9 07 07 0 12 08 1.9 09 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	BUILDING ALTERNATIVE					BURLESON ISD	ALL	175	6,052	2.9
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9 KG 5 97 5.2 KG 01 1.1 01 01 2.0 02 0 102 0.0 02 1.8 03 03 0 113 0.0 03 03 1.8 05 06 12 117 10.3 06 0.5 06 12 117 10.3 07 1.0 08 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 32 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 32 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 38 08 12 6 78 77 6 70 12 12 7 13 10 7 181 38 08 10 10 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 05 01							KG	14	425	3.3
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9		10 11	6	33			01 02	8 9	410 449	2.0
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9		12	14	37	37.8		03	<u>-</u> 5	437	1.1
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9							05 06	5 16	483 551	1.0 2.9
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9 KG 5 97 5.2 01 01 02 01 01 02 01 01 02 01 01 02 03 01 03 01 03 01 03 03 01 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 04 05 06 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 08 07 07 07 08 07 07 08 09 08 08 09 09 09 01 01 01 02 03 08 09 09 01 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08							07 08	7 8	465 545	1.5
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9 KG 5 97 5.2 01 01 02 01 01 02 01 01 02 01 01 02 03 01 03 01 03 01 03 03 01 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 03 04 05 06 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 07 08 07 07 07 08 07 07 08 09 08 08 09 09 09 01 01 01 02 03 08 09 09 01 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08 08							09 10	57 19	541 441	10.5 4.3
BULLARD ISD ALL 52 1,302 4.0 BURNET CONS ISD ALL 53 2,754 1.9 KG 5 97 5.2 KG 01 1.1 01 01 2.0 02 0 102 0.0 02 1.8 03 03 0 113 0.0 03 03 1.8 05 06 12 117 10.3 06 0.5 06 12 117 10.3 07 1.0 08 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 09 10 112 8.9 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 32 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 32 12 6 78 77 65 11 7 181 38 08 12 6 78 77 6 70 12 12 7 13 10 7 181 38 08 10 10 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 01 2.1 00 05 01							11 12	5 18	380 408	1.3
02	BULLARD ISD					BURNET CONS ISD	ALL	53	2,754 	1.9
03		01			1.1		UΙ	-		2.0
05		03	0	102 113	0.0		03	-	_	0.5
BUNA ISD ALL SG O O O O O O O O O O O O O		0.5	_	_	2.7		04	-	-	0.5
BUNA ISD ALL SG O O O O O O O O O O O O O		06 07	_	_	10.3		06 07	_	_	
BUNA ISD ALL 32 1,517 2.1 BURNHAM WOOD CHARTER ALL 9 113 8.0 RG 0.9 RG 0 25 0.0 01 2.6 01 4.3 02 2.7 02 02 5.9 03 1.9 03 6.3 04 1.9 04 0 12 0.0 05 0 120 0.0 09 5 10 50.0 06 0.8 11 16.7 07 0 123 0.0 12 0.0 08 - 0 0.8 09 11 136 8.1 10 3.3 11 1.0		08 09	5	115 112	4.3 8.9		08 09	_	_	
BUNA ISD ALL 32 1,517 2.1 BURNHAM WOOD CHARTER ALL 9 113 8.0 RG 0.9 RG 0 25 0.0 01 2.6 01 4.3 02 2.7 02 02 5.9 03 1.9 03 6.3 04 1.9 04 0 12 0.0 05 0 120 0.0 09 5 10 50.0 06 0.8 11 16.7 07 0 123 0.0 12 0.0 08 - 0 0.8 09 11 136 8.1 10 3.3 11 1.0		T0	- 5	- 77	2.1 6.5		10 11	5 7	219 181	0 0
KG 0.9 KG 0 25 0.0 01 2.6 01 4.3 02 2.7 02 5.9 03 1.9 03 6.3 04 1.9 04 0 12 0.0 05 0 120 0.0 09 5 10 50.0 06 0.8 11 16.7 07 0 123 0.0 08 0.8 09 11 136 8.1 10 3.3 11 1.0	DIBIA TOD					DUDNUAM MOOD ON A DWDD				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BUNA ISD					BUKNHAM WOOD CHARTER			25	
07		01	_	-	2.6		01	-	_ _	4.3
07			-	-	1.9		03	_	- 12	6.3
07		05	0	120	0.0		09	5	10	50.0
11 - 1.0		07	0	123	0.0		12	-	_	
11 1.0		0.5	11	136	8.1					
12 2.4		11	-	-	1.0					
		14	=	-	۷.4					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	-	All Stu				- 1		Students	
District					District				Rate
BURTON ISD	ALL 	22 	442	5.0	CALALLEN ISD	ALL	121	4,125	2.9
	01	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 9 0	-	10.3		KG 01	8	258 286 - - 337 392 384 343 334 361	3.1
	03	0	32	0.0		03	-	200	1.1
	05	0	29	0.0		05	_	_	0.7
	07	9	57 30	15.8		07	6	337	1.8
	09	_	-	7.0		09	54 17	384	14.1
	11	<u>-</u> 0	_ 30	12.0		11	5	334 361	1.5
BUSHLAND ISD	ALL	-	-	0.8	CALDWELL ISD	ALL	90	1,817	5.0
	KG 01	 - -	 - -	1.9		KG 01	10	138 125 129 149 - 133 - 168 147 - 114	7.2
	02	- - 0 0 0 0 0	- 59	1.8		02	11	129	8.5
	04	0	68 57	0.0		04	5	149	3.4
	06 07	0	64 72	0.0		06 07	0	133	0.0
	08	Ö	57	0.0		08 09	_ 29	_ 168	2.3 17.3
						10 11	16	147	10.9
BYERS ISD	7) T T	0	1 2 2	0.0	CALHOUN CO ISD				
PIEVO 19D	KC 			0.0	CALHOUN CO 15D	KC 	14	286	4 9
	01 02	0	15 7	0.0		01 02	24	329 348	7.3
	03 04	0 -	8 -	0.0		03 04	10 5	348 327	2.9
	05 06	0	10 15	0.0		05 06	0	305	0.0
	07 08	0	10 10	0.0		07 08	_ 0	306	0.7
	09 10	0	14 6	0.0		09 10	80 29	344 254	23.3 11.4
	11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 12	0.0		11 12	22 -	286 329 348 348 327 305 - 306 344 254 213	10.3
BYNUM ISD	ALL	6	211	2.8	CALLISBURG ISD	ALL	25	1,021	2.4
	KG 01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13	0.0 7.1		KG 01		94 - 96	3.0 5.2
	03	0	16 16	0.0		03	_	-	2.8
	05	0	18	0.0		05	_	94 - 06	2.5
	07 08	<u>-</u>	- 16	5.6		07 08		-	1.2
	09 10	0	22	0.0 17.6		09 10		98	8.2
	11	_ 0	_ 13				_ 0	_ 51	4.2
CADDO MILLS ISD	ALL		992		CALVERT ISD	ALL		292	3.4
	KG 01	-	_						
	02	-	-	1.3		02 03	<u>-</u> -	21 - - 30 25 30 - - -	4.0
	04 05	- -	-	1.3 1.2		04 05	0	30 25	0.0
	06 07	- - - 0 6 -	_	2.2 1.5		06 07	0 -	30	0.0 4.3
	08 09	0 6	89 105	0.0 5.7		08 09	_ 	_	3.7 8.7
	11	0	- - - 89 105 - 55	4.2		11	_ 0	13	0.0
	12	0	60	0.0		12	-	-	5.9

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents				All S	tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
CALVIN NELMS CHARTER			152		CANUTILLO ISD	ALL	290	4,114	7.0
	09 10 11 12	14 12 15 17	30 41 40 41	46.7 29.3 37.5 41.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	9 13 8 6 - 7 - - 106 666 37 25	326 338 327 364 - 327 - - - 352 280 244	2.8 3.8 2.4 1.6 1.0 2.1 1.2 0.9 0.7 30.1 23.6 15.2
CAMERON ISD	AT.T.	39	1.580	2.5	CANYON ISD	12 AT.T.	25 229	212 7.228	11.8
0.1.2.1.0.1. 1.0.1	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	5	106 - - - 124 120 112 145 145		3.1.15.1 <u>102</u>	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	13 17 7 - 0 - 25 23 61 49 19	485 493 523 528 - 615 637 680 601 511 473	2.7 3.4 1.3 0.4 0.0 0.3 0.2 4.1 3.6 9.0 8.2 3.7 2.1
CAMPBELL ISD	ALL	9	316	2.8	CAREER PLUS LEARNING				20.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 - - 15 30 24 31 - 20 26 20	10.5 4.5 0.0 10.3 8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		06 07	-		15.4
CANADIAN ISD	ALL	78	766	10.2	CARLISLE ISD	ALL		450	3.3
	10	13	85	15.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0	=	2.3 5.4
CANTON ISD	ALL	38	1,600		CARRIZO SPRINGS CONS	ALL	105	2,321	4.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	38 	120 107 - 148 - 148 124 129 - - -	5.0 4.7 1.8 0.0 1.6 0.0 4.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	12 10 - - 7 15 - 25 15 - 8	146 193 - - 171 206 - 201 177 - 143	8.2 5.2 1.1 0.5 1.1 0.5 4.1 7.3 1.6 12.4 8.5 2.7 5.6

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	-		tudents				All S		
					District				
CARROLL ISD	KG 01	15 10	391 506 - - 545 - 542 - 489 436	3.8	CEDAR HILL ISD	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12		366 420 465 - 453 - 521 567 525 631 472 371 372	4.0
CARROLLTON-FARMERS B	ALL	958	22,429	4.3	CEDAR RIDGE CHARTER	ALL	52	78	66.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	28 42 32 37 13 6 9 19 20 386 193 80 93	1,844 1,862 1,788 1,869 1,853 1,727 1,773 1,809 1,764 2,006 1,620 1,307 1,207	1.5 2.3 1.8 2.0 0.7 0.3 0.5 1.1 19.2 11.9 6.1 7.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 5 5 5 - - 5 10 7 -	5 11 6 - 10 12 10	100.0 45.5 83.3 66.7 42.9 50.0 83.3 70.0
CARTHAGE ISD	ALL	57	2,895	2.0	CELESTE ISD	ALL	5	433	1.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	16 6 - - 0 5 - 6 -	198 210 252 - 214 245 - 249	8.1 2.9 2.4 1.7 0.4 0.0 2.0 0.9 2.4 1.9 1.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 -33 31 37 37 37 37 -34 37 30	0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.9 0.0 2.8 0.0 0.0
CASTLEBERRY ISD	ALL	184	3,102	5.9	CELINA ISD	ALL	18	1,123	1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	6 21 9 0 - 7 8 - 57	233 229 243 258 - 268 232 - 293 233 176 176	2.6 9.2 3.7 0.0 1.6 0.7 2.6 3.4 1.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	111 84 87 79 - 100	8.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.4 0.0 2.2
CAYUGA ISD	ALL				CENTER ISD		98		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		- 48 45 - 53 41 56 - - 32			KG 01 02	6 12 10 6 - - 8 10 24 - 8	199 173 166	3.0 6.9 6.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	Students				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
CENTER POINT ISD	ALL	11	521	2.1	CHANNELVIEW ISD	ALL	407	6,322	6.4
	KG	0	34	0.0		KG	7	471	1.5
	01	0	30	0.0		01	9 11	540 564	2.0
	03	_	-	2.4		03	6	528	1.1
	05	0	41	0.0		05	_	212	0.4
	06 07	0	40	0.0		06 07	- 21	- 528	0.2
	08	-	_	2.4		08	6	462	1.3
	09 10	_	_	5.7 6.5		09 10	225 34	617 363	36.5 9.4
	11	0	34 - 30 - 39 41 40 - - - 29	0.0		11	29	471 540 564 528 513 - - 528 462 617 363 317	9.1
		-	-	3.4		12	49	332	14.8
CENTERVILLE ISD (LEO	ALL	10	679	1.5	CHANNING ISD	ALL	0	141	0.0
	KG 01	0	43	0.0		KG 01	0	7 10	0.0
	02	-	-	7.0		02	Ö	10	0.0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0	03	-	_	2.4		03	0	6 13	0.0
	05	_	-	3.3		05	0	14	0.0
	07	0	45	0.0		07	0	17	0.0
	08	0	64	0.0		08 09	0	12 12	0.0
	10	0	59	0.0		10	Ö	11	0.0
	12	0	43 - - - 59 45 64 - 59 - 53	0.0		12	0	7 10 10 6 13 14 13 17 12 12 11 9	0.0
CENTERVILLE ISD (TRI	ALL	6	154	3.9	CHAPEL HILL ISD (SMI	ALL	89	2,826	3.1
K 0 0	KG 01	0	11	0.0		KG 01	5 1.8	212	2.4
	02	Ŏ	6	0.0		02	7	193	3.6
	03	0	9	0.0		03	5 -	210	2.4 0.9
	05	0	8	0.0		05	-	205	0.4
	07	0	21	0.0		07	-	203	1.4
	08 09	0 5	21 18	0.0 27.8		08 09	- 15	250	0.8
	10	Ö	13	0.0		10	10	199	5.0
	12	0	11 6 6 9 8 12 21 21 18 13 9	0.0		12	8 7	212 222 193 210 - - 205 - - 250 199 219 194	3.7
CENTRAL	ALL	56	1,573	3.6	CHAPEL HILL ISD (TIT	ALL	13	739	1.8
	KG 01	_	140 - 140 - 135 125	0.9 1.6		KG 01	-	-	7.3 4.3
	02	0	140	0.0		02	_	-	3.8
	03	0	140	0.0		03	0	54	0.0
	05 06	_ 0	_ 135	0.8		05 06	0	- 65 54 66 51 60	0.0
	07	Ö	125	0.0		07	Ö	60	0.0
	08 09	23	122 102	0.9 18.9		08 09	- -	56 -	0.0 4.7
	10 11	10	102	9.8		10 11	-	_	1.6
	12	9 7	106 98	8.5 7.1		12	0	47	1.7
CENTRAL HEIGHTS ISD			659	2.1	CHARLOTTE ISD	ALL	12	447	
	KG		- 55 56 57 53 49 54 44	7.5		KG	0	31 - 38 32 37 30 33 33 -	0.0
	02	0	_ 55	0.0		02	_	_	4.8
	03	0	56 57	0.0		03	0	38	0.0
	05	0	53	0.0		05	0	37	0.0
	06 07	0 0	49 54	0.0		06 07	0	30 33	0.0
	08	0 -	44	0.0		08	Ö	33	0.0
	09 10	-	- -	7.3 2.3		10	-	_	9.7 5.4
	11 12	-	-	3.0 2.6		11 12	- - 0	- 27	3.3

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All Stu Retained		Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
CHEROKEE ISD	ALL	6	144	4.2	CHILDRESS ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 8 - 8 6 7 13 22 13 - 13 11	0.0 0.0 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 0 0 0 0 0 0	93 - 89 81 - 78 -	4.9 5.8 0.0 0.0 2.3 0.0 0.0 1.1 0.0 3.0 1.1 2.3 1.3
CHESTER ISD		_ 	_ 		CHILLICOTHE ISD			_ 	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 10 8 15 14 16 13 - - 18 17 16	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.9 5.6 8.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 14 21 16 20 19 23 21 11 19	0.0 0.0 5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
CHICO ISD	ALL	14	612	2.3	CHILTON ISD	ALL	23	309	7.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	45 38 39 51 46 45 59 - 52 72 - 34	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 13.9 4.9 0.0 3.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 8 - - 0 0 5 5	26 21 - - 26 - 12 24 - 24	0.0 38.1 8.7 3.4 4.8 0.0 6.9 0.0 20.8 9.1 4.3 0.0
CHILDREN FIRST ACADE				0.6	CHINA SPRING ISD	ALL	25	1 , 539	1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 - 0 0 0 0	20 39 - 29 19 21 16 10	0.0 0.0 3.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 0 0 0 - 0 - 5 0	110 	2.5 6.4 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.9 0.0 1.3 0.7 3.6 0.0 3.3
CHILDREN FIRST ACADE					CHIRENO ISD	ALL			0.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 - 0 0	- 44 45 - 27 20 21	3.0 8.5 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 -24 20 27 20 28 35 19 14 29 17 30	0.0 6.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All St Retained		Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
CHISUM ISD	ALL	9	752	1.2	CLARKSVILLE ISD			1,084	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 0	54 62 66 - 63 61 65 -	2.2 3.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0				94 - - 74 - 99 90 90	1.3 7.4 4.1 1.2 4.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 0.0 12.2 4.1 1.2 2.4
CHRISTOVAL ISD	ALL	6	378	1.6	CLAUDE ISD	ALL	9	367	2.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 25 23 28 38 30 38 37 -	13.3 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 - 0 - - 0	31 24 28 34 - - 33 3 - 30 30	15.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 0.0 4.3 3.7 4.8 0.0 3.1 0.0
CISCO ISD	ALL	32	811	3.9	CLEAR CREEK ISD	ALL	699	28,111	2.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 12 7	54 42 55 66 - 65 - 62 63 75 84 -	9.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.4 0.0 1.6 0.0 16.0 8.3 1.9 5.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	32 44 36 23 17 6 29 52 36 244 76 67 37	1,956 2,124 2,219 2,226 2,324 2,263 2,358 2,412 2,311 2,356 2,079 1,864 1,619	1.6 2.1 1.6 1.0 0.7 0.3 1.2 2.2 1.6 10.4 3.7 3.6 2.3
CITY VIEW ISD	ALL	25 	859	2.9	CLEBURNE ISD	ALL	394	5 , 720	6.9
	∩1	6 0 0 0 0 0	- - - 74 78 -	7.4 4.4 3.4 1.3 2.5 0.0 0.0 3.2 3.0 5.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	24 42 28 9 - - 20 - 156 42 33 28	483 521 469 471 - - 465 - 565 393 281 324	5.0 8.1 6.0 1.9 0.9 0.5 0.7 4.3 0.7 27.6 10.7 11.7 8.6
CLARENDON ISD	ALL	14	481	2.9	CLEVELAND ISD	ALL	187	2,934	6.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 0 - 0 - 0 0 0	33 24 46 43 37 40 43 34 	6.1 15.2 0.0 5.4 0.0 5.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 16 8 - 23 13 67 20 9	220 287 270 - - 266 212 227 197 164 128	4.5 5.6 3.0 1.7 0.8 1.3 8.6 6.1 29.5 10.2 5.5 6.3

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Crada	Potoir-	Students	Data	District	Crada	Potained	Students	Data
				COLDSPRING-OAKHURST	ALL	130	1 , 752	7.4
KG 01	_	- -	2.4 4.0		KG 01	0 18	122 145	0.0 12.4
02 03	_	-	4.8 2.2		02 03	-	_	1.5 2.3
04 05	0	98 110	0.0		04 05	0	139	0.0
06	<u>-</u>	-	4.5		06	9 12	145 164	6.2
08	0	95	0.0		08	10	133	7.5
10	-	96	2.4		10	7	125	5.6
12	0	65 63	0.0		12	-	85	3.7
ALL	254	6,994	3.6	COLEMAN ISD	ALL	25	967	2.6
KG 01	- 37	- 574	0.6 6.4		KG 01	- 	_	1.9 3.1
02 03	9 14	575 616	1.6 2.3		02 03	0 -	66 -	0.0 4.2
04 05	6 8	605 577	1.0 1.4		04 05	_ 0	- 72	2.9
06 07	<u>-</u>	-	0.7		06 07		· -	1.2
08	_ 78	- 584	0.3		08	0 12	63 94	0.0
10	36	484	7.4		10	-	-	1.4
12	17	328	5.2		12	0	77	0.0
ALL	46	1,455	3.2	COLLEGE STATION ISD	ALL	188	6,826	
01	_	114	3.7		01	29 22	514	4.3
02	0	102	0.0		02	15 6	540 542	2.8 1.1
04 05	0	116 91	0.0		04 05	_	_	0.4 0.6
06 07	0	- 137	0.7 0.0		06 07	0 _	540	0.0 0.4
08	- 22	_ 1 4 4			08	6 56	529 590	1.1
10	5	114	4.4		10	22	501 465	4.4
			1.1					
ALL	17	847	2.0	COLLINSVILLE ISD				
01	_	_	4.1		01	0	43	0.0
02	0	60 67	0.0		02	0	39	0.0
04 05	0	71 67	0.0		04 05	0 -	40	0.0 2.4
06 07	-	-	1.4 2.8		06 07	0	35 35	0.0
08 09	-	<u>-</u>	3.6 6.3		08	-	-	7.9 0.0
10	0	62	0.0		10	-	_	4.4
12	-	-	1.6		12	_	_	5.0
				COLMESNEIL ISD				
07		_	8.3		KG 01	-	_	5.0 2.1
08 09	_	-			02 03	0	43	2.0
10 11	<u>-</u>	-	25.0		04 05	0	53 44	0.0
12	-	-	-		06 07	0	57 _	0.0
					08	0	40	0.0
					10	_	_	3.9
					12	<u> </u>	33 -	0.0 2.2
	ALL	ALL 31	ALL 31 1,117 KG	ALL 31 1,117 2.8	ALL 31 1,117 2.8 RG	ALL 31 1,117 2.8 COLDSPRING-OAKHURST ALL KG	ALL 31	RG

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Connella	All S Retained	Students	Do+-	District	C== -1=	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
District									
COLORADO ISD			1,011		COMFORT ISD			1,074	
	01	9	72 79 - -	11.4		01	_	09	12.4
	03	-	-	3.8		03	-	- 91	0.0 1.2
	04 05	0	83	5.1 0.0		04 05	0	70 84	0.0
	06 07	_ 0	- 79	1.3		06 07	_ 0	- 86	1.3
	08	_	79 - - 83 - 79 - -	1.3		08	0	86 110	0.0
	10 11	_	-	1.2		10		- 68	2.7
	12	-	-	2.4		12	_	89 - 91 - 70 84 - 86 86 110 - 68	3.2
COLUMBIA-BRAZORIA IS					COMMERCE ISD	ALL	19	1,637	1.2
	KG 01	12 18	224 231 230	5.4 7.8		KG 01	- -	-	2.6
	02 03	10	230	4.3 0.9		02 03	0	119 123	0.0
	04 05	_	_	0.4 1.9		04 05	0	124 128	0.0
	06 07	- 5	216 - 217 208 184	1.3		06 07	0	119 123 124 128 119	0.0 0.7
	08	45	287	0.4		08	-		0.7
	10	16	208	7.7		10	- - - - -	- -	3.3
						12	_	-	1.7
COLUMBUS ISD			1,531		COMMUNITY ISD	ALL	47	1,292	3.6
	KG 01	- 5	106 109 - 108 - 105 134	1.0		KG 01	18	103	1.1 17.5
	02	<u> </u>	109	0.0		02	-	-	3.0
	04 05	0 -	108	0.0 0.9		04 05	6 -	122	4.9 1.7
	06 07	0	105 134	0.0		06 07	-	_	0.9 3.4
	08 09	- 15	- 151			08 09	-	-	4.2 3.6
	10 11	5	151 144 107	3.5 5.6		10 11	0	92 63	0.0
	12	-	_	0.9		12	_	1,292 103 - 122 - - - 92 63 - 736	2.6
COMAL ISD			10,299		COMO-PICKTON CISD				
	01	158 48	786 656 739 782 790 - 841 919 855	7.3		01	5	63 51 - 54 57 55 - 67	7.9
	02	30 18	739 782	2.3		02	0	21	1.9
	04 05	13	790	0.4		04	0	54 57	0.0
	06 07	6 52	841 919	0.7 5.7		06 07	0 -	55 -	0.0 1.6
	08 09	27 197		3.2 18.3		08 09	0 _	67 -	0.0 6.3
	10 11	35	761 625	4.6 3.7		10 11	5 -	65 -	7.7 1.8
	12	29	675	4.3		12	-	-	2.8
COMANCHE ISD	ALL KG		1,341 	1.6 10.1	COMQUEST ACADEMY	ALL 09	10	59 	16.9 20.0
	01 02	-	-	3.9			6 0	16	37.5
	0.3	0	97	0.0		12	_	5 -	0.0 7.1
	04 05	0	107 131	0.9					
	07	_	_	0.0					
	08 09	-	114 - 99	0.0					
	10 11	0	99 72	0.0					
	12	_		1.4					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	C1-	All S	Students	Data	District	C	All	Students	Data
									Rate
COMSTOCK ISD			10 8 9 12 11 15 15 - 15 - 15 7			KG	25	8,902 	3.5
CONNALLY ISD	ALL	100	2,281	4.4	COPPERAS COVE ISD	ALL	360	6 , 523	5.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	23 6 - 0 - 12 8 18 9 8	196 175 - 168 - 177 195 184 167 154	1.5 11.7 3.4 2.3 0.0 0.6 2.2 6.8 4.1 9.8 5.4 5.2 2.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	15 30 9 7 - 11 7 12 18 173 30 18 26	473 523 475 533 - 514 506 529 553 641 454 429 404	3.2 5.7 1.9 1.3 0.8 2.1 1.4 2.3 3.3 27.0 6.6 4.2 6.4
CONROE ISD	ALL	1,120	33,091	3.4	CORPUS CHRISTI ISD	ALL	1,998	35,923	5.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	36 212 81 41 13 29 16 52 35 322 124 126 33	2,215 2,762 2,762 2,540 2,707 2,633 2,803 2,676 2,743 2,657 2,861 2,413 2,113 1,968	1.6 7.7 3.2 1.5 0.5 1.0 0.6 1.9 1.3 11.3 5.1 6.0 1.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	83 223 96 42 28 20 74 128 99 650 237 106 212	2,808 3,090 2,908 2,855 2,872 2,786 2,783 2,865 2,829 3,260 2,533 2,022 2,312	3.0 7.2 3.3 1.5 1.0 0.7 2.7 4.5 3.5 19.9 9.4 5.2 9.2
COOLIDGE ISD	ALL	17	218	7.8	CORPUS CHRISTI-RICHA	ALL	46	124	37.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	- - 0 0 - - -	- - - 12 14 - - - -	11.1 8.3 6.7 14.3 0.0 0.0 4.8 5.6 5.6 21.1 5.3 12.5		09 10 11 12	18 10 12 6	29 36 31 28	62.1 27.8 38.7 21.4
COOPER ISD	ALL	25			CORRIGAN-CAMDEN ISD			1,075	
	KG 01	7 - 0 -	71 - 64 - - - 81 -	9.9 1.8 4.2 0.0 1.4 5.5 1.3 3.1 0.0 1.5 1.6 5.6 2.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 - 0 0 - - 12	90 - 74 81 - - 98	3.6 5.6 5.3 2.1 0.0 0.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St					All S	tudents	
District					District				Rate
CORSICANA ISD					CRANDALL ISD	ALL	64	1,857	3.4
	KG 01	32 52 21 27 15	424 435	7.5 12.0		KG 01	24 - 0 - 0 - 0 0	148	16.2
	03	21 27	412	5.1		03	-	133	0.0 1.2 0.7
	05	10	415	3.8 2.4		05	0	154	0.0 0.7
	07 08	30	388 356	7.7		07 08	-	=	2.0 2.7
	09	114 17	428 304	26.6		09	18	199 125	9.0 5.6
	11 12	30 9 114 17 26 8	263 284	9.9 2.8		11 12	0 - - 18 7 - 0	114	0.9
COTTON CENTER ISD	ALL	_	_	0.6	CRANE ISD	ALL	27	957	2.8
	KG 01	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 -	0.0		KG 01	11 - - 5 - 0 0	90	3.4
	02 03	0	13 13	0.0		02	-	_ _	3.8
	04 05	0	8 17	0.0		04 05	5 -	81	6.2 1.5
	06 07	0	9 15	0.0		06 07	0	61 74	0.0
	08 09	0	16 14	0.0		08 09	-	_	1.4 3.0
	10 11	0	11 17	0.0		10 11	0 - 0	76 -	0.0
COTULLA ISD	ALL	74	1,202	6.2	CRANFILLS GAP ISD	12 ALL	9	112	0.0 8.0
	KG	- 6 - 5 5 6 6 16 5 7 9		2.9					20.0
	02	6	87	6.9		02	-	- - 7	16.7 - 0.0
	04	_ _ 5	96	2.0		04	0	8 12	0.0
	06 07	5	95 101	5.3		06 07	-	-	11.1
	08 09	6 16	97 118	6.2 13.6		08 09	0_	8 -	0.0 7.7
	10 11	5 7	99 82	5.1 8.5		10 11	- - 0 0 0 - - 0	_	18.2 10.0
COUPLAND ISD		9		11.4		12 ALL			0.0
	01 02	- 0 0 0 0 0	10	11.1		01 02	- - 0 0 0 0	_	2.0 2.3
	03 04	0	19 10	0.0		03 04	0	45 31	0.0
	05 06	0	13 13	0.0		05 06	0	57 42	0.0
	08	0	10	0.0		07	0	46 52	0.0
						10	ŏ	38	0.0 0.0 0.0
		8 				11 12	O	40	0.0
COVINGTON ISD	ALL	 	294 	2.7	CROCKETT CO CONS CSD				
	01 02	0	17	0.0		KG 01	- - 5	- - 50	1.9 7.4 8.5
	03 04	0	23 24	0.0		03	0	62 50	0.0
	05 06	0	27 26	0.0		05 06	0	60 73	0.0
	07 08	0	18 25	0.0		07 08	0	62 73	0.0
	09 10	<u>-</u>	- -	8.7 16.0		09 10	6 0	68 70	8.8
	11 12	0 0	26 21	0.0		11 12	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 0	57 46	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
CROCKETT ISD	ALL	85	1,615	5.3	CROSSROADS COMMUNITY	ALL	17	85	20.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 7 17 - - 5 12 8 19	121 129 - - 131 145 143 137 - -	3.4 5.8 13.2 3.1 0.8 3.8 8.3 5.6 13.9 1.7		09 10 11 12	5 5 - 5	33 27 - 15	15.2 18.5 20.0 33.3
CROSBY ISD					CROWELL ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	8 15 7 0 5 - - 6	247 269 281 273 314 - 323	3.2 5.6 2.5 0.0 1.6 0.3 0.7 1.9		KG 01 02	- 0 - 0 - 0	- - 23	13.6 3.1 0.0
	09 10 11 12	42 11 11 5	318 271 228 251	13.2 4.1 4.8 2.0		09 10 11 12	0 0 0 0	30 33 29 21	0.0 0.0 0.0
CROSBYTON ISD	ALL	13	454	2.9	CROWLEY ISD	ALL	177	8,547	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 0 0 0 0 0	- - 29 30 34 33 37 44 34 - -	5.6 8.3 2.6 6.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	17 34 9 7 - 7 - 20 6 21 28 10	506 610 603 698 - 694 - 705 753 730 699 606 542	3.4 5.6 1.5 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.4 2.8 0.8 2.9 4.0 1.7 2.0
CROSS PLAINS ISD	ALL	5	407	1.2	CRYSTAL CITY ISD	ALL	82	1,833	4.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0	23 - - 21 35 30 32 43 34 - - 45	0.0 3.8 4.8 3.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		05 06 07 08 09 10	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 42 12	139 160 - - 169	3.1 7.0 2.8 0.0 0.6 0.0 1.9 0.6 24.9 8.7 4.5
CROSS ROADS ISD					CUERO ISD	ALL	69	1,914	3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	- - 55 35 47 44 50 51 - 40	5.4 4.3 2.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 2.3 2.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		108 - 124 147 - 171 - 149 203 -	4.3 5.6 0.9 7.3 3.4 0.7 0.0 0.6 0.0 15.3 2.1 1.8 2.8

