Number and Percent of Charter Campuses That Made Progress on State Assessments, As Defined by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2011: State Fiscal Stabilization Phase II Program

Based on 2011 AYP Assessment Results Compared to 2010 AYP Assessment Results
Reading/English Language Arts

$Y=$ Yes, Met or Exceeded the Average Statewide Gain
$N=$ No, Did Not Meet or Exceed the Average Statewide Gain
$X=$ Did Not Meet Minimum Size Requirements or No Gain Could Be Calculated

Note: If the average statewide gain is equal to or less than zero, the campus gain must be greater than zero.
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