Adjust	For TEA Use Only ments and/or annotation			Floresville ISD		247-901
	e have been confirmed			Organization Name		ounty-District
o pag			TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	_		
			Standard Application System	Floresville Choices P		247-901-004
			(SAS)	Campus Name	C	ampus Numbe
			C-h V 2010 2012	74-6000848		20
by telephon	ie/e-mail/FAX on	by	School Years 2010-2013	9-Digit Vendor ID#		ESC Region
•				105520017110	c 22	
		of TEA.		NOGA ID# (Assigned b		Amendment #
			cas Title I Priority Schools G	` "	/	arratione n
			hedule #1 - General Information			
lise of the	Standard Applicatio		his system provides a series of standard		as formats t	v applicants
			Education Agency. If additional clarifica			
			1003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFD			
	ginning Date: 08/01			t Ending Date: 06/3		
			or the campus included in this a	pplication:		
	Tier II [x] Tier III		•	•		
	dex to the Applica		,	·.		
			those schedules that must be submitted	as part of the applica	ition. The api	olicant must
place an X i	n this column for each	additional sch	edule submitted to complete the applic	ation. For amendment	s, the applica	
place an X i	n the Amendment App	lication colum	n next to the schedule(s) being submitt	ed as part of the amer	idment.	
Sch No.	Schedule Name				Appl	ication
SCII NO.	Schedule Haille				New	Amend
1	General Information			-	X	X
3	Purpose of Amendme	int			NA	
4	Program Requiremen	<u>ts</u>			X	
4 <u>A</u>	Program Abstract				X	
4B	Program Description				X	
4C	Performance Assessn		uation		X	
4D	Equitable Access and				X	
5	Program Budget Sum	mary			X	<u> </u>
5B	Payroll Costs 6100				X	
5C	Professional and Cont		es 6200		X	<u> </u>
5D	Supplies and Material				X	<u> </u>
5E 5G	Other Operating Cost Capital Outlay 6600/		10 of 6610 and 6620)		X	
6A	General Provisions	IDAN (EXCIUSIV	76 OL 0019 BIID 0029)		X	NA NA
6B	Debarment and Susp	ension Certific	ration		X	NA NA
6C	Lobbying Certification		ation		x	NA NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbyin				<u> </u>	
6E	NCLB Provisions and				X	NA
6F	Program-Specific Pro		surances		X	NA
	ion and Incorporat					
			n this application is, to the best of my k	nowledge, correct and	that the org	anization
named abov	e has authorized me a	s its represen	tative to obligate this organization in a	legally binding contrac	tual agreeme	nt. I further
certify that	any ensuing program a	ind activity wi	II be conducted in accordance with all a	oplicable Federal and 9	State laws an	d
regulations,	application guidelines	and instruction	ns, the Provisions and Assurances, Deb	arment and Suspensio	n, lobbying r	equirements
			dules attached as applicable. It is unde			plication
		a by the Ager	ncy or renegotiated to acceptance, will f	orm a binding agreem	ent.	
Authorize		Traibiat II.		Title		
Typed First David	<u>Name</u>		inson	Title Superintendent		
Phone	Fax		Email	Signature/nate		
FIIOIIC	· rax	' <u></u>	J. I. G. II	Signature	-	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
				;	3	, 2010:
	Education Agency					•
	B. Travis Bldg.		.			
	ent Control Center, Room	n 6-108		TEA DOCUME	NT CONTROL	Ã2
	orth Congress Avenue Texas 78701-1494		-	_	0-112-3	142
muatiit.	, CAGO , O/O1 17J7			1		
				-101-7	0-2-	

× 2		

		_			
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	247-901_ County-District No.		
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.		
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
		Schedule #1—General Information			
Part 2:	List of Required Fisca	I-Related Attachments and Assurances	. 1		
accompa any revis	any the application when it i	plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to chments, after the closing date of the grant. Att dix.	submit required attachments, or		
	200 (application)	Proof of Nonprofit Status	Control of the Contro		
1 🔲	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:				
	Check box to indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines and Part 3: Schedule Instructions for acceptable proof.)				
	Assurance of Financial Stability				
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:				
2 [x]	Check box to indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school districts, open- enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit must be determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standards. TEA reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial time of preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determine financial stability based on the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year.				
	Experience of the control of the con	Assurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa	tion Form		
	Required for all applicant	ts:			
3 [x]	Check box to indicate ass	surance that reviewer information form will be	submitted.		
~ [A]		o complete the Reviewer Information Form and to so n Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instr			

No.	and the second	
		I
		1
		ı
		ı
		I
		I
		I
		ı
		ı
		I
		ı

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations r on this page have been confirmed wi	nade th	L		FION AGENCY ion System (SAS)	247-901 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	6 T F A	Scl	hool Years	2010-2013		Amendment No.
by o	f TEA.	Texas Tit	le I Prior	ity Schools G	rant	Amendment No.
	or Present Lineau			eral Informa		
Part 3: Applicant Inform	natior				Constant Constant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
					and the second s	
Local Educational Agen	cv (LE	A) Informa	tion			
LEA Name			11.15.100.100.200	300 AMARIA - MARIA - M		
Floresville ISD						
Mailing Address Line – 1	Mail	ling Address Li	ne – 2	City	State	Zip Code
908 10 th Street				Floresville	TX	78114-1869
U.S. Congressional District Number	•	Primary DUN	NS Number	Central Contr (CCR) CAGE (actor Registration	NCES Identification Number
TX 28		093736965	5	4CQ95		48193509441
Campus Name		1	 .		County-Distri	ct Campus Number
Floresville Choices Progra	m	···-			247-901-00	
Mailing Address Line – 1		ling Address Li	ne – 2	City	State	Zip Code
908 10 th Street				Floresville	TX	78114-1869
Applicant Contacts	- Fill Conta	indana da ka				
Primary Contact			<u></u>		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
First Name	Initi	ial	Last Nam	ne		Title
David	L.		Vinson			Superintendent
Telephone	Fax	****		Email		
830-393-5300	830	-393-5399		dvinson@f	isd.us	
Mailing Address Line – 1	Mai	ling Address Li	ne – 2	City	State	Zip Code
908 10 th Street				Floresville	TX	78114-1869
Secondary Contact			11, 1112 PM (1864)			All the second of the second o
First Name	Initi		Last Nam	ie		Title
Raymond			Robinso			At-Risk Coordinator
Telephone	Fax			E-mail		
830-393-5368)-393-5706		rarobinso		
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mai	ling Address Li	ine – 2	City	State	Zip Code
335 Alternative Lane				Floresville	TX	78114
		·				

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

247-901

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4—Program Summary and Application Requirements

Part 1: Grant Program Information;

Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

of TEA.

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

Allowable Activities

Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4—Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** of TEA. Amendment No. by Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements **Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where** # Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school Comprehensive Needs and selected an intervention for each school and selected an intervention for Assessment 1 each school. The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to Project Management—Capacity provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in Project Management—LEA 2 the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required Support activities of the school intervention model it has selected. If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate Project Management—Lack of application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve Capacity 3 each Tier I school. The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement Program Abstract interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the Intervention Model services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement. Project Management—External The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and 5 select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality. Providers The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources Project Management—Resource Management with the interventions. 6 Program Budget Summary The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or Project Management— Management of Grant policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully 7 **Activities** and effectively. Project Management—Program The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms Continuation and 8 after the funding period ends. Sustainability Project Management—Activity The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement 9 Timeline the selected intervention in each campus. The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's Performance Assessment and assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has Evaluation—Annual 10 Performance Goals established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds. As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the Comprehensive Needs Assessment—Groups of LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its **Participants** campus 11 Project Management—

Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant

program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds

Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to

that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.

implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school

Partnerships/Involvement

Program Assurances

Program Assurances

of Others

allocated to the campus.

12

13

	djustments	or TEA Use Only s and/or annotations made ave been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	247-901 County-District No.
by te	elephone/e	-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
υу		OF TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
l desilar			Schedule #4—Program Requirements	The state of the s
P	art 2: 9	Statutory Requiremen		
#		21 1 2	deral Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
14	achieve mather final fe serves	ement on the State's assess matics and measure progre deral requirements in orde with school improvement	t it will establish annual goals for student is in both reading/language arts and ess on the leading indicators in section III of the ir to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it funds, and establish goals (approved by the III schools that receive grant funds.	Program Assurances
15	Applica I or Tie hold th manag	ant provides assurance tha er II school, include in its c ee charter operator, charte	t it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier ontract or agreement terms and provisions to r management organization (CMO), or education accountable for complying with the final federal	Program Assurances
16	Applica	ant provides assurance tha	t it will report to the TEA the school-level data final federal requirements.	Program Assurances
17	must i a. b. c. d.	mplement the following fe Replace the principal and flexibility (including in stimplement fully a comprimprove student achieve graduation rates; Use locally adopted compwho can work within the students; 1. Screen all existin 2. Select new staff. Implement such strategic for promotion and caree are designed to recruit, to meet the needs of the Provide staff ongoing, his development that is alig instructional program ar are equipped to facilitate capacity to successfully Adopt a new governance to, requiring the school or SEA, hire a "turnarou Superintendent or Chief contract with the LEA or greater accountability; Use data to identify and research-based and vertas aligned with State ac Promote the continuous interim, and summative instruction in order to m Establish schedules and ilearning time (as define	grant the principal sufficient operational affing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to ehensive approach in order to substantially ement outcomes and increase high school eletencies to measure the effectiveness of staff turnaround environment to meet the needs of g staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and as as financial incentives, increased opportunities r growth, and more flexible work conditions that place, and retain staff with the skills necessary estudents in the turnaround school; the quality, job-embedded professional ned with the school's comprehensive and designed with school staff to ensure that they effective teaching and learning and have the implement school reform strategies; structure, which may include, but is not limited to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LEA and leader" who reports directly to the Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for mplement an instructional program that is cically aligned from one grade to the next as well addemic standards; use of student data (such as from formative, assessments) to inform and differentiate neet the academic needs of individual students; mplement strategies that provide increased	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements **Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where** Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described **Program Assurances** If the LEA/campus selects to implement the turnaround model, the campus may implement the following federal requirements. 18 a. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model: or b. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy). Program Assurances If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school **closure model**, the campus must implement the following requirement. a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed 19 school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available. b. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding. If the LEA/campus selects to implement the restart model, the campus must **Program Assurances** implement the following federal requirements. a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that 20 operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or nonprofit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA. b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school. Program Assurances If the LEA/campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus must implement the following federal requirements. 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model; (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and Are designed and developed with teacher and principal (2) 21 involvement: (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and

	For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)					
•	elephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amondment No.			
by	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.			
niki (siri	我们可是在"一个大学"。					
P	Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements					
#	Requirement Description – F	ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described			
21	effective teaching and le implement school reform (E) Implement such strat opportunities for proconditions that are diskills necessary to mischool. 2. Comprehensive instruct (A) Use data to idensize research-based next as well as the implementation of the conformative, interdifferentiate insignative individual stude. 3. Increasing learning time (A) Establish schedutime; and (B) Provide ongoing engagement. 4. Providing operational flet (A) Give the school calendars/time, comprehensive achievement our rates; and (B) Ensure that the assistance and designated external	tegies as financial incentives, increased motion and career growth, and more flexible work esigned to recruit, place, and retain staff with the eet the needs of the students in a transformation ional reform strategies. In a transformation with the edition of the students in a transformation ional reform strategies. In a transformation ional reform strategies. In a transformation in a transformation in calculate the students in a transformation in the strategies. In a transformation in the strategies in a transformation of the strategies in a transformation of the strategies in a transformation of the strategies in a transformation in the strategies in a transformation of the strategies in a strategi	Program Assurances			
22	An LEA may also implement ot leaders' effectiveness, such as- (A) Provide additional componecessary to meet the (B) Institute a system for not resulting from profession (C) Ensure that the school	ner strategies to develop teachers' and school enersation to attract and retain staff with the skills needs of the students in a transformation school; neasuring changes in instructional practices	Program Assurances			

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4-Program Requirements **Part 2: Statutory Requirements** # Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements

247-901

County-District No.

Amendment No.

Primary Component Where

#	Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements	Described
	An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such	Program Assurances
	as	_
	(A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being	
	implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student	
	achievement, and is modified if ineffective;	
	(B) Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model;	
	(C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers	
	and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support	
	students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to	
	ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to	
	master academic content;	
	(D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part	
	of the instructional program; and	
	(E) In secondary schools	
	(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in	
	advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement;	
23	International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering,	
	and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate	
	rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based	
	contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools,	
	dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that	
-	prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving	
	students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;	
	(2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through	
	summer transition programs or freshman academies;	
	(3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery	
	programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning	
	communities, competency-based instruction and performance-	
	based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and	!
	mathematics skills; or	
	(4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be	
	at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.	
	An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and	Program Assurances
	create community-oriented schools, such as	
	(A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-	
	based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and	
	others to create safe school environments that meet students' social,	
	emotional, and health needs;	
24	(B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students,	
	faculty, and other school staff;	
	(C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as	
	implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to	
	eliminate bullying and student harassment; or	
	(D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-	
	kindergarten.	

	For TEA Use Only		
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	247-901 County-District No.
	elephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
by	OI TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
1,40	the artisan unjointee that produce the control of t	Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
HIP	art 2: Statutory Requireme		
#		ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
25	and intensive support, such as (A) Allow the school to be r as a turnaround division	ner strategies for providing operational flexibility un under a new governance arrangement, such within the LEA or SEA; or school-based budget formula that is weighted	Program Assurances
26		er I and Tier II schools is prohibited from n model in more than 50% of those schools.	Program Assurances
	submitting the application and	signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify omponent descriptions and activities.	ing that all requirements are
er kolijski i	rt 3: Statutory Assurances		
#	Statutory Assurance Descript	ion	
1		It financial assistance provided under the grant produced local funds allocated to the campus.	ogram will supplement, and not
2	Applicant provides assurance that	it it will use its TTIPS Grant to implement fully and	
3	Applicant provides assurance the in both reading/language arts ar final federal requirements in ord	It the LEA commits to serve consistent with the fin It it will establish annual goals for student achieve Id mathematics and measure progress on the lead If the result is a server of the result is a If the result is a server of the result is a server of the result is a If the result is a server of	ment on the State's assessments ing indicators in section III of the serves with school improvement
4	contract or agreement terms and	It it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier if provisions to hold the charter operator, charter ration accountable for complying with the final feder	management organization, or
5		it it will report to the TEA the school-level data rec	
6	Applicant provides assurance the	It it will participate in any evaluation of the grant on ing its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency	
Pa	rt 4: TEA Program Assuranc	es de la companya de	
# sa	TEA Assurance Description	Considerated Innovation for the Principal Constraints of the Constrain	
1	activities have been completed. Quarterly Implementation Repor TEA technical assistance. a. The Model Selection and This report may be subm completion of the followi i. Comprehensive ii. Establish the gra iii. Identification and iv. Development of	are made available, the grantee must demonstrated Successful completion of the early implementation its (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report Selection and Description Report Description Report must be submitted to TEA no litted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees in activities: Needs Assessment process. In the budget by the required categories. It is selection of the intervention model. It is activities to implement selected intervention model.	will be measured in the ort, and through participation in ater than February 1, 2011 . must demonstrate successful

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district 2 liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant. The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School 3 Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices. For the LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Models the applicant provide assurances that it will participate in and 4 make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum 5 and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students. The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the 6 grant intervention models. The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors. 7 The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's 8 approved list of CMO and EMO providers. The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity. If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus assures That it will it implement the following federal requirements. 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training. B. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not C. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subjectspecific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the 10 school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and D. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals: 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances # **TEA Assurance Description** 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students. 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and 10 B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO). An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-(A)Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school; (B)Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional 11 development; or (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority. An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-(A)Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B)Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E)In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or 12 design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework; (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies: (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.

	For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made is page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)			
by te	lephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	Amendment No.			
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements			
Pai	t 4: TEA Program Assuranc	BS 中央公司的中央企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企业的企			
#	TEA Assurance Description		A STATE OF THE STA		
13	An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.				
14	The LEA may also implement of A. Allow the school to be ru LEA or SEA; or	her strategies for providing operational flexibili n under a new governance arrangement, such	ty and intensive support, such as as a turnaround division within the		
15	B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs. The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. a. Number of minutes within the school year. b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only) d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only) e. Teacher Attendance Rate f. Student Completion Rate g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses i. Types of support offered to teachers j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators l. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement m. Strategies which increase student learning time				
			!		

Page 13 of 95

By submitting the application and signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certifying it will comply with the

above assurances.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** of TEA. Amendment No. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4A—Program Abstract Part 1: Grant Eligibility ☐ Tier III Eligible Campus [x] Tier II Eligible Campus ☐ Tier I Eligible Campus Identify which timeline the LEA/Campus the applicant will implement. Option 1: LEA/campus currently engaged in aggressive reform [x] Option 2: LEA/campus in need of foundational technical assistance Part 2: Grant Program Summary. Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to implement on the campus. Be sure to address fundamental issues such as your local program goals and objectives that align with the RFA purpose and goals, rationale for program design, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, or weaknesses to be addressed by the intervention model to be selected. A response to this question must be completed in the original submission regardless of whether the LEA/campus has selected an intervention model at this time or not.

Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). The Floresville Choices Program is restructuring a current program at Floresville ISD (FISD) that was designed to help the district's most at-risk student. The program, though making some progress with these students, was not performing at a level that is acceptable to FISD. From the 2009 AEIS: Grade level - 9th 6, 10th 2, 11th 6, and 12th 10; 83.3% Hispanic, 16.7% White; Economically Disadvantage 58.3%, At-Risk 100%, and Mobility 87.3%. The following AEIS data demonstrates the need: Choices students meeting all Standards 50% vs. 74% FISD general student population; TAKS Commended Performance <1% (Choices) vs. 15% (FISD); Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Higher Education Readiness <1% vs. 35%; Completion Rate w/GED 75.0% vs. 91.5%; Annual Dropout Rate 27.8% vs. 2.1%; Attendance Rate 74.2% vs. 95.9%; and only 15% of these students on the Recommended High School Graduation plan. These data clearly show that the Choices Program in its current form is not having the desired result. A basic change in the culture of the Choices Program is to create a culture that intervenes in at-risk students' educational career earlier to accelerate their learning to overcome gaps so that they become life long learners. To accomplish this the Choices Program Goals are as follows: 1) Increase Attendance, 2) Increase the related services to promote wise life Choices, 3) Implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies that address students' identified academic needs, 4) Develop a culture that promotes high school graduation with students continuing their post-secondary education, 5) increase graduation rates, and 6) provide opportunities for failing students to maintain grade level with their age-peers. The overall focus will be to create a culture at FISD that everyone is expected to graduate from high school and to continue with their post-secondary education to obtain certifications, licenses, and/or degrees that will increase their quality of life. Because of this new focus; the Choices Program will move to a model that, instead of waiting until a student is two or more years behind age-peers, will engage the student when they approach a year or less behind. The program will combine academic support with whole family services so that all the needs of the students and their families can be address in an integrated fashion. We will combine IT (Information Technology) instruction, traditional instruction, counseling support, and life goals planning so that a culture of success is created that support the students in a manner that will lead to their life success. The Choices program will be restructured so that it can work with 200+ students a year to close the academic / social gaps that caused them to be behind their age-peers. The restructuring model will be based on the Charles A. Dana Center at the University of Texas Partnership for High Achievement leadership development and academic programs along with the Gallup Student Engagement process. Strategies: 1) Provide a principal, counselor, family intervention specialist, and success coach focused only on this small group of students, 2) Identify two different student groups based on need - Group A - those already 2 or more years off pace to graduate in 4 years and Group B - Those less than 1 year off pace to graduate in 4 years; 3) Incorporate research based strategies to design a system where students can recover necessary credits. Treatment: 1) Develop a cohort of students, 2) Change scheduling to allow cohort groups to follow the same schedule and activities, 3) Keep class sizes small based on research driven practices (15 or less), 4) Develop a cohort of students (group B) 1 year or less off pace to graduate in 4 years and catch them up, 5) Provide various IT supporting items to leverage technology to meet students' instruction needs, and 6) Provide increased content support for students 2 or more years behind grade level (group A) and accelerate instruction by providing subject area specialist teachers for every core content area and using NovaNet courseware. Increased Intervention 1) Parent education and communication via family intervention specialist, 2) Success Coach will work with groups to assist students with their academic, social and emotional needs to be successful, 3) Use the Gallup Student Engagement process to identify and align strengths with future Choices to increase levels of engagement, hope, and well being, 4) Increase teacher engagement by developing levels of efficacy to believe that what they do affects the performance of students with the incorporation of research based character education strategies, and 5) Teachers will be provided daily structured time to collaborate as a "team" regarding instruction and intervention as prescribed by the work of Schmoker (2003) and to build an effective Professional Learning Community as described by Defour (2004). Curriculum Development/Implementation: 1) Teachers will develop and implement a guaranteed and viable curriculum via the University of Texas Charles A. Dana Center based on research from Robert Marzano (2001) and Uri Treisman (2004), 2) Online courseware will be made available to students as a primary or secondary resource for helping students transition back into school or as an alternative means to completing coursework to meet graduation timelines, and 3) Personal development curriculum will be developed using research based character education strategies and from the Gallup Organization's "Putting Strengths into Action in High School" curriculum.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Section A: Campus Grade Levels Number of Students Enrolled in Grade Levels on the Campus to be Served with Grant Type of School 10 12 Total 11 PΚ 2 53 82 **52** 34 221 **Public School** Open-0 **Enrollment Charter School** 221 0 0 0 82 53 52 34 Total Students: 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Instructional Staff** 12 6 Total Support Staff Section B: Data Sources Reviewed or to be Reviewed in the Comprehensive Needs Assessment Process AEIS, AYP, PEIMS and local Benchmark reports to establish the current trends and for integration into the 1 summative evaluation of the effects of the program. The surveys, needs assessments, and general planning items for FISD normal CIP and DIP updating (Campus and District Improvement Plans) to increase community ownership of Choices and provide other needs in both 2 formative and summative manner. State Assessment Data (TAKS/End of Course), Benchmark Data, and FISD grade to track and predict academic 3 success. Ongoing Survey Data (Administrator, Teachers, Students, Parents, and Community Members) to help build full 4 understanding of the needs Choices will address. Technology (Hardware/Software, Network, Infrastructure) surveys and program results to determine if IT is being 5 used effectively to accelerate Choices students. Scope/Sequence of curriculum to assure that Choices matches FISD and the TEA Recommended Graduation Plan 6 items Community Service Agencies, Parent and Community Partnership Data to increase the linkage of the Choices program to the community to leverage resources and promote sustainability of the program beyond this grants 7 funding Feedback Data to assure that the Choices program is having the desired effects and to allow formative day-to-day 8 improvement to be made to the overall program. The need for increasing the staffing of Choices from 2 to 14 to service increased students and create a program a which can meet specific student / family needs to promote positive life outcomes and Choices The information gained from the outside evaluation that will be used as an overall check of the needs responding to

and provide a system

10

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247- <u>901</u>	
County-District	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

FISD determined that Choices Program was not meeting the needs of the students currently attending the campus from AEIS, AYP,

CIP and DIP assessments. These initial evaluations left the central administration with the conclusion that waiting until a student was at least two years behind their age-peers almost assured the long-term failure of these students. The basic conclusion was that an acceleration program was needed for the initial students along with a system to intervene with students when they became one year behind age-peers. This would allow earlier interventions to be made and lower the negative consequences to the students. These initial evaluations also showed that the culture of the campus needed to be changed to promote a culture of success where extra support is given to the students and their families to accelerate these students' learning. The initial design also used general assessment methods from the Dana Center's Partnership for High Achievement leadership development and academic programs along with the Gallup Student Engagement process. The blending of these programs provides the overall framework for impacting curriculum and staff development that is linked to an objective system of determining campus needs.

With this as the base for the program the staff of FISD determined that an ongoing system of evaluation that blended formative and summative evaluations will be needed. Also, the staff determined that a combination of internal and external systems would be needed to

With this as the base for the program the staff of FISD determined that an ongoing system of evaluation that blended formative and summative evaluations will be needed. Also, the staff determined that a combination of internal and external systems would be needed to make these fundamental changes in this campus and promote a continuous improvement model where the changing needs of students, parents, staff, and the community would be met. The following system will be used to expand on the needs of Choices Program while determining the success of the overall program so that data driven determinations will be made to provide for continues improvement to the overall program. First, to provide a system to determine in an objective manner the overall effects of Choices, FISD will contract with Sigma Plus Research & Evaluation, Inc for outside evaluation services. They will provide summative evaluation services that are independent of the FISD system and will assist in the development of ongoing surveys and other measures of the effects of the program. They will also, because of the two principles investigators being professors at Colleges of Education at Texas Tech University and University of Texas at El Paso, develop scholarly articles for publication. This will allow FISD to build a research base on this specific program with the goal to create a best practice model that we can seek funding from other sources to sustain the program.

At FISD the current system of creation and updating the CIP and DIP will be used by the campus. Since this will be a major restructuring the current system will be expanded in the following manners. The FISD staff will expand Choices Program staff with input from the outside evaluators will define needs-assessment team members. This needs-assessment team will have FISD staff, students, parents, community members, and others as determined. The needs-assessment team will assign duties/tasks to needs assessment team members (surveys, questionnaires, etc.) with guidance from the outside evaluators. Team members complete appropriate sections of needs assessment of the stakeholders. Team members will review data, make recommendations for changes to the CIP, and track ongoing program outcomes to determine if the needs of the students and families are being met and the ongoing outcomes align to the overall goal of creating life long learners who have positive life outcomes. This needs-assessment team and Campus Improvement Committee convene to determine that the needs of the Choices Program are effectively stated in a CIP and then integrated into the FISD DIP. This will allow the aspects of the Choices Program begun with these funds to be continued and integrated into the culture of FISD.

To expand input of the needs-assessment team, Choices Program will use the 6-weeks grade report system to seek input and provide additional services to the families of the Choices students. This will be done in the form of programs for the parents, goals nights to establish a system of building a college going culture, celebrations of student success, and linkages to other social service providers in the community. This will promote ownership of the Choices Program by the community and give a method by which real-time input for the formative ongoing evaluation of the program. The role of the feeder campuses will be integrated into this system so that their will be understanding of the vertical needs of the students.

The staff of Choices will use all of this information to create a system of instruction that can obtain two years of academic progress for each year of attendance. This is being done so that Choices can close the age-peer gaps so that students can graduate on time under the Recommended Graduation plan. Choices will also support future planning by the students with their parents so that a culture of post-secondary education is created. The system of certification for careers will be combined with degree paths so that the students and their parents see the advantage of continuing their education. This is being built into the determination of needs because we believe that the goals of the students must be expanded to provide the best chance at assuring that graduation from high school is a given and the real question becomes how will each student reach their life goals.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901

		Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No.	
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		School Years 2010-2013		
oy of TEA.		Title T Delevite Coloreda Const	Amendment No.	
44354 10 10 10	- 1	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		
gy Jahrah 20		Schedule #4B—Program Description		
	omprehensive Needs	Assessment Cont. s Contributing/to Contribute to Needs Assess	ment Process Anguring	
Deculon L Darente :	and Community Groups v	vere involved in the process.		
i arcino t			tedistable DID and alice with	
1	Central Office Administra ongoing funding consider	tion to allow for the Choices Program to be integrations for sustainability.	ated into the DIP and align with	
2	Curriculum Directors and with the Choices Program	Directors of Special Populations to allow for relate	ed district services to be linked	
3		ry Principals to provide general information and to ral curriculum and other general population studer		
4	Technology Department involvement programs	to allow for full integration of the IT base instruction	onal, support, and community	
5	Program Teachers/Support Staff to allow for the day-to-day operation to be modified and the overall program design to align to the specific programs that will be done at Choices			
6		m to have ownership of the overall Choices progra all success of the program and understand how the		
7	Parents/Community Mem build a culture where the has ended	bers so that they will bring needs that might be o community will support the continuation of the pr	verlooked by school staff and help rogram after this grant's funding	
8		Staff of FISD so that the Choices program is alignory will understand the student who could benefit fro		
9	and district plans for imp	tees to determine ongoing needs and integrate the rovement so that a culture of success is created a through access to FISD normal budgeting system		
10				

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

of TEA.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901	
County-District	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Capacity -- Describe the LEA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model.

Choices Program will use these funds to greatly expand the staff of the program and increase the services offered to the students. The program will go from two staff members to 18 - many with specialized skills to increase the rigor of instruction and provide more support services to the students and their families. The funding from this grant will allow an infrastructure to be created in terms of providing salaries for these 18 new positions and the "stuff" - computers, other IT items, teaching materials, curriculum, staff development, parental programs, etc - which will provide the base for the programs continuation. The predicted increase in students at Choices and the higher percentage of daily attendance will provide part of the ongoing support that will allow the program to be continued.

The following FISD staff and departments will be integrated into the Choices Program. The Superintendent will provide overall leadership and integrate the Choices program into the DIP along with seeking funding to sustain the program when this grant's funding ends. The Deputy Superintendent will provide overall financial management of the program and help the Project Coordinator and Choices Principal meet all TEA reporting requirement. The Curriculum Directors will link the IT-base and other curriculum and instructional programs to the overall FISD system. This will provide vertical and horizontal alignment of the curriculum so that the system of instruction aligns with all other FISD programs. Choices Program will hire experienced teachers in all the core content areas to lower the class size to no more than 15 to 1 so that each Choices student can receive individualized instruction that uses regular, IT-base, and RTI-type instruction designed to accelerate each student's academic performance so that the goal of obtaining two years of graduation credits for each year at Choices. This will allow the students to be accelerated so that they can rejoin their age-peers for graduation. The technology department will provide the training and support to Choices Program so that the IT-base programs can be fully used and integrated into the overall Choices Program system of learning in this accelerated manner. The general infrastructure of Choices Program will be increased with these grant funds to purchase additional curriculum, technology, reference materials, other support programs, and the other items that the needs-assessment committee will be determining so that all Choices students and their families can have their needs met.

The Dana Center and Gallup programs will provide the framework for the restructuring model. The blending of these programs will allow FISD and Choices Program to develop a system of services that we believe will allow for the acceleration of students academic progress while building a system that meets the whole families needs. This is a system that we see as one of the few ways we can create a college-going culture where post-secondary education is common. This will allow these at-risk students to have increase positive life outcomes.

FISD will use its current system of general management to oversee the purchase of the Choices Program items. This will allow for accounting that meets new stimulus level auditing requirements. The Choices Program will provide for staff that in addition to their program duties can help with the TEA reporting requirements. This will allow effective operations and management of the program.

The majority of the funds requested are to staff the program, provide all the needed instructional items, obtain the staff training related to the restructuring models, and provide all the related service for the restructured Choices Program. This will be a major infusion of funds into the program - \$6 million over the three years of the program - but given the very at-risk nature of the targeted students a needed expense that cannot be made by FISD without grant funds. Over the three years of the program will expect to build the community base of support so that voters will support continued funding of the program and given that the needs of the students will be more effectively met FISD expects that the ADA (Average Daily Attendance) percentage rates for these students will increase. This will provide the funding to maintain the staff and the general FISD budget should allow the replacement costs of the Choices Program to be met. FISD is looking at these grant funds as the seed money to prove that our program will have the desired outcomes for the targeted students. With the research that should be published on the program, we believe that we can also use this program as a best practice to seek other grants funds. All of these items will allow this program to be sustained and provide a program that can be effective beyond the three years of this grant.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others – Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model.

The Choices Program will build several systems to engage all the stakeholders. The more traditional methods of involvement will be the surveys of students, staff, parents, and other community members to create a general base of what these stakeholder see as needs that Choices can fill while increasing their awareness that FISD is attempting to build a program to better meet the needs of the targeted students. Within this, the review of CIP and Dip (Campus / District Improvement Plans) will be used to establish goals/objectives for campus and provide a system that uses current FISD procedures to update these plans. To align the Choices Program with FISD's other programs and campuses, input from High School, DAEP, and feeder campuses, CIP Committees will be obtained to align goals/objectives for Choices Campus. Inputs from School District Social Worker on family/community involvement will be used to assure that the program meets the non-academic related needs of these stakeholders. This will be done in the 6-weeks programs.

FISD will integrate the Dana Center and Gallup programs in a manner that community members are trained and understand the effects of these programs. Stakeholders, where possible, will be taken to trainings. The outside evaluators will develop a system of objectives determining the linkages that Choices Program makes with these various stakeholders. This will allow the non-school stakeholders to see that their involvement with the program is being sought and used in a manner that promotes their "ownership" of Choices program. This will increase our ability to respond to the needs that the community is seeking and build a base of support to sustain the program.

The Choices Program will work with an additional outside consultant who has built community coalitions that include FBCO's (Faith-Base and Community Organizations) in an area. The consultant has published on how to form community non-profits to meet the needs in a area that coordinates and leverages resources so that all community needs can be met. This will give FISD another system of building large non-partisan constituency to hold the school accountable for high academic standards for all students while bringing more stakeholders into the program to determine the needs that they see for the community. The consultant also holds 14 teaching and 5 professional certifications from TEA which allows him to help stakeholders understand high academic standards in all areas of instruction at a high school campus.

