

Hurricane Harvey School Finance Issues November 1, 2017

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
Enrollment Related Adjustments						
1	<p>Increased enrollment due to student displacement in the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes.</p> <p>Issue: Many districts have newly enrolled students displaced from their home districts because of Hurricane Harvey. TEA does not normally increase Foundation School Program (FSP formula) funding to districts during the school year when there are increases in student enrollment. Instead, FSP formula increases due to enrollment changes are made during the FSP settle-up process occurring in September of 2018 (FY 2019) following the school year.</p> <p>Solution: Districts can receive an increase in their state aid <i>during</i> the 2017–2018 school year if they have increased average daily attendance (ADA) and apply to TEA. To date, six districts have applied for adjustments, with a total of 1,039 ADA. These districts include Calallen ISD, College Station ISD, Cuero ISD, Gregory Portland ISD, Splendora ISD, and Victoria ISD.</p>	<p>TEA issued guidance to school districts. Beginning with the October 2017 FSP formula payment, TEA will increase the amount of state aid to districts that have (1) additional ADA and (2) apply to TEA.</p>	<p>\$380 million</p> <p>Costs will be shifted from FY 2019 to FY 2018. This shifting is cost neutral for the biennium because the state is legally obligated to fund the FSP formulas for students at the districts they actually attend. TEA is just shifting the costs from FY 2019 (September 2018 settle-up) to FY 2018.</p>	<p>(-\$380 million) cost reduction</p> <p>These existing costs will be shifted from FY 2019 to FY 2018.</p> <p>Note: There may be additional costs to the state for increased students in FY 2019.</p>	<p>\$0</p>	<p>TEC §42.005(d). Average Daily Attendance.</p>
2	<p>Loss of funding due to student enrollment decline during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No.</p> <p>Issue: School districts and charter schools with enrollment losses during the 2017–2018 school year will have lower average daily attendance. ADA is a major component in determining FSP formula funds as Texas provides funding on a per ADA basis. As a result, a loss of ADA in the 2017–2018 school year would normally result in the following:</p>	<p>Consistent with current practice, TEA will continue to make full FSP formula payments to all school districts (and requesting charter schools), regardless of a student enrollment decline. This prevents any immediate loss of state funding during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>On Oct. 9, 2018, TEA issued a <i>To the Administrator Addressed Letter</i> providing an ADA hold</p>	<p>\$0</p>	<p>\$400 million (based on a mid-range best estimate, composed of:</p> <p>\$150 million forgone recapture collections to State Treasury (this is not state aid payment or currently anticipated in state budget).</p> <p>\$250 million state aid to school districts during</p>	<p>\$400 million</p>	<p>TEC §42.005(d). Average Daily Attendance.</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
<p>1. For Chapter 42 districts, a loss of FSP funds in September 2018 (FY 2019) during FSP settle-up.</p> <p>2. For Chapter 41 districts, an increase in recapture. The timing of recapture payments depends on when ADA data is received by TEA.</p> <p>In anticipation of this loss of FSP funding during settle-up, school districts might reduce costs through personnel reductions during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>Solution: TEA will hold school districts and charter schools meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This will encourage districts to avoid reducing school personnel throughout the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>The commissioner will hold affected districts and charter schools harmless to a projected ADA number calculated using a three-year average trend from the 2014–2015 through 2016–2017 school years, unless this projection is both 15 percent higher and 100 ADA higher than the 2017–2018 legislative projections. In the latter case, 2017–2018 legislative projections will be used. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year.</p>	<p>harmless for 157 initially identified school districts and charter schools that (1) had damage to at least one facility, or (2) had instructional facilities that were closed for 9 or 10 hurricane-related waiver days. The school district or charter school must complete the Governor’s Commission to Rebuild Texas Worksheet.</p> <p>TEA will continue to monitor to ensure qualifying districts are held harmless for ADA losses.</p>		<p>September 2018 (FY 2019) FSP settle-up.</p> <p>TEA would have to request a supplemental appropriation for the state aid portion during the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>		

