| ,   | For TEA Use Only           |                 |   |                              |                                       |   |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Aojustments and/or annotations made<br>this page have been confirmed with |                            |                 |   | Brownsville ISD              |                                       | 031901  |
| this pag  | e nave been confirmed w    | יונח            | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY                      | Organization Name            | C                                     | ounty-District#                                   |
| L _   |                            |                 | Standard Application System                 | Gladys Porter High Schoo     | .                                     | 002   |
|   |                            |                 | (SAS)                                       | Campus Name                  |                                       | ampus Number                                      |
|   |                            |                 | 7   |                              |                                       | •   |
| 1   | 4 114-334                  |                 | School Years 2010-2013                      | 74-60048                     |                                       | 1   |
| by telephor   | ne/e-mail/FAX on           | by              | )   | 9-Digit Vendor ID#           |                                       | ESC Region  |
|   |                            |                 |   |                              |                                       |   |
|   |                            | of TEA.         |   | NOGA ID# (Assigned by TEA    | ) /                                   | Amendment #                                       |
|   |                            | Tex             | as Title I Priority Schools Gr              | ant                          |                                       |   |
|   |                            | _               | edule #1 - General Informatio               |                              | 7777                                  | · / · · ·   |
| lise of the   | Standard Application       |                 | is system provides a series of standard     |                              |                                       |   |
|   |                            |                 | ducation Agency. If additional clarifica    |                              |                                       |   |
|   |                            |                 | 003(g), as amended by ARRA; CFD             |                              | 312 100                               | 3207.   |
|   | ginning Date: 08/01/2      |                 |   | Ending Date: 06/30/20        | 13                                    |   |
| Select the  | e appropriate eligibi      | lity tier for   | the campus included in this ap              | onlication:                  |                                       |   |
|   | ier II 🗌 Tier III 🛭        | ,               |   | · p                          |                                       |   |
|   | dex to the Applicati       | on a            |   |                              | 3                                     |   |
| An Y in the   | "New Application" column   | n Indicator th  | ose schedules that must be submitted        |                              |                                       | licant must                                       |
| place an X i  | n this column for each ac  | ditional sche   | dule submitted to complete the applica      | ation For amendments the     | annlica                               | nt must   |
|   |                            |                 | next to the schedule(s) being submitted     |                              |                                       | it must   |
| 111 11 111 111  |                            | delott colditii | THE STREET STREET                           |                              |                                       | ication   |
| Sch No.   | Schedule Name              |                 |   |                              | New                                   | Amend   |
| 1   | General Information        | <u>×</u>        |   |                              | X                                     | X   |
| 3   | Purpose of Amendment       | -               |   |                              | NA                                    |   |
| 4   | Program Requirements       |                 |   |                              | X                                     | † <u> </u>  |
| 4A  | Program Abstract           |                 |   |                              | $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$                    | <del>                                      </del> |
| 4B  | Program Description        |                 | X   |                              |                                       |   |
| 4C  | Performance Assessmen      | nt and Evalua   | ation                                       |                              | x                                     | <del>                                     </del>  |
| 4D  | Equitable Access and Pa    |                 |   |                              | $\frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{\mathbf{x}}$ | 1 - A -   |
| 5   | Program Budget Summ        |                 |   |                              | x                                     | X   |
| 5B  | Payroll Costs 6100         |                 |   |                              | Ø                                     |   |
| 5C  | Professional and Contra    | cted Service    | s 6200                                      |                              |                                       | † Fi  |
| 5D  | Supplies and Materials     |                 |   |                              | Ø                                     |   |
| 5E  | Other Operating Costs (    |                 |   |                              | ×                                     |   |
| 5G  | Capital Outlay 6600/15     |                 | e of 6619 and 6629)                         |                              | $\boxtimes$                           |   |
| 6A  | General Provisions         |                 |   |                              | x                                     | NA  |
| 6B  | Debarment and Suspen       | sion Certifica  | tion  |                              | Х                                     | NA  |
| 6C  | Lobbying Certification     |                 |   |                              | X                                     | NA  |
| 6D  | Disclosure of Lobbying     | Activities      |   |                              |                                       |   |
| 6E  | NCLB Provisions and As     | surances        |   |                              | X                                     | NA  |
| 6F  | Program-Specific Provis    | ions and Ass    | urances                                     |                              | Χ                                     | NA  |
| Certificat  | ion and Incorporation      | n 🦠 🧦           |   | <b>"我们是是我们的一个事</b> "。        | , W '':                               | ***   |
| I hereby cer  | tify that the information  | contained in    | this application is, to the best of my kr   | nowledge, correct and that   | the orga                              | anization   |
| named abov  | e has authorized me as i   | its representa  | ative to obligate this organization in a le | egally binding contractual a | agreeme                               | nt. I further                                     |
|   |                            |                 | be conducted in accordance with all ap      |                              |                                       |   |
|   |                            |                 | s, the Provisions and Assurances, Deba      |                              |                                       |   |
| Special Prov  | isions and Assurances, a   | nd the sched    | ules attached as applicable. It is under    | stood by the applicant that  | this app                              | olication   |
|   |                            | by the Agenc    | y or renegotiated to acceptance, will for   | orm a binding agreement.     | - 4-W                                 |   |
| Authorize   |                            | <u>. I.</u>     |   |                              | · 104                                 |   |
| Typed First   | Name                       |                 | t Name                                      | Title                        |                                       |   |
| Brett   |                            |                 | ingston                                     | Superintendent of Schools    |                                       |   |
| Phone Fax Email Signature/Date Sign                                       |                            |                 |   |                              |                                       | oreferred)  |
| /   | 9565488019                 |                 | pringston@bisd.us                           |                              |                                       | 1.10  |
| Only the le   | gally responsible party    | y may sign t    | his application.                            |                              |                                       | 6/1/10  |
| complete co   | pies of the application, a | t least 3 with  | original signature(s), must be receive      | d by 5:00 p.m. Thursday,     | June 3                                | , 2010:   |
| Teyac F   | ducation Agency            | RVMa raio       |   |                              |                                       |   |

Texas Education Agency
William B. Travis Bldg.

Document Control Center, Room 6-108
1701 North Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78701-1494

OCIC VID CHINA

TEA DOCUMENT CONTROL NO.

701-10-112-357

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with |   | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)   | 031901<br>County-District No.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| by telephor  | ne/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.  | School Years 2010-2013   | Amendment No.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Schedule #1—General Information  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Part 2:  | List of Required Fisca  | I-Related Attachments and Assurances   | THE RESERVE AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF |  |  |  |  |  |
| accompa<br>any revis   | iny the application when it i   | plication will not be reviewed and scored if any o<br>s submitted. Applicants will not be permitted to<br>chments, after the closing date of the grant. Atta<br>dix. | submit required attachments, or   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Proof of Nonprofit Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 🗀  | Required for all open-enrollment charter schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Check box to Indicate that proof of nonprofit status is attached. (See Part 1: General and Fiscal Guidelines and Part 3: Schedule Instructions for acceptable proof.)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | Assurance of Financial Stability/  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Required for all independent school districts, open-enrollment charter schools, and education service centers:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 🖾  | Check box to Indicate assurance that audit requirements have been met. All public school districts, open-<br>enrollment charter schools, and education service centers must be in compliance with submitting the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year to TEA in the time and manner requested by TEA, and the audit must be determined by the TEA Division of Financial Audits to be in compliance with the applicable audit standards. TEA reserves the right to ensure that all applicants are deemed by TEA to be financially stable at the initial time of preliminary selection for funding to receive a grant award. The TEA Division of Financial Audits will determine financial stability based on the required annual audit for the immediate prior fiscal year. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***  | 1   | Assurance of Submittal of Reviewer Informa   | tion Form   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Required for all applicant  | ts:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 ⊠  | Check box to Indicate ass   | surance that reviewer information form will be   | submitted.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 67   |   | o complete the Reviewer Information Form and to so<br>Guidelines, "Reviewer Information Form," for instr   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #1—General Information Part 3: Applicant Information Local Educational Agency (LEA) Information **LEA Name** Brownsville Independent School District Mailing Address Line - 1 Mailing Address Line - 2 City State Zip Code 1900 Price Road Brownsville TX 78520 U.S. Congressional District Central Contractor Registration NCES Identification Primary DUNS Number Number (CCR) CAGE Code Number Tx-027 030917579 4XT71 4811680 Campus Name County-District Campus Number Gladys Porter High School 031901002 Mailing Address Line - 1 Mailing Address Line - 2 City State Zip Code 3500 International blvd. Brownsville 78520 TX Applicant Contacts **Primary Contact** First Name Initial Last Name Title E Dora Sauceda **Principal** Telephone Fax Email 9565487800 9569822892 desauceda@blsd.us Mailing Address Line - 1 Mailing Address Line - 2 City State Zip Code 3500 International blvd. Brownsville ΤX 75820 Secondary Contact First Name Initial Last Name Title Rachel Ayala Assistant Supt. Telephone Fax E-mail 9565488170 9565488987 rayala@bisd.us Mailing Address Line - 1 Mailing Address Line - 2 Zip Code City State 1900 E. Price Road Brownsville TX 78520

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #3—Purpose of Amendment Part 1: Schedules Amended (Check all schedules that are being amended.) When submitting a revision or an amendment, please indicate by checking the appropriate box what schedules are being revised/amended. Submit supporting budget schedules that are being revised or amended. Schedule #1—General Information Schedule #5-Program Budget Summary Schedule #3—Purpose of Amendment Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs 6100 Schedule #5C—Professional and Contracted Services Schedule #4—Program Requirements 6200 Schedule #4A-Program Abstract Schedule #5D-Supplies and Materials 6300 Schedule #4B—Program Description Schedule #5E-Other Operating Costs 6400 Schedule #4C— Performance Assessment and Schedule #5G-Capital Outlay 6600/15XX (Exclusive of Evaluation 6619 and 6629) Schedule #4D-Equitable Access and Participation NOTE: The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is 90 days prior to the ending date of the grant. Part 2: Revised Budget Complete this part if there are any budgetary changes. **Grant Project Costs**

| No. | Sch.<br>No               | Class/<br>Object Code | Previously Approved Budget | Amount Deleted | Amount Added | New Budget |  |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|--|
| 01  | 5B                       | 6100                  | \$                         | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 02  | 5C                       | 6200                  | \$                         | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 03  | 5D                       | 6300                  | \$                         | <b>\$</b>      | \$           | \$         |  |
| 04  | 5E                       | 6400                  | \$                         | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 05  | 5G                       | 6600/15XX             | \$_                        | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 06  | Total<br>Direct<br>Costs | \$                    | \$                         | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 07  | Indire                   | ect Cost ( %)         | \$                         | \$             | \$           | \$         |  |
| 08  | Total Costs              |                       | \$                         | \$ \$          |              | \$         |  |
|     |                          |                       | _                          |                |              |            |  |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #3—Purpose of Amendment Part 3: Reason for Amendment Request. For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, check the appropriate box to indicate reason for amendment request. 1. Addition of a class/object code not previously budgeted on Schedule #5-Budget Summary 2. Increase or decrease the amount approved in any class/object code on Schedule #5--Budget Summary (i.e., 6100-6600) by more than 25% of the current amount approved in the class/object code 3. Addition of a new line item on any of the supporting budget schedules (i.e., Schedules #5B-5G) 4. Increase or decrease in the number of positions budgeted on Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs 5. Addition of a new Item of computer hardware/equipment (not capitalized) approved on Schedule #5C-Supplies and Materials 6. Addition of a new item or increase in quantity of capital outlay item(s) ≥ \$5,000 approved on Schedule #5G— Capital Outlay for articles costing \$5,000 or more. 7. Addition of a new item of capital outlay items approved on Schedule #5G—Capital Outlay for articles costing less than \$5,000. 8. Reduction of funds allotted for training costs 9. Additional funds needed 10. Change in scope of objectives, regardless of whether there is an associated budget revision requiring prior approval 11. Other (Specify) Part 4: Amendment Justification

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is 90 days prior to the ending date of the grant.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| dard | Application | System | (SAS) |
|------|-------------|--------|-------|
|      |             |        |       |

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

#### Schedule #4—Program Summary and Application Requirements

#### Part 1: Grant Program Information;

#### Summary of Program: Purpose and Goals

School Improvement Grants, authorized under section 1003(g) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I or ESEA) as amended by ARRA, are grants, through the Texas Education Agency, to local educational agencies (LEAs) for use in Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and other eligible campuses that demonstrate the greatest need for the funds and the strongest commitment to use the funds to provide adequate resources in order to raise substantially the achievement of their students so as to enable the schools to make adequate yearly progress and exit improvement status. Under the final requirements, as amended through the Interim final requirements published in the Federal Register in January 2010 school improvement funds are to be focused on each State's "Tier I" and "Tier II" schools.

Tier I schools are a State's persistently lowest-achieving Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring and certain Title I eligible elementary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier I schools. Tier II schools are a State's persistently-lowest achieving secondary schools that are eligible for, but do not receive, Title I, Part A funds and certain additional Title I eligible secondary schools that are as low achieving as the State's other Tier II schools or that have had a graduation rate below 60 percent over a number of years. An LEA may also use school improvement funds in Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, or restructuring that are not identified as persistently lowest-achieving schools and certain additional Title I eligible schools ("Tier III schools").

In the Tier I and Tier II schools an LEA chooses to serve, the LEA must implement one of four school intervention models: turnaround model, restart model, school closure, or transformation model.

#### Allowable Activities

#### Tier I and Tier II Grantees

- Grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected Intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

#### Tier III Grantees

- Tier III grantees may select one of the four intervention models in the federal regulations or the state's Tier III Transformation Model.
- If selecting one of the four models in the federal regulations, grantees must expend grant funds for the required activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations. Grantees may expend grant funds for the permissible activities delineated for the selected intervention model as defined in the final federal regulations.
- Grantees may expend grant funds for other school improvement activities deemed needed to address identified needs not addressed by the intervention model selected.

In addition, a Tier I, Tier II, Tier III grantee campus that has implemented, in whole or in part, either the Turnaround, Restart, or Transformation models within the last two years may continue or complete the implementation of the intervention model with the TTIPS grant funds. For example, if a grantee campus has replaced its principal within the last two years, the LEA/campus will not be required to hire another new principal. An LEA/campus that receives TTIPS SIG funds in accordance with this flexibility must fully implement the selected model as required by the final federal requirements. In other words, if the school had been implementing the model only in part, it must use the funds it receives to expand its implementation so that it fully complies with the federal regulatory requirements.

The detailed required and permissible activities for each intervention model, as defined in the final federal regulations are listed on Schedule #4—Program Requirements and are incorporated by reference herein.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

School Veare 2010-2012

| by telephone/e-mall/FAX on |  | School Years 2010-2013  | Amendment No  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| by                         | of TEA.  | of TEA. Amendment No.  Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3512                     |  | Schedule #4—Program Requirements  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P                          | art 2: Statutory Requireme   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| #                          |  | ederal Statutory Requirements   | Primary Component Where Described                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1                          | The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                          | The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to  Project Management—Capacity  Project Management—LEA |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3                          | If the LEA is not applying to ser  | ve each Tier I school (through a separate<br>ne LEA must explain why It lacks capacity to serve   | Project Management—Lack of Capacity                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4                          | The LEA must describe actions interventions consistent with the  | t has taken, or will take, to design and implement<br>e final federal requirements, including the<br>e or the activities the campus will implement. | Program Abstract<br>Intervention Model                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5                          |  | t has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and  | Project Management—External Providers                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6                          | with the interventions.  | t has taken, or will take, to align other resources   | Project Management—Resource<br>Management<br>Program Budget Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7                          |  | t has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or its schools to implement the interventions fully  | Project Management—<br>Management of Grant<br>Activities            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8                          | The LEA must describe actions after the funding period ends.   | t has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms   | Project Management—Program<br>Continuation and<br>Sustainability    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9                          | The LEA must include a timeline the selected intervention in each  | e delineating the steps it will take to implement h campus.   | Project Management—Activity Timeline                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10                         | The LEA must describe the annuassessments in both reading/lar established in order to monitor improvement funds.                   | Performance Assessment and Evaluation—Annual Performance Goals  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11                         | As appropriate, the LEA must con<br>LEA's application and implement<br>campus  | Comprehensive Needs Assessment—Groups of Participants Project Management— Partnerships/Involvement of Others  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12                         | program will supplement, and nallocated to the campus.   | at financial assistance provided under the grant ot supplant, the amount of state and local funds   | Program Assurances  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13                         | implement fully and effectively  | at it will use its School Improvement Grant to an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school consistent with the final federal requirements.    | Program Assurances  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|   | -  | or TEA Use Only                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with |  |                                    | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)                                  | 031901<br>County-District No.                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| by te   | lephone/e  | -mall/FAX on of TEA.               | School Years 2010-2013  | Amendment No.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | Schedule #4—Program Requirements  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( b   | apt 760  | Statutory Requireme                |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7-14.X  |  | ratutoi y Keduli ellie             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| #   | Requi  | rement Description – I             | ederal Statutory Requirements   | Primary Component Where Described                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| J   | Applica  | nt provides assurance th           | nat it will establish annual goals for student  | Program Assurances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | essments in both reading/language arts and  | · · · · <b>3</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | gress on the leading indicators in section III of the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14  |  |                                    | der to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | it funds, and establish goals (approved by the  | ``   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | er III schools that receive grant funds.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applica  | ent provides assurance the         | nat it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tie                                    | er Program Assurances                                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | contract or agreement terms and provisions to   | Flogram Assarances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15  |  |                                    | ter management organization (CMO), or education   | n  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13  |  |                                    | D) accountable for complying with the final federa  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | ement organization (EMC<br>ements. | by accountable for complying with the final ledera  | "  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | nat it will report to the TEA the school-level data                                       | Program Assurances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16  |  |                                    |   | Program Assurances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | e final federal requirements.  Inplement the turnaround model, the campus                 | Program Accurances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | mplement the following i           |   | Program Assurances                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ľ   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | prehensive approach in order to substantially<br>vement outcomes and increase high school |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | graduation rates;                  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | D.   | Use locally adopted con            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | who can work within th             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | students;                          | .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ĺ   |  | Screen all existing                | 1   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 2. Select new staff                | _   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | c.   | Implement such strateg             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | er growth, and more flexible work conditions tha  | t  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | , place, and retain staff with the skills necessary                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | he students in the turnaround school;   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | d.   |                                    | ligh-quality, job-embedded professional   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ĺ   |  |                                    | igned with the school's comprehensive   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17  |  |                                    | and designed with school staff to ensure that they  | <b>'</b> }   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | ite effective teaching and learning and have the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | y implement school reform strategies;   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | e.   |                                    | e structure, which may include, but Is not limited  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | I to report to a new "turnaround office" in the LEA                                       | <b>\</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | und leader" who reports directly to the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | ef Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | greater accountability;            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | f.   |                                    | l implement an instructional program that is  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | rtically aligned from one grade to the next as wel  | 1  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | as allgned with State a            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | g.   |                                    | use of student data (such as from formative,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | e assessments) to inform and differentiate  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |                                    | meet the academic needs of individual students;   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | h.   |                                    | implement strategies that provide increased   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | learning time (as defin            |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | i.   |                                    | ial-emotional and community-oriented services   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | and supports for stude             | nts   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

| 1 . ' | elephone/e-mail/FAX on   | School Years 2010-2013   | Amendment No.                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| by    | by of TEA. Amendment No.  Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5   |  | Schedule #4—Program Requirements   |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P     | Part 2: Statutory Regulrements   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| #     | Control of the Contro | Federal Statutory Requirements   | Primary Component Where : Described |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18    | may implement the following to a. Any of the required and model; or b. A new school model (e.g., and the school model)   | d permissible activities under the transformation  g., themed, dual language academy).   | Program Assurances                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19    | must implement the following  a. Enroll the students who that are higher achiev school and may include schools for which achieve   | requirement the school closure model, the campus requirement.  attended that school in other schools in the LEA ing within reasonable proximity to the closed le, but are not limited to, charter schools or new evement data are not yet available.  ure is a one-year grant without the possibility of | Program Assurances                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20    | If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>restart model</u> , the campus <b>must</b> implement the following federal requirements.  a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21    | must implement the following  1. Develop and increase to (A) Replace the prison of the transformation (B) Use rigorous, to teachers and principal (1) Take in factor as well as assessments of professional prison increased high (2) Are desinvolvement;  (C) Identify and rewho, in implement and remove those we for them to impose;  (D) Provide staff or development (sinstruction that served by the single professional prison increased high (2) Are desinvolvement;  (C) Identify and rewho, in implement and increased high (2) are desinvolvement and increased high (3) in implement (4) instruction that served by the single prior increase the pr | eacher and school leader effectiveness.<br>ncipal who led the school prior to commencement<br>model;<br>ransparent, and equitable evaluation systems for   | t d                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements Primary Component Where Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements # Described designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate **Program Assurances** effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies. (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to Inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of 21 individual students. 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools. (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support. (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates: and (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school

Program Assurances

leaders' effectiveness, such as--

seniority.

22

turnaround organization or an EMO).

resulting from professional development; or

An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school

(B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices

(C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the

mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's

(A) Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. by Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 2: Statutory Requirements **Primary Component Where** Requirement Description - Federal Statutory Requirements Described An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such Program Assurances as--(A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B) Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content: (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E) In secondary schools--(1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; 23 International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework; (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies: (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performancebased assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate. An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and **Program Assurances** create community-oriented schools, such as--(A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and communitybased organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs: (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such 24 strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or prekindergarten.

|  | For TEA Use Only djustments and/or annotations made als page have been confirmed with  | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY   | 031901  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | Standard Application System (SAS)  | County-District No.   |  |  |  |  |
| by to  | elephone/e-mail/FAX on   | School Years 2010-2013   |   |  |  |  |  |
| by   | of TEA.  | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   | Amendment No.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1 25   |  | Schedule #4—Program Requirements   |   |  |  |  |  |
| P  | art 2: Statutory Regulreme   | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -  |   |  |  |  |  |
| #  |  | ederal Statutory Requirements  | Primary Component Where Described   |  |  |  |  |
| The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as  (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or  (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs. |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 26   |  | er I and Tler II schools is prohibited from  | Program Assurances  |  |  |  |  |
|  | implementing the transformation  | n model in more than 50% of those schools.<br>I signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certify  | ing that all regultaments are   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | omponent descriptions and activities.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| SHA  | t 3: Statutory Assurances  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| #  | Statutory Assurance Descript   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1  |  | at financial assistance provided under the grant pr<br>nd local funds allocated to the campus.   | ogram will supplement, and not  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | Applicant provides assurance the   | at it will use its TTIPS Grant to implement fully and the LEA commits to serve consistent with the fir   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | Applicant provides assurance the<br>In both reading/language arts ar<br>final federal requirements in ord  | at it will establish annual goals for student achievend mathematics and measure progress on the leader to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it oved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III se  | ement on the State's assessments<br>ling indicators in section III of the<br>serves with school improvement |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | contract or agreement terms and  | at it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier<br>d provisions to hold the charter operator, charter ration accountable for complying with the final fede  | management organization, or   |  |  |  |  |
| 5  |  | at it will report to the TEA the school-level data rec   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Pai  | t 4: TEA Program Assuranc  | es   |   |  |  |  |  |
| #  | TEA Assurance Description  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | activities have been completed. Quarterly Implementation Report TEA technical assistance.  a. The Model Selection and This report may be submoduled completion of the following in Comprehensive Marchael Selection and Comprehensive Mar | s are made available, the grantee must demonstra<br>Successful completion of the early implementation<br>ts (QIR), the Model Selection and Description Report Description | will be measured in the ort, and through participation in ater than February 1, 2011.                       |  |  |  |  |
|  | iii. Identification and  | d Selection of the intervention model.  activities to implement selected intervention mode   | al  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Timeline of Grant Activities.  | 51.   |  |  |  |  |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances TEA Assurance Description The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and 2 authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant. The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School 3 Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices. For the LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Models the applicant provide assurances that it will participate in and 4 make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors. The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum 5 and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students. The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the 6 grant intervention models. The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors. 7 The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's 8 approved list of CMO and EMO providers. The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the 9 participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity. If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the transformation model, the campus assures That it will it implement the following federal requirements. 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training. B. Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have Increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so: C. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subjectspecific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the 10 school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and D. Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals: 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional

2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement

practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and

|       | For TEA Use Only  Adjustments and/or annotations made his page have been confirmed with  | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) | 031901<br>County-District No. |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| by t  | elephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.   | School Years 2010-2013                                   | Amendment No.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |  | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant                     |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| . (.) |  | Schedule #4-Program Requirements                         | PROBLEM NEWS CONTROL          |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pa    | rt 4: TEA Program Assuran  | es   |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7     |  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| #     | TEA Assurance Description  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10    | <ol> <li>Comprehensive instructional reform strategles.         <ul> <li>Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and</li> <li>Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.         <ul> <li>Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and</li> <li>Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.         <ul> <li>Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and</li> <li>Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11    | An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as (A)Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;  |  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12    | An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as (A)Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective; (B)Implement a school wide "response-to-intervention" model; (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content; (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and (E)In secondary schools-  (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;  (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;  (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or  (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate. |  |                               |  |  |  |  |  |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4—Program Requirements Part 4: TEA Program Assurances **TEA Assurance Description** An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs; 13 B. Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff; C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten. The LEA may also Implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as-A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the 14 LEA or SEA: or B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs. The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested. a. Number of minutes within the school year. b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup. c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only) d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only) 15 e. Teacher Attendance Rate f. Student Completion Rate g. Student Drop-Out Rate h. Locally developed competencies created to Identify teacher strengths/weaknesses i. Types of support offered to teachers j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators Strategies to Increase parent/community involvement m. Strategles which increase student learning time

By submitting the application and signing Schedule #1, the applicant is certifying it will comply with the above assurances:

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4A—Program Abstract Part 1: Grant Eligibility ☐ Tier I Eligible Campus ☐ Tier II Eligible Campus Identify which timeline the LEA/Campus the applicant will implement. □ Option 1: LEA/campus currently engaged in aggressive reform Option 2: LEA/campus in need of foundational technical assistance Part 2: Grant Program Summary, Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to implement on the campus.

Be sure to address fundamental issues such as your local program goals and objectives that align with the RFA purpose and goals, rationale for program design, etc. Address the specific gaps, barriers, or weaknesses to be addressed by the intervention model to be selected. A response to this question must be completed in the original submission regardless of whether the LEA/campus has selected an intervention model at this time or not.

Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Porter High School has reviewed multiple data sources and has identified several areas to be addressed through the implementation of the Transformation Model. The 2009 TAKS data indicated growth in all subject areas, however, the school did not achieve State standards. The data also indicated significant growth for the Special Education and LEP subgroups, however, the percentages are still far below the State standards. This clearly indicates that Porter must continue to implement strategies that will reduce the achievement gap and Implement reform efforts that will seek to address the deficiencies stated above. The completion rate for Porter High School was at 82% for the 2008 cohort as indicated in the A.E.I.S. report. Porter has made a concerted effort in increasing the completion rate but still continues to try to meet the State average of 88%. The TELPAS scores indicated that Porter is above State average with a 68% progress rate when compared to the State average of 48%. However, Porter has a large number of LEP students (19%) and is currently undergoing reform efforts aimed at improving academic achievement for this subgroup. In special education, Porter High School has an enrollment percentage of 15.9% and is also aggressively addressing the needs of these students. Porter High School met AYP for the 2009 school year and is expected to meet it for this school year. The data indicated that Porter met the AYP standard for reading at 83%, however, for Special Education and LEP, the standards were below the target of 67%. The campus, however, made progress for both subgroups. In math, the AYP all students tested percentage was at 72%. Porter met the target for LEP at 58% but in special education, the percentage was at 29%; far below the target of 58%. The campus did not meet the size requirements of 50 students, for these subgroups.

Other sources reviewed in order to determine the areas to be addressed in the program were the failure rate, SSI data for all feeder schools, benchmark data, attendance rate, dropout rate, and graduation rate. Porter has gains in all benchmark data, however, there is a need to revamp the benchmarks and begin using more formative assessments. Porter also seeks to address the campus dropout rate, attendance rate, and graduation rate by ensuring more students graduate within their cohort.

The data sources and comprehensive needs assessment have clearly shown the campus must continue to expand the 9<sup>th</sup> grade academies which address attendance, failure, discipline, and academic achievement. Most importantly, the academies would address the retention rate which was at 20% for the 2009 school year. The campus also seeks to expand all tutorial, zero period, and credit recovery classes that would also ensure academic success and increase graduation and completion rates. College readiness is a very important reform effort; both at the campus and district level. By implementing SAT/ACT classes, increasing dual enrollment and AP classes, the campus would assure more students have the skills to successfully complete a postsecondary institution degree. The implementation of academic advisors would also serve to address the college readiness factor as well as staff development aimed at increasing rigor and relevance in all classrooms and for all students. The continuation of common planning periods, comprehensive job embedded professional development, and utilization of data would also ensure that teachers have the skills and strategies that would assure all students are being provided with the content necessary to be successful in both academic and state assessments. Another important goal would be to implement a discipline model that would seek to reduce the number of discipline referrals and improve climate. In addition increasing parental and community involvement would also improve the climate and ensure buy-in from all stakeholders.

