EPP Candidate Exit Survey Responses For All Survey Questions, Statewide, For the Academic Year 2013 (Total Surveys 19362)	Percent

Q 1.	The type of educator preparation program that I am involved in is:	19344 responses
	•Alternative Certification Program.	44%
	Post-Baccalaureate Program.	6%
	•Traditional Undergraduate University Based Program.	50%

Q 2.	Were you employed as a beginning teacher (teacher of record) during the current or previous academic year?	19344 responses
	•Yes	42%
	•No	58%

Q 3.	The area in which your current teaching assignment is located is best described as (choose one):	19344 responses
	•rural	20%
	•suburban/urban fringe	38%
	•urban	37%
	•Other (please specify)	5%

## To what extent were you prepared to effectively implement

Q 4.	the discipline-management procedures approved by the campus?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	71%
	•Sufficiently prepared	27%
	Not sufficiently prepared	2%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

# To what extent were you prepared to communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote

Q

5.	and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning?	responses
	•Well prepared	76%
	•Sufficiently prepared	23%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

19345

Q 6.	To what extent were you prepared to provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	81%
	•Sufficiently prepared	18%

•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
•Not at all prepared	0%

Q 7.	To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport with students?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	84%
	•Sufficiently prepared	15%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain nositive rannort and two-way communication with

Q 8.	positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	68%
	•Sufficiently prepared	29%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	2%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to implement varied

Q 9.	instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	72%
	•Sufficiently prepared	26%
	Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and

Q	of students by being flexible in instructional approach and	19345
10.	differentiating instruction?	responses

•Well prepared	74%
•Sufficiently prepared	24%
•Not sufficiently prepared	2%
•Not at all prepared	0%

### Q To what extent were you prepared to use the results of 19345 11. formative assessment data to guide instruction? responses - . . .

To what extent were you prepared to engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction?	19345 responses
•Not at all prepared	0%
•Not sufficiently prepared	3%
•Sufficiently prepared	33%
•Well prepared	64%

## Q 12.

14.		
	•Well prepared	76%
	•Sufficiently prepared	22%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to integrate effective

C 1	ג 3.	modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self- assessment) strategies into instruction?	19345 responses
		•Well prepared	76%
		•Sufficiently prepared	23%
		•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
		•Not at all prepared	0%

# Q<br/>14.To what extent were you prepared to assume various roles<br/>in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator,<br/>audience)?19345<br/>responses•Well prepared74%•Sufficiently prepared24%•Not sufficiently prepared1%•Not all prepared0%

Q 15.	To what extent were you prepared to set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards based content?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	77%
	•Sufficiently prepared	22%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

Q 16.	To what extent were you prepared to provide quality and timely feedback to students?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	76%
	•Sufficiently prepared	23%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	1%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 17.	Did you have students with disabilities in your classroom as determined by the Texas Administrative Code §89.1001? A child is considered a student with disabilities if he or she has a physical, cognitive, behavioral, or other related impairment.	19345 responses
	•Yes	82%
	•No	18%

Q 18.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities?	15772 responses
	•Well prepared	55%
	•Sufficiently prepared	39%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 19.	To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	15772 response
	•Well prepared	56%
	•Sufficiently prepared	38%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 20.	To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning?	15772 responses
	•Well prepared	57%
	•Sufficiently prepared	38%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 21.	To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services?	15771 responses
	•Well prepared	62%
	•Sufficiently prepared	33%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	4%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
	To what extent were you prepared to make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations	
Q 22.	and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?	15772 responses
Q 22.	and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education	responses
	and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?	responses
	and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)? •Well prepared	response: 59% 36%
	<ul> <li>and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?</li> <li>•Well prepared</li> <li>•Sufficiently prepared</li> </ul>	response 599 369 59
	and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)? •Well prepared •Sufficiently prepared •Not sufficiently prepared	15772 responses 59% 36% 5% 0% 15771 responses

	•Sufficiently prepared	37%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	6%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 24.	To what extent were you prepared to collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities?	15772 responses
	•Well prepared	68%
	•Sufficiently prepared	29%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	3%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 25.	Did you have limited English (LEP-ELL) students in your classroom? A student is considered LEP-ELL if he or she has a primary language other than English and whose English language skills are such that the student has difficulty performing ordinary coursework in English, as determined by Texas Education Code (TEC) §29.052.	19345 responses
	•Yes	69%
	•No	31%
	-	

### Q To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate 13274 26. ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning? responses

	respenses
•Well prepared	59%
•Sufficiently prepared	36%
•Not sufficiently prepared	4%
•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education

0

Q 27.	adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students?	13274 responses
	•Well prepared	60%
	•Sufficiently prepared	35%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

## To what extent were you prepared to comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL

Q 28.	students?	responses
	•Well prepared	63%
	•Sufficiently prepared	33%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	4%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
	To what extent were you prepared to support LEP-ELL	13274