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
	2017–2018 School Year Tax Issues					
3	<p>Loss of maintenance and operations (M&O) property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No.</p> <p>Issue: M&O local property tax revenue helps school districts pay for their maintenance and operations. School districts may experience losses in M&O local property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year due to (1) 2017 tax year property reappraisals, which would decrease property values/tax revenue, and (2) delayed/uncollected tax collections.</p> <p>TEA currently estimates the local M&O tax revenue could potentially decline by approximately \$71 million due to delinquencies.</p> <p>TEA is aware of five school districts that have voted to reappraise their 2017 taxable property values; they are Conroe ISD, Katy ISD, La Porte ISD, Montgomery ISD, and Spring Branch ISD.</p> <p>TEA would not normally increase the 2017–2018 school year FSP formula state aid to make up for any decrease in 2017 tax year local property tax collections.</p> <p>TEA proposal: Collect information from school districts about 2017 tax year collection losses and potentially borrow money from FY 2019 to provide state aid to school districts during the 2017–2018 school year to make up for lost local property tax revenue.</p> <p>Note: Charter schools do not levy taxes.</p>	<p>TEA issued guidance to school districts.</p> <p>TEA will collect data from districts to develop a projection of lost property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year and reappraisal efforts. TEA would then provide analysis to the legislature in February 2018.</p> <p>Thereafter, TEA could provide additional state aid to replace lost local property tax revenue. This action would potentially require TEA to borrow funds from FY 2019 and bring them to FY 2018.</p>	<p>State cost: \$0</p> <p>TEA will provide an analysis by February of 2018.</p> <p>TEA could potentially borrow money from FY 2019 to provide state aid to school districts during the 2017–2018 school year for the loss of local property tax revenue. If done, TEA would request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th legislative session.</p> <p>School district local tax revenue loss: TEA currently estimates the local M&O tax revenue could potentially decline by approximately \$71 million.</p>	<p>N/A. This is covered in the 2018–2019 school year tax issues (below).</p>	<p>\$0</p> <p>The legislature could consider options to help mitigate the anticipated tax increase.</p>	<p>TEC §42.2523. Adjustment for Property Value Affected by State of Disaster.</p>
4	<p>Loss of interest and sinking (I&S) property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No. However, if a district defaults on a bond payment that is guaranteed by the Texas Permanent School Fund (TPSF), the TPSF must make the payment. The school district is then required to repay the TPSF.</p>	<p>TEA is unaware of any district that is in jeopardy of not making its I&S payments.</p> <p>To mitigate any loss in I&S tax revenue, school districts can (1) use their available fund balance or other available revenue such</p>	<p>State costs: \$0</p> <p>TEA is unaware of any district that is in jeopardy of not making its I&S payments.</p> <p>If a school district has insufficient I&S tax revenue to pay its bonds, TPSF will</p>	<p>N/A. This is covered in the 2018–2019 school year tax issues (below).</p>	<p>\$0</p>	<p>TEC §45.003 Bonds and Tax Elections. TEC §45.052 Guaranteed Bonds.</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
<p>Issue: The local I&S property tax revenue provides funds for the payment of the debt that districts issue to finance facilities and other capital expenditures. During the 2017–2018 school year, there are 130 school districts that were estimated to collect \$1.9 billion in I&S local property tax revenue.</p> <p>School districts may experience losses in local property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year due to (1) 2017 tax year property reappraisals, which would decrease property values/tax revenue, and (2) delayed/uncollected tax collections.</p> <p>TEA currently estimates the local I&S tax revenue could potentially decline by approximately \$18 million due to delinquencies.</p> <p>TEA is aware of five school districts that have voted to reappraise their 2017 taxable property values; they are Conroe ISD, Katy ISD, La Porte ISD, Montgomery ISD, and Spring Branch ISD.</p> <p>TEA would not normally increase the 2017–2018 school year FSP formula state aid to make up for any decrease in 2017 tax year local property tax collections.</p> <p>To mitigate this loss in revenue, school districts can (1) use their available fund balance or other available revenue, such as M&O, and/or (2) seek refinancing options.</p> <p>TEA is unaware of any district that is in jeopardy of not making its I&S payments.</p> <p>Note: Charter schools do not levy taxes.</p>	<p>as M&O revenue, and/or (2) seek refinancing options.</p>	<p>make the payment. The school district is then required to repay the TPSF.</p> <p>School district local property tax revenue loss: TEA currently estimates the local I&S tax revenue could potentially decline by approximately \$18 million due to delinquencies.</p>			