These various systems of integration will allow the voices of the maximum number of stakeholders to be heard. This will increase the general input and promote real community ownership of the Choices Program. This will allow FISD to gain the insight into what the community sees as needs and design a program that bring stakeholders together in a manner that can solve problems.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901	
County-District	Νo

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities – Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

FISD will use the Dana Center and Gallup programs to restructure the Choices Program so that it better meets the needs of the targeted students and supports their families. To allow for the increased number of students (approximately ten times increase) to be served in small classes of approximately 15 to 1 the Choices Program will add 11 teaching positions. These teachers will be ones who are selected because of their ability to integrate new instructional methods into the day-to-day lessons, have a desire to work with the most at-risk students, and have proven abilities to connect with the targeted student group. These teachers will receive higher pay in relationship to the general FISD staff because they will engage the students for more time in the regular instructional day and work with the students in what would be "off-contract" times as before, after, Saturday, and summer school settings. This is one of the reasons that we believe that we will be able to obtain two years academic progress for each year a student is at Choices Program because of the increase effectiveness and time of the instruction. To support the non-academic needs of the targeted students Choices Program will add five support staff with specialized training and certifications to provide increase support services. This total restructuring of the staffing of Choices Program will allow FISD to use the Dana Center, Gallup, and TEA-turnaround programs in the most effective manner because the staffing infrastructure will be established with a combination of teachers, licensed professional support staff, and paraprofessionals. The increases that we expect in ADA attendance and number of students served at Choices Program will allow these salaries to be sustained in the normal FISD budget once this grant has shown the effectiveness of the restructuring. We are also going to use the community involvement to build a group of supports of the program so that FISD will be able to seek funding from the community for aspects of the program once this grant's funding ends. Last, we will develop - at not cost to this grant - a system where FISD creates a program for grant writing instead of just writing a grant for a program. This will allow FISD to maintain grant begun programs while seeking additional services to respond to the CIP and DIP needs. The FISD will use the following general systems of management that mirrors and is integrated into the normal management and funding. To assist the Superintendent, a new position of Title 1 Grant Coordinator will be funded from the grant. This person will be

procedures. The overall program will be overseen by the Superintendent. He will link the Choices Program to the normal FISD operations FISD central collection point for all information on the Choices Program in terms of financial, programmatic, and evaluative items. This will allow FISD to have a single location that all information concerning the Choices Program so that all TEA reporting will be done in timely manner. The outside evaluator will work with the FISD and community members on the evaluation committee to assure that the programs being done for the students and their families are effective at meeting the needs of these stakeholders. This system will also be used to make day-to-day changes to the program in response to ongoing needs of the stakeholders. To bring the regular campus staff, Choices Program staff, central office staff, and community stakeholders together to have continuous input into the program monthly meeting will be held. At these meeting concerns, successes, additional needs, etc. will be addressed so that there is a continuing improvement system in place. Also, at the Choices Program campus a weekly system of meetings with just these staff members will be done initially so that any problems with the implementation of the program can be addressed in a timely manner. Additionally, the Choices Program will have "celebration nights" where students and their families are brought in each 6-weeks to showcase positive results. This system will allow all family members and students to provide ongoing input that can be used to improve the programs. To assure that there is vertical and horizontal alignment of the curriculum, a Curriculum Director will be funded from this grant. Their role will be to assist in implementation and development of program curriculum at Choices Program and its alignment to the other campuses. The Choices Program will have a new principal. The system for selecting this person for the new position is the most developed and is given as an example of how a new system will be used to select the staff for Choices program. The example of how the principal will be selected is as follows. Principal Selection: Selecting a leader for the Choices campus is critically important and will involve a multi-step process. It will involve a team consisting of the superintendent and other district level staff. Desired traits for this candidate include a person's ability to work with teachers and leverage learning. This candidate should have a deep understanding of curriculum and instruction. They should also possess the belief that all students can learn and have implemented personal development strategies proven effective with at risk students. Below are the specific steps to be followed regarding the selection of this leader. Step 1: Open the position to outside and inside applicants. Step 2: Applicants will be required to complete the Gallup Organization's Principal Perceiver leadership online assessment instrument. This instrument was created based on Gallup's study of top performers in hundreds of professions, including the principalship, Gallup has found that exemplary principals think, talk and act differently than their counterparts (Gallup, 2003). The Principal Perceiver provides a window into a candidate's attitudes and beliefs about working through teachers to leverage learning. Step 3: The next step in forecasting is to assess the "promotability" of current assistant principals and other co-administrators. Internal candidates will also complete the Principal Perceiver in addition to their leadership potential, management style, emotional intelligence and cognitive skills. Step 4: After a slate of candidates has been determined; each will be slated for an interview. The interview process will include questions centered around the candidates' understanding of the principal's role in raising achievement through questions that explore the value they place on their own learning. The selection of the other positions will be done in a similar manner.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

	24	·/-	.9	<u> </u>	
Cou	inty	-Di	str	ict	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management – Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal, state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

FISD currently has a campus where Choices Program will be located. The current physical plant items of general building items and services, desks, chairs, IT-infrastructure, central office support, etc. are in place and will be maintained from FISD current budget. The Title 1 funding associated with students will be linked to the Choices Program campus. The ADA associated with the students will be used to sustain the program when this grant's funding ends. FISD will develop, at no cost to this grant, a program for grant writing. This will allow non-traditional resources to be sought. This will allow extra resources to be leveraged. The funds that are being requested from this grant will establish the Choices program in terms of staffing and the instruction materials. We are looking at fully integrating IT into all aspect of instruction where supportive IT items and computers are ultimately available at the 1:1 level so that the unique needs of these at-risk students can be met. These Title 1 funds will provide major "buys" in technology, curriculum, and other instructional items that can be maintain with FISD local funding. The access to these items would not be possible without these funds.

FISD will also link the following current district resources to the Choices Program. FISD will provide for the coordination of curriculum/instruction so that Choices Program has all the current district materials. This grant will provide additional curriculum/instruction materials both IT-based and traditional so that the missing skill sets of the students can be filled in an accelerated manner. We will create PGP (Personal Graduation Plan) that build on the extra resources that Choices Program students will be able to access that will be linked to current district items. We will use current district extended days and summer programs to allow for Choices Program students to have even greater access to this extra instruction. The current FISD staff development program will be available to all Choices staff. This will allow the Choices staff to understand the "normal" programs at FISD and be able to build instructional plans that will allow students to be served in more effective manners. The FISD Title, Federal, and other local programs will be linked to Choices so that extra services are available. The technology department at FISD will fully support the Choices Program and provide training to better allow the staff of Choices to fully integrate the IT-programs into day-to-day instruction for acceleration. FISD will support the physical plant items of the Choices campus through district funds. FISD will provide transportation for the program and food services to these students. The Choices Program's CIP will be fully integrated into the FISD DIP so that when this grant ends all of the successful programs and the Choice Program concept can be maintained from other funding sources.

FISD is also developing a system at no cost to this grant of non-traditional funding. This is being done by creating a program for grant writing. This will allow FISD, once research is published on the Choices Program, to seek other funds from other state agencies, federal programs, and foundations to fund this and other needed programs. We will seek to link this campus to community businesses that might "adopt" parts of the program and provide additional non-traditional funds to this campus. We will use the three years of this grant's funding to build a system by which with local FISD funds, other grants and donations, and linkages to the local stakeholders' resources, we will be able to continue the successful aspects of Choices.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Grant Project Manager Qualifications - Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager

for this grant on the campus.

The Choices Program will be managed by two persons at the campus. The first will be the new Choices Principal (The system for selection of this person was given on page 20.) This person will have mid-management certification with additional teaching areas along with a Masters Degree. Experience in working the targeted population will be a plus. This person will be the instructional leader of Choices and have a full understanding of the various programmatic items. The second position, because of the high level of funding of this program, will be a new split-funded position of Grant Coordinator. Because of the \$6 million three year budget FISD felt that a person who could help the principal with the programmatic items while being in charge of the fiscal items was needed to effectively manage all aspects of the Choices Program. A Masters Degreed person with experience in grants management and general knowledge of school district structures, resources, and accepted practices is preferred. Previous grant writing experience and development activities are a plus. This Grant Coordinator will be spilt funded so that any of the development work will not be funded with grant funds.

This system of having dual management roles of programmatic and fiscal will allow both of these aspects of an effective grant to be done. FISD felt that with the new accounting procedures associated with stimulus funds and the need for having an expert in academic programming two people needed to be in charge of these two dissimilar items of the grant. This sharing of management duties will allow the Choices Program to have great campus level control of the program because instruction and access to resources start at the campus level. This will allow the various committees' input to be translated into real world improvements to the program. This will increase the stakeholders' sense of ownership of the program.

The overall management of the program is tied to FISD mission. This mission is to "Prepare you for a Prosperous Life." This mission holds true across all campuses including the Choices Program. Preparation for a prosperous life includes both academic and personal development with the idea that graduation means prosperity based on strong academic preparation and making ethical choices that result in positive life outcomes. Both Floresville High School and the Choices Program will incorporate character education and a college going culture as a part of the daily regimen of preparation to prosperity. Both campuses will hold the same expectations for all students who attend Floresville High School or the Choices program. These items will provide the framework for another aspect of the management of the program - we believe that all stakeholders of Choices will be a part of the management team. With the population of students that we are targeted we must inspire them to take ownership of their education with the goal of having positive life outcomes or a prosperous life. The Dana Center and Gallup systems that we will be using as the restructuring model align with this concept and help establish methods for the effective management of the overall program.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). LEA Support - Describe how the LEA will structure and implement an individual or office with responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts. The Choices Program management team will report directly to the Office of the Superintendent. This will allow the needs of this campus' Choices Program to be fully integrated with all other aspects of FISD. The central office management team will have initially weekly meetings with the Choices Program management team to assure that the grant resources are effectively targeted to the needs of the students and their families. Also, the central office staff will be able to coordinate other FISD resources to the Choices Program so that leveraging of resources is achieved. This system will be built around the program and fiscal management needs. This system will also allow the various day-to-day program items to be aligned. The out-of-school-time programs of Choices will be linked to the overall FISD system of extended services. The system for linking families to additional social services will use this system to extend contacted within the community. The system of linking the CIP to the DIP and the various evaluations of Choices will be blended so that data driven determinations of needed programs can be done in an effective manner. The system of TEA reporting that comes from the Office of the Superintendent will be used to assure that all needed TEA reports are done in a timely manner. This system of fiscal controls will also be used to manage the grant funds in a manner that uses best accounting practices.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901	_
County-District	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability – Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

FISD is building sustainability into this grant program from the development of this proposal with a combination of infrastructure items purchased with grant funds that can be used passed the three years of this grant, the increase in funding that will come form the Choices Program increasing ADA by approximately 25% which will be used for the ongoing staffing costs, the system that will be developed at no cost to this grant of seeking non-traditional funds, and the linking of the Choices Programs' CIP to the FISD DIP. The DIP drives the funding of programs at FISD and thus Choices Program will have access to the traditional tax-base funding streams. The use of many community stakeholders on various committees will also build support if an increase in tax-based funding is needed. All of these provide a basic framework for the sustaining of the program.

Another specific item in FISD's ability to sustain the program will be from the grant coordinator position. The split funded nature of the position will allow this person to learn how to develop grant proposals and seek other non-traditional funding sources. This is because part of their salary will come from local sources which allow them the freedom to seek additional funds from non-traditional sources. Because of FISD's superintendent's connection to Lubbock-Cooper ISD's Development Office (when he worked for another school district there were joint grants submitted), he has obtained a commitment from its Director of Development and Grants to mentor this person and work with them to help in their understanding of the grant application and development process.

The combination of increased local resources by increasing the Choices student's attendance and the increased number of students who will be best served by the Choices Program which increase the local funds available along with the system of seeking non-traditional funding sources should provide FISD with multi-methods of continuing the funding of Choices. The linkage of the Choices' CIP to the DIP will provide direct integration of this program to the overall funding of FISD which will help in sustainability. The three-year funding of the program by the grant will allow FISD to provide proof to the community that this is an effective method of meeting the educational and related needs of these targeted students. With this proof we believe that our stakeholders will be willing to support the program.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

24/	<u>-901</u>	
County-I	District	No

School Years 2010-2013

Am	end	mer	ıt	No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to **one page each**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers -- Describe how the LEA will recruit, screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality.

FISD is using current working relationships that have shown venders ability to provide services in timely and effective manner as a basis for selection. Where venders are not known to FISD staff we have used a system of contacting references and seeking input from other school districts who have worked with the vender. The selection of the venders used in the Choices Program is outlined below.

Dana Center curriculum and staff development programs were chosen because FISD has been working with them as are framework for restructuring the district. Our work with the Dana Center provided the conceptual framework that the interventions were not occurring in a timely manner and that the staffing of the Choice Program needed major changes. This grant allows the district to be able to have three-years of programming to determine the effectiveness of this concept of earlier interventions (working with students only one year behind age-peers) in an increased rigor program base. The Dana Center training and curriculum programs have a national reputation for excellence.

The Gallup program is another system FISD has been using and found to be effective at FISD. The program will help determine the best people to be in various roles while providing a system of staff development to increase stuffs ability to provide effective services. The Gallup program will allow for the staff at Choices Program to increase their skills in a manner that aligns with the overall goals of FISD from the DIP and create a campus CIP that allows alignment.

The NovaNet program - credit acceleration and supplemental instruction - is currently being used at FISD and has demonstrated ability to help many at-risk students obtain graduation credits in an accelerated manner. From evaluations of the reasons why not all at-risk students have equal success - needing one-on-one and/or small group extra instruction - we developed this grant's proposal Choices Program that had a much expanded teacher component.

Sigma Plus Research & Evaluation is not a current vender but the superintendent of FISD worked with and had classes from the two principles of the company. The two principles partners who will be doing the majority of the evaluation are current professors at the College of Education at Texas Tech University and the University of Texas at El Paso. This IHE (Institution of Higher Education) connect ion provides a unique benefit as they can publish information about the program which will build a base for presenting the program as a best practice if positive results are found.

If it is determined that other venders are needed by the various committees the following system of selection will be used; An RFP will be developed that shows the scope of the services that are needed. This RFP will be published in e-form and e-mailed to various venders. Proposal from the venders will be received and a determination will be made by the committee seeking the services to the best proposal.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Site Visits - If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit. None are currently planned.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 by telephone/e-mail/FAX on Amendment No. of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Lack of Capacity -- If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (is not applying for grant funding for each Tier I school), provide a detailed explanation of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school. FISD's Choices Program is the only campus of the district that is qualified to apply for this grant.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description **Part 3: Intervention Model** Section A: Intervention Model Selection Process Intervention Model to be Implemented - Indicate the model(s) being considered by the LEA/campus for implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. [x] Turnaround - With a new principal and adding staff that will lower the percentage of current staff well below the 50% level. Within the Dana Center and Gallup programs FISD will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center. ☐ Closure ☐ Restart ☐ Transformation ☐ Tier III Modified Transformation ☐ TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center ☐ Supplemental Education Services (SES) incorporated into the intervention model Note: Applying to implement the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center in no way implies or guarantees funding. [x] The LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final federal regulations released by USDE Schedule #4B—Program Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, continued on next page

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process - Describe in detail:

- 1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.
- 2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.

General Background Information:

FISD through the current CIP/DIP process has been planning for the restructuring of the Choices Program. The evaluation of the results of student achievement from the old system led to a major rethinking of the methods by which this campus engaged students and their families. The students at the Choices Program were at least two years behind their age-peers and use almost exclusively the NovaNet program to gain graduate credits. This system of just having access to an IT-based credit recovery program did not produce the effects that FISD wished as seen in the attendance rate of Choices students at 21.7% below general student attendance and dropout rate for Choices students 25.7% higher than general students rate. Parent/family connections to Choices were equally low with almost no parents coming to the campus or volunteering their time. The students at the Choices Program seemed to be from the groups in Floresville who tend to have less formal education. These demographic statistics from www.city-data.com for the population of Floresville 25 years and over on education levels support this - Did not Complete High School: 28.4%, High school or higher: 57.0%, Bachelor's degree or higher: 11.3%, Graduate or professional degree: 3.3%. This shows the community need to increase the cultural focusing on post-secondary education so that there are role models for the Choices students. The staff agreed that most of the students' intellectual capacity was not the issue but rather a lack of positive role models and a belief in themselves were the real limiting factors. A lag time in identifying these students resulted in some being two or more years behind their age-peers and of the mindset that they would not be effective in a formal school setting. From information on the 40-Developmental Assets form the Search Institute (2010) confirmed that these student's lack of access to positive messages greatly lowered their ability to "show what they could do" because these students expected to fail. FISD central administration determined that a major restructuring of the Choices Program was in order if the mission of recovering students and helping them rejoin their age-peers was to happen.

Comprehensive and Effective Planning:

FISD staff initially looked for systems to use as the framework for an intervention before this grant was announced. Information on several programs were obtained but the combination of the full Dana Center program with its combination of academic, leadership, and community involvement along with the Gallup program with its focus on hope, well-being and engagement seemed to be the best match for an integrated system that best met the needs of FISD and the community. The FISD Needs Assessment Committee then suggested that the students referred to the program needed to be not as far behind academically, suggesting that students need to be identified sooner so as to have access to the program within a year of falling behind. Lastly, the committee strongly suggested that there needed to be a major increase in staffing to allow for direct instruction by qualified teachers and counseling support for the students and their families to end the hopelessness that was seen in many of the Choices students. Implementing these suggestions were without a doubt beyond FISD's ability to fund a new program, but while this discussion of "what we can settle for" was started the Title 1 grant was announced. These funds, we believe, will allow the Choices Program to become a world class program that FISD would continue to support when these grant funds ended. Several groups of stakeholders were asked to provide informal input into the development of the initial plan and asked to serve on future committees. Most of these stakeholders gave input and many agreed to serve on committees. The consensus was that the non-FISD staff wanted a basic framework to guide them in the selection of specific programs that they felt would meet the needs of the community and the students. They felt the combination of the Dana Center and Gallup programs gave them a good overall framework for the Choices Program. They did feel that the non-academic programs were very important and agreed with the stakeholders having a greater role in the ongoing planning of the program.