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Students		· · ·			Students	
District	Grade	Retaine	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained		
CULBERSON COUNTY-ALL			659 		DAINGERFIELD-LONE ST			1,564	
	KG 01	0 8	46 54 51 - 65 - 51 39 - 47	0.0 14.8		KG 01 02	10	- 111	1.9 9.0
	02 03	0	51 -	0.0		02 03	7	115	6.1 0.8
	04	_ 0	- 65	4.3		04	_	134	0.9
	06	-	_ _ E1	2.0		06	_	-	3.1
	08	0	39	0.0		08	-	_	3.1
	10	0	47	0.0		10	9	140	6.4 3.4
	11 12	<u>-</u> -	<u>-</u> -	2.1 2.2		11 12	8 -	115 - 134 - - 140 - 102	7.8 1.0
CUMBY ISD					DALHART ISD	ALL	56	1,348	4.2
	KG 01	_ 0	- 27	6.5 0.0		KG 01	<u>-</u> 9	_ 126	2.1 7.1
	02 03	0	21	0.0		02 03	9	118	7.6 3.2
	04	0	25 22	0.0		04	_ 0	- 95	1.0
	06 07	Ŏ	23	0.0		06 07	-	-	1.8
	08	0	29	0.0		08	0	110	0.0 13.1
	10	0	23	0.0		10	9	99 117	7.7
	12	0	27 21 - 25 22 23 32 29 - 23 22 18	0.0		11	-	126 118 - 95 - 110 99 117	2.7 4.1
CUSHING ISD	ALL	9	477	1.9	DALLAS ADVANTAGE CHA	ALL	5	470	1.1
	KG 01	- -	- 36 36 38 47 43 41 26	6.8 8.1		KG 01	-	_	1.3
	02	0	36 36	0.0		02 03	_	- - - 52 51	1.5 1.5
	04 05	0	38 47	0.0		04 05	0	- 52	1.7
	06 07	0	43 41	0.0		06	0	51	0.0
	08 09	0	26 -	0.0 5.7					
	10 11	0	- 30 28	2.8					
aupppaa =1pp.1.wa .a							225	000	21 7
CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS IS					DALLAS CAN ACADEMY C	ALL 09	285 		31.7 43.6
	01 02	174	4,693 4,731 4,792 5,016 4,901 4,919 5,003 4,847 4,762 5,146	3.7		10 11	98 68	259 205	37.8 33.2
	03 04	81	5,016	1.6		12	27		12.0
	05 06	23	4,919	0.5					
	07	32	4,847	0.7					
	0 0	505	J, 140	1 . 2					
	10 11 12	182 252 85	4,608 3,946 3,755	3.9 6.4 2.3					
D'HANIS ISD	7. T. T.			1.5	DALLAS COMMUNITY CHA				
	KG	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		4.3		KC.	Λ	24	0.0
	01 02	0	25 14 18 23 21 22 30 21 21 -	11.1		01	0	9	0.0
	03 04	0	14 18	0.0					
	05 06	0	23 21	0.0					
	07	0	22 30	0.0					
	09	0	21	0.0					
	11 12	<u>-</u> 0	_ _ 1 /	5.3					

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students ed Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
DALLAS COUNTY JUVENI	ALL	329	586	56.1		ALL	-	-	2.8
	0.5		66 152 235 99 19			KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 - 12 14 7 8 - 16 12 12 12 12	0.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
DALLAS ISD	ALL	10,099	146,629	6.9	DAWSON ISD	ALL	34	468	7.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	229 1,094 635 475 287 172 177 534 551 3,891 1,138 640 276	13,106 14,346 13,602 13,229 12,620 12,121 11,463 11,202 11,282 12,950 8,218 6,280 6,210	1.7 7.6 4.7 3.6 2.3 1.4 1.5 4.8 4.9 30.0 13.8 10.2 4.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 - - 5 9 5	35 - 45 34 - - 40 38 36 - - -	0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 5.0 12.5 23.7 13.9 8.3 7.4 4.0 10.0
DAMON ISD	ALL	-	-	1.4	DAYTON ISD	ALL	187	4,715	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	15 18 18 18 - 13 19 14 16	6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	10 25 11 6 0 0 11 11 20 57 9 24	349 393 352 412 420 415 392 362 382 430 291 290	2.9 6.4 3.1 1.5 0.0 0.0 2.8 3.0 5.2 13.3 3.1 8.3 1.3
DANBURY ISD	ALL	13	722	1.8	DE LEON ISD	ALL	14	715	2.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 0 0 0 0 0 0	61 49 44 55 53 59 52 - - - -	8.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 1.6 3.4 1.7 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 56 59 55 51 - 48 76	1.9 5.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 7.2 1.7 4.5
DARROUZETT ISD	ALL	<u>-</u>	-		DECATUR ISD				3.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 0	7 10 6 6 - 6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.3 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 -7 0 16 7 22 7	171 - 188 - - 205 228 188 224 193	2.9 2.0 3.7 1.1 1.5 1.0 0.0 7.0 3.7 9.8 3.6 1.3

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All	Students ed Total	Rate	District	Grade	All	Students Total	Rate
DEER PARK ISD			10,866		DENTON ISD				
DIBIN TARK 13D	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	12 24 13 - 5 7 14 22 12 80 99 61 45	711 759 836 	1.7 3.2 1.6 0.4 0.8 1.6 2.4 1.3 8.7 11.2 8.0 6.2	DENTON 13D	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	30 36 25 16 9 15 18 19 13 231 79 44 31	1,031 1,056 1,064 1,015 1,054 1,028 1,028 1,025 1,045 1,165 879 773 683	2.9 3.4 2.3 1.6 0.9 1.5 1.7 1.9 1.2 19.8 9.0 5.7 4.5
DEKALB ISD	ALL	15	904	1.7	DENVER CITY ISD	ALL	42	1,288	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0	- - - 74 70 76 74 60 - -	1.8 3.3 5.0 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.6 1.4 2.9 1.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	9 9 10 7 0 0 0	82 88 94 89 97 94 103 101 - -	11.0 10.2 10.6 7.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 2.8 1.0 0.9
DEL VALLE ISD	ALL		6,212		DESOTO ISD	ALL			3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	22 39 36 25 13 11 20 32 25 144 42 23 5	544 551 478 479 475 552	4.1 6.9 6.7 4.6 2.4 2.0 4.2 6.7 5.3 26.1 10.7 8.1 1.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	12 12 8 6 - 24 8 20 13 53 46 21 8	363 427 465 473 - 554 568 632 605 570 505 447 344	3.3 2.8 1.7 1.3 0.7 4.3 1.4 3.2 2.1 9.3 9.1 4.7 2.3
DELL CITY ISD	ALL	-	-		DETROIT ISD	ALL	9	467	1.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 - 11 9 13 10 12 12 12 19 22 16 13	0.0 9.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 - 35 35 27 - 31 - 32 30	2.2 0.0 2.5 1.8 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 8.3 0.0 0.0 3.6
DENISON ISD	ALL	140	4,136	3.4	DEVERS ISD	ALL	-		1.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	9 12 - - 0 - 73 15 11 7	281 305 - - 343 - 298 - 391 316 257 277	3.2 3.9 1.0 0.8 0.6 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.9 18.7 4.7 4.3 2.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 0 0 0 0	21 21 21 15 19 17 16	5.6 11.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
DEVINE ISD	ALL	58	1,759	3.3	DILLEY ISD	ALL	42	816	5.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	15 9 - 0 0 0 - - 11 8 -	146 125 - 127 143 142 - 145 146	10.3 7.2 1.6 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.3 1.6 7.6 5.5 2.7 1.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 6 - 6 0 0 - 6 - 8 7 -	53 81 - 78 73 54 - 57 - 57 69 -	0.0 7.4 4.9 7.7 0.0 0.0 2.7 10.5 1.4 14.0 10.1 4.8 2.2
DEW ISD	ALL	-	-	1.4	DIME BOX ISD	ALL	8	206	3.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 0	10 - 10 6 8 15 6	0.0 6.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 - 11 11 - 21 14 - - 25 - 15	0.0 7.1 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 17.6 9.1 0.0 4.5 0.0
DEWEYVILLE ISD					DIMMITT ISD	ALL	29	1,211	2.4
	1.1	0 6 6 8 - 0 0 0 0 - 10 -		7 0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - - 0 0 - 0 - 7		1.3 4.0 1.0 1.1 0.0 0.0 4.0 0.0 2.0 7.2 4.8 2.6 3.2
DIBOLL ISD					DIVIDE ISD			14	
	04 05 06 07 08	- - 0 -	133 	1.4 0.7 2.2 0.0 0.8 13.7 12.8 3.1		KG 01 02 04 05 06	- - -	-	-
DICKINSON ISD		419			DODD CITY ISD				0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	39 32 28 16 13 5 - 21 9 205 18 16 14	454 472 479 462 475 430 - 445 466 569 302 272 255	8.6 6.8 5.8 3.5 2.7 1.2 0.7 4.7 1.9 36.0 6.0 5.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 12 14 20 27 17 17 13 14 22 11 17	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All S Retained	Students I Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
DONNA ISD	ALL	761	9,471	8.0				1,206	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 11 11 12	21 78 84 36 22 16 73 69 90 205 20 16 31	813 871 919 855 802 762 752 762 746 854 454 428 453	2.6 9.0 9.1 4.2 2.7 2.1 9.7 9.1 12.1 24.0 4.4 3.7 6.8		06 07	18 11 - - - 0	102 85 - - - 127 - - 63	4.0 0.0
DOSS CONS CSD	ALL	0	20	0.0	DUMAS ISD	ALL	171	3 , 906	4.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	-	- - - - - - -	-		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	13 25 9 - 0 0 5 12 81 13	316 327 322 - 329 319 297 298 358 264	4.1 7.6 2.8 1.4 1.3 0.0 0.0 1.7 4.0 22.6 4.9
							- -		0.9
DOUGLASS ISD					DUNCANVILLE ISD	ALL	478	10,034	4.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 - - - 0 0	23 30 - - 34 - 24 19 22	5.9 7.1 3.3 0.0 0.0 10.3 3.4 3.6 0.0 8.1 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	21 29 12 20 5 5 5 35 34 237 17 28 30	587 654 725 768 755 778 834 864 916 1,039 675 726 713	3.6 4.4 1.7 2.6 0.7 0.6 4.1 3.7 22.8 2.5 3.9 4.2
DRIPPING SPRINGS ISD	ALL	50	3,098	1.6	EAGLE ADVANTAGE SCHO	ALL	119	332	35.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 26 14 -	232	0.6 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.4 8.6 5.5 1.6		01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 0 0	6 - 9 11 12 18 - 13 15 100 66 34 32	0.0 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 0.0 0.0 66.0 47.0 41.2 18.8
DRISCOLL ISD	ALL	10	271	3.7	EAGLE MT-SAGINAW ISD	ALL	305	6 , 393	4.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- - - 0 0 0	24 34 33 28	2.9 6.9 7.4 7.5 0.0 0.0 9.1 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	27 32 8 10 -6 14 8 10 124 29 17	459 513 522 483 496 542 517 532 619 461 396 363	5.9 6.2 1.5 2.1 0.6 1.2 2.6 1.5 1.9 20.0 6.3 4.3 4.7

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Dietrict	Grado		Students	Pa+o	District	Grade	All St		Pa+o
			11,872						
ENGLE PASS TOD			961 1,066 1,048 1,048 951 919 896 971 914 1,142 705 638 613		EAGLE PAGGET (DALL		 0 7 26 11 		
EAGLE PROJECT (ABILE	ALL	56	124	45.2	EAGLE PROJECT (DEL		63		
	06 07 08 09 10 11	- 5 - 31 12 - -	- 9 - 52 36 - -	55.6 40.0 59.6 33.3 21.4		06 07 08 09 10 11	- 7 14 9 20 7 -	14 24 27 46 21	44.4 50.0 58.3 33.3 43.5 33.3 40.0
EAGLE PROJECT (BEAUM					EAGLE PROJECT (FT W				
	07 08 09 10 11	- 18 15 7 -	- 61 39 16	16.7 - 29.5 38.5 43.8 20.0		06 07 08 09 10 11		- - 51 33 27 -	16.7 25.0 54.9 48.5 33.3 33.3
EAGLE PROJECT (BROWN					EAGLE PROJECT (LARE				
	0.6		- 17 51 40 18 -			0.6	- - - 25 - 8 5		0 1
EAGLE PROJECT (BRYAN			120		EAGLE PROJECT (LUBB		14		
	06 07	34 8 -	- - 65 21	- 16.7			- 0 - -	14 - - -	

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Bi di di di		0 1 .	All St	udents	D. L.	Pint date	0 1 .	All S	Students	D. L.
						District				
EAGLE PROJECT	(MIDLA					EAGLE PROJECT (WACO)				
		06	0	5 12 - 50 29 17	0.0		05 06	-	- -	50.0
		08 09	<u>-</u> 14	50	19.0 28.0		07 08	6 5	15 26	40.0 19.2
		10 11	6 5	29 17	20.7		09 10	18 7	36 15	50.0 46.7
		12	_	- <u>-</u>	11.1		11 12	- 6 5 18 7 5	8 -	62.5
EAGLE PROJECT	(PHARR	ALL	64	159	40.3	EANES ISD	ALL	59	7,050	0.8
		05		- 6 18 57 35 26			KG	11	434	2.5
		07	0	6	0.0		02	- 0 0 0 - - 11	-	0.8
		08	31	18 57	44.4 54.4		04	0	553	0.2
		10 11	13	35 26	37.1		05 06	0	594 541	0.0
		12	-	-	20.0		07 08	-	-	0.2 0.4
							09 10	11	585 -	1.9 0.7
							11 12	_ _ 17	- 548	0.8 3.1
EAGLE PROJECT						EARLY ISD		34		
	05 06	-	- - 17 49 54 24	-		KG 01	8 - 0 0 - - 5	83	9.6 4.8	
	07 08	_ 13	_ 17	76.5		02 03	-	- -	2.2 1.1	
	09 10	35 32	49 54	71.4 59.3		04 05	0	111 82	0.0	
	11 12	11_	24	45.8 18.2		06 07	-		1.0	
							08	- 5	99	2.7
							10	- -	-	3.4
EAGLE PROJECT	(TEXAR	AT.T.	41	80	51.3	EAST BERNARD ISD	12	- 16		3.7
Briode Trooder	(1111111)					BROT BERWIND TOD				
		07 08	10	19 7 18 13 -	52.6		01	7 - 0 0 0 0	71	9.9
		09	13	18 13	72.2		03	_	-	1.5
		11	-	-	42.9		05	0	58	0.0
		12	-	_	33.3		07	0	68 70	0.0
							09	0	_	1.3
							10 11	<u>-</u>	- -	3.4 1.7
			5.0	0.4	64 . 7		12	-	-	2.6
EAGLE PROJECT	(TYLER					EAST CENTRAL ISD	ALL	448	7,397	6.1
		0.7	-	-	20.0		KG 01	9 64	524 527	1.7
		09 10	35 13	47 20 -	74.5 65.0		02 03	23 20	551 588	4.2 3.4
		11 12	-	_	22.2 44.4		04 05	11 0	524 591	2.1
							06 07	10 14	568 605	1.8
							08	21	615	3.4
							09	T to /:	741	21.9
							10 11	63 36	524 527 551 588 524 591 568 605 615 741 633 440 490	21.9 10.0 8 2

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						Students	
District	Grade				District		Retained		Rate
EAST CHAMBERS ISD	ALL				ED WHITE SCHOOL OF E			148	13.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 - - 0 - 0 7	69 - 82 - - 76 - 96 73	0.0 2.0 0.0 1.1 3.5 3.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 9.6 1.4		KG 01 02 03 09 10 11	_	24 - - 19 12 17 -	0.0 6.7 3.4 16.7 26.3 41.7 29.4 7.7
	12	-	-	1.5					
EAST TEXAS CHARTER H					EDCOUCH-ELSA ISD	ALL	210	4,582	4.6
		19 16 14 38				KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 12 8 0 - 14 - 5 0 118 17 17	375 361 366 343 - 360 - 366 370 470 290 266 287	0.0 3.3 2.2 0.0 0.8 3.9 0.3 1.4 0.0 25.1 5.9 6.4 5.2
EASTLAND ISD	ALL	22	1,108	2.0	EDEN C I S D	ALL	6	316	1.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	22 	73 75 91 98 67	2.3 4.9 0.0 2.5 1.3 0.0 4.3 0.9 0.0 6.1 1.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 22 21 23 22 19 23 - 32	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 0.0 7.4 5.3 0.0
ECTOR COUNTY ISD	ALL	1,180				ALL			2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	23 77 38 23 25 26 25 92	1,917 1,993 2,028 2,009 2,023 2,023 2,016 2,122 2,010			KG 01 02 03 04 05	0	20 - 19	0.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 4.2 0.0 7.7 0.0
ECTOR ISD	ALL	-	_ 	0.4	EDGEWOOD ISD (BEXAR	ALL	908	11,812	7.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	19 15 19 25 21 19 19 22 14 19 20	9.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	30 132 73 56 14 7 6 24 24 308 122 73 39	944 1,095 1,030 1,031 965 884 851 924 908 1,171 825 633 551	3.2 12.1 7.1 5.4 1.5 0.8 0.7 2.6 2.6 26.3 14.8 11.5 7.1

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All	Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All	Students	Rate
EDGEWOOD ISD (VAN ZA			833 		EL PASO ISD	ALL	3,045	56,133	5.4
	01	-	49	3.4		01	238	4,219 4,563	5.2
	02 03	-	-	3.4 1.5		02 03	129 111	4,744 4,443	2.7 2.5
	04	-	_	1.6		04	62 37	4,497	1.4
	06	0	58	0.0		06	72	4,411	1.6
	08	0	72	0.0		08	84	4,365 4,338	1.9
	09 10	5 -	69 -	7.2 3.2		09 10	1,163 560	5,324 4,318	21.8 13.0
	11 12	- 5	49 - - - 58 - 72 69 - - 63	3.8 7.9		11 12	184 277	4,219 4,563 4,744 4,443 4,497 4,466 4,411 4,365 4,338 5,324 4,318 3,194 3,251	5.8 8.5
EDINBURG CISD	ALL	1,087	20,402	5.3	EL PASO SCHOOL OF EX	ALL	-	_	3.1
	KG 01	45 120	1,734 1,903	2.6 6.3		KG 01	_ 0	17 12 17 19 20 13	13.3
	02 03	83 44	1,806 1,709	4.6		02 03	0	12 17	0.0
	04	12	1,758	0.7		04	0	19	0.0
	06	6	1,619	0.4		06	0	13	0.0
	07	15 11	1,575 1,541	1.0 0.7					
	09 10	481 142	1,887 1,290	25.5 11.0					
	11 12	77 48	1,734 1,903 1,806 1,709 1,758 - 1,619 1,575 1,541 1,887 1,290 970 988	7.9 4.9					
EDNA ISD	ALL	20	1,560	1.3	ELECTRA ISD	ALL	26	643	
	KG 01	0	103	0.0 1.9		KG 01	-	- -	7.3 3.9
	02	_ 0	- 116	0.8		02	_	- 59	5.0
	04	Ö	138	0.0		04	Ö	42	0.0
	06	0	115	0.0		06	_	-	3.4
	07 08	0	107 141	0.0		07 08	_	_	5.9 2.3
	09 10	7	120	5.8		09 10	8 _	67 _	11.9
	11 12	5 -	103 - 116 138 133 115 107 141 120 - 114	4.4 0.9		11 12	0	- - 59 42 - - - 67 - 42 42	0.0
EL CAMPO ISD			3,456		ELGIN ISD	ALL			
			221	3.2		KG	-	-	2.1
	01	12	258 272 - -	4.7		01	_	179 196 - - 212	1.6
	03	_	_	0.8		03	0	196	0.0
	05	- - -	_	0.8		04 05	_	-	1.5 0.5
	06 07	-	-	0.7 1.5		06 07	0	212	0.5
	08 09	42	321	1.1 13.1		08 09	_ 27	_	1.9 9.1
	10	16	274	5.8		10	9 5	296 191 141 172	4.7
	11 12	7 6	265 231	2.6 2.6		11 12	9	172	3.5 5.2
EL PASO ACADEMY EAST		46	228	20.2	ELKHART ISD	ALL	22		2.1
	09 10	10 10	43 50	23.3 20.0		KG 01	7 7	78 72	9.0 9.7
	11 12	10 11 15	50 39 96	28.2 15.6		02 03	_	74	1.4
	±4	10	90	10.0		04	_	_	1.0
						05 06	0	_	0.0
						07 08	0	108 81	0.0
						09 10	<u>-</u>	-	1.1
						11	_	=	3.1
						12	_	_	1.4

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
ELYSIAN FIELDS ISD					ETOILE ISD	ALL 			2.1
	KG 01	0	44	3.9		KG 01	0	12 20	0.0
	03	0	67 61	0.0		02	0	18	6.7 0.0
	05	0	64 01	0.0		05	- -	13	0.0 9.5
	06	8	91 - 70	3.6		06	0 0 - 0 0 0	14 14	0.0 0.0 0.0
	09	-	-	1.0		00	O	13	0.0
	11 12	0 0 0 0 8 - 0	64	0.0					
ENCINO SCHOOL	ALL	-	-	8.0	EULA ISD		15		
	KG 01	0 - - 0 - 0 0	8 -	0.0 28.6		KG 01	5 - - - 0	- 31	7.4 16.1
	02 03	0	- 6	33.3		02 03	-	_	6.1 6.9
	05	_	- - 7	_		04 05	-	- - 41	2.6
	07	0	6	0.0		07	- 0	41 - 52	0.0
	00					09	Ŏ	47	0.0
						11 12	0 0	39 51	0.0
ENNIS ISD	ALL	176	4,601	3.8	EUSTACE ISD				
	KG 01	19 33 32 11 7 6 6 22 12	391 388	4.9 8.5		KG 01	25 -	144	2.1 17.4
	02	32 11	372 365	3.0		02	-	-	3.2
	05	6	359 386	1.6		04 05	-	- 142	0.8
	07 08	22 12	402 384	5.5		07 08	- 5	110	2.8
	09 10	18	391	4.6 1.2		09 10	15 10	101 94	14.9 10.6
	11 12	- -	-	0.9 1.6		11 12	25 - - 9 - 5 15 10 -		2.8
ERA ISD		7			EVADALE ISD	ALL	7	415	1.7
	KG 01	- 0 0 0 0	-	4.3 9.5		KG 01	- 0 0 - 0 - 0	-	2.9 6.5
	02	0	24	0.0		02	0	28 35	0.0
	05	0	17	0.0		05	0	27	2.9 0.0
	07 08	_ 0	- 26	3.3		07 08	0	33	3.3 0.0 2.9
	10	0	27	5.7 0.0		09 10	_ 0	37	2.9
	11 12	0	27 26 27	0.0		11 12	0	31	0.0
ERATH EXCELS ACADEMY		8	100	8.0	EVANT ISD	ALL			3.7
	09 10	5 - 0	20 _ 24	25.0 3.7 0.0		KG 01	0	13	7.1 0.0 6.3
	11 12	0 -	24	0.0 6.9		02 03	-	- - -	11.5
						04 05	5	29 29	4.3 17.2
						07 02	0	19 22	0.0
						09 10	0	34 19	0.0 0.0 0.0
						11 12	5 0 0 0 0	25 27	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

LL	165 11 30 13 8 5 - 17 12 50 - 6	3,264 260 273 252 276 272 - 291 285 295 - 140 -	5.1 4.2 11.0 5.2 2.9 1.8 1.5 0.3 5.8 4.2 16.9 1.9 4.3 2.9	District FAITH FAMILY ACADEMY	ALL	19	419	4.5
G G 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2	11 30 13 8 5 - - 17 12 50 - 6	260 273 252 276 2772 - 291 285 295 - 140	4.2 11.0 5.2 2.9 1.8 1.5 0.3 5.8 4.2 16.9 1.9 4.3 2.9	FAITH FAMILY ACADEMY	ALL 	0		
LL	-	-			03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 - - 5 -	32 34 - - 43	6.5 0.0 0.0 9.8 7.8 11.6
LL	-	-			05 06 07 08 09 10	0 - - 5 -	32 34 - - 43 -	0.0 0.0 9.8 7.8 11.6
LL	-	-			07 08 09 10	- 5 -	43	7.8 11.6
LL	-	-			09 10 11	- - -	43	11.0
LL	-	-			11	_	_	3.1
LL	-	-				0	7	0.0
G 1 2 3 4	0			FALLS CITY ISD	ALL			0.6
2 3 4 5		6 6	0.0		KG 01	- 0 0 0 0 0	28	4.0
4 5	0	<u>-</u> 6	12.5		02 03	0	32 13	0.0
	0	7 9	0.0		04 05	0	23 32	0.0
		8						0.0
8	_	-	-		08	0	25 19	0.0
					10	0	20	0.0
					12	0		0.0
				FANNINDEL ISD	ALL 			
1	0	8 _	0.0		01	0	23 16	0.0
3	0	6	0.0		03	0	18	0.0
5	0	7	0.0		05	0	20 14	0.0
7	0	10	0.0		07 08	0	22 18	0.0
					09 10	0	22 14	0.0
					11 12	0	19 10	0.0
					ALL	66	1,282	5.1
G 1	6	183	0.5		KG 01	5 9	101 108	5.0 8.3
3	5 8	221 205	2.3		02	-	-	2.8
5	_	189	1.4		04	0	105	0.0
7	7	225			06	U -	101	1.0
9	4.8	1 /8 222	21.6		08 09	30	108	24.0
0 1 2	26 25 17	188 170 159	14.7		10 11 12	_ _ 0	- - 59	3.4 4.5 0.0
LL	66	1,533	4.3	FARWELL ISD	ALL	_	_	0.4
 G	6	104	5.8		KG	0	24	0.0
2	9	105	3.3		01 02	0	3 / 33	0.0
3 4 5	0	112	0.0		04	0	30 33	0.0
6	-	120	1.6		06	0	31 38	0.0
8	6	139 117	5.1		08	0	39	0.0
0	16 5	156 115	4.3		10	0	36 32	0.0
1 2	0 -	106	0.0 1.9		11 12	_	_	2.6 3.1
		LL 157 1 0 2 - 3 3 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 7 0 8 - 1 6 2 5 8 4 10 6 6 - 7 7 7 8 0 9 48 0 26 1 25 1 7 LL 66 - G 6 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9 1 9	G	The second color of the	Section Sect	LL	LL 4.2 FANNINDEL ISD ALL 10.0 KG 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	LL 4.2 FANNINDEL ISD ALL

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S						Students	
District	Grade						Retained		Rate
FAYETTEVILLE ISD	ALL 		_ 		FLOUR BLUFF ISD		212		
	KG 01	0 -	9 -	0.0 6.7		KG 01 02	17 25	317 322 -	5.4 7.8 0.3
	03	0	15 16	0.0		03	=	_ _	0.6
	05 06	0	23	0.0		05 06	7	374	1.9
	07 08	0	19	0.0 4.5		07 08	11 6	442 368	2.5
	09 10	0	20 17	0.0		09 10	101 17	473 353	21.4 4.8
	11 12	0	9 - 15 16 23 15 19 - 20 17 18	0.0		11 12	- - 7 - 11 6 101 17 6 15	304 349	2.0 4.3
FERRIS ISD	ALL	91		4.7		ALL	64	1,015	6.3
	KG 01	<u>-</u>	- - - - 179 - 175 194	2.8		KG 01	5 14	89 86	5.6 16.3
	02 03 04	- - -	- - -	1.3		02 03 04	<u>-</u>	77	1.2
	05 06	_ 0	_ 179	1.9		05 06	- -	- -	1.1 2.3
	07 08	9	175	1.9 5.1		07 08	-	-	3.9 3.7
	09 10	40	175 194 126 109 95	20.6 5.6		09 10	12 7	73 67	16.4 10.4
	11 12	7 8	109 95	20.6 5.6 6.4 8.4		11 12	5 14 5 0 - - - 12 7 8	70 -	11.4 5.0
FLATONIA ISD	ALL	16	527	3.0	FOCUS LEARNING ACADE	ALL	-	-	1.6
	KG 01	- 5	- 35	4.7 14.3		KG 01	0 - - 0 0 0	15 -	0.0 3.7
	03	0	44	0.0		03	0	32 27	0.0
	05 06	0	39 40	0.0		05 06	0	33 24	0.0
	07 08	0	40	4.5					
	09 10	_ 0	- 37	2.9					
	11 12	0	35 -44 32 39 40 -40 -37 -44	0.0					
FLORENCE ISD	ALL	26	953	2.7					
	01 02	0	71 63 74 78 72 - 71	9.9 0.0 3.7		01 02	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 7 7	0.0
	03 04	0	74 78	0.0		03 04	0	14 6	0.0
	05 06	0 -	72	0.0		05 06	0	14	0.0
	07 08	0	- 71	4.5 0.0		07 08	0	12 14	0.0
	10	_	85 - 47 -	7.1 2.7		4.0	Õ	10	0.0
	11 12	0	47	1.7		11 12	0	20 20	0.0
FLORESVILLE ISD			3,217		FORESTBURG ISD	ALL		-	0.6
	KG 01	5	220 236 245 273 286 260 209 212	0.9		KG 01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 10	0.0
	02 03 04	9 - 0	∠36 - 245	0.4		02 03 04	0	15 13	0.0
	05 06	- 0	273	0.8		05 06	0	9	0.0
	07 08	-		0.3		07 08	0	16 8	0.0
	09 10	45 18	286 260	15.7 6.9		09 10	0	9	7.7
	11 12	7 16	209 212	3.3 7.5		11 12	0	10 8	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

FORNEY ISD	ALL		eu Total	Kate	District	Grade	ketained	Total	Kate
FORNEY ISD	ALL	71							
			2,552	2.8	FORT WORTH ISD	ALL	4,270 	72,321	5.9
	KG 01	18	203	8.9 1.5		KG 01	89 340	6,171 6,563	1.4 5.2
	02 03	-	-	0.5		02	220 130	6,384 6,204	3.4
	04	0	175	0.0		04	75	6,308	1.2
	06	5	204	2.5		06	143	5,902	2.4
	08	-	199	2.0		08	115	5, 499	2.1
	10	13	195	4.1 6.7		10	630	6,406 4,347	28.0 14.5
	11 12	6 -	203 - 175 204 199 - 221 195 174	3.4 3.0		11 12	271 261	6,171 6,563 6,384 6,204 6,308 5,942 5,942 5,742 5,499 6,406 4,347 3,525 3,328	7.7 7.8
FORSAN ISD	ALL	13	650	2.0	FRANKLIN ISD	ALL	36	897	4.0
	KG 01	<u>-</u>	- - 48 55 - 51 - 45	2.4		KG 01	<u>-</u>	62 60 73 80 - 73	1.6
	03	0	48	0.0		03	0	62	0.0
	05	- -	55 -	2.1		05	0	73	0.0
	06	-	51	1.4		06	9	80	1.4
	08 09	0 _	45	0.0 3.8		08 09	9	73	2.4 12.3
	11	_		2 2		10 11	_		2.6 4.9
EODE DEND TOD	12	1 560			EDANIKOMON TOD				
FORT BEND ISD			52,083 		FRANKSTON ISD				
0 0 0			2,765 3,578			KG 01			6.2
	02 03	65	4,007	1.6		03	0	68	0.0
	04 05	19	4,093	0.6		05	0	44	0.0
	06 07	102 94	4,378 4,542	2.3		06	0	<u>-</u> 57	0.0
	08 09	91 437	4,456 4,869	2.0 9.0		08 09	0 8	69 73	0.0 11.0
	10 11	180 123	3,787 4,007 4,093 4,208 4,378 4,542 4,456 4,869 4,143 3,795 3,462	4.3 3.2		10 11	0 5	- 68 44 48 - 57 69 73 59 52	0.0 9.6
FORT ELLIOTT CONS IS					FREDERICKSBURG ISD		38	- 2,650	
FORT ELLIOTT CONS 13				0.0	FREDERICKSBURG ISD				
	01 02	-	-	- 8.3		01 02	0	179 - 163 174 189 183 - 200	0.7
	03	0	6 13	0.0		03	0	174 189	0.0
	05	0	- 6 13 9 7	0.0		05	5	183	2.7
	07 08	0	7	0.0		07 08	0	200 222	0.0
	09 10	0	6 - 14	14.3 0.0		09 10	10	244	4.1 0.7
	11 12	0	9	0.0		11 12	9	215	4.2 1.5
FORT WORTH CAN ACADE	ALL	121	209	57.9	FREER ISD	ALL	21	902	2.3
	09	30	40 87 39 43	75.0		KG		70	1.5
	10 11	63 21 7	39	72.4 53.8		01 02	5 - -	79 - -	6.3
	12	/	43	16.3		03 04	_	_	1.4
						05 06	-	- -	4.5 1.3
						07 08	0	60	0.0
						09 10	5 0	85 60	5.9 0.0
						11 12	0	59 -	0.0 1.6

