· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	For TEA Use Only				1	
	ments and/or annotations and the second terminate in t		TEXAS EDUCATION	Fort Worth ISD Organization Name		220-905 County-District#
on this pag	je nave been committee		AGENCY	Organization value	} `	country District
			Standard Application System	Forest Oak MS		045
			(SAS)	Campus Name	(ampus Number
1 '	ne/e-mail/FAX			756001613		XI
on		by	School Years 2010-2013	9-Digit Vendor ID#	1	ESC Region
		•	1			
<u> </u>		of TEA.		NOGA ID# (Assigned by	TEA)	Amendment #
			as Title I Priority Schools G			
			edule #1 - General Information			
			his system provides a series of standa the Texas Education Agency. If addit			
463-9269.	who apply for falles ac	ministered by	the reads Education Agency. If addit	ional cial fileacion is fiee	ded, pieds	can 512
			1003(g), as amended by ARRA; CF			
	ginning Date: 08/01			ect Ending Date: 06/3	30 <u>/2013</u>	
			or the campus included in this	application:		
	Tier II 🗌 Tier III 🗵					
	ndex to the Application" colu		those schedules that must be submitted	tod ac part of the applic	ation The	applicant
must place	an X in this column for	r each addition	al schedule submitted to complete the	e application. For amen	dments, th	e applicant
must place	an X in the Amendmer	nt Application o	column next to the schedule(s) being	submitted as part of the	e amendme	ent.
Sch No.	Schedule Name					ication
					New	Amend
$\frac{1}{3}$	General Information Purpose of Amendme	nnt-			X	X
4	Program Requiremen				NAX	
4A	Program Abstract				X	
48	Program Description				X	
4C	Performance Assessn		ation		X	
4D 5	Equitable Access and Program Budget Sum				<u>X</u>	 ₩
5B	Payroll Costs 6100	iiitai y			X	X
5C	Professional and Cont	tracted Service	es 6200		X	
5D	Supplies and Material				Х	
5E	Other Operating Cost				X	
5G 6A	Capital Outlay 6600/1 General Provisions	15XX (Exclusiv	e of 6619 and 6629)		X	NA NA
6B	Debarment and Susp	ension Certifica	ation		<u>^</u>	NA NA
6C	Lobbying Certification				X	NA
6D	Disclosure of Lobbyin				X	
6E	NCLB Provisions and				X	NA NA
6F Cortificat	Program-Specific Provion and Incorporation		surances		x	NA
			this application is, to the best of my	knowledge, correct and	that the	organization
named abov	e has authorized me a	is its represent	ative to obligate this organization in	a legally binding contra	ctual agree	ment. I
			ivity will be conducted in accordance			
regulations,	application guidelines s Special Provisions a	and instruction	ns, the Provisions and Assurances, De s, and the schedules attached as appli	ebarment and Suspension	on, lobbyin by the ann	g licant that
this applicat	ion constitutes an offer	r and, if accept	ted by the Agency or renegotiated to	acceptance, will form a	binding ac	reement.
Authorize						
Typed First	Name		Name	Title /		
Melody	1		nson		1-	
Phone 817.871.243	Fax 817.871.24	97 Tra	iall icy,marshall@fwisd.org		K	preferred)
	gally responsible par					5/24/2010
			h original signature(s), must be		ın	e 3,
2010:		,,	o danier o annier o doss, image o d			,
	ducation Agency		•			
	B. Travis Bldg. ent Control Center, Roon	n 6-108	21 (4.13) 5-1 (2.13)	YEA DOCUM	ENT CONTE	O' NO.
1701 N	orth Congress Avenue			, 27, 2000111	- 41	10
Austin,	Texas 78701-1494			101-10-	112-1	LO
			motor of the state of	101-10		
				-		

	For TEA Use Only nents and/or annotations made	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	220005		
on this pag	e have been confirmed with	Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.		
by telephor	ne/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013			
by	of TEA.	School Jeans 2010-2015	Amendment No.		
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant			
		Schedule #1—General Information			
Part 2:	List of Required Fisca	I-Related Attachments and Assurances			
accompa any revis	my the application when it i	plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to chments, after the closing date of the grant. Att dix.	submit required attachments, or		
		Proof of Nonprofit Status			
1 🗍	Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:				
	Check box to indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines and Part 3: Schedule Instructions for acceptable proof.)				
		Assurance of Financial Stability			
	Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:				
2 🛭	Check box to indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school districts, open- enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit must be determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standards. TEA reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial time of preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determine financial stability based on the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year.				
		Assurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa	tion Form		
	Required for all applicant	ts:			
3 ⊠	Check box to indicate ass	surance that reviewer information form will be	submitted.		
		o complete the Reviewer Information Form and to so Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for Instr			

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations ma on this page have been confirmed with					N AGENCY System (SAS)			220905 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		Sch	ool Years	20	10-2013		Ì	
by of T	EA.	ovene Tit	o T Drier		Coboole Cu			Amendment No.
					Schools Gr al Informati			the second secon
Part 3: Applicant Inform		chedule	#I del	ie,	ai ziiiOi iiiati	101	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ture of Approunce and the	40,0,1							
Local Educational Agency	v (LEA) I	Informat	tion		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LEA Name						_		
Fort Worth Independent School	ol District							
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mailing A	Address Lir	ne - 2	Ci	ty		State	Zip Code
100 N University Drive	Ste. SW	204		Fo	ort Worth		TX	76107
U.S. Congressional District Number	Pri	mary DUN	S Number		Central Contract (CCR) CAGE Co			NCES Identification Number
12	073	3177776		1	ICKT2			4819700
Campus Name						\Box	County-Distric	t Campus Number
Forest Oak MS							045	
Mailing Address Line - 1	Mailing A	ddress Lir	ne – 2	Ci	ty		State	Zip Code
3221 Pecos				Fo	rt Worth		TX	76119
Applicant Contacts	1						1, 3	The same of the sa
Primary Contact								
First Name	Initial		Last Nam	e_				Title
Tracy	L		Marshall					Executive Director, Grants & Development
Telephone	Fax				Email			
817.871.2452	817.871.2				Tracy.marsha	T-		
Mailing Address Line - 1		ddress Lir	ne - 2	_Ci			tate	Zip Code
100 N University Drive	Ste. SW	204		Fo	rt Worth	Ţ	X	76107
Secondary Contact		,						
First Name	Initial		Last Nam	<u>e</u> _				Title
Renae			Ruffin		I			Director
Telephone	Fax	2744			E-mail_	20		
817-871-2357 Mailing Address Line - 1	817-871-2	ddress Lir	2 1	Cit	Renae.ruffin@	\bar{r}	tate	Zip Code
100 N University Drive	Ste. NW		16 - 2	-	rt Worth	T		76107
100 N Offiversity Drive	Ste. IVVV	230-D			il Worth	1 1	^	76107
-								

	stments and/	or annotations made en confirmed with			ION AGENCY n System (SAS)		20905 -District No.
by telep	hone/e-mall/	FAX on of TEA.	School Ye	ars :	2010-2013		dment No.
		<u> </u>	Texas Title I Pr	iorit	ty Schools Grant	Zanori	difficile froi
					se of Amendment		., ., ., ., ., .,
			Check all schedules				
			nendment, please ind porting budget sched				schedules are
	Schedule	#1—General Inform	nation		Schedule #5—Prog	ram Budget Summ	ary
					Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs 6100		
	Schedule	#4Program Requi	rements		Schedule #5C-Pro 6200	fessional and Cont	racted Services
	Schedule	#4A—Program Abst	ract		Schedule #5D-Sup	oplies and Materials	s 6300
	Schedule	#4B—Program Desc	cription		Schedu	er Operating Costs	6400
	Schedule Evaluation	#4C— Performance	Assessment and		Sched 5 6619 562	tal Outlay 6600/1	5XX (Exclusive of
	Schedule Participati	#4D—Equitable Accion	ess and				
NOTE	: The last	day to submit an	amount to	9	0 d or to the	ending date of th	ne grant.
Part	2: Revise	ed Budget				1100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Compl	ete this pa	rt if there are any b	y ch				
Line	Sch.	Class/	t Project Costs pusly Approved	T	В	С	D
No.	No.	Object Code	Budget		Amount Deleted	Amount Added	
01	5B				Allioutic Deleted	Amount Added	New Budget
		6100	\$		\$	\$	New Budget
02	5C	6100 6200	\$				
02	5C 5D		7		\$	\$	\$
		6200	\$		\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
03	5D	6200 6300	\$		\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$
03 04	5D 5E	6200 6300 6400	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$
03 04 05	5D 5E 5G Total Direct Costs	6200 6300 6400 6600/15XX	\$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$
03 04 05 06	5D 5E 5G Total Direct Costs	6200 6300 6400 6600/15XX \$	\$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Γ	For TEA Use Only		
	ustments and/or annotations made page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by tele	phone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
		Schedule #3—Purpose of Amendment	
Part	3: Reason for Amendme	nt Request. For all grants, regardless of do	llar amount, check the
appro	opriate box to indicate reas	on for amendment request.	
	1. Addition of a class/object	code not previously budgeted on Schedule #5—B	Sudget Summary
		mount approved in any class/object code on Sch % of the current amount approved in the class/o	
	3. Addition of a new line iten	on any of the supporting budget schedules (i.e.	, Schedules #5B-5G)
	4. Increase or decrease in th	e number of positions budgeted on School	3-Payroll Costs
	Supplies and Materials	computer hardware/equipment (not o	proved on Schedule #5C—
	6. Addition of a new item or Capital Outlay for articles cos		0 approved on Schedule #5G—
	7. Addition of a new item of less than \$5,000.	capital outley items ed on	pital Outlay for articles costing
	8. Reduction of funds allotted	i for	
	9. Additional funds needed		
	10. Change in scope of object approval	tive rdless an associate	ed budget revision requiring prior
	11. Other (Specify)		
Part	4: Amendment Justificat	ion	à

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is 90 days prior to the ending date of the grant.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

220905 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4—Program Summary and Application Requirements

Part 1: Grant Program Information;

Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

of TEA.

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

Allowable Activities

Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4—Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 220905 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4-Program Requirements **Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where** Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described Comprehensive Needs The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school Assessment 1 and selected an intervention for each school and selected an intervention for each school. The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to Project Management—Capacity Project Management-LEA provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in 2 the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required Support activities of the school intervention model it has selected. Project Management-Lack of If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve 3 Capacity each Tier I school. The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement Program Abstract interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the Intervention Model services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement. The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and Project Management-External 5 select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality. **Providers** The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources Project Management—Resource 6 with the interventions. Management **Program Budget Summary** The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or Project Management-Management of Grant 7 policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully Activities and effectively. Project Management—Program The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms Continuation and 8 after the funding period ends. Sustainability Project Management—Activity The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement 9 the selected intervention in each campus. Timeline The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's Performance Assessment and assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has Evaluation—Annual 10 established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school Performance Goals improvement funds. As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the Comprehensive Needs LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its Assessment—Groups of **Participants** campus 11 Project Management-Partnerships/Involvement of Others Program Assurances Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds 12 allocated to the campus. Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to Program Assurances

Program Assurances

implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school

that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements. Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student

achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the

TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.

13

	djustments	r TEA Use Only and/or annotations made ve been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by te	elephone/e-	mall/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
			Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
			Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
D	art 21 6	tatutami Baguirama		The state of the s
	ail 2: 5	tatutory Requireme	1109	Bulliana Campanana Makaya
#			ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
15	I or Tie	r II school, include in its e charter operator, chart ement organization (EMC	at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tic contract or agreement terms and provisions to er management organization (CMO), or education of accountable for complying with the final federal	n
16			at it will report to the TEA the school-level data e final federal requirements.	Program Assurances
17	If the L	EA/campus selects to implement the following of Replace the principal a flexibility (including in implement fully a compimprove student achieved graduation rates; Use locally adopted complements; Selectly adopted complements; Sereen all existing. Select new staff Implement such strate opportunities for promoundations that are designed skills necessary to measible staff ongoing, development that is all instructional program are equipped to facilitate capacity to successfully Adopt a new governanto, requiring the school or SEA, hire a "turnaro Superintendent or Chiecontract with the LEA of greater accountability; Use data to identify an research-based and veas aligned with State a Promote the continuou interim, and summatives.	plement the <u>turnaround model</u> , the campus ederal requirements. Indigrant the principal sufficient operational staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to prehensive approach in order to substantially wement outcomes and increase high school impetencies to measure the effectiveness of staffing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and gies as financial incentives, increased obtion and career growth, and more flexible work igned to recruit, place, and retain staff with the set the needs of the students in the turnaround ingh-quality, job-embedded professional gned with the school's comprehensive and designed with school staff to ensure that the te effective teaching and learning and have the primplement school reform strategies; are structure, which may include, but is not limited to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LE und leader" who reports directly to the off Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year of SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for the dimplement an instructional program that is retically aligned from one grade to the next as we	d d d
	h. i.	learning time (as define	cial-emotional and community-oriented services	

_			
	For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by to	elephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
P	art 2: Statutory Requireme		<u> </u>
		the state of the s	Primary Component Where
#	Requirement Description - F	ederal Statutory Requirements	Described
18	may implement the following for	plement the <u>turnaround model</u> , the campus ederal requirements. d permissible activities under the transformation	Program Assurances
		.g., themed, dual language academy).	
19	If the LEA/campus selects to im must implement the following a. Enroll the students who that are higher achieving school and may include schools for which achieving	plement the school closure model, the campus	Program Assurances
20	If the LEA/campus selects to im implement the following federal a. Convert or close and reacharter management management organizal rigorous review proces operates or manages of functions and resource profit organization that LEA. b. Enroll, within the grade attend the school.	eopen the school under a charter school operator, organization (CMO), or an education cion (EMO) that has been selected through a s. A CMO is a non-profit organization that harter schools by centralizing or sharing certain among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-provides "whole-school operation" services to an es it serves, any former student who wishes to	Program Assurances
21	must implement the following f 1. Develop and increase t (A) Replace the print of the transformation of the	eacher and school leader effectiveness. scipal who led the school prior to commencement nodel; ansparent, and equitable evaluation systems for	

A	For TEA Use Only adjustments and/or annotations made		
	his page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by t	elephone/e-mail/FAX on		County District No.
by to	of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
P	art 2: Statutory Requireme	ents	T 5.1.
#		Federal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described
21	effective teaching and I implement school refor (E) Implement such strate opportunities for proconditions that are diskills necessary to mischool. 2. Comprehensive instruct (A) Use data to identify in the conformative, interest as well as (B) Promote the conformative, interest differentiate instruction individual studies. 3. Increasing learning time (A) Establish scheditime; and (B) Provide ongoing engagement. 4. Providing operational fication (A) Give the school calendars/time, comprehensive achievement our rates; and (B) Ensure that the	stegles as financial incentives, increased smotion and career growth, and more flexible work lesigned to recruit, place, and retain staff with the leet the needs of the students in a transformation ctional reform strategies. Intify and implement an instructional program that led and vertically aligned from one grade to the aligned with State academic standards; and intinuous use of student data (such as from lim, and summative assessments) to inform and struction in order to meet the academic needs of lents. The end creating community-oriented schools, ules and strategies that provide increased learning in mechanisms for family and community dexibility and sustained support. Sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, and budgeting) to implement fully a approach to substantially improve student approach to substantially improve student steomes and increase high school graduation.	
	designated exte	related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a ernal lead partner organization (such as a school anization or an EMO).	
	An LEA may also implement ot	her strategies to develop teachers' and school	Program Assurances
22	necessary to meet the	pensation to attract and retain staff with the skills needs of the students in a transformation school; measuring changes in instructional practices	
	(C) Ensure that the school	is not required to accept a teacher without the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 220905 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements **Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where** Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such **Program Assurances** as--(A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B) Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E) In secondary schools-(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, 23 and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework; (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies; (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performancebased assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate. An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and **Program Assurances** create community-oriented schools, such as-(A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and communitybased organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such 24 strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff: (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or prekindergarten.