The overview of the framework for the Choices Program is as follows: The Dana Center Partnership for High Achievement is a growing network of Texas districts designed to strengthen student achievement. The work is focused on strengthening the capacity of leaders and teachers to implement a research-based Instructional Support Model to continuously improve teaching and learning in the classroom. The model integrates leadership development for department, campus, and district leaders with support for classroom teacher development. The leadership and teacher work is driven by the state's education standards, the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), and is designed to support student learning and success on the Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS). This work includes strategies for analyzing the gap between current and target levels of achievement and is aimed at all levels of the system - district, campus, department/grade, and classroom. Additionally, the Dana Center brings its content expertise in mathematics, science, and instructional leadership, and our Partnership work includes ongoing, in-district, on-site support as well as ongoing expert support and technical assistance. The Gallup program is based on affective items that link the students, parents, staff, and other stakeholders to the campus. Being engaged promotes productivity and retention (Harter, Schmidt, & Hayes, 2002). This research on employee engagement is clear, and the latest research on student engagement (Gallup, 2009; Gordon, 2006) and student achievement makes a strong case for

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on ___

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

of TEA.

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

building engaged schools. Engagement data provides school leaders with information about the conditions that keep students and staff involved in and enthusiastic about school. These data provide a leading indicator of future performance. In a series of studies, Gallup research has demonstrated that student and teacher engagement is associated with future performance on high-stakes tests. For example, engaged students are more than twice as likely to outperform a comparison group of randomly selected students on standardized tests (Gallup, 2009). The combination of these two programs will provide Choices with the research based system for change while giving the students and their families the "heart" for believing that they can be a part of this change.

Measurable Goals:

The Choices Program will use several measures of academic and social progress to determine the effectiveness of the program. Students' progress reports and 9-weeks grades will be used to track progress in gaining graduation credits in a more traditional manner. Students' obtaining extra credits for graduation from the NovaNet acceleration IT-based system will show if they are on track for obtaining 2-years of credits for each year at Choices. The PEIMS discipline and the Home Liaison reports of linking families to other social service will be tracked as measures of involvement of the community with Choices. Within these PEIMS measures, Choices staff will track Benchmarks leading to TAKS performance, the graduation rates for Choices students along with their level of acceleration, and these students' attendance rates. We are tracking these items because FISD views school/community partnerships as an essential component of school organizations that influence student development and learning, rather than as an optimal activity or matter of public relations (Epstein, Coates, Salinas, Sanders, and Simon, 1997). Other current and historical research shows that effective school-community partnerships can: 1) Extend learning opportunities for students and staff (Otterbourg, 1986); 2) Assist students to succeed in school and life, support staff in their work, and improve school programs and school climate (Institute for Responsive Education, 1996); 3) Positively impact student attendance, aspirations for post-secondary education, enrollment in challenging high school curriculum, and successful transitions from special education to regular classes (Jordan, Orazco, and Averett, 2002); 4) Provide mutually beneficial resources to schools and community partners (U.S. Department of Education, 1993); and 5) Enable schools to become assets for community and economic development (Cahill, 1996). The Choices' staff will work with various community stakeholders to form the programs that are presented in this proposal. At several points in the process of developing this proposal various groups had input into the design of the program. In "Help at Last: Developing Effective School-Community Partnerships" a basic roadmap is given for methods of teaming the community and the school that was used in the creation of the centers and the obtaining of the input (RMC Research Corporation, 2002). The curriculum and other program items selected are designed to promote community involvement while giving the staff the extra materials needed to effectively teach the targeted population of students. The total integration of community involvement with curriculum development and staff / stakeholder training will let the campus develop a program that can be maintained after this grant's funding ends. In addition to these items, the evaluator and the various evaluation committees will be adding items to these measures. Organizational Structure and Resources:

The Choices Program campus will have grant funding that will allow for the full operations of the program for three years. During this three year period the CIP of the campus will be integrated into the DIP so that access to FISD resources assures the sustainability of the program. The Choices Principal/Program Director will work with central office staff in budgeting these grant resources and developing a sustainability plan along with working to fully integrate the Choice Program into the culture of FISD. At Choices, the Principal/Program Director will oversee the total program. She/He will have a leadership team of grant coordinator, counselor, home liaison, and lead teacher. This group will work with the community and site-based committee to integrate stakeholder opinion into the overall operations of the program. The outside evaluators will provide a system to determine in formative and summative manners the effects of the program. The Dana Center and Gallup programs will be integrated into the staff and community trainings so that all stakeholders have a greater understanding of the restructuring model being used. The students will have input into the day-to-day methods of instruction so that they take ownership of their learning. The general community will be invited to "celebrations" where the successes of students and ways for the whole community to "own" the Choices Program will be provided with the goal of creating a large non-partisan constituency that will hold the school accountable to high academic standards for all students. A way to look at this is one of an Academic/Social Skills SWAT team who will do whatever it takes to provide the students and their families with resources to be successful. All of these items - the high level of grant resources and the building of community ownership of the program - will help us meet the needs of the targeted students while building the base to sustain the program beyond any one grant's funding. Instructional Alignment:

The Curriculum Director will align the various IT-based, paper and pencil, traditional text book, and other forms of instruction at Choices to the general FISD curriculum and state standards. This wide variety of instructional methods is being used to allow for all the different learning styles of the students to be accommodated (Dunn and Dunn, 1990). The Curriculum Director will also work with the other campuses to assure vertical and horizontal alignment of the curriculum across all of the grade levels. The NovaNet program is aligned to the TEKS. All of the other IT and traditional curriculum items are also aligned to the TEKS. The staff development trainings on curriculum items will allow for the full integration of these methods with the TEKS.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. **School Years 2010-2013** telephone/email/FAX on by telephone/e-mail/FAX on Amendment No. of TEA. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Summative and Formative Use of Assessments and Evaluations:

The various methods of determining the effects of the Choices Program on the students, parents, and staff will drive day-to-day and long-term improvements to the program. TEA standards for Recommended Graduation Plan and the TAKS will be used to guide the determination of the effectiveness of Choices against these objective standards. The Choices staff will monitor the PGP's of each student to assure that they are on track for graduation.

Data Management and Directed Decisions:

Review formative assessment data as an essential tool to gather the necessary information to make data driven decisions. The various IT-base curriculums provide real-time information on the progress of the students. The teacher graded classes will be monitored using progress reports and the 9-weeks system of grade reporting. The various extra program items directed towards families and community members will be assessed using social work type measures aligned with best practices in these fields. Students will be benchmarked throughout the year and this information will be used in their academic acceleration.

Professional Growth, Development, and Evaluation:

Provide job-embedded professional development and coaching aligned with the Dana Center and Gallup programs along with ESC 20 standard staff development. Transfer methodologies to each school through workshops, practicum, and mentoring. Build long-term sustainability through development of instructional leadership teams as well as professional learning communities for administrators, coaches, and teachers supported by web-based and other IT-type tools. The combination of affect and academic trainings will allow the Choices' staff and other stakeholders to build a working relationship that extends beyond the normal school-type to one where all the needs of the student and their family are addressed with the goals of creating positive life outcomes. All of the standard FISD methods of tracking staff development will be integrated into the Choices Program.

School Culture:

The major change from the Gallup Program is to establish a "hopeful" culture that is designed to increase the linking of all stakeholders to the campus. The Dana Center program also focuses on developing a positive culture that promotes life success. These programs provide a system of general classroom and campus management that promotes positive outcomes for the staff, students, and parents while linking other community stakeholders to the campus.

Student, Family, and Community Support:

As developed in the section on Measureable Goals FISD believes that for the Choices Program must have linkage of these stakeholders to the campus because learning does not occur in a vacuum. The major item the FISD determined was as issue with our former method of operating Choices was that we waited too long to provide these students with an Academic/Social Skills SWAT team approach to helping them accelerate their progress to rejoin their age-peers. Waiting until a student was two years behind their age-peers gave these students feelings of hopelessness. This was also experienced by their families. The community was not supportive of "those students" because this hopelessness translated into negative life choices. This is one of the reasons that we believe the funding of this grant will allow FISD to show a system of best practices for positively affecting this type of at-risk students. These students will be receiving the "best education". By utilizing IT-based instruction, coupled with the latest methods of classroom instruction, a system of promoting all stakeholders involvement, and a general positive mind-set for what our students will achieve, we believe the Choices Program will rival private academy academics. We believe that this is needed with these students because they are the hardest to "reach", but those that can have the most amazing progress, as seen from the stories of that one teacher that turned around that one student. This grant's high level of funding will allow FISD to prove that this type of investment in an at-risk child's future is well worth the cost.

2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Steps in the Development of this Proposal and Ongoing Implementation Plan

Pre-RFP Announcement:

FISD Choices Program campus had received a less than acceptable rating on its AYP which caused the central office staff, the Choices Program principal, and the two Choices staff to look for the reasons why the campus was not effective at meeting the students' needs who were two or more years behind. Informal interviews with the students showed their hopeless feeling towards school. Having identified a possible source for the low AYP rating, campus began looking into ways to address this feeling of hopelessness. This led to the evaluation of the Dana Center and Gallup programs as a means to increase the ability of the staff to effectively deal with these students. FISD was in the process of determining if funds could be found to establish these training programs and increasing the staffing of Choices.

RFP Announcement:

When the Title 1 program was announced and we saw that the Floresville Choices Program was a Tier II campus, FISD staff began planning to apply for this grant. The current students along with other potential program participants (Groups A and B) were

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

2	247-901
County-District No.	
	by
	telephone/e-
	mail/FAX on
Amendment No	

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

surveyed to determine problems that they saw with the current operations. This information was blended with the general FISD work to date and a basic framework for campus change was developed which is the base for this proposal. Because of the time from announcement to due date of the proposal, FISD staff provided almost all of the input. This system was used to create the framework for the Choices Program that aligned to the current CIP/DIP. Since the announcement of the RFP, FISD staff from the Choices Program, central office administration, and the Floresville High School has participated in four planning sessions regarding the grant application. A CIP meeting was held on 5-25-10 in which one parent representative participated and offered input. A comprehensive needs assessment of the campus has been completed and additional meetings are pending prior to submission of the grant application and NOGA.

Post Proposal Submission Timelines:

The proposal will be submitted for reviewer to TEA on June 3.

From June 4, 2010 until announcement of selected proposals, FISD will continue with the limited FISD funds to develop a new system of providing services to the at-risk sub-populations of the Choices Program. Input from the students and families who currently attend this campus will be sought and from this group a core will be selected to help with this local program. The FISD staff will also work on the list of students that meet the one year behind age-peers so that a greatly increased pool of students is available to attend the Choices Program if our proposal is selected. During this review time FISD staff will develop job descriptions of the new positions that will be needed to staff the new Choices Program.

If awarded funding, the Choices Program will complete the following items: 1) Announce to the public FISD's award of grant funding and 2) Establish priorities for hiring of essential staff. Within 3 days of announcement the jobs will be posted using FISD current system. Interviews for the Principal/Project Director will begin first so that this position can be hired first. The other staff positions will be interviewed and hired after the Principal/Project Director. If during the course of the interview process - outlined on page 20 Management of Grant Activities - current FISD determine that a person is "right for the job" other positions may be filled before the principal. Within 7 days the various venders will be contacted and bid/purchasing procedures of FISD will be followed to purchase the various items listed in the grant budget. Within 10 days of announcement a press release to the local media will be given to begin the promotion of the new Choices Program. Letters will be sent to students' families who meet the new guidelines for the program. The letter to the families will have a brief overview of the new methods of instruction, the desire to build a family/school partnership, the extra services that will be available to the families/students, and an overview of the commitments of the families for involvement with the campus will be sent. A system for questions about the program will also be established.

August 1 employment contracts and PO's purchases that can be made will be issued (assuming NOGA received since Tier II may have August 1 start date). The staff will begin scheduling the staff development trainings and determining the students who will be attending Choices Program in the 2010/11 school year. The parents of the students selected for the program will receive information on the new program and a survey to help establish the extra needs that they wished to have address. (If there are more families wishing to send children to the program than can be served a lottery will be used to select the students for the remaining slots after preference has been given to the students 2+ years behind age-peers.) In this survey parents will be asked to serve on committees and if they would be able to attend staff development trainings. The Choices Program will be in position to offer basic courses for the selected students the first day of classes in August. Using the 3- and 6-weeks grade reporting methods the staff will interact with the students and their parents in Goals Nights. During the first 10 weeks of school each student will have an updated PGP created. This will serve as a roadmap for the goal of obtaining two years of credits for each year at Choices. With the first 12 weeks of school the system of home visits and linkage of the families to other social services will be established. In December the Choices will schedule a "celebration" of the progress that has been made and invite the community to see the new campus. During each week of the first semester the staff will meet for staff development and to address concerns related to any student's performance. The benchmark data and student grades/performance on the academic programs will be used to modify day-to-day instruction for the students.

In the spring semester the staff will meet to perform a semi-summative evaluation to determine the items that are working for the first semester and determine if any additional programs are needed. Representatives from the parents and other stakeholders will be included in this evaluation. Information from this will be used to modify the program. The benchmarking of students will be continued along with the tracking of grades and credits already received. The out-of-school-time programs will be expanded based on input from the students on enrichment programs that they feel would allow them to have real world methods to show what they have learned in regular classes. The formative evaluations of the progress of Choices will be continued with a goal of having the information to provide a major re-write of the CIP and provide for the first year's summative evaluation. The staff will develop in the last half of this semester offerings for a summer program. At the end of the first regular year the progress of students in meeting the two years credits for each year at Choices will be assess. The use of the extra services and there affects on the families will be determined. The campus will use the Site Based Management System of FISD to engage community members, students, parents, and staff in an evaluation of the full Choices Program to determine improvements that can be made.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Over the summer enrichment programs will be done with an August Freshman Introduction Academy planned so that 8th graders who meet the qualifications to be at Choices can have an introduction to the concept. Staff and present Choices student will work with these new students. We are doing this so that the students and staff have a greater ownership and pride in what this campus can do. The outside evaluators will provide data on the successes, failures, and general effects of the program. This will be used to create the finial CIP which will be integrated into FISD's DIP. At the start of the 2011/12 school year this information will be shared to the families and students of Choices. This process of formative and summative data driven evaluations using the continuous improvement model will be used in the 2011/12 school year to improve the program.

In the 2011/12 school year the outside evaluators will begin the process of publishing information on Choices with the goal of creating a Best Practice. This and the general campus meeting will be used to build support in the community for Choices. This will be part of the sustainability planning for Choices. The processes developed in the first year of the program will be improved and a set of procedures developed that reflect the changing nature of the campus. This cycle will be repeated in the third year of the program with the addition that non-traditional funding sources will be sought at no charge to this grant to assure that the program is able to be sustained. In the 2012/13 school year everything learned in the first two years of the program will be used to improve the services to the students and their families. Research on the program will be published. The effects of changing the culture of these at-risk students to one of going on for post-secondary education will be determined. FISD DIP process will provide the base to maintain the Choices Program along with ongoing efforts to find non-traditional funding sources.

We know that information gained in the course of the three years will modify the overall program but we wished to have an end in mind before the process begins. We believe that this will allow the Choice Program to have the greatest effects on our most at-risk students and families. This will help us achieve our vision of Prepare you for a Prosperous Life because we will have empowered these students.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. by Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants - List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. Central Office Administration to provide linkage of the Choices Program to overall FISD goals and have a method to coordinate this campus' efforts into an integrated overall plan for the district Curriculum Directors and Directors of Special Populations to provide linkage of the Choices Program to 2 overall academic instructional plan while including all special populations Secondary Principals so that there is vertical and horizontal alignment of the Choice Program to the other 3 campuses and secondary instructional methods Technology Department so that there is a system of integration and effective use of the IT-base learning 4 items purchased from this grant Program Teachers/Support Staff so that the direct service providers of Choices Program have full 5 understanding and buy-in to the programs of Choices Program. Parent and students of Choice Program so that they have ownership of the Choice Program and can express 6 their needs first hand to assure that the programs selected meet these needs Community members so that other needs of the community are expressed and that this group of 7 stakeholders is represented at Choices 8 9 10

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline

On the following pages of charts applicants should describe all other school improvement activities that will be incorporated with the model to be selected.

For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Success Factor Code(s) from this table, enter the LEA/campus' rationale for including the activity, provide the supporting research that indicates the activity will be effective, and indicate the beginning and ending date of the activity.