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All : Retained	Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	Students Total	Rate
FRENSHIP ISD	ALL	179	5,110	3.5	FRUIT OF EXCELLENCE	ALL	11	31	35.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 11 12	17 31 12 6 - - 5 38 32 20 9	412 471 416 397 - - 390 399 370 311 332	4.1 6.6 2.9 1.5 0.5 0.2 1.0 0.5 1.3 9.5 8.6 6.4 2.7		01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	-	_	20.0
FRIENDSWOOD ISD	ALL	60 	4,935	1.2	FRUITVALE ISD	ALL	10	297	3.4
	KG	. 7	323 	1.5		KG		24	0.0 14.3 13.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1 3.6 0.0 7.1 7.1
FRIONA ISD	ALL	28	1,112	2.5	FT DAVIS ISD	ALL	49		15.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	7 - 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0	91 - 83 - 88 - 83 - 78 71	7.7 4.5 6.0 2.6 2.0 0.0 1.1 0.0 1.2 3.9 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - 24 12 0	21 23 18 16 17 - 61 43 -	9.1 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 16.0 13.8 39.3 27.9 10.0
FRISCO ISD	ALL	110	7,232	1.5	FT HANCOCK ISD	ALL	12	527	2.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	21 14 9 - 7 - 9 - - 21 13 5	841 782 749 611 - 576 - 452 366 327	2.5 1.8 1.2 0.6 1.1 0.3 1.6 0.4 0.2 4.6 3.6 1.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	0.0 8.3 4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.9 4.7 3.8 3.3
FROST ISD	ALL	16	394	4.1	FT SAM HOUSTON ISD	ALL	28	1,016	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	- - 22 - - - - 38 28 33	6.9 8.7 9.5 3.1 0.0 5.4 3.0 3.3 6.5 8.1 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 - - - 7	- - - 69 - - - 70 - -	2.5 2.0 4.2 2.1 0.0 1.1 3.8 1.3 1.4 10.0 4.7 1.5

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	Students				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
FT STOCKTON ISD	ALL	110	2,369	4.6	GANADO ISD	ALL		625	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	15 10 9 - 0 5 - 22 16 17 9	187 158 179 	8.0 6.3 5.0 1.9 0.5 0.0 2.5 1.0 0.5 11.5 8.0 10.9 4.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0	43	2.8 2.3 4.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 4.3 2.0
GABRIEL TAFOLLA CHAR	ALL	20	146	13.7	GARLAND ISD	ALL	1,467	47,648	3.1
	08 09 10 11 12	- - - 8	6 - - 6 - 9 - - - - 20	4.3 37.5 9.1 14.3 40.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	106 237 140 80 45 30 24 63 29 393 119 79 122	3,465 3,895 3,831 3,950 3,918 3,873 3,917 4,072 3,854 4,104 3,378 2,759 2,632	3.1 6.1 3.7 2.0 1.1 0.8 0.6 1.5 0.8 9.6 3.5 2.9 4.6
GAINESVILLE ISD	ALL	92 	2,664	3.5	GARNER ISD	ALL	-	-	0.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - 5 - 9 35 17 - 6	204 - - 197 - - 206 255 195 - 161	1.4 0.0 1.9 0.4 2.5 0.5 1.4 1.8 4.4 13.7 8.7 2.9 3.7				22 16 20 19 16 - 20	
GALENA PARK ISD	ALL	664	17 , 528	3.8	GARRISON ISD	ALL	20	644	3.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	25 114 55 34 14 5 13 17 5 187 85	1,308 1,460 1,396 1,392 1,379 1,400 1,441 1,480 1,419	1.9 7.8 3.9 2.4 1.0 0.4 0.9 1.1 0.4 12.2 6.9 6.0 4.4		KG 01 02 03 04	- - - 0		7.5 4.7 7.5 5.1 0.0
GALVESTON ISD	ALL		8 , 703	6.6	GARY ISD	ALL	8	273	2.9
		25 72 51 43 23 9 25 14 23 146 70 40 30	710 756 776 737 783 726 644 598 669 781 613	3.5 9.5 6.6 5.8 2.9 1.2 3.9 2.3 3.4 18.7 11.4 9.0 6.4				18 18	

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	tudents				All S	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
GATESVILLE ISD	ALL	56 	2,413	2.3	GEORGE WEST ISD		17		
	KG 01	6 7 0 0 0 - - - 9	168 160	3.6 4.4		KG 01	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 6 -	_	3.3 1.3
	02 03	0 -	171 -	0.0 1.0		02 03	0	92	1.4 0.0 0.0
	04 05	0	195 194	0.0		04 05	0	98 83	0.0
	06 07	-	- -	0.5 0.9		06 07	0 -	102	0.0
	08 09	9	203	1.5		08 09	0 6	93 104	0.0 5.8
	10	19 - -	194			10 11	-	-	1.3
GATEWAY (STUDENT ALT				2.2 52.5	GEORGETOWN ISD	1Z AT _i T _i	209	7.725	0.0 2.7
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						KG	21	530	4.0
	10 11	6 15 22 10	23 30	65.2 73.3		01 02	8 10	563 617	1.4
	12	10	36	27.8		03	6 -	603	0.5
						05 06	-	-	0.5
						08	- - 21	-	0.2
						10	64 34	596 548	10.7
						12	21 8 10 6 - - 21 64 34 31	507	
GAUSE ISD					GHOLSON ISD		0		
	01	- 0 0 - 0 0	15 28	0.0		01 02	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 13	0.0
	03	<u>-</u>	26	4.5		03	0	14 11	0.0
	05 06	0	21 16	0.0		05 06	0	17 18	0.0
						07 08	0	18 12	0.0
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHA	ALL	162	380	42.6	GIDDINGS ISD	ALL	39	1,605	2.4
	09 10	97 38 - 22	166 112	58.4 33.9		01 02	5 - - 0 0 0 0	_	2.4
	12	22	34	5.3 64.7		03 04	0	123	3.4 0.0
						05 06	0	111 121	0.0
						08	0 18	129 161	0.0
						10 11	_ _ _	_	11.2 2.7 0.0
						12	_	-	0.8
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHA				50.0	GILMER ISD				
	07 08	-	- -	_		KG 01			6.6
	09 10 12	-	- -	_		02 03	- - 0	- - 172	0.5 2.3
	12	-	-	_		04 05 06	0	172 187 - 166 176 206	0.0 0.0 1.1
							5 0 15	166 176	3.0
						08 09 10	15 -	206	7.3 1.8
						11 12	- 0 6	153 145	0.0 4.1
							J	110	

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
GIRLS & BOYS PREP AC		15	290		GOLD BURG ISD			119	4.2
	08 09 10	0 0 - 8 - -	43 - - - - - -	1.7 18.6 6.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	-		14.3 20.0 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.8 0.0 0.0
GLADEWATER ISD					GOLDTHWAITE ISD	ALL	6	634	0.9
	00	10	148 152 151 - - 159 - 162 - 134 148	6.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 46 46 55 48 - 52 63 - 43 41	4.3 2.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 0.0 3.2 0.0 0.0
GLASSCOCK COUNTY ISD					GOLIAD ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 - - 0 0 0	21 - - 29 19 31	19.0 4.2 0.0 3.2 4.3 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09		110 85 110 - 105 131	1.4 1.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.9 0.0 13.0
	10	0	31 _ 24	0.0		10 11 12	6 0 -	104 101 -	5.8 0.0 1.1
GLEN ROSE ISD	ALL	36	1,508	2.4	GONZALES ISD	ALL		2,458	3.7
	01 02	11 -	114	0.9 8.7 2.2		01 02 03 04 05 06	- 6 - - - - 5 29 15 6 12	_	3.4 0.5 0.6 1.9 2.2 1.0
GODLEY ISD	ALL	37	1,205	3.1	GOODRICH ISD	ALL	8	254	3.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 - - 5 15 - 5	85 102 82 101 91 - 101 118 - 81	2.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.0 2.8 5.0 12.7 4.9 6.2 2.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 14 20 16 20 26 24 -	4.8 15.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 5.6

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

-			Students					Students	
District	Grade		ed Total		District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
GOOSE CREEK CISD			16 , 293		GRAFORD ISD	ALL 			0.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	30 71 95 66 23 6 11 10 - 345 99 60 47	1,303 1,323 1,303 1,249 - 1,437 1,090 901	2.4 5.1 6.7 5.0 1.7 0.5 0.8 0.2 24.0 9.1 6.7 4.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 32 - 26 34 31 36 23 23 23 28 - 23 25	0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 0.0
GORDON ISD	ALL	0	207	0.0	GRAHAM ISD	ALL	64	2,237	2.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 13 20 15 13 19 18 20 17 19 18	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 6 - 0 0 - 0 - 19 6 5	154 149 - 160 168 - 190 - 207 189 150 144	5.2 4.0 1.6 1.9 0.0 0.5 0.0 1.0 9.2 3.3 7.6
GOREE ISD	ALL	_	-		GRANBURY ISD	ALL	212	5,896	3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - - 0 0 0 0	- - - 5 7 6 6 - - 5 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 16.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	16 17 12 5 - 6 6 9 66 32 14 25	427 474 467 453 - 489 510 500 523 418 340 363	3.7 3.6 2.6 1.1 0.7 0.2 1.2 1.2 1.8 12.6 7.7 4.1 6.9
GORMAN ISD	ALL	7		1.8	GRAND PRAIRIE ISD			19 , 093	
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 0 0 0 0	26 	3.7 6.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	70 105 63 67 28 25 59 81 71 399 136 103 53	1,555 1,617 1,598 1,632 1,580 1,509 1,489 1,593 1,495 1,724 1,258 1,074 969	4.5 6.5 3.9 4.1 1.8 1.7 4.0 5.1 4.7 23.1 10.8 9.6 5.5
GRADY ISD	ALL	_		1.8	GRAND SALINE ISD	ALL	69	1,140	6.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 - 19 - 18 20 20 20 8 13 20 14 - 20	0.0 18.2 0.0 8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 10 - 0 - - 29 5	68 86 - 89 - - - 119 92 -	7.4 11.6 3.1 2.2 0.0 3.7 3.6 1.1 1.7 24.4 5.4 3.0 6.7

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents					Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
GRANDFALLS-ROYALTY I			_ 	3.1	GRAPELAND ISD	ALL	18	612	2.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 9 6 7 11 12 11 14 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 7 - 0 0 - - 0	40 43 - - 39 48 - - 53 43	0.0 16.3 8.6 3.5 2.2 0.0 0.0 2.0 1.6 5.1 0.0
	12	Ö	13	0.0		12	_	-	1.7
GRANDVIEW ISD	ALL	37	945	3.9	GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILL	ALL	236	13,069	1.8
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - 0 - 0 15 6 -	- 68 - 71 - 76 89 67 -	2.9 6.0 0.0 1.4 2.7 0.0 2.1 2.7 0.0 16.9 9.0 2.9 1.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	32 7 7 9 - 7 8 20 74 40 17 13	843 947 974 983 - - 1,038 1,127 1,094 1,086 1,060 940 838	3.8 0.7 0.7 0.9 0.1 0.7 0.7 1.8 6.8 3.8 1.8
GRANDVIEW-HOPKINS IS				4.7	GREENVILLE ISD	ALL	332	4,802	6.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 -	6 8 - 7 8 - -	0.0 0.0 16.7 0.0 0.0 20.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 38 22 7 - 0 - 22 6 143 32 24 30	375 389 354 391 - 353 - 384 401 463 358 274 301	1.6 9.8 6.2 1.8 0.3 0.0 0.3 5.7 1.5 30.9 8.9 8.8
GRANGER ISD					GREENWOOD ISD	ALL	32	1,525	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	6 5 0 0 0 0 0 0	_	2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 -	96 108 - 111 98 - 128 137 - 141 - -	7.3 9.3 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 1.5 3.5 2.5 0.8
GRAPE CREEK ISD	ALL	24	1,106	2.2	GREGORY-PORTLAND ISD	ALL	165	4,089	4.0
		6				KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 33 10 12 6 5 6 - 49 12 14 5	311 320 306 298 318 329 340 - 332 324 286 259	3.2 10.3 3.3 4.0 1.9 1.5 1.8 0.3 0.6 14.8 3.7 4.9 1.9

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Consider	All St	tudents	Data	District	Connector	All St		Data
District	Grade				District		Retained		Rate
GROESBECK ISD	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	8 17 - 0 0 0 0 23	1,550 96 126 - 106 - 128 139 143	8.3	GULF SHORES ACADEMY				80.0
GROOM ISD	ALL	0	155	0.0	GUNTER ISD	ALL	8	659	1.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 11 10 20 9 10 11 11 5 12 18 13	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	45 - 43 49 63 62 46 44 48 - 57 59 45	13.3 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
GROVETON ISD	ALL	18	687		GUSTINE ISD	ALL	_	_	1.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	- 49 - - 46 - - 64 47 - 48 34	2.0 0.0 1.8 1.8 8.5 0.0 1.6 4.5 0.0 12.8 1.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 11 21 20 15 13 - 16 11 17 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0
GRUVER ISD	ALL	7	402	1.7	GUTHRIE CSD		0		0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	33 31 22 27 40 26 37 32 30 43	10.0 13.6 3.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	12 8	
GUARDIAN ANGEL PERFO		12	56 		HALE CENTER ISD	ALL	26		4.2
	06 07 08	0 7 5	11 23 22	0.0 30.4 22.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 - -	49 - - 47 52 - - 67 34 41	16.3 4.5 3.0 4.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 2.0 3.1 6.7 9.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All S Retained		Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	Students Total	Rate
HALLETTSVILLE ISD			1,026						
	KG 01 02 03 04		60 59 77 - 70			KG 01		97 -	0.0
HALLSBURG ISD					HAPPY ISD	ALL	5	227	2.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 0 - 0 0	17 13 - 12 17	5.3 6.7 0.0 0.0 6.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 0 0 0 0 - 0	11 14 15 10 -	17.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 0.0 5.9 0.0 0.0 0.0
HALLSVILLE ISD					HARDIN ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	14 15 5 8 0 - - 10 11 9 8 7	227 271 267 264 299 - - 272 332 273 257 208	6.2 5.5 1.9 3.0 0.0 0.7 1.5 3.7 3.3 3.1 3.4		02 03 04 05 06 07 08	5 - - 6 0 7 - 8 - 0 0	86 86 104 -	6.7 5.4 3.7 2.2 7.0 0.0 6.7 3.3 7.6 3.1 1.2 0.0
HAMILTON ISD	ALL	10	868	1.7	HARDIN-JEFFERSON ISD				
	1.0		- - - 66 75 - 85 - 72 54	0 0		07 08 09	9 8 5 6 - 5 - 10 - 7	184 - 174	2.7 0.6 5.7
HAMLIN ISD			482		HARLANDALE ISD	ALL	841	13,513	6.2
			- - 34 37 35 33 33 - - 51 31			KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	60 90 34 34 14 23 6 11 17 317 126 64 45	1,049 1,119 1,093 1,101 1,054 1,073 1,102 1,065 1,310 969 720 772	5.7 8.0 3.1 1.3 2.1 0.6 1.0 1.6 24.2 13.0 8.9 5.8

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

B'alain	0 1 .		Students	Dili	Plantal at all	0 1 .	All Stu		- Billi
District			d Total		District				Rate
HARLETON ISD			583 		HARRIS COUNTY JUVENI				
HARLINGEN CONS ISD	ALL	958	14,670	6.5	HARROLD ISD		-		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 100 73 34 16 14 11 8 10 407 99 97 79	1,080 1,250 1,215 1,234 1,165 1,162 1,177 1,153 1,173 1,325 957 891 888	0.9 8.0 6.0 2.8 1.4 1.2 0.9 0.7 0.9 30.7 10.3 10.9 8.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 10 - 6 10 10 6 9 13 7 - 6	18.2 0.0 0.0 - 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HARMONY ISD	ALL	12	910	1.3	HART ISD	ALL	_	_	0.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2.9 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.2 7.4 1.5 1.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 29 27 16 30 23 26 30 33 25 35	4.2 0.0 4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD			-	1.9	HARTLEY ISD	ALL	-	-	0.7
	06 07 08	- - 0	- 34	1.3 4.5 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0	18 12	8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
HARPER ISD					HARTS BLUFF ISD	ALL	9	406	2.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 0	21 31 28 31 - - 40 44 37 35 24	4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 3.0 2.2 0.0 0.0 0.0		KC	- 0 - 0 0 0 0	- 38 - 37 47 55 51 38	8.7 4.7 0.0 5.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	Students				All S	tudents	
					District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
HASKELL CISD	ALL	10	576	1.7	HEDLEY ISD	ALL			1.7
	KG 01	_	-	2.4		KG 01	0	12	0.0 15.4
	02	-	_	2.9		02	0	14	0.0
	03 04	-		2.4 5.0		03 04	0	11	0.0 8.3
	05	-	-	1.9		05	0	17	0.0
	07	0	36	0.0		07	0	13	0.0
	08 09	0 -	43	0.0 2.1		08 09	0	17 13	0.0
	10	0	58 59	0.0		10	0	12	0.0
	12	_	- - - - - 36 43 - 59	2.6		12	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12	0.0
HAWKINS ISD	ALL	8	691	1.2	HEIGHTS CHARTER SCHO	ALL	31	191	16.2
	KG 01	0	36 - 58 54 53 60 59 63 - - 47	4.7 0.0		08 09	0 16 5 - 6	34 75	0.0 21.3
	02 03	_ 0	- 58	2.3		10 11	5 -	38	13.2 25.0
	04	0	54 53	0.0		12	6	28	21.4
	06	0	60	0.0					
	07	0	63	0.0					
	09 10	-	-	2.8					
	11 12	_ 0	- 47	2.2					
HAWLEY ISD	ALL	13	733	1.8	HEMPHILL ISD				
	KG		55 - 74 - 53 - 53	1.7		KG		_	3.2
01 02	02	-	-	2.2		01 02	- -	-	3.4 2.5
	03 04	0	- 55	3.2 0.0		03 04	5 -	70 -	7.1 2.3
	05 06	_	- 74	1.6		05 06	0	63 67	0.0
	07	-	-	2.2		07	-	-	2.5 2.7
	09	-	-	3.8		09	0	78	0.0
	10 11	_	-	1.6 3.6		10 11	5 - 0 0 - - 0 0	61 57	0.0
HAVE COME TED	12	0	55	0.0					
HAYS CONS ISD					HEMPSTEAD ISD	ALL		1,322	
	01	19	525 552 570 - -	3.4		01	10 5 - 0 -	121	8.3
	02	_	5/0	0.2		02	5 -	100	5.0 1.9
	04 05	-	-	0.3 0.2		04 05	_ 0	106	3.3 0.0
	06 07	<u>-</u>	_	0.2		06			0.9
	08	_	-	0.4		08	0	121	0.0
	09 10	58 51	678 563	8.6 9.1		09 10	15	105	14.3
	11 12	20 11	449 397	4.5 2.8		11 12	_	_	1.4 1.2
HEARNE ISD	ALL	35	1,065	3.3	HENDERSON ISD	ALL	128	3,383	3.8
	KG	0	80	0.0		KG		228	2.6
	01	10	90	11.1		01	10	225	4.4
	03	-	-	1.1		03		∠8U -	0.7
	04 05	_ 0	- 86	1.1		04 05	- 6	253	0.3
	06	-	-	1.1		06	9	281	3.2
	08	0	79	0.0		08		_	1.1
	09 10	5 6	78 67	6.4 9.0		09 10	47 16	304 265	15.5 6.0
	11 12	5 -	80 90 - - 86 - 79 78 67 79	6.3 5.2		11 12	6 10 11 - 6 9 - 47 16 10 5	243 193	4.1

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents I Total	Rate	District	Grade		tudents Total	Rate
HENRIETTA ISD		16	993	1.6	HIGGINS ISD	ALL	0	90	0.0
	06 07 08 09 10	- 0 5 0	- 76 53 69 86 - - 99 77 76 - 72	4.5 3.7 0.0 6.5 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 5 7 7 7 7 7 12 7 8 10	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HEREFORD ISD					HIGGS, CARTER, KING	ALL		-	2.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	10 12 11 - 0 - - 63 12 9 6	255 320 - - 253 - -	3.7 4.7 3.4 0.3 0.7 0.0 0.3 0.3 1.4 18.1 4.3 3.4 2.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 - 0 0 0 0 0	12 - 10 13 12 21 - 18	3.7 0.0 10.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0
HERMLEIGH ISD	ALL	6	106	5.7	HIGH ISLAND ISD	ALL			0.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 5 7 10 - - 8 8 - - 5 9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 22.2 16.7 9.1 0.0 11.1 10.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 15 18 14 16 16 15 25 18 - 29 28 25	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
HICO ISD	ALL	6		1.0	HIGHLAND ISD	ALL	-	-	1.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 - 0 - 0 0	39 - 44 - 41 53	0.0 2.2 1.7 0.0 2.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 0 - 0 0 0		0.0 0.0 6.7 0.0 0.0 0.0
	07 08 09 10 11	0 0 -	51 61 51 - -	0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 2.8 2.4		07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 - 0	20 12	0.0 0.0 0.0 6.7 0.0
HIDALGO ISD	ALL	85	2,463	3.5	HIGHLAND PARK ISD (D	ALL	25	5,416	0.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 -6 5 -0 0 35 11 12 5	214 - 224 205 - 187 184 - 237 124 173 134	0.0 1.5 2.7 2.4 0.5 0.0 0.0 2.0 1.6 14.8 8.9 6.9 3.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		392 377 - 465 432 464 471 - 400 397	1.3 2.6 0.5 0.0 0.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.3

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents				All S	tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
HIGHLAND PARK ISD (P	ALL	6	754	0.8	HONDO ISD	ALL		2,006	4.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 0	63 - 65 49 - 71 - 73 64 48 - 39	0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 3.1 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		136 170 - - - 171 - 165 184 131 115	4.4 5.3 0.7 1.8 1.9 0.6 2.9 0.6 0.0 21.7 9.2 5.2
HILLSBORO ISD	ALL	92	1,652	5.6	HONEY GROVE ISD	ALL	15	671	2.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	9 8 8 7	128 135 125 134 -	7.0 5.9 6.4 5.2 3.0 0.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- - - 0 0 0 0	- - - - 43 57 41 56	0 4
HITCHCOCK ISD	ALL	45	1,116	4.0	HONORS ACADEMY	ALL	694	1,681	41.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	12 -7 8 -0 0 0 0	96 92 - 78 89 87 - -	4.3 14.6 4.8 7.3 8.7 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.7 1.5 2.2 4.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 0 0 - 6 15 39 261 182 121 62	8 - - 5 11 - 25 36 104 432 353 274 407	0.0 44.4 50.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 24.0 41.7 37.5 60.4 51.6 44.2 15.2
HOLLAND ISD			427			ALL	32	958	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	29 26 22 34 37 31 - 43 - 28 45	3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 7.9 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 5 -	81 85	3.5 4.7 0.0 1.3 1.3 0.0 2.4 1.6 2.7 6.2 5.9 3.8 8.5
HOLLIDAY ISD	ALL	9	925	1.0	HOUSTON ADVANTAGE CH	ALL	-		0.3
		5 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0		8.5 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 - 0 - 0 0 0	85 - 83 - 83 81 90	0.0 1.0 0.0 1.3 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students ed Total	Rate	District	Grade		tudents Total	Rate
HOUSTON CAN ACADEMY	ALL	93	313	29.7		ALL	11	457	2.4
	09 10 11 12	35 36 18 -	96 108 43 -			KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.0 0.0 4.8 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 6.0 2.0 0.0
HOUSTON HEIGHTS LEAR			44		HUCKABAY ISD	ALL	6	221	2.7
	KG 01	- - 0	- -	23.1 18.2 15.4 0.0			- - 0 0 0 0 0 0		
HOUSTON ISD					HUDSON ISD	ALL	58	2,085	2.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	270 2,255 1,408 1,301 923 361 734 851 546 6,123 1,389 943 494	16,484 19,426 18,580 17,793 17,142 14,593 14,058 13,911 13,317 16,985 9,876 7,983 8,066	1.6 11.6 7.6 7.3 5.4 2.5 5.2 6.1 4.1 36.0 11.8 6.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 13 5 - 0 - 7 6 - 7	154 156 180 - - 155 - - 166 157 - 146	5.2 8.3 2.8 1.3 1.9 0.0 0.6 4.2 3.8 2.2 4.8
HOWE ISD	ALL	9	961	0.9	HUFFMAN ISD	ALL	77		3.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	76 - 59 75 75 - 84 - 68	2.3 3.2 0.0 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.0 1.2 1.4 0.0		08	- 10 16 - - 6 0 - - 20 5 - 7	201 178	1.0
HUBBARD ISD (BOWIE C			-	1.8	HUGHES SPRINGS ISD			909	2.0
	KG 01	0 -	5 -	0.0 7.7		KG 01		-	3.1 3.2
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 -	8 6 7 5 5 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	_	67 - - - 68 - 85 46 54	0.0 1.4 2.8 2.8 5.4 1.2 0.0 4.5 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Crade	All	Students	Pata	District	Crada		Students	Da+a
							Retained		
HULL-DAISETTA ISD					HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD	ALL	562	18,223	3.1
	KG 01	6 -	57 - - - 56 49	7.3		01	40 118	1,412 1,422 1,422 1,385 1,412 1,458 1,419 1,462 1,476 1,476 1,452 1,407 1,270 1,226	2.8 8.3
	02	-	-	1.8		02	15	1,422	0.8
	04	0	56 49	0.0		04	8	1,412	0.6
	06 07	- -	- -	4.3		06 07	5 72	1,419 1,462	0.4 4.9
	08 09	13	- - 64 -	1.8		08	38 68	1,476	2.6 4.7
	11	0	64 - 52 -	0.0		10 11	96 37	1,407	6.8 2.9
HUMBLE ISD	12 Atıtı	409	23.412	2.1 1.7	HUTTO ISD	12 Atıtı	46 26	1,226 1,195	3.8
101222 102					10110 102	KG		1,195 	4.9
	01 02	48 23	1,457 1,718 1,736 1,793 1,804	2.8		01 02	5 -	96	5.2 1.1
	03 04	10 5	1,793 1,804	0.6 0.3		03 04	_ 0	- 95	2.1
	05 06	_ 25	1,997	0.1		05 06	0_	105	0.0 1.8
	07 08	24 22	1,972 1,905	1.2 1.2		07 08	0	83	2.0
	09 10	21 8	1,885 1,916	1.1		09 10	9	104	8.7 1.2
	11 12	134 53	1,997 1,972 1,905 1,885 1,916 1,730 1,639	7.7 3.2		11 12	0	96 - 95 105 - 83 104 - 77 68	0.0
HUNT ISD	ALL	9	165	5.5	I AM THAT I AM ACADE	ALL	_	_	2.0
	KG 01	0	16 27 22 15	5.3		04 05	0	8 9 10 - 21 - 13 6	0.0
	02 03	0	27	0.0		06 07	0	10	0.0 5.9
	04 05	0	15	4.0		08	0	21	0.0 5.9
	0 /	_	_	0.3		10 11	0	13	0.0
	09 10	- - -	-	- -					
	11	_	_	-					
HUNTINGTON ISD					IDALOU ISD	ALL	11	788	1.4
	KG 01	- 6	116 -	2.4 5.2		KG 01		- -	3.9 6.3
	02 03			2.8 1.6		02 03	_		1.8 3.4
	04 05	0	126 114	1.7		04 05	0	67 65 58 56 67	0.0
	0 /	0 _	=	0.0		06 07	0	58 56	0.0
	08 09	- 12	_	0.8 8.5		09	U		0.0
	10 11	14	141 138 95 128	10.1		10 11	-	/ <u>-</u> -	1.5 1.9 0.0
HUNTSVILLE ISD	12 ALL		128 6 , 224		IMPACT CHARTER	12 ALL		55 -	
								26	0 0
	01 02	40 16	533 520	7.5 3.1		01 02	_ 0	_ 16	7.7 0.0
	03 04	11 7	426 533 520 510 466 487 480 541 502 567 435 342	2.2 1.5		03 04	0	16 11 9	0.0
	05 06	5 0	487 480	1.0					
	07 08	18 8	541 502	3.3 1.6					
	09 10	73 21	567 435	12.9 4.8					
	11 12	11_	342	3.2 0.7					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students I Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
INDUSTRIAL ISD			947		IOWA PARK CONS ISD	ALL	17	1,912	0.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12		- - - 70 - 73 - 72 87 - -	5.2 1.6 1.4 3.3 0.0 2.0 0.0 1.4 0.0 5.7 3.6 2.1 1.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 0 - 0 0	121 134 145 165 - 159 - -	1.1 1.3 0.6
INGLESIDE ISD					IRA ISD				0.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - - 0 - 20 8 - 5	143 - 176 115 - 90	1.9 1.3 1.2 0.6 1.2 1.2 0.0 2.7 2.9 11.4 7.0 3.4 5.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 8 18 13 11 9 11 13 - 17 19 10	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
INGRAM ISD	ALL		1,423		IRAAN-SHEFFIELD ISD	ALL	62	519	11.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 - 0 0 - 5	107 - 93 137 -	2.5 3.8 0.0 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.9 3.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 - 0 - 0	19 - 26 - 44 41	7.1 0.0 6.3 3.6 0.0 3.7 0.0
	08 09 10 11	- 12 7 0	156 124 87	1.5 7.7 5.6				39 92 54 - 41	
INSPIRED VISION ACAD					IREDELL ISD	ALL			
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 - - 0	8 9 11 - - 9 11	0.0 0.0 0.0 11.1 - 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 7 11 12 12 12 12	11.1 0.0 0.0 9.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
IOLA ISD	ALL	14 	414 	3.4 	IRION CO ISD	ALL	0 - 0 0 0 0	336 	1.5 0.0 10.5 9.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