	For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made nis page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.	
by to	elephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.	
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements		
P	art 2: Statutory Requireme	The second secon		
#		ederal Statutory Requirements	Primary Component Where Described	
25	and intensive support, such as- (A) Allow the school to be as a turnaround division	run under a new governance arrangement, such on within the LEA or SEA; or school-based budget formula that is weighted	Program Assurances	
26		ier I and Tier II schools is prohibited from on model in more than 50% of those schools.	Program Assurances	
	submitting the application an	d signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify component descriptions and activities.	ing that all requirements are	
	t 3: Statutory Assurances			
#	Statutory Assurance Descrip	tion		
1		at financial assistance provided under the grant pr nd local funds allocated to the campus.	ogram will supplement, and not	
2	Applicant provides assurance th	at it will use its TTIPS Grant to implement fully and		
3	each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements. Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the			
4	contract or agreement terms an	at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier of provisions to hold the charter operator, charter of ation accountable for complying with the final fede	management organization, or	
5		at it will report to the TEA the school-level data rec		
6	Applicant provides assurance th	at it will participate in any evaluation of the grant ding its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency		
Par	t 4: TEA Program Assuran	ces		
#	TEA Assurance Description			
1	activities have been completed. Quarterly Implementation Reported technical assistance. a. The Model Selection and This report may be submoduled to the follow in the follow in the follow in the graph	s are made available, the grantee must demonstra Successful completion of the early implementation rts (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report Must be submitted to TEA no Initted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees ring activities: Needs Assessment process. ant budget by the required categories. It description of the intervention model. It activities to implement selected intervention model. It is a contractivities of Grant Activities.	will be measured in the ort, and through participation in ater than February 1, 2011 . must demonstrate successful	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY on this page have been confirmed with 220905 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and 2 authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant. The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant 3 orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices. For the LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Models the applicant provide assurances that it will participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum 5 and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students. The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the 6 grant intervention models. The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors. 7 The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's 8 approved list of CMO and EMO providers. The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity. If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus assures That it will it implement the following federal requirements. 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training. B. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so: C. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subjectspecific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the 10 school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and D. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals: 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement

	For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by to	elephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.
		Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	
		Schedule #4—Program Requirements	
Pa	rt 4: TEA Program Assuran	ces	
#	TEA Assurance Description		and the first of the second se
10	aligned from one gr B. Promote the continuous assessments) to information students. 3. Increasing learning time A. Establish schedules B. Provide ongoing me 4. Providing operational flux A. Give the school suffinglement fully a confinence of high school B. Ensure that the school suffice or an increasing state of the search of the searc	and implement an instructional program that is rade to the next as well as aligned with State acauous use of student data (such as from formative orm and differentiate instruction in order to mee and creating community-oriented schools. and strategies that provide increased learning tichanisms for family and community engagement exibility and sustained support. To icient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calomprehensive approach to substantially improve I graduation rates; and ool receives ongoing, intensive technical assistantlesignated external lead partner organization (support).	demic standards; and e, interim, and summative at the academic needs of individual time; and t. endars/time, and budgeting) to student achievement outcomes and ance and related support from the uch as a school turnaround
11	(A) Provide additional cor the students in a tran (B) Institute a system for development; or (C) Ensure that the school	ner strategies to develop teachers' and school lead in pensation to attract and retain staff with the sk sformation school; measuring changes in instructional practices result is not required to accept a teacher without the of the teacher's seniority.	ills necessary to meet the needs of sulting from professional
12	An LEA may also implement con (A) Conduct periodic review intended impact on student a school with the control of the control	mprehensive instructional reform strategies, such we to ensure that the curriculum is being implemedent achievement, and is modified if ineffective; de "response-to-intervention" model; corts and professional development to teachers a support students with disabilities in the least restriction students acquire language skills to master nology-based supports and interventions as part officient students for students to enroll in advent; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology those that incorporate rigorous an extual learning opportunities), early-college high gracademies that prepare students for college and the designed to ensure that low-achieving students sework; ansition from middle to high school through sumples; in rates through, for example, credit-recovery professional struction and propositions and mathematics skills; or ming systems to identify students who may be at	nented with fidelity, is having the send principals in order to implement trictive environment and to ensure er academic content; of the instructional program; and vanced coursework (such as nology, engineering, and d relevant project-, inquiry-, or schools, dual enrollment programs, and careers, including by providing the can take advantage of these themer transition programs or ograms, re-engagement strategies, erformance-based assessments,

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Toyac Title I Priority Schools Grant

	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements
Pa	rt 4: TEA Program Assurances
#	TEA Assurance Description
13	An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
14	The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
15	The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. a. Number of minutes within the school year. b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only) d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only) e. Teacher Attendance Rate f. Student Completion Rate g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses i. Types of support offered to teachers j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators l. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement m. Strategies which increase student learning time

above assurances.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		
	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Assembly No.
by of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant	Amendment No.
	Schedule #4A—Program Abstract	
Part 1: Grant Eligibility		The second secon
	ier II Eligible Campus 🛛 Tier III Eligible Ca	nmpus
	Campus the applicant will implement.	ing in a mark the property of the square of the same o
Option 1: LEA/campus currently		
Option 2: LEA/campus in need of		
Part 2: Grant Program Summary	Provide a brief overview of the program you pla	n to implement on the campus.
and goals, rationale for program des intervention model to be selected. A of whether the LEA/campus has sele	res such as your local program goals and objecting, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, or a response to this question must be completed in cted an intervention model at this time or not. brovided, front side only, with a font size no small	weaknesses to be addressed by the n the original submission regardless
Forest Oak Middle School is an inner-cit campus has seen a shift in demographics teacher's need more training on English properly serve their ever changing popula 29.4%, nearly 10% higher than the state passing Science. With the high level of p time be used at the optimal level, and all Oak is located is one of the most impove the least amount of family income, and i students, low educational attainment, limit and around this school. Given these fact local churches and/ or community centers	ty urban school with 35.6% African American, 59.5% is over the last five years, last year alone the number of Language Learners (ELL) and Sheltered Instruction ation Over 83.9% of the families are economically disact average of 19.8%. TAKS scores are low in most are everty and high mobility at the school, it is essential the data and leadership resources be focused on improving rished in Tarrant County, 71.3% of adults have a high son general the highest rates for poverty, low per capitated English proficiency and linguistic isolation, and sing ors home school communication is difficult, which will and providing parent transportation to school functions	Hispanic, and 3.3% white students. The Hispanic students increased by 5%. The Observation Protocol (SIOP) in order to dvantaged and student mobility is high at as, with as low as 17% of ELL students nat every possible minute of instructional g instruction. The Zip Code where Forest school diploma or less, house holds have a income, unemployment, disadvantaged le parent households are concentrated in be addressed by having meetings at the
emphasis on adult behavloral change th	urnaround has been chosen because of its alignment at will provide capacity for long-term academic growth a le) developed to address gaps, barriers and weakness	and student success.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with				đe	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)					220905 County-District No.						
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.			EA.	School Years 2010-2013						Amendment No.						
					Texa	s Titi	e I Pr	iority	Scho	ols Gr	ant					
					0-5-14-14-27-33-7-23-5	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	A SECURITY OF THE SECURITY OF	Progr	am De	escrip	tion					
	t 1: Compre				\ssess	ment	<u> </u>									
Sec	tion A: Camp						<u></u>		<u> </u>			<u></u>			<u> </u>	and the second
Τv	pe of School		<u>lumbe</u>	r of St	udent	s Enro	lled ir	Grade	e Leve	ls on ti	ne Can		o be S	erved		Grant
		PK	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Pul	olic School	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	370	332	0	0	0	0	707
Open- Enrollment Charter School		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tot	al Students:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	370	332	0	0	0	0	707
										To	tal In	struct	tional S	Staff		51
											To	tal Su	pport S	Staff		7
Sec	tion B: Data	Sourc	es Rev	riewed	or to	be Re	viewe	d in th	e Com	preher	sive l	Needs	Asses	sment	Proce	255
L	Annual TAKS	data f	or all c	ontent	areas	and su	bgroup	s	".							
2	Campus Impr	ovem	ent Ne	eds Ass	sessme	nt (CII	NA)									
3	Comprehensi	ve Edu	ıcation	Impro	vemen	t Plan ((CEIP)						_			
1	Curriculum Ba	ased A	ssessn	nents (CBAs)											
5	Class Grades															
5	Student & Sta	aff Atte	endand	e data												
,	PIEMS data													_		
3	Discipline dat	a														****
•	Teacher mobi	lity da	ta													
LO	School Climat	te data	-teac	her, pa	arent a	nd stud	lent su	rveys								
l 1	Learning Wall	k Reco	rds													
L 2	Parent Involv	ement	Activit	ty Reco	ords											
3	Staff In-Servi	ce Rec	ords (Type o	f In-Se	rvice	Attend:	ance F	valuati	0p)						

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

of TEA.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

The needs assessment process includes three levels, with planning at both LEA and campus levels:

- 1. On an LEA basis, school leadership directors and assistant superintendents, along with curriculum and instruction leadership, met in Spring 2010 to review options for school improvement and create recommendations aligned to available district and campus-level data. Based on past year results and knowledge of current campus status, recommendations were prepared for campuses as to type of reform process. Data review included both quantitative and qualitative measures, including:
 - Campus AEIS Data
 - TAKS Reading Results
 - TAKS Math Results
 - TAKS Science Results
 - TAKS Social Studies Results
 - . Campus Climate Surveys administered to students, parents, and faculty
 - Curriculum Based Assessment (CBA) Results
- 2. Using similar data sources, consultations were then held with campuses regarding options and needs before making a final determination of recommended reform interventions. Initial campus assessments for transformation needs were conducted by district directors, campus principals, and assistant principals, with input from other school, parent, and community leaders and project development staff.

Based on specific concerns of each campus and their confirmation by data review, recommendations were made for the general direction of school improvement through transformation which are detailed in this application, along with suggestions for targeted programs and activities and appropriate budgets aligned to these needs and activities. Campus administration, faculty, parents and community leaders will meet in the summer of 2010 to examine more data not yet available and to review and revise the Campus Education Improvement Plan (CEIP). The data to be examined includes, but is not limited to the items listed above in relation to the factors listed below.

- 3. With the choice of the Extended Implementation Timeline, throughout the fall of 2010-Spring of 2011, the **district** and **campus**, with technical assistance from the TEA-designated provider School Improvement Resource Center (SIRC), will develop a District and Campus snapshot, prepare a Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA), and prepare final recommendations for the full transformation implementation. Data listed above will be used for an evidence-based examination of school programs and processes. With pre-visit surveys and on-site interviews, this comprehensive needs assessment will include an analysis of factors such as:
- · Demographics past and current status, any shifts projected or already in process
- · Student Achievement -past and current, as compared to schools with comparable demographics in the district and the state
- · School Culture and Climate special emphasis on changes occurring over time, and areas of concern or improvement
- Staff Quality, Recruitment and Retention from district records, as well as observation of teaching and leadership practices
- · Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment special attention to campus instruction alignment with district curriculum framework
- Family and Community Involvement not limited to parents, but including other family members/guardians, and business, social service, university and faith-based institutions who are neighborhood stakeholders
- School Organization comparison of campus organization to standard district structures with analysis of relationships and organizational
 effectiveness and capabilities
- · Technology status of hardware and software, but especially instructional technology implementation capability and status

The data will be collected and analyzed with the assistance of SIRC and the FWISD Accountability and Data Quality Department, and a gap analysis will be conducted to prepare for action on critical needs and priorities, with activities and expenditures. After identification of focus areas, activities in various areas of reform will be targeted, in part based on evidence of support for change and ability to meet the challenges uncovered. The results from this CNA will be compared with the original application, and adjustments to program activities and budget will be recommended as appropriate to ensure campus transformation.

Adjustmen	For TEA Use Only its and/or annotations made have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.			
1	e-mali/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.			
by	of TEA.	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant				
	- W	Schedule #4B—Program Description				
Part 1: Co	omprehensive Needs		The state of the s			
Section D	: Groups of Participants	s Contributing/to Contribute to Needs Asses vere involved in the process.	sment Process, ensuring			
1	Transformation Team					
2	District Leadership					
3	Campus Principal					
4	Campus Assistant Princip	als				
5	Teachers					
6	Counselors					
7	Campus Consultants					
8	Parents					
9	Students					
10	Community Members					

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Capacity -- Describe the LEA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model.

Superintendent Melody A. Johnson leads the team committed to making the Fort Worth Independent School District (FWISD) the best urban school district in the nation. In her short tenure, she has led the development of a new and relevant curriculum, instituted measures of accountability and sound business practices, and looked to the future by establishing FWISD as a "Digital District."

- . Under Dr. Johnson's leadership, FWISD educators wrote and implemented a new curriculum framework
- During her tenure, voters approved a record \$594 million Capital Improvement Program (in November, 2007) which is currently on time and on budget.
- With Dr. Johnson's guidance, the FWISD will be the first and largest public school system to install interactive whiteboards in every classroom in the District.

She has also deeply engaged the community and led broad-based public initiatives; showing local leaders ways they can positively impact school completion and academic success in math and science. Along the same lines, she established the first Office of Parent Engagement and is aggressively recruiting parents, school by school, to become more involved and empowered in their children's academic futures.

Dr. Johnson has a strong record of success in closing the achievement gap. The gap in achievement between White students and African-American and Hispanic students is often described as the Civil Rights issue of the 21st century. At FWISD over the past several years, all student groups have experienced significant improvements in student achievement. Like other large, urban school districts, gaps in student achievement are still present. Eliminating the achievement gap, identified as a FWISD targeted priority initiative, is an accelerated, comprehensive district-wide focus built upon specific, research-informed strategies. Numerous efforts are monitored under the umbrella of this initiative including the following:

- · TEKS-aligned curriculum in an online system with aligned six-week assessments
- · Adoption of a full Special Education Inclusion Model for special needs students
- · Redesign of ESL/Bilingual education delivery systems
- Integration of Response to Intervention (RTI) model within the Curriculum Frameworks
- New data disaggregation systems which allow improved access to student level achievement information
- Revised Campus Educational Improvement Plans, which have a specific focus on the achievement gap
- · Multiple student, parent, and community engagement activities
- District-wide professional development and data studies targeted to address the achievement gap in FWISD

FWISD leadership has a strong track record of contributing to the broader goal of a culture of research-informed decision-making with partner agencies within the field of education. Evidence of this includes active participation in the **Texas School Alliance** which represents 29 of the larger more urban and diverse school districts educating approximately one-third of all Texas students and more than one-third of all minority students. These districts work together to provide a positive and significant impact on public education by bringing together selected school districts with mutual concems to work cooperatively for the benefit of all public school children. FWISD is participating in the **Consortlum on Chicago School Research**, a national affiliation to explore important research related to secondary school reform and post secondary tracking. These are just two examples of the types of research projects FWISD staff and teachers participate in with local and national universities, foundations, and research facilities.

FWISD has extensive experience in the efficient and effective implementation of grant-funded programs. Since 1999, FWISD has received over \$250,000,000 in grant awards and manages 133 active grant projects. Each district grant project is managed on a day-to-day basis by its management committee, a group composed of administrative, campus-based, and community stakeholders. This management committee develops an action plan for project activities; problem solves implementation difficulties, and monitors efficient and effective expenditure of funds.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

____220905 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others - Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model.

There are a number of established stakeholder engagement and communication vehicles across the district, which have provided important input for this planning grant. These forums are intended to solicit feedback, concerns and recommendations from a wide range of interested parties on an on-going basis throughout the year. In our efforts around continuous improvement, these vehicles have provided critical input and feedback for district and campus planning and evaluation:

- District Advisory Committee: The District Advisory Committee is a group of interested individuals who meet about six times
 per year to advise the Superintendent and Board on educational plans, goals, performance objectives, and major classroom
 instructional programs. Established by law, the committee is made up of representatives from the professional staff of the district,
 parents of students enrolled in the district, and business and community members. The Superintendent consults regularly with
 the committee regarding matters pertaining to curriculum, new programs and initiatives, and progress toward achieving
 educational goals.
- Site Based Decision Making Committee (SBDM): The SBDM is a collaborative group a an individual school with representative voices from administrators, teachers, parents, business/community members and central office staff. The purpose of the site-based decision-making in FWISD is to make informed decisions which will ultimately improve the level of student achievement for all students in all schools. The role and responsibility of the SBDM is to provide input to the principal. By law, the SBDM must review and sign off on the campus' educational improvement plan which is completed annually.
- Campus Climate Surveys: Annually at each campus in the district a survey is given to all professional staff as well as students
 (grades 6-12) to gauge the climate of the campus. This survey measures strengths and weaknesses in a campus as perceived
 by these critical stakeholders. There is critical analysis of the levers of respect, support, effective leadership and
 recommendations for improvement. Additionally, there is a parent survey which also gauges their important feedback.
- District Instructional Survey (DITS): The DITS survey is also conducted annually with principals. The focus of the DITS is to
 inform both campus and district leadership of teacher perceptions of the impact and quality of instructional strategies. Principals
 and key district staff review the results of the DITS to adjust investments, enhance implementation plans and to develop
 appropriate ongoing supports.

For the planning process for this grant, the feedback and priorities defined by the planning tools above were considered and reviewed to develop priority areas of investment. The principal then assembled a planning team from his/her campus to confirm individual transformation plans.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

School Years 2010-2013

-				
A	men	ime	nt	No

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities - Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

FWISD will create a dedicated office with primary responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts, staffed by the District Shepherd and a Transformation Coordinator, to foster the conditions and capacity for rapid school improvement. Additionally, as a part of the district commitment to transformation, each of the campuses will have an Operations Manager in their building to assure constant communication between stakeholders and district offices for full implementation of the activities to be performed in the grant. Each of these staff persons will be responsible not only for assisting with grant implementation, but with training and development of campus staff and infrastructure to ensure that transformation is maintained for the future.

Implementation of district policies can at times be restrictive to attempts to foster rapid and transformative developments. Understanding that the most effective strategies for achieving higher student achievement involve needs-based, integrated improvement planning (Schmoker, 2006), FWISD is committed to providing schools with flexibility in implementation of practices that facilitate transformation, including the coordination of goals and objectives with all the resources available, the autonomy of budgeting and expenditures, and streamlining processes such as purchasing, travel and recruitment and hiring.

Specific practices for review and implementation personalized for the needs of each campus include the use of Consolidated Resource Management strategies. District Shepherd and Operations manager will use these tools to support school transformation with improved planning capabilities, especially integrating the schools' activities with all the funding resources available to the campus, providing an ataglance project tool that allows the Decision Making Team regularly review the plan, funding sources and budget status. This greater emphasis on campus management will provide benefits far beyond the term of the grant, and result in true transformation of campus operations.

As an integral part of consolidated resource management, transformation campuses will have greater autonomy in the use of budgets. Principals have often been hampered in access to funds for activities implementation under the presumption that they cannot judge appropriate use of funds, particularly with Title I and other grant funds. With the use of consolidated management tools, and coordination support through the monthly grant management meetings with the transformation team, the principals and campus decision-making team will receive guidance to build capacity and give the school the flexibility and autonomy in the use of funds, with no prior approval required up to \$5,000 per purchase.

In the planning process for this application campuses expressed great need for streamlining purchasing processes. For example, a more effective practice is needed to organize travel processes with the purchasing department for fund distribution and reconciliation procedures. The District Shepherd will assist the purchasing department with implementation of strategies to facilitate this and other procedures to improve campus capability for timely expenditure of funds and fulfillment of grant requirements to ensure campus transformation projects are carried out.