- 1 Improve Academic Performance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math
 - A. Data-driven instruction
 - B. Curriculum Alignment (both horizontal and vertical)
 - C. On-going Monitoring of Instruction
- 2 Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction
 - A. Data Disaggregation/Training
 - B. Data-driven Decisions
 - C. On-going Communication
- 3 Increase Leadership Effectiveness
 - A. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
 - B. Operational Flexibility
 - C. Resource/Data Utilization
- 4 Increase Learning Time
 - A. Flexible Scheduling
 - B. Instructionally-focused Calendar
 - C. Staff Collaborative Planning
- 5 Increase Parent/Community Involvement
 - A. Increased Opportunities for Input
 - B. Effective Communication
 - C. Accessible Community Services
- 6 Improve School Climate
 - A. Increased Attendance
 - B. Decreased Discipline Referrals
 - C. Increased Involvement in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities
- 7 Increase Teacher Quality
 - A. Locally Developed Appraisal Instruments
 - B. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
 - C. Recruitment/Retention Strategies

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

Stand

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Mileston e	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date	
1 A	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	Pass State Assessment Required for Graduation	Utilize funding and program to support high quality instruction	8-23-10	6-30-13	
1A	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	Required for Graduation	Utilize funding and program to support high quality instruction as well as strategies developed by the Charles A. Dana Center (UT)	t high as well loped		
1A	Improve Student Achievement in Science	Pass State Assessment Required for Graduation	Utilize funding and program to support high quality instruction as well as strategies developed by the Charles A. Dana Center (UT)	8-23-10	6-30-13	
1A	Improve Student Achievement in Social Studies	Pass State Assessment Required for Graduation	Utilize funding and program to support high quality instruction	8-23-10	6-30-13	
1 A, B, & C	Alignment of the curriculum across the grade levels	Linkage of the instruction so that one year builds of the previous years	Ysseldyke, J., & Tardrew, S. (2007) on methods of progress monitoring	8-23-10	6-30-13	

Add additional pages as needed.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

CSF. Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	ne Improvement Activity Rationale Supporting Research		Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date	
2A	Disaggregate AEIS, benchmark, and other local/state assessment date	To improve teacher strategies to implement instruction and to improve student performance	To use Data Driven Decision Making Methods to drive the selection of the programs	10-15-10	6-30-13	
2B	Conduct staff meeting to disseminate data regarding student performance	To provide opportunities for staff to obtain data to guide and modify future instruction	To have shared vision among all Choices Program staff	10-1-10	6-30-13	
2 A, B, & C	The value of Technology Enhanced Formative Evaluation,	The use of technology enhanced assessments in 360 randomly selected schools showed significant improvements in year end testing over schools that did not use them in math. Results also supported significant gains in minority student improvements that were not seen in control group schools.	Burns, M. K., Ysseldyke, J., & Klingbeil, D. (in press). The effects of technology enhanced formative evaluation on student performance on state accountability math tests. Psychology in the Schools.	8-23-10	6-30-13	
				-		

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
3A	Professional/Staff Development	Build capacity to support district/campus goals identified in improvement plans	Charles A. Dana Center Partnership for High Achievement and the Gallup Organization leadership strategies	8-23-10	6-30-13
3 A, B & C	Regular teacher performance evaluations to assure and verify continuous improvement	Evaluation of the gap between where our school is now and where we would like to be which will give us a road map to success.	"Standards for Staff Development (Revised)." National Staff Development Council, Oxford, Ohio, 2001.	8-23-10	6-30-13
3 B	Consistently support teachers and staff	Influence Opportunity Finder vs Problem Solver Teacher and staff Insight Personal dedication	Chari, V. (2006) Model of CSFs for effective IS leadership. Unpublished Information Systems Honors Research Project. Grahamstown: Rhodes University	8-23-10	6-30-13
3 C	Foster linkage of instruction to school improvement strategies	Set high expectations for the performance of all students and adults.	Hessel, Karen; Holloway, John. "A Framework for School Leaders: Linking the ISLLC Standards to Practice" Educational Testing Service, Princeton, New Jersey, 2002.	8-23-10	6-30-13
3 C	Always require data and the use of facts to support actions at all levels of decision making	The increasing awareness of data mining technology, along with the attendant increase in the capturing, warehousing, and utilization of historical data will support evidence-based decision making for the school.	Processor Tech and Trends (2006) How Analysis and Change Could Boost Your Effectiveness, Processor Tech and Trends. Vol 28, No 6, pp 29-31, [online]	8-23-10	6-30-13
3 A, B & C	Regular teacher performance evaluations to assure and verify continuous improvement	Evaluation of the gap between where our school is now and where we would like to be which will give us a road map to success.	"Standards for Staff Development (Revised)." National Staff Development Council, Oxford, Ohio, 2001.	8-23-10	6-30-13

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

<u> 247-901</u>	
County-District No.	

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success	s Factor 4:	Improve	Learning Time

CSF Milestone	lilestone Improvement Activity Katoliale Supporting Research		Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date	
4A	Provide extended day programming and summer school	Promote successful student learning	Increased time-on-task results in increased learning of students	8-23-10	6-30-13	
4B	Provide modified scheduling for program participants	Promote successful student learning	Increased time-on-task results in increased learning of students and PGP (Personal Graduation Plan) allow for individual student needs to be met in most effective manner	8-23-10	6-30-13	
4 B & C	Time development	Implementation of purposeful and powerful integrative thoughtful planning.	Goal-setting and behavioral feedback (Ludwig & Geller, 1997)	8-23-10	6-30-13	
4 B	Increased Learning Time and Targeted Instruction for At- Risk Students	Critical need for tried and tested programs for atrisk students that will improve overall achievement, increase proficiency on standardized exams and in the end ensure graduation for all.	Participation in decision- making situations (e.g., Samuelson, Messick, Rutte, & Henk, 1988)	8-23-10	6-30-13	

Add additional pages as needed.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Fa	actor 5: Increase	Parent/Community	/ Involvement
Cilical Success Fo	actor 3. Increase	raient/community	THEOLECINC

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	talli i di sagginamenta da la calcalita di la calcalita di la calcalita di la Calcalita de Calcalita di Calca			Timeline End Date	
5A	Provide climate surveys and questionnaires to gauge involvement	Facilitate communication and input from parents/community	Increased stakeholder ownership of a program results in overall program success	8-23-10	6-30-13	
5B	Conduct Parent-Teacher Conferences	Facilitate communication and input from parents/community	Linkage of family units to the overall goal of positive life successes provides a method of linking individual families to other needed service	8-23-10	6-30-13	
5 A & B	Cultural awareness	Recognition of parents as important components of the partnership approach and open two-way communication	Williams and Chavkin (1989) of the Southwest Educational Development Laboratory (SEDL	8-23-10	6-30-13	
5 A, B, & C	Commitment to reduce the gap between home and school cultures	Community support and valuing cultural diversity are manifestations of this commitment.	Fruchter, Galletta and White (1992) examined 18 parental involvement programs for common characteristics.	8-23-10	6-30-13	
5 A, B, & C	Parents as partners with the school	Parents helping children with homework, supporting school activities by volunteering in classrooms, providing language-rich environments and working in partnership with teachers to enhance the learning experiences of children.	Flaxman, E., & Inger, M. (1992). Parents and schooling in the 1990s. <i>Principal, 72</i> (7), 16-18.	8-23-10	6-30-13	

Add additional pages as needed.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical	Success	Eactor 6	6: Improve	School	Climate
CHILICAL	JULCESS	ractur	D. TILIDI OAC	3011001	Cillinace

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
6A	Communicate importance of student attendance	Promote successful student learning	The Gallup program shows that linkage of "affect-type" items to general academic programs increases student success	8-23-10	6-30-13
6B	Provide students leadership training to reduce discipline incidences	Students are empowered and see that their action have real world results	The Gallup program shows that linkage of "affect-type" items to general academic programs increases student success	8-23-10	6-30-13
6 B	Strengthen the integration of character education curriculum by continuing to emphasize core values of empathy, ethics and service	Positive school climate fosters youth development and learning necessary for a productive, contributing, and satisfying life in a democratic society.	Eyman, W. & Cohen, J. (2009). Breaking the Bully-Victim-Passive Bystander Tool Kit: Creating a climate for learning (First Edition). New York: Center for Social and Emotional Education.	8-23-10	6-30-13
6 A & B	Effective classroom management and student engagement	To have a safe and orderly environment	Freiberg, J. H. (Ed.) (1999). School climate: Measuring, improving and sustaining healthy learning environments. London: Falmer. ISBN: 0750706414.	8-23-10	6-30-13
6 A & B	Provide a climate of high expectations	School behaviors that communicate high expectations	Haynes, N. M., Emmons, C., & Ben-Avie, M. (1997). School climate as a factor in student adjustment and achievement. <i>Journal of Psychology and Educational Consultation</i> , 8(3), 321–329.	8-23-10	6-30-13
6 A & B	Clear and focused mission	All staff accepting responsibility for all students learning	Howard, E., Howell, B., & Brainard, E. (1987). Handbook for conducting school climate improvement projects.	8-23-10	6-30-13

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 7: Inc	crease Teacher Quality
--------------------------------	------------------------

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
7B Provide Professional/Staff Development opportunities		Focus on continuous adult learning The Dana Center leadership program shows that well trained and empowered staff will produce positive educational and life outcomes for students		8-1-10	6-30-13
7 A, B, & C	Sharing Resources	Teachers share strategies, resources, and new learning between schools	Sparks, D. & Hirsh, S. Learning to Lead, Leading to Learn. National Staff Development Council. 2000. Oxford, OH.	8-1-10	6-30-13
7 A & B	Focus teacher development on high yield instructional strategies	Increase the skill and knowledge of the classroom teachers	Elmore, Richard. Bridging the Gap Between Standards and Achievement: The Imperative for Professional Development in Education. The Shanker Institute. 2002. 6-8, 19, 29-30.	8-1-10	6-30-13

Add additional pages as needed.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AG Standard Application Syste		247-901 County-District No	o.
by telephone/e-	mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2	013	Amendment No.	-
by		Texas Title I Priority Sch		Americaniene No.	
		ichedule #4B—Program I	Description		
	ervention Model				
Section D:	Oner Improvement Act	vities and Timeline (cont.)			
CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
					:
Add addition	nal pages as needed.				J

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 4: Waiver Requests Applicants must check the waivers in which the LEA/campus intends to implement. [x] Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. This waiver extends the "life" of the funds for two additional years; allowing the state to fund the grant period for three years to the LEA on behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the campus meets the requirements of implementation of the grant program. Note: Since TEA has requested and received a waiver of the period of availability of these school improvement grant funds, this waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State and must be checked. [x] "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model. Under this waiver, the LEA with an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implementing the turnaround model or restart model may have their School Improvement status reset regardless of the actual AYP status and other school improvement interventions, such as School Choices and Supplemental Education Services (SES) would not be applicable. This waiver allows the campus two years to effectively implement the selected turnaround or restart model of reform without additional statutory school improvement interventions being required. ☐ Implementing a school wide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that does not meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold. This waiver allows a Tier I Title I campus that otherwise does not qualify to operate a Schoolwide program to do so in order to implement the Tier I reform model selected.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section A: Ongoing Monitoring/Continuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/campus' process for providing ongoing monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement

The goals of this proposed program are to assist students in credit recovery efforts so that (a) those two or more years off pace will earn enough credits to advance two grade levels or graduate; and (b) those less than one year behind will earn enough credits to be successful on the TAKS test and return to their peer group graduation schedule. The district/campus' process for monitoring activities associated with achieving these goals include utilization of a process focused on monitoring student **achievement**, and monitoring **implementation** of structural changes aimed at supporting the goals of the program. Both focus points will utilize data reports submitted to campus and district leaders, which will then be used as the basis for quarterly and year-end meetings.

The first type of meeting will be "Improvement Progress Meetings" (IPM). This meeting is specifically intended to assist leaders in monitoring progress of students and grant implementation – similar to a formative evaluation process (Section B). The "Choices" Principal, counselor, family intervention specialist, subject-area teacher, and success coach, along with district administrators will attend these meeting. In addition, an "Improvement Summit" (IS) will be held at the end of each academic year to review the previous gains, and plan for the coming year. This meeting will include school leaders and the entire campus faculty involved with the proposed program – similar to a summative evaluation process.

At each meeting (i.e., progress and summit), leaders will consider findings from analysis of student achievement data drawn from multiple sources including:

- TAKS scores and passing rates
- District /Campus Curriculum Based Assessments
- NovaNet data related to recaptured courses
- Other vender data on specific programs
- Student grades
- Student progress in regaining grade level / graduation and course credits
- Attendance Rates
- Dropout / Completion rates

In addition to achievement data, school leaders will also consider school-climate related data also drawn from multiple sources including:

- Implementation fidelity (i.e., occurrence and productivity of common planning times, use of Dana Center curriculum and research-based instructional strategies, work of subject-area specialist teacher, parent education and communication, activities of success coach, character education)
- Student engagement
- Collective efficacy of teachers
- Number of student discipline referrals

These activities and data focus will provide the process and mechanisms for ongoing monitoring of grant activities intended to ensure continuous improvement.

The Choices' evaluation committee will meet regularly to oversee program evaluation and to ensure that ongoing feedback is provided to program decision makers on program progress and financial activities. We will use the feedback system that will be developed for this grant to link grant management, program development and program evaluation experience to provide continuous program evaluation and refinement. We will utilize formal and informal observations to assess program effectiveness, including pre/post-test surveys containing both open and closed questions; Likert-type scales directed at students, parents, teachers, and project staff; and records, including course grades, internal behavioral and disciplinary actions, and attendance. Using a "tiered-approach," quantitative and qualitative outcome components will be used along with baseline assessments, benchmarks, and other markers for continuous program assessment and refinement. The evaluation team will meet with program staff to provide ongoing feedback so necessary program adjustments can be made as needed to enhance program outcomes. The day-to-day evaluations will be facilitated by the principal, program director, IT-support staff, and evaluators who will work with the members to improve the program in a continuous manner and integrate the results into the CIP. The effects on the students will be measured using the 3-week academic evaluations that are currently being done by members to determine student's grades (through progress reports and 6-weeks grades). Staff will look at sub-group grades to determine if any of these groups needs extra assistance in meeting AYP and making two years of progress for each year at Choices towards graduation. All of the information obtain will be used to determine the success of the program and modify the program to promote student success.

		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	_247-901 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on	of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
•		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section B: Formative Evaluation- Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

The goals of this proposed program are to assist students in credit recovery efforts so that (a) those two or more years off pace will earn enough credits to advance two grade levels or graduate; and (b) those less than one year behind will earn enough credits to be successful on the TAKS test and return to their peer group graduation schedule. Three processes will support the LEA/campus process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program.

The first involves the allocation of resources (i.e., time and funding) to support a "campus counter." In terms of evaluation, the role of this individual will be to gather, tabulate, and communicate information relevant to the implementation of grant activities. This individual will report findings to the project principal, and assist in the review of findings at the quarterly "Improvement Progress Meetings" describe in Section A. The intention of these meetings is to report on analyses of data related to progress (student achievement) and program implementation (i.e., common planning time, parent education activities). The onsite "campus counter" as well as the external evaluator will support gathering and analysis of this data.

The second process intended to support the formative evaluation is the result from each quarterly meeting. As formative evaluation data accumulate, LEA and campus leaders will compare these results with program goals, and develop a set of recommendations aimed at supporting progress. These recommendations, especially in the earliest phases of implementation, may simply help identify specific areas of concern, but as the program continues, these recommendations are likely to assist the LEA/campus leaders in making "corrective" modifications to the grant activities. Progress on implementing the recommendations, along with the overall implementation of the grant program, will comprise the focus of future meetings and recommendations.

The third process intended to support the formative evaluation is the reporting to constituents about the progress and recommendations outlined in the progress meetings. Constituents include school faculty and staff, parents and community members, and business partners, and reporting will take place through established means of communication and meetings. For example, communication with faculty and staff will include presentation of meeting outcomes at regular, faculty meetings, and through a "newsletter-type" publication intended to communicate more broadly about the grant program. Communication with parents and community members will be accomplished via newsletter, postings on the school website, and at regular PTA meetings. Likewise, communication with business partners will take place at the district level, and involve the use of the newsletter, as well as website reporting accessible to community members.

The allocation of program staff specifically to the monitoring of grant activities, the work resulting from quarterly progress meetings, and the reporting to school and community constituents represent the three processes for formative evaluation. Information from each of these represents ways in which the results of the evaluation process will be used to improve the grant program.