Biological Control	<u> </u>		Students	D.1	Pintolal	0		Students	
District					District		Retained		
IRVING ISD	ALL 	1,101	26,641	4.1	JAMIE'S HOUSE CHARTE			46	
	KG 01	28 157	2,278 2,441	1.2		06 07	0	6 8 8 15 -	0.0
	03	44 29	2,393 2,284 2,275	1.9		09 10	5	15	0.0 33.3 28.6
	05 06	12 51	2,284 2,053	0.5		11	-	-	_
	07 08	77 32	2,160 1,943	3.6 1.6					
	09 10	274 160	2,107 1,741	13.0 9.2					
	11 12	86 79	2,278 2,441 2,393 2,284 2,275 2,284 2,053 2,160 1,943 2,107 1,741 1,397 1,285	6.2 6.1					
ITALY ISD	ALL	16	628	2.5	JARRELL ISD			642	3.3
	KG 01	-		7.3 6.4		KG 01		-	9.8 4.1
	02 03	0	40	4.5		02 03	0	49	2.5
	05 06	0	50 50	0.0		05 06	0	58 50	0.0
	07 08	0	60 53	0.0		07 08	- -	- -	5.6 6.1
	09 10	-	_ 	1.9 3.9		09 10	- -	-	6.6 2.0
	11 12	0 -	- - 40 - 50 50 60 53 - 41	0.0 6.3		11 12	0	49 - 58 50 - - - 49	0.0 4.4
ITASCA ISD	ALL	10	514	1.9	JASPER ISD	ALL	133	2,828	4.7
	KG 01	0 -	36 - 30 35 38 40 47 50 - 48 36	0.0 7.0		KG 01	14 12	216 212	6.5 5.7
	02	0	30 35	0.0		02	13	228	1.8 5.7
	05 06	0	40 47	0.0		05 06	- -	209	1.0
	07 08	0 -	50	0.0 4.9		07 08	28 9	245 222	11.4 4.1
	09 10	0	48	9.3 0.0		09 10	25 8	217 229	11.5 3.5
	11	-	36 -	3.7		12		216 212 228 209 	1.6
JACKSBORO ISD			946		JAYTON-GIRARD ISD	ALL	-	_	0.7
	KG 01	<u>-</u> -	73 64 74 - 79 76	6.3 5.4		KG 01	0	7 - 9 8 - 12 16 9	0.0
	02 03 04	0	73 64	0.0		02 03 04	0	8	0.0
	05 06	0	74	0.0		05 06	0	12 16	0.0
	07 08	0	79 76	0.0		07 08	0 -	9 -	0.0 7.7
	10	8 - -	97 - -	1.4		10	U	± /	0.0
	11 12	0	60	4.2 0.0		11 12	0 0	13 15	0.0
JACKSONVILLE ISD	ALL	173	4,243	4.1	JEAN MASSIEU ACADEMY	ALL	6	96	6.3
	KG 01	17 30	322 376	5.3 8.0		KG 01	- -		12.5 9.1
	02 03	30 15 8 -	376 336 362	4.5		02 03	-	_	23.1 14.3
	04 05 06	- - -	- -	0.3 0.9		04 05	0	- - - 7 8 7 9 8	0.0
	06 07 08	- 8 7	374 336	2.1		07 08	0	o 7 9	0.0
	09 10	51 13	324 311	15.7 4.2		09 10	Ŏ 0	8 9	0.0
	11 12	10 8	374 336 324 311 243 257	4.1 3.1		11	Ó	6	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	tudents				All S	tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
JEFFERSON ISD	ALL		1,425	2.5	JOHN H WOOD CHARTER	ALL	23	98	23.5
	06 07 08	- 6 - 0 0 0 0 - 20	132 128 -	2.2 7.1 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 0.7 13.6 1.9 1.1		06 07 08 09 10 11	12 6	40 14	- 13.3 4.8 30.0 42.9 33.3
JESSE JACKSON ACADEM		96	242		JOHNSON CITY ISD	ALL		-	
	09 10 11 12	52 23 12 9	105 54 34 49	49.5 42.6 35.3 18.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48 50 50 28 48 46 41 60 50 45	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
JIM HOGG COUNTY ISD				2.7	JONESBORO ISD	ALL			0.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7	89 - - 80 91 - - - 79	2.5 7.9 4.2 3.7 1.2 0.0 0.0 3.1 2.5 3.1 3.1 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 20 14 19 17 13 - 15 20 12 16 12 15	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
JIM NED CONS ISD	ALL		960	1.1	JOSHUA ISD	ALL	187	3,916	4.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 0 0 0 0 0	- - 74 70 86 82 83 84 - 72	5.9 4.4 1.7 1.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		0.8	14 15 8 7 - 7 6 - - 5 27 21	_	0.3
JOAQUIN ISD	ALL	25	611	4.1	JOURDANTON ISD	ALL	56	1,246	4.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 0	51 48 52 - - 55 - - 54 34 27 40	9.8 0.0 0.0 3.8 2.2 0.0 3.4 8.0 4.5 16.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - - - 9 6 - 18 5	82 - - 109 107 - 119 87 -	0.0 3.3 1.1 4.0 1.0 8.3 5.6 3.8 15.1 5.7 3.7

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
JUDSON ISD	ALL	767	15 , 503	4.9	KATY ISD			33,676	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	19 59 49 39 33 23 18 32 27 131 34 279 24	1,113 1,296 1,195 1,294 1,321 1,248 1,259 1,348 1,237 1,348 1,074 988 782	1.7 4.6 4.1 3.0 2.5 1.8 1.4 2.2 9.7 3.2 28.2 3.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	67 157 50 22 18 5 22 24 31 285 104 42 42	2,219 2,513 2,602 2,660 2,614 2,862 2,947 2,774 2,856 2,896 2,575 2,142 2,016	3.0 6.2 1.9 0.8 0.7 0.2 0.7 0.9 1.1 9.8 4.0 2.0 2.1
JUNCTION ISD	ALL	16	726	2.2	KAUFMAN ISD	ALL	155	2 , 779	5.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- - 0 0 0 0	- 49 54 48 60 54 70 79	2.3 6.1 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	16 9 22 15 5 - 8 46 10 9 6	257 219 234 222 220 	6.2 4.1 9.4 6.8 2.3 1.3 1.2 1.3 5.6 6.4 4.0
KARNACK ISD	ALL	14	312	4.5	KEENE ISD			624	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 7	24 - 24 27 28 21 18 - - 29 - 19 22	0.0 11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.1 5.0 24.1 4.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 13	- - 59 57 54 45 - 38 57 - - 26	6.0 7.4 1.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.1 0.0 22.8 9.3 5.4 0.0
KARNES CITY ISD	ALL	35	937	3.7	KELLER ISD	ALL	403	16,541	2.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- - - 0 - -	- - - 69 - -	4.1 2.8 1.5 1.4 0.0 2.9 2.6 2.1 1.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	31 62 21 13 5 - 5 17 15 122 61 29 18	1,270 1,399 1,373 1,404 1,347 - 1,375 1,352 1,319 1,290 1,137 1,005 877	2.4 4.4 1.5 0.9 0.4 0.3 0.4 1.3 1.1 9.5 5.4 2.9 2.1
KATHERINE ANNE PORTE		11	104	10.6	KELTON ISD	ALL	-		6.7
	09 10 11 12	- 5 0 -	_ 34	23.1 14.7 0.0 9.4		KG 01 02 03 04 06	- - - -	- - - - -	- - - - -

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
KEMP ISD	ALL	97	1,541	6.3		ALL	71	2,585	2.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	7 - 9 - 0 - - - 45 13	87 - 118 - 104 - - - 173 110	8.0 2.4 7.6 1.6 0.0 2.2 2.9 1.5 2.1 26.0 11.8 5.1 2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	14 6 - - - 6 15 11 - 0	199 182 - - - 228 228 210 - 132	7.0 3.3 0.9 0.5 0.8 1.4 1.5 2.6 6.6 5.2 2.3 2.8 0.0
	ALL	-	-	1.4	KENNY DORHAM SCHOOL	ALL	0	9	0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 0	18 8 11 10 12 - 6	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 0.0		04 05 06	0	6 - -	0.0
KENEDY COUNTY WIDE C	ALL	_	-	7.1	KERENS ISD	ALL	21	685	3.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 - - - 0 0	9 - - - 5 6	0 0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	9	49 - - 55 - 62 - 62 - 42 41	18.4 2.3 3.0 2.0 0.0 1.8 0.0 4.1 0.0 5.1 4.1 0.0
KENEDY ISD			930	4.2	KERMIT ISD	ALL	39	1,288	3.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - - 0 0 - - 13 8	- - - 59 80 - - 105 76 -	5.0 1.7 5.0 1.7 2.9 0.0 0.0 2.3 1.6 12.4 10.5 1.3 5.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		- -	2.2 1.7
KENNARD ISD	ALL	12	357	3.4	KERRVILLE ISD	ALL	271	4,357	6.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	19 - - 24 30 - - 35 - 29	0.0 6.5 4.0 3.4 0.0 0.0 3.7 4.3 14.3 0.0 3.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	59 19 11 - - 11 6 5 108 23 13 8	333 298 338 - - 311 355 364 447 316 289 273	17.7 6.4 3.3 1.2 0.6 0.6 3.5 1.7 1.4 24.2 7.3 4.5 2.9

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	tudents					Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
KILGORE ISD				2.9	KIRBYVILLE CISD			1,486	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 - 7 12 7 29 11 13	249 - 280 269 285 285 272 239 227	0.4 0.7 1.6 0.8 0.0 1.5 2.5 4.5 2.5 10.2 4.0 5.4 3.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 6 6 5 - 0 - 10 - - - -	116 111 105 - 102 129 - - - 131	2.2 5.2 5.4 4.8 1.8 0.0 0.9 7.8 2.6 2.3 1.7 1.0 4.6
KILLEEN ISD	ALL	1,039	25,622	4.1	KLEIN ISD	ALL	1 , 574	31 , 239	5.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	58 163 75 56 53 39 87 88 76 213 30 9	2,245 2,372 2,272 2,160 2,152 2,204 2,100 1,879 1,947 1,882 1,600 1,467 1,342	2.6 6.9 3.3 2.6 2.5 1.8 4.1 4.7 3.9 11.3 1.9 0.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	42 105 56 36 37 11 54 67 36 777 156 148 49	1,783 2,178 2,257 2,333 2,441 2,490 2,627 2,779 2,571 2,922 2,579 2,199 2,080	2.4 4.8 2.5 1.5 1.5 0.4 2.1 2.4 1.4 26.6 6.0 6.7 2.4
KILLEEN-RICHARD MILB	ALL	46	136	33.8	KLONDIKE ISD	ALL	_	_	1.5
	09 10 11 12	6 11 14 15	19 31 34 52	31.6 35.5 41.2 28.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 - 19 21 13 18 - 17 15 12 13	0.0 6.3 7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0
KINGSVILLE ISD					KNIPPA ISD		15		
	05 06 07 08	11 46 5 5 - - 8 5 5 5 5 32 39 32	309 306 305 327 - - 336 322 417 348 314 351	1.0 1.3 2.4 1.6		****		15 16 - 14 17 - - - 16	21.4 5.3 5.3 0.0 0.0 15.4 0.0 0.0 8.3 17.6 15.8 6.3 0.0
KIPP, INC CHARTER	ALL	5	184	2.7	KNOX CITY-O'BRIEN IS	ALL	5	301	1.7
	05 06 07 08 09		-	5.1 0.0 0.0 0.0		RG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.0 0.0 0.0 13.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

148

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All S ⁻ Retained		Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
KOPPERL ISD					LA ESCUELA DE LAS AM	ALL	0	25	0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0	16 - - 25 - - - - 29 - 10 18	0.0 5.0 5.6 0.0 7.4 5.7 6.7 3.8 0.0 3.7 12.0 0.0		KG 01	0	13 12	0.0
KOUNTZE ISD	ALL	26	1,283	2.0	LA FERIA ISD	ALL	159	2,614	6.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 5 - - 11 0	103 98 104 105 109 - 108 79 85	1.1 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.6 2.7 3.0 10.2 0.0 2.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 15 27 17 - 0 - 0 52 30 -	201 237 216 203 - 211 - 195 221 171 - 172	0.0 6.3 12.5 8.4 0.9 0.0 1.5 0.5 0.0 23.5 17.5 1.3 5.8
KRESS ISD	ALL	7	316	2.2	LA GLORIA ISD	ALL	-	-	4.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 0	21 18 - 23 26 - - - 34 31 34 19	0.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 0.0 8.7 5.0 5.3 8.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	- 0 0 0 0	- 15 14 13 18 12	8.3 25.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
KRUM ISD	ALL	8	1,037	0.8	LA GRANGE ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - - 0 0 0 0	- - - 83 76 90 - - 66 59	1.5 2.4 1.3 1.1 1.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 - 0 - 0 - 31 22 10	117 132 - 132 - 173 165 138	1.7 3.5 0.0 0.8 1.5 0.0 1.5 0.6 17.9 13.3 7.2 2.4
LA AMISTAD LOVE & LE	ALL	_ 		18.2	LA JOYA ISD	ALL	975		6.0
	KG	-	-	18.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	102 100 96 96 34 12 22 35 28 243 86 47 74	1,642 1,562 1,541 1,483 1,394 1,204 1,204 1,206 996 838 679	6.2 6.4 6.2 6.5 2.4 0.9 1.8 2.6 2.3 20.1 8.6 5.6

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District of	<u> </u>	All	Students		Pital sites	0 1	All	Students	
					District				
LA MARQUE ISD	ALL	340	3,756	9.1	LA VILLA ISD	ALL 	26 	672	3.9
	KG 01	0 31	221 311	0.0 10.0		KG 01	0 _	42	0.0 5.9
	02 03	16 11	296 315	5.4 3.5		02 03	0	48	1.7
	0.4 0.5	8 -	285	2.8		0.4 0.5	0	49	0.0
	06 07	- 13	- 285	0.9		06 07	0	64 52	0.0
	08	155	386	1.5		08	<u>-</u> 5	63	3.6
	10	65 17	290 231	22.4		10	6	53	11.3
			221 311 296 315 285 - 285 386 290 231 242					42 - - 48 49 - 64 52 - 63 53 - -	
LA PORTE ISD	ALL	194	7,324	2.6	LACKLAND ISD	ALL	18	807	2.2
	01	9	524 593 597 - 620 590 649 518 482 437	1.7		01	-	76 68 - - 51 - 25	2.6
	03	-	507	0.3		03	_	76	1.1
	05	_	-	0.2		05	0	68	0.0
	06	9	620	1.5		06	-	-	1.5
	08 09	100	590 649	15.4		08 09	5	51	9.8
	10 11	23 15	518 482	4.4 3.1		10 11	-	-	2.8
LA PRYOR ISD	AT.T.	12	437	2./	LAGO VISTA ISD				1.4
En Titloit 155	KG	0	436 	0.0	mico vicini iob	KG	0	67	
	01 02	0	35 53	0.0		01 02	0	- 70	1.7
	03 04	0	36 34	0.0		03 04	_ 0	- 71	1.4
	05 06	0	35	0.0		05 06	0	76 -	0.0
	07 08	0	40 28	0.0		07 08	_	_	1.2
	09	- -	-	6.3		09	_	- 63	2.2
	11 12	0	38 35 53 36 34 35 - 40 28 - 24 32	0.0		11 12	0 -	67 70 71 76 63 52	0.0
LA VEGA ISD	ALL	221	2,253	9.8	LAKE DALLAS ISD	ALL	56	2,979	1.9
	KG 01	7 17	195 184 181 - 186 191 - 201 230	3.6 9.2		KG 01	_ 6	232 - - 266 - 225 235	0.4
	02 03	14	181	7.7 1.2		02 03	_	_	0.4
	04 05	9 5	186 191	4.8 2.6		04 05	0	266	0.4
	06 07	- 6	201	2.4		06 07	-	-	1.1 1.6
	08 09	8 62	230 190	3.5 32.6		08 09	0 27	225 235	0.0 11.5
	10 11	47 32	135 126	34.8 25.4		10 11	- 5	- 176	2.1 2.8
IA MEDNIA TOD	12	8	97	8.2	TAKE MDAVITO TOD	12	-	-	1.9
LA VERNIA ISD	ALL : KG	68 15	2,090 	3.3 10.7	LAKE TRAVIS ISD	ALL KG	57 5	3,985 310	1.4
	01 02	13	147	8.8		01 02	_ 0	278	0.3
	03 04	5 0	165 165	3.0		03 04	0	326	0.7
	05 06	0 5	166 174	0.0		05 06	- 0	352	0.3
	07	- -	1/4	1.0		07	-	_	0.6
	08 09	8	164	0.5		08 09	0 22	315 316	0.0 7.0
	10 11	5 8	143 151	3.5 5.3		10 11	_ 11	265	1.3 4.2

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
LAKE WORTH ISD	ALL	94	1,826	5.1	LANEVILLE ISD	ALL		193	4.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	10 8 - - 0 - 0 33 18 7 8	139 149 - - 151 - 148 162 123 110	7.2 5.4 2.2 0.6 1.7 0.0 0.6 2.0 0.0 20.4 14.6 6.4 7.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10		13 9 18 11 16 28 - 16	14.3 12.5 11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 11.5 13.6 0.0
LAMAR CONSOLIDATED I	ALL	802	14,357	5.6	LAPOYNOR ISD	ALL	9	439	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	59 97 66 36 14 11 15 20 26 258 106 54 40	1,149 1,150 1,253 1,223 1,175 1,181 1,127 1,128 1,106 1,254 981 832 798	5.1 8.4 5.3 2.9 1.2 0.9 1.3 1.8 2.4 20.6 10.8 6.5 5.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0	29 - 32 35 37 - - - 36 - 29	4.3 0.0 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.2 2.5 10.7 0.0 2.9 0.0 3.1
LAMESA ISD	ALL	91	2,232	4.1	LAREDO ISD	ALL	1,102	20,768	5.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	13 13 7 13 5 - 0 13 10 7	180 173 152 173 153 - - 177 192 190 169	7.2 7.5 4.6 7.5 3.3 1.9 1.7 1.2 0.0 6.8 5.3 4.1 1.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	28 253 143 63 12 13 28 31 15 305 101 62 48	1,856 2,094 1,896 1,833 1,687 1,581 1,607 1,587 1,451 1,697 1,304 1,137 1,038	1.5 12.1 7.5 3.4 0.7 0.8 1.7 2.0 1.0 18.0 7.7 5.5 4.6
LAMPASAS ISD					LASARA ISD	ALL	6	277	2.2
	UB	_	211 193 205 246 242 242 242 - - - 256 266	9.5 4.7 4.4 3.3 3.3 3.7 0.7 1.2 5.9 6.4 1.8 1.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 5 - 0 0 0 0 0	22 35 - 35 25 36 32 27 35	0.0 14.3 3.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
LANCASTER ISD			3,944		LATEXO ISD			407	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	8	264 270 305 - 353 319	2.3 3.0 2.0 0.7 1.4 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	_	- 31	5.7 3.3 3.8 16.1 0.0 5.0
			340 287 244	0.6 0.9 2.7 10.9 5.9 2.0 3.2		06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 0 - 0 0	30 - 36 - 36 24 -	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Students					tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
LAZBUDDIE ISD			- 	2.1	LEGGETT ISD				
	KG 01	- -	- 16 12 13 19 12 6 16 23 14 17	14.3 15.4		KG 01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 22	0.0
	02 03	0	16 12	0.0		02 03	0	17	0.0 4.8
	04 05	0	13 19	0.0		04 05	0	21 12	0.0
	06 07	0	12 6	0.0		06 07	-	_	10.5 5.9
	08 09	0	16 23	0.0		08 09	0 -	19 -	0.0 10.5
	10 11	0	14 17	0.0		10 11	-	_	15.4 20.0
LEAKEY ISD		0			LEON ISD	12 ALL			
BBARBT 10D	KG	0	25	0.0	HEON 15D				
	01 02	0	15	4.8		01 02	0	49	4.8
	03 04	0 -	26 -	0.0 5.0		03 04	0 -	56 -	0.0 3.7
	05 06	0	24	0.0 4.5		05 06	0	54 59	0.0
	07 08	0	23 22	0.0		07 08	0	55 61	0.0
	09 10	0	25 24	0.0		10	0	43	0.0
	11 12	0	25 - 15 26 - 24 - 23 22 25 24 21 16	0.0		11 12	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	60	0.0
LEANDER ISD	ALL	322	13,978	2.3	LEONARD ISD	ALL	23	812	2.8
	KG 01	20 30	1,208 1,185	1.7		KG 01	0	44	4.8
	02	-	1,152	0.3		02	-	_	1.5
	04	5	1,196	0.5		05	_	=	3.0 2.9
	06	7	1,114	0.6		07	- -	- -	2.9
	09	74	1,047	7.1		09	-	-	6.3
	11 12	96 23	1,208 1,185 1,152 1,196 1,163 1,114 1,130 1,047 977 863 707	11.1 3.3		11 12	- - - - - - 5 - - -	- -	2.0
LEARY ISD	ALL	-	-	3.9	LEVELLAND ISD	ALL	88	2,794	3.1
	KG 01	0	9 - 13 - 15 - - 11 8	0.0 12.5		KG 01	11 - - 0 0 0 7	199	5.5 2.1
	02 03	0	13	0.0 7.1		02 03	-	_	0.5 1.0
	04 05	0 _	15	0.0 8.3		04 05	0	205 213	0.0
	06 07	_ 0	- 11	8.3		06 07	0 7	199 237	0.0 3.0
	80	0	8	0.0		08 09	33		0.4 12.1
						10 11	ž	216 193	4.2 3.1
LEFORS ISD	AT.T.	5	167	3 0	LEVERETTS CHAPEL ISD	11 12		222 222	6.3 2.7
					DEVELOTIO CIRCIES IOD				
	01 02	0	_ 12	11.1		01 02	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 20	0.0
	03 04	_ 0	_ 18	9.5 0.0		03 04	0	_ 20	4.8 0.0 0.0
	05 06	0	16 16	0.0		05 06	0	19 24	0.0
	07 08	0	14	6.3 0.0		07 08	0	_ 16	4.5
	09 10	0	10 16	0.0		09 10	-	-	25.0 8.3
	11 12	0	6 - 12 - 18 16 16 - 14 10 16 - 5	12.5		11 12	0	16 5	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	-		Students					Students	
District					District		Retained		Rate
LEWISVILLE ISD	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	44 323 70 48 18 18 56 86 92 196 113 55 56	2,658 3,494 3,108 3,143 3,125 3,117 3,048 2,908 3,019 2,727 2,268 1,987	1.7 9.2 2.2 1.5 0.6 0.6 1.8 2.8 3.2 6.5 4.1 2.4 2.8	LIFE CHARTER SCHOOL	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	 - 0 - -	64 - - - - - -	4.6 1.2 0.0 1.4 1.0 1.7 1.5 4.4 2.4 0.0
LEXINGTON ISD	ALL	38	964	3.9	LINDALE ISD		61		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 - 11 6 7	74 - 59 69 - 86 73 - 81 72 70	6.8 4.6 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.0 2.9 13.6 8.3 10.0		KG 01 02 03 04	16 8 0 0 0 - 21 0	204 200 - 210	1.7 7.8 4.0 1.9 0.0
LIBERTY HILL ISD	ALL	54	1,523	3.5	LINDEN-KILDARE CONS	ALL	7	936	0.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 9	111 127 - 115 - 131 116	10.8 8.7 2.3 0.8 5.2 0.8 2.3 0.9 0.0 7.8 3.5 1.2 2.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69 70 72 60 57 80 - 75 76 89 65 - 87	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.6 0.0 1.4
LIBERTY ISD	ALL	83	2,240	3.7	LINDSAY ISD	ALL	0	472	0.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6	202	2.9 7.9 3.6 1.2 0.0 1.5 0.6 0.0 3.6 14.4 3.0 2.7 3.3		10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	46	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
LIBERTY-EYLAU ISD	ALL	175	2,435	7.2	LINGLEVILLE ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 5 8 0 0 17 11 1 9 59 34 21 8	181 - 187 170 191 211 206 194 230	0.0 2.8 1.7 4.3 0.0 0.0 8.1 5.3 4.6 25.7 16.8 14.3 4.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 - 0 0 0	- 22 - 12 20 18 18 18 - 15	6.7 5.0 0.0 6.7 9.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 5.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
LIPAN ISD	ALL	_ 		1.0	LLANO ISD	ALL		1,609	
	KG 01 02	0 0 0 0	28 19	5.6 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02	6 17 -	116 142 - 125	5.2 12.0 0.8
	03	0	16 36	0.0		03	0	125	0.0
	05 06	0	27	0.0		05 06	- - -	- - -	0.7
	08	- 0 - 0 0 0	17	0.0		08	_ _ 10		2.5 1.3 9.2
	10	0	27 27	0.0		11	_	_	1.6 3.3
TIMMIE CYDDECC MAUDI					TOOMINDE TOD	12	-	-	1.1
LITTLE CYPRESS-MAURI					LOCKHART ISD	ALL KG	176 	4,219 275	3.3
	01 02	31 0 - 0 0 0	240	0.0		01 02	9 10	275 312 322 306	2.9 3.1
	03 04	0	267 258	0.0		03 04	7 0	306 314	2.3
	05 06	0 -	292 -	0.0		05 06	0 -	307	0.0
	07	- 19 8 - 7	-	0.4		07	9	397	0.3
	10	8	282	2.8		10	34	350	20.2 9.7 0.4
						12	8	275 312 322 306 314 307 397 430 350 258	3.1
LITTLE ELM ISD					LOCKNEY ISD				
	01 02	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	171 154	2.9		01 02	- -	42 45 - - - 60 - 58	7.3 7.1 7.4
	03	0	180	0.0		03	0	42 45	0.0
	05 06	0	154 157	0.0		05 06	<u>-</u> -	- -	1.7 5.0
	07 08	0	149 140	0.0		07 08	0	- 60	2.0
	09 10	11 0	146 100	7.5 0.0		09 10	0	- 58	4.3 0.0
						11 12			2.3 1.8
LITTLEFIELD ISD		53 			LOHN ISD				
	KG 01 02	0 0 0	- - 102	2.1 3.5 0.0		01 02	0	9 9 -	0.0
	03 04	0	116 101	0.0		03 04	0	6 5	0.0
	05 06	6	128	0.9 4.7 3.1		05 06	0 0 0 0	5 12	0.0
	07 08	6 5 8	161 157	5.1		08	0	18	0.0
	10	19	130	2.1		09 10	0	11	0.0
	11 12			4.9 2.2		11 12	0	9	0.0
LIVINGSTON ISD					LOMETA ISD				2.4
	KG 01	- 0 - 7 10 22 25 11 6	_ 296	1.1		KG 01	0	21 12	0.0
	02 03	0	302	0.0		02 03	0	12 - 30 17	5.0 0.0 0.0
	05 06	- - -	- - -	1.3		05 06	-	 _	4.8 5.0
	07 08	7 10	315 300	2.2		07 08	0	15 23	0.0
	09 10	22 25	395 305	5.6		09 10	0	10	8.3
	11 12	11 6	266 241	4.1 2.5		11 12	_ 0	21 12 30 17 - 15 23 - 10 - 16	6.3

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

C~1-	All St		Do+-	District	C 22 -1 -		Students	Dote
								Rate
				LORENA ISD				
KG 01	0	18	0.0		KG 01	5	-	6.5 2.0
02 03	0	16 20	0.0		02 03	0	126	0.0
04 05	0 0	18 27	0.0		04 05	-	-	2.9 0.9
06 07	0	18 23	0.0		06 07	- 5	- 135	1.8
08	Ö	28	0.0		08	10	151	2.8
					10	-	100	0.9
					12	_	103	1.0
				LORENZO ISD				
KG 01	8 –	45 -	17.8 7.8		KG 01	-	-	3.4 5.3
02 03	_	-	5.6 5.7		02 03	0 -	36 -	0.0 5.6
0.4 0.5	_ 0	- 61	1.9		04 05	0	19	0.0
06	0	61	0.0		06	0	25	0.0
08	-	-	1.5		08	0	23	0.0
		_	4.2		10	0	22	0.0
12	_	39 -	2.0		12	0	26	0.0
ALL	439	7,776	5.6	LOS FRESNOS CONS ISD	ALL	281	6,224	4.5
KG 01	12 14	610 677	2.0 2.1		KG 01	17 43	464 490	3.7 8.8
02 03	11 16	649 648	1.7 2.5		02 03	24 20	472 481	5.1 4.2
04 05	-	-	0.2 0.6		04 05	_	-	0.8 0.2
06 07	22 23	593 619	3.7 3.7		06 07	_ 0	- 522	0.4
08	22 184	648	3.4		08	0 101	490	0.0
10	67 40	532	12.6		10	30	448 364	6.7
				LOUISE ISD				
01 02	0	7	0.0		0.1	0	33	3.1
03	0	13	0.0		03	0	36	0.0
04	0	16 12	0.0		04	0	29 49	0.0
								0.0
08	0	7	0.0		08	0	39	0.0
10	0	11	0.0		10	0	<u>-</u> 54	0.0
11 12	0	_ 17	8.3 0.0		11 12	0	30 48	0.0
ALL	7	151	4.6					
KG 01	0	9	0.0 28.6		KG 01	5 -	94	5.3 1.2
02 03	0	13 11	0.0		02	0	111	0.0
04	0	10	0.0		04	0	104	0.0
06	0	12	0.0		06	0	113	0.0
08	0	16	0.0					
09 10	0	13	0.0					
1.1								
	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL 0.6	ALL 0.6 LORENA ISD ALL 34 KG 111.1 KG 111.1 KG 5 01 0 18 0.0 02 0 0 16 0.0 03 0 20 0.0 03 0 0 20 0.0 04 0 19 0.0 05 0 27 0.0 06 0 23 0.0 07 0 0 23 0.0 08 5 08 0 28 0.0 ALL 29 733 4.0 LORENZO ISD ALL 6 KG 6 - 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ALL

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	Students					Students	
					District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
LOVELADY ISD			482		LUFKIN ISD	ALL	298	7,215	4.1
	KG 01	0	28 31 39 38 - 33 38 44 38 - 37 34	0.0		KG 01	18 51	510 543 568 511 559 - 551 598 - 581 576 508 535	3.5 9.4
	02 03	0	39 38	0.0		02 03	13 6	568 511	2.3 1.2
	04 05	0	33	3.2 0.0		04 05	8 -	559 -	1.4 0.2
	06 07	0 0	38 44	0.0		06 07	5 0	551 598	0.9
	08 09	0	38 -	0.0 8.7		08 09	92	581	0.7 15.8
	10 11	0 0	37 34	0.0		10 11	54 18	576 508	9.4 3.5
LUBBOCK ISD	12 Atıtı	- 793	27.433	2.9	LULING ISD	12 AT.T.	28 44	535	5.2 3.1
Edebook 105	KG		2,110	4.2	EGETING 162	KG			1.0
	01 02	185 80	2,264 2,178	8.2 3.7		01 02	0	102	0.9
	03 04	48 26	2,175 2,228	2.2 1.2		03 04	0	126	2.1 0.0
	05 06	13 16	2,156 2,106	0.6 0.8		05 06	0	125 112	0.0
	07 08	32 20	2,095 2,096	1.5 1.0		07 08	0	115 107	0.0
	09 10	42 72	2,245 2,161	1.9 3.3		09 10	25 10	127 110	19.7 9.1
	11 12	54 117	2,110 2,264 2,178 2,175 2,228 2,156 2,106 2,095 2,096 2,245 2,161 1,724 1,895	3.1 6.2		11 12	<u> </u>	102 126 125 112 115 107 127 110	2.3 3.3
LUBBOCK-COOPER ISD	ALL	94	1,985	4.7	LUMBERTON ISD	ALL	90	3,024	3.0
	KG 01	23 25	168 166 150 - -	13.7 15.1		KG 01	9 30	228 224	3.9 13.4
	02	10	150	2.0		02	-	218	1.6
	04	_	-	1.3		04 05	0	232	0.0
	06	_	-	1.3		06 07	-	_	1.2
	08	9	166	5.4		08 09	19	256	7.4
	11	9	166 151 135 141	6.7		11	5 -	228 224 218 - 232 242 - - - 256 225	1.4
LUBBOCK-RICHARD MILB					LYFORD CISD	ALL	40		
								104 120 - 105 108 101 117 124 113	
	11	17	24 58 42 36	40.5		02	-	105	0.9
	12	0	30	10.7		04	0	108	0.0
						06 07	0	117	0.0
						08	0 17	113 161	0.0
						10		_	4.8
						12		90	
LUEDERS-AVOCA ISD					LYTLE ISD			1,384	
	NG 01	0	12 8 8 8 13 12 11 - 14 15	0.0		01	- 5	107 - - 109 - 111 - 115 - -	4.7
	03	0	8	0.0		02 03	-	_	3.3
	05	- -	δ - 10	16.7		05	0	109	0.0
	07	0	12	0.0		07	0	111	0.0
	08 09	U -	_ _ 1.4	5.0		08 09	22	115	19.1
	10 11	0	14 15	0.0		11	-	-	3.3
	1.2	U	10	0.0		12	_	_	1.4