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
	2018–2019 School Year Tax Issues					
5	<p>Loss of maintenance and operations (M&O) property tax revenue) during the 2018–2019 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Reappraised property: Yes. If 2017 tax year property is reappraised, TEA is required by the FSP formulas to provide FSP formula state aid to make up for local property tax revenue losses in the 2018–2019 school year. Un-reappraised property: No. If 2017 tax year property is not reappraised, then there is no state obligation to make up for declines in local property tax revenue during the 2018–2019 school year. However, the state could consider additional funding for local property value loss in a supplemental appropriation. <p>Issue: Reappraised property: Beginning with the 2018–2019 school year, the state is legally obligated to “make-up” state aid (state share) for any reported loss of local property tax revenue on reappraised 2017 tax year property.</p> <p>TEA is aware of five school districts that have voted to reappraise their 2017 taxable property values; they are Conroe ISD, Katy ISD, La Porte ISD, Montgomery ISD, and Spring Branch ISD.</p> <p>Others are considering this option.</p> <p>Un-reappraised property: There is no current state obligation to make up for lost property tax revenue during the 2018–2019 school year. The legislature could provide additional funding to mitigate property tax revenue loss in a supplemental appropriation.</p> <p>TEA anticipates that school districts will lose \$385 million (a mid-range estimate) in previously anticipated local property tax revenue due to a decline in property values caused by the Hurricane during the 2018 tax year.</p>	<p>TEA will collect data from districts to develop a projection of lost property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year and reappraisal efforts. TEA would then provide analysis to the legislature in February 2018.</p>	<p>N/A. This is covered in the 2017–2018 school year tax issues (above).</p>	<p>State costs: \$8 (a mid-range estimate, which could increase depending on the number of FY 2017 reappraisals).</p> <p>Reappraised property: If 2017 tax year property is reappraised, TEA is legally required to provide FSP formula state aid to make up for local property tax revenue losses in 2018–2019 school year.</p> <p>TEA is aware of five school districts that have voted to reappraise their 2017 taxable property values: they are Conroe ISD, Katy ISD, La Porte ISD, Montgomery ISD, and Spring Branch ISD. Others are considering this option.</p> <p>Un-reappraised property: There is no current state obligation. The legislature could provide additional funding to mitigate property tax revenue loss in a supplemental appropriation.</p> <p>School district local property tax revenue loss: TEA anticipates that school districts will lose \$385 million (a mid-range estimate) in previously anticipated local property tax revenue due to a decline in property values</p>	<p>\$8 million</p> <p>Potential state cost for intervention: up to \$385 million (a mid-range estimate).</p>	<p>TEC §42.2523. Adjustment for Property Value Affected by State of Disaster.</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
				caused by the hurricane during the 2018 tax year.		
6	<p>Local tax increases to interest & sinking (I&S) to cover debt service during the 2018–2019 school year.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No.</p> <p>Issue: The I&S tax revenue provides funds for payment of the debt that districts issue to finance facilities and other capital expenditures. School districts may experience losses in I&S local property tax revenue during the 2018–2019 school year due to (1) 2017 tax year property reappraisals, which would decrease property values/tax revenue, and (2) delayed/uncollected tax collections.</p> <p>TEA currently estimates the local I&S tax revenue could potentially decline by approximately \$85 million (a mid-range estimate).</p> <p>Many school districts may be required to raise local I&S taxes during the 2018–2019 school year to cover any loss of local property tax revenue caused by reappraisals. Taxes can be increased without a role back election in the year after a disaster.</p> <p>Depending on the type of bond, some school districts may not be able to raise I&S taxes and will have to (1) use their available fund balance or other available revenue such as M&O, and/or (2) seek refinancing options.</p> <p>Note: Charter schools do not levy taxes.</p>	<p>School districts may have to increase their I&S tax rates in the 2018–2019 school year to ensure they have adequate funds to make bond payments.</p> <p>Depending on the type of bond, some school districts may not be able to raise I&S taxes and will have to (1) use their available fund balance or other available revenue such as M&O, and/or (2) seek refinancing options.</p> <p>TEA will collect data from districts to develop a projection of lost property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year and reappraisal efforts. TEA would then provide analysis to the legislature in February of 2018.</p>	<p>N/A. This is covered in the 2017–2018 school year tax issues (above)</p>	<p>\$0</p> <p>The legislature could consider options to help mitigate the anticipated tax increase. However, most I&S tax rates are required to be adopted in the summer of 2018.</p>	<p>\$0</p> <p>The legislature could consider options to help mitigate the tax increase. However, I&S rates are adopted in the summer of 2018.</p>	<p>TEC §45.003 Bonds and Tax Elections.</p> <p>TEC §45.052 Guaranteed Bonds.</p> <p>TAX §26.08a Election to Ratify School Taxes</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