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with |   |                   |          | e          | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) |              |              |                 |                   |                   | 031901<br>County-District No. |         |         |              |          |         |
|--|---|-------------------|----------|------------|--|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|
| by to  | elephone/e-mall/FA  | X on _            | of TE    |            |  | Scho         | ool Yea      | ars 20          | 10-20             | 13                |                               |         | Ar      | mendmer      | nt No.   |         |
|  |   |                   | 07 12    |            | Texa   | s Title      | e I Pri      | ority           | Schoo             | ols Gr            | ant                           |         |         |              |          | _       |
| 75   | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| Pai  | t 1: Compre   | hensi             | ve Ne    |            |  |              |              | 14 SC (1)       |                   | 984960            | : X 4.74.                     | T. Carl | \$16×6  | (4/4/4/2)    | , New Y  |         |
|  | tion At Camp  |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         | 1 4 Co       |          | \$4.05a |
|  |   | 1                 |          |            |  | Emua         | liad in      | Cund            | . 1               |                   | h - C                         |         | - b- C  |              | ماطانيد. | -unmb   |
| Ty   | pe of School  |                   |          |            | 1  | , ,          |              |                 |                   |                   |                               | mpus t  |         |              |          |         |
|  |   | PK                | К        | 1          | 2  | 3            | 4            | 5               | 6                 | 7                 | 8                             | 9       | 10      | 11           | 12       | Total   |
| Pul  | olic School   |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               | 788     | 436     | 607          | 486      | 2317    |
|  | en-<br>follment<br>arter School                                       |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          | 0       |
| Tol  | al Students:  | 0                 | 0        | 0          | 0  | 0            | 0            | 0               | 0                 | 0                 | 0                             | 788     | 436     | 607          | 486      | 2317    |
| . ,  | A C. S. C. H. K.L. (1997 THE R. SON THEASE                            | [5 -8](9) ( s -5) | <u> </u> | PARGUE C   | 641 303 11   | t is proper. | - 17/(S/2/2) | 199 estable (19 |                   | 1000000           | otal Tr                       | ıstruct | ional S | Staff        |          | 174     |
|  |   |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   | •                 |                               | •       |         | <del>-</del> |          |         |
| <u> </u>   |   |                   |          | 13 9 80 55 | 23.892°324   |              | 49 a 1986 a  | HIETZE          | H. <b>15</b> 5/84 | 377. <b>1</b> 77. |                               | tal Sup |         |              |          | 90      |
| Sec  | tion B: Data !  | Source            | s Rev    | iewed      | or to  | e Ke         | viewed       | ı in tn         | e Com             | prene             | nsive                         | Needs   | Asses:  | sment        | Proce    | SS      |
| 1  | Data disaggre   | egated            | by eth   | nlcity,    | econon   | nic sta      | tus, gra     | ade lev         | el, sub           | group             |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 2  | 2008, 2009 A  | EIS Re            | ports (  | (Campi     | us and   | Feeder       | schoo        | ls)             |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 3  | TAKS Summa  | ary Rep           | orts-by  | y camp     | us, tea  | cher, s      | subject      | area,           | and obj           | jective           | (Camp                         | ous and | Feede   | r schoo      | ıls)     |         |
| 4  | Attendance R  | ates by           | / Subg   | roups      |  |              |              |                 | ,                 |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 5  | Master Schedule   |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
|  | <del>                                     </del>                      |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 6  | 2007, 2008, 2009 Retention Rates                                      |                   |          |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 7  | Teacher Atter   | ndance            | Rates    |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 8  | At-Risk Stude   | nt Rep            | ort      |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 9  | Feeder Schoo  | l SSI da          | ata      |            |  |              |              |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         |              |          |         |
| 10   | Campus Surve  | ey Scho           | ool Clir | nate a     | nd Lead  | lership      | )            |                 |                   |                   |                               |         |         | -            |          | -       |

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_ of

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

#### Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont.

Section C: Process Responses are limited to the space provided, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Describe, in detail, the process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to identify the needs of the campus.

Porter High School met with all staff members to review the comprehensive needs assessment to be utilized for the campus. In addition, 100% of the staff participated in the BISD needs assessment survey. The campus was divided according to the areas to be addressed in the CNA. Once the committees were broken down, the campus reviewed multiple data sources that revealed weakness in student achievement on State standards. The data utilized was the 2009 A.E.I.S. reports, (2007-2009) dropout rates, (2007-2009)attendance rates. (2007-2009 retention rates), (2007-2009) completion rates, discipline data, parental involvement data, SSI feeder school data, feeder school AEIS reports, 2008 and 2009 AYP data, staff retention rates, master schedules, and other data such as the number of dual enrollment, AP, and extracurricular activities offered on campus.

Each campus staff member completed the CNA and the SBDM committee; which includes parents and community members also participated in the CNA. The data indicated that Porter High School must implement new reform efforts that seek to improve campus climate, reduce the number of discipline referrals, increase rigor and relevance for all students, and address all the deficiencies on State assessments and academic achievement at the campus.

The SBDM committee also participated in an evening presentation of the comprehensive needs assessment. Invited were community members, business partners, and parents. The committee explained the importance of the CNA and reviewed all of the data sources listed above. The audience was then asked to participate in the comprehensive needs assessment. The campus leadership then compiled all of the results and included this data when selecting the intervention model.

The campus compiled all of the results and the data and broke down the staff by sub-committees. These sub-committees reviewed their particular data and results from the section of the CNA belonging to each committee. The sub-committees then reviewed the district strategic plan and the campus improvement plan to identify the areas currently being addressed and those that the CNA revealed needed to be added to the campus plan. Invited to be part of the sub-committees were community members, feeder school principals, and parents. Several meetings were held and discussion with campus leadership occurred at each meeting. The sub-committee then presented each section of their CNA and goals to be addressed to the SBDM committee, sub-committees, area superintendent, and campus leadership. Discussion occurred after each presentation with time for Input from other sub-committees and SBDM representatives.

Participation for the sub-committees and completion of surveys averaged over 85%. This assured that a large majority of staff members, parents, community representatives, feeder school representatives, campus leadership, and LEA personnel were included in the decision making process. This also assured buy-in to the goals implemented in the campus improvement plan and the transformation model to be selected. Most importantly, the reform efforts to be addressed were extensively discussed and analyzed in order to ensure that the alignment to the campus and district goals.

The comprehensive needs assessment is a work in progress. Revisions and additions to the CNA will occur as the campus continues to undergo aggressive reform efforts. The campus will continue to revise the campus improvement plan in accordance to the district goals and will continue to include all stakeholders in the decision making process. It is of extreme importance that data reviewed not only include assessment data, but data that includes the campus climate and level of participation in the decision making process by parents, community members, business partners, and participants from the feeder schools; elementary thru middle school.

Porter High School will ensure that all voices are being heard. The campus leadership, in collaboration with the LEA wants to assure that all weakness revealed through the comprehensive needs assessment are addressed so that the ultimate pay-off is ensuring that all students obtain the education necessary to ensure academic success and participation in a post-secondary institution of their choice.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 1: Comprehensive Needs Assessment Cont. Section Dr. Groups of Participants Contributing/to Contribute to Needs Assessment Process, ensuring Parents and Community Groups were involved in the process. Superintendent of Schools 1 Area Superintendent 2 Campus Principal 3 Campus Administration 4 Teachers 5 **SBDM** Committee 6 7 Department Heads **Parents** 8 Community Members 9 **Business Partners** 10 Feeder School Principals (elementary and middle schools) 11 School Board Member 12

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

**TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Capacity -- Describe the LEA's capacity to use grant funds to provide adequate resources and related services/support to the campus to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model.

The assigned District Shepherd will collaborate with District Program Administrators to provide adequate resources and related services to the campus. This will ensure full implementation of the required activities set forth in the intervention model selected. In order to ensure sustainability, the school district held various meetings to outline duties and responsibilities for each department that will be involved in ensuring full implementation of the intervention model. The Grants Department, Finance Department, Bilingual Department, Special Services Department, Human Resources Department, Technology Department, and Curriculum & Instruction Department have assigned key personnel that will collaborate with the shepherd to ensure all activities are implemented in accordance to grant requirements and goals developed by the campus. In conjunction with the School Improvement Resource Center (SIRC), the department key personnel and campus principal will assure the full implementation of the campus intervention model.

The utilization of grant funds will be in accordance to the guidelines set forth by district and grant policies. The district will ensure the use of grant funds will provide resources in accordance to the requirements of the intervention model as well as any other additional activities the campus included in the grant application. Through the utilization of the district's Bi-Tech system which warehouses all purchase requisitions and budget balances for the district will be utilized to assure checks and balances.

Mr. Mark Stevens, MBA, CTSBO, Finance Coordinator for Special Revenues Services has the expertise and experience to ensure all guidelines are appropriate adhered to when the campus develops grant budgets and will also ensure that all expenditures are within the scope of the grant. Mr. Stevens has successfully ensured accountability for all district grant funds and he will continue in this capacity when notices of grant agreements are received. He will collaborate with the campus in ensuring all accounts are set forth as per the guidelines and will monitor all expenditures by the campus in conjunction with the campus principal and district shepherd.

For the past several years, the district has received a rating of <u>Unqualified Report</u>. This is the best rating from our External Auditors and the district was also the recipient of the 2008 Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting (Presented by the Governmental Finance Officers Association of the United States) and 2008 Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting (Presented by the Association of School Business Officials).

The Brownsville Independent School District clearly has the capacity to ensure grant funds are adequately expanded in order to ensure the campus successfully implements all activities required by grant and in accordance to Federal, State, district, and grant policies.

#### For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |     |
|-----------------|-----|
| County-District | No. |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

by

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

#### Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Partnership/Involvement of Others — Describe how the LEA/campus consulted with relevant stakeholders (students, staff, parents, community) regarding this application and proposed implementation of a school intervention model.

The Brownsville Independent School District outlined the process for identifying Tier III schools to the district school board members. The assistant superintendent for curriculum and instruction also presented an overview of the grant writing process the district would undertake. In addition, the district discussed the ability of the district to ensure sustainability once the grant funds are no longer available. All school board meetings are televised through the district's television station. The district has also informed the community through advertisement in the local newspaper and through the district's TV station.

The school superintendent, Mr. Brett Springston, met with the area assistant superintendents who supervise eligible schools and formulated district teams in collaboration with campus personnel. In turn, the campus principals presented the overview of the grant qualification to the faculty, staff, and parents. The process for selecting the intervention model and description of a comprehensive needs assessment were also discussed at these meetings. During these meetings, discussions were held about the organization of a campus planning committee to disaggregate campus data and to develop a comprehensive needs assessment. Grant participation from campus principals was requested and received. Representatives from the feeder middle schools will also participate in the role of stakeholders to ensure proper disaggregation of data and to offer input for activities specifically aimed at ensuring proper transition of incoming frashman.

In addition, in order to ensure input from all stakeholder, a campus survey will be posted on the district website in order to gather input from school administrators, teachers, staff, parents, and students. The district will gather all results from the survey in order to review if the district's goals and objectives are aligned to meet the needs of the students. Brownsville ISD stands ready to offer support to each and every Tier III eligible campus as outlined in the campus improvement plans and grant activities.

At the campus level, a meeting, open to the community was held in order to outline the Tier III identification, transformation model, comprehensive needs assessment, and any other question related to the grant. In addition, several staff meetings were held by administration to disseminate any new information and discuss the results of the needs assessment. The campus has a television station known as K-BLUE and the campus also informed the students of the intent to apply for the TTIPS grant.

Meetings were also held by department to review and discuss current data. The principal presented all of the intervantion models to the staff and decision was made as to the appropriate intervention model for the campus. The staff also discussed at these meetings, reform efforts, particularly in the specific department area that would assist the teachers in ensuring student success in alignment with the comprehensive needs assessment.

Throughout the process of writing the grant, the principal has met with individual departments, committee, and stakeholders to ensure proper communication of all reform efforts and those that will be included in the grant.

#### For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_ of TEA.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

031901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Management of Grant Activities - Describe how the LEA and campus will modify its practices and/or policies, as necessary, to ensure its implementation of the intervention(s) fully and effectively.

Brownsville Independent School District's policies and procedures are an integral part of its operational efficiency and as such, are well-defined and delineated. Some, such as graduation requirements, promotion/retention requirements, grading procedures, attendance, and state requirements (SSI, OEYP), campuses adhere to strictly and changes occur when a state mandate is in effect or when the Board of Trustees approves administration's recommendations for change. For example, BISD's grading procedures and its secondary Course Listing Guides are reviewed annually. That initiative is begun at the District level in the department of Curriculum and Instruction. Department personnel request feedback from campuses regarding recommendations for change. Meetings are held in which recommended changes are discussed (district and campus leadership teams) and consensus is reached. The agreed-upon changes are then submitted to the Board of Trustees (at a regularly scheduled meeting) for endorsement. The LEA will modify any practices and/or policies to ensure full and effective implementation of the Tier 3 Modified Transformation Model accordingly. Cluster Area Assistant Superintendants will work in collaboration with their campuses and the appropriate BISD Main Office department to provide the needed research and support behind a request for a practice/policy change.

The Board of Trustees is supportive of research-based initiatives which significantly improve services to students and enhance their operated level academics, their graduation rates, and their preparedness for college/work force reading

enhance their on-grade-level academics, their graduation rates, and their preparedness for college/work force readiness. Simultaneously, BISD provides a significant level of empowerment to campuses in deciding the daily operations of their sites and in the implementation of success-driven research-based initiatives. Campuses set their own extended day/extended week and zero-period schedules as to dates and time based on student needs. They have flexibility in the budgetary process and make decisions regarding placement of state, local, and federal monies in instructional categories which will impact their areas of need as indicated by their annual comprehensive needs assessment priorities setting process. Thus, some campuses may devote more budgeted funds to extended day and/or week services to students while others may elect to use significant chunks of funds for professional development/training in subject-specific pedagogy, differentiated instruction, or a deeper understanding of the community served by the school. Funds are available for ongoing, highquality, job-embedded professional development, for staff collaborative planning time, for flexible time for teachers to work at disaggregation of data, and for home visits to families of students in need, etc. Campuses make the decisions as to where the budget Additionally, they have the flexibility to make budget changes/amendments as needed allocations for the site are to be placed. throughout the year in the event that changes are needed due to course corrections discovered via the monitoring of the campus improvement plan, etc. Grant campuses will also have flexibility in implementing any SIRC initiatives instead of the district ones, ie., discipline programs. As stated, it is one of the functions of the Cluster Area Assistant SuperIntendent to support campuses, provide guidance to them, and facilitate their requests for assistance and building capacity. In the area of Human Resources, BISD principals recommend staff for promotion, extended day/week services (with compensation/\$35/hr.), non-renewal, and hiring. The campus leadership team engages teacher committees when searching out candidates for hire and BISD also uses campus teacher committees' input in searching for a new campus principal. In the area of curriculum and instruction, BISD's curriculum is Texas Collaborative, CScope. Campuses have flexibility and are highly encouraged to supplement that curriculum source with lessons, activities, and materials designed to meet all students needs, especially the LEP and special education students. Campuses set their own benchmark testing schedules and are provided some flexibility in implementing the district benchmark schedule also. Each campus decision regarding benchmark testing must be made in light of how the data will drive instruction and whether or not it the testing results in significant interventions which students respond to positively and which have immediate impact on success.

At the campus level, reform efforts based on the needs assessment will be implemented in accordance to the model selected. The campus stands ready to modify its current practices in order to ensure all aspects of the transformation model are implemented fully in accordance to the timeline. These include but are not limited to, changes in master schedule, campus schedule, intervention and enrichment classes, benchmarks (types and timeline), training, stipends, tutorials, etc.. In addition, the campus will review effectiveness of current reform efforts and alignment to the transformation model. Reform efforts will be modified if needed and all of the campus staff stands ready to modify practices and campus policies as the ultimate goal is to ensure effective teaching practices and student success.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901            |     |
|-------------------|-----|
| County-District N | lo. |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Resource Management - Describe how the LEA/campus will align other resources (federal, state, local, and community) with the school improvement intervention.

The Brownsville Independent School District has a long and successful history of aligning all resources, federal, state, local, and community, to its efforts of support for campuses in improving instruction and achieving educational excellence. 

Currently, the District has already achieved a significantly high level of alignment of resources to need. With all 5 high schools on Stage 3 AYP due to graduation rates, it became clear approximately two years ago that a new model for addressing graduation rates was needed. A task force was formed and their recommendations funded by pooling resources. The Stete Comp. budget allocated funds to each campus to hire not only an at-risk coordinator but also an at-risk counselor. The campus at-risk coordinator (State Comp. funds), PEIMS supervisor (local funds), attendance llaison (Title I funds), and at-risk counselor (State Comp. funds) work as a team recruiting students, re-engaging drop-outs, and targeting at-risk of dropping out students for immediate and deliberate fast-track interventions. All of these also work closely with the District at-risk coordinator who shares best practices, research-based findings, and effective ways of reengaging students in schools. Additionally, a Memorandum of Understanding with the District has enabled the local Juvenile Justice Department to station probation officers at each the five high school campuses at no cost to the District. One last contributing entity to this endeavor is a "Communities In Schools" presence on each campus which also works with these disadvantaged students and their families. A high level of coordination is required to ensure that each of these entities is working with, not against or in duplicated efforts, the team as a whole. Success is measurable. Dropout rate has decreased .2%, graduation rates have increased 4.4%, and completion rates are up 3.8%. Another evidence of aligning resources is the BISD Dual Enrollment program. The University of Texas at Brownsville has greatly reduced fees for BISD students participating in dual enrollment courses. Since BISD pays all expenses, books, tuition, etc. this is a significant contribution on the part of the University. Currently, approximately 3,050 BISD high school students are taking a total of 4,500 dual enrollment courses. The annual Superintendent's Scholarship Golf Tournament brings in approximately \$100,000 for scholarships for high school seniors. Golf participants are mainly community architects, construction companies, and bank employees in addition to a wide variety of community members. The Adopt-A-School Program is also alive and well in BISD and community restaurants, stores, and even elected officials share financial and personnel resources with the BISD campuses that they adopt. In return, one can view students' art work, poetry, etc. when frequenting these public entitles.

Porter High School has received AYP funds aimed at implementing reform efforts in order to increase state standards for all subgroups. The campus has successfully been able to meet AYP for the 08-09 school year and is confident it will meet it for the 09-10 school year. The campus has the flexibility to set the campus budgets in accordance to the needs assessment and the goals and objectives the campus has set for the current and upcoming school year. The budgets are managed by the campus principal in collaboration with the assistant superintendent. The campus is responsible to ensure all budgets are spent as per Federal, State, and local policies. The district has also provided the campus with additional funding through mini-grants and unexpected necessities. The campus will assure all budgets for the upcoming school year and in conjunction with grant monies that all resources will be delineated in accordance to grant activities and campus needs. The principal will also ensure that all resources will be aligned so that when grant monies are no longer available, the campus budget can sustain all successful reform efforts that have shown to improve teaching and learning.

The success of the dropout team, paid through State Compensatory funds, has allowed the campus to successfully recover former dropouts and ensure they complete credits and State assessments towards graduation. The district has also made a concerted effort at ensuring all curriculum specilalist spend the majority of their work day assisting teachers with modeling of lessons, planning lessons, providing training, and all other needs the campus requires. The assistant superintendent has ensured the campus principal is following all initiatives as set forth in the campus improvement plan. Weekly monitoring and monthly cluster meetings allow for the assistant superintendent to effectively communicate to the campus principal any important information provided to them at the cabinet meetings, district curriculum meetings, board meetings, dapartment administrator meetings, etc.. The superintendent also ansures effective communication by holding monthly principal meetings where initiatives, curriculum, data, and all other district business are discussed. The superintendent also holds monthly "coffeas" with the community in which updates on bond issues, district successes, initiatives, and an open question/answer session is provided to the community of each cluster. The principals are part of these coffees so as to have an active role and be up-to-date on the community parceptions and needs.

#### For TFA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana),

Grant Project Manager Qualifications - Describe the qualifications of the person selected to be the project manager for this grant on the campus.

The Campus Grant Project Manager position will be advertised with prerequisite qualifications and a job description posted as is the case with all BISD hires. Human Resources posting timelines and requirements will be met as well as timelines and procedures for recommendation for hire. The position of Grant Project Manager will require the following qualifications:

- Masters' Degree in Education;
- Experience with budgets, budget amendments, budget changes;
- 3) Experience with supervision of instruction/programs;
- Experience with using data to identify whether research-based and vertically aligned program is effectively meeting campus, state and local standards and goals;
- Experience with analyzing data and teaching staff to analyze formative, interim, and summative assessment data and use results to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- Experience with planning for and ensuring that all technical assistance from SIRC and other support agencies is on target, intense, laser-like, and precise according to campus needs:
- Experience with formative and summative assessment models, multiple observation-based assessments, etc. and tools of high quality and which reflect the student-growth factors; and
- A deep understanding of the community served by the school.

The Campus Grant Project Manager will be required to:

- 1. Ensure that all grant activities are administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and requirements.
- Meet all local and state imposed reporting and evaluation deadlines.
- Cooperate in carrying out any and all evaluation components required by TEA, make reports and back-up information available to all sponsoring agencies, and maintain records as required.
- Conduct formative and summative evaluations to ensure that:
- Training and mentoring activities are developing and increasing teacher and school leader effectiveness;
- Multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflect student achievement and increased high school graduation rates;
- Ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program;
- All financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion/career growth, and flexible work conditions being implemented in a manner that recruits and retains highly qualified staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of students;
- Monitor established schedules that provide increased learning time as per grant requirements;
- Monitor ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement as per grant requirements; and
- Monitor follow-up training in subject area TEKS, technology, advanced coursework, credit-recovery programs, etc. for fidelity to plan and impact on student success.
- Altend all orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, and other periodic meetings and/or conferences required by SIRC and/or TEA pertaining to grant implementation and pertaining to the sharing of best practices.

Facilitate and help coordinate collaborative efforts between District and the campus.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | Nο |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_\_ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

**LEA Support** – Describe how the LEA will structure and implement an individual or office with responsibility for supporting the campus' school improvement efforts.

BISD will structure the office of the Area Assistant Superintendent of Cluster Schools to support the campus school improvement efforts. The District is organized into the Cluster/Feeder school concept. Elementary school students feed into middle schools in their geographical section of Brownsville and the middle school students then feed into the high schools in their zone. Currently, BISD has 5 high schools, 2 middle school feed into each of those, and 7-11 elementary schools feed into the 10 middle schools. Each cluster of elementary, middle, and one high school is served directly by an Area Assistant Superintendent. This Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will be the grant's shepherd-the district-level individual who will ensure that the campus has the support and assistance needed to build capacity and ensure that these grant funds are used to implement, fully and effective, the required activities of the Tier III Modified Transformation reform model. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent reports directly to the Superintendent of Schools, thus facilitating communication regarding program needs and removing any barriers that layers in an organizational chart often imply. This Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent has first-hand access to Federal Programs, State Comp., Curriculum & Instruction, etc. program administrators which will greatly enhance the individual's ability to provide adequate resources and related support to the campus. Furthermore, this individual participates in all BISD Board of Trustees meetings and has the right/first-hand access, under the approval of the Superintendent, to submit recommendations to the Board of Trustees. This will greatly facilitate any policy or procedural changes and/or budget changes incumbent on the grant's full implementation of a rigorous high-powered reform model. This individual has a firsthand working knowledge of the Brownsville community, serves, at the will of the Superintendent, on a variety of district and local committees, and relates to all levels of stakeholders, students, parents, teachers, support staff, business and community members, on nearly a daily basis. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will be the individual who provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus. This person will also shepherd the campus in its analysis of its needs, ensure that its comprehensive needs assessment process results in the delineation of priorities, and that the delineation of priorities is transformed into operative goals and objectives which mirror a deep and lasting reform of all components of the school. This District Shepherd will be the go-to person at the District level for support, encouragement, resources, redirection, and inspiration for deep-seated reform. The Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent will work very closely with the campus principal and the instructional leadership team to develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness. The District Shepherd will help inspire staff and model high expectations for student achievement, professional practices, research-based best practices strategies, and vertical alignment of curriculum from grade level to grade level. This individual will be an expert in collaboration, instructional management, use of data to drive all campus decisions, job-embedded professional development, differentiated instruction, and laser-like school reform. This individual will be an individual with successful campus instructional leadership experience as well as an individual with a heart firmly implanted in the Brownsville community and its most valuable resource, its children. in summary, the District Shepherd is invested. The individual views this role as an integral part of the job responsibilities, feels responsible for the transformation process, has a direct line of contact with the Superintendent, has authority to influence central office departmental procedures, has experience as a building principal, views self as a positive change agent, and possesses effective communication skills. Roles and responsibilities include: 1) ensuring that the campus is provided operational flexibility, 2) providing for the effective implementation of all components of the transformation process, 3) monitoring the progress of 90-day action plans, 4) regularly communicating with and scheduling meetings with the transformation campus, 5) removing LEA barriers that may hinder the transformation process, 6) providing support and feedback to the principal and teacher leaders when needed or requested, 7) taking en active role in problem-solving with the principal and teacher leaders, 8) attending campus leadership meetings, 9) assisting in recruitment of qualified staff, and 10) assisting in increasing parent & community involvement and positive school culture.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Program Continuation and Sustainability - Describe how the LEA will sustain the campus reforms after the funding period ends.

The Brownsville Independent School District has the capacity to sustain the campus reform efforts after the grant funding period ends. With neerly \$28,000,000 in Title I Regular funding and \$45,000,000 in State Comp. funds being generated yearly, the District has a strong foundation for supporting campuses and their initiatives, both past, present, and future! Additionally, BISD's current tax collection rate is 98% and average ADA stands at 96.1%. Although the District, like all of those across Texas, uses a variety of funding sources to support District initiatives, such as our CScope curriculum and instruction program, most of the District's categorical funds are distributed to campuses which generate the funds on a per pupil allocation. Therefore, campuses have local, state, and categorical funds which they control and which they appropriate to their needs as prioritized by the annual Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CAN) process. Campuses will have the funds and/or the District will appropriate funds to ensure that, at the end of the grant period, initiatives, activities, and strategies which have proven successful in increasing on-grade-level assessment results, increasing graduation rates, and decreasing graduation rates will continue to be funded. Many district initiatives already exist which provide funds to increase course offerings, lower class sizes, and significantly impact many of the Critical Success Factors (CSF) fundamental to this grant initiative. With regards to those CSFs, it is noteworthy to indicate that BISD currently operates its programs and systems in alignment with the grant's CSFs and will continue to do so after the grant period because BISD bases its initiatives and decisions on research-based scientifically relevant data. Examples follow. 1) BISD aggressively improves academic performance through the use of data driven instruction as is evidenced by its 2009 BROAD award. Curriculum alignment, both vertical and horizontal, is systematized through the use of the Texas Regional Collaborative CScope curriculum. BISD was one of the first to implement CScope in math, science, ELA, and social studies. Currently campuses use an on-going monitoring instrument known as EDUPHORIA which is used by campus instructional leadership teams in their visits to classrooms, conversations with teachers about improvement, and which is also tied in directly to the District's Teacher Appraisal Instrument. CScope based walkthrough forms are an integral component of the software which enables instructional leaders to input data about classroom walkthroughs and visits into the system immediately and also allows the observed teacher the opportunity to provide immediate feedback, respond to questions, and request further assistance and/or clarification. 2) A long tradition of rigorous professional development initiatives contributes significantly to increasing teacher quality. Classroom teachers have a great multitude of opportunities for professional development annually but it is always the campus and individual teacher decision to select growth opportunities which meet the priorities of the CAN. Most recently, BISD has become the recipient of the Math Instructional Coaches grant whose main component is on-going job embedded professional development. All state issued TEKS revision professional developments are turned around at the District level and provided to all content specific teachers, Pre-K-12. 3) Additionally, instructional leadership teams are constantly involved in on-going job embedded professional development and increased learning of resources/data utilization strategies. 4) BISD uses quality data to drive instruction, which is evidenced in its use of benchmark testing, the Texas Math and Science Diagnostic System database, and WebCATT software. Teachers are fast becoming experts is looking at the data collected through these and a variety of other instruments (TPRI, Tejas LEE, CCPALLS, etc), and grouping/regrouping for Tier 2 or Tier 3 instruction based on the data at their fingertips! Software and hardware on each campus and at the District level facilitate immediate access to results so that those results can drive instruction and on-going communication in the collaborative planning of instruction, remediation, and acceleration. 5) All BISD schools currently have opportunities for increasing learning time via the use of zero period classes, after school tutorials, Saturday academies, and other calendar modifications which enhance instruction. 6) Improving school climate and increasing parent/community involvement are also annual goals in each campus's annual improvement Plan. A parent liaison on each campus as well as a great variety of chess, art, photography, bible study, environment clubs, student councils, and parent support groups already greatly enhance advances in both of these areas.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) by of TEA. School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

031901 County-District No.

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #48—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont.

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

External Providers — Describe how the LEA will recruity screen, and select external providers to ensure their quality.

Brownsville Independent School District requires all external providers of services or products to either respond to an RFP, RFQ, or be on the state's approved vendor list. Therefore, Region Xill's School Improvement Resource Center, as a state-approved vendor, has already met the criteria of rigor, research-based, and quality demanded by the grant's specifications. The same applies to the Region I Educational Service Center menu of support offerings. Thereafter, vendors already on the District's annual vendor list for services and/or resources will also have to meet the criteria of being screened by the District for quality, research-based, scientifically-researched best practices. New or proposed vendors will be judged on the following criteria as appropriate to the service/product:

- 1) Research-based:
- 2) Vertically and horizontally aligned to next grade level and state/local standards;
- 3) On-going, job embedded professional development included;
- 4) Technologically appropriate to students' daily experiences and lives;
- 5) Aligned to CScope, Advanced Placement, Dual Enrollment, etc. current district initiatives;
- 6) Formative, interim, and progress-monitoring assessment components contained within product/service as relevant;
- 7) Intensive technical assistance and related support built-in;
- Unique and specific needs of specific populations (LEP & Sp. Ed) addressed at a highly visible and effective level; and/or
- Provision of appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students via local presence and significant history of successful endeavors.

