



# Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP)

## Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers

### SCHREINER UNIVERSITY

This report presents results from the principal survey of first-year teachers. In this survey, principals describe the preparation of teachers in six categories of practices. Principals complete surveys for first-year teachers who graduated at any time during the 5 years prior to the reporting period and who taught in the Texas public school system for a minimum of 5 months during the reporting period.

Percentages note the percent of teachers prepared by an EPP who received an average score of Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared when all applicable questions were averaged, and within each of the six categories. The overall percentage serves as indicator 2 of the Accountability System for Educator Preparation (ASEP) Accountability Performance Indicators. The percentages within each category are Consumer Information, required by the Texas Education Code. Due to teachers having strengths in different areas and the way the overall indicator is computed, the percentages in the six categories cannot be expected to average to the overall proficiency standard. For details about the survey, visit: <https://tinyurl.com/ydy335z8>

**Principal Appraisal Outcomes**

<b>EPP Score: 77</b>	EPP Type: Alternative/Traditional	Region ESC: San Antonio
<b>State Average: 73</b>		# Graduates Rated (N): 13

### 2017-18 Percentage of First Year Teachers Designated as Sufficiently or Well Prepared

	SCHREINER UNIVERSITY	All EPPs with Traditional and Alternative Programs	All TX EPPS
<b>Classroom Environment</b>	77%	80%	81%
<b>Instruction</b>	62%	80%	80%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	100%	82%	80%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	82%	89%	85%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	85%	87%	90%
<b>Use Technology with Data</b>	77%	86%	89%

### 2017-18 Number of Teachers Rated by Area

Area	Number	Area	Number	Area	Number
Core Subjects	7				
Physical Education	3				
Art	1				
English Language Arts and Reading	1				
History	1				

## 2017-18 Percentage of Teachers Rated Sufficiently or Well Prepared

### SCHREINER UNIVERSITY (N=13)

<b>Classroom Environment</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To effectively implement discipline management procedures	85%	83%
To communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning	85%	85%
To provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment	92%	89%
To build and maintain positive rapport with students	92%	92%
To build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families	85%	90%
<b>Instruction</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To implement varied instruction that integrates critical thinking, inquiry, and problem solving	77%	87%
To respond to the needs of students by being flexible in instructional approach and differentiating instruction	92%	87%
To use the results of formative assessment data to guide instruction	85%	87%
To engage and motivate students through learner-centered instruction	69%	88%
To integrate effective modeling, questioning, and self-reflection (self-assessment) strategies into instruction	85%	88%
To assume various roles in the instructional process (e.g. instructor, facilitator, audience)	85%	89%
To set clear learning goals and align instruction with standards-based content	85%	90%
To provide quality and timely feedback to students	92%	90%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To differentiate instruction to meet the academic needs of students with disabilities	100%	86%
To differentiate instruction to meet the behavioral needs of students with disabilities	100%	84%
To provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning	100%	88%
To understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services	100%	92%
To make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an IEP	100%	88%
To develop and/or implement formal and informal assessment that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives	89%	86%
To collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities	100%	92%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To provide appropriate ways for LEP-ELL students to demonstrate their learning	91%	89%
To understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for LEP-ELL students	82%	92%
To comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding LEP-ELL students	91%	93%
To support LEP-ELL students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)	91%	89%
To model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas	91%	89%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use technology available on the campus to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Application TEKS to support student learning	92%	94%
To provide technology based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or On line content	92%	92%
To teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills	85%	92%
To use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students	92%	93%
<b>Using Technology with Data</b>	<b>EPP</b>	<b>State</b>
To use available technology to collect, manage and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic gradebook)	85%	93%
To use available technology to collect, manage, and analyze data from multiple sources in order to interpret learning results for students	85%	91%
To use available technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate	77%	90%
To use available technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction	77%	91%

## Percentage of First Year Teachers Appraised as Sufficiently or Well Prepared from 2016 – 2018

### SCHREINER UNIVERSITY

	<b>N</b>	<b>2015-16</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2016-17</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>2017-18</b>
<b>Indicator 2: Principal Appraisal of First-Year Teachers</b>	13	100%	18	78%	13	77%
<b>Classroom Environment</b>	13	100%	18	94%	13	77%
<b>Instruction</b>	13	100%	18	94%	13	62%
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	7	92%	14	79%	9	100%
<b>English Language Learners</b>	13	86%	15	100%	11	82%
<b>Technology Integration</b>	13	100%	18	83%	13	85%
<b>Use Technology with Data</b>	13	100%	18	94%	13	77%

Alternative - Institutions that offer teacher training that prepares individuals who already hold a baccalaureate degree. These providers include education service centers, school districts, private entities, community colleges, and universities.

Traditional - Institutions that offer teacher training that is part of an undergraduate degree program offered by colleges and universities.

Traditional/Alternative - Institutions that offer both Alternative and Traditional Teacher training.

Source: State Board for Educator Certification (<https://secure.sbec.state.tx.us/SBECOnline/approvedprograms.asp?s=3>)