7	<p>Impact to state facilities funding costs.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes</p> <p>Issue: Approximately, 39 of the 130 impacted school districts receive approximately \$47 million in state FSP aid for the Instructional Facilities Allotment (IFA) or Existing Debt Allotment (EDA). These programs help districts pay for the debt they incur for facilities and other capital expenditures.</p> <p>School districts with enrollment losses during the 2017–2018 school year will have lower average daily attendance. As ADA is a major component in determining EDA and IFA FSP formula state aid, the decrease in ADA would normally result in a decrease in EDA and IFA during the 2017–2018 school year settle-up occurring in September 2018 (FY 2019).</p> <p>Solution: 2017–2018 school year. TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This is anticipated to largely stabilize their IFA and EDA state aid amounts. See row 2 above. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>2018–2019 school year. EDA and IFA changes in state aid will depend on whether the school district reappraises its property (see 6 above) and ADA.</p> <p>Note: Charter schools are not eligible for IFA or EDA funding.</p>	<p>Consistent with current practice, TEA will continue to make full FSP formula payments to all school districts (and requesting charter schools), regardless of a student enrollment decline. This prevents any immediate loss of state funding during the 2017–2018 school year.</p> <p>2017–2018 school year. TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This is anticipated to largely stabilize their IFA and EDA state aid amounts. See row 2 above. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year.</p>	<p>\$0</p> <p>Cost is included in \$400 million ADA hold harmless in row 2 (above).</p> <p>TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This is anticipated to largely stabilize their IFA and EDA amounts. See row 2 (above). This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year.</p>	<p>Less than \$10 million (a mid-range estimate)</p>	<p>Less than \$10 million (a mid-range estimate)</p>	<p>TEC §46.003 IFA TEC §46.032 EDA</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
	Facilities Issues					
8	<p>Facilities damage at Chapter 41 districts.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes, in forgone recapture funding to the State Treasury.</p> <p>Issue: Chapter 41 school districts have significant property damage. These districts can already apply for a reduction/elimination in their recapture costs for the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years for any facility damage costs <i>not</i> covered by insurance or FEMA. Importantly, however, districts cannot recover <i>more than their recapture payments</i> (see row 9 below).</p> <p>Solution: Chapter 41 districts with eligible remediation costs can offset recapture payments by applying to TEA in the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years.</p>	<p>Chapter 41 districts can apply to TEA for disaster aid assistance to reduce their recapture payments for the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years.</p> <p>The application is available on the TEA website.</p>	<p>TBD</p> <p>\$474 million is the maximum cost</p> <p>Loss of budgeted recapture to State Treasury.</p> <p>Note: Based on preliminary information, TEA has learned that FEMA may cover up to 90 percent of uninsured loss, leaving districts with at least a 10 percent uninsured and uncovered loss.</p>	<p>TBD</p> <p>\$500 million is the maximum cost</p> <p>Loss of budgeted recapture to State Treasury.</p> <p>Note: Based on preliminary information, TEA has learned that FEMA may cover up to 90 percent of uninsured loss, leaving districts with at least a 10 percent uninsured and uncovered loss.</p>	TBD	TEC §41.0931 Disaster Remediation Costs.
9	<p>Facilities damage related to Chapter 42 districts and Chapter 41 districts beyond recapture.</p> <p>Is this a legally required cost to the state? No.</p> <p>Issue: The Texas Education Code provides that (1) Chapter 42 districts can receive facilities assistance, and (2) Chapter 41 districts can receive facilities assistance beyond their recapture payment amounts (see row 8 above), only if there is an FSP surplus in the fiscal year. Even if there is an FSP surplus, TEA must first use the surplus to finance special education camera needs.</p> <p>Note: Based on preliminary information, TEA has learned that FEMA may cover up to 90 percent of uninsured loss, leaving districts with at least a 10 percent uninsured and uncovered loss.</p> <p>TEA proposal: The only <i>potential</i> legal mechanism for TEA to assist districts is to borrow money from FY 2019 and declare an FSP surplus in FY 2018. This has never been done before. Further, the surplus would first be used for special education camera needs.</p>	<p>TEA is encouraging districts to work with their insurance providers and FEMA to determine unreimbursed damage amounts.</p>	<p>TBD</p> <p>\$0 unless TEA borrows money from FY 2019 to create an FSP surplus in FY 2018. This has never been done before. If done, TEA would request a supplemental appropriation in the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>	<p>TBD</p> <p>\$0 unless there is an FSP formula surplus in FY 2019.</p> <p>The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriation bill during the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>	TBD	TEC §42.2524 Reimbursement for Disaster Remediation Costs.