As with all other purchasing processes, grant external providers will be processed through the BISD Purchasing Department and in compliance with BISD Policy as well as all pertinent state and federal guidelines. Memorandums of Understanding will be reviewed by BISD Legal Counsel and recommended by Administration for approval of the Board of Trustees. No purchase of goods or services will occur outside the grant specifications and BISD's policies and procedures. Grant funds will only be expended in compliance with all pertinent local, state, and federal guidelines. The campus Area Assistant Superintendent or District Shepherd will facilitate these processes for and with campuses entrusted to his/her care. The District will waive procedures, such as an annual bidding process for external providers, instructional materials, and/or consultants, so that more than one bid process occurs within a year's time span. This will facilitate campuses' engagement of vendors and providers and will greatly reduce time spent in moving through the organizational structure for receipt of goods and services. Vendors will be recruited through a great variety of avenues: website, KBSD BISD Instructional Television Studio, newspaper announcements, and word of mouth regarding successful endeavors of vendors in providing laser-like services to schools in need of reform. In all cases, external providers will ultimately be evaluated against the criteria of research-based, scientifically researched highly successful services to schools and districts.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | Νo |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 2: Project Management Cont

Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Site Visits - If the intervention program includes site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the intervention model, describe the process for selecting the locations and the expected outcomes of the site visit.

Site visits to other campuses successfully implementing the Tier 3 Modified Transformation model or other initiatives which have resulted in high student performance, extraordinary success with on-grade level instruction (as reflected by TAKS Commended scores) and high levels of success with LEP and Sp. Ed. TAKS scores will be allowed. Sites will be selected by the campus and approved by the campus' District Shepherd based on the following criteria:

1) % of commended TAKS scores by grade level, subject area, and student population (all students, LEPS and Sp. Ed.);

2) Historical review of increase of % commended TAKS scores by grade level, subject area, and student population (all students, LEPS and Sp. Ed.) over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007);

3) Reduction of the gap between state assessment scores of Sp. Ed. and LEPs and regular students over a 2-3 year period;

4) Reduction of the gap between commended state assessment scores of Sp. Ed. and LEPS and regular students over a 2-3 year period;

5) Increases in graduation rates over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007/High Schools only); and/or

6) Reduction in drop-out rates over past 3 years (baseline Spring 2007/High Schools only).

Visitation sites will also be selected based on evidence that the school operates under 7 Critical Success Factors. Web-sites, literature, etc. regarding the campus should be investigated before prior to visitation to ensure that: 1) Campus decisions are driven by data, vertical & horizontal alignment is evident, and there is provision for on-going monitoring of instruction. 2) Increasing teacher quality is a high priority; teachers are provided on-going job-embedded professional development, and highly qualified individuals are recruited/retained through a variety of effective strategies. 3) Positive school climate increases high attendance rates, reduces discipline issues, and provides a large and varied scope of extra-curricular activities and opportunities for student engagement. 4) The campus leadership team exemplifies the use of a toolkit of powerful resources such as the operational flexibility, resource and data utilization, and a philosophy of life-long learning through job-embedded professional development. 5) Data drives instruction, teachers are experts in the disaggregation of data and make instructional decisions based on data as well as collaboration with leaders and colleagues. 6) Parent and community involvement is visible throughout the school and there is significant evidence of accessibility to community resources and services. 7) Students have opportunities for increased learning time through tier 2 & 3 instructional segments, zero period class offerings, after-school tutorials, Saturday & summer academies, etc.

Once a site or sites have been selected for visitation, permission, of course, must be secured from the campus principal as well as the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent. Dates and times must be agreeable to both groups and visiting participants must complete BISD professional leave paperwork and have it approved prior to departure. Depending on the size of the visiting group and the campus to be visited, as well as the scope of the visit (academic subject or grade level specific vs. overall school climate visit), visitors might be scheduled in teams and/or for specific blocks of time. Regardless of the details, the visit must be well coordinated, have a goal/end product well specified, and not overburden neither the visited nor the visitor. While the details of each visit are dependent upon the desired outcome, the following is a list of possible critical "look for-s" and "listen for-s" during the visit:

- 1) Are the strategies research-based comprehensive instructional reform strategies and do the strategies make continuous use of student data (formative, interim, progress monitoring) to inform instructional decisions such as regrouping, differentiation of instruction, use of technology, etc?
- Is there sufficient flexibility and sustained support for implementing the strategies?
- 3) Are teachers provided ongoing, intensiva technical assistance in implementing the strategies?
- 4) Is there evidence that the strategies were provided sufficient financial support for full implementation?
- 5) Are staff members committed to the implementation? What is the level of buy-in for impacting student success?

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B-Program Description Part 2: Project Management Cont. Section A: LEA (District) Capacity Responses are limited to one page each, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Lack of Capacity -- If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (is not applying for grant funding for each Tier I school), provide a detailed explanation of why the LEA lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school. Not applicable. The Brownsville Independent School District does not have any Tier I or Tier II eligible campuses. All 20 BISD Tier III campuses are submitting grant applications. Brownsville ISD has the capacity to serve each campus applying for grant funding and is fully committed to serving each campus awarded a NOGA.

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. by Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section A: Intervention Model Selection Process Intervention Model to be Implemented - Indicate the model(s) being considered by the LEA/campus for Implementation. Indicate whether the LEA/campus will participate in the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance provided by the TEA-funded School Improvement Resource Center or the LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design within the requirements of the grant program. ☐ Turnaround ☐ Closure ☐ Restart ☐ Transformation □ Tier III Modified Transformation ☑ TEA Designed Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center ☐ Supplemental Education Services (SES) incorporated into the intervention model Note: Applying to implement the TEA Approved Model with Technical Assistance Provided by the School Improvement Resource Center in no way implies or guarantees funding. ☐ The LEA/campus will implement its own intervention design, within the parameters required by the final federal regulations released by USDE Schedule #4B-Program Description, Part 3, Intervention Model, continued on next page

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #48—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process - Describe in detail:

- 1. The process the LEA and campus followed/will follow to select a school intervention model that aligns to the identified needs of the campus.
- 2. The timeline delineating the steps the campus will take to implement the selected intervention.

Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Porter H. S. school is identified by the grant specifications as a Tier 3 campus. Therefore, Porter will use the SIRC Tier 3 Modified Trensformation School Intervention Model model aligned to the identified needs of the campus. Porter HS is an Acceptable school under the Texas AEIS accountability system. Furthermore, it is labeled "met AYP" under the federal accountability system (AYP). However, Porter and BISD readily recognize the gap between the success retes of its LEP and Special Education populations and the general population, recognizes that scores are not on-level, aims at increasing commended TAKS scores, and therefore, eagerly enticipate grant funds designed to help close those echievement gaps and meet and exceed federal and state expectations regarding student success. Looking at the "Big Picture View" of the Trensformation model, one reelizes immediately that Porter readily identifies with all its components and is well on the way to full implementation of all components: 1) Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness, 2) Implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, 3) Increase learning time and create a community-oriented school, and 4) Provide operational flexibility end sustained support for school reform. BISD has a very strong and passionete stakeholder commitment to implement the SIRC/TEA Modified Transformation Model and has the capacity to implement fully and effectively this model at Porter High School. BISD will meet and comply with all the required activities of this rigorous intervention model end will expand it with permissible activities for the selected model. All SIRC and TEA Modified Transformation Model components will be met. 1) Porter's principal is to be retained. Mrs. Sauceda is a highly committed instructional leader who has exceeded previous administration's high standards for excellence in the service of students and is only in his/her 3rd year servicing the campus. Over the past three years, improvement has been made in all areas of TAKS and all subgroups. 2) Rigorous, transparent, and equiteble evaluation systems for teachers and principals will be used. BISD currently uses the TEA teachers' PDAS system and a district instrument for principals. District enhancements include the use of EDUPHORIA software for immediate turnaround of observation data, facilitation of communication and collaboration. 3) Reward or remove school personnel besed on student performance. The campus currently has many reward systems in place and tooks forward to expanding its repertoire. Teachers are paid stipends for professional development trainings, have opportunities to travel to out-of-district/state trainings, and are provided with many non-monetary incentives throughout the year. 4) Provide job-embedded professional development. There will be more effective and research-based professional development in the area of effective instructional strategies for special education and LEP students. Teachers will be trained in the use of a variety of instructional tools, software, mobl-pads, etc. designed to capture the attention of a learner with disabilities or disadventeges end a greater level of collaboration will occur regarding services to LEP and Special Education students. 5) Implement strategies to retain staff. Porter HS only lost 5 teachers to retirement or relocation at the end of 2009. Staff recruitment is not an issue because current teachers remain on campus due to the high level of satisfaction they are experiencing in working with their students, colleagues, and leadership. 6) Use data to identify and implement research-based instructional progrems. In eddition to benchmark testing, TMSDS, WebCATT, release TAKS tests, AMAO, TELPAS, TPRI/Tejas LEE, and PBMAS, other data sources will be reviewed and used to develop Tier 2 & 3 interventions. 7) Promote continuous use of student data to differentiate instruction. Teachers will become proficient in the differentiation of instruction to improve academic excellence of each and every child individually. 8) Provide increased learning time in terms of extended day, extended week, and extended year services. 9) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement. The campus looks forward to a new initiative with Communities In Schools to strengthen its family and community ties and commitment. Give the school operational flexibility. BISD commits to providing flexibility to operate in a manner needed to achieve first-rate and lasting school reform. 10) Give the school operational flexibility. BISD commits to providing flexibility to perate in a manner needed to achieving firstrate and lasting school reform. 11) Provide ongoing technical assistance. SIRC, Region I, the District Shepherd, and BISD's many departments on the organizational chart are all technical assistance providers of one sort or another and are all committed to Porter High School's school reform initiative.

#### For TEA Use Only

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

#### Part 3: Intervention Model

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

The three year reform process/program, is designed to increase the effectiveness of teacher and campus leaders, improve instruction through targeted professional development, create community-oriented schools, and provide operational flexibility to increase student achievement. Porter High School, in conjunction with BISD, will engage in a research-based approach to transform it into a high achieving community-based school. The Texas Transformation Project, under the direction of SIRC, will provide the support, resources, and training needed to enhance the campus' ability to meet all student needs and achieve at higher levels.

YEAR ONE

Pre-work has already begun. Comprehensive Needs Assessment of the district and campus is a work in progress. Priorities will be set as a result and those priorities will become the foundation of the goals, objectives, and activities of the reform. The school has elected to retain the principal and all staff members except those who retire, request transfers to another campus, and/or resign and relocate. These individuals will be replaced with highly qualified individuals who are screened by campus committees and the campus principal prior to recommendation for hire. Main community partners are SIRC, Region One, Communities In Schools and Cameron County Juvenile Probation. Communities in Schools is integral to the reform effort because they have close personal ties to the school environment and are highly visible in Brownsville. Additionally, Porter's Area Assistant Superintendent is the LEA's designated person who will foster this Transformation Project, provide support for the campus, nurture the campus through the challenges, and be the liaison between the District and the campus. The school already has built-in teacher leaders in the form of grade level chairpersons. These individuals have already received a great deal of training in instructional practices, research-based instruction, disaggregation of data, grouping for instruction, and differentiation of instruction. Changes in this structure may be made based on campus need but the qualifying criteria for selection of the teacher leaders will demonstrated excellence in instructional delivery and student success, ability to collaborate with and lead peers, and strong foundation in use of technological tools to facilitate work processes. A job-embedded professional development plan for year one needs to be developed. Additionally, 5-10 members of the instructional leadership team and the teacher leaders will attend the SIRC <u>summer</u> seminar (July 12-15<sup>th</sup>, 2010). The <u>first 90 day action plan</u> will be completed as required. Fall I implementation activities will engrain the reform effort deeply into the campus culture. The campus will work with SIRC and BISD to develop the district and campus snapshots. Results will be investigated at the deepest level possible to ensure that maximum benefit is received from the initiative. Especially important are the snapshot items impacting federal and state accountability requirements, TAKS, AMOA, PBMAS, etc. The campus will also begin the school-wide Positive behavior Support (PBS) interventions of the SIRC transformational model which is the implementation of approaches to improve school climate and discipline, taking steps to eliminate bullying and harassment. Simultaneously, Porter HS will work with SIRC to provide enhanced social services support for students, parents, and extended families with the end-goal of creating a community-oriented school wherein the whole village is raising the child. This enhanced social services support model will include partnering with parents and parent organizations, faith and community based organizations, health clinics, other state or local agencies, etc. to create a safe school environment which meets students' emotional, social, health, and academic needs. On-site technical assistance by the SIRC Professional Service Provider/transformation specialist, campus site visits, will be integral to the year one activities as planned jointly by SIRC and the campus. webinars/podcasts/publications will be thoroughly incorporated into every level of job-embedded professional development as appropriate and will be of particular importance to teacher leaders and the instructional leadership team as they begin collecting observation data to guide professional development and student interventions. Other SIRC resources and technical assistance will be ongoing and readily received by the campus in an effort to leave no stone unturned with regards to improving student success, especially academic success for the campus' special education and LEP students. All components of the campus case management provided by TTIPS staff will also be important. Critical also will be the principal/leader competency review process. BISD excels in committed, dedicated instructional leaders who leave no stone unturned when searching for success for their students They work with dedication, passion, and commitment. The desire to grow and become more empowered and effective is a critical driving force. This is a critical component and is closely linked with the need to develop a plan to recruit, retain, and constantly improve excellent teachers and teacher leaders. Leadership Coaching is Also important.

Progress towards goals is in the <u>90 day action plan.</u> In November, 2010, Year One Fall, the campus will complete its quarterly implementation report due to TEA on the date specified.

Spring I will initiate a review of the behavioral data and PEIMS to analyze PBS implementation, needed course corrections, interventions, and/or plan modifications. On-line courses will be available and targeted professional development based on classroom observation data and student data will begin. It is not anticipated that a customized performance management system will need to be established. Ongoing support from SIRC and the District Shepherd will continue to impact success. At the close of Spring I, it will again be necessary to write the next 90 day action plan. On February 1 and May 1, 2011, Year One, Spring, the campus will submit its quarterly implementation reports.

Summer I will present opportunities for rejuvenation and rededication to goals. The 5 day summer team training, will be quality time for

SAS-A114-10

reflecting on guest speakers' messages, training materials, district insights, celebrations, and challenges. Back at home, with support from SIRC and the district shepherd, professional development plans and needs assessments will be reviewed and progress towards goals in the previous 90 day action plans will be evaluated. Based on the data accumulated, plans for classrooms interventions for PBS will be developed and a new 90 day action plan will be written. The culminating summer activity will be attendance at the National Staff Development Council Summer Conference. TAKS Spring 2011 test results will be analyzed and disaggregated. Decisions will be made regarding how the gap has closed between regular students and LEP and Special Education student populations' test scores. Increases in TAKS commended score rates will be analyzed for each student group. Graduation rates and drop-out rates, in summary, all District and campus SIRC snapshot data will be carefully reviewed, anelyzed, and evaluated in order to better plan for the upcoming year and its challenges. Each campus performance goal will be reviewed and benchmark data collected to ensure that sufficient progress has been made during Year One to ensure that BISDs goals of all students being on-level in reading, math, science, and social studies are being met or that the campus is succeeding at a rapid enough rate to ensure that grant, district, and campus goals are met at the end of the grant period.

Looped throughout Fall I, Spring I, and Summer I will be: 1) <u>Curriculum alignment with TEKS process.</u> Since BISD and all its schools are part of the CScope Collaborative implementing the C Scope Curriculum, headed up by Region XIII, the District and campuses are in the spotlight with regards to all curriculum alignment issues, constantly provide feedback to the Collaborative, and are updated on all trainings and revisions. BISD and Porter HS are also part of all <u>formative assessment systems</u> linked to C Scope and Region XIII; 2) <u>Targeted professional development</u> in technology, outside consultants, <u>face to face training in instructional strategies</u>, and additional jobembedded conferences all responsive to the priorities determined by the campus and district CNA and the SIRC district and campus snapshots; 3) <u>Staff incentives and rewards</u> for extending learning time, attending conferences, participating in job-embedded professional development, and taking on a myriad of other duties end responsibilities associated with the grant's implementation; 4) <u>The teacher and principal evaluation system</u>; and 5) <u>Operetional flexibility</u> and <u>increased learning time</u> to implement strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates. On August 1, 2011, Year One Summer, the campus will submit its End of Year 1 implementation report. TEA will evaluate the LEA/campus performance on annual goals and the meeting of the grant requirements.

#### YEAR TWO

Fall II will be devoted to implementing revised and updated professional development plans for instructional leadership, teachers, and the staff-as-a-whole. Classroom level PBS interventions will be implemented and teacher committees/instructional teams will begin the action research projects in alignment with the SIRC timeline. On-line courses will continue and on-site technical assistance by the professional service provider, the transformation specialist will be on-going. SIRC webinars, podcasts and publications as well as other resources and technical assistance will all be part of standard operating procedure for the grantee campus and BISD. BISD will ensure that all SIRC Modified Transformation Model components are 100% part of the BISD and campus general operating procedures. TTIPS campus case management, leadership coaching, and BISD participation in the SIRC District Institute will continue. The 90 day action plan will be completed. On November 1, 2011, Year Two Fall, the quarterly implementation report is due to TEA.

Spring II activities include the continuation of the online learning and the teacher leaders collecting data for the action research projects. Also integral to Spring II will be the review of the data and evaluation of the effectiveness of PBS interventions as well as continued professional development, on-site technical assistance, webinars, podcasts, and the use of other SIRC resources. As is integral to each phase of this reform effort, a new 90-day plan will also be written. Both Fall II and Spring II activities also include continuous work on vertical curriculum alignment (CScope).

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ School Years 2010-2013

of TEA.

County-District No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant
Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont, Responses are limited to five pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

operational efficiency regarding the comprehensive formative assessment system, continuation of the social services support in creating community-oriented schools, targeted professional development, sustained support from the District Shepherd/BISD, staff incentives and rewards, the management of the teacher and principal evaluation system, operational flexibility and learning time to implement strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates, and face to face training and follow-up monitoring in instructional strategies. SIRC district/campus profiles and the CNA and prioritizing of needs will also be the basis of each decision made at the campus and district level. Additionally, monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant and consistent. Alignment to the campus' performance goals is also topmost at the list of activities at ell juncture points in reform implementation and formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluete proximity to goals. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders. On November 1 and May 1, 2012, the quarterly implementation is due.

Summer II activities begin with the 5 day <u>summer team training</u>. The campus leadership team will attend the <u>National Staff Development Council (NSDC) summer conference</u>. During Summer II, teacher leaders will present <u>initial findings on their action research topics</u>. Committee work will be devoted to <u>intense data process evaluation to measure what has been working</u>, what needs focus, and what course corrections are needed to successfully accomplish school reform. Formetive assessments and TAKS Spring 2012 test results will be analyzed and disaggregated. Decisions will be made regarding how much the gap has closed between regular students and LEP and Special Education student populations' test scores. Increases in TAKS commended score rates will also be analyzed for all students and each student group. Graduation rates and drop-out rates, in summary, all District and campus SIRC snapshot data will be carefully reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated in order to better plan for the upcoming year. On August 1, 2010, Year Two Summer, the end of year 2 implementation report will be submitted and TEA will evaluate the LEA/campus performance on annual goals.

YEAR THREE

Fall III will begin with the re-administration of the SIRC campus snapshot, thereby refining the work begun during summer II when preliminary TAKS, AEIS, and AYP data became available. On-site technical assistance by the SIRC professional service provider/transformation specialist, and a campus site visit will be integral to the campus snapshot process. Case management by TTIPS will be used to upgrade reform efforts as indicated by the snapshot data. Leadership coaching and open access to online professional development will also continue. As during the past two years, Fall and Spring III will be comprised of continued participation in webinars/podcasts/publications, and all other resources/technical assistance provided by SIRC. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort at this juncture, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders for the campus/district. It is anticipated that by Year Three all components of the school's social services project which support and create community-oriented schools will be firmly in place and institutionalized. This project, along with that of the positive behavior support system, should be fully operational and 100% integral to the school's daily success stories. The LEA's personnel participation in the SIRC district institute will also be a part of the fabric of BISD's support for the campus reform efforts. All professional development and technical assistance activities of the past two years will continue in Fall & Spring III. On November 1, 2012, Year Three Fall, the quarterly implementation report will be submitted to TEA.

Spring III will be the time when teacher leaders and their committees finalize their action research projects and when the campus/district collect data for the PBS evaluation. Both Fall III and Spring III activities will also include continuous work on vertical curriculum alignment (CScope), operational efficiency regarding the comprehensive formative assessment system, continuation of the social services support in creating community-oriented schools, targeted professional development, sustained support from the district Shepherd/BISD, staff incentives and rewards, the management of the teacher/principal evaluation system, operational flexibility and learning times for implementing strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates, and of course, face to face training and follow-up monitoring in instructional strategies. SIRC campus/district profiles and the district/campus CNA and prioritizing of needs will also be threaded through and the basis of each decision made at the campus and district levels. Monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant. Alignment to performance goals is also of topmost importance at all juncture points. Formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluate proximity to reeching goals. On February and May 1, 2013, Year 3 Spring, the campus will submit its quarterly implementation reports to TEA.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont, Responses are limited to *five pages*, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana). Responses are limited to *five pages*; front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

profiles and the CNA and prioritizing of needs will also be the basis of each decision made at the campus and district level. Additionally, monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant and consistent. Alignment to the campus' performance goals is also topmost at the list of activities at all juncture points in reform implementation and formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluate proximity to goals. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders. On November 1 and May 1, 2012, the quarterly implementation is due.

Summer II activities begin with the 5 day summer team training. The campus leadership team will attend the National Staff Development Council (NSDC) summer conference. During Summer II, teacher leaders will present initial findings on their action research topics. Committee work will be devoted to intense data process evaluation to measure what has been working, what needs focus, and what course corrections are needed to successfully accomplish school reform. Formative assessments and TAKS Spring 2012 test results will be analyzed and disaggregated. Decisions will be made regarding how much the gap has closed between regular students and LEP and Special Education student populations' test scores. Increases in TAKS commended score rates will also be analyzed for all students and each student group. Graduation rates and drop-out rates, in summary, all District and campus SiRC snapshot data will be carefully reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated in order to better plan for the upcoming year. On August 1, 2010, Year Two Summer, the end of year 2 implementation report will be submitted and TEA will evaluate the LEA/campus performance on annual goals.

#### YEAR THREE

Fall III will begin with the re-administration of the SIRC campus snapshot, thereby refining the work begun during summer II when preliminary TAKS, AEIS, and AYP data became available. On-site technical assistance by the SIRC professional service provider/transformation specialist, and a campus site visit will be integral to the campus snapshot process. Case management by TTIPS will be used to upgrade reform efforts as indicated by the snapshot data. Leadership coaching and open access to online professional development will also continue. As during the past two years, Fall and Spring III will be comprised of continued participation in webinars/podcasts/publications, and all other resources/technical assistance provided by SIRC. Depending on the nature and quality of the school reform effort at this juncture, the principal/leadership competency review process may also be reinstituted to build a pipeline of new leaders for the campus/district. It is anticipated that by Year Three all components of the school's social services project which support and create community-oriented schools will be firmly in place and institutionalized. This project, along with that of the positive behavior support system, should be fully operational and 100% integral to the school's daily success stories. The LEA's personnel participation in the SIRC district institute will also be a part of the fabric of BISD's support for the campus reform efforts. All professional development and technical assistance activities of the past two years will continue in Fall & Spring III. On November 1, 2012, Year Three Fall, the quarterly implementation report will be submitted to TEA.

Spring III will be the time when teacher leaders and their committees finalize their action research projects and when the campus/district collect data for the PBS evaluation. Both Fall III and Spring III activities will also include continuous work on vertical curriculum alignment (CScope), operational efficiency regarding the comprehensive formative assessment system, continuation of the social services support in creating community-oriented schools, targeted professional development, sustained support from the district Shepherd/BISD, staff incentives and rewards, the management of the teacher/principal evaluation system, operational flexibility and learning times for implementing strategies leading to improved student achievement and graduation rates, and of course, face to face training and follow-up monitoring in instructional strategies. SIRC campus/district profiles and the district/campus CNA and prioritizing of needs will also be threaded through and the basis of each decision made at the campus and district levels. Monitoring of continuous improvement formative assessments will be constant. Alignment to performance goals is also of topmost importance at all juncture points. Formative assessments will be constantly used to evaluate proximity to reaching goals. On February and May 1, 2013, Year 3 Spring, the campus will submit its quarterly implementation reports to TEA.

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. School Years 2010-2013 Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model

Section B: Model Selection Process Cont. Responses are limited to five pages; front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Summer III will again include the 5-day summer team training for 8-10 team members and attendance at the National Staff Development Council summer conference will also occur. Evaluations of the district's customized performance management system, the PBS program, the vertical curriculum alignment projects, the formative assessment system, the social services support plan for creating community-oriented schools, the targeted professional development, face to face training in instructional strategies, and the support from the LEA will also continue. Culminating activities regarding staff incentives and rewards, the teacher and principal evaluation system, and the degree to which the campus and district used operational flexibility and increased learning time to improve student achievement and impact graduation rates will also be inspected. In order words, intense data review and process evaluation will be conducted. What worked and what still needs focus will be reviewed. A sustainability plan which builds in the continuous practice of developing a 90 day action plan, reflecting on its successes and challenges, reviewing formative assessment data, and aligning the constant upgrading of the plan to the campus and district performance goals and objectives will also be integral to the work of Summer III. Just as important will be the plan of action to continue to use teacher leaders as dynamic and critical instructional resources, to constantly monitor their effectiveness, and to continuously provide them with technology and the appropriate leadership and instructional resources and professional development opportunities. On July 31, 2012, the campus will submit its final implementation report to TEA.

In summary; the campus and BISD will fully implement all components of the Texas Transformation Project under the direction of and in collaboration with SIRC.

Brownsville Independent School District will: 1) Allow new governance arrangements and operational flexibility as needed; 2) Conduct district needs assessments via the district snapshot process; 3) Facilitate the replacement and recruitment of qualified staff at the campus as needed; 4) Support initiatives to increase community and parental involvement; 5) Ensure the placement of social service resources at the campus; and 6) Attend all required meetings and trainings.

The campus principal will: 1) Utilize rigorous and equitable evaluation systems to enhance instructional improvement; 2) Provide high quality job-embedded professional development; 3) Lead the implementation of Positive Behavior Support (PBS); 4) Support and utilize teacher leaders to improve instruction; 5) Implement programs to increase community and parental involvement; 6) Participate in required trainings and online professional learning; and 7) Create and submit 90-day action plans as required by SIRC and the LEA.

The campus teacher leaders will: 1) Provide instructional leadership and support to staff via walk-throughs and observations; 2) Facilitate job-embedded professional development; 3) Collaborate with administration in the development of long-range professional development plans; 4) Work in a dual role of teacher and instructional leader; 5) Participate in required trainings and online professional learning; and 6) Conduct action research.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| _    |       |      |     | _   |
|------|-------|------|-----|-----|
| Cour | 1tv-E | lstr | 1ct | No. |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

| у селерион<br>У | of TEA.                           | School Years 2010-2013                               | Amendment No.                         |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|                 |                                   | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant                 | Anchoren IVI                          |
| 可像说:            |                                   | chedule #4B-Program Description                      |                                       |
|                 | ntervention Model                 |  |                                       |
| ection          | C: Groups of Participants –       | List the groups of participants who will active      | ely assist in the process to select a |
| chool int       | tervention model that aligns to   | the identified needs of the campus.                  |                                       |
| 1               | Board of Trustees                 |  |                                       |
| 2               | Superintendent                    | <del>-</del>   |                                       |
|                 |                                   |  |                                       |
| 3               | Assistant Superintendent          |  |                                       |
| 4               | Campus Leadership Team (Prin      | ncipal, Assistant Principals, Dean of Instruction)   |                                       |
| 5               | Business Partnerships             |  |                                       |
| 6               | Teachers                          |  |                                       |
| 7               | Support Staff (Instructional Assi | stants, Custodians, Cafeteria Workers, Office Staff) |                                       |
| 8               | Students                          |  |                                       |
| 9               | Parents                           |  |                                       |
| 10              | Community Agencies serving th     |  |                                       |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | Νo |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

## Part 3: Intervention Model

## Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline

On the following pages of charts applicants should describe all other school improvement activities that will be incorporated with the model to be selected.

For each additional improvement activity entered, enter the Critical Success Factor Code(s) from this table, enter the LEA/campus' rationale for including the activity, provide the supporting research that indicates the activity will be effective, and indicate the beginning and ending date of the activity.