Each of these initiatives, while district policy and business practice oriented, are based on the expressed need by campuses for greater autonomy in academic programs, professional development, class scheduling (including extended day and year), and other practices that can assure long-term gains in academic achievement. By changing the procedures related to planning and expenditures, campuses are better able to focus their resources on the specific needs of their students. At monthly grant management meetings, campus stakeholders will have the opportunity to review progress, express concerns and request assistance in areas of need, and plan for revisions and adaptations of district procedures to ensure fidelity of implementation of all grant initiatives.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management - Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal, state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

A. Infrastructure Provided with Grant	B. Sustainability from Other Fund Sources				
Funds	(1) Major Activities/Expenditures/ Human Resources	(2) Strategies and Potential Sources			
Basic framework provided with grant funds includes expenses associated with: Personnel – Project coordinator, internal evaluator, training pay, tutors, project development specialist, substitute teachers Professional Contracted Services – Curriculum/instructional programs, external staff trainers, equipment installation, marketing Supplies – Administrative and classroom, computers and printers, campus curriculum and program aids Other Operating Costs – Required grant travel, student incentives, training, membership fees	Activities, expenditures, and human resources used from other fund sources are associated with: Personnel – Secondary School Leadership staff, Math and Science Administrative staff, Campus Administration, Evaluation Coordinator, Grants Director, Budget & Accounting staff Professional/Contracted Services – maintenance/repair of equipment, utilities, facilities, audit expenses Supplies – General supplies, current curriculum and program aids, reading materials, Other Operating Costs – Facilities, student snacks, student transportation Capital Outlay – Computer labs, copiers, phone system enhancements, furniture	Strategles and potential sources Include: Build collaboration with local businesses, community based organizations, and other institutions of higher education thus acquiring additional resources from collaborators. Conduct an extensive evaluation plan to help refine the program, thus identifying the activities that show merit and should be sustained. In-kind cost sharing from local funds. Coordination between funding source e.g., state and federal compensatory and discretionary funded programs. Development of volunteer and mentoring base. Aggressive state and federal grant development efforts. Establish a FWISD Education Fund to receive tax deductible individual, corporate, and foundation donations in support of grant programs.			

additional sources e.g., Title I funds, local budget, Special Education, Compensatory Education, and Quality Funds.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

bν

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Grant Project Manager Qualifications - Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager for this grant on the campus.

The CAMPUS PRINCIPAL will serve as the grant project manager. Qualifications include prior grant management experience; Texas teaching certification; mid-management certification; bachelors and master's degree in Education; and multiple years of overall experience in education. In addition, the principal will act as the lead for all project goals and will support campus Leadership staff in the implementation of the campus wide turnaround program. The Campus Principal will oversee all program monitoring which will include, but not be limited to: (1) site visits; (2) email and phone communications; and (3) reviews of budget runs. Internal quantitative and qualitative evaluations, both formative and summative, will be shared monthly with the Management Committee to discuss findings and make recommendations for improvement. At the campus level, involved staff will meet as needed to ensure activities are implemented; professional development is planned, contracts follow board of education procedures and implement all other programs established in the Transformation Plan.

The Project Development Specialist will oversee compliance, provide proposal and budget clarification, and prepare amendments to the application as necessary. The Project Development Specialist will be highly experienced in grant/project management and will evince a skill set likely to aid in the efficient and effective implementation of the proposed program. The District Shepherd will serve as the grant owner. The District Transformation office as directed by the shepherd will be responsible for ensuring that all aspects of the grant application are adhered to and to provide district level assistance where required. The District Transformation Office, the Program Evaluator and Project Development Specialist will support the Campus Leadership team in completing all quarterly compliance reports.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amend	lment	No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

LEA Support – Describe how the LEA will structure and implement an individual or office with responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts.

As described in Part 2A Management, FWISD commits to implement a Transformation Office, which will provide concentrated and coherent resources and expertise to priority schools identified through this application award. The office will be connected with other district efforts, but will provide a unique and specialized service with a coherent system of support that provides differentiated services appropriate to each school. FWISD will identify senior staff to direct and coordinate the district's turnaround efforts. The responsibilities of this person will include: oversight of the transformation process, ensuring responsiveness to campus needs from administrative offices; provision of a direct line of communication with the Chief of Schools, assisting in eliminating any district-level barriers that may hinder the transformation process, and serving as a resource and mentor to the administrator and campus (The Center for Comprehensive School Reform and Improvement, 2009). This office will provide schools with the "appropriate operating flexibility, resources and support required to reduce barriers and overly burdensome compliance requirements and to enable a school-wide focus on student needs and improved achievement" (Mass Insight, 2009).

The District Shepherd will


- · Ensure the campus is provided operational flexibility
- Ensure effective implementation of all components of the transformation process

of TEA.

- Monitor the progress of 90-day action plans
- · Communicate regularly with the transformation campus
- Attend scheduled meetings with the transformation campus
- Remove LEA barriers that may hinder the transformation process
- Provide support and feedback to the principal and teacher leaders when needed or requested
- Take an active role in problem-solving with the principal and teacher leaders
- Attend campus leadership meetings
- Assist in the replacement and recruitment of qualified staff
- Assist in efforts to increase community and parental involvement
- Support the placement of social service resources at the campus
- Partner with campus to generate a positive school culture
- Attend required trainings

The Transformation Coordinator will support the District Shepherd and

- Assist the District Shepherd with development of management tools and strategies to assist campuses with turnaround
- · Support implementation of activities as planned
- Assist campuses in understanding changes in processes and practices
- Provide support in the processing of administrative documentation, keeping the Principals and Operation Managers informed of status
- Supports management of funds in the Transformation Office
- Provide timely, efficient response to campus needs at all times



For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

220905	
County-District	No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability – Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

Based on evaluation findings, FWISD is committed to the sustainability of gains made through TTIPS activities. In the past, the District has been successful in identifying funding sources to support the initial phases of the reform process. State and Federal grant funds have been key, and FWISD plans to continue to aggressively seek additional grant awards, as detailed in the comprehensive sustainability plan (below). The TTIPS management committee, facilitated by the Executive Director of Grants and Development, will lead the search for funding to support aspects of project that require ongoing funding. The Chief of Schools and Chief of Curriculum and Instruction will ensure that research-based system reforms will continue to be implemented with fidelity after the grant ends. The primary aspect of this project that will ensure sustainability of reforms is that the activities and research-based models selected are based on building capacity for **systemic** progress and growth. The skills and knowledge gained by school leaders, teachers, and parents will be key "capital investments" that will continue paying dividends in student achievement after grant completion. Due to effective, efficient, and quality-centered methods of grant management, many grant projects in the FWISD have had a lasting positive impact on the District, introducing sustained and systemic change. Implementation of TTIPS is a top priority of FWISD's board and administration, and every effort will be made to see that it is funded past the completion date of the grant period through its partners, the community, and state and federal funding sources.

19	TTIPS Funding Sustainability Plan	14.00
Develop: Mission, Vision, Case for Support	Talk with staff, donors and community partners and stakeholders about outcomes of year 2. Review progress toward reform and financial goals, who will benefit, who else needs to be involved. Review community needs and trends, revise transformation plans and vision as necessary. Develop annual report to summarize progress and attract supporters.	August 2012
Research and Identify potential stakeholders/ prospects	Research corporations, local businesses, foundations other givers who have an interest in school reform. Look for influential people who are stakeholders to act as program champions. Build advisory committee with business leaders and other local influential community members. Ask for feedback from any corporation or foundation that did not fund. Use contacts to build future relationship. Solicit ideas from partners, staff, volunteers, advisory committee about contacts with potential givers with shared interests that they might have.	September- October 2012
Communicate with stakeholders	Talk to local businesses about how school reform can benefit their interests. Ask for their involvement. Review and revise marketing plan. With staff and advisory committee, discuss financial and reform goals. Identify budget items that could be provided in-kind by partners, funders, other stakeholders. Brainstorm other means to provide them. Select methods for fundraising/resource gathering for the year. Determine whether to repeat last year's methods. Use more than one fundraising method (grant writing, direct mail, special event, in-kind resource gathering, phone-a-thon, personal solicitation). Cultivate current and new relationships with media. Craft messages. Announce fundraising campaign's progress. Highlight accomplishments. Share credit with partners.	September- December 2012
Continue to cultivate prospects	Invite prospects, donors to tour program, review accomplishments, suggest improvements. Ask them who else should be involved. Ask them to be involved in new ways. Match language and outcomes to prospect's interests. Send thank-you notes for meetings, contacts, donations. Talk with partners about resources available to meet common goals and defray expenses	November 2012 - ongoing
Create buy in	With fundraising committee, ask for donations, share solicitation responsibilities. Invite press to cover progress, highlight participants and outcomes. Plan on-going meetings with fundraising committee. Celebrate successes. Send thank-you notes and copies of press coverage to all committee members, prospects, and donors.	December 2012 - ongoing
Make the ASK	Implement—write and submit grants, develop mailing list and pitch for direct mail, meet with prospects—ask for specific support.	October- December 2012
Follow-up	Confirm pledges, arrange for payments to be recorded, follow up on grant requests. Schedule to re- contact donors to thank and update on progress, build mailing list. Plan next part of fundralsing effort.	November 2012- ongoing
Be a Good Steward	Continue to cultivate current partners and new stakeholders through: • Offering opportunities for continued involvement in shaping the program • Sharing the credit; • Celebrating small successes; • Making sure donors are updated, invited to be involved in new ways.	January 2013- ongoing

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers -- Describe how the LEA will recruit, screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality.

Although current research does not provide a clear list of the most critical characteristics of high quality external provider services, five characteristics do appear consistently in the research. These qualities are thought to be the most relevant for schools in the process of evaluating the quality of multiple external providers. In order to avoid the failures experienced by so many schools, as we recruit, screen and hire external providers for this project, the FWISD management team will make sure the provider offers services that have the following characteristics:

- Alignment with established goals. All plans and activities should be aligned with goals that were established by the school during the needs assessment and school and district improvement processes.
- Part of a long-term strategy. The provider's services should be offered as part of a long term strategy for improved student learning. The provider should be candid about the fact that making changes to affect student learning is a complex and difficult task that takes time.
- Customized. The provider should be prepared to tailor its approach to the school's unique circumstances and needs. It should have a viable plan to get buy-in from key stakeholders.
- Research based. The provider's approach should be backed by evidence that it gets desired results in similar circumstances. Ideally, this evidence should come from scientific research, but often no such research exists. In such cases, evidence could take the form of strong anecdotes of effectiveness, references from successful users of the approach, and other indications the approach is "best practice."
- Capacity building. All services should be delivered with a strategy for training the school to be able to practice and assess these skills independently. The provider should have a plan for building capacity at the school and evidence that it has accomplished this goal in the past. These capacity-building activities could take the form of a trainer-of-trainers model, annual "brush-up" trainings, and/or codevelopment of programming with a site-based professional development design team.

Strong Selection Process

After going through the intensive process of determining a vision for the school, performing a thorough needs assessment, and understanding the characteristics of high-quality services, the grant development committee members will be in a position to build the framework for a strong selection process.

Based on the district's successful implementation of School Improvement Program grants and others, the district will recruit appropriate vendors who are able to meet clearly articulated bid requirements and provide appropriate plans to meet timelines and milestones for implementation of the selected programs.

The FWISD, as well as all other school districts in the State of Texas, is governed by a state competitive bid law. The purpose and the intent of the competitive process is to help FWISD obtain the best value for goods and services by stimulating competition and providing a level playing field. Therefore, the majority of FWISD purchases for contracted services is done via competitive procurement. A sole source purchase can be made without the benefit of a competitive process when there are no other items available in the marketplace that have the same fit, form and function as the items being purchased. Quality vendors with a good track record of results will be selected to work with the school and district.

All external providers selected for the project will enter into a partnership with FWISD to implement an already established, systematic plan for execution. Based on its experience, the provider should know what components of its services should receive the initial focus and how and when the other components will eventually be introduced. If the provider has modified its plans to fit the circumstances or preferences of this school, it still should have an overarching implementation strategy that is made clear to the school and the district at the beginning of the partnership.

To ensure results, the implementation plan should include provisions for periodic evaluation and rethinking of the implementation strategy. Schools, districts, and providers are all dynamic systems that should respond to new situations and research. It is possible an implementation strategy designed two years previously may no longer be the most effective strategy. Therefore, any implementation plan should remain flexible enough to respond to new environments or new information. By maintaining open and frequent communications, the school, district transformation office, and provider should be able to discuss honestly any need for revision of the implementation plan and come to a consensus about the revision.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

hv

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

of TEA.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Site Visits – If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit.

School staff will conduct site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the transformation intervention model with guidance and technical support from the School Improvement Resource Center (SIRC) and based on site visit selection criteria developed during the planning stage of the grant program.

FWISD site visit selection will be informed by the practices and resources of the International Center for Leadership in Education and the Successful Practices Network which provides a way for K-12 educators to share strategies, practices, research, data and experiences. In addition, district and campus staff attend annually the Model Schools Conference which features model high schools, middle schools, elementary schools, and CTE programs that are highly successful at providing every student with a rigorous and relevant education. At the conference, campus leaders will have the opportunity to learn about the nation's highest performing and most rapidly improving schools and will guide the decisions about locations and the expected outcomes of the site visits to be conducted.

Steps to a Quality Site Visit

Identify Purposes of Site Visit

- Involve key stakeholders (e.g., funder(s), project staff, project beneficiaries)
- Determine the site visit purposes
- Align planning with the site visit purposes

Select Sites and Visitors

- Define a site
- · Establish site selection criteria
- Involve key stakeholders in establishing the criteria
- Select the potential sites
- · Apply the selection criteria
- · Initially select more sites than needed
- · Finalize the site visit dates
- Work with the sites' schedules first-let them propose dates convenient for them.
- Develop an optimal schedule (i.e., does not overburden the sites or the site visitors)
- Allow enough time in your schedule planning for site information to be collected and then dispersed to the site visitors, the site visitors to review this information, preparation of the site visitors, and the visits

Prepare Protocols

- Develop protocol content
- Assign responsibilities for note taking, data gathering, etc.
- Set a schedule ensuring that activities appropriate for the site visit purposes are provided. These may include interviews, facility tours and classroom observations

Evaluate Site Visit/Expected Outcomes

- Analyze the data
- Organize notes from site visit
- Identify themes and impressions
- Aggregate data across sites if needed
- Write the report
- · Divide report sections among team members
- · Ensure timeliness when working with a team

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

220905 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Lack of Capacity -- If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (is not applying for grant funding for each Tier I school), provide a detailed explanation of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.



For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 220905 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model **Section A: Intervention Model Selection Process** Intervention Model to be Implemented - Indicate the model(s) being considered by the LEA/campus for implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. ☐ Turnaround ☐ Closure ☐ Restart ☐ Transformation □ Tier III Modified Transformation TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center Supplemental Education Services (SES) incorporated into the intervention model Note: Applying to implement the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center in no way implies or guarantees funding. ☐ The LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final federal regulations released by USDE Schedule #4B—Program Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, continued on next page

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process - Describe in detail:

- 1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.
- 2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

FWISD developed a TTIPS district decision-making team that includes:

- Superintendent of Schools
- Chief Academic Officer
- Chief of Schools
- Associate Superintendents of Secondary and Elementary Leadership
- Executive Director of Leadership Development & Support
- Executive Director of Grants and Development, Management and Monitoring
- Executive Director of Strategic Initiatives
- Director of Evaluation
- Grants staff

Through a series of meetings, the team reviewed the four models, the data on the fifty-three eligible FWISD schools, and responded to the "narrowing questions" provided by Texas Education Agency (TEA) from the TTIPS grant overview session packet. After a thorough and comprehensive review, the district's decision-making team prioritized the eligible campuses.

Twenty-one FWISD campuses were identified to apply for TTIPS funding based on the following criteria:

- at least one of the state identified "Tier I" or "Tier II" FWISD campuses
- in need of improvement, corrective action, or restructuring
- greatest need for the funds
- strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources to raise substantially student academic achievement
- posed to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status
- currently implementing in part the Transformation model within the last two years and plan to continue and complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds

After the narrowing process was completed, the campus principals were brought together to participate in an overview meeting of the TTIPS grant program. Grant staff utilized the information provided in the TTIPS grant information packet prepared by SIRC to thoroughly inform the campus leadership of the requirements of the grant opportunity with special attention given to the analysis of the four models. The recommendation of both campus and district leadership was to consider the Transformation Model for campus turnaround as it provided opportunities to impact adult behavioral change that will provide capacity for long-term academic growth and student success. Grants staff created a TTIPS Transformation Model Planning Tool that assisted the campus-based planning teams in the necessary discussion and analysis of the Transformation Model and additional improvement activities to complement the model. The campus principals and the campus planning teams with support from district staff met on at least two occasions during the grant development process to respond to the questions posed in the application and to review Critical Success Factors and determine activities, rationale and research for each strategy selected as part of the TTIPS grant proposal. Upon careful and through review, the decision was made by the team to select the Tier III Modified Transformation with TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance provided by the School Improvement Resource Center.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

220905	
County-District	No

Amendment No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Before full implementation, the campus staff will complete all early implementation activities including successful completion of the Quarterly Implementation Reports (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report, with support from TEA technical assistance. The Model Selection and Description report will be submitted to TEA no later than February 1, 2011. The following activities will be completed by that time: i) complete comprehensive needs assessment process, ii) establish the grant budget by the required categories, iii) identification and selection of the intervention model, iv) development of activities to implement selected intervention model, and v) development of timeline with grant activities. The campus team will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

Upon award, FWISD district staff will evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine, in the case the principals has more than two years in the campus, whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training. The FWISD Leadership Performance Standards document for School Leadership will be utilized to evaluate the principal based on standards and practices that reflect high and attainable goals for school leaders.