Formative evaluation will begin during project development and continues throughout the life of the project. Its intent is to assess ongoing project activities and provide information to monitor and improve the project. It will be conducted at several points in the developmental life of a project and its activities. The process evaluation will examine the conduct of the project, including a determination of the effectiveness of the planning, design, implementation, and evaluation of the project. The underlying principle is that before you can evaluate the outcomes or impact of a program, you must make sure the program and its components are really operating and that they are operating according to the proposed plan or description as developed by the Choices' committees. Examples of the information to be collected are as follows. 1. The extent to which the activities of the project were implemented as planned; 2, The effectiveness of the activities in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; 3, The impact of the project activities on the participants; 4. The extent to which performance targets were met; and 5. The methods by which the program needs modification on a day-to-day to meet the above goals will be determined by the data from the students. Summative evaluation will be done after the end of each school year that this grant is funded. It will include the technical response and assistance provided by staff members, venders, and other stakeholders. Summative evaluation will assess the project's success in reaching its stated goals at its final stage. A summative evaluation will address these basic questions:1) The extent to which the activities of the project were implemented as planned. 2) The effectiveness of the activities in achieving the goals and objectives of the project. 3) The impact of the project activities on the participants. 3) Summative evaluation will attempt to collect information about outcomes and related processes, strategies, and activities that have led to them. All of this information will be integrated into the CIP and DIP to allow for successful items to be sustained.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

The goals of this proposed program are to assist students in credit recovery efforts so that (a) those two or more years off pace will earn enough credits to advance two grade levels or graduate; and (b) those less than one year behind will earn enough credits to be successful on the TAKS test and return to their peer group graduation schedule.

The process for data collection that will be implemented by the district/campus leaders will involve two individuals. The first will be the "grant coordinator" who will be responsible for gathering data from the multiple parts of the grant program related to implementation of activities. For example,

- Activities of grant personnel, including principal, counselor, family intervention specialist, success coach, subject-area specialist teachers
- Performance of students in the two at-risk groups (e.g., progress toward off-pace recovery, credit recovery, grades, etc.)
- Parent education and communication activities of the family intervention specialist
- Progress of teacher curriculum and instruction meetings

The second will be the **external evaluator**, who will gather data related to fidelity of program implementation, achievement impact, and school climate. This information will be derived from a variety of sources including classroom observations; analysis of assessment results (e.g., TAKS, NovaNet, Benchmarks, etc.); focus groups conducted with faculty, teachers, students, and parent groups; online surveys of faculty and students related to school climate issues.

- Data derived from these processes, especially achievement, utilization, and perceptions, will be disaggregated by race/ethnicity and by special education status. This disaggregation analysis will permit school and district leaders to determine differential impact of the grant program.
- 2) Results from qualitative and quantitative data analyses will be used to improve instruction in several ways. First, the information vetted through the Improvement Meetings will be shared with faculty members. Second, achievement outcomes specific to content and grade level will be used by subject-area specialist teachers, faculty in common planning time, and interventionists will be used to address and improve outcomes at the class, subpopulation, and individual levels. Specifically, subject-area specialist teachers will facilitate and oversee planning and implementation of action plans intended to address areas where achievement has not met expectations.
- 3) Analyses of achievement, utilization and perception data will be combined with other implementation data to obtain continuous improvement results by reporting, discussing, recommending and monitoring action changes resulting from the Improvement Meetings and the Improvement Summit. Analyses of outcomes will constitute information that is fed back into the leadership system, and this information will be utilized to gauge progress, and modify implementation based on target (goal) outcomes.

The Choices program will be evaluated as a part of the CIP and DIP along with grant specific evaluations. Currently we collects data on a wide array of student statistics, including enrollment, attendance, discipline, grades, course history, testing scores, and demographic information which will provide general information on our students. Quantitative data will include statistics and information regarding student achievement, academic rigor, student retention, and school climate. Qualitative data collection methodologies, such as observation by different evaluators, focus groups, parent-teacher-student surveys, and Likert-type surveys will be used to assess program progress. Quarterly reports will be prepared for the Texas Education Agency. Annual Reports required by the TEA and more in-depth annual analyses will be prepared by the evaluators for review by our evaluation team. These qualitative data will be combined with quantitative measures that will be obtained from various vender day-to-day assessments, teacher generated tests, TAKS practice test, AEIS analysis and testing, and other standardized measures. When taken collectively, all of these data points will allow data driven changes to be made in the program. This will provide a base in real data that is both quantitative and qualitative and will employ all of the systems at the campus and district for data collection. This will be done to maximize the information obtained from all sources so that the best decision regarding teaching and program changes can be made. The stakeholder survey will be done at the end of each year of funding to determine if the program is meeting their needs. The program director and grant coordinator will collect antidotal information from the staff, students, and parents to be used in formative evaluation to improve the day-to-day operations of the program. Information from the outside evaluators, various vender and staff development programs will be collected according to their current procedures. All of these data points will be used to create a picture of the total effects of the program.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Performance goals will be developed based on the results of the comprehensive needs assessment and the improvement activities identified by the critical success factors previously mentioned. The process will be as follows.

- Identify activities listed in critical success factors
- Determine measurement criteria for each activity
- Selected team members will gather data where available to consider as baseline or recent year performance data

The team will review data to determine projected progress for year 1-3 of the grant period. The team members will include - FISD staff of Central Office Administration (Superintendent, Director of Special Programs, Deputy Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent), Junior High, Alternative and High School Principals, Choice Program Staff, Curriculum Directors, and Directors of Special Populations; Stakeholders consisting of Community members, Parents, and Students; Outside Evaluators; and other venders as needed.

The performance measures will be of three types. First, how the students progress academic with a goal of two years of graduation credits for each years at Choices. Second, how the non-academic social type needs of the students are met as determined through discipline reports. Third, how family needs are met in terms of linking the families to other social services.

The system of determine the ongoing needs will be done with input for these various groups obtained for the updating of the CIP for Choices. The evaluation system will also provide for continuing determination of the changing needs of the stakeholders served by the Choices program. The system outline in the evaluation section and other sections dealing with the day-to-day and long-term goals will be used throughout the three years of the program.

Information gained from AEIS, PEIMS, TAKS, student grades, and the evaluations will be use to track our ability to meet these needs. The goals will be aligned to this overall process and we believe that they will change each year as more positive effects are seen in the Choice program. This is why we will use these stakeholder committees to provide a system of improving and focusing Choices goals.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

	247-901	
Co	unty-District N	J۸

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve Academic Performance – Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	TAKS	60%	70%	80%	90%
2	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	TAKS	17%	40%	55%	75%
3	Improve Student Achievement in Science	TAKS	33%	50%	60%	70%
4	Improve Student Achievement in Social Studies	TAKS	60%	70%	80%	90%
5						

Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction – Enter the annual goals for increasing the use of quality data to drive instruction, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase knowledge and skills in core content areas	Quarterly Benchmark Assessments	DNA	60%	70%	80%
2	Increase TAKS scores	AEIS Data	50% all areas passed	60%	70%	80%
3	Improve graduation rates	АҮР	34%	50%	65%	80%
4	Provide training and staff development in areas of curriculum	Sign-in Sheets	DNA	4	6	8
5						

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with V_1NSON

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901	
County-District	No

bν	telephone/e-mail/FAX	on
-,		

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Leadership Effectiveness– Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of campus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Participation in Charles A. Dana Center Partnership for High Achievement	Certificate of Completion or sign-in sheets	DNA	8 staff	12 staff	16 staff
2						
3						
4						
5						

Increase Learning Time – Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase instructional time in core content areas	Campus Schedule	4 hr Block	5 hr Block	5 hr Block	5 hr Block
2	Increase opportunities for course completion during summer	Summer School Schedule	DNA	70 hrs over summer term	80 hrs over summer term	90 hrs over summer term
3	Increase "school day" using out- of-school-time program done with extra duty pay	Attendance in the extra programs	DNA	4 hrs per week for 34 wks	8 hrs per week for 34 wks	12 hrs per week for 34 wks
4						
5						

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-90:	L
County-District	Nο

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement – Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase opportunities for parent/stakeholder input	Climate Surveys	DNA	2	3	4
2	Increase opportunities for parent involvement	Parent Conferences	DNA	2	3	4
3						
4						
5						

Improve School Climate – Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

# ***	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase attendance rate for program participants	PEIMS Data	DNA	85%	90%	95%
2	Reduce discipline referrals for program participants	PEIMS Data	DNA	Baseline Data	5% Less than year 1	5% Less than year 2
3						
4						
5						

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mail/FAX on ______ School Years 2010-2013

	_2	47	<u>'-9</u>	90∶	1
Со	unt	y-D	ist	rict	No

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Teacher Quality – Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase opportunities for quality staff development in core content areas	Certificates of Completion	DNA	4	6	8
2						
3						
4						
5						

Other – Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performa nce	Year 1 Progres s Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						

For TEA Use Only
Adjustments and/or annotations made
on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by	of TEA.	A	mendment No.	
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
. July 1	Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	ers and Strate	gies	
No Barri				
#	No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others
000	The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and participation for any groups.			
Barrier:	Gender-Specific Bias			
#	Strategies for Gender-specific Bias	Students	Teachers	Others
A01	Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate			⊠
A02	Provide staff development on eliminating gender bias			
A03	Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias			
A04	Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender			
A05	Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender		⊠	
A06	Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program			
A99	Other (Specify)			
Barrier:	Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity		, Asar , OJS (50 daž) (4	
#	Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B01	Provide program information/materials in home language			
B02	Provide interpreter/translator at program activities			
202	Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through	_	1 —	
B03	a variety of activities, publications, etc.			
B03	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds			
	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities			
B04	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations			
B04 B05	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity			
B04 B05 B06	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and			
B04 B05 B06 B07	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide parenting training			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09 B10	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide a parent/family center			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09 B10 B11	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide parenting training Provide a parent/family center Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school Provide child care for parents participating in school activities			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09 B10 B11 B12	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide parenting training Provide a parent/family center Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school Provide child care for parents participating in school activities Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09 B10 B11 B12 B13	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide parenting training Provide a parent/family center Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school Provide child care for parents participating in school activities Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and			
B04 B05 B06 B07 B08 B09 B10 B11 B12 B13	a variety of activities, publications, etc. Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider Provide parenting training Provide a parent/family center Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school Provide child care for parents participating in school activities Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy			

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D-Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies Barrier: Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity (cont.) **Students Teachers Others** # Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity \boxtimes 冈 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents B17 П B18 Coordinate with community centers/programs П П Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher M B19 education Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the B20 effects of past discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of **B21** 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, national origin, and color Ensure students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries are informed of П B22 their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program Provide mediation training on a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and **B23** complaints **B99** Other (Specify) Barrier: Gang-Related Activities **Teachers Others** Strategies for Gang-related Activities **Students** Provide early intervention. C01 П П П C02 Provide Counseling. \Box C03 Conduct home visits by staff. C04 Provide flexibility in scheduling activities. Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting gang-free communities. C05 C06 Provide mentor program. Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic C07 П П \Box programs/activities. П П C08 Provide community service programs/activities. C09 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. C10 Strengthen school/parent compacts. C11 Establish partnerships with law enforcement agencies. П C12 Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher C13 \Box П education. Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with C14

Strategies for Drug-related Activities

Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communities.

Teachers

П

П

Others

П

П

П

П

Students

П

П

gang-related issues.

Provide Counseling.

Conduct home visits by staff.

Provide mentor program.

Provide early identification/intervention.

Other (Specify) **Barrier: Drug-Related Activities**

C99

#

D01

D02

D03

D04

D05

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on **School Years 2010-2013** of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies **Barrier: Drug-Related Activities (cont.)** Ø Ø Provide before/after school recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic П D06 programs/activities \square Ø Provide community service programs/activities D07 D08 Provide comprehensive health education programs. D09 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. D10 \boxtimes П Establish school/parent compacts. П D11 Develop/maintain community partnerships. Provide conflict resolution/peer mediation strategies/programs. П П D12 Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher D13 education. Provide training/information to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with П П П D14 drug-related issues. Seek Collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher П П D15 education. П П П D99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Visual Impairments** Strategies for Visual Impairments **Students Teachers** Others # E01 Provide early identification and intervention. \bowtie Provide Program materials/information in Braille. E02 П E03 Provide program materials/information in large type. E04 Provide program materials/information on tape. П П П E99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Hearing Impairments Students Teachers** Others Strategies for Hearing Impairments # F01 Provide early identification and intervention. П F02 Provide interpreters at program activities. F99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Learning Disabilities** Strategies for Learning Disabilities # Students Teachers Others G01 Provide early identification and intervention. \boxtimes П П П П G02 Expand tutorial/mentor programs. Provide staff development in identification practices and effective teaching G03 strategies. Provide training for parents in early identification and intervention. G04 G99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints** # Strategies for Other Physical Disabilities or Constraints Students **Teachers Others** Develop and implement a plan to achieve full participation by students with H01 other physical disabilities/constraints. H99 Other (Specify)

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4D - Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies Barrier: Absenteeism/Truancy # Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy \$ Students Teachers K01 Provide early identification/intervention.	by telephon	e/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013			
Barrier: Absenteelsm/Truancy # Strategies for Absenteelsm/Truancy # Oracles for Absenteelsm/Truancy # Strategies for Absenteelsm/Truancy Students Teachers Others	by	of TEA.	Torres Title T Duisville Cabasia Count		Amendment No.	0.5=0
# Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy Students Teachers Others K01 Provide early identification/intervention.						
# Strategies for Absenteelsm/Truancy Students Teachers Others	ikana ili, subiši N⇔ ikan•kana		Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	rs and Stratt	agies de la compa	
Note Provide early identification/intervention.					T	Othoro
No. Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan.						Others
K03 Conduct home visits by staff.						
K04 Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance.	K02	Develop and implement a tru	ancy intervention plan.			
K05 Provide mentor program.	K03	Conduct home visits by staff.				
No. Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities.	K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in	promoting school attendance.			
	K05	Provide mentor program.				
K08 Strengthen school/parent compacts.	K06	Provide before/after school re	ecreational or educational activities.			
K09 Develop/maintain community partnerships.	K07	Conduct parent/teacher confe	erences.			
K09 Develop/maintain community partnerships.	K08	Strengthen school/parent cor	npacts.			
K10 Coordinate with health and social services agencies. K11 Coordinate with the juvenile justice system. K12 Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. K99 Other (Specify) Barrier: High Mobility Rates # Strategies for High Mobility Rates # Strategies for High Mobility Rates L01 Coordinate with social services agencies L02 Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families. L03 Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. L09 Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents Strategies for Lack of Support from parents. M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide a parent/family center. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide a parent/family center. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.						
K11 Coordinate with the juvenile justice system. K12 Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education. K19 Other (Specify)						
Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education.						ļ
R99 Other (Specify)						
# Strategies for High Mobility Rates # Strategies for High Mobility Rates L01 Coordinate with social services agencies L02 Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families. L03 Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. L99 Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents Students Teachers Others M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	K12	education.	Trom business, madstry, or metation of mignet			
# Strategies for High Mobility Rates L01 Coordinate with social services agencies L02 Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families. L03 Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. L99 Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	K99	Other (Specify)				
L01 Coordinate with social services agencies L02 Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families. L03 Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. L99 Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	Barrier:	High Mobility Rates	以上,一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		A STATE OF THE STA	
L02 Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families.	#	Strategi	es for High Mobility Rates	Students	Teachers	Others
L03 Establish/maintain timely record transferal system. L99 Other (Specify)	L01	Coordinate with social service	es agencies			
Light Conduct parent/family center. Conduct parents Conduct parents Conduct parents Conduct parent/family center. Conduct parents Conduct parent/family center. Conduct parents Conduct parent/family center. Conduct parent/family center. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school activities Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school activities Conduct parents from a variety of backgrounds in school activities Conduct parents for parents participating in school activities. Conduct parents for parents participat	L02	Establish partnerships with p	arents of highly mobile families.			
Barrier: Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "Flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	L03	Establish/maintain timely rec	ord transferal system.			
# Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents # Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	L99	Other (Specify)				
# Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents M01 Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents. M02 Conduct home visits by staff. M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	Barrier:	1	rents			
M02 Conduct home visits by staff. □				Students	Teachers	Others
M03 Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities. M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	M01	Develop and implement a pla	n to increase support from parents.			
M04 Conduct parent/teacher conferences. M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.						
M05 Establish school/parent compacts. M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.				<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>
M06 Provide parenting training. M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.						
M07 Provide a parent/family center. M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.			acts.			
M08 Provide program materials/information in home language. M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.		**************************************	or	H		+ =
M09 Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making. M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.						
M10 Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.		Involve parents from a variet	y of backgrounds in school decision making.			
mand other activities that don't require coming to school. M11 Provide child care for parents participating in school activities. M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.		Offer "flexible" opportunities	for involvement, including home learning activities	П	П	П
M12 Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities. M13 Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.						
in school activities. Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	M11					
program. M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	M12	in school activities.	•			
M14 Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.	M13		iding GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy			
M99 Other (Specify)	M14	Conduct an outreach program	n for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.			
	M99	Other (Specify)				