- 1 Improve Academic Performance, including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math
  - A. Data-driven instruction
  - B. Curriculum Alignment (both horizontal and vertical)
  - C. On-going Monitoring of Instruction
- 2 Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction
  - A. Data Disaggregation/Training
  - B. Data-driven Decisions
  - C. On-going Communication
- 3 Increase Leadership Effectiveness
  - A. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
  - B. Operational Flexibility
  - C. Resource/Data Utilization
- 4 Increase Learning Time
  - A. Flexible Scheduling
  - B. Instructionally-focused Calendar
  - C. Staff Collaborative Planning
- 5 Increase Parent/Community Involvement
  - A. Increased Opportunities for Input
  - B. Effective Communication
  - C. Accessible Community Services
- 6 Improve School Climate
  - A. Increased Attendance
  - B. Decreased Discipline Referrals
  - C. Increased Involvement in Extra/Co-Curricular Activities
- 7 Increase Teacher Quality
  - A. Locally Developed Appraisal Instruments
  - B. On-going Job Embedded Professional Development
  - C. Recruitment/Retention Strategies

## For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.) Critical Success Factor 1: Improve Academic Performance including (but not limited to) Reading/ELA and Math Timeline Timeline

| Milestone | Improvement Activity   | Rationale  | Supporting Research   | Begin<br>Date  | End<br>Date |
|-----------|--|--|---|----------------|-------------|
| 1         | Improve Student<br>Achievement in<br>Reading/ELA   | Close Achievement Gap<br>Increase TAKS scores for all<br>subgroups, increase<br>graduation rate.                 | Balfanz, R., McPartland, J. & Shaw A. (2002) Reconceptualizing extra help for high school students in a high standards era.                     | August<br>2010 | June 2013   |
| 1         | Improve Student<br>Achievement in<br>Mathematics   | Close Achievement Gap<br>Increase TAKS scores for all<br>subgroups, increase<br>graduation rate                  | Mervis, J. (2007) U.S. Math<br>Tests Don't Line Up<br>Educational Leadership  | August<br>2010 | June 2013   |
| 1         | Improve Student<br>Achievement in Science  | Close Achievement Gap<br>Increase TAKS scores for all<br>subgroups, increase<br>graduation rate                  | Koba, Susan (2003) Narrowing Achievement Gap in Science. Educational Leadership   | August<br>2010 | June 2013   |
| 1         | Academic Advisors to assist students with course offerings, credit recovery, credit monitoring, college applications, etc. | Increase number of students attending a post-secondary institution. Reduce percentage of out of cohort students. | National High School Center (2007a) Easing the Transition to high school: Research and best practices deisnged to support high school learning. | June<br>2010   | June 2013   |
| 1         | Implement virtual classrooms (one-per-core subject area) to include Project Based Learning.                                | Increase utilization of technology in the classrooms. Increase Differentiated instruction.                       | Solomon, G. (2003) Project-<br>Base Learning: A primer.<br>Technology & Learning.   | August<br>2010 | June 2013   |
|           |  |  |   |                |             |
|           |  |  |   |                |             |
|           |  |  |   |                |             |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

## Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

| Critical Success Factor 2: Increase the       | e use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction |                |
|---|--|----------------|
| CSF Additional Milestone Improvement Activity | Rationale Supporting Research Begin End    | 3.3.20 . 3.4.4 |

| CSF<br>Milestone | Additional<br>Improvement Activity   | Rationale  | Supporting Research   | Begin<br>Date | End<br>Date |
|------------------|--|--|---|---------------|-------------|
| 2                | Contract a Data Coach to increase utilization of data to drive instruction and training. | Data Coach will assist<br>administration and staff in<br>increasing the disaggregation<br>of data. | Hamilton, L, Halverson, R.,<br>Jackson, S. Mandinach, E.,<br>Supovitz, J. & Wayman, J.<br>(2009) Using student<br>achievement data to support<br>instructional decision making. | June 2010     | July 2013   |
| 2                | Provide staff development to increase utilization & triangulation of data.               | Staff development will increase utilization of data to inform instruction.                         | Park, V.; Datnow, V. (2009) Co-constructing distributed leadership: district and school connections in data-driven decision making.   | June 2010     | July 2013   |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               | 5           |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |
|                  |  |  |   |               |             |

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

|   | U    | 3   | 1, | 9(  | <b>)</b> ] | L   |    |
|---|------|-----|----|-----|------------|-----|----|
| c | aunt | 1/- | Πi | cti | rlo        | r N | 11 |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

# Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

## Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

| CSF<br>Milestone | Additional<br>Improvement Activity  | Rationale  | Supporting Research   | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date | Timeline<br>End<br>Date |
|------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 3                | Provide Staff Development<br>for Administration on<br>effective utilization of<br>walkthroughs. | Increase teacher effectiveness. Improve quality of teacher evaluation systems.                       | Goe, L. Bell, C. & Little, O. (2008) Approaches to evaluating teacher effectiveness: A research synthesis. National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality.                   | June 2010                 | June 2013               |
| 3                | Provide training for administrators on utilizing data to avaluate teacher performance.          | Increase utilization of data.<br>Increase administrators'<br>understanding of data<br>triangulation. | Hamilton, L, Halverson, R.,<br>Jackson, S. Mandinach, E.,<br>Supovitz, J. & Wayman, J.<br>(2009) Using student<br>achievement data to support<br>instructional decision making. | June 2010                 | June 2013               |
| 3                | Contract with SIRC to provide leadership training.  | Increase leadership effectiveness.   | Chappuis, S., Chappuis, J. & Stiggins, R. (2009) The quest for quality. Educational Leadership.   | June 2010                 | June 2013               |
| 3                | Provide staff development on Learning Walks   | Increase leadership & teacher effectiveness.   | Bloom, G. (2007) Suggested protocol for conducting Learning Walks. Leadership.  | June 2010                 | June 2013               |
|                  |   |  |   |                           |                         |
|                  |   | - <u>-</u>   |   |                           |                         |
|                  |   |  |   |                           |                         |
|                  |   |  |   |                           |                         |
|                  |   |  |   |                           |                         |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_\_ of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

| Critical Success Factor 4: Improve Learning Time | Critical | Success | Factor 4: | Improve | Learning Time |
|--|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|
|--|----------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|

| CSF<br>Milestone | Additional<br>Improvement Activity  | Rationale   | Supporting Research  | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date              | Timeline<br>End<br>Date                            |
|------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 4                | Increase tutorials to assist subgroups in all content areas for enrichment, remediation, and SAT/ACT. | Increase TAKS scores,<br>Increase graduation rates,<br>decrease retention rates,<br>Increase SAT/ACT scores | Pennington, H. (2006) Expanding learning time in high schools, Washington DC Tenet for American Progress.  | August<br>2010                         | May<br>2013  |
| 4                | Add classes throughout the day that are focused on assisting ESL and Special Ed. students             | Increase TAKS scores for ESL/Special Ed. Students. Increase graduation rates. Close achievement gap.        | Silva, E. (2007) On the clock:<br>Rethinking the way schools<br>use time. Washington DC:<br>Education Sector.  | August<br>2010                         | June 2013  |
| 4                | Increase credit recovery and advancement classes  | Decrease dropout percentages. Increase graduation rates. Increase attendance rates.                         | Trautman, T. & Lawrence J. (2004) Credit Recovery: A technology-based intervention for dropout prevention at Wichita Falls High Schools. American Education Corporation. | August<br>2010                         | June 2013  |
| 4                | Once per month teacher collaboration day.   | Increase teacher collaboration. Improve instructional program at campus.                                    | Silva, E. Teachers at Work:<br>Improving teacher quality<br>through school design.<br>Washington, DC: Education<br>Sector.   | August<br>2010                         | June<br>2013                                       |
| 4                | Continue the implementation of common planning periods to develop Professional Learning Communities   | Increase teacher collaboration-Evidence of distributed leadership.  | Prager, K. (1992) Collaborative Planning time for teachers. Madison, WI.: Center on Organization and Restructuring of schools.   | August<br>2010                         | June<br>2013                                       |
| 4                | Summer Bridge Academy<br>for Incoming 9 <sup>th</sup> Graders.  | Reduce dropout rate. Increase graduation rate. Increase academic achievement.                               | National High School Center (2007) Easing the transition to high school: Research and best practices designed to support high school learning.                           | July<br>2010<br>July 2011<br>July 2012 | August<br>2010<br>August<br>2011<br>August<br>2012 |

Add additional pages if needed:

# For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) Dy telephone/e-mail/FAX on Dy of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)
Critical Success Factor 5: Increase Parent/Community Involvement

| CSF<br>Milestone | Additional Rationale Supporting Research  |  | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date  | Timeline<br>End<br>Date |               |
|------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| 5                | Provide ESL evening classes to parents.   | Increase parental involvement at campus. Increase communication with parents.  | Arias, M.B. & Murillo-<br>Campbell (2008) Promoting<br>ELL potential involvement.  | August<br>2010          | April<br>2013 |
| 5                | Provide computer training and job skills for parents in the community.  | Increase parental involvement. Improve parents' job skills for job attainment. | Swap, S. (1998) Developing home-school partnerships: From concepts to practice. New York, NY: Teacher's College Press.                 | August<br>2010          | April<br>2013 |
| 5                | Provide evening family counseling.  | Decrease number of discipline referrals and counselor referrals.               | Zans, J.E., Weistberg, R.P.,<br>Wang, M.C.,& Waiberg, H.J.<br>(2004) Building academic<br>success on social and<br>emotional learning. | August<br>2010          | May<br>2013   |
| 5                | Implement monthly evening parent presentations on topics such as graduation, credit recovery, and attendance. | Increase parental involvement. Increase parent knowledge of campus procedures. | Espstein, J.L. (1995) School/family/community partnerships: Caring for the children we share.  | August<br>2010          | May<br>2013   |
|                  |   |  |  |                         |               |
|                  |   |  |  |                         |               |
| _                |   | _  |  |                         |               |
|                  |   |  |  |                         |               |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA.

| 031901          |     |
|-----------------|-----|
| County-District | No. |

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #4B—Program Description

Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

| CSF<br>Milestone | Additional<br>Improvement Activity                                      | Rationale   | Supporting Research  | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date | Timeline<br>End<br>Date<br>June 2013 |
|------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 6                | Increase number of 9 <sup>th</sup> grade academies                      | Decrease discipline referrals.<br>Increase student motivation.  | Smith, TJ (2007) Managing the transition to 9 <sup>th</sup> grade in a comprehensive high school.                | August<br>2010            |                                      |
| 6                | Provide training for teachers on discipline model.                      | Decrease discipline referrals.  | Cotton, K. & Wikeland, K.R. (1990) School-wide and classroom discipline.   | August<br>2010            | April<br>2013                        |
| 6                | Provide mentoring program to foster community partnerships.             | Sheldon, S.B. & Epstein J.L. (2004) Getting students to school: Using family and community involvement to reduce chronic absenteeism. |  | August<br>2010            | May<br>2013                          |
| 6                | Hold a club/extracurricular fair-One in the Fall and one in the Spring. | Increase student motivation. Decrease discipline referrals. Increase dropout rate.  | Archambault, T., Janosz, M. & Morizot, T.J., Adolescent behavioral affective and cognitive engagement in school. | August<br>2010            | May<br>2013                          |
|                  |   |   |  |                           |                                      |
|                  |   |   |  |                           |                                      |
|                  |   |   |  |                           |                                      |
| _                |   |   |  |                           |                                      |
|                  |   |   |  |                           |                                      |

Add additional pages as needed.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          |     |
|-----------------|-----|
| County-District | No. |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description

## Part 3: Intervention Model

Section D: Improvement Activities and Timeline (cont.)

| Critical Success | Factor 7: Increa | se Teacher Quality |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|

| CSF Additional Improvement Activity  7 Provide staff development in the area of formative assessments |   | Rationale   | Supporting Research   | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date | Timeline<br>End<br>Date |  |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|   |   | Increase teachers' and students' understanding of quality feedback. Increase TAKS scores.   | National Center for Fair and<br>Open Testing (2007) The<br>value of formative<br>assessments.   | August<br>2010            | June<br>2013            |  |
| 7   | Provide training on SIOP- content area model  Increase LEP student achievement in all areas including State assessments, Grades, and graduation rates.  Grades, and graduation rates.  Washington D.C. National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality. |   | August<br>2010  | July<br>2013              |                         |  |
| 7   | Provide staff development<br>that targets subgroups;<br>special ed. and LEP   | Enhance quality of lessons.<br>Increase academic<br>achievement for all special<br>ed. and LEP students.  | Moon, T.R. (2005). The role of assessment in differentiation. Theory into Practice.   | August<br>2010            | May<br>2013             |  |
| 7   | Provide training for LEP teachers on Dual Language program  | Increase academic achievement for all LEP students who are weak in their native language.   | Dressler, C. (2006) First and second language literacy.   | August<br>2010            | May<br>2013             |  |
| 7   | Implement a comprehensive new teacher induction program.  | Increase teacher retention. Increase teacher quality. Decrease discipline referrals.  | National Comprehensive Center for Teacher Quality (2008) Lessons Learned: New Teachers talk about their jobs, challenges, and long range plans. Teaching in Changing Times. | August<br>2010            | July<br>2013            |  |
| 7   | Utilize specialist in all content areas to meet with new teachers to plan for instruction.  | Enhance quality of lesson plans. Improve delivery of lessons. Improve teacher effectiveness.  | Solis, A. the role of Mentoring in teacher quality and retention. Intercultural Development Research Assessment.  | August<br>2010            | May<br>2013             |  |
| 7 Increase the number of teachers who are AP trained.   |   | Increase rigor and develop college and career readiness skills.  Daugherty, C., Mellor, L. & Jian, S. (2006) The relationship between Advanced Placement and college graduation. Washington, DC: National Center for Educational Achievement. |   | July 2010                 | July<br>2013            |  |

| Adjustments      | and/or annotations made ve been confirmed with |  |                | 031901<br>nty-District No |                           |                         |  |
|------------------|--|--|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| by telephone/e-  | mall/FAX on                                    | School Years 201                             | .0-2013        | _                         |                           |                         |  |
| by               | of TEA.  |  |                | Am                        | nendment No.              |                         |  |
|                  |  | Texas Title I Priority : Schedule #4B—Progra | SCHOOLS Grant  | <b>建工程的</b>               |                           |                         |  |
| A                | ervention Model                                | Schedule #45_Flogra                          | in Description |                           |                           |                         |  |
| Section D:       | Other Improvement Ac                           | tivities and Timeline (cor                   | it.)           |                           |                           |                         |  |
| CSF<br>Milestone | SF Additional Rationale Suppo                  |  |                | search                    | Timeline<br>Begin<br>Date | Timeline<br>End<br>Date |  |
| . 冷城 冷清 N        |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  | _  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  | _  |  | _              |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  | _              |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  | _              |                           |                           | <del></del>             |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  | _              |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |
|                  |  |  |                |                           |                           |                         |  |

Add additional pages as needed.

## For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #4B—Program Description Part 4: Waiver Requests Applicants must check the walvers in which the LEA/campus intends to implement. Extending the period of availability of school improvement funds. This walver extends the "life" of the funds for two additional years; allowing the state to fund the grant period for three years to the LEA on behalf of the eligible campus, as long as the campus meets the requirements of implementation of the grant program. Note: Since TEA has requested and received a walver of the period of availability of these school Improvement grant funds, this waiver automatically applies to all LEAs in the State and must be checked. "Starting over" in the school improvement timeline for Tier I and Tier II Title I participating schools implementing a turnaround or restart model. Under this waiver, the LEA with an eligible Tier I or Tier II campus implementing the turnaround model or restart model may have their School Improvement status reset regardless of the actual AYP status and other school Improvement interventions, such as School Choice and Supplemental Education Services (SES) would not be applicable. This waiver allows the campus two years to effectively implement the selected turnaround or restart model of reform without additional statutory school improvement interventions being required. Implementing a school wide program in a Tier I or Tier II Title I participating school that does not meet the 40 percent poverty eligibility threshold. This waiver allows a Tier I Title I campus that otherwise does not qualify to operate a Schoolwide program to do so in order to implement the Tier I reform model selected.

of TEA.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

## Schedule #4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section A: Ongoing Monitoring/Continuous Improvement - Describe the LEA/campus' process for providing ongoing monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement

Brownsville ISD will provide significant on-going monitoring of grant activities primarily through the office of the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent who is also hereby known in this grant as the district shepherd. All quarterly and end of year implementation reports will be carefully reviewed and dissected by the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent prior to submission to TEA. These will be the official guideposts for monitoring of grant activities to ensure continuous improvement, however, short-interval (3-4 weeks) significant monitoring of grant activities on the local level will be implemented to ensure that reports to TEA indicate progress towards meeting goals and provide evidence of continuous improvement. These BISD short-interval on-going monitoring activities are listed below aligned to the grant's Critical Success Factors.

1) Academic Performance: Reading/ELA, math, & science district and campus benchmarks, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY, and EOY assessments, TAKS reading/ELA, math, & science commended & passing levels for all students, for LEPS, and for Sp. Ed. 2) Quality data to drive instruction: Charts, graphs, and lists of regrouping, increased instructional time, etc. based on district & campus benchmark results, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY Tier 2 & 3 regrouping charts & numbers of students showing improvement. TELPAS & AMAO changes. 3) Leadership effectiveness Number of training sessions attended by principal, assistant principal, & dean/facilitator. Teacher leader trainings. 4) Increase learning time. Number of extended day/week/year and zero period opportunities for students, Number of students participating in sessions. Extended day/week/year & zero period curriculum review and evaluation. 5) Increase parent/community involvement: Number of sessions, types of sessions, and number of participants for parents/community. 6) Improve school climate: percentage point increases in attendance, decreases in discipline referrals, and increases in number of students participating in extra/co-curricular activities. 6) Increase teacher quality: increases in number of administrator & teacher leader walk-throughs, feedback meetings, and mentoring/collaboration meetings.

At the campus level, Porter High School will ensure on-going monitoring of grant activities through the leadership team, especially the campus leader who is the instructional leader of the campus. All reporting documents will be submitted on a timely basis and will be developed in collaboration with the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent. As the campus ensures the critical success factors are adhered to, the leadership team will ensure the following:

Academic Performance: The campus will review all formative assessment data, failure rates, attendance, and dropout rates to ensure the students are obtaining quality instructional delivery of the lessons. The master schedule will also be reviewed for evidence of activities outlined in the grant. Quality data to drive instruction: Porter High School will continue to include common planning subject area periods in order to ensure teachers are triangulating data and making adjustments to the instruction based on evidence revealed by the data. The teachers will continue to utilize several sources of data to plan for lessons aimed at increasing student achievement and rigor. Leadership Effectiveness: Campus administrative team will undergo training in the implementation of learning walks. The learning walks will be conducted by administration regularly to ensure compliance and adherence to the curriculum and grant initiatives. The administration will need to submit a monthly report to the area superintendent of all trainings attended, curriculum meetings with campus staff, walkthroughs conducted, and any other imperative information that will assure campus administration is taking an active role in the reform efforts. Increase Learning Time: The campus will collect documentation such as attendance rosters, lesson plans, grades, etc for all zero periods, credit recovery classes, tutorial classes, and any other initiative in order to ensure the increased learning time is being used effectively and with success. Increase parent/community involvement: The campus parent center has a full time parent liaison. He will continue to document the types of sessions held at the parent center, participation rates, and any other documentation that clearly indicates whether or not the reform efforts are successfully meeting this milestone. Improve School climate: Porter High School stands ready to modify its policies and practices with regards to student discipline. The campus will review the number of discipline referrals and student removals submitted on a bi-weekly basis. The campus will also collect documentation for the "club fair" This fair will be held during both lunch hours at the beginning of each semester to increase the number of students participating in extra-curricular activities. Documentation will be submitted by each sponsor, coach, or mentor in order to determine the success of this milestone. Increase teacher quality: The campus will implement learning walks and will continue to conduct a set number of walkthroughs in order to evaluate teacher effectiveness. Other sources of data such as failure rates, lesson plans, and common planning meeting minutes will be collected to assess teacher quality.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

**Section B: Formative Evaluation-** Describe the LEA/campus' process for formative evaluation, including how the results of the evaluation will be used to improve the grant program

BISD will use the results of the formative evaluations to improve the grant program. At the district level, the formative evaluation is that outlined on page 51 which will occur in short-intervals of 3-4 weeks and at the time of the quarterly reports to TEA. The district's Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent/district shepherd will meet with the campus administration and/or teacher leaders as appropriate to review and provide feedback on campus and district reading/ELA, math, and science benchmark results and the instructional and professional development decisions made as the result of those pieces of assessment data. The same process will be in place for the BOY and MOY TPRI/TEJAS K-3 reading assessments and the Pre K CCPALLS initiative. Quality data drives instruction! Thus, the formative evaluation measures, in short intervals, how effectively the campus is using the quality data and whether or not it is driving instructional decisions on a daily basis! Although leadership effectiveness may be more difficult to ascertain on a short-interval basis, disaggregation of data and the making of data-driven decisions are both on-going job embedded leadership professional development activities. resourcefulness in the allocation of additional time and funds to support areas of need revealed by the constant and consistent disaggregation of data. Thus, the district shepherd will be able to use these indicators as formative evaluation tools for leadership effectiveness. Formative evaluation of the effectiveness of increases in learning time will be based on increases in numbers of students participating in extension programs as well as indirectly via improvements in benchmark test results from administration to administration. Again, the success of extended learning opportunities will be an indicator of the leadership's effectiveness and groupings and curriculum for these opportunities will reflect the quality of use of data to drive instruction. The short-interval effectiveness of parent/community involvement increases and improvements in school climate will also be a topic of discussion every 3-4 weeks when the district shepherd meets with the campus to discuss progress towards goals. Discussions will result in decisions as to whether or not the campus is on target or needs to strengthen each activity/initiative dedicated to these two components. Finally, although BISD's teaching force is currently 100% highly qualified as per federal guidelines, the CSF of increasing teacher quality will be measured via the formative evaluation by items such as: walkthrough data collection, improvements in benchmark results, implementation of training on instructional strategies, use of technology, etc. in alignment with the on-going job embedded professional development in which grant teachers are participating.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## by telephone/e-mall/FAX on by of TEA.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

| 031901         |    |
|----------------|----|
| ounty-District | No |

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

Part 1: Component Description. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with any reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. (Response limited to one page each, font size no smaller than 9 pt, Arial or Verdana)

Section C: Qualitative and Quantitative Data Collection Methods- Describe the LEA/campus' process for data collection methods to be implemented and 1) how the data will be disaggregated; 2) used to Improve instruction; and 3) obtain continuous improvement results

BISD and the campus agree to comply with reporting and evaluation requirements that TEA may establish and to submit the reports in the format TEA requests. The LEA will develop a process for data collection and methods will be technology driven to the greatest extent possible. Surveys will be used (example/monkey survey) to the extent possible to gather data on quality, type, and degree of participatory involvement practiced by principal, teachers, parents, community members, and when/as appropriate, students. Quality, type, and degree of planning, implementation, and evaluation of project activities data collection will be mainly through summaries/sign in sheets/agendas submitted on-line to the degree possible to the Cluster Area Assistant Superintendent. The method for collection of data with regards to the quality, type, and degree of collaboration with project partners will be via reports from these groups as well as campus reports to the district shepherd with details regarding the successes and challenges of project collaboration. Data collection regarding the quality, type, and level of professional development activities and their impact on school reform efforts will be via walk-throughs and the PDAS instruments which indicate level of implementation of new strategies and level of follow-through in use of technology, etc. Data regarding the quality and type of curriculum used, instruction delivered, and increases in learning time will be derived from district and campus benchmark testing results, TPRI/TEJAS BOY, MOY, and EOY on-line reports disaggregated by targeted student group. Any products or documents developed as part of the project will be evaluated by the district's appropriate central office department. Strengths and weaknesses of the project design, implementation, and evaluation will also be reflected via these data collection methods and components described here. The quality and level of communication with and reporting to management on the progress of the project and any problems encountered will also be reflected via the data collection methods described above because data collection components will include items such as: 1) on time/late submission, 2) complete/incomplete submissions, and 3) level of meeting formative goal/s, etc. Ultimately, the extent to which recommendations for modifying or improving the program as a result of on-going evaluation activities were implemented will be determined by the meeting or not meeting each year's progress goals and performance objectives. The majority of this data will be collected via the SIRC customized performance management system or a similar data collection tool. The SIRC district and campus snapshots will also be integral to the qualitative and quantitative data collection, the disaggregation of the data to improve instruction and to obtain continuous improvement results. All stakeholders, Board of Trustees, Superintendent, central office personnel, campus staff, parents, and community will have access to the data via on-line documents

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## by telephone/e-mall/FAX on by of TEA.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

|    |      | 131  | .90 | Jl  |     |
|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Co | unty | y-DI | str | lcţ | No. |

Amendment No.

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

## Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

#### Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

Porter High School will develop performance goals aimed at improving academic success, build leadership capacity, improve academic program, improve the campus environment, and increase participation of community members and parents. The comprehensive needs assessment is an integral part to the development of performance goals. The committees developed to formulate and analyze the comprehensive needs assessment will outline the findings and review them with campus personnel. The data sources will also become part of the presentation so as to ensure all of the needs are properly addressed and discussed.

The process of developing goals will begin a timeline set forth by the Site Based Decision Making Committee, together with the campus principal. At the onset, the campus principal will meet with the individual department chairs and the faculty within the department. The department and the campus principal will review a variety of data sources and the comprehensive needs assessment, in order to analyze growth and areas that need to be improved. Once the areas that need improvement are identified, the department will formulate a set of goals that addresses the methodology that will be implemented at the campus to meet the performance goals. This will be repeated with every single department at the campus. Once these performance goals are formulated, the SBDM committee and the campus administration will review the performance goals and ensure that they are aligned to the campus improvement plan. These goals will become part of the campus improvement plan for the upcoming school year. The performance goals will also be reviewed to ensure that they are also aligned to the district strategic plan so as to assure campus and district capacity and support.

The campus principal will also present the performance goals to the parents at an evening parent meeting. The SBDM parent members will also be included in the presentation. The goals will be clearly articulated so that the parents can understand where the campus is heading, with respect to all reform efforts. The parents will also have an opportunity to offer input. All input generated from this parent meeting will be reviewed by the SBDM committee, department chairs, and all committee members. It is imperative that Porter High School maintains constant communication with the parents in order to ensure the parents understand and support all reform efforts and strategies at the campus.

in addition, Porter High School will present the performance goals to the entire faculty, SBDM committee, and committee members involved in the selection of the intervention model. Input from all the stakeholders must take place in order to ensure all reform efforts are clearly articulated and to ensure buy-in.

The development of the performance goals will clearly address performance measures that will greatly assist the campus in achieving academic success for all subgroups. One of the most important measures that must be addressed and achieved is the narrowing of the achievement gap for all subgroups. The comprehensive needs assessment revealed that Porter has a large number of LEP students who have yet narrowed the achievement gap in all areas of TAKS, especially in the areas of math, science, and English-Language arts as indicated on the 2009 AEIS report. Some of the performance goals to be addressed will be aligned to meeting this measure and will also assure that TAKS scores increase and that the campus meets Adequate Yearly Progress. The performance goals will also address the special education subgroup. Although, the comprehensive needs assessment and the 2009 AEIS report indicates that special education students are making gain on the TAKS, the subgroup still has not narrowed the achievement gap. This is why it is imperative that performance goals are created in order to meet the assessment measure for both subgroups. This will also be repeated for all other areas needing improvement with respect to student performance and each area included in the comprehensive needs assessment.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

|    |     | 0   | 3  | 1   | 9   | 0   | 1 |    |
|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|---|----|
| Cn | 111 | ıtν | -r | 714 | str | -10 | ł | Nο |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by

of TEA.

**School Years 2010-2013** 

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

#### Schedule # 4C-Performance Assessment and Evaluation

## Part 2: Process for Development of Performance Goals

Describe the process to be implemented to develop the campus' performance goals. Include the groups participating in the development of the goals.

Responses are limited to two pages, front side only, with a font size no smaller than 9 point (Arial or Verdana).

The timeline Porter High School will take to develop a process is also outlined below:

- Review the comprehensive needs assessment-Review to be done by comprehensive needs assessment development committee.
- · Select the areas needing improvement
- Present the areas needing improvement to the SBDM committee and campus principal.
- Each SBDM committee representative from each subject area will then meet with their respective department chair and campus principal.
- Department chairs will meet as a group with campus principal to being the process of developing performance goals. A variety
  of data sources will be utilized for the development of the performance goals.
- Department chairs will report back to the SBDM committee and will also be included in the development of the campus improvement plan that includes the performance goals to be addressed.
- The SBDM committee and the committee that developed the process for selection of the intervention model will meet with department chairs and campus principal.
- The entire committee will formulate performance goals.
- The performance goals will then be presented to the entire staff and will also allow for evaluation and input from the staff.
   Modifications will be done if needed, but they must be aligned to the areas of need indicated in the comprehensive needs assessment.

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

#### Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

Improve Academic Performance – Enter the annual goals for student achievement, on both the State's assessments and other measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable

| # | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool                    | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Improve Student Achievement in Reading/ELA                   | TAKS Gr. 9-EXIT<br>Reading/ELA                       | 85%                                | 90%                        | 95%                        | 100%                       |
| 2 | Improve Student Achievement in Mathematics                   | TAKS Gr. 9-EXIT<br>Math                              | 70%                                | 80%                        | 85%                        | 90%                        |
| 3 | Increase student achievement in Science.                     | TAKS Gr. 9-EXIT .<br>Science                         | 72%                                | 80%                        | 85%                        | 90%                        |
| 4 | Narrow gap between LEP and all students tested: Reading/ELA. | TAKS & R-Lat<br>TAKS Gr. 9-EXIT<br>Reading/ELA       | 46%                                | 56%                        | 66%                        | 76%                        |
| 5 | Narrow gap between LEP and all students tested: Math.        | TAKS, TAKS M-<br>LAT Gr. 9-EXIT<br>Math              | 42%                                | 52%                        | 62%                        | 72%                        |
| 6 | Narrow gap between Special Ed and all students tested:       | TAKS, TAKS-A,<br>TAKS-M, TAKS-<br>ALT 9-Exit Reading | 76%                                | 81%                        | 86%                        | 91%                        |
| 7 | Narrow gap between Special Ed and all students tested:       | TAKS, TAKS-A,<br>TAKS-M, TAKS-<br>ALT 9-Exit Math    | 56%                                | 66%                        | 76%                        | 86%                        |

Increase the Use of Quality Data to Drive Instruction – Enter the annual goals for increasing the use of quality data to drive instruction, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| 1 Increase use of data to develop formative assessments.  AEIS-IT item Analysis Reports  | 50%-2010 | 70%-2011 | 90%-2012  |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|
|  |          |          | 3070-2012 |
| 2 Increase triangulation of multiple data sources.  AEIS-łT, Gradespeed, Eduphoria   | 55%-2010 | 75%-2011 | 95%-2012  |
| Increase teacher collaboration to adjust curriculum instructional strategies based on student performance data.  Common planning period weekly logs. | 80%-2010 | 90%-2011 | 100%-2012 |
| 4 Increase utilization of data to plan for instruction.  AEIS-IT, Gradespeed, tEP-Plus   | 80%-2010 | 90%-2011 | 100%-2012 |

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on by of TEA.

