Based on 2009 AYP Assessment Results Compared to 2008 AYP Assessment Results
Mathematics


$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\text { Yes, Met or Exceeded the Average Statewide Gain } \\
& \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{No}, \text { Did Not Meet or Exceed the Average Statewide Gain } \\
& \mathrm{X}=\text { Did Not Meet Minimum Size Requirements or No Gain Could Be Calculated }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: If the average statewide gain is equal to or less than zero, the campus gain must be greater than zero.
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