## HB 2804, 84<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature

	Five Domains of Indicators—High Schools		
	Domain One	Domain Two	Domain Three
Indicators	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met college readiness performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	Academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds
Proportion of Overall Rating	The ratings for each of the first three domains combine to account for 55% of the overall rating. House Bill 2804, however, did not prescribe how each of the three domains are to be individually weighted to calculate the combined 55%.		

	Five Domains of Indicators—High Schools	
	Domain Four	Domain Five
Indicators	<ul> <li>Dropout rate</li> <li>Graduation rate</li> <li>Percentage of students who <ul> <li>complete requirements for FHSP distinguished level of achievement,</li> <li>complete the requirements for an endorsement,</li> <li>complete CTE coherent sequence,</li> <li>satisfy the TSI benchmark,</li> <li>earn at least 12 hours of postsecondary credit,</li> <li>complete an AP course,</li> <li>enlist in armed forces*, or</li> <li>earn industry certification*</li> </ul> </li> <li>Any additional indicators of student achievement not related to performance on standardized assessments, as determined by the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business and industry representatives, and employers*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Three indicators from the following list, as chosen by each district and campus:</li> <li>fine arts;</li> <li>wellness and physical education;</li> <li>community and parental involvement, such as <ul> <li>opportunities for parents to assist students in preparing for assessments under Section 39.023,</li> <li>tutoring programs that support students taking assessments under Section 39.023, and</li> <li>opportunities for students to participate in community service projects;</li> <li>the 21st Century Workforce Development program;</li> <li>the second language acquisition program;</li> <li>the digital learning environment;</li> <li>dropout prevention strategies; and</li> <li>educational programs for gifted and talented students</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Proportion of Overall Rating	10% for graduation rate, 25% for all other indicators, for a total of 35%	10%

\*Requires new data collection

## HB 2804, 84<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature

	Five Domains of Indicators—Middle Schools and Jr. High Schools		
	Domain One	Domain Two	Domain Three
Indicators	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met college readiness performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds</li> </ul>
Proportion of Overall Rating	The ratings for each of the first three domains combine to account for 55% of the overall rating. House Bill 2804, however, did not prescribe how each of the three domains are to be individually weighted to calculate the combined 55%.		

	Five Domains of Indicators—Middle Schools and Jr. High Schools	
	Domain Four	Domain Five
Indicators	<ul> <li>Student attendance</li> <li>Dropout rate</li> <li>Percentage of seventh and eighth grade students who receive instruction in preparing for high school, college, and career, as mandated by HB 18 (84<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature, 2015)*</li> <li>Any additional indicators of student achievement not related to performance on standardized assessments, as determined by the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business and industry representatives, and employers*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Three indicators from the following list, as chosen by each district and campus:</li> <li>fine arts;</li> <li>wellness and physical education;</li> <li>community and parental involvement, such as <ul> <li>opportunities for parents to assist students in preparing for assessments under Section 39.023'</li> <li>tutoring programs that support students taking assessments under Section 39.023, and</li> <li>opportunities for students to participate in community service projects;</li> <li>the 21st Century Workforce Development program;</li> <li>the second language acquisition program;</li> <li>the digital learning environment;</li> <li>dropout prevention strategies; and</li> <li>educational programs for gifted and talented students</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Proportion of Overall Rating	35%	10%

\*Requires new data collection

## HB 2804, 84<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature

	Five Domains of Indicators—Elementary Schools		
	Domain One	Domain Two	Domain Three
Indicators	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met college readiness performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met performance standard aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>STAAR</li> <li>Phase-in Level II Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>College Readiness Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> <li>STAAR Alternate 2 Percentage of students who met standard for annual improvement aggregated across grade levels by subject</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Academic achievement differentials among students from different racial and ethnic groups and socioeconomic backgrounds</li> </ul>
Proportion of Overall Rating	The ratings for each of the first three domains combine to account for 55% of the overall rating. House Bill 2804, however, did not prescribe how each of the three domains are to be individually weighted to calculate the combined 55%.		

	Five Domains of Indicators—Elementary Schools	
	Domain Four	Domain Five
Indicators	<ul> <li>Student attendance</li> <li>Any additional indicators of student achievement not related to performance on standardized assessments, as determined by the commissioner in consultation with educators, parents, business and industry representatives, and employers*</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Three indicators from the following list, as chosen by each district and campus:         <ul> <li>fine arts;</li> <li>wellness and physical education;</li> <li>community and parental involvement, such as</li> <li>opportunities for parents to assist students in preparing for assessments under Section 39.023,</li> <li>tutoring programs that support students taking assessments under Section 39.023, and</li> <li>opportunities for students to participate in community service projects;</li> <li>the 21st Century Workforce Development program;</li> <li>the second language acquisition program;</li> <li>the digital learning environment;</li> <li>dropout prevention strategies; and</li> <li>educational programs for gifted and talented students</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Proportion of Overall Rating	35%	10%

\*Requires new data collection