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Years 2010-2013

| 031901          |    |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

Amendment No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

## Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

**Increase Leadership Effectiveness**— Enter the annual goals for increasing the effectiveness of campus leadership, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| #4 | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool                                 | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|----|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1  | Increase quality of classroom observation tools.                         | Eduphoria   | 70%                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 100%                       |
| 2  | Increase the distributed leadership model to enhance team collaboration. | Student-Plus,<br>Masterschedule,<br>Gradespeed<br>AEIS-IT Online. | 60%                                | 75%                        | 85%                        | 95%                        |
| 3  | Increase stakeholders' support of administration.                        | Annual CNA Needs<br>Assessment                                    | 70%                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 95%                        |
| 4  | Increase job embedded professional development.                          | ERO & Region 1<br>Database.                                       | 55%                                | 70%                        | 85%                        | 100%                       |
| 5  | Increase classroom observation feedback to improve teaching & learning.  | Eduphoria   | 50%                                | 65%                        | 80%                        | 95%                        |

Increase Learning Time – Enter the annual goals for increasing learning time on the campus, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| #** | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool                               | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|-----|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1   | Increase percentages of students attending tutorials.                  | Attendance Sheets   | 15%                                | 25%                        | 40%                        | 60%                        |
| 2   | Increase percentage of credit recovery sessions available to students. | A+ Module<br>assessment<br>reports.                             | 25%                                | 30%                        | 35%                        | 40%                        |
| 3   | Increase Professional Learning communities.                            | Weekly department logs.   | 70%                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 100%                       |
| 4   | Implement monthly collaboration days for all subject areas.            | ERO, Collaboration<br>Logs, Session<br>evaluations              | DNA                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 100%                       |
| 5   | Provide summer academies for remediation & enrichment.                 | Attendance Sheets,<br>Lesson Plans,<br>Formative<br>Assessments | 70%                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 100%                       |

Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

|    |    | 0   | 3   | 1   | 9  | 0   | 1 |    |
|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|---|----|
| `~ | ur | ıtu | - r | 716 | ch | -10 | ٠ | No |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

## Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

## Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

**Increase Parent/Stakeholder Involvement** – Enter the annual goals for increasing parent and community involvement, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure   | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Increase number of yearly parent involvement activities on campus.  | Meeting/ Training<br>Agendas      | 60%                                | 70%                        | 80%                        | 90%                        |
| 2 | Increase number of parents attending parent involvement trainings, activities, conferences, etc. on campus and at district. | Sign-in sheets                    | 10%                                | 20%                        | 30%                        | 40%                        |
| 3 | Increase parent and community satisfaction with school.   | Annual CNA survey                 | 70%                                | 80%                        | 90%                        | 100%                       |
| 4 | Increase depth of community involvement in school curriculum.   | Campus annual calendar            | 15%                                | 20%                        | 30%                        | 40%                        |
| 5 | Increase parent and community volunteerism on campus.   | Human Resources database          | 10%                                | 15%                        | 20%                        | 25%                        |

**Improve School Climate** — Enter the annual goals for improving the school climate, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| #, | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance        | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|----|--|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1  | Increase student attendance rates.   | PEIMS                             | 2009-2010 ADA<br>Baseline                 | 96%                        | 97%                        | 98%                        |
| 2  | Decrease student discipline referrals.   | PEIMS                             | 400 discipline<br>referrals/all<br>grades | -10%                       | -20 %                      | -30%                       |
| 3  | Increase teacher attendance rates.   | Payroll dept.<br>database         | 80%                                       | 85%                        | 90%                        | 95%                        |
| 4  | Increase stakeholders' perception of school climate.                                 | CNA survey                        | 60%                                       | 70%                        | 80%                        | 90%                        |
| 5  | Increase student participation in co-<br>curricular and extra-curricular activities. | StudentPLUS<br>database           | 25%                                       | 35%                        | 45%                        | 55%                        |

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

|    | _ 0.  | 31   | <u>90.</u> | 1    |
|----|-------|------|------------|------|
| Со | untv- | -Dis | trici      | . No |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on

of TEA.

School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

## **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

## Schedule # 4C—Performance Assessment and Evaluation

## Part 3: Annual Performance Goals

**Increase Teacher Quality** – Enter the annual goals for increasing teacher quality by measures identified by the LEA, to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>.Tool                                    | Most Recent<br>Year<br>Performance | Year 1<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Increase student centered instruction.   | Eduphoria software / walkthroughs                                     | 55%                                | 70%                        | 85%                        | 95%                        |
| 2 | Increase participation in District and Region I professional development sessions. | ERO & Region I software   | 40%                                | 55%                        | 65%                        | 80%                        |
| 3 | Improve the quality of new-teacher induction program.                              | ERO<br>software/TAKS<br>data, Gradespeed                              | 55% Overall assessment data        | 70%                        | 80%                        | 90%                        |
| 4 | Increase use of technology in instruction.   | Eduphoria Reading<br>Smart<br>TextHELP<br>Criterion, etc.<br>software | 50%                                | 65%                        | 80%                        | 90%                        |
| 5 | Increase teacher use of data to impact instructional decisions.                    | AEIS it<br>WebCATT<br>TMSDS software                                  | 35%                                | 50%                        | 65%                        | 80%                        |

Other - Enter any other annual goals for improvement to which the LEA is holding the campus accountable.

| # | Performance Measure  | Assessment<br>Instrument/<br>Tool | Most<br>Recent<br>Year<br>Performa<br>nce | Year 1<br>Progres<br>s Goal | Year 2<br>Progress<br>Goal | Year 3<br>Progress<br>Goal |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | Increase High School graduation rates.   | AYP/TEA AEIS                      | 66%                                       | 75%                         | 85%                        | 90%                        |
| 2 | Decrease dropout rates.  | AEIS                              | 3.8%                                      | 2.8%                        | 1.8%                       | 1%                         |
| 3 | Increase numbers of Sp. Ed. and ELLPS students graduating under Recommended High School Graduation Plan. | PEIMS                             | 25%                                       | 30%                         | 40%                        | 50%                        |
| 4 | Increase high school completion rates.   | PEIMS                             | 82%                                       | 85%                         | 90%                        | 95%                        |
| 5 | Increase numbers of AP students scoring 3,4,5 on ELA, math, and science AP tests.                        | AP test results                   | 10%                                       | 20%                         | 30%                        | 40%                        |
| 6 | Increase the number of 9 <sup>th</sup> grade academies.  | Master Schedule                   | 10%                                       | 20%                         | 30%                        | 40%                        |

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

| by     | of TEA.  |                | mendment No. |             |
|--------|--|----------------|--------------|-------------|
|        | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   |                |              |             |
| No Bar | Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barri  | ers and Strate | gies         |             |
| #      | No Barriers  | Students       | Teachers     | Others      |
| 000    | The applicant assures that no barriers exist to equitable access and   | Students ⊠     | ⊠            | Ø           |
|        | participation for any groups   |                |              |             |
| #      | Strategies for Gender-specific Bias  | Students       | Teachers     | Others      |
| A01    | Expand opportunities for historically underrepresented groups to fully participate   | ⊠              | ⊠            | ⊠           |
| A02    | Provide staff development on eliminating gender blas   |                |              | ☒           |
| A03    | Ensure strategies and materials used with students do not promote gender bias  | ⊠              | Ø            | Ø           |
| A04    | Develop and implement a plan to eliminate existing discrimination and the effects of past discrimination on the basis of gender  | ×              | ⊠            | ×           |
| A05    | Ensure compliance with the requirements in Title IX of the Education<br>Amendments of 1972, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender                      |                | ⊠_           | Ճ           |
| A06    | Ensure students and parents are fully informed of their rights and responsibilities with regard to participation in the program  |                | Ø            | ⋈           |
| A99    | Other (Specify)  | ×              | $\boxtimes$  | ☒           |
|        | : Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity  |                |              |             |
| #      | Strategies for Cultural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity   | Students       | Teachers     | Other       |
| B01    | Provide program information/materials in home language   | ☒              | ⊠            | $\boxtimes$ |
| B02    | Provide interpreter/translator at program activities   | $\boxtimes$    | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$ |
| В03    | Increase awareness and appreciation of cultural and linguistic diversity through a variety of activities, publications, etc.   |                | ×            | Ø           |
| B04    | Communicate to students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries an appreciation of students' and families' linguistic and cultural backgrounds                      | ×              | ⋈            | ⋈           |
| B05    | Develop/maintain community involvement/participation in program activities   | $\boxtimes$    | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$ |
| B06    | Provide staff development on effective teaching strategies for diverse populations   | $\boxtimes$    | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$ |
| В07    | Ensure staff development is sensitive to cultural and linguistic differences and communicates an appreciation for diversity  | ⊠              | ×            | ⊠           |
| B08    | Seek technical assistance from Education Service Center, Technical Assistance Center, Title I, Part A School Support Team, or other provider                           | <u> </u>       | ⋈            | ⊠           |
| B09    | Provide parenting training   | ⊠              | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$ |
| B10    | Provide a parent/family center   | ⊠              | Ճ            | $\boxtimes$ |
| B11    | Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in decision making   | ⊠              | $\boxtimes$  | $\boxtimes$ |
| 812    | Offer "flexible" opportunities for parent involvement including home learning  | $\boxtimes$    |              | $\boxtimes$ |
| 012    | activities and other activities that don't require parents to come to the school   |                |              | $\boxtimes$ |
| B13    | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities  | ×              | $\boxtimes$  | 2           |
|        | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and knowledge in school activities | ⊠<br>⊠         | ⊠<br>⊠_      |             |
| B13    | Provide child care for parents participating in school activities  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, and                                | -              |              |             |

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

|          | ne/e-mail/FAX on                                   | School Years 2010-2013  |                | Amandmant No  |             |
|----------|--|---|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| by       | of TEA.  | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant  |                | Amendment No. |             |
|          | Schedule # 4D-                                     | -Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie   | ers and Strate | egies         |             |
| Barrier: |  | conomic Diversity (cont.)   |                |               |             |
| #        |  | ural, Linguistic, or Economic Diversity   | Students       | Teachers      | Other       |
| B17      |  | m for traditionally "hard to reach" parents   | $\boxtimes$    | Ø             | $\boxtimes$ |
| B18      | Coordinate with community                          | centers/programs  | $\boxtimes$    | Ø             | $\boxtimes$ |
| B19      | Seek collaboration/assistance                      | ⊠   | Ø              | $\boxtimes$   |             |
| B20      |  | an to eliminate existing discrimination and the non the basis of race, national origin, and color       | Ճ              | Ø             | ⋈           |
| B21      |  | requirements in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of nination on the basis of race, national origin, and | ⊠              | ⊠             | ⊠           |
| B22      | Ensure students, teachers, a                       | and other program beneficiaries are informed of<br>les with regard to participation in the program      | ×              | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| B23      | Provide mediation training o complaints            | n a regular basis to assist in resolving disputes and   | ⊠              | ×             | Ø           |
| B99      | Other (Specify)                                    | $\boxtimes$   | ⊠              | $\boxtimes$   |             |
| Barrier  | r: Gang-Related Activit                            | ies   |                |               |             |
| #        | ·  | s for Gang-related Activities   | Students       | Teachers      | Other       |
| Ç01      | Provide early intervention.                        |   | $\boxtimes$    | Ø             | ⊠           |
| C02      | Provide Counseling.                                |   | ⊠              | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| C03      | Conduct home visits by staff                       | •   | $\boxtimes$    | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |
| C04      | Provide flexibility in scheduli                    | ng activities.  | $\boxtimes$    | Ø             | ⊠           |
| Ç05      | Recruit volunteers to assist i                     | n promoting gang-free communities.  | $\boxtimes$    | ⊠             |             |
| C06      | Provide mentor program.                            |   | $\boxtimes$    | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |
| C07      | Provide before/after school r programs/activities. | ecreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic   | ⊠              | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| C08      | Provide community service p                        | programs/activities.  | $\boxtimes$    | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| C09      | Conduct parent/teacher conf                        | erences.  | ×              | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| C10      | Strengthen school/parent co                        | mpacts.   | ⊠              | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| C11      | Establish partnerships with I                      | aw enforcement agencies.  | ×              | $\boxtimes$   | Ø           |
| C12      | Provide conflict resolution/pe                     | eer mediation strategies/programs.  | ⊠              | $\boxtimes$   | ☒           |
| C13      | Seek collaboration/assistance education.           | e from business, industry, or institution of higher   | ⊠              | ⊠             | ×           |
| C14      | Provide training/information gang-related issues.  | to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with   | ⊠              | ⊠             | ⋈           |
| Ç99      | Other (Specify)                                    |   | ⊠              | $\boxtimes$   |             |
|          | Drug-Related Activities                            |   |                |               |             |
| #        | ··   | s for Drug-related Activities   | Students       | Teachers      | Other       |
| D01      | Provide early identification/in                    | ntervention.  | ⊠              | ⊠             |             |
| D02      | Provide Counseling.                                |   | $\boxtimes$    | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
| D03      | Conduct home visits by staff                       |   | $\boxtimes$    | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |
|          |  | $\boxtimes$   | Ø              | <b>5</b> 7    |             |
| D04      | Recruit volunteers to assist i                     | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting drug-free schools and communitles.                            |                |               |             |

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          | _  |
|-----------------|----|
| County-District | No |

| by telephor | ne/e-mall/FAX on of TEA.                               | School Years 2010-2013  | Amendment No. |             |             |
|-------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Uy          | U ILA  | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant                            |               | 7 citation  |             |
|             | Schedule # 4D  | -Equitable Access and Participation: Barri                      |               | egies       |             |
| Barrier:    | <b>Drug-Related Activities</b>                         |   |               |             |             |
| D06         | Provide before/after school<br>programs/activities     | recreational, instructional, cultural, or artistic              | ⊠             | ⊠           | ⋈           |
| D07         | Provide community service                              | programs/activities   |               | Ø           |             |
| D08         | Provide comprehensive hea                              | aith education programs.  | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ | ☒           |
| D09         | Conduct parent/teacher co                              | nferences.  | $\boxtimes$   | ⊠           | $\boxtimes$ |
| D10         | Establish school/parent cor                            | npacts.   | $\boxtimes$   | ⊠           | ⊠           |
| D11         | Develop/maintain commun                                |   | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| D12         | Provide conflict resolution/                           | ☒   | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| D13         | Seek collaboration/assistar education.                 | ice from business, industry, or institution of higher           | ☒             | ⋈           | ⊠           |
| D14         | drug-related issues.                                   | n to teachers, school staff, & parents to deal with             | ⊠             | ⊠           | ☒           |
| D15         | Seek Collaboration/assistateducation.                  | ⊠   | ⊠             | ⊠           |             |
| D99         | Other (Specify)  |   |               |             |             |
| Barrier:    | Visual Impairments                                     |   | _             |             |             |
| #           | Strate   | gies for Visual Impairments                                     | Students      | Teachers    | Other       |
| E01         | Provide early Identification                           | and intervention.   | ⋈             | ⊠           |             |
| E02         | Provide Program materials,                             | ⊠   | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| E03         | Provide program materials/information in large type.   |   | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| E04         | Provide program materials,                             | information on tape.  | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| E99         | Other (Specify)  |   | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| Barrier:    | <b>Hearing Impairments</b>                             |   |               |             | _           |
| #           | Strateg  | ies for Hearing Impairments                                     | Students      | Teachers    | Other       |
| F01         | Provide early Identification                           | and intervention.   | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| F02         | Provide interpreters at pro-                           | gram activities.  | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ | ☒           |
| F99         | Other (Specify)  |   | $\boxtimes$   | ⊠           | ☒           |
| Barrier:    | Learning Disabilities                                  |   |               |             |             |
| #           | Strateg  | ies for Learning Disabilities                                   | Students      | Teachers    | Other       |
| G01         | Provide early identification                           | and intervention.   | $\boxtimes$   |             | $\boxtimes$ |
| G02         | Expand tutorial/mentor pro                             |   | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ | $\boxtimes$ |
| G03         | Provide staff development strategies.                  | in identification practices and effective teaching              | ⊠             |             | ⊠           |
| G04         | Provide training for parents                           | in early Identification and intervention.                       |               | $\boxtimes$ |             |
| G99         | Other (Specify)  |   | ⊠             | $\boxtimes$ | $\boxtimes$ |
| Barrier:    | Other Physical Disabili                                | ties or Constraints   |               |             |             |
| #           |  | ner Physical Disabilities or Constraints                        | Students      | Teachers    | Other       |
| H01         | Develop and implement a pother physical disabilities/c | olan to achieve full participation by students with onstraints. | ×             | ⊠           | ×           |
|             | Other (Specify)  |   | $\boxtimes$   | $\boxtimes$ |             |

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on

School Years 2010-2013

| by   | of TEA.  |                                       | Amendment No.                        |                        |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
|  | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant   |                                       |                                      |                        |
|  | Schedule # 4D—Equitable Access and Participation: Barrie   | ers and Strate                        | egies                                |                        |
| Barrier:   | Absenteeism/Truancy  | 1                                     |                                      |                        |
| #  | Strategies for Absenteeism/Truancy   | Students                              | Teachers                             | Others                 |
| K01  | Provide early identification/intervention.   |                                       | ⊠                                    |                        |
| K02  | Develop and implement a truancy intervention plan.   | $\boxtimes$                           | ⊠                                    |                        |
| K03  | Conduct home visits by staff.  | ⊠                                     | ⊠                                    | $\boxtimes$            |
| K04  | Recruit volunteers to assist in promoting school attendance.   | $\boxtimes$                           | ☒                                    | $\boxtimes$            |
| K05  | Provide mentor program.  | ⊠                                     | ⊠                                    | ⊠                      |
| K06  | Provide before/after school recreational or educational activities.  | Ø                                     | ⊠                                    |                        |
| K07  | Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  | ⊠                                     | Ø                                    | $\boxtimes$            |
| К08  | Strengthen school/parent compacts.   | ⊠                                     | Ø                                    | ⋈                      |
| К09  | Develop/maintain community partnerships.   | $\boxtimes$                           | Ø                                    |                        |
| K10  | Coordinate with health and social services agencies.   |                                       | ⊠                                    |                        |
| K11  | Coordinate with the juvenile justice system.   | <u> </u>                              | ⊠                                    |                        |
|  | Seek collaboration/assistance from business, industry, or institution of higher  | ⊠                                     | ⊠                                    |                        |
| K12  | education.   |                                       |                                      |                        |
| K99  | Other (Specify)  |                                       |                                      |                        |
|  | High Mobility Rates  |                                       |                                      | 011                    |
| #  | Strategies for High Mobility Rates   | Students                              | Teachers                             | Other                  |
| L01  | Coordinate with social services agencles   |                                       |                                      |                        |
| L02  | Establish partnerships with parents of highly mobile families.   |                                       |                                      |                        |
| L03  | Establish/maintain timely record transferal system.  |                                       | ⊠                                    | ⊠                      |
| L99  | Other (Specify)  | ⊠                                     | ⊠                                    |                        |
| Barrier:   |  |                                       |                                      |                        |
|  | Lack of Support from Parents   |                                       |                                      |                        |
| #  | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  | Students                              | Teachers                             |                        |
| #<br>M01   | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  | Ø                                     | ×                                    | ⋈                      |
| #<br>M01<br>M02  | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.   | ⊠<br>⊠                                |                                      | ⊠<br>⊠                 |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03   | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities.   | ⊠<br>⊠<br>⊠                           | ⊠<br>⊠<br>⊠                          |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04  | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recruit volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  | X<br>  X<br>  X<br>  X                | X <br>  X <br>  X <br>  X            | X<br>  X<br>  X<br>  X |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05   | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.   | X<br>  X<br>  X<br>  X<br>  X         | X    X    X    X    X    X    X    X |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06                                    | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  | X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X   X | X    X    X    X    X    X    X    X |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07                             | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.   | X                                     | X                                    |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08                      | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  |                                       | X                                    | X                      |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07                             | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.  |                                       | X    X    X    X    X    X    X    X |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08                      | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  |                                       |                                      |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08<br>M09               | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.  Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.  Provide child care for parents participating in school activities.  |                                       | X    X    X    X    X    X    X    X | X                      |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08<br>M09               | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.  Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.  Provide child care for parents participating in school activities.  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities.  |                                       |                                      |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08<br>M09<br>M10        | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.  Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.  Provide child care for parents participating in school activities.  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge  |                                       |                                      |                        |
| #<br>M01<br>M02<br>M03<br>M04<br>M05<br>M06<br>M07<br>M08<br>M09<br>M10<br>M11 | Strategies for Lack of Support from Parents  Develop and implement a plan to increase support from parents.  Conduct home visits by staff.  Recrult volunteers to actively participate in school activities.  Conduct parent/teacher conferences.  Establish school/parent compacts.  Provide parenting training.  Provide a parent/family center.  Provide program materials/information in home language.  Involve parents from a variety of backgrounds in school decision making.  Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities and other activities that don't require coming to school.  Provide child care for parents participating in school activities.  Acknowledge and include family members' diverse skills, talents, acknowledge in school activities.  Provide adult education, including GED and/or ESL classes, or family literacy |                                       |                                      |                        |

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** on this page have been confirmed with 031901 Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule # 4D-Equitable Access and Participation: Barriers and Strategies Barrier: Shortage of Qualified Personnel Strategies for Shortage of Qualified Personnel Students Others Teachers N01 Develop and implement a plan to recruit and retain qualified personnel. $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ Recruit and retain teachers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and language N02 X X Ø minority groups. NO3 Ø 冈 図 Provide mentor program for new teachers. N04 Provide intern program for new teachers. $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ N05 Provide professional development in a variety of formats for personnel. X Collaborate with colleges/universities with teacher preparation programs. 冈 X N06 冈 N99 冈 Ø $\boxtimes$ Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Knowledge Regarding Program Benefits # Strategies for Lack of Knowledge regarding Program Benefits Students **Teachers** Others Develop and implement a plan to inform program beneficiaries of program P01 X $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ activities & benefits. Publish newsletter/brochures to inform program beneficiaries of activities and P02 $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ benefits. Provide announcements to local radio stations & newspapers about program P03 $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ 冈 activities/benefits. 冈 Ø 茵 P99 Other (Specify) Barrier: Lack of Transportation to Program Activities Strategies for Lack of Transportation to Program Activities **Students** Others # **Teachers** 001 Provide transportation for parents and other program beneficiarles to activities. $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ $\bowtie$ Offer "flexible" opportunities for involvement, including home learning activities Q02 Ø $\boxtimes$ 図 and other activities that don't require coming to school. Conduct program activities in community centers and other neighborhood

Strategies for Other Barrier

 $\bowtie$ 

X

Students

 $\boxtimes$ 

X

**Teachers** 

X

X

Others

Q03

Q04

#

Z99

locations.

**Barrier: Other Barrier** 

Other (Specify)

Other Barrier:

Other Strategy:

## TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

| 031901          | _   |
|-----------------|-----|
| County-District | Nο. |

by telephone/e-mail/FAX on \_\_\_\_\_

of TEA. School Years 2010-2013

Amendment No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #5-Program Budget Summary

**Program Authority:** 

P.L. 107-110, Section 1003(g), as amended by ARRA, P.L. 111-5

CFDA # 84.388A & 84.377A

**Fund Code** 

ARRA (CFDA# 84.388A): 286

Regular (CFDA# 84.377A): 276

Project Period: August 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013

|  | Project i  | erioa:     | August 1                 | , 2010 through           | Julie 30, 2013        |                                     |              |
|--|------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Class/Object Code and Description  |            |            | Campus<br>Grant<br>Costs | LEA Admin<br>Grant Costs | Pre-<br>Award<br>Cost | Total<br>Grant<br>Funds<br>Budgeted |              |
| Payroll Costs  |            | 5B         | 6100                     | \$2,677,323              | \$                    | \$                                  | \$ 2,677,323 |
| Professional and Contracted  | Services   | 5C         | 6200                     | 820,340                  |                       |                                     | 820,340      |
| Supplies and Materials   |            | 5D         | 6300                     | 382,644                  |                       |                                     | 382,644      |
| Other Operating Costs  |            | 5 <b>E</b> | 6400                     | 299,000                  |                       |                                     | 299,000      |
| Capital Outlay (Exclusive of 6619 and 6629) (15XX for charter schools only) 5G 6600/ |            |            | 1,740,653                |                          |                       | 1,740,653                           |              |
|  | Т          | otal Dir   | ect Costs                | 5,919,960                |                       |                                     | 5,919,960    |
|  | 1.334      | % Indir    | ect Costs                |                          |                       | -                                   | 80,040       |
| Grand Total  |            |            |                          |                          |                       |                                     |              |
| Total Budgeted Costs:  |            |            |                          | \$ 5,919,960             | \$                    | \$                                  | 6,000,000    |
| Administrative Cost Cal  | culation   |            |                          |                          |                       |                                     |              |
| Enter total amount from Sche   | edule #5 B | udget S    | ummary, l                | ast Column, Tota         | al Budgeted Cost      | S                                   | 6,000,000    |
| Multiply by 5 ( % limit)   |            |            |                          |                          |                       | _                                   | X .05        |
| Enter Maximum Allowable for  | Administra | ation, in  | cluding In               | direct Costs             |                       |                                     | \$ 300,000   |

## For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. by **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5—Program Budget Summary Budget Request by Grant Year: Enter the amount of grant funds requested for each year of the three year grant period. Year 1: SY 2010-2011 \$2,000,000 Year 2: SY 2011-2012 \$2,000,000 \* Year 3: SY 2012-2013 \$2,000,000 \* \* Any Budget Request entered for funds in Year 2 and/or Year 3 constitutes the LEA/campus requesting approval of the waiver for extending the period of availability of these grant funds, whether indicated on Schedule #4B-Program Description: Waiver Requests or not. Provide any necessary explanation or clarification of budgeted costs

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5B-Payroll Costs (6100) **Budgeted Costs** #Full-#Part-**Employee Position Titles** Justification Time Time Amount **Pre-Award** Effort **Effort Budgeted** Instruction Teacher Expanding 9th Grade Academies 4 552,323 2 Educational Alde 3 Tutor **Program Management and Administration** Project Director 5 Project Coordinator 6 Teacher Facilitator 7 Teacher Supervisor 8 Secretary/Administrative Assistant 9 Data Entry Clerk 10 Grant Accountant/Bookkeeper 11 Evaluator/Evaluation Specialist Auxillary Counselor 12 Social Worker 13 14 Child Care Provider 15 Community Liaison/Parent Coordinator **Bus Driver** 16 17 Cafeteria Staff 18 Librarian 19 School Nurse Other Employee Positions 22 Title: 23 Title: 24 Title: 25 Title: Subtotal Employee Costs 552,323

Professional Staff Extra-Duty Pay 35.00(Tutorials) 3hrs@50(Stipend) 6hrs@100(Stipend)

Subtotal Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits Costs

Grand Total Payroll Budget (line 26 + line 31)

\$ 45,000

1,100,000

2,677,323

2,125,000

900,000

80,000

\$

Substitute, Extra-Duty, Benefits

6112

6119

6121

6140

27

28

29

30

31

32

Substitute Pay

**Employee Benefits** 

Support Staff Extra-Duty Pay

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mail/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 Amendment No. of TEA. Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval Total **Expense Item Description** Amount Pre-Award Budgeted Audit Costs (other than audits required under OMB Circular A-133) \$ 6212 Specify purpose Rental or Lease of Buildings, Space in Buildings, or Land 6269 Specify purpose and provide calculations Contracted Publication and Printing Costs (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools) 6299 Specify purpose: Scholarships and Fellowships (not allowed for nonprofit charter schools) 6299 Specify purpose: Subtotal 6200 - Professional and Contracted Services Cost Requiring Specific Approval Professional and Consulting Services (6219/6239) Less than \$10,000 Total Total Amount # Topic/Purpose/Service Contracted Pre-Award **Amount** Budgeted \$ 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Subtotal Professional and Consulting Services Less than \$10,000 | \$ \$ Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000

| Contractor's Co | st Breakdown of Service to be Provided          | # Positions    | Total<br>Contracted<br>Amount | Pre-Award | Total<br>Amount<br>Budgeted |
|-----------------|---|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| 101             | Contractor's Payroll Costs                      |                |                               | de .      | 4                           |
|                 | Title:  |                | 7                             | 7         | 7                           |
| 9154            | Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services |                | 7,500                         |           | 7,500                       |
|                 | Supplies and Materials                          |                |                               |           | 58,500                      |
| 100             | Other Operating Costs                           |                |                               |           |                             |
|                 | Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)                 |                |                               |           |                             |
|                 | Indirect Cost (%)                               |                |                               |           |                             |
|                 |   | Total Payment: | \$                            | \$        | \$ 66,000                   |

1. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service):

#### For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 031901 on this page have been confirmed with Standard Application System (SAS) County-District No. by telephone/e-mall/FAX on School Years 2010-2013 by of TEA. Amendment No. **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.) Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.) 2. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): Trice Educational Resources, Inc. -Technical assistance-for professional development, math, science, and leadership coaching Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted Amount Award Amount **Budgeted** Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ \$ Title: 310,500 Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 310,500 Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) Indirect Cost \_%) Total Payment: \$ **\$** 310,500 \$ 3. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): Communities in Schools-Provide Social Services Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted Amount Award Amount Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ 102,000 \$ \$ 102,000 Title: Social Worker Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) Indirect Cost (\_\_\_ Total Payment: \$ \$ 102,000 4. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): RTI Behavior Management Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted Amount Award Amount Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ Title: Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 45,000 45,000 Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only) Indirect Cost Total Payment: \$ 45,000 5. Description of Professional or Consulting Service (Topic/Purpose/Service): TX Bess Training for New Teacher Induction and Mentors Total Total Pre-Contractor's Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided # Positions Contracted Amount Award Amount Budgeted Contractor's Payroll Costs \$ Title: Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services 28,500 28,500 Supplies and Materials Other Operating Costs

Total Payment: | \$

\$ 28,500

Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)

