



Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP)

Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers

ST MARYS UNIVERSITY

This report presents results from the principal survey of first-year teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first-year teachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texas public school system for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The percentages within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to average to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey, visit: <https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8>

Principal Appraisal Outcomes

EPP Score: 67	EPP Type: Traditional	# Graduates Rated (N): 15
State Average: 73	Region ESC: San Antonio	

2017-18 Percentage of First Year Teachers Designated as Sufficiently or Well Prepared

	ST MARYS UNIVERSITY	Traditional	All TX EPPS
Classroom Environment	73%	80%	81%
Instruction	73%	81%	80%
Students with Disabilities	64%	77%	80%
English Language Learners	67%	84%	85%
Technology Integration	87%	91%	90%
Use Technology with Data	80%	88%	89%

2017-18 Number of Teachers Rated by Area

Area	Number	Area	Number	Area	Number
Core Subjects	3	History	1		
Art	2	Life Science	1		
Generalist	2	Music	1		
Mathematics	2	Physical Education	1		
English Language Arts and Reading	1	Social Studies	1		

2017-18 Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

ST MARYS UNIVERSITY (N=15)

Classroom Environment	EPP	State
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	80%	83%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning	80%	85%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	73%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	93%	92%
To build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families	87%	90%
Instruction	EPP	State
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	73%	87%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction	80%	87%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	80%	87%
To engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction	73%	88%
To integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction	73%	88%
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)	80%	89%
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards-based content	73%	90%
To provide quality and timely feedback to students	87%	90%
Students with Disabilities	EPP	State
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	64%	86%
To differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	64%	84%
To provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	71%	88%
To understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services	71%	92%
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an IEP	79%	88%
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	71%	86%
To collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities	79%	92%
English Language Learners	EPP	State
To provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning	75%	89%
To understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students	83%	92%
To comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students	83%	93%
To support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)	75%	89%
To model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas	75%	89%
Technology Integration	EPP	State
To use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Application TEKS to support student learning	87%	94%
To provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or On line content	87%	92%
To teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills	87%	92%
To use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students	87%	93%
Using Technology with Data	EPP	State
To use available technology to collect, manage and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic gradebook)	87%	93%
To use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students	80%	91%
To use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate	80%	90%
To use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction	80%	91%

Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2016 – 2018

ST MARYS UNIVERSITY

	N	2015-16	N	2016-17	N	2017-18
Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers	11	73%	18	78%	15	67%
Classroom Environment	11	73%	18	72%	15	73%
Instruction	11	73%	18	78%	15	73%
Students with Disabilities	8	90%	15	80%	14	64%
English Language Learners	10	100%	9	78%	12	67%
Technology Integration	11	82%	18	83%	15	87%
Use Technology with Data	11	100%	18	83%	15	80%

Alternative - Institutions that offer teacher training that prepares individuals who already hold a baccalaureate degree. These providers include education service centers, school districts, private entities, community colleges, and universities.

Traditional - Institutions that offer teacher training that is part of an undergraduate degree program offered by colleges and universities.

Traditional/Alternative - Institutions that offer both Alternative and Traditional Teacher training.

Source: State Board for Educator Certification (<https://secure.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/approvedprograms.asp?s=3>)