The Transformation model for campus tumaround has been chosen because of its emphasis and alignment to the key FWISD and campus <u>goal</u> of emphasis on <u>adult behavioral change</u> that will provide capacity for long-term academic growth and <u>student success</u>. Specific campus <u>objectives</u> (with rationale) developed to address gaps, barriers and weaknesses and project responses, aligned to the required and permitted activities of the transformation model include:

- 1. Improve fidelity of implementation of existing programs and systems: Systems exist within the district for various needs such as data use (DataSmart Dashboards), Response to Intervention, Curriculum Framework, Staff Evaluation, Value-Added Incentives, etc., but results have not been as great as research would indicate due to issues with faithful execution of interventions. This grant will provide for additional staff assistance for training, monitoring, communication and program implementation to maximize adult actions to achieve positive results for students. Additional support for the campus at the district administration level will be provided through the District Shepherd and Transformation Office to ensure that data and systems are responsive to the needs of the campus.
- 2. Increase planning and professional development: Teachers and school teaders have expressed need for additional time for vertical and horizontal planning, and professional development for greater understanding and facility of use with data and program tools as mentioned in #1. This grant will provide extended hours and days for targeted campus level professional development, data-based planning, and weekly grade-level and core content meetings to ensure maximum academic gains.
- 3. Improve parent participation: Parent-school and school-parent communication deficiencies hamper the ability of families to be full partners in ensuring student success, as affirmed by both teacher and parent surveys. This grant will provide funding for full-time parent liaisons to assist with targeted programs to improve family participation, including translation services, Spanish instruction for teachers and English instruction for parents, and a parent center where a computer and supplies are available for parent volunteers and for parents to assist students. As requested by teachers, professional development will also be provided on techniques for working with parents.
- 4. Increase student-centered learning time: Through data analysis, extended day and extended year programs will be targeted to groups of children who are lagging behind in achievement, with specialized learning tools and very low student/teacher ratios to ensure academic success for students not achieving at grade level. Additionally, tutors will be provided to classroom teachers to increase opportunities for focused "table time" by teachers with selected groups of students. Appropriate technology will be supplied to allow for greater participatory, student-centered learning, with support for instructional technology.

The campus has demonstrated need and commitment to implement <u>all required</u> and many of the suggested strategies outlined in the TEA Transformation Model, aligned to the objectives listed above. The campus has chosen the extended planning model for implementation with TEA/SIRC guidance and assistance with the campus snapshot, comprehensive needs assessment and planning time scheduled in the Fall of 2011. It is anticipated that more detailed and specific goals and objectives will be developed as a part of this process. Specific alignment of needs to proposed research-based strategies and interventions are found in the Improvement Activities and Timeline Section, Part 3,D of this application.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

The school will recruit an Operations Manager that will coordinate with the Transformation Office and the District Shepherd the implementation of the model, and will provide an additional campus staff person dedicated to support TTIPS funded activities. This position will also coordinate the campus planning and support the principal and the Transformation team in maintaining the communication between all members of the campus. The person in this position will have access to budgets and participate in the monthly meetings in order to support the finances at the campus level.

Some of the transformational strategies the campus will consider expanding and extending through the implementation of the TTIPS grant program include:

Adopting a Performance Based Compensation System

A Performance Based Compensation System is currently being piloted at 15 schools within the district. The model is driven by the philosophy that 'teaching is a team sport' and was specifically designed to reward teacher collaboration and collective capacity building to benefit all students. The model creates opportunities for all teachers to earn rewards through four tiers of award: 1) Campus Team 2) Grade-Content Teams 3) Content Team (Vertical Articulation Synergy) 4) Grade Level Team (Cross-content planning Synergy). Through this model all instructional professional staff is eligible to earn rewards including the principal. Teachers are eligible for a greater total reward to communicate the assumption that teachers are the single most important factor in moving student achievement. Teams are rewarded for accelerating student growth as measured by the SAS value added metric. Additionally, there is an opportunity at the high school level for an 'AP Bonus' for all teachers within a content area based on the amount of movement in the average AP score in a content area.

The current PBCS model has been widely supported by both teachers in the pilot campuses as well as by the district's employee organization, United Educators Association. In the current pilot, there have been positive results including significant improvement in campus culture as measured by teacher climate surveys, academic gains and retention rates. The pilot has provided an opportunity to build capacity within the district to manage performance related compensation as well as raising critical questions about the model design and implementation. TTIPS campuses considering the adoption of the PBCS model will engage in a planning year to collaboratively address concems and improve the established district performance rewards model. The planning year would provide important opportunity to engage teachers and other campus staff to both build awareness and understanding of the rewards model but also to solicit their input and guidance in making these improvements.

Implementing Recruiting and Retention Incentives

Also as part of the PBCS model pilot, the district has been piloting the use of financial incentives to attract and retain highly effective teachers to traditionally hard-to-staff campuses. The current incentives model is aligned to market supply and demand considerations—teachers who teach in areas and at levels which are scarcer in supply are eligible for a higher level of incentive. For example a high school science teacher is awarded a higher level of incentive than an elementary art teacher to reflect the challenge to attract the teacher. Teachers are able to earn from \$1000 to \$4000. This additional compensation allows a campus to differentiate teachers and to motivate movement and retention at their campuses. The implementation of the incentives model would be reviewed during the planning year with a thorough analysis of the market and campus needs.

Improving Recruiting and Selection Processes for Campus-Based Hiring

The most critical instructional decision that a principal can make is who they choose to hire for the classrooms in their building. To that end, this campus could benefit from improved innovation, rigor and capacity around key recruiting and selection efforts. There are a number of factors that contribute to the campus and district level challenges including the shallow pool of available candidates in high needs areas, local recruiting pipelines which are not held accountable for quality teachers, campus capacity to effectively market their individual strengths and offerings and inconsistent principal skill to conduct meaningful interviews. This campus will use the planning year to better define specific opportunities to improve recruiting efforts by engaging in strategies and services such as: developing new pipelines for talent through strategic partnerships with Teach for America and The New Teacher Project; conducting professional development to build capacity in the campus administration team around talent management and effective interviewing and leveraging technology and social media tools to improve the campus brand and marketing capacity.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on ______ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Using SES Services to Support Transformation

The students at this campus will have access to additional supplemental educational services (SES) through the implementation of the TTIPS grant. Supplemental educational services are additional academic instruction designed to increase the academic achievement of students in schools that have not met state targets for increasing student achievement (adequate yearly progress) for three or more years. These services may include tutoring and after-school services. They may be offered through public- or private-sector providers that are approved by the state, such as public schools, public charter schools, local education agencies, educational service agencies and faith-based organizations. Private-sector providers may be either nonprofit or for-profit entities.

FWISD will work with the SES providers with the most proven track record with the additional funds allocated the TTIPS grant. The required 10% set aside required of Stage 2 and above campuses, will be utilized first before any TTIPS funds are expended on SES services. Once all set aside funds are expended on the campus, TTIPS funds will be expended to cover any shortfalls from the set aside.

The Operations Manager will be able to coordinate services and support alignment of SES services with the individual students needs. The use of data and teacher input will be improved, to guarantee the fidelity of implementation of the program.

The school has selected to do the extended timeline of the Tier III Modified Transformation Model, as follow:

Timeline	Milestones
August 2010	Notification of Grant Award for Tier I campus
	Hiring of District Shepherd and transformation coordinator at district level
	Hiring of the Operations Manager at the school level
	 Transformation team at the campus level is selected and begin meetings to complete the Model Selection and Description Report
	 Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation
	 Initiate contacts with SIRC and Region XIII for services to be provided during the grant.
	 Initiate contracts with other providers as needed.
October 2010	Notification of Grant Award for Tier III campus
	Hiring of the Operations Manager at the school level
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation
	District/campus snapshots
	Quarterly Advisory meetings
November 2010	Quarterly Implementation Report due to TEA for Tier I campus
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation
December 2010	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation
	Finalize comprehensive needs assessment
	Identify community partners
	Make decision about principal replacement for implementation during spring semester
January —May 2011	 District will implement state required procedures for 1) bidding, contracts, and procurement of services, and 2) evaluation and hiring of necessary staff to implement staffing requirements of model selected
	On-going Technical Assistance
	 February 1, 2011, Campus submits Model Selection and Description Report; if not submitted previously
	 Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation
	Plan for school-wide planning conference to increase staff participation in the school
	transformation, to promote team building, to implement data analysis, to plan for embedded professional development
	Complete 90 - days action plan
	Quarterly Advisory Meetings
June – July 2011	Attend Summer Seminar trainings
	Implement school wide planning conference

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	N٥.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

August 1, 2011	End of Year 1 Implementation Report due to TEA	
	Campus begins full implementation of the intervention model	
	Transformation team and Operations Managers are responsible to collect data	
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation	
September - December 2011	Complete 90 - days action plan	
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation	
	Quarterly Advisory meetings	
January - May 2012	Complete 90 - days action plan	
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation	
	Quarterly Advisory meetings	
June – July 2012	Attend Summer Seminar trainings	
	 Implement school-wide planning conference to review and revise needs assessment, 	
	professional development plans and develop plans fro classroom interventions	
	Attend NSDC Summer Conference	
August – December 2012	End of Year 2 Implementation Report due to TEA	
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation	
	Complete 90 - days action plan	
January - May 2013	Complete 90 - days action plan	
	Hold monthly management meetings with district members to monitor implementation	
June 30, 2013	Grant end date	
July 31, 2013	Final Implementation Report due to TEA	

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA. School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model	on this page have been confirmed with		Standard Application System (SAS)	<u>22</u> 0905				
Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team			Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No.				
Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team	by telephone/e-mail/FAX on		School Years 2010-2013					
Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team				Amendment No.				
Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team								
Part 3: Intervention Model Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team	Schedule #4B—Program Description							
school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus. 1 Transformation Team								
	Section C: Groups of Participants – List the groups of participants who will actively assist in the process to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.							
2 District Leadership	1 Trans	Transformation Team						
	2 Distri	District Leadership						
3 Campus Principal	3 Camp							
4 Campus Assistant Principals	4 Camp	Campus Assistant Principals						
5 Teachers	5 Teach							
6 Counselors	6 Coun	Counselors						
7 Campus Consultants	7 Camp	Campus Consultants						
8 Parents	8 Parer	Parents						
9 Students	9 Stude	Students						
10 Community Members	10 Comr	Community Members						

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline

of TEA.

On the following pages of charts applicants should describe all other school improvement activities that will be incorporated with the model to be selected.

For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Success Factor Code(s) from this table, enter the LEA/campus' rationale for including the activity, provide the supporting research that indicates the activity will be effective, and indicate the beginning and ending date of the activity.

- 1 Improve Academic Performance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math
 - A. Data-driven instruction
 - B. Curriculum Alignment (both horizontal and vertical)
 - C. On-going Monitoring of Instruction
- 2 Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction
 - A. Data Disaggregation/Training
 - B. Data-driven Decisions
 - C. On-going Communication
- 3 Increase Leadership Effectiveness
 - A. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
 - B. Operational Flexibility
 - C. Resource/Data Utilization
- 4 Increase Learning Time
 - A. Flexible Scheduling
 - B. Instructionally-focused Calendar
 - C. Staff Collaborative Planning
- 5 Increase Parent/Community Involvement
 - A. Increased Opportunities for Input
 - B. Effective Communication
 - C. Accessible Community Services
- 6 Improve School Climate
 - A. Increased Attendance
 - B. Decreased Discipline Referrals
 - C. Increased Involvement in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities
- 7 Increase Teacher Quality
 - A. Locally Developed Appraisal Instruments
 - B. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
 - C. Recruitment/Retention Strategies

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

hv

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

of TEA.

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
1 A, B, C	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	In 2009 there was a 41% achievement gap between all students and LEP students in Reading/ELA	Campus AEIS Reports "Research and expert opinion suggest that it is important to build basic or foundational reading skills in students who need them, while also providing them with access to grade-level concepts and content. The Response to Intervention (RTI) framework provides one method for accelerating student achievement in various content areas, including foundational skills in reading. In an RTI framework, students receive daily help learning not only so-called "basic skills" but also higher order skills, such as comprehension, and grasping critical content in the disciplines." National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. (2000)	10/2010	8/2013
1 A, B, C	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	In 2009 there was a 24% achievement gap between all students and LEP students in Mathematics	Campus AEIS Reports " it is important to build the basic or foundational skills in mathematics of all students who need them, while also providing them with access to grade level concepts and content. Response to Intervention (RTI) models provide an excellent venue for accelerating achievement in foundational skills and proficiencies. In an RTI model, students receive daily help learning not only so-called "basic skills" (e.g., mathematics facts and computation) but also higher order skills, such as problem solving, and the critical content in the discipline." (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2006). Curriculum focal points for prekindergarten through grade 8 mathematics: A quest for coherence	10/2010	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activi	ty Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
1 A	Improve Ştudent Achtevement in Social Studies	In 2009 there was a 13% achievement gap between all students and LEP students and a 20% achievement gap between all students and Special Education students in Social Studies	2008-2009 Campus AEIS Results	10/2010	8/2013
1 A	Improve Student Achievement in Science	In 2009 there was a 31% achievement gap between all students and LEP students and a 40% achievement gap between all students and Special Education students in Science, with only 47% of all students passing.	2008-2009 Campus AEIS Results	10/2010	8/2013
1C	Leadership staff and Principal will work with PDAS appraisal system.	Campus needs better evaluation practices in order to increase teacher effectiveness and student performance.	State's approved instrument for appraising its teachers and identifying areas that would benefit from staff development. PDAS includes 51 criteria within eight domains reflecting the Proficiencies for Learner-Centered Instruction adopted in 1997 by the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC).	2/2011	8/2013
1B	Principal will work with Pyramid Principals on Vertical Alignment of programming	Little attempt at vertical alignment between this campus's feeder pattern has been made in the past. This is essential in order to increase student achievement.	Standards based accountability requires teachers plan classroom instruction in a way that follows the standards (Porter, 2002; Webb, 1997b). The standards and assessments themselves must be vertically aligned with one another so that they reflect the logical, consistent order for teaching the content in a subject area from one grade level to the next.	10/2011	8/2013
1 A, B, C	Hire 1 additional math teacher	In 2009 there was a 24% achievement gap between all students and LEP students in Mathematics	A detailed observational study shows that when secondary students are place in smaller classes, much greater time is spent "on task" and focused on learning, with far lower rates of negative behavior and especially large benefits for high-needs students. ("The Non-cognitive returns to class size,"	8/2011	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

<u>220905</u>	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activi	ty	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
1 A, B, C	Hire 1 additional science teacher	In 2009 there was a 31% achievement gap between all students and LEP students and a 40% achievement gap between all students and Special Education students in Science, with only 47% of all students passing.	An analysis of the small and medium high schools in NYC showed that the lower the pupil teacher ratio, the higher the proportion of students graduating on time, and the lower the percentage of dropouts. (Stability in Student and Teacher Characteristics in the First Ten Years; A study of small high schools in New York City, April 2007)	8/2011	8/2013
1 A, B, C	Hire 1 additional Special Education teacher	In 2009 14% of the students at Forest Oak were in special education programs.	An analysis of the small and medium high schools in NYC showed that the lower the pupil teacher ratio, the higher the proportion of students graduating on time, and the lower the percentage of dropouts. (Stability in Student and Teacher Characteristics in the First Ten Years; A study of small high schools in New York City, April 2007)	8/2011	8/2013
1 B	Student Field Trips	Curriculum frameworks offer multiple opportunities for Project Based Learning	Marzano, Robert J, 2004 concluded that children in poverty need broader experience outside the classroom to equalize achievement.	4/2011	8/2013
10	Leadership staff and Principal will conduct classroom walkthrough's and provide timely feedback to teachers	Campus needs better evaluation practices in order to increase teacher effectiveness and student performance.	Too often teacher evaluations are too lenient, fail to adequately differentiate between teachers at different levels (Weisberg, Sexton, Mulhern, & Keeling, 2009), or to differentiate among teachers based on specialized roles and specific contexts (Chait, 2009; Toch & Rothman, 2008). To be effective, teacher evaluation systems must be well understood by teachers and should result in the identification of genuine differences in performance (Danielson & McGreal, 2000; Milanowski, Prince, & Koppich, 2007).	10/2010	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activi	ty Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
1 C	Leadership staff and Principal will conduct classroom walkthrough's and provide timely feedback to teachers	Leadership must visit classrooms in order to ensure that grant initiatives are being properly implemented schoolwide	Too often teacher evaluations are too lenient, fail to adequately differentiate between teachers at different levels (Weisberg, Sexton, Mulhern, & Keeling, 2009), or to differentiate among teachers based on specialized roles and specific contexts (Chait, 2009; Toch & Rothman, 2008). To be effective, leacher evaluation systems must be well understood by teachers and should result in the identification of genuine differences in performance (Danielson & McGreal, 2000; Milanowski, Prince, & Koppich, 2007).	10/2010	8/2013

Add additional pages as needed.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905_	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
2A .	Staff to attend professional development on data disaggregation techniques	Staff need deeper understanding of data and RTI	"time dedicated to data analysis and planning by teams at the district and school levels should be sufficient, routine, and nonnegotiable." Redding, 2007	2/2011	8/2013
2A,B,C	Hire Data Analyst Responsible for TAKS, EOC, Stanford 10, Campus Based Mini Assessments, etc.	The data analyst is needed to collect, organize, analyze, and display data about student achievement. The data analyst would set up and schedule the assessments for the content areas. Also the data analyst is needed to disaggregate the data and meet with the teachers to analyze their individual data. The data analyst reports help teachers make student centered instructional decisions.	The Center for Comprehensive School Reform & Improvement found that collecting data on student understanding is an essential step in moving students toward full understanding of important concepts and standards. Also noted is that researchers found that strengthening formative assessments can raise student achievement overall and be especially helpful to lowachieving students (Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment).	10/2010	8/2013
28	Host staff data disaggregation sessions after school, Saturday's and summer retreat's	Staff need deeper understanding of data and RTI	"time dedicated to data analysis and planning by teams at the district and school levels should be sufficient, routine, and non-negotiable." Redding, 2007	2/2011	8/2013
2C	Discuss student data at each Monthly Transformation team meeting	Staff need deeper understanding of data and RTI	The Center for Comprehensive School Reform & Improvement found that collecting data on student understanding is an essential step in moving students toward full understanding of important concepts and standards. Also noted is that researchers found that strengthening formative assessments can raise student achievement overall and be especially helpful to lowachieving students (Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment).	10/2010	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

A *** 1 A			111	Dollar La Dallar	- T., -L., -Li
Critical Success	・ 巨ったたんと フェーエ	nardsed the lie	A AT MINSHITH	132F2 FA INFIV	a inctriiction