Indirect Cost (

|  |  |   | otal Payment: | \$                            | \$                          | <b>\$</b> 29,700            |
|--|--|---|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ,220 M   | Indirect Cost (                                  | _%)   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Capital Outlay (Subgra                           |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Other Operating Costs                            |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
| Supplies and Materials   |  |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
| Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services  |  |   |               | 29,700                        |                             | 29,700                      |
|  | Title:   |   |               | \$                            | \$                          | \$                          |
|  | st Breakdown of Servi<br>Contractor's Payroll Co |   | # Positions   | Contracted<br>Amount          | Award                       | Amount<br>Budgeted          |
| Training for Learn   |  |   |               | Total                         | Pre-                        | Total                       |
|  |  | sulting Service (Topic/Purpose/               | Service):     |                               |                             |                             |
|  |  |   | otal Payment: | \$                            | \$                          | \$ 84,200                   |
| in the second  |  | %)  |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Capital Outlay (Subgra                           |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Other Operating Costs                            |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Supplies and Materials                           | cts, Subcontracted Services                   |               | 84,200                        |                             | 84,200                      |
|  | Title: Subsentra                                 | to Cubsontracted Comitoes                     |               |                               | <u> </u>                    |                             |
| A CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND SEC | Contractor's Payroll Co                          | osts  |               | \$                            | \$                          | \$                          |
|  | st Breakdown of Serv                             | ice to be Provided                            | # Positions   | Total<br>Contracted<br>Amount | Pre-<br>Award               | Total<br>Amount<br>Budgeted |
|  | nent and Student Record                          |   | sei vice):    |                               |                             |                             |
| 7 Description o  | f Drofossional or Can                            |   | otal Payment: | <b>  \$</b>                   | <b>\$</b>                   | <b>\$</b> 105,300           |
|  | Indirect Cost (                                  | <u>%)                                    </u> | 1-1 B         |                               | <del> </del>                | A 105 300                   |
|  | Capital Outlay (Subgra                           |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Other Operating Costs                            |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  | Supplies and Materials                           |   |               |                               |                             |                             |
|  |  | cts, Subcontracted Services                   |               | 105,300                       |                             | 105,300                     |
|  | Contractor's Payroll Co                          | 751.5   |               | <b>\$</b>                     | \$                          | \$                          |
| Contractor's Co  | st Breakdown of Serv                             |   | # Positions   | Contracted<br>Amount          | Pre-<br>Award               | Amount<br>Budgeted          |
| Benchmark Testir   | ng-Formative Assessmer                           | nt Instruments                                |               | Total                         |                             | Total                       |
| 6. Description o   | f Professional or Cons                           | sulting Service (Topic/Purpose/               | Service):     |                               |                             |                             |
| Professional an  | d Consulting Services                            | (6219) Greater than or Equal to               | \$10,000 (con |                               |                             |                             |
| Schedule   | #5C- Itemized 6200                               | Professional and Contracted Ser               |               | auirina Specifi               | c Approval (                | cont.)                      |
| by   | of TEA.  | Texas Title I Priority Sci                    | nools Grant   |                               | Amendment No                | <u></u>                     |
| by telephone/e-mail  |  | School Years 2010-                            | 2013          |                               |                             | _                           |
| on this page have b  | or annotations made een confirmed with           |   |               |                               | 031901<br>County-District N | _<br>lo.                    |
|  | A Use Only                                       |   |               |                               |                             |                             |

by telephone/e-mall/FAX on of TEA. bу

## **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Standard Application System (SAS)

031901 County-District No.

School Years 2010-2013

| Amend | ment | No. |
|-------|------|-----|

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Schedule #5C- Itemized 6200 Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requiring Specific Approval (cont.) Professional and Consulting Services (6219) Greater than or Equal to \$10,000 (cont.)

| Contractor's  | Cost Breakdown of Service to be Provided                   | # Positions             | Total<br>Contracted<br>Amount | Pre-<br>Award | Total<br>Amount<br>Budgeted |
|---------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
|               | Contractor's Payroll Costs                                 |                         | \$                            | \$            | \$                          |
|               | Title:   |                         | -                             |               | <u> </u>                    |
| Section 2     | Subgrants, Subcontracts, Subcontracted Services            |                         | 49,140                        | _             | 49,140                      |
|               | Supplies and Materials                                     |                         |                               |               |                             |
|               | Other Operating Costs                                      |                         |                               |               | _                           |
|               | Capital Outlay (Subgrants Only)                            |                         |                               |               |                             |
|               | Indirect Cost (%)  |                         |                               |               |                             |
|               |  | Total Payment:          | \$                            | \$            | \$ 49,140                   |
| Subtotal: Pro | ofessional and Consulting Services Greater Than or         | Equal to \$10,000:      | \$                            | \$            | \$820,340                   |
|               |  |                         |                               |               |                             |
| Subt          | total of Professional and Contracted Services Costs Requir | ing Specific Approval:  |                               |               |                             |
| Su            | btotal of Professional and Consulting Services or Subgran  | ts Less than \$10,000:  | _                             |               |                             |
| Sub           | total of Professional and Consulting Services Greater than | or Equal to \$10,000:   |                               |               | 820,340                     |
| Remaining 62  | 200- Professional and Contracted Services that do not req  | uire specific approval: |                               |               |                             |
|               |  | Grand Total:            |                               |               | 820,340                     |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with |                                      | and/or annotations made re been confirmed with TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY |               | Q31901<br>County-District No. |                   |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| by telephone/e-mail/FAX on<br>by of TEA.   |                                      | School Years 2010-2013  | Amendment No. |                               | ·                 |
|  |                                      | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant                                  |               |                               |                   |
|  | Schedule #5D - Itemiz                | ed 6300 Supplies and Materials Costs Requi                            | ring Speci    | fic Approval                  |                   |
|  | E:                                   | xpense Item Description   |               | Pre-Award                     | Total<br>Budgeted |
|  | Technology Hardware- Not Capitalized |   |               |                               |                   |
|  | # Type                               | Purpose   | Quantity      |                               |                   |
| 6399   | 2                                    |   |               | \$                            | \$                |
|  | 3                                    |   |               | *                             | ,                 |
|  | 4                                    |   |               |                               |                   |
|  | 5                                    |   |               |                               |                   |
| 6399   | Technology Software- Not Capit       |   |               |                               | 64,308            |
| 6399   | Supplies and Materials Associate     | ed with Advisory Council or Committee                                 |               | SZNINA PAGODSENI SENINA       |                   |
| 4  |                                      |   |               |                               |                   |
|  |                                      | Total Supplies and Materials Requiring Specifi                        |               |                               | 64,308            |
|  | Remaining 6300-                      | Supplies and Materials that do not require specifi                    | c approval:   |                               | 318,336           |
|  |                                      | G   | rand Total    |                               | 382,644           |

| For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this page have been confirmed with |   | TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)  | 031901<br>County-District | <br>No.           |  |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--|
| by telepi  | hone/e-mail/FAX on of TEA.  | School Years 2010-2013  | Amendment No              | Amendment No      |  |
| -,   | 57 137 13   | Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant  |                           | -                 |  |
|  | Schedule #5E - Ite  | mized 6400 Other Operating Costs Requiring  | Specific Approval         |                   |  |
|  |   | kpense Item Description   | Pre-Award                 | Total<br>Budgeted |  |
| 6411   | Out of State Travel for Employe Specify purpose: Travel to re     | es (includes registration fees) quired conferences as per transformation model  | <b>*</b>                  | \$49,000          |  |
| 6412   | Travel for Students (includes re required only for nonprofit char | gistration fees; does not include field trips) (specific appr<br>er schools)  |                           |                   |  |
| 6413   | <u> </u>  | g students to their home after credit recovery & extended<br>secific approval required only for nonprofit charter schools |                           |                   |  |
| 6419   |   | des registration fees; does not include field trips) (specif<br>rofit charter schools)                                    | ic                        |                   |  |
| 6411/<br>6419  |   | tor (6411), Superintendents (6411), or Board Members (  | 6419)                     |                   |  |
| 6420   | Specify purpose:  | seen covered by permissible insurance   |                           |                   |  |

Advisory Council/Committee Travel or Other Expenses (explain purpose of Committee on

Membership Dues in Civic or Community Organizations (Not allowable for University applicants)

Publication and Printing Costs- If reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit charter

Remaining 6400 - Other Operating Costs that do not require specific approval:

Total 64XX- Operating Costs Requiring specific approval:

**Grand Total** 

49,000

250,000

299,000

6490

6490

6499

schools)
Specify purpose:

Indemnification Compensation for Loss or Damage

Specify name and purpose of organization:

Schedule #4B-Program Description: Project Management)

|          | For TEA Use Only   |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|----------------------------------|---|---------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
|          | djustments and/or annotations made   | TEXAS EDUCATION                  | AGENCY                                      |               | 021001            |  |  |  |  |
| on th    | ils page have been confirmed with  | Standard Application Sys         |   |               | 031901            |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | Standard Application Sys         | tem (SAS)                                   |               | County-District N | 0.   |  |  |  |
| by to    | lephone/e-mall/FAX on  | C-lI V 2010                      | 2042  |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | School Years 2010                | -2013                                       |               | Amendment No      | -  |  |  |  |
| _by      | of TEA,  |                                  |   |               | Amendment No      |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | Texas Title I Priority So        |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | i600/15XX Capital Outlay- (      |   |               |                   | Cost   |  |  |  |
|          | (15XX is for use by Charter Schools sponsored by a nonprofit organization) |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          | Description  | /Durmage                         | Unit Cost                                   | Quantity      |                   | Total  |  |  |  |
|          | Description  | / Purpose                        | Onit Cost                                   | Quantity      | Pre-Award         | Budgeted   |  |  |  |
| 669      | 9/15XX- Library Books and Media  | (capitalized and controlled by   | library)                                    |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 1        |  |                                  | A Back Area                                 | ALCADA INTO   | 0                 |  |  |  |  |
| 66X      | X/15XX- Technology Hardware -  | Capitalized                      |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 2        | Computer Mobile Lab (30 Laptor   | os per Cart)                     | 46,689                                      | 27            |                   | 1,260,603  |  |  |  |
| 3        | Student Response Systems (Set  |                                  | 1,900                                       | 52            | -                 | 100,700  |  |  |  |
| 4        | Writing Pads   | ·                                | 450   | 110           |                   | 49,500   |  |  |  |
| 5        | Portable Media Players   |                                  | 490   | 165           |                   | 80,850   |  |  |  |
| 6        | Desktop Computers  |                                  | 1,100                                       | 90            |                   | 99,000   |  |  |  |
| 7        |  |                                  |   |               |                   | ,  |  |  |  |
| 8        |  |                                  |   | <u> </u>      | -                 |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,                                      |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 10       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 11       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          | X/15XX- Technology Software- C   | anitalized                       |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Enrichment-Credit Recovery Sof   |                                  | 3,000                                       | 50            |                   | 150,000  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Emilente Clear Recovery 501  |                                  | 3,000                                       |               |                   | 130,000  |  |  |  |
| 14       |  |                                  |   |               |                   | <del></del>                                      |  |  |  |
| 15       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 16       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 17       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | <u> </u>   |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          | X/15XX- Equipment and Furniture  |                                  |   |               | <u> </u>          |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | A/ 10AA Equipment and Furniture  | <u> </u>                         |   |               |                   | 1  |  |  |  |
| 20       | <del></del>  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                      |                                  |   |               |                   | 1  |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 23       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 25       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 26       |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 27       |  |                                  |   |               |                   | <del>                                     </del> |  |  |  |
| 28       |  |                                  |   |               |                   | 1  |  |  |  |
|          | ital expenditures for improvemen   | te to land buildings or oncine   | ant which were                              | wially lacter | a thair value :   | N useful   |  |  |  |
| life.    | icar exhemitates for improvemen  | is to rand, buildings, or equipm | ent which mate                              | many increas  | e meir value (    | or userui  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 29       |  |                                  | A DOWN STRAIN STRAINS SAID A DESTRICTION OF |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Gra      | nd Total   |                                  | 是可能對抗機                                      |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 1,72,774 |  | Total 6600                       | /1FVV Canital                               | Outlan Costs  | .                 | 1 740 652  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  | /15XX- Capital                              | Outlay Costs  | <u> </u>          | 1,740,653  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   | }  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   | [  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |                                  |   |               |                   |  |  |  |  |

#### SCHEDULE #6A

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

| กาง           | 1 | anı |  |
|---------------|---|-----|--|
| $ \omega_{-}$ | 1 | 201 |  |

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

Statement of provisions and assurances for the program(s) in this application:

- A. Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances,
  - Contract means the entire document, and all of TEA's attachments, appendices, schedules (Including but not Ilmited to the General Provisions and the Special Provisions), amendments and extensions of or to the Standard Contract;
  - Agency or TEA means the Texas Education Agency;
  - Contractor means the party or parties to this contract other than Agency; including its or their officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors, and subcontractors' officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and consultants;
  - Project Administrator means the person representing Agency or Contractor, as indicated by the contract, for the purposes of administering the contract project;
  - Contract Project means the purpose intended to be achieved through the contract of which these Provisions and Assurances are a part;
  - Applicant means the same as Contractor;
  - SAS means the Standard Application System of which the application document is a part;
  - "Application" means the entire package submitted by the Applicant Including the schedules contained in the application
    and so indicated on the General Information page of the application package;
  - Amendment means an application that is revised in budget categories and/or in program activities. It includes both the
    original application and any subsequent amendments; or extensions thereto;
  - Works means all tangible or intangible material, products, ideas, documents or works of authorship prepared or created by Contractor for or on behalf of TEA at any time after the beginning date of the Contract (Works includes but is not limited to computer software, data, information, images, illustrations, designs, graphics, drawings, educational materials, assessment forms, testing materials, logos, trademarks, patentable materials, etc.); and,
  - Intellectual Property Rights means the worldwide Intangible legal rights or interests evidenced by or embodied in: (a) any idea, design, concept, method, process, technique, apparatus, invention, discovery, or improvement, including any patents, trade secrets, and know-how; (b) any work of authorship, including any copyrights, moral rights or neighboring rights; (c) any trademark, service mark, trade dress, trade name, or other indicia of source or origin; (d) domain name registrations; and (e) any other similar rights. The Intellectual Property Rights of a party include all worldwide intangible legal rights or interests that the party may have acquired by assignment or license with the right to grant sublicenses.
  - Grant means the same as Contract;
  - Grantee means the same as Contractor;
  - Grantor means the same as Agency; and
  - DCC means the Document Control Center of Agency.
- **B.** Contingency: This contract is executed by Agency subject to the availability of funds appropriated by legislative act for the purposes stated. All amendments and/or extensions or subsequent contracts entered into for the same or continued purposes are executed contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon appropriated funds becoming unavailable. In addition, this contract may be terminated by Agency at any time for any reason upon notice to Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency. This contract may be extended or otherwise amended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both Agency and Contractor. No other agreement, written or oral, purporting to alter or amend this contract shall be valid.
- C. Contractor's Application: Furnished to Agency in response to a request for application, is incorporated in this contract by reference for all necessary purposes. It is specifically provided, however, that the provisions of this contract shall prevail in all cases of conflict arising from the terms of Contractor's application whether such application is a written part of this contract or is attached as a separate document.
- D. Requirements, Terms, Conditions, and Assurances: Which are stated in the Request for Application, in response to which Applicant is submitting this application, are incorporated herein by reference for all purposes although the current General Provisions shall prevail in the event of conflict. The instructions to the Standard Application System, as well as the General and Fiscal Guidelines and Program Guidelines, are incorporated herein by reference.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

| 03 | 190 | 1 |
|----|-----|---|
|    |     |   |

County-District No.

- E. Signature Authority; Final Expression; Superseding Document: Applicant certifies that the person signing this application has been properly delegated this authority. The Contract represents the final and complete expression of the terms of agreement between the parties. The Contract supersedes any previous understandings or negotiations between the parties. Any representations, oral statements, promises or warranties that differ from the Contract shall have no force or effect. The Contract may be modified, amended or extended only by formal written amendment properly executed by both TEA and Contractor.
- F. State of Texas Laws: In the conduct of the contract project, Contractor shall be subject to Texas State Board of Education and Commissioner rules pertaining to this contract and the contract project and to the laws of the State of Texas governing this contract and the contract project. This contract constitutes the entire agreement between Agency and Contractor for the accomplishment of the contract project. This contract shall be interpreted according to the laws of the State of Texas except as may be otherwise provided for in this contract.
- **G. Monitoring:** Desk reviews or on-site monitoring reviews may be conducted by Agency to determine compliance with the approved application and the applicable statute(s), law(s), regulations, and guidelines.
- H. Sanctions for Failure to Perform or for Noncompliance: If Contractor, in Agency's sole determination, falls or refuses for any reason to comply with or perform any of its obligations under this contract, Agency may impose such sanctions as it may deem appropriate. This includes but is not ilmited to the withholding of payments to Contractor until Contractor complies; the cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract in whole or in part; and the seeking of other remedies as may be provided by this contract or by law. Any cancellation, termination, or suspension of this contract, if imposed, shall become effective at the close of business on the day of Contractor's receipt of written notice thereof from Agency.
- I. Contract Cancellation, etc.: If this contract is canceled, terminated, or suspended by Agency prior to its expiration date, the reasonable monetary value of services properly performed by Contractor pursuant to this contract prior to such cancellation, termination or suspension shall be determined by Agency and paid to Contractor as soon as reasonably possible.
- J. Indemnification:
  - For local educational agencies (LEAs), regional education service centers (ESCs), and institutions of higher education (IHEs) and state agencies: Contractor, to the extent permitted by law, shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.
  - For all other grantees, subgrantees, contractors, and subcontractors, including nonprofit organizations and forprofit businesses: Contractor shall hold Agency harmless from and shall indemnify Agency against any and all claims, demands, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature asserted by any third party and occurring or in any way incident to, arising from, or in connection with, any acts of Contractor, its agents, employees, and subcontractors, done in the conduct of the contract project.
- K. Encumbrances/Obligations: All encumbrances/obligations shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and all services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures, and an encumbrance cannot be considered an expenditure or accounts payable until the goods have been received and the services have been rendered. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. This applies to all grant programs, including state and federal, discretionary and formula.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

- L. Financial Management and Accounting: Grantee assures it will maintain a financial management system that complies with federal standards established in 34 CFR 80.20 and 74.21 and that provides for accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each grant project. The financial management system records will identify adequately the source and application of funds and will contain information pertaining to grant awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, outlays (i.e., expenditures), income, and interest. Fiscal control and accounting procedures will permit the tracing of funds to a level of expenditure adequate to establish that funds have been used in accordance with the approved grant application. The applicant agrees to maintain effective control over and accountability for all funds, property, and other assets. Public school districts, open enrollment charter schools, and regional education service centers in Texas must comply with the accounting requirements in the Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module of the Financial Accountability System Resource Guide, Texas Education Agency (34 CFR 74.21; 34 CFR 80.20; TEA Financial Accountability System Resource Guide).
- M. Expenditure Reports: Contractor shall submit expenditure reports in the time and manner requested by Agency as specified in the instructions to the Standard Application System (SAS) which are incorporated by reference. Unless otherwise specified, Interim reports are due to TEA within 15 days after the end of each reporting period. Unless otherwise specified, the final expenditure report is due within 30 days after the ending date of the grant. Revised expenditure reports, where the grantee is claiming additional expenditures beyond that originally requested, must be submitted within 60 days after the ending date of the grant, or as specified in the applicable Program Guidelines.
- N. Refunds Due to TEA: If Agency determines that Agency is due a refund of money paid to Contractor pursuant to this contract, Contractor shall pay the money due to Agency within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice that such money is due to Agency. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset, counterclaim, cancellation, termination, suspension, total withholding, and/or disapproval of all or any subsequent applications for said funds.
- O. Records Retention: Contractor shall maintain its records and accounts in a manner which shall assure a full accounting for all funds received and expended by Contractor in connection with the contract project. These records and accounts shall be retained by Contractor and made available for programmatic or financial audit by Agency and by others authorized by law or regulation to make such an audit for a period of not less than five years from the date of completion of the contract project or the date of the receipt by Agency of Contractor's final claim for payment or final expenditure report in connection with this contract, whichever is later. If an audit has been announced, the records shall be retained until such audit has been completed.
  - Contractor understands that acceptance of funds under this contract acts as acceptance of the authority of the State Auditor's office, or any successor agency, to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds. Contractor further agrees to cooperate fully with the State Auditor's Office or its successor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including providing all records requested. Contractor will ensure that this clause concerning the authority to audit funds received indirectly by subcontractors through Contractor and the requirements to cooperate is included in any subcontract it awards.
- P. Time and Effort Recordkeeping: For those personnel whose salaries are prorated between or among different funding sources, time and effort records will be maintained by Applicant that will confirm the services provided within each funding source. Applicant must adjust payroll records and expenditures based on this documentation. This requirement applies to all projects, regardless of funding source, unless otherwise specified. For federally funded projects, time and effort records must be in accordance with the requirements in the applicable OMB cost principles.
- Q. Forms, Assurances, and Reports: Contractor shall timely make and file with the proper authorities all forms, assurances and reports required by federal laws and regulations. Agency shall be responsible for reporting to the proper authorities any failure by Contractor to comply with the foregoing laws and regulations coming to Agency's attention, and may deny payment or recover payments made by Agency to Contractor in the event of Contractor's failure so to comply.
- R. Intellectual Property Ownership: Contractor agrees that all Works are, upon creation, works made for hire and the sole property of TEA. If the Works are, under applicable law, not considered works made for hire, Contractor hereby assigns to TEA all worldwide ownership of all rights, including the Intellectual Property Rights, in the Works, without the necessity of any further consideration, and TEA can obtain and hold in its own name all such rights to the Works. Contractor agrees to maintain written agreements with all officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors engaged by Contractor for the Contract Project, granting Contractor rights sufficient to support the performance and grant of rights to TEA by Contractor. Copies of such agreements shall be provided to TEA promptly upon request.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Contractor warrants that (i) It has the authority to grant the rights herein granted, (ii) It has not assigned or transferred any right, title, or interest to the Works or Intellectual Property Rights that would conflict with its obligations under the Contract, and Contractor will not enter into any such agreements, and (iii) the Works will be original and will not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity. These warranties will survive the termination of the Contract. If any preexisting rights are embodied in the Works, Contractor grants to TEA the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (i) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (ii) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing. Contractor agrees to notify TEA on delivery of the Works if they include any such preexisting rights. On request, Contractor will provide TEA with documentation indicating a third party's written approval for Contractor to use any preexisting rights that may be embodied or reflected in the Works.

For School Districts and Nonprofit Organizations: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any school districts, nonprofit organizations, and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants and subcontractors. If a school district or nonprofit organization or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Education Service Centers (ESCs): The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to an Education Service Center (ESC) and its employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors. If an ESC or any of its subcontractor(s) wish to obtain a license agreement to use, advertise, offer for sale, sell, distribute, publicly display, publicly perform or reproduce the Works, or make derivative works from the Works, then express written permission must first be obtained from the TEA Copyright Office.

For Colleges and Universities: The foregoing Intellectual Property Ownership provisions apply to any colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors; provided, that for all Works and derivative works created or conceived by colleges or universities under the Contract, they are granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable, royalty-free license to use the Works for their own academic and educational purposes only. The license for academic and educational purposes specifically excludes advertising, offering for sale, selling, distributing, publicly displaying, publicly performing, or reproducing the Works, or making derivative works from the Works that are created or conceived under this Contract and colleges and universities and their employees, agents, representatives, consultants, and subcontractors are prohibited from engaging in these uses and activities with regard to the Works unless the prior express written permission of the TEA Copyright Office is obtained.

- S. Unfair Business Practices: Unfair Business Practices: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that Contractor, within the preceding 12 months, has not been found guilty, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, of unfair business practices. Contractor, if other than a state agency, also certifies that no officer of its company has, within the preceding 12 months, served as an officer in another company which has been found, in a judicial or state agency administrative proceeding, to be guilty of unfair business practices.
  - Contractor, whether a state agency or not a state agency, certifies that no funds provided under this Contract shall be used to purchase supplies, equipment, or services from any companies found to be guilty of unfair business practices within 12 months from the determination of guilt.
- T. Subcontracting: Contractor shall not assign or subcontract any of its rights or responsibilities under this contract, except as may be otherwise provided for in this application, without prior formal written amendment to this contract properly executed by both Agency and Contractor.
- Use of Consultants: Notwithstanding any other provision of this application, Applicant shall not use or pay any consultant in the conduct of this application if the services to be rendered by any such consultant can be provided by Applicant's employees.
- V. Capital Outlay: If Contractor purchases capital outlay (furniture and/or equipment) to accomplish the objective(s) of the project, title will remain with Contractor for the period of the contract. Agency reserves the right to transfer capital outlay items for contract noncompliance during the contract period or as needed after the ending date of the contract. This provision applies to any and all furniture and/or equipment regardless of unit price and how the item is classified in Contractor's accounting record.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Years 2010-2013

| 031901            |     |
|-------------------|-----|
| County-District N | ١o. |

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- W. Agency Property (terms): In the event of loss, damage or destruction of any property owned by or loaned by Agency while in the custody or control of Contractor, its employees, agents, consultants or subcontractors, Contractor shall indemnify Agency and pay to Agency the full value of or the full cost of repair or replacement of such property, whichever is the greater, within 30 days of Contractor's receipt of written notice of Agency's determination of the amount due. This applies whether the property is developed or purchased by Contractor pursuant to this contract or is provided by Agency to Contractor for use in the contract project. If Contractor fails to make timely payment, Agency may obtain such money from Contractor by any means permitted by law, including but not limited to offset or counterclaim against any money otherwise due to Contractor by Agency.
- X. Travel Costs: Amounts authorized for maximum recovery for travel and per diem costs against any state or federal funding source are restricted to those amounts which are approved in the State of Texas Appropriations Bill in effect for the particular funding period. Any amount over this limit must come from local funding sources. Applicant must recover funds at a lesser rate if local policy amounts are less than the maximum allowed by the state. Out-of-state travel may not exceed the federal government rate for the locale. Travel allowances are not allowable costs.
- Y. Funds for Religious Worship, Instruction: No funds will be used to pay for religious worship, Instruction, or proselytization, or for any equipment or supplies for such, or for any construction, remodeling, repair, operation, or maintenance of any facility or part of a facility to be used for religious worship, instruction, or proselytization (34 CFR 76.532 and P. L. 107-110, section 9505).
- Z. Disclosure of Gifts and Campaign Contributions: The grantee shall file disclosures of gifts and campaign contributions as required by State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3, which is incorporated as if set out in full. The grantee has a continuing obligation to make disclosures through the term of the contract. Failure to comply with State Board of Education Operating Rule 4.3 is grounds for canceling the grant.
- AA. Submission of Audit Reports to TEA: Grantees which are public school districts and open enrollment charter schools agree to submit the required annual audit report, including the reporting package required under OMB Circular A-133, if an audit is required to be conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, to the TEA Division of School Financial Audits in the time and manner requested by the Agency.

Grantees which are **nonprofit organizations** (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges that expend \$500,000 or more total in federal awards in any fiscal year and are thus required to conduct a Single Audit or program-specific audit in accordance with the requirements in OMB Circular A-133, agree to submit a copy of such audit to TEA when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA. A copy of such audit shall also be submitted to TEA if the summary schedule of prior audit findings reported the status of any audit findings relating to any federal awards provided by TEA.

A nonprofit organization or university/college grantee shall provide written notification to TEA that an audit was conducted in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 when the schedule of findings and questioned costs disclosed no audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA or when the summary schedule of prior audit findings did not report on the status of any prior audit findings related to any federal awards provided by TEA. Nonprofit organizations (other than charter schools) and universities/colleges shall submit the audit report to the TEA Division of Discretionary Grants. Audit reports must be submitted to TEA within 30 days of receipt of the report from the auditor. Failure to submit a copy of the audit to TEA could result in a reduction of funds paid to the grantee, a refund to TEA, termination of the grant, and/or ineligibility to receive additional grant awards from TEA.

- **BB. Federal Rules, Laws, and Regulations That Apply to all Federal Programs:** Contractor shall be subject to and shall abide by all federal laws, rules and regulations pertaining to the contract project, including but not limited to:
  - 1. Americans With Disabilities Act, P. L. 101-336, 42 U.S.C. sec. 12101, and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 28 CFR Parts 35 and 36, 29 CFR Part 1630, and 47 CFR Parts 0 and 64;
  - Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (prohibition of discrimination by race, color, or national origin), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 100;
  - Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (prohibition of sex discrimination in educational
    institutions) and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 106, if Contractor is an educational
    institution;
  - Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (nondiscrimination on the basis of handicapping condition), and the regulations effectuating its provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 104 and 105;

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (prohibition of discrimination on basis of age), and any regulations issued thereunder, including the provisions contained in 34 CFR Part 110;
- 6. the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended (ensures access to educational records for students and parents while protecting the privacy of such records), and any regulations issued thereunder, including Privacy Rights of Parents and Students (34 CFR Part 99), if Contractor is an educational institution (20 USC 1232g):
- 7. Section 509 of H.R. 5233 as incorporated by reference in P. L. 99-500 and P. L. 99-591 (prohibition against the use of federal grant funds to influence legislation pending before Congress);
- 8. **Pro-Children Act of 2001**, which states that no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of routine or regular kindergarten, elementary, or secondary education or library services to children [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(a)]. In addition, no person shall permit smoking within any indoor facility (or portion of such a facility) owned or leased or contracted and utilized for the provision of regular or routine health care or day care or early childhood development (Head Start) services [P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(b)(1)]. Any failure to comply with a prohibition in this Act shall be considered to be a violation of this Act and any person subject to such prohibition who commits such violation may be liable to the United States for a civil penalty, as determined by the Secretary of Education (P. L. 107-110, Section 4303(e)(1)].
- 9. Fair Labor Standards Act (29 USC 207), Davis Bacon Act (40 USC 276(a), and Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 USC 327 et seq.), as applicable, and their implementing regulations in 29 CFR 500-899, 29 CFR Parts 1,3,5, and 7, and 29 CFR Parts 5 and 1926, respectively.
- 10. **Buy America Act**: Contractor certifies that it is in compliance with the Buy America Act in that each end product purchased under any federally funded supply contract exceeding \$2,500 is considered to have been substantially produced or manufactured in the United States. End products exempt from this requirement are those for which the cost would be unreasonable, products manufactured in the U. S. that are not of satisfactory quality, or products for which the agency head determines that domestic preference would be inconsistent with the public interest. Contractor also certifies that documentation will be maintained that documents compliance with this requirement (FAR 25.1-.2).
- 11. P.L. 103-227, Title X, Miscellaneous Provisions of the GOALS 2000: Educate America Act; P.L. 103-382, Title XIV, General Provisions of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended; and General Education Provisions Act, as amended.