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents l Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
MABANK ISD					MALONE ISD		-	-	1.8
			202 223 237 221 - 251 - 242 256 254 218	2.5 7.2 5.1 4.1 0.9 2.0 0.4 5.8 4.7 11.8 4.6 1.8		KG 01 02 03 04	0 - 0 - - - 0 0 0	8 - 7 - - 7 9 6	0.0 11.1 0.0 - - 0.0 0.0 0.0
MADISONVILLE CONS IS					MALTA ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - - 6 - - 0 38 20 26	136 - - 142 159 131 130	2.1 2.6 2.7 1.8 4.4 0.6 0.7 2.0 0.0 23.9 15.3 20.0 2.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 0 0 0	11 14 12 8 - 9 5	10.0 0.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 -
MAGNOLIA ISD	ALL	195	6,887	2.8	MANOR ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	14 16 12 5 11 5 7 22 7 54 29 8 5	535 535 527 584 587 579 539 601 563 613 490 422 312	2.6 3.0 2.3 0.9 1.9 0.9 1.3 3.7 1.2 8.8 5.9 1.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	12 12 6 - 5 - - 47 18 8 10	200 194 202 - 182 - - - 230 144 134	6.0 6.2 3.0 1.6 2.7 1.2 1.0 2.0 1.9 20.4 12.5 6.0 8.2
MAINLAND PREPARATORY	ALL	-	-	0.8	MANSFIELD ISD	ALL	475	14,640	3.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36 -36 40 31 - 14 17	0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0			42 37 23 8 - - 10 7 170 95 63 12	1,148 1,224 1,141 1,201 - - 1,203 1,169 1,255 1,046 807 711	3.7 3.0 2.0 0.7 0.2 0.1 0.3 0.8 0.6 13.5 9.1 7.8
MALAKOFF ISD			1,012		MARATHON ISD	ALL			1.3
	KG 01	-	- -	4.9 2.8		KG 01	0	- 6	0.0
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 - - - 14 5 - 6	- 77 76 80 - - 85 79 - 67	2.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 2.4 1.0 16.5 6.3 4.6 9.0		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 - 0 0	7 - 5 9 - 9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	- 1	All	Students			- '	All	Students	
					District				
MARBLE FALLS ISD	ALL	174	3,268 	5.3	MARSHALL ISD	ALL 	294	5,632	5.2
	KG 01	14 27	256 268 265 238 - 274 - - 337 230 209	5.5		KG 01	16 15	362 443 432 426 455 452 482 435 574 437 382 346	4.4 3.4
	02 03	15 9	265 238	5.7 3.8		02 03	12	432	2.8 0.2
	04 05	- 5	274	1.2		04 05	0 5	426 455	1.1
	06 07	- -	-	1.3 1.2		06 07	6 17	452 482	1.3
	08 09	60	337	17.8		08 09	129	435 574	22.5
	11	10	209	9.1 4.8		10	13	382	3.4
MARFA ISD	ALL	25	453	5.5	MART ISD	ALL	10	346 656	1.5
	KG 01	 - -	- - - 32 - 37 35 33 -	11.8 2.3				 - -	6.5 1.9
	02 03	<u>-</u>	-	5.7 2.2		02 03	0 _	61	0.0 4.9
	04 05	_ 0	32	11.1		04 05	0	36 49	0.0
	06 07	_ 0	- 37	6.9 0.0		06 07	0	54 59	0.0
	08 09	0 5	35 33	0.0 15.2		08 09	0	46	0.0 6.0
	10 11 12	- - -	- - -	3.1 7.4		10 11	0	61 - 36 49 54 59 46 - 59 55	0.0
MARIETTA ISD	ALL	_	_	2.1	MARTINS MILL ISD	ALL	14	403	3.5
	VC.		 _ _	11.1		KG	5	40	12.5
	02	0	10 - 5 7 10	0.0		02	0	20	9.7 0.0 0.0
	04	0	5 7	0.0		04	0	38	0.0 4.5
	06	ő	10	0.0		06	0	20 30 38 - 33 36 36 36 - 38 22	0.0
						08 09	0	36	0.0 11.1
						10 11	0	38 22	0.0
						12	-	_	4.8
MARION ISD					MARTINSVILLE ISD				
	KG 01	8 -	91 - 76 89 - 120	8.8 5.3		KG 01	0	19	0.0
	02	0	76	2.3		02	0	28	0.0
	05	-	120	0.0		05	0	28 24 22 29 24	0.0
	07	0	111	0.0		07	-	24	0.0 4.3
	08 09 10	- - -		0.9 4.2 3.8		08 09 10	8	23 32 22	0.0 25.0 0.0
	11 12	0	100	0.0 4.7			- 0	22 - 19	4.0 0.0
MARLIN ISD	ALL	124	1,562	7.9	MASON ISD	ALL	6	577	1.0
	KG 01	9 14	112 109	8.0 12.8		KG 01	-	-	8.3 3.4
	02 03	8 21	138 117	5.8 17.9		02 03	_ 0	- 37	2.4
	04 05	8 -	113	7.1 2.1		04 05	0	42 41	0.0
	06 07	0_	135	0.0 0.7		06 07	0 _	48	0.0
	08 09	- 37	_ 166	1.6 22.3		08 09	0	44 50	0.0
	10 11	7	112 109 138 117 113 - 135 - - 166 84 - 95	8.3 4.5		10 11	0	- - 37 42 41 48 - 44 50 51 55	0.0
	12	10	95	10.5		12	0	54	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
MASONIC HOME ISD		8	141	5.7	MAYPEARL ISD	ALL	21	824	2.5
	T/C		13 9 16 - 14 - 12 9 8	25.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 9.5 0.0 0.0			0	57 - - 58 59 - - - - 68 - 52	0.0 3.0 3.8 0.0 0.0 1.6 5.5 3.1 1.2 8.8 1.6 3.1
MATAGORDA ISD	ALL	-	-	1.4	MCALLEN ISD	ALL	1,265	20,127	6.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 0	8 16 11 11 11 8 7	0.0 11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	47 87 72 65 30 13 32 42 41 562 152 86 36	1,621 1,671 1,607 1,647 1,615 1,513 1,571 1,517 1,520 2,062 1,488 1,258 1,037	2.9 5.2 4.5 3.9 1.9 0.9 2.0 2.8 2.7 27.3 10.2 6.8 3.5
MATHIS ISD	ALL	136	1,994	6.8	MCCAMEY ISD	ALL	8	492	1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 6 - 0 7 5 70 16 17	140 152 - 177 - 163 175 145 188 119 138	3.6 3.9 0.7 1.7 0.0 1.3 0.0 4.0 3.4 37.2 13.4 12.3 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	42 30 32 39 53 44 38 26	5.7 15.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
MAUD ISD	ALL		434	3.2	MCCULLOUGH ACADEMY O	ALL			3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0	33 - 34 - 32 33	9.4 11.1 4.5 5.3 0.0 5.9 2.9 0.0 2.4 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03	0 0 0	32 - 20 9	0.0 13.0 0.0 0.0
MAY ISD	ALL	7 	288	2.4	MCDADE ISD	ALL	-		0.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - 0 0 0 0 0	20 -23 22 22 22 27 22 27 22 27 22	20.0 11.1 0.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 3.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 - 0 0 0 0 0	23 24 - 19 21 17 21 14 17	0.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Students					tudents	
District	Grade	Retaine	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
MCGREGOR ISD			1,062		MEADOW ISD	ALL			1.4
	KG 01	9	- 68	4.8 13.2		KG 01	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22	0.0 18.8
	02 03	-	-	1.3		02	0	23	4.5
	04	0	85 77	0.0		05	0	23	0.0
	06	6	68 - 85 77 - 79 101 90	7.6		06 07	0	25 22	0.0
	08	17	90	18.9		08 09	0	25 25	0.0
	11 12	_ _ _	- -	2.5		11	0	18	0.0
MCKINNEY ISD	ALL	338	11,477	2.9	MEDICAL CENTER CHART	ALL	17	172	9.9
	KG	36 30	1,100	3.3		KG	5 -	_ 2E	2.4
	02	8	1,100 1,111 1,058 1,036 991 939	0.8		02	5 - 5 - 0	24	3.7
	04 05	12 8	991 939	1.2		04 05	- -	-	25.0 7.1
	06 07	- 7	899	0.4		06	0	14	0.0
	08 09	6 129	899 803 926	0.7 13.9					
	10 11	34 20	689 545 523	4.9 3.7 6.9					
MCLEAN ISD	ALL	_	_	1.0	MEDINA ISD	ALL	9	345	2.6
	KG	0	14 - 14 20 20 19 16 11 12 18 - 11	0.0					6.3
	02	0	14	0.0		02		20	0.0
	04	0	20 19	0.0		04	<u> </u>	_ 19	4.5
	06 07	0	16 11	0.0		06 07	0	25	0.0
	08 09	0	12 18	0.0		08 09	-	_	4.5 5.4
	10 11	_ 0	- 11	6.3 0.0		10 11	0 - - 0 0	29 23	0.0
MCLEOD ISD					MEDINA VALLEY ISD	AT ₁ T ₁	8.5	2.773	3.1
						KG	17 6 - - 0 5 26	<u>-</u>	1.9
	01 02	_	-	10.7		01 02	17 6	207 188	8.2 3.2
	03	0	36 -	2.3		03	-	_	1.3
	06 07	0	- 36 - 32 34 37 37	0.0		06 07	<u>-</u>	228	0.5 1.9 0.0
	08	0	37 51	0.0		08	5	248	2.0
	10 11	5 - 0 0	51 - 39 34	2.2		10 11	26 10 6	277 221 183	4.5
MOMENT LENG COLINERY TOD	12	U			MEGNECHT TOD				
MCMULLEN COUNTY ISD			_ 		MEGARGEL ISD	ALL KG			
	01	0	5 11 9 - 9 15 11 17 18 - 11 14	0.0		01	- - 0 0 - 0 - 0	- - -	-
	03 04	0	9	6.7		03	_ 0	- 5	0.0
	05 06	0	15 11	0.0		05 06	0	5	0.0
	07 08	0	17 18	0.0		07 08	_ 0	- 6	0.0
	09 10	0	11	11.1		09 10	0	6	0.0
	11 12	0	14 11	0.0		11 12	0	6 5	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
MELISSA ISD	ALL	8	370	2.2	MERKEL ISD	ALL	36	1,344	2.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07		37 53 41 31 53 - 36 31	5.3 13.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	13 8 - 0 0 5 5 - 0	106 85 	12.3 9.4 2.4 2.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 1.0 0.0 3.4 0.0 0.0
MEMPHIS ISD					MESQUITE ISD	ALL	1,078	30,873	3.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 - - 0 0 0 0	33 41 - - 36 29 30 47 36 38	4.1 8.0 0.0 0.0 5.6 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	27 94 38 22 19 12 13 50 44 433 193 83 50	2,040 2,283 2,438 2,506 2,472 2,558 2,553 2,646 2,549 2,721 2,284 1,992 1,831	1.3 4.1 1.6 0.9 0.8 0.5 1.7 15.9 8.5 4.2 2.7
MENARD ISD	ALL	_	_	0.9	MEXIA ISD	ALL	43	2,075	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	18 23 30 - 25 36 46 36 - 42 42	3.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.9 2.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 - 5 - 0 0 - - 13 8 - 0	180 - 185 - 165 189 - 163 144 - 135	2.8 2.7 0.6 2.7 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.6 1.8 8.0 5.6 1.7
MERCEDES ISD	ALL	257	4,561	5.6	MEYERSVILLE ISD	ALL	-		
	KG 01 02	0 31 15	352 400 401	0.0 7.8 3.7			0 0 0	10 15 18	0.0 0.0 0.0
	03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	132	- - 385 - 427 279 226 292	0.8 0.3 0.6 0.6 1.8 0.9 30.9 8.2 9.3 5.8		03 04 05 06 07 08	0 - 0 0 0	18 14 15 17 13	0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0
MERIDIAN ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	11 0 	33 38 - 38 - - 34 46 44 36 40 - 26	2.2 0.0 2.6 13.2 4.9 2.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	MIAMI ISD			- - 9 10 9 12 17 10 18 19 12 17	

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All S	tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
MID-VALLEY ACADEMY			76 		MIDWAY ISD (CLAY CO.				2.3
	09 10 11 12	23 23 12 5	24 27 15 10	95.8 85.2 80.0 50.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0	10 11 - 11 11 19 12 17 13 10 18 16	0.0 0.0 11.8 18.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
MIDLAND ACADEMY CHAR					MIDWAY ISD (MCLENNAN	ALL	172	5,445	3.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	7 - 5 - 0 0 -	115 - 98 - 75 66 -	6.1 3.5 5.1 1.2 0.0 0.0 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	20 25 9 - 0 - 5 52 30 16	356 393 423 - 440 - 441 484 441 378	5.6 6.4 2.1 0.8 0.7 0.0 0.7 0.7 1.1 10.7 6.8 4.2 0.8
MIDLAND ISD	ALL	845	19,312	4.4	MILANO ISD	ALL	8	389	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	90 62 32 40 20 10 6 16 29 279 127 75 59	1,337 1,434 1,416 1,518 1,505 1,514 1,471 1,543 1,662 1,842 1,495 1,276 1,299	6.7 4.3 2.3 2.6 1.3 0.7 0.4 1.0 1.7 15.1 8.5 5.9 4.5			- 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - 0		4.3 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.9 2.6 0.0 0.0
MIDLAND-RICHARD MILB	ALL	35	95	36.8	MILDRED ISD	ALL	25		
	09 10 11	24 7 -	53 29 -	45.3 24.1 30.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 - 0 -	- 51 -	6.1 10.5 4.4 0.0 2.0 5.1 0.0 6.3 3.3 6.8 0.0 5.7 3.6
MIDLOTHIAN ISD		106	4,207		MILES ISD	ALL	9	467	1.9
		25 22 6 - 0 -	286 309 298 - 330 - - 355 357 337 249	8.7 7.1 2.0 1.2 0.0 0.6 0.2 0.3 0.0 8.4 2.1 2.4 0.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0	- 24 24 44 30 41 37 43	3.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.7 11.8 0.0 2.9

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	-	All St				- 1		Students	
District	Grade						Retained		Rate
MILFORD ISD		10			MIRANDO CITY ISD	ALL			2.0
	01	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14	0.0		KG 01	0 -	5 -	0.0 16.7
	03	0	15	0.0		03	0	7	0.0
	04	0	11	0.0		04	0	6	0.0
	06 07	0 0	14 12	0.0		06 07	0 0 0 0 0	6 6	0.0
	08 09	0 -	12	0.0 21.1		08	0	5	0.0
	10 11	-	-	6.7 30.8					
							65.0	44 505	
MILLER GROVE ISD			_ 		MISSION CONS ISD	ALL	657	11,525	5.7
	01	0	15	0.0		01	104	1,050	9.9
	03	0	16	0.0		03	32	983	3.3
	05	0	19	0.0		05	11	920	1.2
	07	0	16	0.0		06	9	898	1.0
	09	0	24	0.0		09	171	910 713	18.8
	11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20	0.0		11	135 21	983 1,050 1,055 983 986 920 898 825 910 713 676 625	20.0
MILLSAP ISD	ALL	10	694	1.4	MONAHANS-WICKETT-PYO	ALL	60	1,993	3.0
	KG 01	0 - - 0 0 0 0	38	0.0		KG 01	- 12	148 148 - 148 - 148 - 168 175 157 144	3.3
	02	_	- 45	2.2		02	9	148	6.1
	04	0	51	0.0		04	_	148	0.7
	06	-	- 61	2.0		06	_	-	0.6
	08	0	61	0.0		08	0	168	0.0
	10	0 - - 0 -	_	1.6		10	5	157	3.2
MINEOLA ISD		14			MONTAGUE ISD	ALL			
	KG 01	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123	0.0		KG 01	 -	_	8.3
	02	-	-	1.7		02 03 04	- 0 0	<u>-</u> 5	0.0 0.0
	05	0	100	0.0		0.5	_	_	0.0
	06	_	-	0.9		06	_ 0 0	9 7	0.0
	0.5			0.0		08	U	/	0.0
	10 11 12	0	98 -	1.0 0.0 3.5					
MINERAL WELLS ISD		136			MONTE ALTO ISD		11		2.7
	KG 01	7 6 15 10 0	243 274	2.9		KG 01			0.0 4.3
	02 03	15 10	279 274	5.4 3.6		02 03	_	54 40 38 45	4.3 4.1 2.4 9.3 0.0
	04 05	0 _	277	0.0		04 05	5 0	54 40	9.3 0.0
	06 07	- 6	312	0.4		06 07	0	38 45	0.0
	08 09	14 56	255 281	5.5 19.9		08	_	_	2.0
	10 11	6 14 56 17 0	229 183	7.4					
	12	_	-	1.0					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All St		
District	Grade				District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
MONTGOMERY ISD	ALL 	169 	3 , 590		MORTON ISD	ALL	18		3.5
	KG 01	13 21 13 7 5 8 - 14 12 43 24 5	258 285 276	5.0 7.4 4.7		KG 01 02	- - -	- - -	3.0 9.4 2.9
	03	7	261	2.7		03	_	-	2.4
	05	8	297	2.7		05	0	38	0.0 5.1
	07 08	14	312 300	4.5 4.0		07 08	0 -	49	0.0
	09 10	43	328 291	13.1		09 10	5 -	41	12.2
	11 12	5 -	209	2.4		11 12	0 _	35 -	0.0
MOODY ISD	ALL	18	678	2.7	MOTLEY COUNTY ISD	ALL	6	184	
	KG 01	- -	47 49 55 49 44 -	5.9 3.5		KG 01	0	10 18	0.0
	02 03	0	47 49	0.0		02 03	0	9	6.7 0.0
	04 05	0	55 49	0.0		04	0	8 12	0.0
	06	_	44	1.7		06	-	-	22.2
	08 09 10	- - -	_ _	4.2 2.7 7.1		09	0	14	0.0
	11 12	- -	_ _ _	7.7		11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 17	0.0
MORAN ISD	ALL	5	102	4.9	MOULTON ISD	AT.T.	11	356	3.1
	KG 01	_ 0	_ 10	42.9 0.0		KG 01		30	12.5 0.0
	02 03	0	11	0.0		02 03	0	16	0.0 4.2
	04 05	0	5	0.0		04	0	25 25	0.0
	06	0	6	0.0		07	_	-	10.3
	09	-	<u>-</u>	9.1		09	-	- 24	5.4 0.0
	11 12	0	10 - 11 5 5 11 6 8 - 9	0.0		10 11 12	0 -	34 24 -	0.0
MORGAN ISD	ALL	- 		2.2	MOUNT CALM ISD	ALL	-		1.0
	KG 01	0	8 7 9 16 17 9 6 8	0.0		KG 01	0 _	10	0.0 11.1
	02 03	0	9 16	0.0		02 03	0	15 16	0.0
	05	0	9	0.0		05	0	9	0.0
	06	0	8	0.0		06	0	10	0.0
	09 10	_ _ 0	- 12	18.2		00	U	10	0.0
	11 12	0	12 9 9	0.0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
MORGAN MILL ISD	ALL	0	79	0.0	MOUNT ENTERPRISE ISD		7	388	1.8
	KG 01	0	7 6 9 5 10 14 10 7	0.0		KG 01	0 _		0.0 6.9
	02 03	0	9 5	0.0		01 02 03	0	30 32 - 35 29	6.9 0.0 0.0
	04 05	0	10 14	0.0		04 05 06	0	- 35	2.9
	06 07	0	10 7	0.0		07	0 -	29 -	
	08	0	11	0.0		08 09	-	-	2.7
						10 11	0	20 28	0.0
						12	-	_	4.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All S	tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
MOUNT PLEASANT ISD	ALL	162	4,143	3.9	MUMFORD ISD	ALL	14		4.8
	02 03	13 11 - 5 - - 13 22 64 11 5 9	364 357 - 319 - - 319 319 319 418 271 237 250	3.6 3.1 1.0 1.6 1.2 0.3 0.3 4.1 6.9 15.3 4.1 2.1 3.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - - - 0 - 5	27 - - 27 - 27 - 22 14	3.0 5.6 0.0 2.9 6.9 3.1 5.6 0.0 5.3 22.7 0.0
MOUNT VERNON ISD			1,368		MUNDAY ISD	ALL			
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	5 8 - - 7 0 5 5 8 - 0	78 101 - - 111 115 117 125 117	6.4 7.9 0.9 2.1 0.9 6.3 0.0 4.3 4.0 6.8 2.0 0.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36 21 31 - 33 37 32 - 29 27 - 23	0.0 0.0 0.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.8 0.0 0.0 2.9 3.4 0.0
MUENSTER ISD					MURCHISON ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 32 33 36 34 37 43 43 43 29 33 32	7.1 4.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 10 14 13 18 16 13 16	11.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
MULESHOE ISD			1,286		NACOGDOCHES ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04	0	92 - - - -	5.0 8.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	19 35 22 12 6 - - - 79 24 18 16	448 450 - - - 540	
MULLIN ISD	ALL	_ 	- 	1.4	NANCY NEY CHARTER SC	ALL			31.6
		0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 - 7 9 9 10 14 15 11 - 13 15			0.6	- - - 8 -	_	_

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All S	Students	
District	Grade				District				Rate
NATALIA ISD			1,048		NEDERLAND ISD	ALL	201	4 , 997	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		87 104 81 86 - - 69 69	1.1 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 4.4 1.2 10.1 2.6 0.0 4.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	36 8 6 6 9 10 - - 58 27 21 10	379 369 372 405 385 418 - 444 392 352 397	0.9 9.5 2.2 1.6 1.5 2.3 2.4 0.9 1.0 13.1 6.9 6.0 2.5
NAVARRO ISD	ALL	45	987	4.6	NEEDVILLE ISD	ALL	103	2,352	4.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 8 - - - - - 0 9 8	81 - - - - 85 86 72 -	5.4 9.9 2.7 2.9 1.2 1.4 2.6 3.9 0.0 10.5 11.1 4.9 1.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	19 14 0 - - 7 11 9 25	174 167 197 - - 174 199 165 206	1.7
NAVASOTA ISD	ALL	122	2,902	4.2	NEW BOSTON ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 14 9 0 - 0 - 55 21	230 217 253 228 - 220 - 278 215	0.5 4.3 6.5 3.6 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.4 1.8 19.8 9.8 1.6 1.8		04 05 06 07 08	10 7 7 7 0 0 0 0 0	113 96 121 96 122	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
NAZARETH ISD					NEW BRAUNFELS ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 14 13 18 13 14 22 12 21 14 26 20 21	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	12 67 26 16 - 11 9 10 19 68 24 20	361 462 435 420 431 428 437 466 573 403 389 376	3.3 14.5 6.0 3.8 0.8 2.6 2.1 2.3 4.1 11.9 6.0 5.1 2.9
NECHES ISD	ALL	11	288	3.8	NEW CANEY ISD	ALL	327	5,965	5.5
						KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	43 22 20 9 5 12 9 9 117 47 27	570 480 536 481 445 510 514 480 493 393 338	0.9 7.5 4.6 3.7 1.9 1.1 2.4 1.8 1.9 23.7 12.0 8.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All Stu Retained		Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
	ALL	19	663	2.9		ALL		780	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	8 - 0 0 0 0	55 - 45 41 47 59 43	5.1 14.5 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.6 6.1		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08		70 - - - - - - 66 81	4.8 5.1 5.0 1.6 3.9 0.0 1.6 1.3 0.0
		_ _ 0				10 11 12	0	г1	0.0 4.0 -
NEW DIANA ISD	ALL	11	817	1.3					
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- - 61 66 74 71 60 75 67 63 46	3.2 4.0 1.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 14 14 6 12 22 16 14 18 - 11 12	14.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
NEW FRONTIERS CHARTE	ALL	22	656	3.4	NEWTON ISD	ALL	54	1,239	4.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 - - - - 7 8	95 - - - 86 69	3.4 0.0 1.1 1.5 1.3 1.3 8.1		10	9 0 18 11 - 0	94	1.9 8.8 1.2 2.1 3.9 0.0 3.2 1.1 1.0 20.0 11.7 2.3 0.0
					NIXON-SMILEY CONS IS	ALL	34	937	3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 11 13 14 18 18 14 13 16 16 26	10.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 5 7 - 6 5	- 65 69 85 - 83 69 - 80 70 65	4.4 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4 6.0 10.1 2.7 7.5 7.1 0.0 3.0
NEW SUMMERFIELD ISD	ALL FKG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	15 0 - - 0 0 0 0	326 	4.6 0.0 10.7 12.1 5.1 2.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 16.0 4.2 0.0 0.0	NOCONA ISD		14 		

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			Students					Students	
District	Grade			Rate	District		Retained		Rate
NORDHEIM ISD	ALL 			3.2	NORTH HOPKINS ISD	ALL	5		1.4
	KG 01	0	- 7	0.0		KG 01	0	21 23	0.0 0.0 0.0
	03 04	0	5 8	0.0		03	0	26	0.0
	05 06	-	-	11.1		05	0	28	0.0
	07 08	0	10	0.0 16.7		07 08	0	34 35	0.0
	09 10	0	10 13	0.0		09 10	0	23	9.4
	11 12	0 -	- 7 - 5 8 - - 10 - 10 13 7	0.0		11 12	0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0	19 23	0.0
NORMANGEE ISD	ALL	11	487	2.3		ALL	45	75	60.0
	KG 01	- -	- -	3.3 9.4		09 10	17 15 12	29 21	58.6 71.4
	02 03	- -	-	5.9 2.2		11 12	12	18	66.7 14.3
	05	0	35 52	0.0					
	07	0	47 20	0.0					
	09 10	0	31	9.1					
	11 12	0	- - 35 52 32 47 38 - 31 37 30	0.0					
NORTH EAST ISD	ALL	2,092	48,541	4.3	NORTH LAMAR ISD			2 , 987	
	KG 01	187 302	3,600 3,942 3,701 3,853 3,870 3,890 3,843	5.2 7.7		KG 01	- 7	203	1.9 3.4 2.2
	02	67 61	3,701 3,853	3.1 1.7		02 03 04	7 5 - 0	223 - 252	0.4 0.0
	05 06	49 107	3,890 3,843	1.3		05	_	_	0.8
	07 08	104	3,837 3,857	2.7		07 08			0.4
	09 10	437 186	4,072 3,662	10.7 5.1 7.2		09 10	21 5	236 219	8.9 2.3
	11 12	437 186 236 156	3,269 3,145	7.2 5.0		11 12	- - 21 5 0	205 192	0.0
NORTH FOREST ISD	ALL	826	10,409		NORTH ZULCH ISD	ALL			
	KG 01	5 105 78 54 23 81 -	804 887	0.6 11.8 8.1		KG 01	0 0 0 0 0 0	25 10	5.9 0.0 0.0
	03	54 23	946	5.7 2.6		03	0	25 21	0.0
	05 06	81	839	9.7		05 06	0	30 29	0.0
	07 08			1.6		07 08	0 -	28	0.0 3.2
	09 10	322 82	950 636	33.9 12.9		10	_	_	3.2
	11 12	82 32 22	542 495	5.9 4.4		11 12	0	24	9.1 0.0
NORTH HILLS SCHOOL	ALL		629		NORTHSIDE ISD (BEXAR	ALL	2,516	59,513	4.2
	01 02	<u>-</u> -	- - - 68 84 97 - - 35 22	2.0		KG 01	152 350 206 130 55 30 96 62 559 301 208 271	4,546 4,795	3.3 7.3
	03 04	-	-	2.0		02	206 130	4,795 4,692	4.3 2.8
	06 07	0	84 97	0.0		05 06	30 96	4,629 4.649	0.6 2 1
	08	-	- -	1.3		07 08	96 62	4,602 4,766	2.1
	10 11	0	35 22	0.0		09 10	559 301	5,182 4,521	10.8 6.7
						11 12	208 271	3,856 3,771	5.4 7.2

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents				All St	udents	
District	Grade			Rate	District	Grade	Retained		Rate
NORTHSIDE ISD (WILBA	ALL	-	-	1.8	NOVICE ISD	ALL	-		1.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 8 17 14 11 14 13 14 11 15 15 9 5	16.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	11 6 8 8 8 6 9 8 7 11 17 -	-0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
NORTHWEST ISD	ALL	221	5,110	4.3	NUECES CANYON CONS I		14		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	15 13 7 7 - - 8 8 105 36 7 8	390 380 400 411 - - 413 430 467 357 275 284	3.8 3.4 1.8 1.7 0.5 0.9 0.2 1.9 1.9 22.5 10.1 2.5 2.8		05	- 0 0 - 0 - - - - 0 0	28	8./
NORTHWEST MATHEMATIC				2.8	NURSERY ISD	ALL	-	-	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 - 0 0 0	20 - 11 13 6 6	0.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 15 13 14 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.9 0.0
NOVA	ALL		_	1.6	NYOS CHARTER SCHOOL				0.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	0 0 0 0 -	30 14 16 - 8 16	0.0 4.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 12 19 14 11 - 11 16 14 16	0.0 0.0 0.0
NOVA CHARTER SCHOOL-		- 0 - 0 - 0		4.8 0.0 15.8 0.0 6.3 0.0	O'DONNELL ISD	ALLKG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 - - 0 0 0 0	19 -	0.0 9.1 5.3 7.7

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All S Retained	Students 1 Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
OAKWOOD ISD	ALL	8	225	3.6	OLNEY ISD	ALL	43	763	5.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 20 16 10 - 14 13 24 - 12	14.3 0.0 11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0			5 - 5 0 0 0 - - 0 21 -		
ODEM-EDROY ISD	ALL	54	1,105	4.9	OLTON ISD	ALL	21	702	3.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 0 0 0 - 8 12 9	82 - - 95 94 - - - 89 85 75	3.1 12.2 4.1 4.4 0.0 0.0 1.1 2.6 1.2 9.0 14.1 12.0		02 03	_	- - 56 - - 56 57 52 - - -	0.0 0.0 0.0 2.9 1.5
ODYSSEY ACADEMY INC	ALL		_ 		ONALASKA ISD				
	06 07 08	0 -	54 _ _	0.0 2.9 5.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 6 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	43 56 - - 57 - - 58 -	0.0 10.7 2.1 1.8 0.0 1.6 2.0 0.0
OGLESBY ISD					ONE STOP MULTISERVIC				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 - 0 0	14 14 13 - 11 15	0.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 0.0 0.0		09 10 11 12	25 23 15 -	40 54 41 -	62.5 42.6 36.6
OLFEN ISD	ALL				ORANGE GROVE ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 0 0	12 - 8 8 10 12 6 7 5	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	13 5 - 0 - 9 - 5	120	1.0 0.0 1.8 0.9 6.8 1.1 4.7