42074

# Q Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency29. Standards (ELPS)?

•Well prepared	60%
•Sufficiently prepared	35%
•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
•Not at all prepared	0%

## Q To what extent were you prepared to model and teach the 13274 30 forms and functions of academic English in content areas? respon

30.	forms and functions of academic English in content areas?	responses
	•Well prepared	63%
	•Sufficiently prepared	33%
	Not sufficiently prepared	4%
	•Not at all prepared	0%
	To what extent were you prepared to use technology	

## available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Applications TEKS to support student 19345

Q 31.	Technology Applications TEKS to support student learning?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	72%
	•Sufficiently prepared	25%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	2%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

# Q based classroom learning opportunities that allow 19345 32. students to interact with real-time and/or online content? 19345 •Well prepared 67% •Sufficiently prepared 29% •Not sufficiently prepared 4% •Not at all prepared 0%

Q 33.	To what extent were you prepared to teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	65%
	•Sufficiently prepared	31%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	3%
	•Not at all prepared	0%

Q 34.	To what extent were you prepared to use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	74%
	•Sufficiently prepared	24%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	2%

	•Not at all prepared	0%
Q 35.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic grade book)?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	61%
	•Sufficiently prepared	32%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	6%
	•Not at all prepared	1%
Q 36.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	59%
	•Sufficiently prepared	34%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	6%
	•Not at all prepared	1%
Q 37.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	57%
	•Sufficiently prepared	35%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	7%
	•Not at all prepared	1%
Q 38.	To what extent were you prepared to use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction?	19345 responses
	•Well prepared	59%
	•Sufficiently prepared	35%
	•Not sufficiently prepared	5%
	•Not at all prepared	1%
Q 39.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor share with you the expectations for your performance in the classroom before each observation?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	74%
	•Frequently.	18%
	•Occasionally.	6%
	•Rarely.	2%
Q 40.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor base observation feedback on the expectations for your performance in the classroom?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	81%

	•Frequently.	15%
	•Occasionally.	4%
	•Rarely.	1%
Q 41.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide you with a written report or checklist of his/her observation of your performance in the classroom?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	83%
	•Frequently.	12%
	•Occasionally.	4%
	•Rarely.	1%
Q 42.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer feedback on your performance in the classroom within one week of each observation?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	86%
		0070
	•Frequently.	10%
	•Frequently. •Occasionally.	
		10%
Q 43.	•Occasionally.	10% 3%
	•Occasionally. •Rarely. To what extent did your Field Supervisor include specific strategies that address your strengths and weaknesses in his/her feedback about your performance in the	10% 3% 1% 19345
	•Occasionally. •Rarely. To what extent did your Field Supervisor include specific strategies that address your strengths and weaknesses in his/her feedback about your performance in the classroom?	10% 3% 1% 19345 responses
	<ul> <li>Occasionally.</li> <li>Rarely.</li> <li>To what extent did your Field Supervisor include specific strategies that address your strengths and weaknesses in his/her feedback about your performance in the classroom?</li> <li>Always/Almost Always.</li> </ul>	10% 3% 1% 19345 responses 80%

Q 44.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor hold an interactive conference with you after each observation?	19344 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	80%
	•Frequently.	13%
	•Occasionally.	5%
	•Rarely.	2%

Q 45.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor help you solve problems, make specific recommendations for improvement or act as your advocate?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	76%
	•Frequently.	16%
	•Occasionally.	5%
	•Rarely.	2%
	Did you ever communicate with your Field Supervisor by email, text, or telephone call?	19345 responses

Q 46.		
	•Yes	99%
	•No	1%
Q 47.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor respond to your communications, for example email, text, or telephone call, within two school/business days?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	85%
	•Frequently.	12%
	•Occasionally.	3%
	•Rarely.	1%
Q 48.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor offer you opportunities to reflect on your performance in the classroom?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	79%
	•Frequently.	15%
	•Occasionally.	4%
	•Rarely.	2%
Q 49.	To what extent did your Field Supervisor provide multiple means for you to communicate with him/her, such as email, telephone, texting, videoconferencing, or face-to- face interaction?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	84%
	•Frequently.	12%
	•Occasionally.	3%
	•Rarely.	1%

Q 50.	To what extent did your Field supervisor ask you for ways he/she can support you?	19345 responses
	•Always/Almost Always.	77%
	•Frequently.	16%
	•Occasionally.	5%
	•Rarely.	2%

Q 51.	The Field Supervisor FORMALLY observed me teaching a minimum of three times.	19345 responses
	•Yes	98%
	•No	2%

	The Field Supervisor observed me teaching for a minimum	
Q	of 45 minutes during at least three of my FORMAL	19345
52.	observations.	responses

	•Yes	98%
	•No	2%
Q 53.	What is your overall evaluation of how well the educator preparation program prepared you? Select the one statement that most closely matches your current overall perspective on the program.	19345 responses
	•I was well prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	75%
	•I was sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	24%
	•I was not sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	1%
	•I was not at all prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	0%