	For TEA Use Only ents and/or annotations made e have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	C	247-901 county-District No.	
by telephon	e/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013			
by	of TEA.			Amendment No.	
	201AX - VIIIA A A CONTACT	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	E. C.		
		Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	ers and Strate	egies	
Barrier:	Shortage of Qualified Pe				
#		Shortage of Qualified Personnel	Students	<u>Teachers</u>	Others
N01		n to recruit and retain qualified personnel.			
N02	Recruit and retain teachers for minority groups.	rom a variety of racial, ethnic, and language			
N03	Provide mentor program for	new teachers.			
N04	Provide intern program for no	ew teachers.			
N05	Provide professional develop	ment in a variety of formats for personnel.			
N06	Collaborate with colleges/uni	versities with teacher preparation programs.			\boxtimes
N99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Lack of Knowledge Rega	rding Program Benefits		Enantois na se carrier s	PMS Village di 1
#		Knowledge regarding Program Benefits	Students	Teachers	Others
P01	Develop and implement a pla activities & benefits.	n to inform program beneficiaries of program			
P02	benefits.	to inform program beneficiaries of activities and			
P03	Provide announcements to lo activities/benefits.	cal radio stations & newspapers about program			
P99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Lack of Transportation t				e in Charac
#	Strategies for Lack of	f Transportation to Program Activities	Students	Teachers_	Others
Q01		rents and other program beneficiaries to activities.			
Q02	and other activities that don'	for involvement, including home learning activities trequire coming to school.			
Q03	Conduct program activities in locations.	community centers and other neighborhood			
Q04	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Other Barrier	(1977年) - 大学、日本教師集権的会社、1978年、1977年、新規第2年では、1978年 開発学者の - 大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大学の大			
#	Strat	egies for Other Barrier	Students	Teachers	Others
Z 99	Other Barrier:				
	Other Strategy:				"

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Program Authority:

P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), as amended by ARRA, P.L. 111-5

of TEA

CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A

Fund Code

ARRA (CFDA# 84.388A): 286

Regular (CFDA# 84.377A): 276

Project Period: August 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013

5B 5C 5D	6100 6200 6300	Campus Grant Costs \$ 2,521,329 748,000	LEA Admin Grant Costs \$200,909	Pre-Award Cost	Total Grant Funds Budgeted \$ 2,722,238
5C 5D	6200		\$200,909	\$	\$ 2,722,238
5D		748,000		1	
	6300	ī			748,000
	6300	1,114,600			1,114,600
5E	6400	157,800			157,800
Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 6619 and 6629) (15XX for charter schools only)		10,000			10,000
Γotal Dir	ect Costs	\$4,551,729			\$ 4,752,638
3% Indir	ect Costs				
		\$ 4,551,729	\$ 200,909	\$	\$ 4,752,638
	Summary,	Last Column, To	tal Budgeted Cos	ts	\$4,752,638
					x 0.05
ration, ir	ncluding Ir	ndirect Costs			\$ 237,632
	5G Fotal Dir My Indir	5G 6600/ 15XX Total Direct Costs White Indirect Costs Sudget Summary,	5G 6600/ 15XX 10,000 15XX \$4,551,729 1% Indirect Costs \$4,551,729 \$4,551,729	5G 6600/ 10,000 Total Direct Costs \$4,551,729 % Indirect Costs \$4,551,729 \$200,909 \$4,551,729 \$200,909	5G 6600/ 10,000 Total Direct Costs \$4,551,729 \$4,551,729 \$ 200,909 \$ Sudget Summary, Last Column, Total Budgeted Costs

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 9.7.16 by WI/I/S of TE/

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Budget Request by Grant Year: Enter the amount of grant funds requested for each year of the three year grant period.

Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$2,000,000

Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$1,752,638 * (request might be \$2M if this will accelerate meeting the goals of the grant)

Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$1,000,000 *(if extra funds requested in year 2 - year 3 request will be reduce by the overage)

* Any Budget Request entered for funds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/campus requesting approval of the waiver for extending the period of availability of these grant funds, whether indicated on Schedule #4B—Program Description: Waiver Requests or not.

Provide any necessary explanation or clarification of budgeted costs

The changes in the budget to reflect the lowering of the number of the staff from 12 to 8, to increase the materials available for the education for the targeted students, to allow for the extra hours of increased instructional time to occur in a progressive manner during the course of the three years of the grant, and to increase the number of staff that can be trained on the programs that we believe will impact our students. Within these items, the overall funding request was reduced from \$6M to approximately \$4.8M to reflect the number of students being served. The major reductions are in the area of travel and contracted services. FISD will look at methods to leveraging other local and future resources to provide these services and sustain the program beyond this grants funding.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

9.10.10 of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs (6100)

Buc	lgeted (Em _l	oloyee Position Titles	Justification	#Full- Time Effort	#Part- Time Effort	Pre-Award	Amount Budgeted
Inst	truction						
1	Teacher		To provide instruction in all subject areas	9		\$	\$ 1,282,878
2	Education	onal Aide	To work in NovaNet Lab	1			71,091
3	Tutor						
Pro	gram Ma	nagement and Administration					T
4	Project Leader	Director / Campus Instructional	To provide overall leadership to the program and provide overall leadership of the academic and related programs at Choices	1			200,909
5							
6	Tarabas	Constitution			 		
<u>7</u> 8	reacher	Supervisor			 		
9	Data En	try Clerk					
10		ccountant/Bookkeeper					
11		or/Evaluation Specialist					
	iliary	or, evaluation operation			. L 		
12	Counsel	or	To provide counseling services to students and families	1			185,454
13	Social V	Vorker	To provide services to students and their families along with link the Choices Program to other service providers in the area	1			146,200
14	Child Ca	are Provider					
15	Commu	nity Liaison/Parent Coordinator					
16	Bus Driv	ver					
17	Cafeteri	a Staff					ļ
18	Libraria	n					
19	School	Nurse					
Oth	er Emplo	yee Positions				r	
22	Title:	Secretary		1			86,545
23	Title:	Teacher Facilitator / Interver Director and provide extra m students.	ntion Coach to assist the ethods of instruction for at risk	1			185,454
			Calla	atal Emple:	voo Costs	\$	2,158,531
26	1		Subto	otal Emplo	yee costs	7	2,130,331
Sub	stitute, l	Extra-Duty, Benefits	d 1			*	\$ 9,000
27	6112	Substitute Pay To allow staff	development during regular day		200 haves	\$	\$ 9,000
28	6119	To increase focus on extended learning time			220,000		
29	6121	increase focus on extended le	To provide out-of-school-time prograr arning time. Incentive pay for increase performance increases and increase i	es in studen	t		80,000
30	6140	Employee Benefits 11.8% of					254,707
31	3140	1 =	Subtotal Substitute, Extra-	Duty, Bene	fits Costs	\$	\$ 563,707
32			Grand Total Payroll Budge	t (line 26 -	+ line 31)	\$	\$ 2,722,238

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on 97.10 by William of TEA

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Amendment No.

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval Total Amount **Expense Item Description** Pre-Award Budgeted Audit Costs (other than audits required under OMB Circular A-133) 621 \$ 2 Specify purpose Rental or Lease of Buildings, Space in Buildings, or Land 626 9 Specify purpose and provide calculation: Contracted Publication and Printing Costs (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter 629 schools) 9 Specify purpose: Scholarships and Fellowships (not allowed for nonprofit charter schools) 629 Specify purpose: Subtotal 6200 - Professional and Contracted Services Cost Requiring Specific Approval Professional and Consulting Services (6219/6239) Less than \$10,000 Total Total Amount Contracted **Pre-Award** Topic/Purpose/Service Amount **Budgeted** \$ \$ 1. 2. 3. Subtotal Professional and Consulting Services Less than \$10,000 **\$** 0 Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 1. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): Academic Youth Development Curriculum / to expand to effectiveness of the academic programs / materials and training will be provided to Choices Total Total Amount # Positions Contracted Pre-Award Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided Budgeted **Amount** Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ 50,000 \$ 50,000 Title: Trainers Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 70,000 70,000 Supplies and Materials 45,000 45,000 Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) Indirect Cost \$ 165,000 Total Payment: \$ 165,000 2. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): College Going Culture Marketing / to change the culture of FISD into one where post-secondary education is common / materials and training will be provided to Choices Total Total Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided Contracted Pre-Award **Amount** # Positions

			Amount		Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	. 000 s	\$ 35,000
20 B	Title: trainers		35,000	ļ*	- + 55/555
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
Section 21 April 20	Supplies and Materials		50,000		50,000
Super	Other Operating Costs		15,000		15,000
1000	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
	Indirect Cost (%)				
		Total Payment:	\$ 100,000	\$	\$ 100,000

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone e-mail/FAX on 97, 10 by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

247-901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.)

Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

3. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Science Curriculum Development / to expand to effectiveness of the academic programs specifically related to science / materials and

training will be provided to Choices

Contractor's Co	ost Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
2007 - Amerika 1000 1000 - Amerika 1000 1000 - Amerika 1000	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	s	\$ 35,000
	Title: trainers		\$ 33,000	Ψ	+ 50/555
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materials		20,000		20,000
	Other Operating Costs		8,000		8,000
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
學是一個學的學	Indirect Cost (%)				
AAU AAU AAU AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		Total Payment:	\$ 63,000	\$	\$ 63,000

4. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Social Studies Curriculum Development / to expand to effectiveness of the academic programs specifically related to social studies /

materials and training will be provided to Choices

Contractor's Co	st Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	\$	\$ 35,000	
	Title: trainers		\$ 33,000	T	+/	
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services					
A September 1	Supplies and Materials		20,000		20,000	
	Other Operating Costs		8,000		8,000	
The state of the s	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)					
	Indirect Cost (%)					
and the second s		Total Payment:	\$ 63,000	\$	\$ 63,000	

5. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Geometry Curriculum Development / to expand to effectiveness of the academic programs specifically related to geometry / materials

and training will be provided to Choices

Contractor's Co	ost Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	\$	\$ 35,000
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Title: trainers		\$ 33,000	T	+ 55,555
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materials		20,000		20,000
	Other Operating Costs		8,000		8,000
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
The second second	Indirect Cost (%)				
		Total Payment:	\$ 63,000	\$	\$ 63,000

6. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

ELA Curriculum Development / to expand to effectiveness of the academic programs specifically related to English language arts /

materials and training will be provided to Choices

Contractor's Co	st Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	\$	\$ 35,000
	Title: trainers				<u>'</u>
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materials		20,000		20,000
	Other Operating Costs		8,000		8,000
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
	Indirect Cost (%)				
		Total Payment:	\$ 63,000	\$	\$ 63,000

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephon

by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

_		
4	mendment	No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.)

Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

7. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Strengths Curriculum Training and Support / to expand to effectiveness of the non-academic programs specifically related to the Gallup

program system / materials and training will be provided to Choices

Contractor's Co	ost Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
me The Company of the Company	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 35,000	\$	\$ 45,000
	Title: trainers		\$ 35,000	ļ -	+ 10/200
The state of the s	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
400	Supplies and Materials		60,000		60,000
	Other Operating Costs		25,000		25,000
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)			1	
(** 1. Pag. 112.) S	Indirect Cost (%)				
		Total Payment:	\$ 120,000	\$	\$ 120,000

7. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Grant evaluation / to provide ongoing formative and summative evaluation of the program / data for formative and summative

program assessments for improvement and to engage in research that will be published on the Choices Program

Contractor's Co	st Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	2	\$ 75,000	\$	\$ 75,000
· 大型模型	Title: Evaluators		73,000	T	
	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materials				<u> </u>
	Other Operating Costs				
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
	Indirect Cost (%)				
		Total Payment:	\$ 75,000	\$	\$ 75,000

8. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

Overall grant management and methods to build community connections / to provide coordination among the various vendors and in

evaluation so that FISD and Program Direct have a extra resource in the management of the grant

	s Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll Costs	1	\$ 36,000	\$	\$ 36,000
	Title: Consultant		4 30,000	T	1
一种人类的基础	Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materials			-	
	Other Operating Costs				
	Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)				
The second section is a second section.	Indirect Cost (%)				# 26 000
	Than see see 1	Total Payment:	\$ 36,000	\$	\$ 36,000
Subtotal: Pr	rofessional and Consulting Services Greater Than or		\$ 36,000 \$ 748,000	\$	\$ 748,000
Subtotal: Pr	S084499001				
	rofessional and Consulting Services Greater Than or	Equal to \$10,000:	\$ 748,000		
Sub	rofessional and Consulting Services Greater Than or ototal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requ	Equal to \$10,000: iring Specific Approval:	\$ 748,000		
Sub Si	professional and Consulting Services Greater Than or obtotal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requulational of Professional and Consulting Services or Subgra	Equal to \$10,000: iring Specific Approval: nts Less than \$10,000:	\$ 748,000		
Sub Si Sui	rofessional and Consulting Services Greater Than or ototal of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requ	Equal to \$10,000: iring Specific Approval: nts Less than \$10,000: an or Equal to \$10,000:	\$ 748,000 748,000		\$ 748,000

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901	
County-District	N٥

by telephone/e-majl/Fax on by (WI/I/S) of TEA

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No

Grand Total \$

by		of TEA.			Amendment No	0.
		•	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
Million Island	3	🐃 Schedule #5D - Itemiz	ed 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs Requ	iring Spec	ific Approva	1
		Ex	pense Item Description		Pre-Award	Total Budgeted
		echnology Hardware- Not Capit	alized	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	#	Туре	Purpose	Quantity		
	1	Desktop Computers	To be used by students and staff in the Choices program for center learning and reporting data on the program	76		
	2	Laptop Computers	To allow staff to work off site and to have a trainings	14		
6399	3	Whiteboards w/projectors	To allow for the full integration of IT into the day- to-day lessons	15	\$	\$ 452,975
	4	Student IT-responders	To allow for students to answer questions in classroom setting	15		
	5	Mobile integrated computer labs using laptop platforms with Elmo, wireless hub, printer and projector	To allow for whole class use of IT-base and web- lessons to extend the instruction in the day-to- day classroom setting	8		
6399	Te	echnology Software- Not Capita	lized			411,625
6399	S	upplies and Materials Associated	d with Advisory Council or Committee			
					Manual Company	
			Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specifi	ic Approval:	1	864,600
		Remaining 6300- S	Supplies and Materials that do not require specif	ic approval:	1	250,000

\$ 1,114,600

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

247-901	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

97.10 of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

БУ	ic Will	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		
- Agrasia	Schedule	#5E - Itemized 6400 Other Operating Costs Requiring Specific	Approval	
		Expense Item Description	Pre-Award	Total Budgeted
	Out of State Trave	l for Employees (includes registration fees)		
6411	Specify purpose:	One national conference per year TBD by committees that will support the goals of Choices Program and increase the knowledge base of the staff for 3 staff	\$	\$ 18,900
6412	Travel for Students required only for n	s (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific approval onprofit charter schools)		
	Specify purpose:			
6440	Stipends for Non-E	imployees (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools)		ł
6413	Specify purpose:			
		ployees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific only for nonprofit charter schools)		12,600
6419	Specify purpose:	One national conference per year TBD by committees that will support the goals of Choices Program and increase the knowledge base of the stakeholders - 2 community members/parents		12,000
6411/	Travel Costs for Ex	recutive Director (6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members (6419)		
6419	(includes registrati	on fees)		6,300
	Specify purpose:	One national-conference per year TBD by committees that will support the goals of Choices Program and increase the knowledge base of the staff for 3 staff		,
6429	Actual losses which	h could have been covered by permissible insurance		
6490		ompensation for Loss or Damage		
6490	Advisory Council/C	Committee Travel or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee on gram Description: Project Management)		
	Membership Dues	in Civic or Community Organizations (Not allowable for University applicants)		
		purpose of organization:		
6499	Publication and Pri schools)	nting Costs- if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter		
	Specify purpose:		TERRITOR DO LOS DE COMO	
		Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific approval	:	37,800
	Remai	ning 6400 – Other Operating Costs that do not require specific approval	:	120,000
				1 + 4 E = 000

\$ 157,800

Grand Total

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 247-901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) 1/INSON County-District No. 97.10 School Years 2010-2013 by telephone/e-ma Amendment No. of TEA Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #5G - Itemized 6600/15XX Capital Outlay- Capitalized Assets Regardless of Unit Cost (15XX is for use by Charter Schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization) Total **Unit Cost Description/Purpose** Quantity Budgeted Pre-Award 6699/15XX- Library Books and Media (capitalized and controlled by library) 10,000 Reference materials and other resource books to be at Choices 66XX/15XX- Technology Hardware - Capitalized 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 66XX/15XX- Technology Software- Capitalized 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 66XX/15XX- Equipment and Furniture 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Capital expenditures for improvements to land, buildings, or equipment which materially increase their value or useful life.

29 Grand Total Total 6600/15XX- Capital Outlay Costs: \$ 10,000

SCHEDULE #6A

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

- A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances,
 - Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (including but not limited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
 - Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
 - Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
 - Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
 - Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part;
 - Applicant means the same as Contractor;
 - SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
 - "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant including the schedules contained in the application and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
 - Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
 - Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and,
 - Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
 - Grant means the same as Contract;
 - Grantee means the same as Contractor;
 - Grantor means the same as Agency; and
 - DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- **B.** Contingency: This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- **C. Contractor's Application:** Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- **D. Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances:** Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

SCHEDULE #6A - cont. GENERAL PROVISIONS & School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System 247-901 County-District No.

- **E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document:** Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- **F. State of Texas Laws:** In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G. Monitoring**: Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, fails or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not limited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.
- J. Indemnification:

For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

K. Encumbrances/Obligations and Liquidations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. The contractor must liquidate (record as an expenditure) all obligations (encumbrances) incurred under the contract no later than 30 days after the ending date of the contract, to coincide with the submission of the final expenditure report, due 30 days after the ending date of the contract. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

SCHEDULE #6A - cont. GENERAL PROVISIONS & School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System 247-901 County-District No.