#### CC. Federal Regulations Applicable to All Federal Programs:

- 1. For Local Educational Agencies (LEAs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 2. For Education Service Centers (ESCs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 75 or 76 as applicable, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 3. For Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs): 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 86, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-21 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 4. For Nonprofit Organizations: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 74, 77, 79, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, and OMB Circulars A-122 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-110 (Uniform Administrative Requirements);
- 5. For State Agencies: 28 CFR 35 Subparts A-E, 28 CFR 36 Subparts C & D, Appendix A, 29 CFR 1630, 34 CFR 76, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, 99, 104, 47 CFR 0 and 64, OMB Circulars A-87 (Cost Principles), A-133 (Audits), and A-102 (Uniform Administrative Regulrements); and
- 6. For Commercial (for-profit) Organizations: 29 CFR 1630 and 48 CFR Part 31.

### DD.General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), as Amended, <u>Applicable to All Federal Programs Funded or Administered Through or By the U. S. Department of Education</u>:

1. **Participation in Planning:** Applicant will provide reasonable opportunities for the participation by teachers, parents, and other interested parties, organizations, and individuals in the planning for and operation of each program described in this application (20 USC 1232(e)).

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

- Availability of Information: Any application, evaluation, periodic program plan, or report relating to each program
  described in this application will be made readily available to parents and other members of the general public (20 USC
  1232(e)).
- 3. **Sharing of Information:** Contractor certifies that it has adopted effective procedures for acquiring and disseminating to teachers and administrators participating in each program described in this application significant information from educational research, demonstrations, and similar projects, and for adopting, where appropriate, promising educational practices developed through such projects (20 USC 1232(e)).
- 4. **Prohibition of Funds for Busing:** The applicant certifies that no federal funds (except for funds appropriated specifically for this purpose) will be used for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to overcome racial imbalance in any school or school system, or for the transportation of students or teachers (or for the purchase of equipment for such transportation) in order to carry out a plan of racial desegregation of any school or school system (20 USC 1228).
- 5. **Direct Financial Benefit:** Contractor certifies that funds expended under any federal program will not be used to acquire equipment (including computer software) in any instance in which such acquisition results in a direct financial benefit to any organization representing the interests of the purchasing entity or its employees or any affiliate of such an organization [20 USC 1232(b)(8)].
- **EE.** Payment for Services: Payment for service(s) described in this Contract is contingent upon satisfactory completion of the service(s). Satisfaction will be determined by TEA's Project Administrator, in his sole discretion but in accordance with reasonable standards and upon advice of his superiors in TEA, if necessary.
- **FF. Family Code Applicability**: By signing this Contract, Contractor, if other than a state agency, certifies that under Section 231.006, Family Code, that Contractor is not ineligible to receive payment under this Contract and acknowledges that this Contract may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. TEA reserves the right to terminate this Contract if Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment. If Contractor is found to be ineligible to receive payment and the Contract is terminated, Contractor is liable to TEA for attorney's fees, the costs necessary to complete the Contract, including the cost of advertising and awarding a second contract, and any other damages or relief provided by law or equity.
- **GG. Interpretation**: In the case of conflicts arising in the Interpretation of wording and/or meaning of various sections, parts, Appendices, General Provisions, Special Provisions, Exhibits, and Attachments or other documents, the TEA Contract and its General Provisions, Appendices and Special Provisions shall take precedence over all other documents which are a part of this contract.
- **HH.** Registered Lobbyists: No state or federal funds transferred to a contractor/grantee may be used to hire a registered lobbyist.
- II. Test Administration and Security: This contract is executed by Agency subject to assurance by Contractor that it has at all times been and shall remain in full compliance with Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, and all requirements and procedures for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Notwithstanding any other provision in this contract or any other document, this contract is void upon notice by Agency, in its sole discretion, that Contractor or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor has at any time committed a material violation of Title 19, Texas Administrative Code Chapter 101, or any requirement or procedure for maintaining test security specified in any test administration materials in the possession or control of Contractor, or any school, campus, or program operated by Contractor. Expenditures and/or activities for which Contractor may claim reimbursement shall not be accrued or claimed subsequent to receipt of such notice from Agency.
- **JJ. Social Security Numbers:** Social Security numbers will not be provided by TEA as a part of this agreement. TEA is not requiring or requesting school districts or other grantees to provide Social Security numbers as a part of this agreement.
- **KK. Student-identifying Information:** Contractor agrees that in executing tasks on behalf of TEA, Contractor will not use any student-identifying information in any way that violates the provisions of FERPA and will destroy or return all student-identifying information to TEA within thirty (30) days of project completion.

GENERAL PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

LL. High-Risk Status, Special Conditions, and Enforcement Actions: Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.12 and 74.14, a grantee may be identified by TEA as high-risk if the grantee has a history of unsatisfactory performance; is not financially stable; has a financial management system that does not meet federal financial management standards or the requirements in TEA's Financial Accounting and Reporting (FAR) module; has not conformed to terms and conditions of previous awards; or is otherwise not responsible. TEA may impose one or more special conditions or restrictions on a high-risk grantee, including payment on a reimbursement basis; withholding authority to proceed to the next phase until receipt of evidence of acceptable performance within a given funding period; requiring additional, more detailed financial reports; additional project monitoring; requiring the grantee to obtain technical or management assistance; establishing additional prior approvals; or other conditions that may be legally available. A grantee identified as high-risk will be notified in writing by TEA of the special conditions imposed and the process for removing the high-risk status and special conditions.

Pursuant to the provisions in 34 CFR 80.43 and 74.62, if a grantee materially fails to comply with any term of an award, whether stated in a federal statute or regulation, an assurance, in a grant application, or elsewhere, TEA may take one or more of the following enforcement actions as appropriate in the circumstances: temporarily withhold cash payments pending correction of the deficiency or more severe enforcement action; disallow all or part of the cost of an activity or action not in compliance; wholly or partly suspend or terminate the current award; withhold further awards for the program; or take other remedies that may be legally available. If an enforcement action is imposed, the grantee will be notified in writing by TEA of the actions imposed and the process for remedying the noncompliance or removing the enforcement actions.

TEA reserves the right to not award a discretionary grant to a high-risk grantee or to a grantee that is materially non-compliant with the terms and conditions of another award.

Rev. 03/2010

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

#### **SCHEDULE #6 B**

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Years 2010-2013

| n | 3 | 1 | 9 | O | 1 |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
|   |   |   |   |   |   |  |

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

This certification is required by the Department of Education regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, for all lower tier transactions meeting the threshold and tier requirements stated at Section 85.11.

#### Terms defined: As used in these Provisions and Assurances

- "Covered Transaction"— A transaction under Federal non-procurement programs, which can be either a primary covered transaction or a lower tier covered transaction.
- "Lower Tier Covered Transaction"— (1) Any transaction between a participant and a person other than a procurement contract for goods or services, regardless of type, under a primary covered transaction; (2) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person, regardless of type, expected to equal or exceed the Federal procurement small purchase threshold of \$25,000; (3) Any procurement contract for goods or services between a participant and a person under a covered transaction, regardless of amount.
- "Participant" Any person who submits a proposal for, enters into, or reasonably may be expected to enter into a covered transaction, including an agent or representative of another participant.
- "Principal"— An officer, director, owner, partner, principal investigator, or other person within a participant with management or supervisory responsibilities related to a covered transaction; or a consultant or other person, whether or not employed by the participant or paid with Federal funds, who (1) is in a position to handle Federal funds; (2) is in a position to influence or control the use of those funds; or (3) occupies a technical or professional position capable of substantially influencing the development or outcome of an activity required to perform the covered transaction.
- "Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)"— The list maintained and disseminated by the General Services Administration (GSA) containing names and other information about persons who are ineligible.
- "Debarment"— Action taken by a debarring official (Federal agency) to exclude a person (recipient) from participating in covered transactions.
- "Suspension"— An action taken that immediately prohibits a person from participating in covered transactions for a temporary period, pending completion of an agency investigation and any judicial or administrative proceedings that may ensure.
- "Ineligible" generally refers to a person who is either excluded or disqualified.
- "Person"— Any individual, corporation, partnership, association, unit of government or legal entity, however organized, except: foreign governments or foreign governmental entities, public international organizations, foreign government owned (in whole or in part) or controlled entities, and entities consisting wholly or partially of foreign governments or foreign governmental entities.
- "Proposal"—A solicited or unsolicited bid, application, request, invitation to consider or similar communication by or on behalf of a person seeking to participate or to receive a benefit, directly or indirectly, in or under a covered transaction.
- "Voluntarily Excluded"—A status of nonparticipation or limited participation in covered transactions assumed by a person pursuant to the terms of a settlement.
- By signing SAS Schedule #1 and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.
- 2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.
- The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to whom this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.
- 4. The terms "covered transaction", "debarred", "suspended", "ineligible", "lower tier covered transaction", "participant", "person", "primary covered transaction", "principal", "proposal", and "voluntarily excluded", as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.
- 5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion—Lower Tier Covered Transactions

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federal grants regardless of the dollar amount 031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- 6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility, and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transactions, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
- 7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may but is not required to, check the Non-procurement List.
- 8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.
- 9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

#### Certification

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifles, by signature on SAS Schedule #1 and by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

ED 80-0014, 9/90 (Replaces GCS-009 (REV.12/88), which is obsolete)

68 FR 66544, 66611, 66612, 66613, 66614, November 26, 2003

As amended by the Texas Education Agency (04/02)

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant Indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

#### SCHEDULE #6C.

Lobbying Certification

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System School Years 2010-2013

Required for all federally funded grants greater than \$100,000.

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Submission of this certification covers all federal programs in this application, is required by the U. S. Department of Education and Section 1352, Title 31, of the United States Code, and is a prerequisite for making or entering into a subgrant or subcontract over \$100,000 with any organization. (Read instructions for this schedule for further information.)

The applicant certifies by signature on Schedule #1 - General Information, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any federal grant or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this federal grant or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. (See Schedule #6D - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.)
- (3) The applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subgrants, contracts under grants and cooperative agreements, and subcontracts) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact on which the U. S. Department of Education and the Texas Education Agency relied when they made or entered into this grant or contract. Any organization that fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Dept. of Education form #ED 80-0008
As amended by the Texas Education Agency

11/89

03/90

The signing of Schedule #1--General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of all requirements described on this schedule.

#### SCHEDULE #6D -

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

|    | 031901            |  |
|----|-------------------|--|
| οι | inty-District No. |  |

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities for lobbying services procured (pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1352). This disclosure form is required for any federal grant/contract received in excess of \$100,000 and on any subgrant/subcontract made by the grantee/contractor. (Read the instructions for this schedule for further information.)

| Do not sign and submit this disclosu   | ıre form unless lobb | ying ac   | ctivities are  | being disclose     | d.   |                   |  |
|--|----------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| Federal Program:   |                      |   |  |                    |  |                   |  |
| Name:  |                      |   |  |                    |  |                   |  |
| 1. Type of Federal Action  | 2. Status of Federal | Action:   |  | 3. Report Type:    |  |                   |  |
| a. Contract<br>b. Grant  | b. Initia            | d/Offer/Application<br>tial award<br>st-award<br>For Ma                                   |  | For Material Chan  | a. Initlal filing b. Material change  For Material Change Only:  Year:  Quarter: |                   |  |
| 4. Name and Address of Reporting Entity  | /:                   |   |  | y in No. 4 is Suba |  | nter Name         |  |
| Subawardee  Tier (if known):   |                      | and Address of Prime:  Texas Education Agency 1701 N. Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701 |  |                    |  |                   |  |
| Congressional District (if known):   |                      | Congre  | essional Distri  | ct (if known):     | 2  | 1                 |  |
| 6. Federal Department/Agency:  |                      | 7. Federal Program Name/Description:  CFDA Number, if applicable:                         |  |                    |  |                   |  |
| 8. Federal Action Number, if known:  |                      |   | rd Amount, <i>if</i>   |                    |  |                   |  |
| o. rederal Action Number, a known.   |                      | \$. Awar  | a Alliount, #  | Known:             |  |                   |  |
| 10. a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant (If individual, last name, first name, MI):   |                      |   | 10. b. Individuals Performing Services (including address if different from No. 10a; last name, first name, MI): |                    |  |                   |  |
| (Attach Continuation Sheet(s), if necessary)   |                      |   |  |                    |  |                   |  |
|  | [ITEMS 11-15         | REMO  | VED]   |                    |  | _                 |  |
| 16. Information requested through this form i  |                      | S   | ignature:  |                    |  |                   |  |
| U.S.C. Section 1352. This disclosure of lobbying activities is a mat representation of fact upon which reliance was placed by the tier a when this transaction was made or entered into. This disclosure is required pursuant to 31 U.S.C 1352. This information will be report to the Congress semi-annually and will be available for public inspection. Any person who falls to flie the required disclosure should be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more the \$100,000 for each such failure. |                      |   | Name:  |                    |  |                   |  |
|  |                      |   | elephone#  |                    | Date:  |                   |  |
| Federal Use Only:  |                      |   | _  |                    |  | Standard Form LLL |  |

# SCHEDULE #6E NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & School Years 2010-2013 ASSURANCES School Years 2010-2013 County-District No.031901

Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

The following special provisions apply to all programs funded under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, as amended by P. L. 107-110, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. By signing Schedule #1 of this SAS, the applicant is assuring it is in compliance with the following provisions:

- A. Each such program will be administered in accordance with all applicable statutes, regulations, program plans, and applications.
- B. The control of funds provided under each such program and title to property acquired with program funds will be in a public agency or in a nonprofit private agency, institution, organization, or Indian tribe, if the law authorizing the program provides for assistance to such entities.
- C. The public agency, nonprofit private agency, institution, or organization, or Indian tribe will administer such funds and property to the extent required by the authorizing statutes.
- D. The applicant will adopt and use proper methods of administering each such program, including the enforcement of any obligations imposed by law on agencies, institutions, organizations, and other recipients responsible for carrying out each program and the correction of deficiencies in program operations that are identified through audits, monitoring, or evaluation.
- E. The applicant will cooperate in carrying out any evaluation of each such program conducted by or for the Texas Education Agency, the Secretary of Education or other federal officials.
- F. The applicant will use such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures as will ensure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, federal funds paid to such applicant under each such program.
- G. The applicant will submit such reports to the Texas Education Agency (which shall make the reports available to the Governor) and the Secretary of Education, as the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education may require to enable the Texas Education Agency and the Secretary of Education to perform their duties under each such program.
- H. The applicant will maintain such records, provide such information, and afford access to the records as the Agency (after consultation with the Governor) or the Secretary may find necessary to carry out the Agency's or the Secretary's duties.
- Before the application was submitted, the applicant afforded a reasonable opportunity for public comment on the application and has considered such comment.
- J. Gun-Free Schools Act: The local education agency assures that it is in compliance with Section 37.007(e) of the Texas Education Code, which regulres expulsion of a student who brings to school or possesses at school a firearm as defined by 18 U.S.C. Section 2891 [pursuant to the requirements in P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(d)(1)]. In addition, the local educational agency certifies that it has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school [P. L. 107-110, Section 4141(h)(1)].
- K. Student Records Transfer: The local educational agency shall ensure that a student's records and, if applicable, a student's individualized education program as defined in section 602(11) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, are transferred to a charter school upon the transfer of the student to the charter school, and to another public school upon the transfer of the student from a charter school to another public school, in accordance with applicable state law (P. L. 107-110, section 5208).
- L. Consolidation of Administrative Funds: A local educational agency, with the approval of TEA, may consolidate and use for the administration of one or more programs under the No Child Left Behind Act not more than the percentage, established in each program, of the total available for the local educational agency under those programs. A local educational agency that consolidates administrative funds shall not use any other funds under the programs included in the consolidation for administration for that fiscal year. Consolidated administrative funds shall be used for the administration of the programs covered and may be used for coordination of these programs with other federal and non-federal programs and for dissemination of information regarding model programs and practices.
- M. Privacy of Assessment Results: Any results from an individual assessment referred to in the No Child Left Behind Act of a student that become part of the education records of the student shall have the protections provided in section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act [P. L. 107-110, section 9523 and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1975, as amended].

## SCHEDULE #6E - cont. NCLB ACT PROVISIONS &

**ASSURANCES** 

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031901 County-District No.

- N. School Prayer: The local educational agency certifies that it is in compliance with Section 25.901 of the Texas Education Code. In addition, as a condition of receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act, the local educational agency certifies that no policy of the local educational agency prevents, or otherwise denies participation in, constitutionally protected prayer in public elementary schools and secondary schools, as detailed in the guidance provided by the U. S. Secretary of Education pertaining to such. The state educational agency shall report to the Secretary of Education each year a list of those local educational agencies that have not filed this assurance or against which complaints have been made to the State educational agency that the local educational agencies are not in compliance with this requirement (P. L. 107-110, section 9524(b).
- O. Equal Access to Public Schools Facilities Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act: No public elementary school, public secondary school or local educational agency that has a designated open forum or a limited public forum and that receives funds made available from the U.S. Department of Education shall deny equal access or a fair opportunity to meet, or to discriminate against, any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society), that wishes to conduct a meeting within that designated open forum or limited public forum, including denying such access or opportunity or discriminating for reasons based on the membership or leadership criteria or oath of allegiance to God and country of the Boy Scouts of America or of the youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). For the purposes of this section, an elementary school or secondary school has a limited public forum whenever the school involved grants an offering to, or opportunity for, one or more outside youth or community groups to meet on school premises or in school facilities before or after the hours during which attendance at the school is compulsory. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require any school, agency, or a school served by an agency to sponsor any group officially affiliated with the Boy Scouts of America, or any other youth group listed in Title 36 of the United States Code (as a patriotic society). Compliance with this provision will be enforced through rules and orders issued by the Office for Civil Rights. If the public school or agency does not comply with the rules or orders, no funds made available through the Department of Education shall be provided by a school that fails to comply with such rules or orders or to any agency or school served by an agency that fails to comply with such rules or orders (P. L. 107-110, section 9525).
- P. General Prohibitions: None of the funds authorized under the No Child Left Behind Act shall be used to develop or distribute materials, or operate programs or courses of instruction directed at youth, that are designed to promote or encourage sexual activity, whether homosexual or heterosexual; to distribute or to aid in the distribution by any organization of legally obscene materials to minors on school grounds; to provide sex education or HIV-prevention education in schools that instruction is age appropriate and includes the health benefits of abstinence; or to operate a program of contraceptive distribution in schools (P. L. 107-110, section 9526).
- Q. Armed Forces Recruiter Access to Students and Student Recruiting Information: In accordance with guidance issued by the U. S. Department of Education, each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide, on a request made by military recruiters or an institution of higher education, access to secondary school students names, address, and telephone listings, upon prior written consent of a student or the parent of a student. A secondary school student or the parent of the student may request that the student's name, address, and telephone listing not be released without prior written parental consent, and the local educational or private nonprofit school shall notify parents of the option to make a request and shall comply with any request. Each local educational agency receiving assistance under the No Child Left Behind Act shall provide military recruiters the same access to secondary school students as is provided generally to post secondary educational institutions or to prospective employers of those students (P. L. 107-110, section 9528).
- R. Unsafe School Choice Option: The local educational agency certifies that it shall establish and implement a policy requiring that a student attending a persistently dangerous public elementary school or secondary school, as determined by the Texas Education Agency, or who becomes a victim of a violent criminal offense, while in or on the grounds of a public elementary or secondary school that the student attends, be allowed to attend a safe public elementary or secondary school within the local educational agency, including a public charter school (P. L. 107-110, section 9532).
- S. Civil Rights: Nothing in the No Child Left Behind Act shall be construed to permit discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (except as otherwise permitted under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972), national origin, or disability in any program funded under the No Child Left Behind Act (P. L. 107-110, section 9534).

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

|--|

County-District No.

- T. Student Privacy, Parental Access to Information, and Administration of Certain Physical Examinations to Minors: The local educational agency assures that it is in compliance with Chapter 26 of the Texas Education Code concerning parental rights and responsibilities. In addition, the local educational agency receiving funds under the No Child Left Behind Act certifies that it shall develop and adopt policies, in consultation with parents, regarding certain rights of a parent to access and inspect information; student privacy; the administration of physical examinations or screenings (except for examinations or screenings required by state law); and the collection, disclosure, or use of personal information collected from students for the purpose of marketing or selling that information. The local educational agency also assures that it is in compliance with the requirements for annually notifying parents of such policies and specific events (P. L. 107-110, Title X, Part F, section 1061).
- U. Assurances related to the education of homeless children and youths:
  - (1) The LEA assures that each child of a homeless individual and each homeless youth shall have equal access to the same free, appropriate public education, including a public preschool education, as provided to other children and youth.
  - (2) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth are afforded the same free, appropriate public education as provided to other children and youth.
  - (3) The LEA assures that it will review and undertake steps to revise any laws, regulations, practices, or policies that may act as a barrier to the enrollment, attendance, or success in school of homeless children and youth.
  - (4) The LEA assures that it will not separate students from the mainstream school environment on the basis of homelessness alone.
  - (5) The LEA assures that homeless children and youth have access to the education and other services that they need to in order to meet the same challenging State student academic achievement standards to which all students are held.
- V. **Definitions**: The following terms shall be defined as follows for programs authorized and carried out under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:
  - 1. **Charter School:** An open enrollment charter school receiving federal funds of any type must meet the federal definition of a charter school as provided in P. L. 107-110, Section 5210(1). The term "charter school" means a school that:
    - (A) is created by a developer as a public school, or is adapted by a developer from an existing public school, and is operated under public supervision and control;
    - (B) operates in pursuit of a specific set of educational objectives determined by the school's developer and agreed to by the authorized public chartering agency [i.e., the State Board of Education (SBOE)];
    - (C) provides a program of elementary or secondary education, or both;
    - (D) is nonsectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and is not affiliated with a sectarian school or religious instruction;
    - (E) does not charge tuition;
    - (F) complies with the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act;
    - (G) Is a school to which parents choose to send their children, and that admits students on the basis of a lottery, if more students apply for admission than can be accommodated;
    - (H) agrees to comply with the same Federal and State audit requirements as do other elementary schools and secondary schools in the State, unless such requirements are specifically walved for the purpose of this program;
    - (I) meets all applicable Federal, State, and local health and safety requirements;
    - (J) operates in accordance with State law; and
    - (K) has a written performance contract with the authorized public chartering agency in the State (i.e., SBOE) that includes a description of how student performance will be measured pursuant to State assessments that are required of other schools and pursuant to any other assessments mutually agreeable to the SBOE.
  - Community-Based Organization: A public or private nonprofit organization of demonstrated effectiveness that is
    representative of a community or significant segment of a community and that provides educational or related services
    to individuals in the community.
  - 3. **Core Academic Subjects**: English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, art, history, and geography.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

| 0.3 | ٠. | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | -4 |
|-----|----|--------|--------|----|
|     |    |        |        |    |
|     |    |        |        |    |

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

#### 4. Highly Qualifled:

- (A) when used with respect to any public elementary school or secondary school teacher teaching in a State, means that-
  - (i) the teacher has obtained full State certification as a teacher (including certification obtained through alternative routes to certification) or passed the State teacher licensing examination, and holds a license to teach in such State, except that when used with respect to any teacher teaching in a public charter school, the term means that the teacher meets the requirements set forth in the State's public charter school law; and
  - (ii) the teacher has not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis;
- (B) when used with respect to-
  - (i) an elementary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher-
    - (I) holds at least a bachelor's degree; and
    - (II) has demonstrated, by passing a rigorous State test, subject knowledge and teaching skills in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum (which may consist of passing a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in reading, writing, mathematics, and other areas of the basic elementary school curriculum); or
  - (ii) a middle or secondary school teacher who is new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and has demonstrated a high level of competency in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches by-
    - passing a rigorous State academic subject test in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches (which may consist of a passing level of performance on a State-required certification or licensing test or tests in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches); or
    - (II) successful completion, in each of the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches, of an academic major, a graduate degree, coursework equivalent to an undergraduate academic major, or advanced certification or credentialing; and
- (C) when used with respect to an elementary, middle, or secondary school teacher who is not new to the profession, means that the teacher holds at least a bachelor's degree and—
  - (i) has met the applicable standard in clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (B), which includes an option for a test; or
  - (ii) demonstrates competence in all the academic subjects in which the teacher teaches based on a high objective uniform State standard of evaluation that—
    - (I) is set by the State for both grade appropriate academic subject matter knowledge and teaching skills;
    - (II) is aligned with challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards and developed in consultation with core content specialists, teachers, principals, and school administrators;
    - (III) provides objective, coherent information about the teacher's attainment of core content knowledge in the academic subjects in which a teacher teaches:
    - (IV) is applied uniformly to all teachers in the same academic subject and the same grade level throughout the State;
    - takes into consideration, but not be based primarily on, the time the teacher has been teaching in the academic subject;
    - (VI) Is made available to the public upon request; and
    - (VII) may involve multiple, objective measures of teacher competency.
- 5. Parental Involvement: The participation of parents in regular, two-way and meaningful communication involving student academic learning and other school activities, including ensuring:
  - (A) that parents play an integral role in assisting their child's learning;
  - (B) that parents are encouraged to be actively involved in their child's education at school;
  - (C) that parents are full partners in their child's education and are included, as appropriate, in decision making and on advisory committees to assist in the education of their child; and
  - (D) the carrying out of other activities, such as those described in section 1118 of P. L. 107-110.

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

#### 6. Professional Development includes activities that:

- (A) improve and increase teachers' knowledge of the academic subjects the teachers teach, and enable teachers to become highly qualified;
- (B) are an integral part of broad schoolwide and districtwide educational improvement plans;
- (C) give teachers, principals, and administrators the knowledge and skills to provide the students with the opportunity to meet challenging State academic content standards and student academic achievement standards;
- (D) improve classroom management skills;
- (E) are high quality, sustained, intensive, and classroom-focused in order to have a positive and lasting impact on classroom instruction and the teacher's performance in the classroom and are not one-day or short-term workshops or conferences;
- (F) support the recruiting, hiring, and training of highly qualified teachers, including teachers who became highly qualified through State and local alternative routes to certification;
- (G) advance teacher understanding of effective instructional strategies that are:
- (H) based on scientifically based research (except for programs under Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education Through Technology of this Act); and
- strategies for improving student academic achievement or substantially increasing the knowledge and teaching skills of teachers; and
- (J) are aligned with and directly related to State academic content standards, student academic achievement standards, and assessments and the curricula and programs tied to the standards;
- (K) are developed with extensive participation of teachers, principals, parents, and administrators of schools to be served under this Act;
- (L) are designed to give teachers of limited English proficient children, and other teachers and instructional staff, the knowledge and skills to provide instruction and appropriate language and academic support services to those children, including the appropriate use of curricula an assessments;
- (M) to the extent appropriate, provide training for teachers and principals in the use of technology so that technology and technology applications are effectively used in the classroom to improve teaching and learning in the curricula and core academic subjects in which the teachers teach;
- (N) as a whole, are regularly evaluated for their impact on increased teacher effectiveness and improved student academic achievement with the findings of the evaluations used to improve the quality of professional development;
- (O) provide instruction in methods of teaching children with special needs;
- (P) Include instruction in the use of data and assessments to inform and instruct classroom practice;
- (Q) include instruction in ways that teachers, principals, pupil services personnel, and school administrators may work
  more effectively with parents; and
- (R) may include activities that:
  - (i) involve the forming of partnerships with institutions of higher education to establish school-based teacher training programs that provide prospective teachers and beginning teachers with an opportunity to work under the guidance of experienced teachers and college faculty;
  - (ii) create programs to enable paraprofessionals (assisting teachers employed by an LEA receiving assistance under Title I Part A) to obtain the education necessary for those paraprofessionals to become certified and licensed teachers; and
  - (iii) provide follow-up training to teachers who have participated in activities described previously in this definition that are designed to ensure that the knowledge and skills learned by the teachers are implemented in the classroom.

#### 7. Scientifically Based Research:

- (A) means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic, and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs; and
- (B) includes research that:
  - (i) employs systematic, empirical methods that draw on observation or experiment;
  - (ii) involves rigorous data analyses that are adequate to test the stated hypotheses and justify the general conclusions drawn;
  - (iii) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;

NCLB ACT PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Years 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- (iv) relies on measurements or observational methods that provide reliable and valid data across evaluators and observers, across multiple measurements and observations, and across studies by the same or different investigators;
- (v) is evaluated using experimental or quasi-experimental designs in which individuals, entities, programs, or activities are assigned to different conditions and with appropriate controls to evaluate the effects of the condition of interest, with a preference for random-assignment experiments, or other designs to the extent that those designs contain within-condition or across-condition controls;
- (vi) ensures that experimental studies are presented in sufficient detail and clarity to allow for replication or, at a minimum, offer the opportunity to build systematically on their findings; and
- (vii)has been accepted by a peer-reviewed journal or approved by a panel of independent experts through a comparably rigorous, objective, and scientific review.
- 8. Teacher Mentoring: Activities that-
  - (A) consist of structured guidance and regular and ongoing support for teachers, especially beginning teachers, that-
    - (i) are designed to help the teachers continue to improve their practice of teaching and to develop their instructional skills; and part of an ongoing developmental induction process that—
      - (I) Involves the assistance of an exemplary teacher and other appropriate individuals from a school, local educational agency, or institution of higher education; and
      - (II) may include coaching, classroom observation, team teaching, and reduced teaching loads; and
      - (III) may include the establishment of a partnership by a local educational agency with an institution of higher education.
- 9. Technology: State-of-the-art technology products and services.
- W. ESEA Performance Goals, Indicators, and Performance Reporting: The LEA assures it has adopted the five performance goals and the related performance indicators established by the U. S. Department of Education and as submitted in the Texas Consolidated State Application for Funds Under the No Child Left Behind Act. The LEA also assures that it will develop and implement procedures for collecting data related to the performance indicators where such data is not already collected through the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) or PEIMS and that it will report such data to the Agency in the time and manner requested.
- X. Transfer of School Disciplinary Records: The LEA assures it has a procedure in place to transfer disciplinary records, with respect to a suspension or expulsion, to any private or public elementary school or secondary school for any student who is enrolled or seeks, intends, or is instructed to enroll, on a full- or part-time basis, in the school. This requirement shall not apply to any disciplinary records with respect to a suspension or expulsion that are transferred from a private, parochial or other nonpublic school, person, institution, or other entity, that provides education below the college level (P. L. 107-110, section 4155).