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
2A, B, C	Technology upgrades at campus Forest Oak needs additional computers and software to ensure that LEP and Special Education students have the highest amount of accessibility possible.	The findings from a National Research Council report underscored this point, " technology-based tools can enhance student performance when they are integrated into the curriculum and used in accordance with knowledge about learning. But the mere existence of these tools in the classroom provides no guarantee that student learning will improve; they have to be part of a coherent education approach" (Bransford, Brown, & Cocking, 2000		5/2013	
2 C	Partner with FWISD Accountability and Data Quality staff	Ongoing project monitoring is key to successful implementation	Jones 2006"What gets measured gets done. What gets measured and fed back gets done well. What gets rewarded gets repeated."	10/2010	8/2013
2 C	Discuss student data at each monthly site based decision team meet	Staff and SBDM team need deeper understanding of data and RTI	The Center for Comprehensive School Reform & Improvement found that collecting data on student understanding is an essential step in moving students toward full understanding of important concepts and standards. Also noted is that researchers found that strengthening formative assessments can raise student achievement overall and be especially helpful to lowachieving students (Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment).	10/2010	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
2 C	Discuss student data at each parent teacher meeting	Parents need deeper understanding of data and RTI	The Center for Comprehensive School Reform & Improvement found that collecting data on student understanding is an essential step in moving students toward full understanding of important concepts and standards. Also noted is that researchers found that strengthening formative assessments can raise student achievement overall and be especially helpful to lowachieving students (Black, P., & William, D. (1998). Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment).	10/2010	8/2013

Add additional pages as needed.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	Νo

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
3 A	Hire coaches for selected administrators and teacher leaders	Coaches can offer the tools and the motivation to help teachers work on issues and problems in their professional lives, to help our leadership team attain balance in their lives, to observe their current and future behavior and act as a sounding board.	The International Coach Federation reported that individuals experience the following outcomes as a result of working with a coach: Increased self-awareness 67% Lower stress levels 57% Setting better goals 62% Self-discovery 53% More balanced life 60% Self-confidence 52%	10/2010	5/2013
3 A	Leadership staff to hold 1 yearly staff retreat	Leadership must meet for specialized training and for planning of the whole staff retreat	School Improvement Resource Center Website states that students' academic success is highly dependent upon the qualifications and skills of their teachers. Ongoing professional development is critical in ensuring that teachers are extremely knowledgeable in their content area, use current research-based instructional strategies, and practice ongoing effective assessment methods to improve student learning and performance.	2/2011	8/2013
3 A	Leadership staff to attend coaching sessions provided by SIRC	Campus leadership requires additional coaching to achieve maximum effectiveness	"attention must be paid to preparing staff to implement the program by providing training, opportunities to practice, and coaching as needed "(Guldbrandsson, 2008)	2/2011	8/2013
3 A	Leadership staff to provide PD at staff trainings and tearn meetings.	FWISD has implemented a trainer of trainers model to increase the reach of its PD	Through professional development, school leaders are often better equipped to promote collaboration among principals, teachers, and other school and district personnel and to create opportunities for staff to share in leadership responsibilities and develop and demonstrate leadership potential (Hargreaves & Fink, 2006; Salazar, 2007).	2/2011	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220	905	
County-D	Istrict	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 3: Increase Leadership Effectiveness

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
3 B	District Shepherd will supervise campus principal	The district TTIPS office will be key to the implementation of a grant with this size and scope	"States and districts can engineer more effective turnaround at scale by creating space that supports outside-the-system approaches, focused inside the system" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11). Its top lesson learned from high-performing, high-poverty schools is, "Clearly defined authority to act based on what's best for children and learning—i.e., flexibility and control over staffing, scheduling, budget, and curriculum" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11).	2/2011	8/2013
3 C	LEA will work with Principal on Consolidated Resource Planning	The campus receives multiple funding streams that must be coordinated for maximum effectiveness.	"States and districts can engineer more effective turnaround at scale by creating space that supports outside-the-system approaches, focused inside the system" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11). Its top lesson learned from high-performing, high-poverty schools is, "Clearly defined authority to act based on what's best for children and learning—i.e., flexibility and control over staffing, scheduling, budget, and curriculum" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11).	2/2011	8/2013
3 B, C	Hire Operations Manager on the campus	Operations manager will take over business responsibilities from the principal so that the principal can concentrate on instruction, school climate, staff retention, and parent involvement	"States and districts can engineer more effective turnaround at scale by creating space that supports outside-the-system approaches, focused inside the system" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11). Its top lesson learned from high-performing, high-poverty schools is, "Clearly defined authority to act based on what's best for children and learning—i.e., flexibility and control over staffing, scheduling, budget, and curriculum" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11).	2/2011	8/2013

Add additional pages as needed.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 4: Improve Learning Time

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
4 B	Host Saturday school on campus	Students need additional tutoring and credit recovery time.	Poor and minority students are less likely than their more affluent peers to have education resources and learning experiences outside of school (Silva, E. (2007). On the clock: Rethinking the way schools use time.)	2/2011	8/2013
4 B	Research changing schedule to block schedule for 11-12 school year	Students will have access to core content classes for longer periods of time during the school year.	" Students are engaged in learning for longer periods of time. Students may attend core classes for longer time periods than their other classes during the day in order to improve achievement" (Kennelly, L., & Monrad, M. (2007). Approaches to dropout prevention: Heeding early warning signs with appropriate interventions.)	2/2011	8/2013
4 B	Hire tutors to support classroom teachers.	Decrease staff student ratios, and students need additional tutoring and credit recovery time.	Poor and minority students are less likely than their more affluent peers to have education resources and learning experiences outside of school (Silva, E. (2007). On the clock: Rethinking the way schools use time.)	2/2011	8/2013
4 A, C	Host staff meetings before/after school	Meetings help before or after school will not interfere with teachers' planning periods, giving more time to the instructional day.	Collaborative teams, in which teachers share planning time and a common group of students, have been correlated with better school culture, more effective parent communication, higher student achievement, and increased teacher motivation and job satisfaction (Kassissieh & Barton, 2009; Flowers et al., 1999; Little, 1982; Jackson & Davis, 2000; Piccucci, Brownson, Kahlert, & Sobel, 2002)	2/2011	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

2209 <u>05</u>	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 4: Improve Learning Time

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
4 A, C	Hold content/grade level meetings before/after school	Meetings help before or after school will not interfere with teachers' planning periods, giving more time to the instructional day.	Collaborative teams, in which teachers share planning time and a common group of students, have been correlated with better school culture, more effective parent communication, higher student achievement, and increased teacher motivation and job satisfaction (Kassissieh & Barton, 2009; Flowers et al., 1999; Little, 1982; Jackson & Davis, 2000; Piccucci, Brownson, Kahlert, & Sobel, 2002)	2/2011	8/2013
4 C	Staff Planning Retreats & Whole Staff PD (5 additional days total/year)	Staff needs an opportunity for teambuilding, data disaggregation, needs assessment and PD beyond their normal contracted days.	"time dedicated to data analysis and planning by teams at the district and school levels should be sufficient, routine, and non- negotiable. "Redding, 2007	Summer 2011	May 2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

ı	Critical Success	Factor 5: Increa	se Parent/Commu	nity Involvement
п	CHILICAL SUCCESS	I BULUI J. IIIUI CO	ise raieiil/coiiiiiu	HICA THAOLACHICHE

CSF	Additional	Parent/Community Involv Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin	Timeline End
Milestone	Improvement Activity			Date	Date
5 A, B, C	Hire an additional Parent Liaison	School needs additional parent outreach and programming.	Parents play an important role in children's education. Research shows that parental attitudes, styles of interaction, behaviors, and relationships with schools are associated with children's social development and academic performance (Christenson & Sheridan, 2001; Phillips, Brooks-Gunn, et al, 1998).	2/2011	8/2013
5 C	Hire Social Workers to provide services on campus	Based on our schools demographics, percentage of population with pregnancies, living conditions, etc a Family Specialist will be able to provide students and parents with valuable information and assistance. In 2009, 84% of students are economically disadvantaged, 59% are atrisk, 10% of students are LEP and 14% of students are in Special Education.	Ohio School Social Workers Association: According to the National Mental Health Association, fewer than one in five of the 17.5 million children in need of mental health services actually receives them. School social workers, in their intervention with the child and his or her family and working together with school personnel and community resources, can contribute to the amelioration of mental health problems of many children and youths in schools. School social workers provide a link between home, school, and community. This service enables students and their families to overcome social and personal problems which impede learning. School social workers provide individual and group counseling, consultation to teachers, and other services which help students cope with their disabilities. They collaborate with community agencies and provide case management for students and families requiring multiple services.	2/2011	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

ı				
ı	Critical Success E	actor & Increased	Parent/Community	Involvement

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
5 A	Invite parents and community members to volunteer on the transformation committee	School currently has low parent involvement. Parents and community should be engaged in campus decision-making processes.	The implementation of the parent engagement initiative in Fort Worth ISD is based on Joyce Epstein's theoretical framework, which suggests that partnerships between the school, the family and the community help children feel cared for and encourages them to work harder, eventually increasing students' chances for success (Epstein, et al, 2002).	2/2011	8/2013
5 B	Send out letters with student progress reports and report cards	Parents need to receive information on school events and programs in a timely manner. They will receive these letters every 3 weeks.	School-level studies concluded that greater implementation of family and community involvement activities at schools led to fewer behavioral or discipline problems among students, along with improved mathematics skills and achievement (Sheldon & Epstein, 2002, 2005).	2/2011	8/2013
5 B	Invite parents to learn at Saturday School events	Parent programming on Saturdays will provide an additional parent involvement audience.	Research shows that parental attitudes, styles of interaction, behaviors, and relationships with schools are associated with children's social development and academic performance (Christenson & Sheridan, 2001; Phillips, Brooks-Gunn, et al, 1998). The implementation of the parent engagement initiative in Fort Worth ISD is based on Joyce Epstein's theoretical framework, which suggests that partnerships between the school, the family and the community help children feel cared for and encourages them to work harder, eventually increasing students' chances for success (Epstein, et al, 2002).	2/2011	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 5: Increase Parent/Community Involvement

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
5 A, B, C	Host family/community events during the school year	Parent involvement is low at this campus. The campus must market and hold events to increase parent turnout.	School-level studies concluded that greater implementation of family and community involvement activities at schools led to fewer behavioral or discipline problems among students, along with improved Mathematics skills and achievement (Sheldon & Epstein, 2002, 2005).	2/2011	8/2013
5 A,B,C	Create a Parent Center	A Parent Center is an excellent way to entice parents to visit the campus and conference with teachers, administrators, and counselors. It is also a place where they can access technology and materials that they cannot otherwise afford in order to assist their children with homework/projects.	Research shows that parental attitudes, styles of interaction, behaviors, and relationships with schools are associated with children's social development and academic performance (Christenson & Sheridan, 2001; Phillips, Brooks-Gunn, et al, 1998). The implementation of the parent engagement initiative in Fort Worth ISD is based on Joyce Epstein's theoretical framework, which suggests that partnerships between the school, the family and the community help children feel cared for and encourages them to work harder, eventually increasing students' chances for success (Epstein, et al, 2002).	2/2011	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905_ County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
6 B	Positive Behavior Management Strategies safe & Civil schools CHAMPS Develop better behavior management strategies in school, learn effective classroom management procedures Implement school-wide Positive Behavior Support and RtI for Behavior Design and implement a better school improvement plan	We as teachers need to foster respect and responsibility in our students and improve school climate and school culture. Our staff needs to be trained to make data-driven decisions on a school wide level, in classrooms, and with individual students. Also learn how to develop proactive, positive behavior support strategies tailored to our classroom, school and district.	"Positive Behavior Intervention and Support (PBIS) and other similar frameworks or programs may provide a more consistent school climate by helping educators structure the environment and provide positive reinforcement" (http://www.pbis.org/)	2/2011	8/2013
6 A,B	Additional Security Officers	Protection of property deters crimes on campus, enforce parking rules and regulations, deter students from leaving campus, and assist administrators in keeping a safe learning environment.	A safe, orderly school climate is one of several characteristics of schools that consistently show good achievement gains (Redding, 2006).	2/2011	8/2013
6 A	Hold team building events for staff	Staff must learn to work together to increase student achievement and improve campus climate.	Positive relationships and effective classroom management strategies are necessary to establish contexts that support the academic and behavioral competence of all students at all grade levels, including students with disabilities, and they can also promote student connectedness and reduce behavior problems, achievement gaps, dropout rates, and teacher attrition (Blum, McNeely, & Rinehart, 2002; Kennelly & Monrad, 2007; National High School Center, 2008; Oliver, 2007).	2/2011	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
6 B	Train teachers on campus discipline plan	Discipline is a huge issue at this campus. It distracts from learning time and results in a poor campus climate.	"States and districts can engineer more effective turnaround at scale by creating space that supports outside-the-system approaches, focused inside the system" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11). Its top lesson learned from high-performing, high-poverty schools is, "Clearly defined authority to act based on what's best for children and learning—i.e., flexibility and control over staffing, scheduling, budget, and curriculum" (Calkins et al., 2007, p. 11).	10/2010	8/2013
6 B	Train staff on how to properly utilize On Campus Intervention (OCI)	OCI keeps students on campus rather than suspending them or sending them to alternative campuses so that their work and performance can be monitored consistently.	A safe, orderly school climate is one of several characteristics of schools that consistently show good achievement gains (Redding, 2006).	10/2010	8/2013
6 A, B, C	Implement school wide school climate survey to students, teachers, and parents.	School wide data are needed to improve year to year.	A safe, orderly school climate is one of several characteristics of schools that consistently show good achievement gains (Redding, 2006). Individuals' experiences of school climate are shaped by perceptions of safety, teaching and learning, interpersonal relationships (including among students, among adults, and between students and adults), and the institutional environment (Center for Social and Emotional Education, n.d.). When considering climate, the evidence of the close relationship between academic and behavioral difficulties calls for integrating intervention efforts through a single system that can monitor progress in both areas and respond accordingly with intervention, 2008).	2/2011	8/2013

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 6: Improve School Climate

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activ	vity	Rationale		Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
6 C	Partner with 21 st Century program staff to offer extracurricular activities	After cre pro gea interpro sturinter relatives	e purpose of an erschool Coordinator is to ate and facilitate grams/activities that are ared towards the student's erest. This type of gramming would allow the dents the opportunity to eract with one arrother in a axed atmosphere that are common interests.	Unitand find high links star hab disa gair imp sch	ew study by researchers at the versity of California, Irvine, the versity of Wisconsin-Madison Policy Studies Associates, Inc. is that regular participation in a-quality afterschool programs is ed to significant gains in adardized test scores and work with as well as reductions in avior problems among advantaged students. These is help offset the negative act of a lack of supervision after ool. licy Studies, Inc. 2007)	10/2010	8/2013

Add additional pages as needed.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)
Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality						
CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date	
7 A, B, C	Professional development for staff on instructional techniques, such as Positive Behavior Supports, inclusion methods, differentiated instruction, Response to Intervention, etc.	According to the data sources examined, improvement is needed in all areas of instruction, campus climate, leadership effectiveness, and classroom management	It is the teachers responsibility to provide learning opportunities for as many students as possible (Corbett, 1996; Schumm & Vaughn, 1991).	2/2011	8/2013	
7 A	Train leadership on PDAS	Ensure fidelity among teacher ratings. Leadership must have full mastery of the campus evaluation tool in order to achieve full effectiveness	State's approved instrument for appraising its teachers and identifying areas that would benefit from staff development. PDAS includes 51 criteria within eight domains reflecting the Proficiencies for Learner-Centered Instruction adopted in 1997 by the State Board for Educator Certification (SBEC).	2/2011	8/2013	
7 B	Provide LCT's/Coaches will training to offer high quality job embedded PD	LCT's & Coaches must be prepared to support teachers on-going, job embedded professional development. LCT's and Coaches must act as teacher trainers and experts.	" professional development for school leaders should be ongoing, job-ernbedded, and differentiated to meet the needs of individual principals and other school leaders (Goldring, Camburn, Huff, & Sebastian, 2007; Portin, Alejano, Knapp, & Marzolf, 2006). This approach may involve coaching, mentoring, reflection, and self-assessment. Through professional development, school leaders are often better equipped to promote collaboration arnong principals, teachers, and other school and district personnel and to create opportunities for staff to share in leadership responsibilities and develop and demonstrate leadership potential (Hargreaves & Fink, 2006; Salazar, 2007)."	2/2011	8/2013	

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	_
County-District	No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on By of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
7 C	Work with teacher recruitment group such as Teach for America to hire staff on campus	Campus does not currently have a program in place to recruit and retain high quality teachers	From the perspective of TFA and its funders, our findings clearly show that the organization is making progress toward its primary mission of reducing inequities in education—it supplies low-income schools with academically talented teachers who contribute positively to the academic achievement of their students. (Mathematica Policy Research, 2004)	2/2011	8/2013
7 C	Provide 5 PD days at full daily rate of pay each year of the grant	Campus requires extended year Professional Development in order to implement its full PD plan	Full faculty meetings are especially well suited to initiating and sustaining professional development that supports building wide change as these formats provide opportunities for all staff to hear the same message at the same time, decreasing the likelihood of confusion or misunderstanding (Daniels, 2006).	2/2011	8/2013
7 C	Provide incentive bonus to retain teachers on campus	This additional compensation allows a campus to differentiate them and to motivate movement and retention at their campuses.	A new study shows that merit- based pay for teachers can improve student test scores, The Washington Times reports. The Achievement Challenge Pilot Project (ACPP) covered five schools in Little Rock, Arkansas. Teachers could earn as much as an \$11,000 bonus based on how much their students' test scores improved.	2/2011	8/2013

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on Ву

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)
Critical Success Factor 7: Increase Teacher Quality

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
7 C	Provide value-added bonus for core teachers based on grade level or content growth	Will reward teachers for collaboration and collective capacity building to benefit all students	Researchers from the University of Arkansas report: "Students of teachers who are eligible for performance bonuses enjoy academic benefits. Further, many of the criticisms of ment pay programs simply have not proven true in Little Rock."	2/2011	8/2013
7 C	Provide a school wide bonus for all teachers and administrators based on school wide improvement	Will reward teachers for collaboration and collective capacity building to benefit all students	Almost every business in the private sector has found a way to evaluate, pay, and promote employees based on their individual performance. Their motivation in doing so is direct accountability to investors and shareholders. Likewise, state officials and local school boards are better positioned to design effective, innovative education policies because they are more directly accountable to parents and taxpayers.(The Heritage Foundation, 2008)	2/2011	8/2013

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

School Years 2010-2013

A	81-
Amendment	NO.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

of TEA.