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
<p>Additionally, the legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriations during the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>					

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
	Other Funding Issues					
10	<p>Students newly eligible to generate State Compensatory Education (SCE) FSP formula funds.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes.</p> <p>Issue: Data from the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) National School Lunch Program (free/reduced price lunch) indicates that districts will have more students qualifying for the FSP formula SCE weight during the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years.</p> <p>Solution: For the 2017–2018 school year, TEA estimates 162,000 additional students will qualify for the SCE weight in the school finance system. Consistent with current practice, TEA will update its SCE FSP related formulas in February of 2018. As a result, impacted districts will recognize increases to state aid for SCE identified students beginning with their March 2018 FSP payment.</p>	<p>TDA collects and provides National School Lunch Program student eligibility data to TEA. Per customary practice, TEA is scheduled to incorporate TDA data into the FSP system for the 2017–2018 school year during February of 2018. As a result, impacted districts will recognize increases to state aid for SCE identified students beginning with their March 2018 FSP payment.</p>	<p>\$186 million</p>	<p>\$80 million</p> <p>Costs will vary depending on the number of additional students eligible for free/reduced lunch.</p>	<p>\$266 million</p>	<p>TEC §42.152. Compensatory Education Allotment.</p>
11	<p>Students newly eligible for pre-K.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes.</p> <p>Issue: Three- and four-year-old children may be newly eligible for free prekindergarten if they are deemed educationally disadvantaged and/or homeless for the 2017–2018 school year and beyond.</p> <p>Solution: Districts will be provided additional prekindergarten FSP funding during the September 2018 (FY 2019) FSP settle-up process for newly qualifying students.</p>	<p>TEA will review PEIMS data provided by districts and flow funding for these students during the September 2018 (FY 2019) FSP settle-up for the 2017–2018 school year.</p>	<p>\$0</p> <p>Additional funding for these students would not flow until the September 2018 (FY 2019) FSP settle-up for the 2017–2018 school year.</p>	<p>TBD</p>	<p>TBD</p>	<p>TEC §29.153.</p>

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

	A Issue/Response	B Current Action/Next Steps	C FY 2018 Estimated Costs**	D FY 2019 Estimated Costs**	E Biennial Total Est. Cost**	F Legal Authority
12	<p>Storm recovery costs.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No.</p> <p>Issue: Affected districts may face storm recovery expenditures for transportation, additional counselors, student mental health needs, and overtime for auxiliary and maintenance staff.</p> <p>The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriations during the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>	<p>TEA is working to obtain approvals from FEMA so that some of these costs can be reimbursed. TEA is also working to identify additional federal funding sources and coordinate private resources.</p>	<p>\$0 to state</p> <p>All additional costs are borne by school systems.</p>	<p>\$0 to state</p> <p>All additional costs are borne by school systems.</p> <p>The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriations bill during the 86th Texas Legislature.</p>	<p>\$0</p>	
13	<p>Education service center (ESC) costs.</p> <p>Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No, but TEA has pledged assistance.</p> <p>Issue: ESCs are incurring substantial costs to help with hurricane-related remediation.</p> <p>Solution: TEA has pledged to help the ESCs, possibly by utilizing its FSP transfer authority in Rider 25 of the 2018–2019 General Appropriations Act.</p>	<p>TEA will continue to collect hurricane-related costs from the ESCs and report to the legislature.</p>	<p>\$1 million</p>	<p>\$1 million</p>	<p>\$2 million</p>	

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA’s current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.