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All S	Students	
					District				Rate
ORANGEFIELD ISD					PAINT ROCK ISD	ALL			2.0
	01	6 9	105 103 - 127	5.7 8.7		KG 01	0	10	0.0 14.3
	03	0	127	1.6		03	0	8	0.0
	05	_	-	1.0		05	0	13	0.0 0.0 0.0
	07	6	129	4.7		07	-	- 10	7.7 0.0
	09 10	12 13	127 121	9.4		09 10	0	14 16	0.0
	11 12	10	129 127 121 113 104	8.8		11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15	0.0 5.6
ORE CITY ISD	ALL	55	763	7.2	PALACIOS ISD	ALL	57	1,616	3.5
	KG 01	6	58 - 78 58 69 - 61 - 60 55	10.3 8.5		KG 01	5 - 7 6	128	3.9 3.3
	02 03	6 0	78 58	7.7 0.0		02 03	7 6	129 117	5.4 5.1
	04 05	5 _	69	7.2 3.0		04 05	7 0 -	134	2.4 5.2
	06 07	-	61	11.5		06 07	0 -	116	0.0
	08 09 10	6 7 -	55 -	10.0		00	1 0	127	7.4
	11 12	- 5 -	50 -	3.5 10.0 2.4		11 12	7 -	121	5.8 1.0
OVERTON ISD	ALL	17	458		PALESTINE ISD	ALL	106	3,103	3.4
	KG 01	- 6	- 39 -	2.5 15.4		KG 01	10 12	262 252	3.8 4.8
	02 03	- -	- -	2.4 9.5		02 03	5 10	242 248	2.1 4.0
	05	0	34	0.0		05	0	237	0.0
	07 08	0	37	0.0		07 08	12	246	4.9
	09 10	0	28	0.0		09 10	20 13	255 222	7.8
	11 12	0	- - 34 34 37 - 28 - 27 35	0.0		11 12	10 12 5 10 5 0 - 12 - 20 13 10	195	5.1
PADUCAH ISD	ALL	6	313	1.9	PALMER ISD				
	KG 01	_ _	<u>-</u>	8.3		KG 01	_	- -	3.0 1.6
	03	_	- - 21	3.6		03	- 0 0	78	0.0
	05	0	- 21 16 23 20 29 37	0.0		05	_	_ _ _	1.4
	07 08	0	20 29	0.0		06 07 08			2.5
	09 10	0	37 32 25	0.0		0.0	13	68 -	10 1
	11 12	0 0 0 -	25	0.0 4.5		11 12	0 0	61 58	0.0
PAINT CREEK ISD		0		0.0	PALO PINTO ISD				
	KG 01	0	5 8 6 9 7 14 12 17 11 15 13 10 12	0.0		KG 01		9	7.7
	02 03	0	6	0.0		02 03	0	11 15	0.0
	04 05	0	14	0.0		04 05	0	12	0.0
	07 02	0	17 11	0.0		υb	U	9	0.0
	09 10	0	15 13	0.0					
	11 12	0	10 12	0.0					
		-		- 					

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	a 1	All	Students				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retaine	d Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
PAMPA ISD	ALL	101	3 , 363	3.0	PARADISE ISD	ALL	10	816	
	KG 01	<u>-</u>	230 - - 230 - - - 242 296 289 262 286	2.1		KG 01	5	59 - 52 55 60 72 - 77 - 65 45	8.5
	02	_	_	0.4		02	0	52	0.0
	03	_	230	0.0		03	0	60	0.0
	05 06	-	-	0.4		05 06	0 -	72 -	0.0 1.3
	07	_	242	0.4		07	0	77	0.0
	09	31	296	10.5		09	_	_	2.7
	11	26 12	289 262	9.0 4.6		11	0	65 45	0.0
					DARTO TOR	12	0	49	0.0
PANHANDLE ISD					PARIS ISD			3,420 	
	01	_	_ 47	2.2		01	28	278 283	9.9
	03	0	56	0.0		03	5	295	1.7
	04 05	0	5 / 64	0.0		04	0	297	0.3
	06 07	0	60 54	0.0		06 07	5 -	289	1.7
	08	0	53	0.0		08	_ 10	250	0.4
	10	0	47	0.0		10	17	221	7.7
	12	0	- 47 56 57 64 60 54 53 60 47 40 48	0.0		12	9	283 295 297 289 - 259 221 195 169	5.3
PANOLA CHARTER SCHOO	ALL	26	59	44.1	PASADENA ISD	ALL	2,201	38,419	5.7
=	08 09	9	- 22 26	40.9		KG 01	55 500	3,127 3,716	1.8 13.5
	10 11	11	26	42.3 57.1		02 03	227 126	3,467 3,378	6.5 3.7
	12	_	- -	-		04	55	3,256	1.7
						06	87	2,981	2.9
						07	100	2,998 2,843	3.5
						09 10	501 123	3,043 2,433	16.5 5.1
						11 12	247 70	3,127 3,716 3,467 3,378 3,256 3,110 2,981 2,998 2,843 3,043 2,433 2,140 1,927	11.5
PANTHER CREEK CONS I	ALL	-	-	1.9	PASO DEL NORTE	ALL	105	213	49.3
			7	0.0		09		30	66.7
	01	_ 0	12 13 17 22 13 15	7.1		10 11	35 29	66 54 63	53.0 53.7
	03	0	13	0.0		12	21	63	33.3
	05	0	22	0.0					
	06 07	0	13 15	0.0					
	08 09	0	20	0.0 10.5					
	10 11	0	24	0.0					
	12	0	16	6.3 0.0					
PARADIGM ACCELERATED	ALL	19	47	40.4	PATTON SPRINGS ISD	ALL	0	138	0.0
	08 09	_ 11	- 13	- 84.6		KG 01	0	5 5	0.0
	10	5	13 14	35.7		02	0	5 8	0.0
	12	0		60.0 0.0		04	0	14	0.0
						05 06	0	5 8 14 15 10	0.0
						07 08	0	13 9	0.0
						09	0	17	0.0
						10 11	0	12 17	0.0
						12	0	8	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
PAWNEE ISD					PEGASUS CHARTER SCHO	ALL	20	129	15.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 12 11 10 11 15 13 15	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		07 08 09 10 11	7 5 - - -	_	17.5 14.7 9.4 21.1
PEARLAND ISD	ALL	383	10,218	3.7	PENELOPE ISD	ALL			1.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 34 17 14 7 - - - 182 42 47 25	737 847 830 822 784 - - 931 685 614 575	0.9 4.0 2.0 1.7 0.9 0.4 0.2 0.1 19.5 6.1 7.7 4.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 9 10 - 10 13 12 15 - 10 18 11	0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1 0.0
PEARSALL ISD					PERRIN-WHITT CONS IS	ALL	7	362	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	12 18 8 - 0 - - - - -	173 176 173 - 186 - - - - -	6.9 10.2 4.6 0.5 0.0 0.6 0.7 1.3 2.4 1.6 1.7 2.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 - 25 30 33 28 27 - 19 28 22	0.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 8.3 0.0 7.7 0.0
PEASTER ISD	ALL	27	846	3.2	PERRYTON ISD	ALL	71	1,843	3.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 - 0 0	63 - - 61 71	1.8 1.5 0.0 3.1 3.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	6 6 5 - 0 10 - 21	_ 163	4.8 4.2 3.7 1.5 0.7 0.0 7.0 1.4 1.3 12.9 6.9 2.3
PECOS-BARSTOW-TOYAH	ALL	134	2,630	5.1	PETERSBURG ISD	ALL	11	319	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	28 12 6 - 7 5 34 13 11 6	221 221 - 198 - 202 215 227 202 186 187	1.6 12.7 5.4 1.8 3.0 1.8 3.5 2.3 15.0 6.4 5.9 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 - 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 - 26 22 19 24 31 - 27 27 22 -	28.6 5.3 4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

			tudents					Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
PETROLIA ISD	ALL			1.1	PILOT POINT ISD			1,302	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - 0 0 0 0	19 - - 38 41 39 26 43 - - 7 35 27	6.5 0.0 2.5 3.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.8 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 0 - - - - 7 -	108	1.1 1.1 3.6 0.8 0.0 1.0 0.8 3.0 1.9 6.7 3.1 2.9
PETTUS ISD					PINE TREE ISD	ALL	104	4,371	2.4
			23 - 23 - 34 25 - 39 - 42 36			KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	18 29 17 5 - 0 - 0 - 11 8 9	266 323 314 330 - 344 406 - 325 312 283	6.8 9.0 5.4 1.5 0.6 0.5 0.0 0.5 0.3 0.3 3.4 2.6 3.2
PEWITT ISD	ALL			4.1	PINEYWOODS COMMUNITY				
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 - - 13 - 0	- - - 66 - - 86 - 80	1.5 1.7 2.3 2.5 5.0 0.0 3.9 2.2 6.3 15.1 6.1 4.3 0.0		01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 23 25 16 27 -	4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 20.0 0.0 33.3
PFLUGERVILLE ISD	ALL	505	13,412	3.8	PITTSBURG ISD	ALL	50	2,071	2.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	30 43 31 11 14 17 24 15 14	1,047 1,080 1,133 1,044 1,095 1,081 1,079 1,067 1,001 1,165 953 802	2.9 4.0 2.7 1.1 1.3 1.6 2.2 1.4 13.6 6.7 5.9 4.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 7 7 0 0 0 0 19 6	184 185 169 - 161 190 - 163 139 103	0.6 3.8 3.8 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.7 0.6
PHARR-SAN JUAN-ALAMO		1,257	20,790	6.0	PLAINS ISD	ALL	23	495	4.6
		70	1,873 1,899 1,895 1,834 1,697	4.2 5.4 4.6 2.6 0.5 0.3 1.3 0.7 29.2 11.0 11.4 8.0		****	0 - 0 - 0 - - - 7	2.0	0 0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All Retaine	Students ed Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
PLAINVIEW ISD	ALL	198	5,486	3.6	POINT ISABEL ISD	ALL	136	2,156	6.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	26 20 18 9 11 - 6 8 8 - 24 28 22 21	396 460 450 478 438 - 436 441 - 436 372 366 333	6.6 4.3 4.0 1.9 2.5 0.2 1.4 1.8 0.9 5.5 7.5 6.0 6.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	12 14 5 6 5 - - - 54 18 8 7	180 164 173 188 181 - - 201 129 147 131	6.7 8.5 2.9 3.2 2.8 0.6 1.2 0.6 2.0 26.9 14.0 5.4 5.3
PLANO ISD	ALL	685	44,971	1.5	PONDER ISD	ALL	20	675	3.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	50 52 25 17 14 - 17 27 22 241 92 47 77	3,142 3,798 3,714 3,765 3,752 - 3,632 3,665 3,413 3,506 3,323 2,941 2,589	1.6 1.4 0.7 0.5 0.4 0.1 0.5 0.7 0.6 6.9 2.8 1.6 3.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	- - 59 51 56 59 - 60 53 - -	3.6 4.8 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.2 0.0 11.3 5.4 4.9 3.7
PLEASANT GROVE ISD	ALL	34	1,844	1.8	POOLVILLE ISD	ALL	7	419	1.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 - 0 - - 0 11 - 0	115 - - 140 - - - 169 167 - - 160	0.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 44 24 - 40 41 39 - 23 23	10.3 3.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.7 3.8 0.0
PLEASANTON ISD					PORT ARANSAS ISD	ALL	6	507	1.2
	03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 - 0 7	230 264 	0.4 0.0 1.0 0.0 2.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	_	29 30 42 37 41 43 46 37 - -	5.1
PLEMONS-STINNETT-PHI			598		PORT ARTHUR ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	48 32 35 50 47 52 50 44 64	15.0 25.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	42 42 12 12 19 - 9 12 19 103 95 50	788 911 838 805 781 - 777 752 794 798 709 535	5.3 4.6 1.4 1.5 1.2 0.3 1.2 1.6 2.4 12.9 13.4 9.3 0.8

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						tudents	
District	Grade				District		Retained		Rate
PORT NECHES-GROVES I			4,788		POTTSBORO ISD	ALL		1,232	
	KG 01	5 - -	328 -	1.5		KG 01	- -	- - -	1.4
	02 03	-	- -	1.1		02 03 04	0	85	1.0 0.0 0.8
	05	- 6	348	0.6 1.7 4.2		05	-	-	2.1 0.0
	07	11	420	2.6 1.5		07	- 0 - 0 8 5	100	1.0
	09	38	410	9.3		09	8	109	7.3 5.0
	11 12	- 6 17 11 6 38 5 9	374 349	2.4		11 12	-	-	2.9
POSITIVE SOLUTIONS C	ALL		275	76.4	PRAIRIE LEA ISD	ALL			0.6
	09 10	99 50 37 24	116 57	85.3 87.7		KC.	Λ	1.2	0 0
	11 12	37 24	47 55	78.7 43.6		02 03	0 0 0 0 0 0	18 16	0.0
						04 05	0	14 14	0.0
						06 07	0	15 9	0.0
						08	0	5 13	0.0
						10 11	0	15 15	0.0
POST ISD				4.8	PRAIRIE VALLEY ISD	ALL			0.0
	KG 01	- - -	- -	3.4		KG 01	0 0 0	6 7	0.0
	02 03	-	- -	1.3 2.5		02 03 04	0	7 9 13 5	0.0
	04 05	0	51 68	0.0		04	0	5	0.0
	06	- -	102	2.7		06	0 0 0 0	7 9	0.0
	09	25	94	26.6		09	0	13 7 -	0.0
	11 12	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 25 6	61	8.2 1.8		10 11 12	_ 0 0	10 16	0.0
POTEET ISD	ALL		1 , 526		PRAIRILAND ISD	ALL			
	KG 01	13	131 116	2.7		KG 01	5 6	74 76	6.8 7.9
	02 03 04			8.6 3.3		02 03 04	5 - -	63 _ _	7.9 1.2 3.7
	05 06	- - 6 5	122	3.1 0.8 4.9		05	0 0 0 0	66 72	0.0
	07 08	5	132	3.8 1.6		07 08	0	72 74	0.0
		11 9				09 10	0	78	1.4
	11 12	- -	- -	3.5 4.5		11 12	0 -	71	0.0
POTH ISD	ALL	13	702	1.9	PREMONT ISD	ALL			3.0
	KG 01		- , -	6.1 3.6		KG 01	0 7	46 63	0.0 11.1
	02 03	0	40 44	0.0		02 03	0 7 - 0 0 0 0 7	-	3.5 6.3
	05	0	57 64	0.0		05	0	61	0.0
	07	0	58 59	0.0		07	0	82	0.0
	00 09	-	- -	1.8 6.5		08 09 10	7	95 -	7.4 2.8
	11 12	<u>-</u>	- 60	3.2		11 12	- - -	- - -	1.6 4.5
	14	J	50	0.0		14			1.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students Total	Rate	District	Grade	All	Students Total	Rate
PREPARED TABLE									
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- - - - - - - - - - 10	- - - - - - - - 53 48 - -	6.7 6.8 5.8 2.2 6.4 2.2 2.0 6.5 1.8 9.4		KG	0	132 149 - 141 139 - - 164 126 - 111	0.0
PRESIDIO ISD			1,328		PROSPER ISD	ALL	21	948	2.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 17 - 25 5 - - - 15 10 0	102 109 - 140 112 - - - 104 100 97 79	0.0 15.6 4.9 17.9 4.5 3.2 2.6 3.3 1.9 14.4 10.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 6 - - 0 - 0 - 0	82 - - - 88 - 83 - 42 54	
PRIDDY ISD	ALL	0	100	0.0	QUANAH ISD	ALL	13		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	5 6 8 8 6 11 11 11 8 7 6 10	- 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - - 0 0 0 0	581 - - 40 43 41 43 54 - -	2.4 6.5 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 3.7 1.6 7.1
PRINCETON ISD	ALL	45	2,067	2.2	QUEEN CITY ISD	ALL	12	1,122	1.1
		5	141 _ 161	3.5 2.0 3.1		KG 01 02	- - 0		4.2 4.9 0.0
	03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - - - - 11 6 - 6	_	0.0 1.2 0.6 1.1 1.1 0.5 6.2 4.5 0.9 5.0		03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0	78 78 100 97 86 - 84	0.0 0.0 1.3 0.0 0.0
PRINGLE-MORSE CONS I		-			QUINLAN ISD	ALL	86	2,712	3.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 11 12 7 17 5 12 9	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 14 - 5 - - - 37 8 6	167 201 - 211 - - - 266 183 158	0.0 7.0 0.5 2.4 0.9 1.4 0.9 1.7 13.9 4.4 3.8 0.6

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

-1		All S					All St		Doto
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
QUITMAN ISD			1,116		RANCH ACADEMY		35		67.3
	KG	_	- 75 72 77 - 63 96 101 104 99 -	2.5		07	_	_	_
	02	0	75 72	0.0		08	10	12	83.3
	03	0	77	0.0		10	13	18	72.2 70.0
	05	0	63	0.0		12	10 13 7	-	66.7
	06 07	0 7	96 101	0.0 6.9					
	08	6	104	5.8					
	10	-	-	2.5					
	11 12	0	94	2.5 0.0 1.2					
RADIANCE ACADEMY OF				8.3	RANDOLPH FIELD ISD		10	882	1.1
	****			6 7					1.4 4.8
	02	0	16	0.0		02	0	67	0.0
	03 04	0	10 13	0.0		03 04	- -	_	1.4 1.3
	05	Ō	16 10 13 11 - 27 - 20	0.0		05	- 0 - - 0 0 0	- 70	1.4
	06	0	27	0.0		06	_	-	1.3
	08 09	-	20	4.0		08 09	0	61 60	0.0
				-		10	Ö	62	0.0
	11 12	-	-	-		11 12	<u>-</u> -	-	1.5 1.5
RAINS ISD					RANGER ISD		19		4.1
	KG 01	- 11	- 111 103	2.1		KG 01	_	- 37	12.0
	02	11	103	10.7		02	Ö	38	0.0
	03	11	89	12.4		03	0 _	34	0.0 5.0
	05	0	119	0.0		05	0	30	0.0
	07	-	_	2.8		07	-	-	5.9
	08 09	0 6	108 107	0.0 5.6		08 09	_	_	9.4 11.1
	10	12	113	10.6		10	_	_	2.6
	12	_	111 103 89 - 119 - 108 107 113 97	3.1		12	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 	-	3.3
RALLS ISD	ALL	16	638	2.5	RANKIN ISD	ALL	6	269	2.2
	KG 01	-	-	1.9 7.7		KG 01	0_	16 -	0.0 7.1
	02	_	- 27	1.9		02	0	15	0.0
	03	_	3 <i>I</i>	3.6		04	_	-	6.7
	05 06	-	37 - - - 51	2.0		05 06	_ 0	23	5.0
	07	0	51	0.0		07	Ö	18	0.0
	09	_		2.6		08 09	- 0 - - 0 0	22 -	0.0 2.9
	10 11	_	_	1.9 2.0		10 11	0	18 32	0.0
	12	-	-	4.8		12	Ö	25	0.0
RAMIREZ CSD	ALL	0	22	0.0	RAPOPORT CHARTER SCH	ALL	23	90	25.6
	KG 01	0	6	0.0		KG 01	9	_ 28	3.4 32.1
	02 03	_	<u>-</u>	-		02	11_	22	32.1 50.0
	04	-	-	-		US	_	_	18.2
	05	-	-	-					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents					Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
RAUL YZAGUIRRE SCHOO	ALL	74	556	13.3	RED OAK ISD	ALL	124	4,368	2.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - - - - 7 - - 14 11 13 11	36 - - - - 88 - - 40 14 24 19	0.0 14.3 5.3 11.1 13.3 3.3 8.0 2.0 3.1 35.0 78.6 54.2 57.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	6 8 - 11 5 - 5 13 37 17 11		1.9 2.6 1.2 0.6 3.1 1.5 0.3 1.4 3.5 9.5 4.0 1.5
RAVEN SCHOOL		103			REDWATER ISD	ALL	23	1,068	2.2
	09 10 11 12	74 23 - -	93 36 - -	79.6 63.9 66.7 -		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	6 - 0 0 0 - - - 7 -	- 78 75 97 - - 106	7.2 2.3 1.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 1.0 2.5 6.6 2.6 1.6
RAYMONDVILLE ISD				6.6	REFUGIO ISD				
	01 02 03 04 05	24 27 11 - - 6 0 56 20 6 5	213	1.5 11.1 12.3 5.2 1.0 1.0 2.4 3.3 0.0 23.3 10.7 4.1 2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	6 - - 7 7 5 13	51 - - 64 86 49 71 -	4.0 11.8 6.3 1.5 7.0 1.8 10.9 8.1 10.2 18.3 3.5 3.6 2.0
REAGAN COUNTY ISD	ALL	7	848	0.8	RICARDO ISD	ALL	9	506	1.8
	02 03 04 05 06 07	- 0 0 - 0	50 	1.8 0.0 5.6 1.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 - - 0 0 0 0	35 - - 54 56 65 72 61	0.0 5.8 5.8 5.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
RED LICK ISD	ALL			0.9	RICE CONS ISD	ALL	77	1,375	5.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 43 41 41 35 41 31	2.3 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 9 6 5 - - - 27 - -	98 94 109 109 - - - 173 -	7.1 9.6 5.5 4.6 4.5 2.5 1.1 2.7 3.1 15.6 2.2 3.4 4.2

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents	Date	District	Crado	All S Retained	Students Total	Rate
			Total						
RICE ISD	KG 01 02 03	 - - - -		7.4 4.5 2.8 2.0	RIO GRANDE CITY CISD	KG 01 02 03	13 29 33 17	7,967 694 702 703 689 - - - 793 496 353 401	1.9 4.1 4.7 2.5
D.T.O.Y.D.D.O. T.O.D.					RIO HONDO ISD				
RICHARDS ISD	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	- 0 0 - 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0	100 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	7.7 13.3 0.0 7.1 0.0 16.7 0.0 0.0 23.1 8.3 0.0 0.0 9.1	RIO HONDO ISD	AGE	8 5 6 6 - 55 11 - 10	1,883 148 143 142 - - 154 - 195 137 140	5.4 3.5 4.2 2.8 1.5 1.2 2.0 3.9 1.6 28.0 3.0 7.1
RICHARDSON ISD	ALL	984	32 , 518	3.0	RIO VISTA ISD	ALL	19	830	2.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	51 90 72 38 27 19 12 137 68 152 147 79 92	2,276 2,654 2,654 2,696 2,628 2,610 2,621 2,599 2,833 2,654 2,534 2,389 2,054 1,970	2.2 3.4 2.7 1.4 1.0 0.5 4.8 2.6 6.0 6.2 3.8 4.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0	- - 55 70 65 62 - 74 61 45	6.6 6.0 1.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.6 1.2 8.1 0.0 0.0 3.4
RICHLAND SPRINGS ISD	ALL	5	157	3.2	RISE ACADEMY	ALL	9	51	17.6
	08 09 10 11	- - 0 0	13 8 12 15 - 7 5 - - - 10	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 0.0 6.3 5.9 7.7 0.0		KG 01		24 -	25.0 11.1
RIESEL ISD	ALL	19	569 	3.3	RISING STAR ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0	38 - 44 - 38 46 - 70 - 36	0.0 6.7 2.8 0.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 3.3 2.3 12.9 2.9 2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0	18 17 12 20 16 - 17 12 15	7.1 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.1 0.0 0.0 4.3 13.3 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All	Students	
					District				Rate
RIVER ROAD ISD	ALL 	37 	1,379 	2.7	ROBSTOWN ISD	ALL 	274	3 , 585	7.6
	KG 01	-	85 - 126	2.2		KG 01	26	276	0.8 9.4
	02	0	85	0.0		02	31 15	316	4.7
	05	-	-	2.0		05	8 -	307	0.4
	07	_	_	0.9		06	19	319	6.0
	09	16	117 120	13.7		09	66	315	21.0
		- -		1.8		11	24 37	276 281 316 307 275 319 277 315 240 210 230	11.4
RIVERCREST ISD	ALL	23	662	3.5	ROBY CONS ISD	ALL	-	-	1.0
	KG 01	6 -	62 49 - - -	9.7 5.1		KG 01		17 31 23 22 24 19 24 25 26 - 23 23	9.5
	02 03	0_	49	0.0		02 03	0	31 23	0.0
	04 05		-	4.2		04 05	0	22 24	0.0
	06 07	-	-	1.6 4.2		06 07	0	19 24	0.0
	08 09	0_	58 -	0.0 5.6		08 09	0	25 26	0.0
	10 11	0 _	- - - - 58 - 62 -	0.0 3.3		10 11	_ 0	23	4.5 0.0
RIVIERA ISD			485		ROCHELLE ISD				
	 KG	<u>-</u>	17 31 31 31 - 39 38 35 57 - 53 45	4.8		 KG	0	11 - - 14 15 14 16 14 15 17 20	0.0
	01 02	0	_ 17	3.4		01 02	- -	-	25.0 27.3
	03	0	31 31	0.0		03	0	14	0.0
	06	0	39	0.0		06	0	15 14	0.0
	08	0	35 57	0.0		08	0	14 15	0.0
	10 11	- 0	53	1.8		10 11	0	17 20	0.0
DODEDM LEE IOD					DOCHEGMED TOD				
ROBERT LEE ISD					ROCHESTER ISD			_ 	
	01 02	0	16 - 23 19 - 17 33 27 23 25	8.3		01 02	0 _	7 - 8 13 6 7 7 13	0.0 12.5
	03 04	0	19	0.0		03 04	0	8 13	0.0
	05 06	0	17 33	0.0		05 06	0	6 7	0.0
	07 08	0	27 23	0.0		07 08	0	7 13	0.0
	10	0	25 27	0.0		10	ñ	17	0 0
	11 12	0	25 27 28 30	0.0		11 12	0	11 13	0.0
ROBINSON ISD	ALL		1,971 		ROCKDALE ISD	ALL	72	1 , 726	4.2
	KG 01	11 6 -	129 126	8.5 4.8		KG 01	12 5	133 141 - - 127 126 - 132 155	9.0 3.5
	02 03	0	155 151	0.0		02 03		_	2.0
	04 05	0 0 -	151 -	0.0		04 05	0	127	0.8
	06 07	- 0 8	181	2.5		06 07	U -	126	0.7
	08 09 10	8 -	184	0.0 4.3 1.2		08 09	24	132 155	18.2
	11 12	- - -	- - -	0.7 1.3		10 11 12	15 7 -	101	6.9 0.9
	16			1.0		±2			0.9

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All S	tudents				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
ROCKSPRINGS ISD	ALL	5 	373	1.3	ROPES ISD	ALL	7	358	2.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 25 33 34 34 28 27 30 27	3.6 10.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 26 34 26 22 18 - 27 -	0.0 7.1 3.0 3.2
ROCKWALL ISD	7. T. T.	100	0 070	2 2	ROSCOE ISD		7		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	28 27 - 5 - - 6 38 32 12 23	536 690 610 - - 641 700 644 507 506	0.5 0.9 5.4 5.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 26 26 25 25 25 25 35 26 24 40 28 32	8.3 17.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0
ROGERS ISD	ALL	19	823	2.3	ROSEBUD-LOTT ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	7 - - 0 0 - - 0 0	57 - - 69 59 - - 83 - 77 54 60	12.3 5.6 1.8 2.3 0.0 0.0 4.6 1.6 0.0 3.6 0.0		01 02 03	- - 0 - 0 - 7 5 - 0	- - - 58 - 74 - - 87 92 - 82	5.2 6.3 3.1 1.4 0.0 1.4 0.0 3.8 4.5 8.0 5.4 4.1
ROMA ISD	ALL	208	5,445	3.8	ROTAN ISD		15		4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	111 36	411 - 520 406	1.1 2.1 1.6 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.2 2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09		22 22 - 35 - 30	3 6
ROOSEVELT ISD	ALL		1 , 185		ROUND ROCK ISD			30,230	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 7 5 6 - 0 0	83 93 104 107 - 101 100	7.2 7.5 4.8 5.6 1.3 1.1		KG 01 02 03	58 31 17 11	2,331 2,402 2,442 2,451	2.5 1.3 0.7 0.4 0.2 0.7 0.7 0.8 10.6 4.6 3.6 3.2

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All St Retained		Rate	District	Grade		tudents Total	Rate
ROUND TOP-CARMINE IS	ALL	_	-	0.8	RUNGE ISD	ALL	20	314	6.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 15 19 21 18 20 17 17 15 17 21 24	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	6 - - 0 - 0 - 0	40 	15.0 5.0 7.1 14.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 7.4 0.0 17.4 0.0 5.0
ROXTON ISD	ALL	-		1.4	RUSK ISD		46		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 11 10 15 22 21 27 14 14 14 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 6 0 - - - 16 8 -	153 144 - - - 161 120	0.0 4.3 0.7 3.9 0.0 0.6 0.7 0.6 1.5 9.9 6.7 1.8
ROYAL ISD	ALL	79	1,335	5.9	RYLIE FAITH FAMILY A		9	657	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 12 6 9 8 12 5 - 8 0 0	127 137 113 120 103 112 114 - 96 75 64	7.9 8.8 5.3 7.5 7.8 10.7 4.4 2.7 2.0 8.3 0.0 0.0 6.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	58 60 59 61 72 - - 18 6	3.3 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.0 0.0 3.4 4.7 2.9 0.0
ROYSE CITY ISD					S AND S CONS ISD	ALL			2.7
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08	13 7 - 0 0 - 0 0 10 9 6 7	146 165	0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	_	43 66 67 64 75 54	7.6 1.9 1.4 0.0 0.0 1.6 0.0 2.4 4.5
RULE ISD	ALL	_ 		2.3	SABINAL ISD	ALL			1.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	- 0 0 0	- 13 12 16 11	16.7 18.2 0.0 0.0 0.0			- 0 - 0 0		
	06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 -	21 23 10 17 - 8 16	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0			0 0 0 - 0 0		