Revised 03/03

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

|   | _   |   |        | _  |   |
|---|-----|---|--------|----|---|
| _ | )3  | 4 | $\sim$ | ◠  | 4 |
|   | 1 - |   | ч      | ., |   |
|   |     |   |        |    |   |

County-District No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

#### Federal Statutory Requirements

- The LEA must demonstrate that the LEA has analyzed the needs of each school and selected an intervention for each school.
- 2) The LEA must demonstrate that it has the capacity to use these grant funds to provide adequate resources and related support to each Tier campus identified in the LEA's application in order to implement, fully and effectively, the required activities of the school intervention model it has selected.
- 3) If the LEA is not applying to serve each Tier I school (through a separate application for each campus), the LEA must explain why it lacks capacity to serve each Tier I school.
- 4) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to design and implement interventions consistent with the final federal requirements, including the services the campus will receive or the activities the campus will implement.
- The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to recruit, screen, and select external providers, if applicable, to ensure their quality.
- 6) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to align other resources with the interventions.
- 7) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to modify its practices or policies, if necessary, to enable its schools to implement the interventions fully and effectively.
- 8) The LEA must describe actions it has taken, or will take, to sustain the reforms after the funding period ends.
- The LEA must include a timeline delineating the steps it will take to implement the selected intervention in each campus.
- 10) The LEA must describe the annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics that it has established in order to monitor its Tier I and Tier II schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 11) As appropriate, the LEA must consult with relevant stakeholders regarding the LEA's application and implementation of school improvement models on its campus.
- 12) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- 13) Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal requirements.
- 14) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive grant funds.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization (CMO), or education management organization (EMO) accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 16) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 17) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
  - Replace the principal and grant the principal sufficient operational flexibility (including in staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach in order to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates;
  - b. Using locally adopted competencies to measure the effectiveness of staff who can work within the turnaround environment to meet the needs of students;
    - 1. Screen all existing staff and rehire no more than 50 percent; and
    - 2. Select new staff.
  - Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in the turnaround school;
  - d. Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure that they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies;
  - e. Adopt a new governance structure, which may include, but is not limited to, requiring the school to report to a

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

new "turnaround office" in the LEA or SEA, hire a "turnaround leader" who reports directly to the Superintendent or Chief Academic Officer, or enter into a multi-year contract with the LEA or SEA to obtain added flexibility in exchange for greater accountability;

- Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards;
- g. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students;
- h. Establish schedules and implement strategies that provide increased learning time (as defined in this notice); and
- i. Provide appropriate social-emotional and community-oriented services and supports for students.
- 18) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>turnaround model</u>, the campus <u>may</u> implement the following federal requirements.
  - 1. Any of the required and permissible activities under the transformation model; or
  - 2. A new school model (e.g., themed, dual language academy).
- 19) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the school <u>closure model</u>, the campus <u>must</u> implement the following requirement.
  - a. Enroll the students who attended that school in other schools in the LEA that are higher achieving within reasonable proximity to the closed school and may include, but are not limited to, charter schools or new schools for which achievement data are not yet available.
  - A grant for school closure is a one-year grant without the possibility of continued funding.
- 20) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>restart model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
  - a. Convert or close and reopen the school under a charter school operator, a charter management organization (CMO), or an education management organization (EMO) that has been selected through a rigorous review process. A CMO is a non-profit organization that operates or manages charter schools by centralizing or sharing certain functions and resources among schools. An EMO is a for-profit or non-profit organization that provides "whole-school operation" services to an LEA.
  - b. Enroll, within the grades it serves, any former student who wishes to attend the school.
- 21) If the LEA/campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus **must** implement the following federal requirements.
  - 1. Develop and increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
    - (A) Replace the principal who led the school prior to commencement of the transformation model;
    - (B) Use rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals that--
      - (1) Take into account data on student growth as a significant factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
      - (2) Are designed and developed with teacher and principal involvement:
    - (C) Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so;
    - (D) Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
    - (E) Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school.
  - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
    - (A) Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
    - (B) Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

individual students.

- 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
  - (A) Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
  - (B) Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
- 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
  - (A) Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
  - (B) Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
- 22) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as--
  - Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school;
  - (B) Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
  - (C) Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's seniority.
- 23) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
  - (A) Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
  - (B) Implement a schoolwide "response-to-intervention" model;
  - (C) Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
  - (D) Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
  - (E) In secondary schools--
    - (1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as Advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), earlycollege high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
    - (2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
    - (3) Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, reengagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
    - (4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of falling to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 24) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant

- (A) Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
- (B) Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
- (C) Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate builying and student harassment; or
- (D) Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 25) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and Intensive support, such as-
  - (A) Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
  - (B) Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.

#### Statutory Program Assurances

- 1) Applicant provides assurance that financial assistance provided under the grant program will supplement, and not supplant, the amount of state and local funds allocated to the campus.
- Applicant provides assurance that it will use its School Improvement Grant to implement fully and effectively an
  intervention in each Tier I and Tier II school that the LEA commits to serve consistent with the final federal
  requirements.
- 3) Applicant provides assurance that it will establish annual goals for student achievement on the State's assessments in both reading/language arts and mathematics and measure progress on the leading indicators in section III of the final federal requirements in order to monitor each Tier I and Tier II school that it serves with school improvement funds, and establish goals (approved by the TEA) to hold accountable its Tier III schools that receive school improvement funds.
- 4) Applicant provides assurance that it will, if it implements a restart model in a Tier I or Tier II school, include in its contract or agreement terms and provisions to hold the charter operator, charter management organization, or education management organization accountable for complying with the final federal requirements.
- 5) Applicant provides assurance that it will report to the TEA the school-level data required under section III of the final federal requirements.
- 6) Applicant provides assurance that it will participate in any evaluation of the grant conducted by the U.S. Department of Education, including its contractors, or the Texas Education Agency, including its contractors.

#### **TEA Program Assurances**

- Before full implementation funds are made available, the grantee must demonstrate that all early implementation activities have been completed. Successful completion of the early implementation will be measured in the <u>Ouarterly Implementation Reports</u>, the <u>Model Selection and Description Report</u>, and through participation in TEA technical assistance. Copies of the above named reports can be found on the TTIPS website at the following link: <a href="http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu\_id=798">http://www.tea.state.tx.us/index4.aspx?id=7354&menu\_id=798</a>
  - a. The Model Selection and Description Report must be submitted to TEA no later than <u>February 1, 2011</u>. This report may be submitted at any time prior to the deadline. Grantees must demonstrate successful completion of the following activities:
    - i. Comprehensive Needs Assessment process.
    - ii. Establish the grant budget by the required categories.
    - iii. Identification and Selection of the intervention model.
    - iv. Development of activities to implement selected intervention model.
    - v. Development of Timeline of Grant Activities.
- 2) The applicant provides assurance that the LEA will designate an individual or office with primary responsibilities for supporting the LEA/campus' school improvement efforts. This individual/office will have primary responsibility and authority for ensuring the effective implementation of the grant option approved by TEA; serve as the district liaison to TEA and those providing technical assistance and/or contracted service to the LEA/campus as part of the approved grant.
- 3) The applicant provides assurance that a team from the grantee LEA/campus will attend and participate in grant orientation meetings, technical assistance meetings, other periodic meetings of grantees, the Texas School Improvement Conference, and sharing of best practices.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

**Standard Application System** 

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

- 4) For LEAs selecting the TEA Designed Model, the applicant must participate in and make use of technical assistance and coaching support provided by TEA, SIRC, and/or its subcontractors.
- 5) The applicant will establish or provide evidence of a system of formative assessment aligned to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills which provides robust, targeted data to evaluate the effectiveness of the LEA's curriculum and its alignment with instruction occurring on the campus; assesses progress on student groups' academic achievement at the campus level; and guide instructional decisions by teachers for individual students.
- The applicant will participate in a formative assessment of the LEA's capacity and commitment to carry out the grant intervention models.
- 7) The applicant will provide access for onsite visits to the LEA and campus by TEA, SIRC and its contractors.
- 8) The applicant, if selecting the Restart Model, agrees to contract only with CMO or EMO providers on the State's approved list of CMO and EMO providers.
- 9) The applicant, if selecting the Turnaround Model or Transformation Model (Tiers I and Tiers II only) agrees to the participation of the campus principal or principal candidates in a formative assessment of their turnaround leadership capacity.
- 10) If the LEA/Tier III campus selects to implement the <u>transformation model</u>, the campus assures that it will it implement the following federal requirements.
  - 1. Develop and Increase teacher and school leader effectiveness.
    - A. Evaluate the effectiveness of the current principal and use the results of the evaluation to determine whether the principal should be replaced, be retained on the campus, or be provided leadership coaching or training.
    - B.Identify and reward school leaders, teachers, and other staff who, in implementing this model, have increased student achievement and high school graduation rates and identify and remove those who, after ample opportunities have been provided for them to improve their professional practice, have not done so:
    - C.Provide staff ongoing, high-quality, job-embedded professional development (e.g., regarding subject-specific pedagogy, instruction that reflects a deeper understanding of the community served by the school, or differentiated instruction) that is aligned with the school's comprehensive instructional program and designed with school staff to ensure they are equipped to facilitate effective teaching and learning and have the capacity to successfully implement school reform strategies; and
    - D.Implement such strategies as financial incentives, increased opportunities for promotion and career growth, and more flexible work conditions that are designed to recruit, place, and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school based on rigorous, transparent, and equitable evaluation systems for teachers and principals:
      - 1. Takes into account data on student growth as a a factor as well as other factors such as multiple observation-based assessments of student performance and ongoing collections of professional practice reflective of student achievement and increased high school graduation rates; and
      - 2. Are designed and developed and with teacher and principal involvement
  - 2. Comprehensive instructional reform strategies.
    - A. Use data to identify and implement an instructional program that is research-based and vertically aligned from one grade to the next as well as aligned with State academic standards; and
    - B. Promote the continuous use of student data (such as from formative, Interim, and summative assessments) to inform and differentiate instruction in order to meet the academic needs of individual students.
  - 3. Increasing learning time and creating community-oriented schools.
    - A. Establish schedules and strategies that provide increased learning time; and
    - B. Provide ongoing mechanisms for family and community engagement.
  - 4. Providing operational flexibility and sustained support.
    - A. Give the school sufficient operational flexibility (such as staffing, calendars/time, and budgeting) to implement fully a comprehensive approach to substantially improve student achievement outcomes and increase high school graduation rates; and
    - B. Ensure that the school receives ongoing, intensive technical assistance and related support from the LEA, the SEA, or a designated external lead partner organization (such as a school turnaround organization or an EMO).
  - 11) An LEA may also implement other strategies to develop teachers' and school leaders' effectiveness, such as--
    - A. Provide additional compensation to attract and retain staff with the skills necessary to meet the needs of the students in a transformation school:
    - B. Institute a system for measuring changes in instructional practices resulting from professional development; or
    - C. Ensure that the school is not required to accept a teacher without the mutual consent of the teacher and principal, regardless of the teacher's senjority.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

- 12) An LEA may also implement comprehensive instructional reform strategies, such as-
  - Conduct periodic reviews to ensure that the curriculum is being implemented with fidelity, is having the intended impact on student achievement, and is modified if ineffective;
  - B. Implement a school wide "response-to-Intervention" model;
  - C. Provide additional supports and professional development to teachers and principals in order to implement effective strategies to support students with disabilities in the least restrictive environment and to ensure that limited English proficient students acquire language skills to master academic content;
  - D. Use and integrate technology-based supports and interventions as part of the instructional program; and
  - In secondary schools--
    - 1) Increase rigor by offering opportunities for students to enroll in advanced coursework (such as advanced Placement; International Baccalaureate; or science, technology, engineering, and mathematics courses, especially those that incorporate rigorous and relevant project-, inquiry-, or design-based contextual learning opportunities), early-college high schools, dual enrollment programs, or thematic learning academies that prepare students for college and careers, including by providing appropriate supports designed to ensure that low-achieving students can take advantage of these programs and coursework;
    - 2) Improve student transition from middle to high school through summer transition programs or freshman academies;
    - Increase graduation rates through, for example, credit-recovery programs, re-engagement strategies, smaller learning communities, competency-based instruction and performance-based assessments, and acceleration of basic reading and mathematics skills; or
    - 4) Establish early-warning systems to identify students who may be at risk of failing to achieve to high standards or graduate.
- 13) An LEA may also implement other strategies that extend learning time and create community-oriented schools, such as--
  - A. Partner with parents and parent organizations, faith- and community-based organizations, health clinics, other State or local agencies, and others to create safe school environments that meet students' social, emotional, and health needs;
  - Extend or restructure the school day so as to add time for such strategies as advisory periods that build relationships between students, faculty, and other school staff;
  - C. Implement approaches to improve school climate and discipline, such as implementing a system of positive behavioral supports or taking steps to eliminate bullying and student harassment; or
  - D. Expand the school program to offer full-day kindergarten or pre-kindergarten.
- 14) The LEA may also implement other strategies for providing operational flexibility and intensive support, such as—
  - A. Allow the school to be run under a new governance arrangement, such as a turnaround division within the LEA or SEA; or
  - B. Implement a per-pupil school-based budget formula that is weighted based on student needs.
- 15) The LEA/campus assures TEA that data to meet the following federal requirements will be available and reported as requested.
  - a. Number of minutes within the school year.
  - b. Average scale scores on State assessments in reading/language arts and in mathematics, by grade, for the "all students" group, for each achievement quartile, and for each subgroup.
  - c. Number and percentage of students completing advanced coursework (e.g., AP/IB), early-college high schools, or dual enrollment classes. (High Schools Only)
  - d. College enrollment rates. (High Schools Only)
  - e. Teacher Attendance Rate
  - f. Student Completion Rate
  - g. Student Drop-Out Rate
  - h. Locally developed competencies created to identify teacher strengths/weaknesses
  - Types of support offered to teachers
  - j. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for teachers
  - k. Types of on-going, job-embedded professional development for administrators
  - I. Strategies to increase parent/community involvement
  - m. Strategies which increase student learning time

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

By submitting the application for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds (P.L. 111-5), the applicant agrees to comply with the following provisions and assurances for all programs authorized in Division A of the ARRA, Title I School Improvement Grant (SIG). The applicant understands that failure to comply with one or more of these provisions and assurances may result in the Texas Education Agency (TEA) taking one or more enforcement actions authorized in Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §§ 74.62 and 80.43.

In addition to the standard terms of award, all funding provided under the Recovery Act will be subject to the provisions, assurances and conditions for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA or Recovery Act).

#### Terms defined:

RECIPIENT – The term "recipient" means a State and includes the Texas Education Agency (TEA). It also includes any entity that applies for and receives a grant directly from the federal government.

RECOVERY FUNDS —The term "recovery funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations made under the Recovery Act.

RECOVERY ACT - the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009 (P.L. 111-5)

STIMULUS FUNDS – The term "stimulus funds" means any funds that are made available from appropriations under the Recovery Act; the term may be used interchangeably with "recovery funds."

GRANTEE - the subrecipient of TEA and applicant of funds.

- **A. One-Time Funding:** Unless otherwise specified, ARRA funding is considered one-time funding that is expected to be temporary. Grantees should expend funds in ways that do not result in unsustainable continuing commitments after the funding expires. Grantees must move rapidly, while using prudent grant management practices, to develop plans for using funds, consistent with the ARRA's reporting and accountability requirements, and promptly begin spending funds to help drive the nation's economic recovery. All ARRA funds must be separately accounted for and tracked in their obligation, expenditure, and reporting.
- **B. Period of Availability and Encumbrances/Obligations:** Unless otherwise specified in the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA), all funds are effective from the beginning date specified on the Notice of Grant Award (NOGA) through June 30, 2013. Carryover of funds will not be available beyond that date. Unobligated/unexpended funds will be returned to the Department of Treasury. The paragraph in the General Provisions pertaining to Encumbrances and Obligations applies as follows:

All encumbrances shall occur on or between the beginning and ending dates of the contract. All goods must be received and services rendered and subsequently liquidated (recorded as an expenditure or accounts payable) within the contract dates. In no manner shall encumbrances be considered or reflected as accounts payable or as expenditures. Obligations that are liquidated and recognized as expenditures must meet the allowable cost principles in OMB Circular A-87, A-21, or A-122 (as applicable) and program rules, regulations, and guidelines contained elsewhere. When an obligation is made is defined in 34 CFR 76.707.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

#### **Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant**

- C. Compliance with Other Provisions and Assurances: All provisions and assurances stated in the General Provisions, Certification Regarding Debarment and Suspension, Lobbying Certification and Disclosure of Lobbying, No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB) Special Provisions and Assurances, and all other program-specific provisions and assurances apply unless they conflict or are superseded by the following terms and conditions implementing the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) requirements below. This includes compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; and all other nondiscrimination provisions. It also includes Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (prohibiting race, color, national origin, religion, and sex discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act (prohibiting disability discrimination in employment and in services provided by entities receiving federal funds); as well as any other applicable civil rights laws. By submitting this application, the applicant agrees to comply with all such provisions and assurances.
- **D. Compliance with Other Program Statutes:** The applicant agrees to comply with the authorizing program statutes, regulations, non-regulatory guidelines, and other guidance in the implementation of the programs receiving funding under ARRA. This includes compliance with comparability; supplement, not supplant; maintenance of effort (MOE); equitable participation for private nonprofit school students and teachers; and all other program-specific provisions and requirements. All such are hereby incorporated by reference.
- **E. DUNS Number:** All entities receiving any federal funds, including ARRA funds, are required to have a DUNS (Dunn & Bradstreet) number. The DUNS number serves as the grantee organization's unique identifier for reporting federal funds received and expended. TEA must use this same DUNS number to report grant awards and expenditures for subrecipients under ARRA to the website specifically provided for in ARRA <a href="http://www.FederalReporting.gov/">http://www.FederalReporting.gov/</a>. The assignment of a DUNS number is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds. (Section 1512[c][4] of ARRA and the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to comply with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [FFATA], P.L. 109-282. OMB adopted the DUNS number as the "unique identifier" required for reporting under FFATA).
- **F. Central Contractor Registration (CCR):** All grantees receiving ARRA funds, as well as any other federal funds, are required to register and maintain current registration with the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database at <a href="http://www.ccr.gov">http://www.ccr.gov</a>. Registration in CCR is a condition of award of ARRA funds and must be validated by TEA prior to issuing a NOGA for ARRA funds.
- **G. ARRA Reporting Requirements:** The federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has issued guidance related to reporting the use of ARRA funds to the various websites. The definition of terms and data elements, as well as any specific instructions for reporting, including required formats, are provided in separate guidance issued by the TEA.

The Texas Education Agency as a direct recipient of funds is required to report certain information at certain intervals throughout the grant period as required in Section 1512 of ARRA. In order to meet the reporting requirements, grantees will be required to provide certain information to TEA. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to provide information in the form, time, and manner requested so that TEA can meet its reporting requirements and deadlines.

1. **Separate Tracking and Monitoring of ARRA Funds**: ARRA funds must be separately tracked and monitored independently of any non-Recovery Act funding. Grantees must submit certain information to TEA in order for TEA to comply with quarterly reporting requirements established in Section 1512 of the Recovery Act. Recovery Act-related reporting requirements are incorporated as a special condition of this award.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- 3. Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: Not later than 10 calendar days after the end of each calendar quarter, TEA and any other direct recipient of ARRA funds must report to the U.S. Department of Education with regard to recovery funds received in accordance with number 4 below (Section 1512[c]). (A "direct recipient" is a state agency or any entity that applies for and receives funds directly from a federal government agency.) TEA must obtain certain information from grantees in order to comply with this reporting requirement. By submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested.
- 4. Data Elements for Quarterly Reporting for ARRA: In accordance with Section 1512(c) of ARRA and the <u>Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Public Law 109-282)</u>, TEA is required to provide quarterly reports to the U.S. Department of Education or through a central government-wide portal (<a href="http://www.FederalReporting.gov">http://www.FederalReporting.gov</a>). The information adopted by OMB contains the following data elements: submitting this application, the grantee agrees to submit the information to TEA in the time, form, and manner requested. The information may change pending final adoption by OMB.

#### For each grant that equals or exceeds \$25,000 in total grant award amount:

- a. The grantee organization's DUNS number (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees)
- b. The grant award number (i.e., NOGA ID number) assigned by TEA (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The legal name of the grantee organization (as registered in the Central Contractor Registration (CCR), if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same legal name on file with TEA)
- d. The physical location (street address) (as listed in the CCR, if registered) (TEA will have this information on file provided it is the same street address on file with TEA)
- e. The (federal) Congressional district number
- f. The grantee organization type (i.e., independent school district, nonprofit organization, etc) (TEA will have this information on file)
- g. The total amount of the grant award (TEA will have this information on file)
- h. The total amount paid to the grantee as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)
- The physical location (street address) of the primary place of performance of the grant (TEA will have this
  information on file provided it is the same address on file with TEA.)
- j. An evaluation (i.e., status report) of the completion status of the project or activity (for example, Not Started; Less than 50% Completed; Completed 50% or More; Fully Completed) (It is not clear at this time whether this information will need to be collected from the grantees. TEA will notify the grantee in the event status information needs to be collected.)
- k. An estimate of the number of jobs created and the number of jobs retained by the project or activity and a brief description of the types of those jobs (i.e., job titles) (TEA will need to collect this information from grantees.)
- I. The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the grantee organization if the organization in its preceding year received 80% or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards and \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards and the public does not have access to information about the compensation of senior executives. (It is not known at this time whether this data element will be required once the data elements are finalized by OMB. If it is required in the final data elements, TEA will need to collect this information from the grantees.)

For grants that equal less than \$25,000 in total grant award amount or for grantees that in the previous tax year had gross income under \$300,000, amounts will be reported in the aggregate according to the following:

- a. The total number of grants awarded less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information file)
- b. The total award (aggregate) amount for all grants less than \$25,000 (TEA will have this information on file)
- c. The total (aggregate) amount paid to grantees for all grants less than \$25,000 as of date of report (TEA will have this information on file)

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

5. Posting the Information on Public Website: Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter, each federal agency, including the U.S. Department of Education, shall make the information in those reports publicly available by posting the information on the designated public website (Section 1512[d]). Grantees will not be required to post information on the public website unless they apply for and receive other ARRA grants directly from a federal government agency.

Once OMB adopts the final data reporting elements and TEA receives specific instructions for reporting, TEA will provide subsequent guidance to grantees related to required reporting information.

- H. Electronic Drawdown of ARRA Funds from TEA and Use of FAR Fund Codes: Recipients will draw down ARRA funds on an award-specific basis. Pooling of ARRA award funds with other funds for drawdown or other purposes is not permitted. Recipients must account for each ARRA award separately by referencing the assigned FAR (Financial Accounting and Resource) fund code for each award.
- I. Availability of Records: The applicant agrees to make all financial and programmatic records available in detail for inspection by TEA auditors, local independent auditors, and the offices described below.
- 1. **Examination of Records:** The Comptroller General of the United States and any of its employees, contractors, agents, representatives, or designees, may examine any records related to obligations and use by any state or local government of funds made available under ARRA. (Section 901[b]).
- 2. Access of Government Accountability Office (GAO): Each contract and each subcontract awarded using funds made available under ARRA shall provide that the Comptroller General of the United States and his representatives are authorized to examine any records of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that directly pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract or subcontract and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor or any of its subcontractors, or of any State or local government agency administering the contract, regarding such transactions (Section 902).
- 3. Reviews by Inspector General: The inspector general of the U.S. Department of Education shall review, as appropriate, any concerns raised by the public about specific investments using funds made available in this Act. Any findings of such reviews shall be relayed immediately to the Secretary of Education. In addition, the findings of such reviews, along with any audits conducted by any inspector general of ARRA funds, shall be posted on the inspector general's website and linked to the recovery.gov website, except that portions of reports may be redacted to the extent the portions would disclose information that is protected from public disclosure under sections 552 and 552a of Title 5, United States Code (Section 1514).
- 4. Access of Offices of Inspector General to Certain Records and Employees: With respect to each contract or grant awarded using ARRA funds, any representative of an Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Education or other appropriate federal agency is authorized to examine any records of the contractor or grantee, any of its subcontractors or subgrantees, or any State or local agency administering such contract, that pertain to, and involve transactions relating to, the contract, subcontract, grant, or subgrant, and to interview any officer or employee of the contractor, grantee, subgrantee, or agency regarding such transactions (Section 1515).
- 5. Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board: Section 1521 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board to coordinate and conduct oversight of ARRA funds to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse. The Board shall submit "flash reports" on potential management and funding problems that require immediate attention; quarterly reports; and annual reports to the President and Congress, including the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives, summarizing the findings on the use of ARRA funds. The Board may conduct its own independent audits and reviews of ARRA funds. All reports shall be made publicly available on the <a href="www.recovery.gov">www.recovery.gov</a> website established by the Board. See paragraphs J and K below with regard to reports of suspected fraud or abuse (Sections 1523 and 1524).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY**

#### Standard Application System

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

- 6. **Recovery Independent Advisory Panel**: Section 1541 of the ARRA establishes the Recovery Independent Advisory Panel to make recommendations to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board on action the Board could take to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse relating to ARRA funds.
- J. Disclosure of Fraud or Misconduct: Each grantee awarded funds made available under the ARRA shall promptly refer to the USDE Office of Inspector General any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, contractor, subrecipient, subcontractor, or other person has submitted a false claim under the False Claims Act or has committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct involving those funds.
- K. Protection for Whistleblowers: Section 1553 of the ARRA provides protection for State and local government and contractor whistleblowers. Any employee of any employer receiving ARRA funds may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing, including a disclosure made in the ordinary course of an employee's duties, to the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board, an inspector general, the U. S. Comptroller General, a member of Congress, a State or federal regulatory or law enforcement agency, a person with supervisory authority over the employee (or such other person working for the employer who has the authority to investigate, discover, or terminate misconduct), a court or grand jury, the head of a federal agency, or their representatives, information that the employee reasonably believes is evidence of (1) gross mismanagement of an agency contract or grant relating to ARRA funds; (2) a gross waste of ARRA funds; (3) a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; (4) an abuse of authority related to the implementation or use of ARRA funds; or (5) a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to an agency contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract), or grant, awarded or issued relating to ARRA funds. A person who believes that he or she has been subjected to a reprisal may submit a complaint regarding the reprisal to the inspector general for the appropriate federal agency (in most cases, the U.S. Department of Education).
- L. Use of Funds: The grantee agrees to comply with the applicable federal cost principles in the obligation and expenditure of ARRA funds as identified in the General Provisions and Assurances as well as other limitations or restrictions and expenditures identified therein. The grantee also agrees to the following:
- Consolidation of ARRA Administrative Funds: Due to the significant reporting requirements under ARRA, it is
  not known at this time whether grantees may consolidate ARRA administrative funds with other NCLB
  consolidated administrative funds. TEA will issue further guidance with regard to this provision once guidance is
  provided by the U.S. Department of Education. If ARRA funds are permitted to be consolidated with other NCLB
  consolidated administrative funds, grantees must still be able to report the types and number of jobs that were
  created or saved with ARRA funds.
- Combining ARRA funds on a Schoolwide Program. ARRA funds are permitted to be used on a Title I Part A
  schoolwide Campus/Program, funds may be combined with other funding sources, but grantees still must be able to
  identify precisely the items of obligation and expenditure for ARRA reporting. You must also be able to report the
  types and number of jobs that were created or saved with ARRA funds.
- 3. Special Contracting Provisions: To the maximum extent possible, contracts funded under the ARRA shall be awarded as fixed-price contracts through the use of competitive procedures. A summary of any new contract awarded with ARRA funds that is not fixed-price and not awarded using competitive procedures shall be posted in a special section of the <a href="https://www.recovery.gov">www.recovery.gov</a> website established by the Recovery Accountability and Transparency Board (Section 1554).

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS & ASSURANCES

#### TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

#### **Standard Application System**

School Year 2010-2013

031901

County-District No.

**Texas Title I Priority Schools Grant** 

- 4. **Use of Funds for Certain Expenditures Prohibited:** ARRA funds shall not be used for any casino or other gambling establishment, aquarium, zoo, golf course, or swimming pool (Section 1604).
- 5. **Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited:** Unless specifically authorized in the applicable program statute, regulations, guidelines, Request for Application (RFA), TEA Standard Application System (SAS), the approved grant application, or other written authorization, none of the ARRA additional formula funds shall be used for construction, remodeling, or renovation.
- 6. **Buy American Use of American Iron, Steel, and Manufactured Goods:** If construction is allowed and approved pursuant to the previous paragraph pertaining to "Use of Funds for Construction Prohibited", none of the funds may be used for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public building or public work unless all of the iron, steel, and manufactured goods used in the project are produced in the United States unless waived by the Secretary of Education (Section 1605).
- 7. Wage Rate Requirements for Contracted Laborers and Mechanics Compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act: Subject to further clarification issued by the Office of Management and Budget, and notwithstanding any other provision of law and in a manner consistent with other provisions of ARRA, all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors on projects funded directly by or assisted in whole or in part by and through the Federal Government pursuant to this award shall be paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on projects of a character similar in the locality as determined by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with subchapter IV of chapter 31 of title 40, United States Code. With respect to the labor standards specified in this section, the Secretary of Labor shall have the authority and functions set forth in Reorganization Plan Numbered 14 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1267; 5 U.S.C. App.) and section 3145 of title 40, United States Code (Section 1606).
- M. Compliance with OMB Circular A-133 Audits and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards: Grantees agree to separately identify the expenditures for each grant award funded under ARRA as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations" and to comply with all other provisions of the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

The signing of Schedule #1 - General Information by applicant indicates acceptance of and compliance with all requirements described on this schedule