CSF Milestone	Additional Improvement Activity	Rationale	Supporting Research	Timeline Begin Date	Timeline End Date
			_		
•					
\dd addition	al pages as needed.				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 220905 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description **Part 4: Waiver Requests** Applicants must check the waivers in which the LEA/campus intends to implement. Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. This waiver extends the "life" of the funds for two additional years; allowing the state to fund the grant period for three years to the LEA on behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the campus meets the requirements of implementation of the grant program. Note: Since TEA has requested and received a walver of the period of availability of these school improvement grant funds, this waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State and must be checked. ☐ "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model. Under this waiver, the LEA with an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implementing the turnaround model or restart model may have their School Improvement status reset regardless of the actual AYP status and other school improvement interventions, such as School Choice and Supplemental Education Services (SES) would not be applicable. This waiver allows the campus two years to effectively implement the selected turnaround or restart model of reform without additional statutory school improvement interventions being required. ☐ Implementing a school wide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that does not meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold. This waiver allows a Tier I Title I campus that otherwise does not qualify to operate a Schoolwide program to do so in order to implement the Tier I reform model selected.

of TEA.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	_
County-District	No

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment	No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section A: Ongoing Monitoring/Continuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/campus' process for providing ongoing monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement

In order to provide stakeholders with *Ongoing Monitoring and Continuous Improvement*, the evaluation will utilize periodic feedback to the participating campus and its grant advisory team. The seven performance goals will be assessed through both ongoing qualitative and quantitative assessments with monthly, quarterly, and yearly feedback.

Academic Performance: Student academic performance will be closely monitored through data teams whose role is to help teachers review and interpret as well as determine action plans for student instructional change. Additionally, these teams aggregate and disaggregate data at the school level, build tables and charts, and discuss with grade/content teachers. The Curriculum Based Assessments are administered multiple times per year (depending on content and grade) and teachers along with the campus data team have real-time access to those results. All state and local testing is available through DataSmart a district-wide data dashboard.

<u>Data to Drive Instruction</u>: Decisions that drive instruction will be examined through close analyses of the frequency of access to DataSmart and the Curriculum Frameworks, the District's managed curriculum program. Teachers' perception of their decision making relative to data will be gathered through interviews. Quarterly feedback to the campus will provide the frequency of access to DataSmart and the Curriculum Frameworks, as well as the teachers' thought about instructional decision making from the interviews. Additionally, the annual teacher survey will ask teachers their perception of using data to modify instruction, the use of interim assessment data (e.g. use of CBA), and their confidence in using DataSmart.

Parent Involvement Data will be collected regarding the campus' efforts to increase communication from campus-to-parent and parent-to-campus. Parents will be surveyed to determine levels of satisfaction with campus communication. Parent liaisons logs will be examined on a quarterly basis to determine specific communication efforts and amount of parent contact. In addition, parent liaisons will be interviewed to determine their perceptions of barriers relevant to parent communication. Teachers and principals will be surveyed to determine perceptions of communication improvement, as well as efforts to increase the connection between learning at home and learning at school. Quarterly updates will be given based on available data.

School Climate: School Climate is a part of each campus' Campus Improvement Plan and is reviewed multiple times per year by the campus staff and leadership. The annual Teacher Survey asks questions in the following areas: Instruction, School Management, Employee Engagement, Professional Learning Community, and Student Discipline. These indices provide data for the campus to review and make decisions regarding the school climate. To provide ongoing feedback regarding climate, staff meeting minutes will be kept and analyzed by the evaluator for patterns and trends.

<u>Leadership Effectiveness:</u> Ongoing data collection for leadership effectiveness will include teacher and student surveys which will ask for perceptions regarding effectiveness. Principals will participate in a focus group designed to elicit feedback regarding the Team Leadership Model training. Feedback will be given to share success and concerns with regard to the model.

<u>Learning Time</u>: Data will be gathered through professional development logs to verify training. Collaboration will be assessed through time logs, teacher interviews, and observations of planning. Quarterly feedback will be reported to the management committee. Extended day programs will collect data on participation and these minutes will be reviewed monthly.

<u>Teacher Quality</u>: Teacher quality improvement will be assessed through value-add data examining the teachers' ability to promote growth in student achievement. Significant growth will be recognized as 1.5 SE above the mean.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on by

of TEA

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section B: Formative Evaluation- Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

The formative evaluation process will use a "Process Capability and Maturity Model". This is used by the campus to plan how to improve organizational and individual processes. The Model describes 5 levels of capability:

- 1. "Initial" No organized processes; performance depends on "heroics"
- 2. "Managed" Some organized processes; repeatable performance
- 3. "Defined" Organization-wide process approach; measures defined
- 4. "Quantitatively Managed" Predictable performance
- 5. "Optimizing" Processes are agile and continuously improved

The primary focus of the evaluation process will be to help the campus get to the optimizing level of capability. The process of understanding the transformational level of the organization and/or individual will focus on a *Balanced Measurement* approach. As stated in the *Ongoing and Continuous Improvement* section above, data will be gathered and analyzed to track, communicate and improve campus performance. The three types of measurement are Internal measures (e.g. Volume, Time, Cost), Output measures (e.g. Accuracy, Quality, Timeliness), and Satisfaction measures (e.g. Perception, Compliments, Awards). Internal measures assess the basic performance of the process. Specifically, Internal Measures will consist of learning minutes, training logs, attendance, and discipline referrals. Output measures assess the quality of its intermediate or final outputs. Specifically, Output Measures will include state and local testing, classroom observations, interviews, and value-add data. Both measures (Internal and Output) can be measured without involving the participants of the process. However, Satisfaction measures are direct assessments of the participant's view of the process. Satisfaction will consist of the teacher, student, principal, and parent surveys. Together, these three types of data will be used by the various campus groups and the grant advisory committee to evaluate the implementation of the grant and the improvement of student success.

Mechanisms being built to transform the schools include the data coaching teams, grade level, and content level teams at the campus level. These teams meet and discuss data, instruction, and classroom management issues. Action plans are made, implemented, and reassessed. From the district level, academic coaches are assigned by content and their role is to provide peer coaching for instruction in their content area. Additionally, their peer coaching with individual teachers is based on CBA data as well as student work product. This continual ongoing and formative assessment process will use the data from the grant to add to their repertoire and move the data to the student expectation level increasing the campus capability.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

Qualitative

The process for gathering Qualitative data will occur using a model that (1) targets a set of behaviors or activities, (2) collects data on the target, (3) provides feedback to stakeholders for discussion, and (4) identified new set of targets. Qualitative data will be collected on the seven goals in the following ways:

<u>Classroom observations</u>: Observation will be conducted to determine the extent to which grant activities are being implemented at the classroom level. The primary foci of the observations will be based on targeted activities from teachers' professional development, campus initiatives, and other grant related activities. Observations will be conducted by trained observers using a rubric and protocol with 85% or better inter-rater reliability. Randomly selected classrooms will be observed with the intent of getting a snapshot of the campus' best practices. Teacher names will not be used and observation data will be aggregated across the campus. Feedback will be reported quarterly to the advisory committee as data are available.

<u>Learning Walks</u>: As part of the District's strategic initiatives, the Institute for Learning's "Learning Walks" is used and will continue to be used. However, a specific focus will be on grant activities as the performance indicator. Learning Walks result in "wonderments" about instruction, assessment, and student performance based on the snapshot observation. These wonderments will be reviewed by the evaluator for patterns.

<u>Data Team Observations</u>: Data Team meetings will be observed to determine the link between data (student and campus level), its interpretation at the Leadership level, and differentiation at the classroom level. The connection between data reports and what happens with the interpretation at the classroom level will be a focus. These data will provide indicators for other data collections such as surveys.

<u>Teacher Interviews</u> Based on classroom observations, teacher will be selected for an interview regarding the observation. Instructional decision points will be identified and discussed with the teacher. In particular, the teachers' decision making regarding the instruction, data, and responses to students about their work product will be discussed.

Quantitative

Student Academic measures: To assess student academic achievement level, TAKS data will be collected by grade and content area (June). To assess student academic growth, EVAAS (value-add) scores will collected by grade and content area (July). Ongoing assessment data will be comprised of Curriculum Based Assessment (CBAs) given periodically through the year by curriculum unit. These data are uploaded in real time to the District data dashboard DataSmart which displays student results by student expectation (SE). These data will be used to modify instruction.

<u>Surveys</u>: To assess teacher perception of professional development, campus initiatives, climate, and employee engagement, a *teacher survey* will be administered during the spring semester. Feedback will be given in late spring. To assess student perception of campus climate, a *student survey* will be administered during the spring semester and feedback will be given in late spring. To assess parent perception of school climate and parent engagement, a *parent survey* will be administered in the fall and feedback will be available during the spring semester.

Activity Logs: Coaching logs, professional development logs, and parent liaison logs will be collected to determine the amount of activity for each activity. Coaching logs will assess the types of coaching teachers receive from their academic coaches, such as modeling or team teaching. Professional development logs will determine attendance and amount of training teachers receive. Parent liaison logs will report on parent involvement activities, the number of attendance and type of activity. Extended day programs will collect amount of time students are served through the program.

<u>District Data</u>: Teacher attendance data will be reported quarterly to the advisory meeting with district comparison data. Discipline referrals will be reported by semester.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

220905 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation


Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

of TEA.

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to **two pages**, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Significant progress in improving student learning and closing achievement gaps is a moral responsibility and a real possibility for FWISD campuses. The use of Data Teams and Data Coaches will give increased meaning to campus data. Effective data users become aware of and critically examine their frames of reference and assumptions. Through the collaboration process below, collaboration will unleash resourcefulness and creativity to continuously improve instruction and student learning. The campus understands that using data itself does not improve teaching. Improved teaching comes about when teachers implement sound teaching practices grounded in cultural proficiency – understanding and respect for their students' cultures – and a thorough understanding of the subject matter and how to teach it, including understanding student thinking and ways of making content accessible to all students. Every member of a collaborative school community can act as a leader, dramatically impacting the quality of relationships, the school culture, and student learning.

Process for Development of Performance Goals



Adapted from Wellman, B., & Lipton, L., 2004. Data-Driven Dialogue: A Facilitator's Guide to Collaborative Inquiry. Sherman, CT: MiraVia, LLC. Used with permission.

The adapted model from Wellman and Lipton will be the "Data Process" for thinking about and developing performance goals. The process will incorporate (1) campus and district leadership, (2) data coaches, (3) data teams, and (4) classroom teachers. The Data Process consists of five major components. Within each component is a sequence of tasks that Data Coaches carry out with Data Teams.

The first component is *Building the Foundation*. In this component, Data Coaches lay important groundwork with the Data Teams such as building stakeholder support, establishing roles on the data teams, and creating time for collaboration. In the second part of the process, *Identifying a Student-Learning Problem*, Data Teams use multiple student-learning data sources to identify a goal for improvement. The third component, *Verifying Causes*, involves examining local data about practice and relevant research to verify that the causes the team is preparing to act on are supported by evidence. In *Generating Solutions*, the team applies "logic-model" thinking to generate strategies for improving results and develop a monitoring plan. As the team implements solutions, they monitor their results and ultimately achieve and celebrate success.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

<u>Data Decision Making Groups</u>: The groups within the decision making process determining the performance goals are Leadership (district and campus), Data Coaches, Data Teams, and Classroom Teachers.

Leadership is comprised of two teams with 4-6 staff. Members at the District level include a member from *Accountability, Curriculum Directors*, and *Leadership Directors*. Their role is to help with data literacy and data analysis tools. They are assigned to 8-10 campuses and meet regularly with the campus data teams. They support, review and provide feedback for data assignments. Additionally, they team supports campus interpretation and use of data results to form performance goals. At the campus level, the Leadership team is composed of those having instructional expertise, technical expertise, and "people skills". It is comprised of the Data Coach, Principal, Content area chairs, specialists, or grade-level representatives, Special Ed lead teacher and/or inclusion teacher, and ESL or Bilingual teacher. The diversity of the team allows for multiple levels of data analysis and the development of performance goals.

<u>Data Coach</u>: The data coach is a full-time "teacher on assignment". Their role is to assist educators as they examine all kinds of school information, including student achievement, perception, demographic, and process data. In this role, the coach helps individual teachers and teacher teams understand their students' strengths and weaknesses, and identify instructional strategies, structures, programs, or curriculum to address identified needs. Specifically, the coach will build the data literacy of teachers through data skills and tools. They will convene meetings, facilitate the process, support the norms of collaboration, keep the campus vision alive, and lead the data experience.

<u>Data Team</u>: The Data Team consists of 4-6 staff of the campus including the Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Specialist, Data Coach, Academic Coordinator, Classroom Teachers, and Data Clerk. The role of the team consists of developing data literacy skills, collect, organize and analyze data, present results to instructional staff, and train and involve instructional staff in interpretation and use of data for instruction. This process of collaboration is designed to change the culture of the campus and make data decision making part of the daily culture. This team will help drive the performance goals and their outcomes.

<u>Classroom Teachers</u>: The end user of the process is the classroom teacher. Their role is to take the data and use it to understand how the student is learning, what needs to change instructionally for clearer understanding, identify the student expectation and associated instructional differentiation. After the new instruction and activity is delivered the cycle starts again with looking at the data and deciding the next steps.

Developing performance goals is a collaborative and systemic effort at the campus with various groups being involved using a distinct model for decision-making.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve Academic Performance – Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	Reading/ELA TAKS % Met	79%	84%	89%	92%
2	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	Math TAKS % Met	61%	71%	81%	91%
3	Improve Student Achievement in Science	Science TAKS %Met	48%	58%	68%	78%
4	Improve Student Achievement in Social Studies	Social Studies TAKS % Met	79%	84%	89%	92%
5	Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	Reading/ELA TAKS % Commended	24%	26%	28%	30%
6	Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics	Math TAKS % Commended	7%	9%	11%	13%
7	Improve Student Achievement in Science	Science TAKS % Commended	6%	8%	10%	12%
8	Improve Student Achievement in Social Studies	Social Studies TAKS % Commended	21%	23%	25%	27%
9	Improve ELL Student Achievement in Reading/ELA	Reading/ELA TAKS % Met	38%	48%	58%	68%
10	Improve ELL Student Achievement in Mathematics	Math TAKS % Met	37%	47%	57%	67%
11	Improve ELL Student Achievement in Science	Science TAKS %Met	17%	27%	37%	47%
12	Improve ELL Student Achievement in Social Studies	Social Studies TAKS % Met	66%	71%	76%	81%

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	Νo

School Years 2010-2013

۸m	on	dma	ent	Nα.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

of TEA.

Improve Academic Performance – Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction – Enter the annual goals for increasing the use of quality data to drive instruction, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve the use of multiple sources of student data (i.e., test data, interventions, attendance) to modify/enhance instruction in the classroom.	Teacher Survey I-8	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
2	Improve the use of interim assessment data (CBAs) to modify/enhance instruction in the classroom.	Teacher Survey I-10	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
3	Improve the confidence of using DataSmart.	Teacher Survey I-17	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
4	Improve quality feedback through "Learning Walks"	Teacher Survey PLC3	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
1	Improve the use of multiple sources of student data (i.e., test data, interventions, attendance) to modify/enhance instruction in the classroom.	Teacher Survey I-8	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Leadership Effectiveness— Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of campus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase in and/or maintain TAKS scores toward the recognized and exemplary ranges	AEIS	AU	Acceptabl e	Acceptabl e	Recognize d
2	Improve Principal's Leadership Effectiveness Score.	Teacher Survey	1.96	2.1	2.3	2.5
3	Improve student perception of the principal as campus leader.	Student Survey	57%	65%	75%	80%
4	Increase teacher report that reading coaches improve the effectiveness of instruction	Teacher Survey (PLC 16)	0%	20%	40%	60%
5	Increase teacher report that math coaches improve the effectiveness of instruction	Teacher Survey (PLC 16)	2%	20%	40%	60%
6	Show positive perception from principals regarding the <u>Team</u> <u>Leadership Model</u>	Principal Survey	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline

Increase Learning Time – Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Increase time for teacher collaboration through increased days for professional development.	Professional Development Training Logs	7 days	10 days	12 days	12 days
2	Increase opportunities for modeling and peer coaching.	Coaching logs	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
3	Increase usage of the Curriculum Frameworks to improve focused time on scope and sequence.	Teacher Survey (PLC 6)	88%	90%	91%	92%
4	Increase minutes during the academic day for learning.	Extended Day Attendance Logs	123,930	15 additional minutes	30 additional minutes	45 additional minutes

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement – Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve communication between parents and the campus (Composite Score)	Parent Survey	2.88	3.0	3.2	3.3
2	Improve teacher's timely endeavors to contact parents about academic and behavior progress (Composite Score)	Parent Survey	2.79	3.0	3.2	3.3
3	Improve information to parents on student evaluation (Composite Score)	Parent Survey	2.94	3.0	3.2	3.3
4	Improve parent perceptions of campus efforts to assist with guidance on learning at home (Composite Score)	Parent Survey	2.68	2.8	3.0	3.2
5	Increase the collaboration of community services at the campus level.	Parent Liaison logs	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve School Climate - Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve teacher attendance	District Database	12	10	8	7
2	Improve classroom management and disciplinary climate	Discipline Referrals	2.57	2.7	3.0	3.1
3	Improve campus climate composite score for <i>Instruction</i> .	Teacher Survey	DNA	TBD	TBD	TBD
4	Improve campus climate composite score for School Management.	Teacher Survey	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
5	Improve campus climate composite score for <i>Employee Engagement</i> .	Teacher Survey	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline
6	Improve campus climate composite score for <i>Professional Learning Community</i> .	Teacher Survey	DNA	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline	Derived from Baseline

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)	220905 County-District No.				
by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013	Amendment No.				
Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant						

Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Increase Teacher Quality – Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performance	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1	Improve student growth for Reading	EVAAS – Value Add	5.2	1.5	1.5	1.5
2	Improve student growth for Math	EVAAS – Value Add	2,7	1.5	1.5	1.5

Other - Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

#	Performance Measure	Assessment Instrument/ Tool	Most Recent Year Performanc e	Year 1 Progress Goal	Year 2 Progress Goal	Year 3 Progress Goal
1						
2		114				
3						
4						
5					****	

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No.