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	C1-		Students	Data	District	C**-1-		Students	Do+-
District	Grade	Ketaine	d Total	Kate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
SABINE ISD	ALL	32	1 , 267		SAM RAYBURN ISD	ALL	6	389	1.5
	KG 01	 5 - -	- 73	2.4		KG 01	0 _	33	0.0 3.6
	02 03	-	-	2.2		02 03	0	21 29	0.0
	04	-	-	1.0		04	Ŏ	32	0.0
	06	0	119	1.0		06	0	35	0.0
	07	0	119 100 102 124 -	0.0		07	0	21 29 32 30 35 29 24	0.0
	09 10	7_	124	5.6 3.7		09 10	_		13.3 3.2
	11 12	5 -	79 -	6.3 2.1		11 12	0	35 32	0.0
SABINE PASS ISD	ALL	_ 		1.6	SAMNORWOOD ISD	ALL	-		0.9
	KG 01	-	-	16.7 10.0		KG 01	-	-	- 16.7
	02 03	0	6 12	0.0		02 03	0	7 5	0.0
	04	0	7 10	0.0		04	0	7	0.0
	06	0	11	0.0		06	0	12	0.0
	08	0	15	0.0		08	0	10	0.0
	10	0	12	0.0		10	0	9	0.0
	11 12	0	- 6 12 7 10 11 11 15 12 11 11	0.0		11 12	0	7 5 7 9 12 18 10 5 9	0.0
SAINT JO ISD	ALL	-	-	0.6	SAN ANGELO ISD	ALL	502	15 , 057	3.3
	KG 01	0	23	5.3 0.0		KG 01	32 53	1,002 1,127 1,164 1,217	3.2 4.7
	02 03	0	24 19	0.0		02 03	26 11	1,164 1,217	2.2 0.9
	04 05	0	26 24	0.0		04 05	- 5	1,193	0.3 0.4
	06 07	0	21 27	0.0		06 07	31	1,190	0.3 2.6
	08 09	0	30	0.0		08 09	37 122	1,228 1,358	3.0 9.0
	10	0	36 28	0.0		10	58 36	1,139	5.1
			23 24 19 26 24 21 27 30 - 36 28 30					1,217 1,193 1,190 1,228 1,358 1,139 1,046 1,031	
SALADO ISD		12		1.3	SAN ANTONIO ISD				
	01	_	50 - - 70 - 59 92 - 79	0.0		01	311	4,354 4,766 4,613 4,456 4,285 4,184 4,014 4,154 4,312 4,621	6.5
	03	0	70	4.9		03	106	4,613	2.4
	04 05	0	- 59	1.4		04 05	46 37	4,285 4,184	0.9
	06 07	0_	92 -	0.0 1.4		06 07	90 152	4,014 4,154	2.2 3.7
	08 09	_	79 -	0.0 2.5		08 09			
	10 11	_	-	1.3 1.5		10 11	333 217	3,518 3,013 2,601	9.5 7.2
	12	0	64	0.0		12			
SALTILLO ISD	ALL			1.8	SAN ANTONIO SCHOOL		0		0.0
	KG 01	0	17 16 18 12 18 15 13 19 20 - 18 15	0.0		KG 01	-	-	-
	02 03	0	18 12	0.0		02 03 04	_	_	-
	04 05	0	18 15	0.0		04 05	- - -	-	_
	06 07	0	13 19	0.0		06 08	_	-	-
	08	ŏ	20	0.0		09 10	-		_
	10	_	-	5.6		10	_	_	_
	ΤŢ	U	ΤΩ	0.0					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St					All S	tudents	
District					District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
SAN AUGUSTINE ISD	ALL		964		SAN ISIDRO ISD	ALL	6		
	KG 01	-	-	4.2		KG 01		-	5.0 5.6
	02	8	- 60 68 -	1.6		02 03	-	-	5.6 5.9
	04	-	68 -	5.6		05	0	15	0.0
	07	_	- - 74	3.5 1.3 0.0		07	0	19 17	0.0 0.0 0.0
	09	0	92 82	0.0		09	-	21 - 27	5.0
	11 12	0	74 92 82 67	0.0		11 12	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	22	5.6
SAN BENITO CONS ISD	ALL	434	8,268	5.2	SAN MARCOS CONS ISD	ALL	344	6,631	5.2
	KG 01	- - 30		0.4		KG 01	17 47	497 580	3.4
	02 03	13 8	729 716	1.8 1.1		02 03	29	551	5.3 0.9
	04 05	9 -	686 -	1.3 0.1		04 05	0 7	511 493	0.0 1.4
	06 07	8 17	676 689	1.2 2.5		06 07	- 5	524	0.4
	08 09	14 209	633 695	30.1		08 09	8 21	534 561	1.5 3.7
	11 12	43 23	742 729 716 686 - 676 689 633 695 530 374 431	10.6 11.5 5.3		11 12	17 47 29 0 7 - 5 8 21 117 57 30	425 430	13.4 7.0
SAN DIEGO ISD	ALL	47	1,487	3.2	SAN PERLITA ISD	ALL	10	250	4.0
	KG 01	_ 18	122	1.0 14.8		KG 01	0 -	23	0.0 18.2
	02 03	0	108	0.9		02 03	- - 0 0	- -	11.1 5.6
	05	0	108 110 123	0.0		05	0	16 15	0.0
	07	6	144	4.2		07	0	21 21	6.7 0.0 0.0
	09 10	7	137 - -	5.1 1.2		09 10	- 0	23	5.9
	11 12	-	-	4.3		11 12	0 0 - 0 0 0	12 14	0.0
SAN ELIZARIO ISD	ALL	211	3,231	6.5	SAN SABA ISD				
	KG 01	0 30	244 279 267 -	0.0		KG 01	8 5	66 53	12.1
	03	-	267	1.5		02 03 04	_	- - -	6.1 1.5 1.5
	05 06	-	_ _ _	1.2		05 06	0	- 57	1.6
	07 08	- - -	_	1.1		07 08	- -	- -	1.5 4.4
		108 24	394 220	27.4 10.9		09 10	_ 0	- 35	2.0
	11 12	14 8	147 139	9.5 5.8		11 12	0 _	61	0.0 3.4
SAN FELIPE-DEL RIO C		406		4.4	SAN VICENTE ISD	ALL	0	15	0.0
	01	62	675 802	2.1		KG 02	- -	-	-
	02 03	26 20	802 777 789	3.3		03 04	<u>-</u> -		-
	04 05 06	11 5 19	744 773 721	1.5 0.6 2.6		06 07 08	- - -	- - -	-
	07 08	14 15	750	1.9		00			
	09 10	66 88	691 786 655	8.4 13.4					
	11 12	29 37	510 486	5.7 7.6					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents I Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
SANDS ISD	ALL	10	206	4.9	SANTA GERTRUDIS ISD	ALL	5	265	1.9
		5 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 16 14 14 14 16 - 14 20 20 18 16				0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 - 10 14 15 9 13 16 13 - 47 28 28	0.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 0.0 0.0
SANFORD ISD	ALL	35	937	3.7	SANTA MARIA ISD	ALL	30	457	6.6
			65 - 52 80 - 81 75 101 83			KG	0 - - 0 0 0 - - 12 0 0	36	0.0
SANGER ISD	ALL	48	1,974	2.4	SANTA ROSA ISD	ALL	32	1,094	2.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	6 - 0 -	121 _ _ 144 _	5.0 1.4 1.4 0.0 0.6 0.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 5 - 0 0	77 94 - 82 84 80	0.0 5.3 3.0 0.0 0.0
	06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 15 9 - 6	160 176 175 - 139	1.1 1.8 0.0 8.5 5.1 0.8 4.3		0.8	8 - - 6 - 0	_	6.9 2.5 1.3 7.0 5.3 0.0 4.0
SANTA ANNA ISD	ALL	14	254	5.5	SANTO ISD	ALL	7	429	1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 - 0 0	16 23 21 18 - - 18 22 22	6.3 0.0 0.0 15.8 0.0 0.0 9.1 22.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 26 32 27 36 44 35 40 - 38 30	3.4
SANTA FE ISD	ALL	166	4,178	4.0	SAVOY ISD	ALL	6	354	1.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	10 18 10 7 - 5 0 6 7 42 31 11	279 300 309 315 - 364 311 367 338 361 338 264 306	3.6 6.0 3.2 2.2 0.9 1.4 0.0 1.6 2.1 11.6 9.2 4.2 5.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		26 - 25 29 29 - - 28 - - - - 22 20	0.0 3.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 3.0 4.0 3.8 4.5 0.0

Appendix D Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
SCAN CHARTER SCHOOL	ALL	_	_	21.4	SCURRY-ROSSER ISD	ALL	34	764	4.5
	05 07 08 09 10		- - - - - -	- - -		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	8 - 0 - - - - 9 -	55 - 57 - - -	14.5 5.4 0.0 1.6 1.5 3.4 5.6
SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-U CIT					SEAGRAVES ISD	ALL	9	563	1.6
	KG 01 02	- 18 5	459 405 - - 502 483 - 577 494 439 488	0.6 3.9 1.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		45 34 44 41 46 46 46 - 52 40	6.4 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.8 0.0 0.0 2.8
					SEALY ISD	ALL	63	2,134	3.0
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	_	45 - 43 52 - 48 - 50 47	7.1 0.0 8.1 2.2 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 4.8 0.0		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	10 5 - - - 0 6 19 7	168 165 189 182	6.4 3.4 0.6 0.7 1.7 1.9 1.1 0.0 3.6 10.1 3.8 1.5 2.8
SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE				1.8	SEASHORE LEARNING CT	ALL		_	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 5 - 0 0 0	65 67 - 61 63 58 60 - 74 -	0.0 7.5 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.5		KG 01	- 0 - 0 0 0	23	4.5
SCHULENBURG ISD					SEGUIN ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 6 - 0 0 0 0 0	52 - 57 46 52 53 47 57 - -	8.1 11.5 2.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.9 6.5 6.7 1.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	38 53 28 28 9 - 7 6 5 132 119 43 8	546 602 550 588 530 - 560 552 528 654 567 349 447	7.0 8.8 5.1 4.8 1.7 0.7 1.3 1.1 0.9 20.2 21.0 12.3 1.8

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	udents					tudents	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
SEMINOLE ISD		62 			SHAMROCK ISD	ALL			1.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	18 8 7 0 - 0 0 0 0 8 9	148 130 157 139 - 165 162 151 192 153	12.2 6.2 4.5 0.0 1.2 2.7 0.0 0.0 4.2 5.9 2.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 25 32 32 26 - 39 35 29	3.7 3.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 0.0 0.0
SENTRY TECHNOLOGY PR					SHARYLAND ISD	ALL	170	4,906	3.5
	09 10 11 12	58 22 20 -	109 68 62 -	53.2 32.4 32.3 16.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	15 13 7 - 0 8 7 82 17 14	367 368 402 - 393 - 428 385 478 305 260	4.1 3.5 1.7 0.5 0.2 0.0 0.2 1.9 1.8 17.2 5.6 5.4
SER-NINOS CHARTER SC	ALL			10.7	SHEKINAH "RADIANCE"	ALL	5	117	4.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	- 9 13 - 0	- 60 56 - 13	1.7 2.2 15.0 23.2 14.8 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 0 0 0 0	- 15 6 11 10 8 - 10	3.6 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 16.7 0.0
SEYMOUR ISD	ALL	7	697	1.0	SHELBYVILLE ISD		5		
	03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 0 0	47	6.8 0.0 0.0 1.7 3.4 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	_	40 36 53 42 - 56 69 57 50 - 37	2.2
SHALLOWATER ISD		12			SHELDON ISD	ALL	151	3,911	3.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0	81 91 107 84 96 91 -	4.1 2.2 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 2.2 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	26 18 9 7 - 10 7 - 31 8 19	313 336 328 327 - 317 335 - 343 266 215 233	0.7 8.3 5.4 2.7 2.1 1.0 3.2 2.1 1.0 9.0 3.0 8.8 3.4

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All Retaine	Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
SHEPHERD ISD	ALL	138	1,710	8.1	SILSBEE ISD	ALL	116	2,931	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	8 18 6 5 -0 12 13 43 17 6 6	137 136 132 - 146 - 137 183 121 174 100 103 86	5.8 13.2 4.5 1.6 3.4 1.5 0.0 6.6 10.7 24.7 17.0 5.8 7.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	13 6 - 7 8 - 7 - 12 23 12 12 8	221 234 - 229 220 - 235 - 242 235 198 217 247	5.9 2.6 1.9 3.1 3.6 0.5 3.0 1.3 5.0 9.8 6.1 5.5 3.2
SHERMAN ISD	ALL	196	5 , 757	3.4	SILVERTON ISD	ALL	6	237	2.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	21 12 7 5 - 0 0 8 5 70 42 18 5	465 461 435 493 - 508 479 457 436 498 417 335 294	4.5 2.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 0.0 1.8 1.1 14.1 10.1 5.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	8 16 24 25 21 22 20 18 15 -	6.3 19.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SHINER ISD	AT ₁ T ₁	8	506	1.6	SIMMS ISD	ALL	21	524	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 47 - 42 35 - 41 - 45 36	0.0 5.0 0.0 3.2 2.6 0.0 0.0 4.5 2.8 0.0 2.6 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 - 0 - - 7 - 0	41 41 39 - 39 - - - 41 - 42	9.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.4 0.0 3.0 6.5 3.5 17.1 6.1 0.0 2.6
SIDNEY ISD		-		0.8	SINTON ISD	ALL	138	2,066	6.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 7 17 10 13 12 18 11 9	10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		0.0	_	164 146 - - 163 170 - 228 167 104 138	0.0
SIERRA BLANCA ISD	ALL	5	122	4.1	SIVELLS BEND ISD	ALL	0	59	0.0
	KG 01	0 _	15 -	0.0 23.1		KG 01	0	9	0.0
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 - 6 8 10 10 10 - 5	0.0 9.1 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 0	6 11 5 6 5 10 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	tudents				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
SKIDMORE-TYNAN ISD		13			SMYER ISD	ALL			1.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		- - - 49 38 66 - 43 58	2.6 4.0 5.4 5.3 3.6 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.2 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 22 25 31 32 34 33 31 - 33 27 25	10.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SLATON ISD	ALL	30	1,268	2.4	SNOOK ISD	ALL	19	472	4.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		- - - - - 98 91 118 - -	2.1 1.0 3.9 0.9 2.0 1.1 1.0 0.0 7.6 4.4 2.7 3.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 0 0 0 - - 5 0	39 33 29 41 - - 42 24 35	3.3 3.2 12.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.2 4.8 6.3 11.9 0.0 0.0
SLIDELL ISD	ALL	11	329	3.3	SNYDER ISD	ALL	93	2,580	3.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 - 0 0	19 20 - 23 30 31 - 20 20	7.7 12.1 4.8 0.0 0.0 3.7 0.0 0.0 5.9 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	16 9 6 12 - 0 6 - 24 - 7	191 186 211 221 - 205 206 - 220 - 190	8.4 4.8 2.8 5.4 1.1 0.6 0.0 2.9 2.0 10.9 1.7
SLOCUM ISD		7			SOCORRO ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 	0.0 8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 0.0		10	5 104 86 42 22 13 23 27 33 395 151 78 67	1.821	
SMITHVILLE ISD	ALL	79 	1,686		SOMERSET ISD	ALL	161	2,697	6.0
		16 - - 0 - - - - 32 11 -				KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 34 25 8 - - 6 10 19 32 10 6	259 237 223 252 202 228 227 173 124	0.9 13.1 10.5 3.6 1.9 1.8 2.4 5.0 8.3 14.1 5.8 4.8 0.8

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

D: -+: -+	Corr. 1	All St		Deti	District	Cons. 1		Students	Deli
District					District				Rate
SOMERVILLE ISD			743 			ALL		-	1.2
	KG 01	7 - 0 0 0 0 12	46	4.0 15.2		KG 01	0	10 11	0.0
	02 03	-	-	6.8 2.0		02 03	0	12 12	0.0
	04 05	0	61 50	0.0		04 05	0	13 10	0.0
	06 07	_ 0	- 61	3.2		06 07	0	18 13	0.0
	08	0	58 67	0.0		08	0	15	0.0
	10	-		5.0		10	<u> </u>	_ 13	6.3
	12	-	<u>-</u> -	3.6		12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14	0.0
SONORA ISD	ALL	32	889	3.6	SOUTHSIDE ISD	ALL	307	4,318	7.1
	KG 01	6 9	64 75	9.4 12.0		KG 01	13 34	330 356	3.9 9.6
	02 03	- 5	- 81	3.1 6.2		02 03	19 27	334 403	5.7 6.7
	04 05	_ 0	- 71	1.6		04 05	10 6	314 363	3.2 1.7
	06	0	70 90	0.0		06	39 34	380 379	10.3
	08	0	52	0.0		08	49	337	14.5
	10	-	-	3.4		10	26	297	8.8
	12	6 9 - 5 - 0 0 0 0 6 -	48	1.4		12	13 34 19 27 10 6 39 34 49 37 26 6 7	234	3.0
SOUTH PLAINS	ALL	103	180	57.2	SOUTHWEST HIGH SCHOO	ALL	167		
	09 10	12 42 38 11	20 59	60.0 71.2			-	_	- -
	11 12	38 11	59 42	64.4 26.2		04 05	-	-	28.6
						06 07	0 _	7	0.0 17.6
						08 09	- 78	107	22.2
						10	35 12	118	29.7 18.2
						12	- 0 - 78 35 12 34	89	38.2
SOUTH SAN ANTONIO IS					SOUTHWEST ISD				
	KG	21 100 69 33 15 8 7 6	742	2.8		KG	26 46 20 27 6 8 11 17 20	740	3.5
	02	69	801	8.6		02	20	772	2.6
	03	33 15	778 761	2.0		03	6	794	0.8
	05 06	8 7	726 701	1.1 1.0		05 06	8 11	714 747	1.1 1.5
	07 08					07 08	17 20	746 778	2.3
	09 10	7.4	719 643	18.5 11.5		09 10	188 74	837 605	22.5 12.2
	11 12	33 64	494	6.7 11.0		11 12	24 19	515 418	4.7 4.5
SOUTH TEXAS ISD	ALL	159	2,138		SOUTHWEST PREPARATOR	ALL	20 188 74 24 19	233	63.9
						09 10	53 43 43 10	68 58	77.9 74.1
	04 06	0	6	0.0		11 12	43	67 40	64.2
	07 08	15	198	7.6			10	70	20.0
	08	- 0 0 15 - 66 24 12 38	550	12.0					
	10 11	12	446 362	3.3					
	12	38	365	10.4					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
SPADE ISD	ALL	-	-		SPRING HILL ISD		25	1,504	1.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	19 18 10 15 14 7 10 10 - - 16 - 13	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.9 7.7 0.0		06 07	- - - - 5	99 - - 111 - - - - 127 -	0.7
SPEARMAN ISD			720		SPRING ISD	ALL	850	21 , 701	3.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	- - 0 0	50 57 52 61 122 53 48	6.5 3.8 1.7 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	56 78 69 55 15 12 16 18 40 266 90 100 35	1,730 1,694 1,735 1,775 1,714 1,761 1,769 1,682 1,867 1,583 1,358 1,248	3.2 4.6 4.0 3.1 0.9 0.7 0.9 1.0 2.4 14.2 5.7 7.4 2.8
SPLENDORA ISD	ALL	138	2,730	5.1	SPRINGLAKE-EARTH ISD	ALL	22	400	5.5
	KG 01 02	9 18 9	216 220 230 - - 213 221 212 205 123	4.2 8.2 3.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - - 0 0 - - - 0	400 	14.8 10.3 4.7 3.6 8.1 0.0 0.0 11.5 8.0 6.5 5.9 0.0
SPRING BRANCH ISD	ALL	1,339	28,729	4.7			83	3,402	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	83 131 132 98 54 19 35 45	2,308 2,391 2,448 2,388 2,279 2,210 2,262 2,258 2,135	3.6 5.5 5.4 4.1 2.4 0.9 1.5 2.0 1.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07	9 7 - 5 - 0 - 8 5	222 255 - 280 - 292 - 344 290	2.7 1.2
	09 10 11 12	446 123 107 41	2,618 2,002 1,788 1,642	17.0 6.1 6.0 2.5		09 10 11 12	31 6 - -	303 224 - -	10.2 2.7 1.6 2.0
SPRING CREEK ISD	ALL		_ 	1.0	SPUR ISD	ALL	8	244	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06	- 0 0 0 0 0	18 14 18 16 5 13	5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	21 21 - 24 - 14	0.0 11.1 0.0 10.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 7.7 7.1 0.0 4.3 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	- 1	All St			· · ·	- 1		Students	
District			Total		District		Retained		Rate
SPURGER ISD			416 	2.2 9.1	STAR ISD	ALL KG			1.9
	KG 01	<u>-</u>						8	0.0
	03	0	38	0.0		03	0	9	0.0
	05	_	∠6 -	6.3		05	0	5	0.0
	06 07	0	39	0.0		06 07	0	10	0.0
	08 09	0	34 35	0.0		08 09	0 -	7	0.0 5.6
	10 11	0	38 26 - 39 34 35 - 29	3.4 0.0		10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10	0.0 8.3
STAFFORD MSD			2,672 				109		
	01	5	208	3.0 2.4 4.5		01	19 9 -	228	3.9
	03	_ TT	244	1.9		03	-	-	0.4
	05	-	-	2.2		05	- 0	234	0.4
	07	7	197	1.4		07	10	278	3.6
	09	18	197 - 232	7.8		09	33	295	11.2
	10 11 12	0	162	1.1 0.0 1.3		11	8	219	3.7
STAMFORD ISD	ALL	14	746		STERLING CITY ISD	ALL	19 9 - 5 8 10 - 33 6 8	291	2.7
						KG 01	=	- - -	7.1
	02	_ 0	55 52 60 51 60	1.9		02	0	21 21	7.1 0.0 0.0
	04	_ 0	- 52	1.6		04	- 0	22	4.2
	06 07	0	60 51	0.0		06 07	0	23	0.0
	08	0	60	0.0		08	0	31	0.0 12.0
	10 11	0	69 - 45	0.0		10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	28 22	0.0
OFFINITION TOD					OFFICIAL FLAD				
STANTON ISD				4.3	STOCKDALE ISD	ALL KG		695 	1.7 2.1
	(1/2	_	70 59 –	15.3		01	_	_	2.1
	03 04	=	_	6.3		03	- 0 0	42 50	0.0
	05 06	0	64	0.0		05 06	0	50 50	3.3
	07 08	- 0 - 0	- 67	1.5		07 08	- -	-	1.5
	09	5	72	6.9		09	_	_	1.6
	11 12	0	72 - 57 40	0.0		11 12	- 0 0	55 52	0.0
STAR CHARTER SCHOOL	ALL	_	_	2.5	STRATFORD ISD	ALL	11	551	2.0
	01 02	0	6 7 11 13 25 7 - - 6 -	0.0		KG 01		<u>-</u>	4.7 3.0
	03 04	Ŏ O	7 11	0.0		02	_ 0	40	6.7
	05 06	o o	13	0.0		04	0	45	0.0
	07 08	ŏ -	7 -	0.0		06 07	_ 0	- 55	2.2
	09 10	_ n	<u>-</u>	5.9		08 09	ŏ -	44	0.0
	11 12	<u> </u>	- -	16.7		10 11	0	25 37	0.0
						12	ŏ	34	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St	tudents				All	Students	
District	Grade	Retained		Rate	District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate
STRAWN ISD	ALL	_ 	_ 		SUNNYVALE ISD			-	0.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 12 11 11 18 9 10 - 19 - 20 12 21	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 0.0 9.5 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 37 33 47 39 43 53 47 46	3.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SUDAN ISD	ALL	_	_	0.9	SUNRAY ISD		12		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 22 16 - 26 23 25 21 33 - 31 34 22	0.0 0.0 0.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.9 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	- - 0 0 0 0	48 37 32 - 32 - 39 -	3.3 2.8 4.9 3.0 0.0 0.0 2.8 0.0 8.3 0.0 2.0
SULPHUR BLUFF ISD	ALL	-	-	0.8	SWEENY ISD		98		
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 26 23 21 22 16 19 15 17 24 24	11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	5 13 12 8 7 5 - - 26 13 -	128 166 158 166 143 175 - - 200 161 - 147	3.9 7.8 7.6 4.8 4.9 2.9 0.6 1.2 1.2 13.0 8.1 2.6 0.0
SULPHUR SPRINGS ISD	ALL	61	3,581	1.7	SWEET HOME ISD				
	KG 01 02 03	33 0 0 - - - 0	302 249 278	0.7 10.9 0.0 0.0 1.4 0.8 1.4 0.0 0.4 1.5 2.7 0.4 0.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 5 9 10 12 10 5	14.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
SUNDOWN ISD					SWEETWATER ISD	ALL KG	81 10	2,315 169	3.5
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 38 44 44 39 46 45 30 46 - -	5.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.7 2.1 2.5		01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	10 8 - 0 0 0 6 - - 27 15 9	168 191 189 180 198 - 218 181 155 129	4.8 1.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.0 1.7 0.5 12.4 8.3 5.8 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		tudents l Total	Rate	District	Grade		Students Total	Rate
District	ALL		1,397		District	ALL			
TAFT ISD	 KG 01	 _ 0	121 - - 99 106 - 149 126	2.3	TEAGUE ISD	KG		1,011 63 - 76 67 85 68	7.9
	12	0	94	0.0		09 10 11 12	12 - 0 0	85 - 72 70	
TAHOKA ISD			715		TECHNOLOGY EDUCATION				28.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	- - 52 59 - 60 64 60 - -	9.1 2.6 7.0 0.0 0.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 5.7 4.8 1.9 5.4		09 10 11 12	12 9 - -	29 26 - -	41.4 34.6 16.0 18.2
TARKINGTON ISD				1.4	TEKOA ACADEMY				1.7
	01	6 - - - 0 0 6 - 0	134 - - - 155 106 117 - 119	3.1 4.5 0.7 0.6 1.8 0.7 0.8 0.0 0.0 5.1 0.8 0.0		01 02 03		17 22 20 - 21 9	6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0
TATUM ISD	ALL	32	1,155	2.8	TEMPLE ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 - 8 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 8 0 - 0	96 103 84 -	0.0 5.0 9.2 1.3 0.0 3.3 0.0 4.9 0.0 7.8 0.0 3.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	36 21 11 7 - 7 6 10 221 47 24	647 668 620 634 - 608 617 635 766 538 401 374	5.6 3.1 1.8 1.1 0.3 0.3 1.2 1.0 28.9 8.7 6.0 3.2
TAYLOR ISD	ALL	79 			TENAHA ISD	ALL	8	377	2.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - - 0 - 33 19		0.5 1.5 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.5 1.7 12.9 8.4 5.8 2.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 29 - 34 31 30 24 39 - - 28	0.0 0.0 3.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 2.6 12.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

	0	All St		D. I	Pintain	-		tudents	D.:
District	Grade	Retained	Total	Rate	District	Grade	Retained		
TERLINGUA CSD	ALL	15 		8.6	TEXAS CITY ISD			5 , 536	
	KG 01	-	-	22.2 25.0		KG 01	20 36 29 12 - 40 16 21 7 44 34 15	384 441	5.2 8.2
	02	-	-	14.3		02	29	461	6.3
	04	-	-	13.3		04	-	400	0.6
	05 06	0	_ 11	0.0		05 06	40 16	432	8.0 3.7
	07 08	0	12 12	0.0		07 08	21 7	437 421	4.8 1.7
	09 10	0	18 11	0.0		09 10	44	438	10.0
	11	- - - 0 0 0 0 0	7	0.0		11	15	290	5.2
ERRELL COUNTY ISD	ALL	9	185	4.9	TEXAS EMPOWERMENT AC				
	KG			42.9		05	0	8	0.0
	01	-	-	9.1 5.6		06	0 -	10	3.8
	03	0	11 8	0.0		08 09	_	<u>-</u> -	6.3 57.1
	05 06	0	16	0.0 11.8					
	07 08	0	7 21	0.0					
	09	-	- 2E	10.0					
	11 12	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 11	0.0					
ERRELL ISD		202			TEXAS LANGUAGE CHART				7.4
NASHI 13D	KG	8 13 7 -	276	2.9		KG 01			0.0
	02	7	314	2.2		02	0	12	0.0
	03	-	-	1.4		03 04	-	_	16.7
	05 06	-	-	1.0					
	07 08	10	311 317	3.2					
	09	97	396	24.5					
	11	10 9 97 26 13	209	6.2					
EXARKANA ISD	12 ALL				TEXAS SERENITY ACADE	ALL	9	30	30.0
						07	0	5	0.0
	01 02	38 11	458 401	8.3 2.7		08 09	0 8	6 17	0.0 47.1
	03	14	404	3.5		10 11	-	-	_
	05	11 38 11 14 - - 12 9 5	-	0.9					
	06	9	360	2.5					
	08 09	5 60	321 419	1.6 14.3					
	10 11	15 21	331 280	4.5 7.5					
	12	8	249	3.2					
EXAS ACADEMY OF EXC				5.4	TEXAS SERENITY ACADE			15	33.3
	KG 01	- 5	- 31	2.9 16.1		08	_	-	_
	02 03	_ _ 0	_	5.4 3.0		09 11	5 -	10	50.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All Stu					All St		
District	Grade				District		Retained		Rate
TEXHOMA ISD		11 			THRALL ISD	ALL			0.4
	KG 01	_	<u>-</u>	12.5 9.4		KG 01	0	34 31	0.0
	02 03	0	24	9.5 0.0		02 03	0	36 30	0.0
	05 06	- -	-	_		04 05	0	34 46	0.0
	08 09	- -	- -	-		06 07	0	32 32	0.0
	10	- -	-	-		08	Ö	49	0.0
	11	_	_	_		10	0	48	0.0
						12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 -	0.0 2.9
TEXLINE ISD					THREE RIVERS ISD	ALL	20	731	2.7
	KG 01	0	6 11	0.0		KG 01	0 - 0 0	45 -	0.0 6.8
	02 03	0	16	0.0 5.6		02 03	0	56 50	0.0
	04	0	16	0.0		04 05	-	- -	3.6 3.9
	06	0	8	0.0		06	- - -	_	1.8
	07	0	13	0.0		0.7	= =		3.4 1.9
	09 10	0	11 13	0.0		09 10	7 0	74 51	9.5 0.0
	11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	9 11	0.0		11 12	- - 7 0 0	65 -	0.0 1.8
THE IDEA ACADEMY	ALL	15	158	9.5	THREE WAY ISD (BAILE	ALL	0	88	
	04 05	6 - 7 0	30	20.0		KG 01	0	6	0.0
	06 07	7	60 24	11.7		02 03		9	
	0 /	Ü		0.0		04 05	-	<u>-</u> 5	
						06	-	-	-
						07	0	9	0.0
						09 10	0	14 6	0.0
						11 12	0 0 0 0 0	8 10	0.0
THERESA B LEE ACADEM					THREE WAY ISD (ERATH		-		2.5
	09 10	11 12 7 9	59 49	18.6 24.5		KG 01	0	5 8	0.0
	11 12	7 9	35 28	20.0 32.1		02 03	0 0 - 0	7	0.0 16.7
						0.5	0	8 - 5	0.0
						06	0	5	0.0
THORNDALE ISD		22 			THROCKMORTON ISD				
	0.4	-	_	2.7 6.5		KG 01	0 0 -	20 15	0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0
	02 03	_ _ 0	- 29	12.1		02 03	_ 0	_ 15	7.7 0.0
	04	_	_ _ _	4.9		04 05	0	16 18	0.0
	06 07	- - -	- -	3.2 5.3 6.3		06	Ö	13 16	0.0
	0.8	_	_	2 0		08	0	20	0.0
	10	- - - 0	<u>-</u>	2.1		10	0	16	0.0
	11 12	0	45	2.4		11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 17	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Crado	All Stu		Pato	District	Crado	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
TIDEHAVEN ISD		27 		3.3	TOMBALL 13D	KG	212	484	4.1
	01 02	- - 0 -	- 63	4.9		01	28 29	567 560	4.9
	03	-	-	4.3		03	8	538 537	1.5
	05	-	-	1.3		05	-	-	0.7
	07	-	_	3.2		07	8	560	1.4
	09	12	82	14.6		09	62	627	9.9
	11	- - 0 12 0 0	48	0.0		11	20 28 29 8 6 - 8 9 62 16 6	443	1.4
TIMPSON ISD	ALL	28	556	5.0	TORNILLO ISD	ALL	47	970	4.8
	KG	9 0 - 0 0 0		3.6		KG	6 7 - - 0 - - - 16	96	6.3
	02	0	28	0.0		02	_	94	3.5
	03	- -	-	3.9 4.5		04	-	-	4.9
	05 06	0	39 41	0.0		06	<u> </u>	80	1.4
	0.7	0	38	0.0		0.7	- -	- -	1.4
	09 10	- 5	46	7.8 10.9		09 10	16 -	97 -	
	11 12	5 - -	-	5.0 4.8		11 12	- - -		2.0 8.3
TIOGA ISD		5			TOVAS-TACTILE ORAL V				
	KG 01	-		18.8 5.6		KG 01		_	16.7
	02 03	0	10 20	0.0		02 03	0	- 6	0.0
	04 05	0	18 17	0.0		04 05	0 _	6	0.0 11.1
	06 07	- 0 0 0 0 0	15 8	0.0		06 07	0	5 -	0.0 7.7
	08	<u>-</u>	_	4.8		08 09	- 0 0 - 0 -	<u>-</u> -	16.7 33.3
TOLAR ISD		9	508	1.8	TRANSFORMATIVE CHART	ALL			19.4
	KG 01	-	_	4.9 6.1		09 10	6	13	46.2 15.8
	02	- 0 0 0 - 0 0	33 33	0.0		11	- 8	- 39	4.5 20.5
	04 05	0	38	2.8			ŭ	0,5	20.0
	06 07	0	56 38	0.0					
	08 09	-	-	4.4					
	1.0	0	43	0 0					
	12	0	34	0.0					
TOM BEAN ISD					TREETOPS SCHOOL INTE				
	KG 01	-	_	3.2 4.3		KG 01	0 -	7 -	0.0 11.1
	02 03	0	44 63	0.0		02 03	0	13 16	0.0
	04 05	0 _	67 -	0.0 1.6		04 05	0	12 23	0.0
	06 07	_ 0	- 80	3.8		06 07	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 17	0.0
	08	- -	- -	1.7		08	0	21 19	0.0
	10 11	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 - 0	63 _	0.0		10 11 12	0 -	13	5.9
	12	0	54	0.0		12	-	-	10.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All St	tudents Total	Date	District	Crado	All : Retained	Students Total	Rate
TRENT ISD		9						1,195	
TIGHT TOP	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11			33.3 0.0 0.0 6.7 6.7 14.3 7.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	TROT TOD	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	21 - 0 0 - - 16		2.9 19.6 1.4 1.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 1.0 1.9 14.8 2.3
TRENTON ISD	ALL	6	442	1.4	TULIA ISD			1,212	
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0	31 37 45 32 38 - 34 - 33 24 25	5.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0 3.3 5.9 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 - - 0 0 0 - 12 7	74 - - - 94 103 - - 103	0.0 3.3 1.1 1.3 3.4 0.0
TRINIDAD ISD	ALL	-	-	1.5	TULOSO-MIDWAY ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 12 24 22 27 - 27 19 20 - 19	0.0 4.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1 0.0 5.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 5 - 0 - 9 10 - 47 5 6	209 234 226 226 226 220 197 213	1.5 0.5 2.4 0.4 0.0 0.4 4.0 4.4 1.7 15.8 2.3 3.0 4.2
TRINITY ISD	ALL	61	1,178	5.2	TURKEY-QUITAQUE ISD	ALL	-	-	1.2
		8 5 0 - 7 - 0 0 0 - 16 12 8 0	92 82 77 - 84 - 93 86 - 123 92 71 83			10	0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 12 18 22 21 20	0.0 9.5 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
TROUP ISD	ALL	13	861		TWO DIMENSIONS PREPA			_	
	KG	-		2.9 1.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 - 0 0 0	28 - 18 22 19 12	0.0 3.6 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
TYLER ISD			15,792		UNIVERSAL ACADEMY	ALL		591	4.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 11 11 12	57 65 59 42 16 7 9 15 11 343 76 48 57	1,311 1,344 1,335 1,261 1,296 1,153 1,241 1,268 1,229 1,436 1,027 934 957	4.3 4.8 4.4 3.3 1.2 0.6 0.7 1.2 0.9 23.9 7.4 5.1 6.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- - 10 5 0 - 0 - -		3.6 2.4 3.7 14.9 10.0 0.0 2.2 2.0 0.0 3.8 12.5 20.0
	ALL	13	644	2.0	UNIVERSITY CHARTER S				28.0
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 7 0	36 - 41 - 59 54 75 46 - 55 44	0.0 4.2 0.0 2.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.7 12.7 0.0		06 07 08 09 10 11 12	5 7 25 13 6	22 - 38 69 34 18	22.7 12.1 18.4 36.2 38.2 33.3
	11 12		- 42	5.3 0.0					
UNION HILL ISD					UTOPIA ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 - 26 24 23 25 25 - 25 16 20 12	0.0 5.3 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 - 13 11 14 10 15 17 26 21 22 12	0.0 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
UNITED ISD	ALL	836	25,651	3.3	UVALDE CONS ISD	ALL	274	4,652	5.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	25 150 90 61 21	2,249 2,459 2,302 2,246 2,208 2,006 2,038 1,964 1,899 2,063 1,534 1,349 1,334	1.1 6.1 3.9 2.7 1.0 1.0 0.8 1.3 1.5 10.0 7.2 2.7 3.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	7 10 11 - 6	350 372 403 - 367 - 385 483 298 250 268	0.9 2.0 2.7 2.7 0.8 1.6 1.1 0.3 2.1 34.6 9.4 7.2 3.0
UNIV OF HOUSTON CHAR		-		0.9	VALENTINE ISD	ALL			1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 0 0 -	- 14	0.0 0.0 0.0 3.8 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0	_	0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