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that complies with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.
 - Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.
- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Contractor warrants that (i) it has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) it has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

- **S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices**: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.
 - Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.
- **T. Subcontracting:** Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- **U. Use of Consultants:** Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	
SCHEDULE #6A - cont.	Standard Application System	
GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES		247-901
	School Years 2010-2013	County-District No.
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	

- W. Agency Property (terms): In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- **Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions:** The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Failure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- **AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools** agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations** (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or program-specific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A nonprofit organization or university/college grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- **BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs:** Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
 - 1. **Americans With Disabilities Act**, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
 - Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
 - 3. **Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972**, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational institution:
 - 4. **Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973**, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY SCHEDULE #6A - cont. **Standard Application System GENERAL PROVISIONS &** 247-901 **ASSURANCES** School Years 2010-2013 County-District No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (prohibition of discrimination on basis of age), and any regulations issued thereunder, including the provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110;
- the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended (ensures access to educational records for students and parents while protecting the privacy of such records), and any regulations issued thereunder, including Privacy Rights of Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contractor is an educational institution (20
- Section 509 of H.R. 5233 as incorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99-591 (prohibition against the use of federal grant funds to influence legislation pending before Congress);
- Pro-Children Act of 2001, which states that no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elementary, or secondary education or library services to children [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility (or portion of such a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of regular or routine health care or day care or early childhood development (Head Start) services [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(b)(1)]. Any failure to comply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered to be a violation of this Act and any person subject to such prohibition who commits such violation may be liable to the United States for a civil penalty, as determined by the Secretary of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)].
- 9. Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), and Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 USC 327 et seq.), as applicable, and their implementing regulations in 29 CFR 500-899, 29 CFR Parts 1,3,5, and 7, and 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively.
- 10. Buy America Act: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.1-.2).
- 11. P.L. 103-227, Title X, Miscellaneous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate America Act; P.L. 103-382, Title XIV, General Provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; and General Education Provisions Act, as amended.

CC. Federal Regulations Applicable to All Federal Programs:

- 1. For Local Educational Agencies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 2. For Education Service Centers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 3. For Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-21 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 4. For Nonprofit Organizations: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-122 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 5. For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); and
- 6. For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31.

DD. General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or Administered Through or By the U. S. Department of Education:

1. Participation in Planning: Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

SCHEDULE #6A - cont.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & Standard Application System

ASSURANCES

School Years 2010-2013

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program
 described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC
 1232(e)).
- 3. **Sharing of Information:** Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. **Prohibition of Funds for Busing**: The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. **Direct Financial Benefit:** Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **Payment for Services:** Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- **FF. Family Code Applicability**: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG. Interpretation**: In the case of conflicts arising in the interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- **HH. Registered Lobbyists**: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- **Social Security Numbers:** Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- **KK. Student-identifying Information**: Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk will be notified in writing by TEA of the special conditions imposed and the process for removing the high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially fails to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially non-compliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6 B

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11

Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.
- "Participant" Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"— Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except: foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- 1. By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- 3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", "person", "primary covered transaction", "principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount 247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled **Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions**, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency (04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6C.

Lobbying Certification

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federally funded grants greater than \$100,000.

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008
As amended by the Texas Education Agency

11/89 03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

_	
247-901	County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- **A.** Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- **B.** The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- **C.** The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- **D.** The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- **E.** The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- **F.** The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- **G.** The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- **H.** The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- I. Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. **Gun-Free Schools Act:** The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which requires expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- L. Consolidation of Administrative Funds: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	
SCHEDULE #6E - cont.	Standard Application System	
NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES		247-901
	School Years 2010-2013	County-District No.
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U. S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that fails to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. **General Prohibitions**: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choices Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	School reals 2010 2013	County-District No.
NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES	School Years 2010-2013	247-901
	Standard Application System	0.47.004
SCHEDULE #6E - cont.		
	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	

- T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).
- **U.** Assurances related to the **education of homeless children and youths**:
 - (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
 - (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
 - (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
 - (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
 - (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. **Definitions**: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
 - 1. **Charter School:** An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
 - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is **operated under public supervision and control**;
 - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
 - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
 - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction,
 - (E) does not charge tuition;
 - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
 - (G) is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;
 - (H) **agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements** as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically waived for the purpose of this program;
 - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
 - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
 - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
 - 2. **Community-Based Organization**: A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services to individuals in the community.
 - 3. **Core Academic Subjects**: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & Standard Application System ASSURANCES School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

4. Highly Qualified:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that—
 - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
 - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
 - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
 - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
 - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
 - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by-
 - (I) passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
 - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and—
 - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
 - (ii) demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that—
 - (I) is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
 - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
 - (III) provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches;
 - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
 - (V) takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
 - (VI) is made available to the public upon request; and
 - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. **Parental Involvement**: The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
 - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
 - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
 - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
 - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

6. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills;
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- (I) strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
 - (i) involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
 - (ii) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
 - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and
- (B) includes research that:
 - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
 - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
 - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

SCHEDULE #6E - cont.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.
- 8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-
 - (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
 - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that-
 - (I) involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
 - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
 - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the *Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act*. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Federal Statutory Requirements

- 1) The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- 5) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 6) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- 9) The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 13) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
 - b. Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - 2. Select new staff.
 - c. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
 - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies:
 - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

- f. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- g. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- h. Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
- provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.
- 18) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus **may** implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or
 - 2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).
- 19) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school <u>closure model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following requirement.
 - a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.
 - b. A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding.
- 20) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.
 - b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.
- 21) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the **transformation model**, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
 - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
 - 1) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - (2) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
 - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

individual students.

- 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
- 22) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - (A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
 - (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.
- 23) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - (B) Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - (E) In secondary schools--
 - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), earlycollege high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, reengagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 24) An LEA **may** also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-
 - (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
 - (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 2) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

TEA Program Assurances

- Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the **Quarterly Implementation Reports**, the **Model Selection and Description Report**, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu_id=798
 - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
 - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
 - ii. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
 - iii. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
 - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
 - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- 3) The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Model, the applicant must participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors.
- 5) The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students.
- 6) The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models.
- 7) The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors.
- 8) The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved list of CMO and EMO providers.
- 9) The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.
- 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus assures that it will it implement the following federal requirements:
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training.
 - B.Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - C.Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - D.Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals:
 - 1.Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
 - 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
 - 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
 - 11) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
 - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 12) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - A. Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - B. Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - E. In secondary schools--
 - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - 2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - 3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - 4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 13) An LEA **may** also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--
 - A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
 - B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
 - C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
 - D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 14) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as—
 - A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
 - B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
- 15) The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested.
 - a. Number of minutes within the school year.
 - b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
 - c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
 - d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
 - e. Teacher Attendance Rate
 - f. Student Completion Rate
 - g. Student Drop-Out Rate
 - h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
 - i. Types of support offered to teachers
 - j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
 - k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
 - I. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
 - m. Strategies which increase student learning time

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

Terms defined:

RECIPIENT – The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS – The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

- **A. One-Time Funding**: Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.
- **B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations**: Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901
County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances.
- **D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes:** The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.
- **E. DUNS Number:** All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA http://www.FederalReporting.gov/. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).
- **F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at http://www.ccr.gov. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.
- **G. ARRA Reporting Requirements:** The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

1. **Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds**: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

SCHEDULE #6F PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES School Year 2010-2013 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System 247-901 County-District No.

3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.

4. **Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA**: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the <u>Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282)</u>, TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (http://www.FederalReporting.gov). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- i. The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- I. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- b. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

247-901

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

5. **Posting the Information on Public Website**: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

- H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted. Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.
- **I. Availability of Records:** The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.
- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. **Reviews by Inspector General:** The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. **Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board:** Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the www.recovery.gov website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES	Standard Application System School Year 2010-2013	
SCHEDULE #6F	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	

- 6. **Recovery Independent Advisory Panel**: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.
- **J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct:** Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.
- **K. Protection for Whistleblowers**: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; or (5) a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract), or grant, awarded or issued relating to ARRA funds. A person who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal may submit a complaint regarding the reprisal to the inspector general for the appropriate federal agency (in most cases, the U.S. Department of Education).
- **L. Use of Funds:** The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:
- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is
 not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is
 provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were
 created or saved with ARRA funds.
- Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program. ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A
 schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to
 identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the
 types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. **Special Contracting Provisions**: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the www.recovery.gov website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	
SCHEDULE #6F		
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES	Standard Application System	
	School Year 2010-2013	_247-901_
		County-District No.
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	

- 4. **Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited:** ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- 6. **Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods:** If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. **Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act:** Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).
- M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards: Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule

•						
	For TEA Use Only					
	ments and/or annotation			Floresville ISD		247-901
on this pag	e have been confirmed	with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	Organization Name	(County-District#
			Standard Application System	Floresville Choices Pro	ogram	247-901-004
			(SAS)	Campus Name		Campus Number
			C-h V 2010 2012	74-6000848		20
by telephor	ne/e-mail/FAX on	by	School Years 2010-2013	9-Digit Vendor ID#		ESC Region
				10552001711.00	23	
		of TEA.		NOGA ID# (Assigned by		Amendment #
		Tex	as Title I Priority Schools Gr	ant		
			hedule #1 - General Informatio			
			his system provides a series of standard			
who apply f	for funds administered to	by the Texas	Education Agency. If additional clarifica 1003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFD	tion is needed, please o	all 512-460	3-9269.
	ginning Date: 08/01/			A # 64.377A & 64.36 t Ending Date <i>: 06/30</i>		
			or the campus included in this a		<u> </u>	
	Tier II [x] Tier III		•	•		
	dex to the Applica		,	1.		
			hose schedules that must be submitted			
place an X i	in this column for each a	additional sch	edule submitted to complete the application next to the schedule(s) being submitted.	ation. For amendments,	the applica	ant must
	7 B	ication colum	mext to the schedule(s) being submitte	ed as part of the amend		lication
Sch No.	Schedule Name				New	Amend
1	General Information				X	X
3	Purpose of Amendme				NA	
4	Program Requirement	S			X	
4A 4B	Program Abstract					+H
4C	Program Description Performance Assessment and Evaluation					H
4D	Equitable Access and Participation					
5	Program Budget Summary					<u> </u>
5B	Payroll Costs 6100					
5C 5D	Professional and Contracted Services 6200 Supplies and Materials 6300					
5E	Other Operating Costs				X X	
5G	Capital Outlay 6600/1		ve of 6619 and 6629)		X	
6A	General Provisions				<u>X</u>	NA
6B 6C	Debarment and Susper Lobbying Certification		ration		X	NA NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbying					T NA
6E	NCLB Provisions and A				X	NA
6F	Program-Specific Prov		surances		Х	NA
	ion and Incorporat					
			n this application is, to the best of my k			
			tative to obligate this organization in a l Il be conducted in accordance with all a			
regulations,	application guidelines	and instructio	ns, the Provisions and Assurances, Deb	arment and Suspension	, lobbying i	requirements,
Special Prov	visions and Assurances,	and the sche	dules attached as applicable. It is under	rstood by the applicant	that this ap	plication
Authorize		o by the Ager	cy or renegotiated to acceptance, will f	orm a binding agreeme	nt.	
Typed First		Initial La	st Name	Title		
David	, turno		nson	Superintendent		
Phone	Fax	E	mail	Signature/nate	_	
_			41111111111111			
				•		
				, ,		
			-	.:		3, 2010:
	Education Agency		•			•
	ı B. Travis Bldg. ent Control Center, Roon	n 6-108		TEA DOCUMEN	IT CONTRO	J 81-
	lorth Congress Avenue	. 5 100	William Committee Co	TEA DOCUMEN	a CONTRO	142
	Texas 78701-1494			-01.10)-114	7
				701-10	-	

Page 1 of 95

× 2		

		_			
	For TEA Use Only nents and/or annotations made the have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)			
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.		
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
		Schedule #1—General Information			
Part 2:	List of Required Fisca	I-Related Attachments and Assurances	. 1		
accompa any revis	any the application when it i	plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to chments, after the closing date of the grant. Att dix.	submit required attachments, or		
	200 (application)	Proof of Nonprofit Status	Control of the Contro		
1 🔲	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:				
	rt 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines				
		Assurance of Financial Stability	Service Control of the Control of th		
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:				
Check box to indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school distriple enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the reaction audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standar reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial to preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determined in the requirements have been met. All public school distriple to the immediance with submitting the reservation and the requirements have been met. All public school distriple to the requirements have been me					
	Experience of the control of the con	Assurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa	tion Form		
	Required for all applicant	ts:			
3 [x]	Check box to indicate ass	surance that reviewer information form will be	submitted.		
All applicants are required to complete the Reviewer Information Form and to submit it online by Thursday , 2010 . (See Part 2: Program Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instructions on how to access and the form.)					

No.	and the second	
		I
		1
		ı
		ı
		I
		I
		I
		ı
		ı
		I
		ı

•						
	For TEA Use Only					
	ments and/or annotation			Floresville ISD		247-901
on this pag	e have been confirmed	with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	Organization Name	(County-District#
			Standard Application System	Floresville Choices Pro	ogram	247-901-004
			(SAS)	Campus Name		Campus Number
			C-h V 2010 2012	74-6000848		20
by telephor	ne/e-mail/FAX on	by	School Years 2010-2013	9-Digit Vendor ID#		ESC Region
				10552001711.00	23	
		of TEA.		NOGA ID# (Assigned by		Amendment #
		Tex	as Title I Priority Schools Gr	ant		
			hedule #1 - General Informatio			
			his system provides a series of standard			
who apply f	for funds administered to	by the Texas	Education Agency. If additional clarifica 1003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFD	tion is needed, please o	all 512-460	3-9269.
	ginning Date: 08/01/			A # 64.377A & 64.36 t Ending Date <i>: 06/30</i>		
			or the campus included in this a		<u> </u>	
	Tier II [x] Tier III		•	•		
	dex to the Applica		,	1.		
			hose schedules that must be submitted			
place an X i	in this column for each a	additional sch	edule submitted to complete the application next to the schedule(s) being submitted.	ation. For amendments,	the applica	ant must
	7 B	ication colum	mext to the schedule(s) being submitte	ed as part of the amend		lication
Sch No.	Schedule Name				New	Amend
1	General Information				X	X
3	Purpose of Amendme				NA	
4	Program Requirement	S			X	
4A 4B	Program Abstract					+H
4C	Program Description Performance Assessment and Evaluation					H
4D	Equitable Access and Participation					
5	Program Budget Summary					<u> </u>
5B	Payroll Costs 6100					
5C 5D	Professional and Contracted Services 6200 Supplies and Materials 6300					
5E	Other Operating Costs				X X	
5G	Capital Outlay 6600/1		ve of 6619 and 6629)		X	
6A	General Provisions				<u>X</u>	NA
6B 6C	Debarment and Susper Lobbying Certification		ration		X	NA NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbying					T NA
6E	NCLB Provisions and A				X	NA
6F	Program-Specific Prov		surances		Х	NA
	ion and Incorporat					
			n this application is, to the best of my k			
			tative to obligate this organization in a l Il be conducted in accordance with all a			
regulations,	application guidelines	and instructio	ns, the Provisions and Assurances, Deb	arment and Suspension	, lobbying i	requirements,
Special Prov	visions and Assurances,	and the sche	dules attached as applicable. It is under	rstood by the applicant	that this ap	plication
Authorize		o by the Ager	cy or renegotiated to acceptance, will f	orm a binding agreeme	nt.	
Typed First		Initial La	st Name	Title		
David	, turno		nson	Superintendent		
Phone	Fax	E	mail	Signature/nate	_	
_			41111111111111			
				•		
				, ,		
			-	.:		3, 2010:
	Education Agency		•			•
	ı B. Travis Bldg. ent Control Center, Roon	n 6-108	.	TEA DOCUMEN	IT CONTRO	J 81-
	lorth Congress Avenue	. 5 100	William Communication Communic	TEA DOCUMEN	a CONTRO	142
	Texas 78701-1494			-01.10)-114	7
				701-10	-	

Page 1 of 95

× 2		

		_		
For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	247-901_ County-District No.	
by telepho	ne/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.	
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		
		Schedule #1—General Information		
Part 2:	List of Required Fisca	I-Related Attachments and Assurances	. 1	
accompa any revis	any the application when it i	plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to chments, after the closing date of the grant. Att dix.	submit required attachments, or	
1 🔲	Proof of Nonprofit Status			
	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:			
	Check box to indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines and Part 3: Schedule Instructions for acceptable proof.)			
2 [x]	Assurance of Financial Stability			
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:			
	Check box to indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit must be determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standards. TEA reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial time of preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determine financial stability based on the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year.			
3 [x]	Experience of the control of the con	Assurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa	tion Form	
	Required for all applicant	ts:		
	Check box to indicate assurance that reviewer information form will be submitted.			
	All applicants are required to complete the Reviewer Information Form and to submit it online by Thursday, May 6, 2010 . (See Part 2: Program Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instructions on how to access and submit the form.)			

No.	Section 1	,
		I
		ı
		I
		ı
		1
		I
		1
		1
		I
		ı
		I