1 . 1	ne/e-mall/FAX on	School Years 2010-2013			
by	of TEA.	Taves Title I Bulguity Cabasis Count		mendment No.	
 	Schodule # 4D-	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Equitable Access and Participation: Barrio		riec	
No Barı		Equitable Access and Participation, Darri	ers and scrate;	grea	
#		No Barriers	Students	Teachers	Others
000		barriers exist to equitable access and			
	participation for any groups. Gender-Specific Bias	The state of the s			
#	1	a fay Canday anaisia Dina	Students	Teachers	Others
		es for Gender-specific Bias orically underrepresented groups to fully			
A01	participate	ontain, and on oprobonica groups to rail,	<u></u> ⊠		
A02	Provide staff development or				
A03	Ensure strategies and materi bias	als used with students do not promote gender			
A04	Develop and implement a pla effects of past discrimination	n to eliminate existing discrimination and the on the basis of gender			
A05		equirements In Title IX of the Education prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender			
A06	Ensure students and parents responsibilities with regard to	. 🗆			
A99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier	Cultural, Linguistic, or E	conomic Diversity			
#	Strategies for Cultu	ral, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B01	Provide program information	/materials in home language			×
B02	Provide Interpreter/translator	• =			☒
В03	Increase awareness and appl a variety of activities, publication	reciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through tions, etc.		⋈	⊠
B04		achers, and other program beneficiaries an families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds			
B05		involvement/participation in program activities			×
В06	Provide staff development on populations	effective teaching strategies for diverse			
В07	Ensure staff development is sommunicates an appreciation	sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and n for diversity			
вов		n Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Support Team, or other provider			
B09	Provide parenting training				
B10	Provide a parent/family center	er			
B11		y of backgrounds in decision making			
B12		for parent involvement including home learning that don't require parents to come to the school			
B13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	participating in school activities			⊠
B14	knowledge in school activities				
B15	Provide adult education, incluprogram	ding GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy			×
B16	Offer computer literacy cours	es for parents and other program beneficiaries			

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

		Standard Application System (SAS)	County-District No.		
by telephone/e-mall/FAX on		School Years 2010-2013			
Ву	of TEA.			Amendment No.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cabadula # 4D	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant -Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	re and Strate	agioc	
Barrier	the second secon	-Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie conomic Diversity (cont.)	rs and Strati	:g.es	
#		ural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity	Students	Teachers	Others
B17	-	m for traditionally "hard to reach" parents			
B18	Coordinate with community				
		e from business, industry, or institution of higher			\boxtimes
B19	education				
B20	effects of past discrimination	an to eliminate existing discrimination and the non the basis of race, national origin, and color			
B21	Ensure compliance with the 1964, which prohibits discrir color	requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of nination on the basis of race, national origin, and	⊠	×	
B22		and other program beneficiaries are informed of ies with regard to participation in the program			
B23		n a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and			
B99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier	Gang-Related Activit	ies			
#	Strategie	s for Gang-related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
C01	Provide early intervention.				
C02	Provide Counseling.				
C03	Conduct home visits by staff.				
C04	Provide flexibility in scheduling activities.				
C05	Recruit volunteers to assist i	n promoting gang-free communities.			
C06	Provide mentor program.				
C07	Provide before/after school r programs/activities.	ecreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic			
C08	Provide community service p	programs/activities.			
C09	Conduct parent/teacher conf	ferences.			
C10	Strengthen school/parent co	mpacts.			
C11	Establish partnerships with I	aw enforcement agencies.			
C12	Provide conflict resolution/pe	eer mediation strategies/programs.			
C13	Seek collaboration/assistance education.	e from business, industry, or institution of higher			
C14	Provide training/information gang-related issues.	to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with			
C99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Drug-Related Activities				
#	Strategie	s for Drug-related Activities	Students	Teachers	Others
D01	Provide early identification/i	ntervention.			
D02	Provide Counseling.				
D03	Conduct home visits by staff				
D04	Recrult volunteers to assist i	n promoting drug-free schools and communities.			
D05	Provide mentor program.				

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with		TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		220905 County-District No.	
by telephon	ne/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.	School Years 2010-2013		Amendment No.	
	01 1273	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		Attendinent no.	
	Schedule # 4D-	Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	ers and Strat	eales	
Barrier:	Drug-Related Activities (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
D06		creational, instructional, cultural, or artistic			
D07	Provide community service pr	rograms/activities			
D08	Provide comprehensive health	n education programs.			
D09	Conduct parent/teacher confe	erences.			
D10	Establish school/parent comp	acts.			
D11	Develop/maintain community	partnerships.			
D12	Provide conflict resolution/pe	er mediation strategies/programs.			
D13	education.	from business, industry, or institution of higher			
D14	drug-related issues.	o teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with			
D15	Seek Collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher education.				
D99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Visual Impairments				. Adv. 1
#	Strategie	es for Visual Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
E01	Provide early identification an	d Intervention.			
E02	Provide Program materials/in	formation in Braille.			
E03	Provide program materials/in	formation in large type.			
E04	Provide program materials/in	formation on tape.			
E99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Hearing Impairments				
#	Strategies	s for Hearing Impairments	Students	Teachers	Others
F01	Provide early identification an	d intervention.			
F02	Provide interpreters at progra	m activities.			
F99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Learning Disabilities				
#	Strategie	s for Learning Disabilities	Students	Teachers	Others
G01	Provide early identification an	d intervention.	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
G02	Expand tutorial/mentor progra	ams.	\boxtimes		\boxtimes
G03	Provide staff development in i strategies.	dentification practices and effective teaching		⊠	⊠
G04	Provide training for parents in	early identification and intervention.			\boxtimes
G99	Other (Specify)				
Barrier:	Other Physical Disabilitie	s or Constraints			
#		r Physical Disabilities or Constraints	Students	Teachers	Others
H01	other physical disabilities/con	n to achleve full participation by students with straints.		×	⊠
Н99	Other (Specify)				

For TEA Use Only
Adjustments and/or annotations made
on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TFA

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		7111101101	
	Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie	rs and Strate	egies	
Barrier:	Absenteeism/Truancy			
#	Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy	Students	Teachers	Others
K01	Provide early identification/intervention.			
K02	Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan.			
K03	Conduct home visits by staff.			
K04	Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance.			
K05	Provide mentor program.			
K06	Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities.			
K07	Conduct parent/teacher conferences.			
K08	Strengthen school/parent compacts.			
K09	Develop/maintain community partnerships.			
K10	Coordinate with health and social services agencies.			
K10	Coordinate with the juvenile justice system.			
	Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher			
K12	education.			
К99	Other (Specify)			
Barrier:	High Mobility Rates			
#	Strategies for High Mobility Rates	Students	Teachers	Others
L01	Coordinate with social services agencies			
L02	Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families.			
L03	Establish/maintain timely record transferal system.			
L99	Other (Specify)			
Barrier:	Lack of Support from Parents			
#	Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents	Students	Teachers	Others
M01	Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.			
M02	Conduct home visits by staff.			
M03	Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities.			
M04	Conduct parent/teacher conferences.			
M05	Establish school/parent compacts.			
M06	Provide parenting training.			
M07	Provide a parent/family center.			
M08	Provide program materials/information in home language.			\square
M09	Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.		\boxtimes	\square
M10	Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.			
M11	Provide child care for parents participating in school activities.			\boxtimes
M12	Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities.			
M13	Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy program.			\boxtimes
M14	Conduct an outreach program for traditionally "hard to reach" parents.			⊠
M99	Other (Specify)			

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule # 4D-Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and **Barrier: Shortage of Qualified Personnel** # Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel Stud N01 Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel. Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language N02 minority groups. N03 Provide mentor program for new teachers. N04 Provide intern program for new teachers. N05 Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel. N06 Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs. N99 Other (Specify) **Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits** # Strategies for Lack of Knowledge regarding Program Benefits Stud Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program P01 activities & benefits. Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and P02 benefits. Provide announcements to local radio stations & newspapers about program P03

Strategies for Lack of Transportation to Program Activities

Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiaries to activities. Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities

Strategies for Other Barrier

Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood

220905 County-District No.						
	Amendment No.					
s and Strat	egies					
Students	Teachers	Others				
	⊠					
	⊠	⋈				
	L.,, 🗖,	ļ, <u>D</u>				
Students	Teachers	Others				
Students	Teachers	Others				
Students	_	_				
Students						
Students						
Students Graph Gr						

П

Teachers

Others

Students

П

activities/benefits.

Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities

and other activities that don't require coming to school.

Other (Specify)

locations.

Barrier: Other Barrier

Other (Specify)

Other Barrier:

Other Strategy:

P99

Q01

Q02

Q03

Q04

#

Z99

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	No

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Program Authority: P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), as amended by ARRA, P.L. 111-5 CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A Fund Code

ARRA (CFDA# 84.388A): 286

Regular (CFDA# 84.377A): 276

Project Period: August 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013

Class/Object Code an	d Des	criptio	n	Campus Grant Costs	LEA Admin Grant Costs	Pre-Award Cost	Total Grant Funds Budgeted
Payroll Costs		5B	6100	\$ 3,293,105	\$22,575	\$ 0	\$3,315,680
Professional and Contracted Serv	ices	5C	6200	\$ 841,500	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 841,500
Supplies and Materials		5D	6300	\$ 365,652	\$ 1,500	\$ 0	\$ 367,152
Other Operating Costs		5E	6400	\$ 475,000	\$ 3,000	\$0	\$ 478,000
Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 6619 6629) (15XX for charter schools		5G	6600/ 15XX	\$ 120,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 120,000
	To	otal Dire	ct Costs	\$ 5,095,257	\$ 27,075	\$ 0	\$ 5,122,322
2.908% Indirect Costs					\$ 127,668	\$ 0	\$ 127,668
Grand Total							
Total Budgeted Costs: \$ 5,095,257 \$ 154,743 \$ 0						\$ 5,250,000	
Administrative Cost Calcula	ation						
Enter total amount from Schedule	e #5 Bu	ıdget Su	ımmary, l	ast Column, Tot	al Budgeted Cost	s	\$ 5,250,000
Multiply by 5% (5% limit)							X .05
Enter Maximum Allowable for Adr	ministra	tion, inc	cluding In	direct Costs			\$ 262,500

For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on _____ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905	
County-District	Νo

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary

Budget Request by Grant Year: Enter the amount of grant funds requested for each year of the three year grant period.

Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$ 1,385,616

Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$ 1,968,768 *

Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$ 1,895,616 *

* Any Budget Request entered for funds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/campus requesting approval of the waiver for extending the period of availability of these grant funds, whether indicated on Schedule #4B—Program Description: Waiver Requests or not.

Provide any necessary explanation or clarification of budgeted costs

(1) The cost per student (total grant request divided by the total number of students served) is appropriate for the results expected.

The total students projected to be served on this campus are 700, as well as 60 teachers and leaders and 650 families. The total grant request is \$5,250,000 over three years, and each year we expect to serve the students for 40 hours per week for approximately 3,360,000 student hours per year, the teachers with extra services for 50 hours/week for 324,000 teacher hours per year, and the families for 5 hours a week for 390,000 family hours per year resulting in a cost per student service hour of only \$.69. This cost per hour is minimal, considering that services provided include a complete transformation of the campus climate, with extensive, ongoing professional development and daily coaching and guidance for leaders and teachers, extended day and year services for students with tutoring by licensed professional teachers to increase academic achievement, equipment to raise the level of interactive instruction, and a wide range of social services and parent services to increase academic and lifetime success for students.

(2) The budget, including personnel, materials, and other identified expenses, adequately supports the activities outlined in the proposed project. The budgeted personnel for the project covers sufficient extra-duty and substitute pay for teachers to cover training, planning, and extended day and year programs, with accompanying supplies and materials for both teacher, student and parent needs.

(3) Expenditures and activities are supplemental to, and do not supplant or duplicate, services currently provided. All activities have been planned in coordination with existing programs and funding to ensure that they are supplemental and not supplanting. Program activities do not supplant or duplicate services currently provided.

Funding schedule notes:

Schedule #5B – Payroll Costs – 6100 Payroll costs provide for the required and allowable activities as described in the RFA. District and campus support personnel will ensure that budgeted transformation activities are implemented. Evaluators and Administrative staff ensure that all reports are prepared and submitted on time and with adequate information. Teaching staff time allows for professional development and planning and to provide services to students as described above under #2, and adequately supports the academic and family services provided. This budget will provide funding for the District Shepherd, Transformation Specialist, 3.5 Teachers, Operations Manager, Parent Liaison, Tutors, Teacher extra duty in professional development and tutoring. In addition, funds covered in the extra duty line will pay for five days of professional development for teachers; incentive sign-on bonus and reward pay if milestones are met. Schedule #5C – Professional and Contracted Services – 6200 Funds are included for recommended state provider SIRC, as well as additional local community services to be regularly involved in the schools as active supporters of the programs and services offered. Additional contracted services will provide additional family and professional development support as recommended in the Transformation model. Additional contractors will be sought after the Comprehensive Needs Assessment completed by SIRC.

Schedule #5D – Supplies and Materials – 6300 Supplies purchased directly relates to the transformation goals and academic needs of students. Training and materials for long-term systemic change are emphasized, along with family involvement and academic improvement materials related to the specific needs of the campus. Items include supplies for extended day/year, program implementation supplies such as pencils, pens, paper, chart paper, markers, composition notebooks, flash drives, manipulatives, and technology upgrades and software.

Schedule #5E – Other Operating Costs – 6400 As required by the grant, funds are included for staff to participate in required and recommended training events. Awards and incentives are small educational tools such as pencils or nametag lanyards that are used to promote attendance and academic performance. Costs are included for field trips to meet the needs of these students living in poverty with little opportunity for experiences which promote academic cultural literacy. Food costs are budgeted for snacks for special parent involvement activities and for day-long professional development that does not include breaks. Schedule #5G– Capital Outlay – 6500 The campus has allocated funds for two campus computer lab upgrades and 2 wireless carts to provide tutoring services in the library and the cafeteria.

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #5B—Payroll Costs (6100)

	Employee Position Titles		Justification	#Full- Time Effort	#Part- Time Effort	Pre-Award	Amount Budgeted
Inst	truction	- At	<u> </u>			· ·	
1	Teacher		1 Math, 1 Science, 1 Special Education, 1/2 Science Coach	3	1	\$ 0	\$ 460,000
2	Educatio	nal Aide	,			\$ 0	
3	Tutor		Tutors for inclusion	0	20	\$ 0	\$300,000
Pro		nagement and Administration					
4	Project I						
5		Coordinator					
6		Facilitator				\$ 0	
7		Supervisor				\$ 0	
8		y/Administrative Assistant	Data Analisat	+		\$ 0 \$ 0	\$205,000
9	Data Ent	countant/Bookkeeper	Data Analyst	1	0	 	\$205,000
10		or/Evaluation Specialist	Evaluation Specialist	0	1	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$19,200
	iliary	i/Evaluation Specialist	Evaluation Specialist		<u> </u>		Ψ13,200
12	Counselo	or				\$ 0	
13	Social W					\$ 0	
14	Child Care Provider					\$ 0	
15		nity Liaison/Parent Coordinator	Parent Liaison	1	0	\$ 0	\$84,000
16	Bus Driv					\$ 0	
17	Cafeteria	Staff				\$ 0	
18	Librarlar	1				\$ 0	
19	School N	lurse				\$ 0	
Oth	er Employ	yee Positions					
22	Title:	New Teacher Mentors		0	3	\$ 0	\$105,000
23	Title:	Operations Manager		1	0	\$ 0	\$210,000
24	Title:	Project Development Speciali	st	0	1	\$ 0	\$19,200
25		District Shepherd	****	0	1	\$ 0	\$54,000
26		Transformation Specialist		0	1	\$ 0	\$67,500
	1100	типотоппистоп прозимент	Sul	btotal Employ	ee Costs	\$ 0	\$1,523,900
Sub	stitute, E	xtra-Duty, Benefits			,	T	7 - 3 3
27	6112	Substitute Pay				\$ 0	\$81,000
28	6119	Professional Staff Extra-Duty F	Pay			\$ 0	\$1,078,300
29	6121						\$200,000
30	6140	Employee Benefits				\$ 0 \$ 0	\$432,480
31			Subtotal Substitute, Extra	a-Duty, Bene	fits Costs	\$ 0	\$1,791,780
32		0 w.d m.d1 D	yroll Budget (line 26 + line 31)			\$ 0	\$3,315,680

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with .