		All St						tudents	
District							Retained		Rate
VALLEY HIGH					VAN ISD		41		
	KG 01	0	5	0.0		KG 01	10 - 6	- 155	2.2 6.5
	07 08	- -		11.1		02 03	6	154	3.0 3.9
	09 10	67 14	75 32	89.3 43.8		04 05	-	-	1.2
	11 12	67 14 6	18	33.3 18.2		06 07	- - -	_	1.9 1.7
						08 09	-	-	1.5 1.2
						10 11	- - 0 -	116	1.2
VALLEY MILLS ISD	ALL	7	479	1.5	VAN VLECK ISD		- 28		
	01 02	-	_	9.1 2.9		01 02	0 - 0 - 0 0 - 0 0 - 0	_	3.2 3.1
	03 04	0	38 28	0.0		03 04	0 _	81	0.0 1.6
	05 06	0	38 44	0.0		05 06	0	69 81	0.0
	07 08	0 -	46 -	0.0 2.1		07 08	0	71	1.3
	09 10	0 0	30 45	0.0		09 10	19 -	86 -	
	11 12	- - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	37 22	0.0		11 12	- - -	_	1.7 1.7
VALLEY VIEW ISD (COO	AT ₁ T ₁	21	647	3.2	VARNETT CHARTER SCHO				
	KG 01	0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 7 - 6 0	47 -	0.0 4.9		KG 01			1.1 1.8
	02 03	0 0	43 53	0.0		02 03	0 7	50 38	0.0 18.4
	04 05	0	41	0.0 1.9		04 05	-	_	7.1 3.6
	06 07	7	51 57	12.3					
	08 09	- 6	58	10.3					
	10 11	<u>-</u>	48	2.0					
VALLEY VIEW ISD (HID	ALL	156	2,117	7.4	VEGA ISD		_	-	1.3
		13 15 21 - - - 5				KG	0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18	0.0
	02	21	224	9.4		02	0	10	5.6
	03	-	_	2.4		03	0	16	4.5
	06	-	-	0.6		06	0	20	0.0
	07	5	156	0.6		07	0	27	2.9
	09 10	47 16 16 11	197	23.9 11.3		10	0 0 0	_ 29	3.7 0.0
	11 12	16 11	112	18.4 9.8		11 12	0	29 32	0.0
VAN ALSTYNE ISD	ALL	22	1 , 192	1.8	VENUS ISD	ALL	84	1,545	5.4
	KG 01	5 0 0 5 - - 0 0	69 _	7.2 3.7		KG 01	8 8 6 - 0 10 6 6 18 7 5	113 117	7.1 6.8
	02 03	0	93 102	0.0		02 03	6 -	133	4.5 3.2
	04 05	5 -	81 -	6.2 1.0		04 05	0	119	2.7
	06 07	-	-	0.9 1.0		06 07	10 6	132 148	7.6 4.1
	08 09	0	101	1.8		08 09	6 18	129 139	4.7 12.9
	10 11	0 -	91 -	0.0		10 11	7 5	121 94	5.8 5.3
	12	-	_	2.8		12	-	-	4.5

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Pato	District	Grado	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
					District				
VERIBEST ISD			257 		WA-SET PREPARATOY AC				5.5
	KG 01	0	16 17 13 - - 15 - 46 - 12	5.0 0.0		KG 01	0	19	0.0
	02	Ö	17	0.0		02	0 0 0	17	0.0
	03 04	0	13	0.0 8.0		03 04	0	12 7	0.0
	05	_	_	5.3			•		
	06 07	0	15	0.0 4.3					
	08	-	_	3.7					
	10	_	46	5.3					
	11	0	12	0.0					
VERNON ISD					WACO CHARTER SCHOOL	AT.T.	9	154	5.8
100					MIGO CIRRIZZIV SCHOOL				
	01	-	-	1.1		01	-	-	7.3
	03	0	174	0.0		02 03	_	_	3.8 3.6
	04	_	_	0.6		04 05	0	- 18 -	0.0
	06	-	_	2.2		03	_	_	10.0
	07 08	6	187 211	3.2					
	09	51	256	19.9					
	10 11	11 11	178 154	6.2 7.1					
			174 - - 174 - - 187 211 256 178 154						
ICTORIA ISD ALI	ALL	848	13,584	6.2	WACO ISD	ALL	1,087	14,133	7.7
	KG 01	43 65	1,038	4.1 6.0		KG 01	104	1,285 1,372	3.1 7.6
	02	46	1,121	4.1		02	51	1,215	4.2
	04	15	1,051	1.4		04	43	1,210	3.6
	05 06	8 31	1,099 1,137	0.7		05 06	18 57	1,135 1,119	1.6
	07	15	1,004	1.5		07	60	1,198	5.0
	08 09	9 365	1,021 1,427	0.9 25.6		08 09	50 287	1,016 1,199	23.9
	10	98	985	9.9		10	163	945	17.2
	12	54	1,038 1,080 1,121 1,035 1,051 1,099 1,137 1,004 1,021 1,427 985 694 892	6.1		12	48	1,285 1,372 1,215 1,218 1,200 1,135 1,119 1,198 1,016 1,199 945 573 658	7.3
JIDOR ISD	ALL	166	4,957	3.3	WAELDER ISD		8		
	KG 01	10 36	371 414 379 - 386	2.7 8.7		KG 01	_	- 16 17 25 18 16 23	9.5 17.6
	02 03	14	379	3.7		02	_ 0	_ 16	10.5
	04	7	386	1.8		04	Ö	17	0.0
	05 06	_	_	0.5		05 06	0	25 18	0.0
	07	- - -	- - -	0.5		07	Ö	16	0.0
	08 09			1.0 8.7		08	_	23 -	6.3
	10 11	20	366	5.5 4.2		10	0	22	0.0
	12	20 14 15	416 366 334 327	4.6		12	ő	22 17 11	0.0
YSEHRAD ISD					WALCOTT ISD		0		
	KG 01	0	5 9 6 7 12 - 10 7	0.0		KG 01	0	18 20 18 16 15 19	0.0
	02	0	6	0.0		02	0	18	0.0
	03 04	0	7 12	0.0		03 04	0	16 15	0.0
	05	-	_	9.1		05	0	19	0.0
	06 07	0	10 7	0.0		06	0	16	0.0
	Ú8	_	<u>-</u>						

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade		Students d Total	Rate	District	Grade	All S Retained	tudents Total	Rate
WALL ISD			928		WASKOM ISD		29	770	3.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12	- 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0	- 61 60 67 - 88 76 74 83	6.5 2.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.2 2.7 5.8 3.8		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		44 - - - - - 59 76 68 51 70	13.6
WALLER ISD	ALL	127	4,083	3.1	WATER VALLEY ISD	ALL	11	313	3.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 13 7 - 0 0 0 - 10 - 35 18 19 9	292 300 301 - 317 343 - 370 - 366 281 278 265	2.7 4.3 2.3 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.9 2.7 0.6 9.6 6.4 6.8 3.4		KG 01 02 03 04 05	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 - 21 - 22 21 29	0.0 11.5 0.0 4.8 0.0 0.0
WALNUT BEND ISD	ALL	-	<u>-</u>		WAXAHACHIE FAITH FAM				
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- 0 0 0 0 0	- 5 - 7 9 5 5 8	0.0 16.7 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	- 0 - - 0 - 0 0 0	13 - - 19 - 21 21 17 -	9.1 0.0 5.6 5.9 7.7 0.0 7.1 0.0 0.0 7.7
WALNUT SPRINGS ISD	ALL	15	219	6.8	WAXAHACHIE ISD		181	5,433	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	- 0 0 0 0	18 13 22 19 16	18.2 9.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	15 37 31 10 15 8	355 383 381 401 438 468	4.2 9.7 8.1 2.5 3.4 1.7 0.4
	08	- - - 0 0	- - - 12 11	9.1 16.0 25.0 8.3 0.0		07 08 09 10 11	- 33 12 9 5	481 410 410 357	0.7 0.2 6.9 2.9 2.2 1.4
WARREN ISD	ALL		950		WEATHERFORD ISD	ALL	163	6,500	2.5
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 	- 72 - - - - 67 - - 81 62 52	5.6 11.1 2.8 3.4 2.2 2.8 4.2 0.0 2.6 1.3 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 - - 0 - 0 14 8 64 39 16 7	445 - - 516 - 548 524 537 630 541 399 387	1.1 0.9 0.6 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.0 2.7 1.5 10.2 7.2 4.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Grade	All St Retained	udents Total	Rate	District	Grade	All Retained	Students Total	Rate
WEBB CONS ISD	ALL		320		WESLACO ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 28 19 27 32 - 20 21 35 25 -	0.0 4.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	95 72 40 - 8 - 55 49 262 162 81 25	1,216 1,246 1,069 - 1,015 1,032 1,002 1,061 856 616 515	0.1 7.8 5.8 3.7 0.3 0.3 0.3 5.3 4.9 24.7 18.9 13.1
WEIMAR ISD	ALL		613		WEST HARDIN COUNTY C	ALL	25	656	3.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	5 6 0 - 0 0 0 13	42 -41 48 - 47 51 65 -	2.3 11.9 2.6 14.6 0.0 5.4 6.1 0.0 20.0 1.5		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	11 - 5 0 0 - - 0 0 0 - - 0 0	52 - 36 48 46 - 42 67 - 48 48 55	21.2 1.9 13.9 0.0 0.0 4.4 3.4 0.0 6.8 0.0 0.0
WELLINGTON ISD	ALL	10	563	1.8	WEST HOUSTON CHARTER	ALL	11	178	6.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 - 0 0 0 0 0 0	41 - 42 51 37 39 42 42 - 39 50	12.2 2.7 2.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		08 09 10 11 12	- 0 - 0		4.3 9.1 0.0 15.4 0.0
WELLMAN-UNION CONS I		- 	_ 		WEST ISD	ALL	24	1,465	1.6
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	13 18 18 14 24 21 13 21 - 15 11	8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.3 0.0 0.0 5.3		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 8 8	1,465 	5.3 3.6 2.7 0.0 0.0 1.0 0.9 0.0 4.3 1.9 0.0
WELLS ISD	ALL	6	295	2.0	WEST ORANGE-COVE CON	ALL	201	3,131	6.4
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 - 17 27 24 31 22 20 15 17	7.7 0.0 7.4 7.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	10 28 10 5 6 6 14 61 40 10 7	232 283 276 241 - 219 229 228 - 250 220 207 233	2.1 0.8 2.7 2.6 6.1 0.8

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Crado	All S		Pato	District	Crado		Students Total	Rate
			1,767						
WEST 030 13D	KG 01 02 03 04	11 7 5	167 160 139 - 153 - 147 - 94	2.9 6.6 4.4 3.6 1.9	WESTRIALIA 15D				
WEST RUSK ISD	ALL	42	803	5.2	WESTWOOD ISD				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05	- 8 0 5 - 0	63 45 64 - 61 61 - 76 64 64 63	4.4 12.7 0.0 7.8 4.3 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05	9 9 - - 0 0 - 18 12 5	149 149 - - 146 159	6.0 6.0 3.0 1.6 1.4 0.0
WEST SABINE ISD			585	2.6	WHARTON ISD	ALL	74	2,470	3.0
	01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	- - 0 0 - 0	- - 44 42 - 50 43 - - 43	6.5 2.1 8.9 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0 0.0 1.7 5.0 2.9		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	6 11 5 0 0 0 0 8 6 23	176 175 212 181 - 192 160 187 188 218	3.4 6.3 2.4 0.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 4.3 3.2 10.6 1.8 4.3 1.4
WESTBROOK ISD	ALL	5			WHEELER ISD				2.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 - 0 0 0	- - 6 - 9 7 14 15 - 15 12	12.5 9.1 12.5 0.0 8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11		28 - 21 20 - 24 23 39 19	8.3 15.8 0.0 3.4 0.0 0.0 4.2 4.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.2
WESTHOFF ISD	ALL			1.6	WHITE DEER ISD		-	_	0.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 6 5 10 7 7 6 6	11.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10		21 20 22 25 39 33 30 33 27 33 37 32	3.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	Crada		Students	Da+a	District	Crade		Students	Pa+a
WHITE OAK ISD	ALL	10 	1,330 	0.8	WHITEWRIGHT ISD	ALL	25	662 39 56 - - 53 65 68 56 - -	3.8
	KG 01	-	87 - 106 113 117 95 - 104	1.1		KG 01	0 8	39 56	0.0 14.3
	02 03	0	- 87	0.9		02 03	_	_	3.2 4.2
	04 05	0	106	0.9		04 05	-	-	2.0 1.8
	06 07	0	113 117	0.0		06 07	0	53 65	0.0
	08 09	0 _	95 -	0.0 1.8		08 09	0 5	68 56	0.0 8.9
	10 11	0 _	104	0.0 2.0		10 11	-	-	4.7 7.0
	12	-	-	2.2					
WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD					WHITHARRAL ISD				
	01	26 11	328 367 325 329 369	7.1		01	_	15 9 20 14 20 15	8.3
	03	6	329 369	1.8		03	0	9	0.0
	05	-		0.3		05	0	14	0.0
	07	-	3/17	0.5		07	ŏ	15	0.0
	09	49	360 332	13.6		09	_	_ 16	4.5
	11 12	13 9	347 360 332 248 247	5.2 3.6		11 12	0	16 16 16	0.0
WHITEFACE CONS ISD	ALL	11	354	3.1	WHITNEY ISD	ALL	32	1,424	2.2
	KG 01	0	14 - - 22 19 26 33 - 33 - 43 -	0.0 6.3		KG 01	5 -	104	4.8
	02 03	_ 0	_ 22	3.8		02 03	_	<u>-</u>	0.9 1.9
	04 05	0	19 26	0.0		04 05	0	103	0.0
	06 07	0 _	33	0.0 6.3		06 07	0 0	103 - 116 116 114 113 114	0.0
	08 09	0 _	33	0.0 10.0		08 09	0 10	114 113	0.0 8.8
	10 11	0 _	43	0.0 10.7		10 11	5 -	114	4.4 1.0
WHITEHOUSE ISD	12 AT.T.	- 162	3.814	3.1	WICHITA FALLS ISD	12 AT.T.	- 538	- 13,818	3.3 3.9
WILLEHOODE 10D					WICHIII IIIII IO	KG			
	01 02	10 5	294 258	3.4 1.9		01 02	37 14	1,063 1,048	3.5 1.3
	03 04	- 5	283	1.3 1.8		03 04	6 9	1,097 1,070	0.5 0.8
	05 06	5 8	282 294 258 - 283 309 332 305 322 350	1.6 2.4		05 06	9 5	1,105 1,063 1,048 1,097 1,070 1,090 1,077 1,063 1,048	0.8 0.5
	07 08	9 12	305 322	3.0 3.7		07 08	6 9	1,063 1,048	0.6 0.9
	09 10	57 12	550	16.3 4.5		09 10			± / • ±
	11 12	11 -	266 259 –	4.2 1.6		11 12	82 34 40	927 890	3.7 4.5
WHITESBORO ISD	ALL	41	1,463	2.8	WILDORADO ISD	ALL			2.7
	KG 01	7	9.8	7 1		KC.	0	7 9	0 0
	02	7 0 -	91 102 - 133	0.0		02	0	9 10 - 12 10	0.0 7.1
	04 05		133	0.0		04	_ 0	- 12	7.7
	06 07	- - -	_	3 1		06	ő	10	0.0
	0.0	_ 11	- 131 109	0.8 8.4					
	10 11	11 5 -	109	4.6					
	12	-	-	1.4					

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	C1-	All S		Data	District	C1-		tudents	Data
District							Retained		Rate
WILLIS ISD	ALL 	236 	4,251 348 325 353 350 343 362 381 389 289 256 252	1.4 11.2 5.5 4.0 0.3 8.3 2.3 3.3 1.8 12.1 11.4 7.4 2.0	WINDTHORST ISD	ALL KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 11 12	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 - 22 33 39 37 31 32 33 24 34 - 30	0.5
WILLS POINT ISD	ALL	135	2,431	5.6	WINFIELD ISD	ALL	-	-	0.8
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	19 11 12 - 0 - 0 - 45 25 10 7	175 183 213 - 184 - 192 - 203 183 157 165	10.9 6.0 5.6 1.1 0.0 0.6 0.0 0.9 0.5 22.2 13.7 6.4 4.2		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 11 15 - 17 15 13 12 12	0.0 0.0 0.0 6.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
WILMER-HUTCHINS ISD	ALL	167	2,722	6.1	WINFREE ACADEMY				
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 14 10 5 6 - 79 23 10 5	250 216 202 - - 229 237 188 123 125	1.2 5.6 4.6 2.5 1.7 1.7 0.9 2.6 0.9 33.3 12.2 8.1 4.0		09 10 11 12	36 82 112 136	45 150 238 386	80.0 54.7 47.1 35.2
WILSON ISD	ALL	5	199	2.5	WINK-LOVING ISD	ALL		333	3.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 15 16 - 13 25 12 15 - 19	7.1 10.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.3 0.0		03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0	28 22 27 - - 21 - 30	4.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.1 4.0 3.1 0.0 4.3 0.0
WIMBERLEY ISD	ALL		1,606		WINNSBORO ISD	ALL	41	1,334	3.1
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 - 0 - - 5 0 - -	93 - 108 - - 141 151 - - 125	3.4 1.0 0.0 0.9 0.8 0.8 3.5 0.0 2.6 1.5 2.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	14 5 0 0 0 - - 5 - 6 -	112 83 78 91 100 - 138 - 127 - 94	12.5 6.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.9 2.0 3.6 2.9 4.7 3.7 1.2 0.0

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

- Pieterio	G	All St		D.1.	Photodox .	G 1 .		Students	- Dilli
District WINONA ISD	Grade ALL		Total 937			ALL	Retained -		Rate 0.7
WINGAT TOD					WOODSON TOD				
WINTERS ISD	ALL	25	739	3.4	WOODVILLE ISD	ALL	31	1,342	2.3
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 0 - 0 0 - 0 - 0 16 - -	55 			KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 5 0 0 0 0 - - 0 13 7	102 135	0.0 5.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.1 2.0 0.8 0.0 9.6 6.4 1.1
WODEN ISD	ALL		721	1.8	WORTHAM ISD				
	KG 01	<u>-</u>	_	9.8 2.6		KG 01			0.0 2.8
	02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 54 50 64 55 70 83 - 42	3.0 2.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 1.9		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 30 25 23 - 41 32 - 24 -	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 6.9 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 7.4 3.7
WOLFE CITY ISD	ALL	18	537	3.4	WYLIE ISD (COLLIN CO	ALL	141	4,439	3.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	5 7 - 0 0 0 0 0 0	40 48 - 24 50 40 43 46 33 45 37 42	12.5 14.6 7.0 6.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		05 06 07	5 15 - 6 - - 6 - 56 22 11	- 372	0.9 0.8 1.6
WOODSBORO ISD	ALL	19	534	3.6	WYLIE ISD (TAYLOR CO	ALL	31	2,605	1.2
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 - 0 - - - - - - 0 0	42 49 - - - - 36 35	6.8 10.0 0.0 2.9 0.0 2.1 2.0 7.5 4.3 6.7 5.7 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	8 - 5 - 0 0 0 0 9 -	179 - 218 - 234 217 220 216 199	4.5 0.5 0.6 2.3 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.5 0.5 0.5

Appendix D
Grade-Level Retention, by District and Grade, Texas Public Schools, 2000-01

District	C1-	All S		Data	District	Connection		Students	Data
District	Grade				District				Rate
XXI CENTURY ACADEMY	ALL 09 10	14 - 0	37 21 -	66.7 27.3	YSLETA ISD	ALL KG 01	20 91	43,384 3,092 3,430	0.6 2.7
	11	0	5	0.0		02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09	71 62 37 28 16 32 25 571 251	3,092 3,430 3,397 3,435 3,479 3,353 3,355 3,263 4,006 3,340 2,806 3,091	2.1 1.8 1.1 0.8 0.5 1.0 0.8 14.3 7.5
						12	383	3,091	12.4
YANTIS ISD	ALL	6	310	1.9	ZAPATA COUNTY ISD		47	2 , 757	1.7
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 0 0 0 0 0 0 - - 0	17 21 30 33 27 - 28 - 24 22 20	8.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.3 3.3 0.0 5.0 0.0		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 8 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 5 7 7	216 228 - 231 241 201 220 223 202 241 179 193 157	0.0 3.5 0.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.5 2.8 3.6 4.5
YES COLLEGE PREPARAT	ALL	15	351	4.3	ZAVALLA ISD	ALL	22	424	5.2
	06 07 08 09 10 11	- - 5 - 0	39 - 19	2.4 2.6 1.3 12.8 10.8 0.0 5.6		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	0 5 0 0 0 5 5 - -	37 44 26 42 36 33 34 38 - - - 24	0.0 11.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.7 13.2 10.8 8.3 3.0 0.0
YOAKUM ISD	ALL	45	1,410	3.2	ZEPHYR ISD	ALL	7	142	4.9
	KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10	- 12 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 - 7	113 90 99 95 109 112 100 137 134 101	2.0 10.6 1.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 7.3 6.7 6.9 2.7		KG 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11	- 0 0 0 0	16 - 10 5 10 11 12 16 14 11 16 8	31.3 20.0 12.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
YORKTOWN ISD	ALL	14	689	2.0					
	KC.	- 0 0 0 0 0	58 49 51 50 70 -	4.4 5.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.8 1.5 8.8					
	10 11 12	- - 0	- - 51	2.0 2.8 0.0					

References

References

- Kaczala, C. (1991). Grade retention: A longitudinal study of school correlates of rates of retention. Cleveland, OH: Cleveland Public Schools, Department of Research and Analysis. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 337 532)
- Marcon, R. (1993). *At-risk preschoolers: Early predictors of future grade retention*. Paper presented at the 39th Annual Meeting of the Southeastern Psychological Association, Atlanta, GA. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 357 880)
- Rafoth, M.A., Dawson, P., & Carey, K. (1988, December). Supporting paper on retention. *National Association of School Psychologists Communique*, 17, 17-19.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1984). Texas Register Division, Texas Secretary of State.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1985). Texas Register Division, Texas Secretary of State.
- *Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education.* (1992, Supplement). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1997). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (1998). St. Paul, MN: West Group.
- Texas Education Agency. (1993). *The state report on grade level retention of students as of October 1992* (Document No. GE3 540 01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1995). Report on grade level retention of Texas students 1992-93 and 1993-94 (Document No. GE5-601-12). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1996). 1994-95 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE7-601-01). Austin, TX: Author.

- Texas Education Agency. (1997). 1995-96 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE8-601-01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1998). 1996-97 report on grade level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE8-601-07). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (1999). 1997-98 report on grade-level retention of Texas students (Document No. GE9-601-02). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2001a). *Grade-level retention in Texas public schools,* 1998-99 (Document No. GE01 601 07). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2001b). 2001 Accountability manual (Document No. GE01 602 03). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2001c). 2001 Comprehensive annual report on Texas public schools: A report to the 77th Texas Legislature from the Texas Education Agency (Document No. GE02 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2001d). 2001-2002 Public Education Information Management System data standards (Document No. TI01 615 01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2002a). *Grade-level retention in Texas public schools,* 1999-00 (Document No. GE02 601 03). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2002b). Program participation and academic progress of second language learners: Texas middle school update. *Policy Research Report No. 15* (Document No. GE02 600 01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Agency. (2002c). *Snapshot 2001* (Document No. GE02 602 02). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Code. (1986). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1988). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Publishing.

- Texas Education Code. (1992). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1994). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1996). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Publishing.
- Texas Education Code. (1999). *Texas school law bulletin*. Austin, TX: West Group.
- Texas Education Code. (2001). *Texas school law bulletin*. Charlottesville, VA: Matthew Bender & Company, Inc.

Texas Education Agency

Publication Order Form

Purchaser Name	Date				
Send to (name, if different)					
Address					
City				State Zip_	
			4.1		_
	Public	ation Ti	tle		
		Price Per	Tax Ex	rempt Only	
Publication Number and Title	Quantity	Сору	Quantity	Price Per Copy	Cost
GE02 601 09 Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2000-01		\$19.00		\$18.00	
				Subtotal	
				Jubiolai	
				Total	
Price includes postage, handling, and appli	icable state tax.	Make check or n	noney order paya	ble to Texas Education	on Agency.
For publication inquiries and purchase orders† send	d to:		nailing a check	or money order, re	mit this form with
Texas Education Agency Publications Distribution 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494		Pu P.	exas Educationublications Dis	stribution	
†Purchase orders are accepted only from Texas ed institutions and government agencies.	lucational	A	ustin, Texas 7	07 17-3677	

Compliance Statement

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Modified Court Order, Civil Action 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Reviews of local education agencies pertaining to compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 and with specific requirements of the Modified Court Order, Civil Action No. 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division are conducted periodically by staff representatives of the Texas Education Agency. These reviews cover at least the following policies and practices:

- 1. acceptance policies on student transfers from other school districts;
- 2. operation of school bus routes or runs on a nonsegregated basis;
- 3. nondiscrimination in extracurricular activities and the use of school facilities;
- 4. nondiscriminatory practices in the hiring, assigning, promoting, paying, demoting, reassigning, or dismissing of faculty and staff members who work with children;
- 5. enrollment and assignment of students without discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
- 6. nondiscriminatory practices relating to the use of a student's first language; and
- 7. evidence of published procedures for hearing complaints and grievances.

In addition to conducting reviews, the Texas Education Agency staff representatives check complaints of discrimination made by a citizen or citizens residing in a school district where it is alleged discriminatory practices have occurred or are occurring.

Where a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act is found, the findings are reported to the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.

If there is a direct violation of the Court Order in Civil Action No. 5281 that cannot be cleared through negotiation, the sanctions required by the Court Order are applied.

Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964 as Amended by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972; Executive Orders 11246 and 11375; Equal Pay Act of 1964; Title IX, Education Amendments; Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended; 1974 Amendments to the Wage-Hour Law Expanding the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972 as Amended; Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986; Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

The Texas Education Agency shall comply fully with the nondiscrimination provisions of all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations by assuring that no person shall be excluded from consideration for recruitment, selection, appointment, training, promotion, retention, or any other personnel action, or be denied any benefits or participation in any educational programs or activities which it operates on the grounds of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or veteran status (except where age, sex, or disability constitutes a bona fide occupational qualification necessary to proper and efficient administration). The Texas Education Agency is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.



Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494

Document No. GE02 601 09 October 2002