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by	y telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 y of TEA.				Amendment No.		
			Texas Title I Priorit				
. S	chedule #5C- Ite	mized 6200 P	rofessional and Conti	racted Services Co	osts Requirin	g Specific Ap	
Expense Item Description							Total Amount Budgeted
6212	Audit Costs (other than audits required under OMB Circular A-133) Specify purpose						\$
						* 0	#30.00
c2c0		tal or Lease of Buildings, Space in Buildings, or Land				\$ 0	\$20,00
6269	Specify purpose a calculation:			aff/leadership retreat			
6299	schools)		Costs (specific approval re		ofit charter	\$ 0	\$17,50
	Specify purpose:	Printing parent a	nd community engagemen	nt materials 			
6299	Scholarships and Specify		llowed for nonprofit charte	er schools)		\$ 0	\$
	purpose:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Subto		- Professional	and Contracted Services	s Cost Boquiring En	ocific Approval	\$ 0	\$37,50
rofes			219/6239) Less than \$		ecilic Approvai	, , , ,	437,50
#	Topic/Purpose/S		······································	•	Total Contracted Amount	Pre-Award	Total Amount Budgete
١.	Student support contractor such as Drum Café, Student Ambassadors, or 7 Habits of Highly Effective Teens - contracts not to exceed \$10,000 per vendor \$25,000				\$ 0	\$25,00	
2.	Professional Develo	pment Providers s Disaggregation, te	such as Kilgo, Kagan, CMP am building etc contract	2 Math Training, Bi-	\$155,000	\$ 0	\$155,00
3.	Positive Behavior S vendor	upports (\$4000/ye	ear) - contracts not to exc	eed \$10,000 per	\$12,000	\$ 0	\$12,00
ubto	tal						
			Professional and Cons	ulting Services Less	s than \$10,000	\$ O	\$ 192,00
rofes	sional and Consul	ting Services (6	219) Greater than or Eq	ual to \$10,000			
Des	cription of Profess	sional or Consult	ing Service (Topic/Pur	pose/Service):			
			\$180/Student X 700 Stude	ents/Year to provide s	ocial support ser	vices to campu	s students
Services will be defined after initial planning period Total Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted					Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted	
	Contract Title:	or's Payroll Costs			\$	\$	\$
	24/1/25/13 GENT 105/40/16	ts, Subcontracts.	Subcontracted Services				
		and Materials					
		perating Costs					
		Outlay (Subgrants	Only)				
	Indirect						
But Comment of the Comment		,		Total Payment:	\$ 357,000	\$ 0	\$ 357,00

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

220905	
County-District	No

on this page have t	Standard Application System (SAS)			c	220905 County-District I	No.
by telephone/e-mal		2013			_	
by	of TEA.				Amendment No	o
		Texas Title I Priority Sch	ools Grant			
		Professional and Contracted Services			c Approval (cont.)
		s (6219) Greater than or Equal to		·.)		
		nsulting Service (Topic/Purpose/S	_		- at	
SIKC—Profession	ai development trainin	g for campus staff - Services will be d	enned after mitie	ar planning perio	<u> </u>	Total
Contractor's Co	st Breakdown of Ser	vice to be Provided	# Positions	Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll (Costs	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	\$	\$	\$
	Title:			Ψ	Ψ	_
		acts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materia					
	Other Operating Cost					
	Capital Outlay (Subg					
	Indirect Cost (_%)				
		To nsulting Service (Topic/Purpose/S	tal Payment:	\$ 105,000	\$ 0	\$ 105,000
Provider to be se		or America, to support recruitment and	•	phly qualified te	achers	
	st Breakdown of Ser	****	# Positions	Total Contracted Amount	Pre- Award	Total Amount Budgeted
	Contractor's Payroll C	Costs		\$	\$	\$
	Title:			Ψ	Ψ	<u> </u>
		acts, Subcontracted Services				
	Supplies and Materia					
	Other Operating Cost					
	Capital Outlay (Subgi	%)		"		
	Indirect Cost (= /	tal Daymantı	* 150,000	A 0	± 150 000
			tal Payment:	\$ 150,000		\$ 150,000
Subtotal: Profes	ssional and Consultir	ig Services Greater Than or Equal	to \$10,000:	\$	\$	\$
Subtota	of Professional and Co	ontracted Services Costs Requiring Sp	ecific Approval:	\$17,500	\$(\$37,500
Subto			ecitic approvation	41,,000		, as, soo
	tal of Professional and	Consulting Services or Subgrants Less		\$212,000	\$(
Subtota		Consulting Services or Subgrants Less onsulting Services Greater than or Eq	than \$10,000:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	\$192,000

Grand Total:

\$841,500

\$841,50

0

\$0

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Gran	nt
Schedule #5D - Itemized 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs R	equiring Specific Approva

Expense Item Description					Pre-Award	Total Budgeted
	Te	echnology Hardware- Not Car	pitalized			
	#	Type	Purpose	Quantity		
	1	Active Expressions	Utilize with Promethean Board 20 sets X \$300/set	20		ļ
	2	Active Pens	Utilize with Promethean Board 20 x\$ 100/pen	20	1	
6399	3	Computers	Computer for replace computers and new staff on campus \$1000/computer x 20	20	\$ 0	\$ 40,500
	4	Printers	Printers for replacement printers and new staff on campus \$1000/computer x 20	20		
	5	TV's	Parent Announcements 5 X \$500/TV	5		
6399 Technology Software- Not Capitalized			\$0	\$84,500		
6399	6399 Supplies and Materials Associated with Advisory Council or Committee				\$0	\$0
	9.44					
Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specific Approval:					\$0	\$125,000
Remaining 6300- Supplies and Materials that do not require specific approval:				\$0	\$242,152	
			Gr	and Total	\$0	\$367,152

For TEA Use Only
Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

220905 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

by	of TEA.	Amendment No	· <u> </u>
	Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant		
	Schedule #5E - Itemized 6400 Other Operating Costs Requiring Specific	Approval	-
	Expense Item Description	Pre- Award	Total Budgeted
6411	Out of State Travel for Employees (includes registration fees) Specify purpose: Professional development trainings and conferences	\$ 0	\$ 150,000
6412	Travel for Students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose:	\$ 0	\$ 0
6413	Stipends for Non-Employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose:	\$ 0	\$ 0
6419	Travel for Non-Employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips) (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose:	\$ 0	\$ 0
6411/ 6419_	Travel Costs for Executive Director (6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members (6419) (includes registration fees) Specify purpose:	\$ 0	\$ 0
6429	Actual losses which could have been covered by permissible insurance	\$ 0	\$ 0
6490	Indemnification Compensation for Loss or Damage	\$ 0	\$ 0
6490	Advisory Council/Committee Travel or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee on Schedule #4B-Program Description: Project Management)	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Membership Dues in Civic or Community Organizations (Not allowable for University applicants) Specify name and purpose of organization:	\$ 0	\$ 0
6499	Publication and Printing Costs- If reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) Specify purpose:	\$ 0	\$ 0
	Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific approval:	\$ 0	\$ 150,000
	Remaining 6400 - Other Operating Costs that do not require specific approval:	\$ 0	\$328,000
	Grand Total	\$ 0	\$478,000

	For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)		220905 County-District No.		
	elephone/e-mail/FAX on	School Years 2010-201	School Years 2010-2013			
by	of TEA.	Tayon Title I Bulavity Cabon	la Cuant		Amendment No.	
	Schodulo #EG - Itomized 6	Texas Title I Priority Schoo 600/15XX Capital Outlay- Capit		te Bogardi	ose of Unit C	oet
		by Charter Schools sponsored b				USL
	Description		nit Cost	Quantity	_	Total
669		(capitalized and controlled by libra		C	Pre-Award	Budgeted
1	Docks and Fledia	(capitalized and controlled by hora	177			
	X/15XX- Technology Hardware - 0					
2	Computer Lab		0,000	2	\$ 0	\$ 60,000
3	Wireless laptop cart	3	10,000	2	\$ 0	\$ 60,000
5						
6		-				
7						
8						
9						
10 11						
	X/15XX- Technology Software- Ca	anitalized	L			
12	NY LEARN I COMMONDING CONTINUE CO	Pitanzou				
13						
14						
15						
16						
17 18						
	X/15XX- Equipment and Furniture	3				
19						
20						
21						
22						
23 24						
25	-					
26			"			-
27						
28						
Cap		s to land, buildings, or equipment v	vhich materi	ally increase	their value o	r useful
29						
-	nd Total					
		Total 6600/15X	X- Capital O	utlay Costs:	\$ 0	\$120,000

20 %

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

- A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances,
 - Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (including but not limited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
 - Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
 - Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
 - Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
 - Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part:
 - Applicant means the same as Contractor;
 - SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
 - "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant including the schedules contained in the application and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
 - Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the
 original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
 - Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and,
 - Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
 - Grant means the same as Contract;
 - Grantee means the same as Contractor:
 - Grantor means the same as Agency; and
 - DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- **B.** Contingency: This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- C. Contractor's Application: Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- D. Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances: Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905	
County-District No.	

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document: Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- **F. State of Texas Laws:** In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G. Monitoring**: Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, fails or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not limited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.

J. Indemnification:

For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.

K. Encumbrances/Obligations and Liquidations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. The contractor must liquidate (record as an expenditure) all obligations (encumbrances) incurred under the contract no later than 30 days after the ending date of the contract, to coincide with the submission of the final expenditure report, due 30 days after the ending date of the contract. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that complies with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Texas Education Agency (34 CFR 74.21; 34 CFR 80.20; TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.
 - Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.
- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Contractor warrants that (i) it has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) it has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

- S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.
 - Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.
- T. **Subcontracting:** Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- Use of Consultants: Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905	
County-District N	10.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- W. Agency Property (terms): In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- **Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions:** The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Failure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations** (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or program-specific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A nonprofit organization or university/college grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- **BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs:** Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
 - 1. Americans With Disabilities Act, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
 - Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
 - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational institution:
 - Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

22905	
County-District No.	

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 5. the **Age Discrimination Act of 1975**, as amended (prohibition of discrimination on basis of age), and any regulations issued thereunder, including the provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110;
- the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended (ensures access to educational records for students and parents while protecting the privacy of such records), and any regulations issued thereunder, including Privacy Rights of Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contractor is an educational institution (20 USC 1232q);
- 7. Section 509 of H.R. 5233 as incorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99-591 (prohibition against the use of federal grant funds to influence legislation pending before Congress);
- 8. **Pro-Children Act of 2001**, which states that no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elementary, or secondary education or library services to children [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility (or portion of such a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of regular or routine health care or day care or early childhood development (Head Start) services [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(b)(1)]. Any failure to comply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered to be a violation of this Act and any person subject to such prohibition who commits such violation may be liable to the United States for a civil penalty, as determined by the Secretary of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)].
- 9. Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), and Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 USC 327 et seq.), as applicable, and their implementing regulations in 29 CFR 500-899, 29 CFR Parts 1,3,5, and 7, and 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively.
- 10. **Buy America Act**: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.1-.2).
- 11. P.L. 103-227, Title X, Miscellaneous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate America Act; P.L. 103-382, Title XIV, General Provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; and General Education Provisions Act, as amended.
- 12. Prohibition of Text Messaging and E-mailing while Driving during Official Federal Grant Business: Personnel funded from federal grants and their subcontractors and subgrantees are prohibited from text messaging while driving an organization-owned vehicle, or while driving their own privately owned vehicle during official grant business, or from using organization-supplied electronic equipment to text message or e-mail while driving. Recipients must comply with these conditions under Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership On Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," October 1, 2009 (pursuant to provisions attached to federal grants funded by the U.S. Department of Education).

CC. Federal Regulations Applicable to All Federal Programs:

- 1. For Local Educational Agencies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 2. For Education Service Centers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 3. For Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-21 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 4. For Nonprofit Organizations: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-122 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements); and
- For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31.

DD. General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, <u>Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or Administered Through or By the U.S. Department of Education:</u>

 Participation in Planning: Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program
 described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC
 1232(e)).
- 3. **Sharing of Information:** Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. Prohibition of Funds for Busing: The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. **Direct Financial Benefit:** Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **EE.** Payment for Services: Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- **FF. Family Code Applicability:** By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG. Interpretation**: In the case of conflicts arising in the interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- **HH.** Registered Lobbyists: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- **Social Security Numbers**: Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- **KK. Student-identifying Information**: Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

22905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk will be notified in writing by TEA of the special conditions imposed and the process for removing the high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially fails to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially non-compliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6 B

3 5

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11.

Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.

 "Participant"— Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered
- transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"— Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensue.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except; foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- 1. By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", " person", "primary covered transaction", " principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency (04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant Indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6C.

Lobbying Certification

3

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federally funded grants greater than \$100,000.

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008 As amended by the Texas Education Agency 11/89 03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

SCHEDULE #6D -

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities for lobbying services procured (pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352). This disclosure form is required for any federal grant/contract received in excess of \$100,000 and on any subgrant/subcontract made by the grantee/contractor. (Read the instructions for this schedule for further information.)

Do not sign and submit this disclosu	ire form unless lobbying	activities are being	g aisciosea.		
Federal Program:					
Name:		_			
1. Type of Federal Action	2. Status of Federal Action	n: Re	port Type:		
a. Contract b. Grant	a. Bid/Offer// b. Initial awa c. Post-award	rd	a. Initial filing b. Material change rial Change Only: Year:	ge 	
			Quarter: Date of last Report:		
4. Name and Address of Reporting Entity Subawardee Tier (if known): Congressional District (if known): 6. Federal Department/Agency:	Con		o. 4 is Subawardee	, Enter Name	
		CFDA Number, if app	licable:		
8. Federal Action Number, if known:	9. Av	9. Award Amount, if known: \$			
10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Reg (If individual, last name, first name, MI):		. Individuals Perform ent from No. 10a; last i			
(A)	ttach Continuation Sheet(s), if necessary)			
	[ITEMS 11-15 REM	IOVED]			
16. Information requested through this form i	s authorized by Title 31	Signature:			
U.S.C. Section 1352. This disclosure of lot representation of fact upon which reliance	e was placed by the tier above	Name:			
when this transaction was made or entered into. This disclosure required pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352. This information will be reported to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who fails to file the required disclosure st		Title:			
subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$\$ \$100,000 for each such fallure.	\$10,000 and not more than	Telephone#	Date	::	
Federal Use Only:				Standard Form LLL	

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

		_
County-District	No.	220905

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- A. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- **B.** The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- **C.** The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- D. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- **E.** The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- F. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- **G.** The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- H. The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. Gun-Free Schools Act: The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which requires expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- Consolidation of Administrative Funds: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U. S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that fails to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. General Prohibitions: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choice Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).
- U. Assurances related to the education of homeless children and youths:
 - (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
 - (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
 - (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
 - (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
 - (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. Definitions: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
 - 1. Charter School: An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
 - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and control;
 - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
 - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
 - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction;
 - (E) does not charge tuition;
 - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
 - (G) is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;
 - (H) agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically waived for the purpose of this program;
 - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
 - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
 - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
 - 2. **Community-Based Organization:** A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services to individuals in the community.
 - 3. **Core Academic Subjects**: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

4. Highly Qualified:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that-
 - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
 - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
 - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
 - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
 - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
 - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by-
 - passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
 - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and—
 - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
 - (ii) demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that
 - is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
 - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
 - (III) provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches;
 - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
 - takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
 - (VI) is made available to the public upon request; and
 - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. **Parental Involvement:** The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
 - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
 - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
 - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
 - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

5. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills;
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hirlng, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
 - (i) involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
 - (ii) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
 - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the

7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and
- (B) includes research that:
 - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
 - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
 - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.
- 8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-
 - (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
 - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that-
 - involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
 - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
 - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Federal Statutory Requirements

- The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 6) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- 10) The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 13) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 14) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- 15) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
 - Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
 - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
 - Select new staff.
 - Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
 - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
 - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

- f. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- g. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- h. Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
- 1. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.
- 18) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus may implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or
 - 2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).
- 19) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school <u>closure model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following requirement.
 - a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.
 - A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding.
- 20) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus must implement the following federal requirements.
 - a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.
 - b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.
- 21) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
 - Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
 - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
 - (1) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement;
 - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
 - Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

3

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

individual students.

- 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
- 22) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - (A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
 - (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.
- 23) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - (B) Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - (E) In secondary schools--
 - (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), earlycollege high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, reengagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 24) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-
 - (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA: or
 - (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an
 intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal
 requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

TEA Program Assurances

- Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the <u>Quarterly Implementation Reports</u>, the <u>Model Selection and Description Report</u>, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu id=798
 - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
 - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
 - ii. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
 - ili. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
 - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
 - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Model, the applicant must participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors.
- 5) The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students.
- The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models.
- 7) The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors.
- 8) The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved list of CMO and EMO providers.
- 9) The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.
- 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus assures that it will it implement the following federal requirements.
 - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
 - A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training.
 - B.Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
 - C.Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
 - D.Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals:
 - Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
 - 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement
 - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
 - A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
 - B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
 - 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
 - A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
 - B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
 - 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
 - A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
 - B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
 - 11) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as-
 - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school:
 - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
 - C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 12) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
 - A. Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
 - B. Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model;
 - C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
 - D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
 - E. In secondary schools--
 - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
 - Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
 - 3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
 - 4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 13) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--
 - A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
 - B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
 - C. Implement approaches to Improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
 - D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 14) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-
 - A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
 - B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
- 15) The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested.
 - a. Number of minutes within the school year.
 - b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
 - c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
 - d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
 - e. Teacher Attendance Rate
 - f. Student Completion Rate
 - g. Student Drop-Out Rate
 - h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
 - i. Types of support offered to teachers
 - j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
 - k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
 - Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
 - m. Strategies which increase student learning time

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

Terms defined:

RECIPIENT – The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS – The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

- **A. One-Time Funding:** Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.
- **B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations:** Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

ā

SCHEDULE #6F

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also Includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances.
- **D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes:** The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.
- **E. DUNS Number:** All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA http://www.FederalReporting.gov/. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).
- **F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at http://www.ccr.gov. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.
- **G. ARRA Reporting Requirements:** The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

1. **Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds**: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

Ş

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.
- 4. Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282), TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (http://www.FederalReporting.gov). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- i. The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- I. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- b. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

4 8		
	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY	
SCHEDULE #6F	Standard Application System	
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS	Julian a rippinoution Dystom	22000
& ASSURANCES	School Year 2010-2013	220905
	5 3.1.55. 1 - 3. 1 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	County-District No.

5. Posting the Information on Public Website: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from

a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted. Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.

I. Availability of Records: The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.

- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. **Reviews by Inspector General:** The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board: Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the www.recovery.gov website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

SCHEDULE #6F
PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS
& ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- 6. **Recovery Independent Advisory Panel**: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.
- **J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct:** Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.
- **K. Protection for Whistleblowers**: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; or (5) a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract), or grant, awarded or issued relating to ARRA funds. A person who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal may submit a complaint regarding the reprisal to the inspector general for the appropriate federal agency (in most cases, the U.S. Department of Education).
- **L. Use of Funds:** The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:
- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is
 not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is
 provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB
 consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were
 created or saved with ARRA funds.
- Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program. ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A
 schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to
 identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the
 types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. Special Contracting Provisions: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the www.recovery.gov website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

220905

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited: ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- 6. **Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods:** If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics—Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act: Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).
- M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:
 Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule