

# **TEXAS CHARTER AUTHORIZER ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT**

**2021–2022**

**Submitted to the Texas Education Agency**

# Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability Report 2021–22 School Year



Education Research Center  

---

College of Education

**Contributing Authors:**

Toni Templeton  
Catherine L. Horn  
Jeanette Narvaez

**2023**

## Acknowledgments

The authors of this report are grateful to Texas Education Agency (TEA) staff from the Authorizing and Research and Analysis divisions, who were involved in detailed programmatic and methodological discussions and provided data for analysis and feedback on results. The authors would also like to thank Sherri Lowrey and Diana D’Abruzzo for their assistance throughout the project.

**Copyright © Notice:** The materials are copyrighted © and trademarked ™ as the property of TEA and may not be reproduced without the express written permission of TEA, except under the following conditions:

1. Texas public school districts, charter schools, and education service centers (ESCs) may reproduce and use copies of the materials and related materials for the districts’ and schools’ educational use without obtaining permission from TEA.
2. Residents of the state of Texas may reproduce and use copies of the materials and related materials for individual personal use only without obtaining written permission of TEA.
3. Any portion reproduced must be reproduced in its entirety and remain unedited, unaltered, and unchanged in any way.
4. No monetary fee can be charged for the reproduced materials or any document containing them; however, a reasonable fee to cover only the cost of reproduction and distribution may be charged.

Private entities or persons located in Texas that are **not** public school districts, charter schools, or ESCs or any entity, whether public or private, educational or noneducational, located **outside the state of Texas** *MUST* obtain written approval from TEA and will be required to enter into a license agreement that may involve the payment of a licensing fee or a royalty.

For information, contact: Copyrights Office, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin, TX 78701-1494; phone 512-463-9041; email [copyrights@tea.texas.gov](mailto:copyrights@tea.texas.gov).

# Table of Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>List of Acronyms Used in This Report</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>12</b>
Background	12
Overview of Texas Charter School Campuses	13
Key Findings for SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses	13
Attrition Rates	14
STAAR Results	14
Graduation Rates	15
College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes	15
TEA Accountability Domain Scores	15
Key Findings for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	16
Attrition Rates	16
STAAR Results	16
TEA Accountability Domain Scores	16
Study Limitations	16
<b>Section 1: Introduction</b>	<b>18</b>
National Charter School Overview	18
Texas Charter Schools	19
Contemporary Texas Charter Legislation	20
Purpose of the Report	21
Data Sources, Definitions, and Research Methods	21
Data Sources	21
Definitions	21
Research Methods	24

Study Limitations	25
Organization of the Report	26
<b>Section 2: Description of Charter School Campuses and Traditional Public Schools</b>	<b>27</b>
Student Enrollment	28
<b>Section 3: Aggregate Performance of SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>31</b>
Campuses Included in the Aggregate Performance Analysis	31
Attrition Rates	33
STAAR Results	33
Graduation Rates	38
College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes	40
TEA Accountability Domain Scores	42
<b>Section 4: Aggregate Performance of SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses by School Level</b>	<b>45</b>
Attrition Rates Disaggregated by School Level	46
STAAR Results Disaggregated by School Level	47
TEA Accountability Domain Scores Disaggregated by School Level	55
<b>Section 5: Aggregate Performance of COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>60</b>
Campuses Included in the Aggregate Performance Analysis	60
Attrition Rates	62
STAAR Results	63
TEA Accountability Domain Scores	67
<b>Section 6: Discussion of Findings</b>	<b>70</b>
Overview	70
Summary of Results	70
Attrition	70
STAAR Results	70
Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates for the Class of 2021	71

College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes	71
TEA Accountability Domain Scores	72
Study Limitations	72
<b>References</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Appendix A: Detailed Methods Description</b>	<b>A1</b>
Purposive Sampling	A1
Sampling Frame	A1
Stratification	A1
Independent Sampling	A2
Covariate Balancing	A2
Outcome Measure Calculation	A3
Attrition Analysis	A3
Graduation Rate	A4
College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes	A4
<b>Appendix B: Description of Charter School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions</b>	<b>B1</b>
<b>Appendix C: Aggregate Performance on Additional STAAR Exams for SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>C1</b>
<b>Appendix D: Charter School Campus-Level Performance Results</b>	<b>D1</b>

## List of Tables

<b>Table 2.1</b>	Texas Public School Campuses by School Type, 2021–22	27
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Student Enrollment in Texas Public School Campuses by School Type, 2021–22	28
<b>Table 2.3</b>	Demographic Characteristics of Students Enrolled in Texas Public School Campuses, 2021–22	30
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Demographic Characteristics of Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22	32
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Percent of College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	41
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Demographic Characteristics of COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22	61
<b>Appendix B</b>		
<b>Table B.1</b>	Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, by School Type, 2021–22	B1
<b>Table B.2</b>	Student Enrollment in Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, by School Type, 2021–22	B2
<b>Table B.3</b>	Demographic Characteristics of Students Enrolled in Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, 2021–22	B3
<b>Table B.4</b>	Demographic Characteristics of Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22	B4
<b>Appendix D</b>		
<b>Table D.1</b>	Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, Elementary School Campuses	D1
<b>Table D.2</b>	Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, Middle School Campuses	D31
<b>Table D.3</b>	Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions	D44
<b>Table D.3a</b>	Campus-Level College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions	D52
<b>Table D.4</b>	Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions	D62
<b>Table D.4a</b>	Campus-Level College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions	D69

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Number of Students Enrolled in Charter Schools in the United States, 2005–06 to 2020–21	18
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses	33
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	34
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	35
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	36
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	37
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions, Class of 2021	38
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, Class of 2021	39
<b>Figure 3.8</b>	TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	43
<b>Figure 3.9</b>	TEA Overall Accountability Rating by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	44
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, by School Level	46
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Elementary School Campuses)	47
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)	48
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)	49



<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (High School Campuses)	50
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Elementary School Campuses)	51
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)	52
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)	53
<b>Figure 4.9</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (High School Campuses)	54
<b>Figure 4.10</b>	TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (Elementary School Campuses)	56
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (Middle School Campuses)	57
<b>Figure 4.12</b>	TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (High School Campuses)	58
<b>Figure 4.13</b>	TEA Overall Accountability Rating by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, by School Level, 2021–22	59
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses	62
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	63
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	64
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	65
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	66
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	TEA Accountability Domain Scores for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	68
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	TEA Overall Accountability Rating for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	69

## Appendix C

<b>Figure C.1</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Science Exams (Grades 5 and 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C1
<b>Figure C.2</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Biology End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C2
<b>Figure C.3</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Social Studies Exams (Grade 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C3
<b>Figure C.4</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-U.S. History End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C4
<b>Figure C.5</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Science Exams (Grades 5 and 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C5
<b>Figure C.6</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Biology End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C6
<b>Figure C.7</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Social Studies Exams (Grade 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C7
<b>Figure C.8</b>	Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-U.S. History End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22	C8

## List of Acronyms Used in This Report

Advanced Placement (AP)  
Alternative Education Accountability (AEA)  
Commissioner of Education (COE)  
College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR)  
Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP)  
Education Service Centers (ESCs)  
English Language Arts (ELA)  
End-of-Course (EOC)  
Independent School District (ISD)  
Individualized Education Program (IEP)  
International Baccalaureate (IB)  
Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP)  
Residential Treatment Facilities (RTF)  
Senate Bill (SB)  
State Board of Education (SBOE)  
State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)  
Texas Administrative Code (TAC)  
Texas Education Agency (TEA)  
Texas Education Code (TEC)  
Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS)  
Texas Success Initiative (TSI)  
University of Houston Education Research Center (UH ERC)

# Executive Summary

## Background

The first charter schools were established in the United States in 1991 to provide students with a tuition-free alternative to traditional public schools. Their purpose: to create additional flexibility and innovation in education. Minnesota was the first state to usher in charter schools, and other states quickly followed; charter schools now operate in 44 states and the District of Columbia. The number of operating charter schools across the nation has more than doubled over the past 15 years—from approximately 3,700 in the 2005–06 academic year to approximately 7,800 in 2020–21. Student enrollment has also experienced marked growth, increasing from about 1 million students in 2005–06 to about 3.7 million students in 2020–21 (White, 2022).

Texas charter schools were first established in 1995 by the 74th Texas Legislature with the addition of Texas Education Code (TEC) Chapter 12. The state proposed charter schools as a means to improve student learning, increase the choice of learning opportunities within the public school system, create professional opportunities to attract new teachers to the public school system, and encourage different and innovative learning methods (TEC § 12.001, 2022). Texas charter schools are subject to fiscal and academic accountability, though they have fewer regulations than traditional public schools to encourage innovation and flexibility.

Five subchapters within TEC Chapter 12 (2022) codify the different types of charter schools in Texas:

- **Home-rule school district charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter B, 2022), which are not in existence to date;
- **Campus or campus program charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter C, 2022), which are authorized by Texas Independent School District (ISD) school boards and serve students within the district;
- **Open-enrollment charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter D, 2022), which are authorized by the commissioner of education (COE), are operated by 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organizations or governmental entities, and can enroll students from any school districts in their approved geographic boundaries;
- **College, university, or junior college charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter E, 2022), which are authorized by the COE, are operated by institutions of higher education, and can enroll students from any school districts in their approved geographic boundaries; and
- **Adult high school charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter G, 2022), which are authorized by the COE and operated by 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organizations to provide services and instruction below the college level for adults.

Contemporary charter school legislation demonstrates the state’s effort to balance quality with growing charter school demand. In 2013, the 83rd Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill (SB) 2, which made significant changes to the state’s charter school legislation. The bill added Section 12.115 (a)-(d) (2022)—Charter Revocation or Modification of Governance—to the TEC, which placed charter schools under stricter financial and academic accountability expectations and enacted the requirement that the commissioner revoke a school’s charter should it fail to meet the stated accountability benchmarks for three consecutive years. Since the passage of SB 2 in 2013, 40 charter schools have closed, and the number of charters granted annually has decreased.<sup>1</sup> SB 2 also increased the cap on the maximum possible number of open-enrollment charter schools granted from 215 to 305 by September 2019 (TEC § 12.101, 2022). Another significant change introduced in SB 2 was the transfer of authority in granting open-

<sup>1</sup> In previous versions of this report, the number of charter schools reported closed since the passage of SB2 in 2013 inconsistently counted charter consolidations in the closure number. In some years consolidations were reported as one charter closure and in some years consolidations were counted as multiple closures. The reported number in this report reflects each consolidation as one charter closure (Texas Education Agency, Personal Communication, July 27, 2023).

enrollment charters from the State Board of Education (SBOE) to the COE (TEC § 12.101 (a), 2022). The commissioner must still submit notification to the SBOE regarding which charters are proposed, and the SBOE may veto any new charter proposed within 90 days of the notification of the commissioner's decision (TEC § 12.101(b-0), 2022). Along with this change, the legislature added a requirement (TEC § 12.1013 (a)-(d), 2022) for a report on the performance of open-enrollment charter school campuses by authorizer type that compares the results of each with matched traditional public school campuses.

In 2017, the 85th Texas Legislature passed SB 1882, providing incentives to school districts to partner with open-enrollment charter schools and certain eligible entities to open campuses within their district.<sup>2</sup> The bill provided two incentives to promote district partnerships with open-enrollment charter schools and eligible entities. The first was a two-year relief from campus sanctions imposed at schools with low academic performance; the second was access to potentially increased state funding. Both of these benefits incentivized districts to enter into partnerships with outside entities. Also in 2017, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 21, allowing public charter schools, for the first time in Texas, to receive up to \$60 million in state funding annually for facilities (TEC § 12.106 (d)-(2), 2022).

After a 2020 court ruling that nullified flexible provisions to address low-performing schools, the 87th Texas Legislature passed SB 1365 in 2021 to grant explicit authority for revocation, as well as a specific timeline for particular interventions and sanctions. Included in SB 1365 was language that made clear that D ratings in the state's academic rating system, in addition to F ratings, would make schools eligible for closure (TEC § 12.1141(b) and (d), 2022).

## Overview of Texas Charter School Campuses

In the 2021–22 academic year, 8,966 Texas public school campuses were in operation. Approximately 11% (996) of those campuses were charter school campuses, including ISD-authorized charter school campuses and campuses operated by SBOE-authorized charter schools and COE-authorized charter schools. In 2021–22, most charter school campuses operated under SBOE-authorized charter schools (821).<sup>3</sup> Additionally, 124 campuses were ISD-authorized, and 51 campuses operated under COE-authorized charter schools. A total of 442,842 students were enrolled in charter school campuses, representing approximately 8% of the 5,427,147 students enrolled in Texas public schools.

The aggregate performance outcomes presented in this report include 771 campuses operated by SBOE-authorized charter schools, 124 ISD-authorized charter school campuses, and 47 campuses operated by COE-authorized charter schools.<sup>4</sup>

## Key Findings for SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses

For the purposes of this report, charter schools and their respective campuses are categorized by their original authorizer. Campus or campus program charter schools are reported as ISD-authorized charter schools. Open-enrollment and college, university, or junior college charter school campuses are reported as SBOE-authorized or COE-authorized, depending on the year in which the charter schools were authorized; the COE replaced the SBOE as the state charter authorizer for open-enrollment charter schools in 2013. Since then, campus expansions for charters originally authorized by the SBOE are approved by the COE. To date, Texas does not have any home-rule school district charter schools on which to report. These findings—comparing SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses with matched traditional public school campuses—include aggregate outcome measures related to attrition; State of Texas Assessments of

2 SB 1882 Texas Partnership schools are classified as ISD-authorized charter schools for the purposes of this report.

3 The 821 campuses associated with SBOE-authorized charter schools include campuses approved by the COE through the approval of expansion amendment requests to add new campuses under existing charter schools originally authorized by the SBOE.

4 Residential treatment facilities (RTFs) at charter school campuses (SBOE-authorized charter schools n=50; COE-authorized charter schools n=4) and RTFs at traditional public school campuses (n=77), as well as traditional public school disciplinary alternative education programs (n=142) and traditional public school juvenile justice alternative education programs (n=129) are not included in the performance outcome reporting.

Academic Readiness (STAAR®) exams; graduation rates; college, career, and military readiness (CCMR); and Texas Education Agency (TEA) accountability domain scores and overall ratings.

### Attrition Rates

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022.<sup>5</sup> The attrition rates for this report were calculated using student-level data provided by TEA.

SBOE-authorized charter school campuses reported higher attrition compared with their matched traditional public school campuses overall (23% vs. 21%) and at each school level: 23% vs. 22% at elementary school campuses; 21% vs. 19% at middle school campuses; and 27% vs. 19% at high school campuses. ISD-authorized charter school campuses also reported higher attrition than matched traditional public school campuses overall (26% vs. 20%). Attrition at ISD-authorized elementary charter school campuses was lower than matched traditional elementary public school campuses (21% vs. 22%) and was higher at middle school campuses (22% vs. 17%) and high school campuses (34% vs. 18%).

### STAAR Results

Analyzed in this report are the percentages of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard and Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams taken by elementary and middle school students in Grades 3 through 8, the STAAR-Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) exam taken by middle and high school students, and the STAAR-English I and English II EOC exams taken by middle and high school students.<sup>6, 7</sup>

At SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, 67% of students taking the STAAR-Mathematics exams and 76% of students taking the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam met the Approaches Grade Level standard, compared with 68% of students taking the STAAR-Mathematics exams and 75% of students taking the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam at matched traditional public school campuses. Lower percentages of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (16% vs. 19%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (24% vs. 26%) at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses compared with matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts (ELA) exams, a higher percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (77% vs. 75%), STAAR-English I EOC exam (67% vs. 64%), and STAAR-English II EOC exam (72% vs. 71%) than students at matched traditional public school campuses. A higher percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams (31% vs. 29%) and lower percentages achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (10% vs. 11%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (8% vs. 9%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

At ISD-authorized charter school campuses, 59% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams, compared with 65% of students at matched traditional public school campuses, and 75% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam, compared with 71% at matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (13% vs. 14%) and a higher percentage achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (25% vs. 21%) than matched traditional public school campuses. For STAAR-Reading exams, 70% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard at ISD-authorized charter school campuses, compared with 73% of students at matched traditional public school campuses. Higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school

<sup>5</sup> See Appendix A for a detailed description of the attrition analysis.

<sup>6</sup> The Approaches Grade Level standard is a STAAR performance level indicating that the student is likely to succeed in the next grade or course with targeted academic intervention. The Approaches Grade Level standard serves as the state's passing standard.

<sup>7</sup> A more difficult achievement level to attain, the Masters Grade Level standard is a STAAR performance level descriptor indicating that the student is expected to succeed in the next grade or course with little or no academic intervention.

campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (73% vs. 60%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (78% vs. 67%) than at matched traditional public school campuses. Equal percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams (26%), while higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 7%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (9% vs. 6%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

### **Graduation Rates**

SBOE-authorized charter school campuses evaluated under standard accountability provisions had a four-year longitudinal graduation rate of 97%, compared with matched traditional public school campuses, which had a rate of 92%. The four-year longitudinal graduation rate at ISD-authorized charter school campuses was 91%, the same as matched traditional public school campuses. Additionally, four-year longitudinal graduation rates for campuses evaluated under alternative education accountability (AEA) provisions were examined; the graduation rate at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 67%, compared with 88% at their matched traditional public school campuses. For ISD-authorized charter school campuses evaluated under AEA provisions, the graduation rate is masked due to the limited number of schools (one) operating under these provisions and was thus ineligible for this analysis.

### **College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes**

Under TEC § 39.053(c) (2022), for accountability purposes, high school graduates can demonstrate readiness for college, a career, or the military through a number of achievements outlined in detail in Appendix A.

SBOE-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages of graduates on three CCMR outcomes compared with their matched traditional public school campuses: 42% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met Texas Success Initiative (TSI) college readiness benchmarks in both reading and mathematics, compared with 39% from matched traditional public school campuses; 31% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) exam in any subject, compared with 18% from matched traditional public school campuses; and 5% of special education graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses graduated under an advanced diploma plan, compared with 4% from matched traditional public school campuses. For all other CCMR outcomes, matched traditional public school campuses reported higher percentages of CCMR graduates.<sup>8</sup>

ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported the same or higher percentages of graduates for all except two CCMR outcomes when compared with matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an AP or IB exam in any subject area (18% vs. 19%), and a lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses completed individualized education programs (IEP) and workforce readiness (1% vs. 2%) than matched traditional public school campuses. ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses reported equal percentages of graduates earning a Level I or Level II certificate in any workforce education area (1%), completing an OnRamps dual enrollment course, and qualifying for at least three hours of college credit (6%). For all other CCMR outcomes, ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages of CCMR graduates than matched traditional public school campuses.

### **TEA Accountability Domain Scores**

Under the Texas Accountability Rating System, campuses are scored in three domains—Student Achievement, School Progress (Academic Growth and Relative Performance), and Closing the Gaps—and they are also given an overall accountability rating. Each domain score and the overall accountability

---

<sup>8</sup> Per the [TEA Accountability Manual for 2022](#) (page 10, PDF e-page 14): Due to discrepancies between annual enlistment counts for Texas military enlistees aged 17 to 19 released by the United States Department of Defense and the Texas Student Data System Public Education Information Management System's military enlistment data for 2017 and 2018 annual graduates, military enlistment data is excluded from accountability calculations until such data can be obtained directly from the United States Armed Forces (TEA, 2022a).



rating are on a scale of zero to 100 points. With the exception of the Closing the Gaps Domain (77 vs. 79), SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had average scores higher than those of matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (77 vs. 74); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (84 vs. 83); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (77 vs. 75); and the Overall Rating (84 vs. 83). ISD-authorized charter school campuses had average scores lower than those of matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (69 vs. 72); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (80 vs. 82); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (72 vs. 75); Closing the Gaps Domain (76 vs. 78); and Overall Rating (81 vs. 83).

## **Key Findings for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses**

Aggregate outcome measures related to attrition, STAAR exams, and TEA Accountability domains and overall ratings were reported for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. Because of the small number of COE-authorized charter school campuses, aggregate outcome measures related to graduation rates and CCMR outcomes were not reported.

### **Attrition Rates**

The attrition rate for COE-authorized charter school campuses was 30%, compared with 22% at their matched traditional public school campuses.

### **STAAR Results**

Analyzed in this report are the percentages of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard and Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams taken by elementary and middle school students in Grades 3 through 8, the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam taken by middle and high school students, and the STAAR-English I and English II EOC exams taken by middle and high school students.

COE-authorized charter school campuses reported lower percentages of students who achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (65% vs. 67%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (76% vs. 90%) than matched traditional public school campuses. Lower percentages of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (13% vs. 18%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (20% vs. 43%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams, a higher percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (79% vs. 74%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (79% vs. 76%) and a lower percentage achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (76% vs. 79%) than matched traditional public school campuses. A higher percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (32% vs. 27%), STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 8%), and STAAR-English II EOC exam (11% vs. 6%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

### **TEA Accountability Domain Scores**

Under the Texas Accountability Rating System, campuses are scored in three domains—Student Achievement, School Progress (Academic Growth and Relative Performance), and Closing the Gaps—and they are also given an overall accountability rating. Each domain score and the overall accountability rating are on a scale of zero to 100 points. COE-authorized charter school campuses had average scores lower than those of matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (69 vs. 72); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (81 vs. 83); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (67 vs. 73); Closing the Gaps Domain (73 vs. 82); and the overall accountability rating (77 vs. 82).

### **Study Limitations**

This report provides a detailed description of charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses intended for comparison of school types. While a combination of sampling techniques



was used to identify demographically similar traditional public school campuses as the matched set for comparison, inferences regarding the performance of charter schools relative to traditional public schools cannot be made using this report. In order to suggest the performance of one type of school is consistently better or worse than another, statistical tools controlling for observed and unobserved characteristics influencing performance would need to be in place and inferential statistical analysis employed. Additionally, careful interpretation of the comparisons with COE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses provided in this report is necessary because of the small number of campuses in each category.

For the purposes of this report, charter schools and their respective campuses are categorized by their original authorizer. Campus or campus program charter schools are reported as ISD-authorized charter schools. Open-enrollment and college, university, or junior college charter school campuses are reported as SBOE-authorized or COE-authorized, depending on the year in which the charter schools were authorized; the COE replaced the SBOE as the state charter authorizer for open-enrollment charter schools in 2013. Since then, campus expansions for charters originally authorized by the SBOE are approved by the COE.

Because of the award of new charters and the expansion of existing charters, this report should be carefully compared with previously published Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability reports. Since 2012, the state of Texas has phased in a new standardized test, STAAR, and performance standards and created a new accountability rating system. The gradual phase-in of the new test and the current accountability system should be taken into consideration when comparing the results of this report to previous reports. Additionally, each year, new charter schools are authorized and new charter school campuses are opened and closed. Thus, Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability reports from two different years contain different subsets of charter schools, and results should be compared with caution. As a final note, although the passage of SB 2 in 2013 resulted in a policy process change in charter school authorization, the reader is cautioned against attributing differences presented in this report solely to this change. Rather, differences may be attributable to other changes occurring over time, such as differences in the charter school applicant makeup, other process changes, and/or changes in leadership at the charter schools—none of which could be accounted for within the scope of this report.


# Section 1: Introduction

## National Charter School Overview

The first charter schools were established in the United States in 1991 to provide students with a tuition-free alternative to traditional public schools. Their purpose: to create additional flexibility and innovation in education. Minnesota was the first state to usher in charter schools, and other states quickly followed; charter schools now operate in 44 states and the District of Columbia. The number of operating charter schools across the nation has more than doubled over the past 15 years—from approximately 3,700 in the 2005–06 academic year to approximately 7,800 schools in 2020–21. As shown in Figure 1.1, student enrollment has also experienced marked growth, increasing from about 1 million students in 2005–06 to about 3.7 million students in 2020–21 (White, 2022).

**FIGURE 1.1**

### Number of Students Enrolled in Charter Schools in the United States, 2005–06 to 2020–21



Source. National Alliance for Public Charter Schools, 2022.

Note. The 2020–21 school year data is the most recent available from the National Alliance for Public Charter Schools.

The literature documenting the contribution of charter schools to the quality of the public school system is mixed (Betts & Tang, 2019; Spees & Lauen, 2019; Zimmer et al., 2012;). Conducted both nationally and within specific states, there are studies indicating that charter schools are efficient producers of public education outcomes (Wolf et al., 2014), studies that demonstrate the increased learning gains of students enrolled in charter schools (Center for Research on Education Outcomes, 2017; Hoxby & Rockoff, 2004), studies that report the increased performance of nearby traditional public schools following

the introduction of charter school competition (Booker et al., 2008; Winters, 2012), and studies that demonstrate improvement in the overall performance of the charter school sector over time (Baude et al., 2020). In contrast, there are also national and state-specific studies that demonstrate little or no significant outcomes for charter school students (Gleason et al., 2010; Zimmer et al., 2012; Cohodes & Parham, 2021), studies that show charter school competition has no effect on the performance of traditional public schools (Bifulco & Ladd, 2006; Han & Keefe, 2020; Uttermark et al., 2023), studies that highlight wide variations in effect by different charter schools and different student groups (Sass, 2006; Shakeel & Peterson, 2020), and studies that point to charter school policies and practices as mechanisms of selecting only high-performing or highly motivated students (Angrist et al., 2013; Lubienski et al., 2009). In summary, charter school outcomes and effects, like the state policies that govern them, vary widely.

## Texas Charter Schools

Texas charter schools were first established in 1995 by the 74th Texas Legislature with the addition of Texas Education Code (TEC) Chapter 12. The state proposed charter schools as a means to improve student learning, increase the choice of learning opportunities within the public school system, create professional opportunities to attract new teachers to the public school system, and encourage different and innovative learning methods (TEC § 12.001, 2022). Texas charter schools are subject to fiscal and academic accountability, though they have fewer regulations than traditional public schools to encourage innovation and flexibility.

Five subchapters within TEC Chapter 12 (2022) codify the different types of charter schools in Texas:

- **Home-rule school district charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter B, 2022), which are not in existence to date;
- **Campus or campus program charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter C, 2022), which are authorized by Texas Independent School District (ISD) school boards and serve students within the district;
- **Open-enrollment charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter D, 2022), which are authorized by the commissioner of education (COE), are operated by 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organizations or governmental entities, and can enroll students from any school districts in their approved geographic boundaries;
- **College, university, or junior college charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter E, 2022), which are authorized by the COE, are operated by institutions of higher education, and can enroll students from any school districts in their approved geographic boundaries; and
- **Adult high school charter schools** (TEC Chapter 12, Subchapter G, 2022), which are authorized by the COE and operated by 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organizations to provide services and instruction below the college level for adults.

For the purposes of this report, charter schools and their respective campuses are categorized by their original authorizer. Campus or campus program charter schools are reported as ISD-authorized charter schools. Open-enrollment and college, university, or junior college charter school campuses are reported as State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized or COE-authorized, depending on the year in which the charter schools were authorized; the COE replaced the SBOE as the state charter authorizer for open-enrollment charter schools in 2013. Since then, campus expansions for charters originally authorized by the SBOE are approved by the COE. To date, Texas does not have any home-rule school district charter schools, thus none were reported.

Like traditional public schools, charter schools are required to implement the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) standards, and charter school students take the same State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®) exams as traditional public school students. Texas charter schools are also held to the same academic accountability as traditional public schools under the Texas Accountability Rating System. Though all charter schools and traditional public schools are evaluated similarly, important differences exist between open-enrollment charter schools and traditional public schools: Teachers in

open-enrollment charter schools must hold a bachelor's degree but are not required to hold a teaching certificate unless they are a special education or bilingual education/English as a second language teacher or the governing body of a charter school has set the qualifications for teachers at a standard above what state law requires. Also, charter school salary schedules are set by each individual charter school board, and charter schools can establish their own class sizes. Open-enrollment charter schools can enroll students from any district within the geographic boundary approved in their charters, and they can choose to deny enrollment for certain disciplinary reasons, as most of the provisions in TEC Chapter 37 (2022) do not apply.

Texas charter schools grew to serve 442,842 students in 996 campuses in the 2021–22 school year (Tables 2.1 and 2.2). In order to ensure quality in charter schools, the Texas Legislature has increased performance regulations over the years. It has also mandated the closure of charter schools for poor performance and encouraged growth by providing them with access to facilities funding.

## Contemporary Texas Charter Legislation

Contemporary charter school legislation demonstrates the state's effort to balance quality with growing charter school demand. In 2013, the 83rd Texas Legislature passed Senate Bill (SB) 2, which made significant changes to the state's charter school legislation. The bill added Section 12.115 (a)-(d) (2022)—Charter Revocation or Modification of Governance—to the TEC, which placed charter schools under stricter financial and academic accountability expectations and enacted the requirement that the commissioner revoke a school's charter should it fail to meet the stated accountability benchmarks for three consecutive years. Since the passage of SB 2 in 2013, 40 charter schools have closed, and due to the increased selectivity of the commissioner's process for awarding charters combined with the SBOE's veto authority, the number of charters granted annually has decreased.<sup>9</sup> SB 2 also increased the cap on the maximum possible number of open-enrollment charter schools granted from 215 to 305 by September 2019 (TEC § 12.101, 2022). Another significant change introduced in SB 2 was the transfer of authority in granting open-enrollment charters from the SBOE to the COE (TEC § 12.101 (a), 2022). The commissioner must still submit notification to the SBOE regarding which charters are proposed, and the SBOE may veto any new charter proposed within 90 days of the notification of the commissioner's decision (TEC § 12.101(b-0), 2022). Along with this change, the legislature added a requirement (TEC § 12.1013 (a)-(d), 2022) for a report on the performance of open-enrollment charter school campuses by authorizer type that compares the results of each with matched traditional public school campuses.

In 2017, the 85th Texas Legislature passed SB 1882, providing incentives to school districts to partner with open-enrollment charter schools and certain eligible entities to open campuses within their district.<sup>10</sup> The bill provided two incentives to promote district partnerships with open-enrollment charter schools and eligible entities. The first was a two-year relief from campus sanctions imposed at schools with low academic performance; the second was access to potentially increased state funding. Both of these benefits incentivized districts to enter into partnerships with outside entities. Also in 2017, the Texas Legislature passed House Bill 21, allowing public charter schools, for the first time in Texas, to receive up to \$60 million in state funding annually for facilities (TEC § 12.106(d)-(2), 2022).

After a 2020 court ruling that nullified flexible provisions to address low-performing schools, the 87th Texas Legislature passed SB 1365 in 2021 to grant explicit authority for revocation, as well as a specific timeline for particular interventions and sanctions. Included in SB 1365 was language that made clear that D ratings in the state's academic rating system, in addition to F ratings, would make schools eligible for closure (TEC § 12.1141(b) and (d), 2022).

---

<sup>9</sup> In previous versions of this report, the number of charter schools reported closed since the passage of SB2 in 2013 inconsistently counted charter consolidations in the closure number. In some years consolidations were reported as one charter closure and in some years consolidations were counted as multiple closures. The reported number in this report reflects consolidations as one charter closure (Texas Education Agency, Personal Communication, July 27, 2023).

<sup>10</sup> SB 1882 Texas partnership schools are classified as ISD-authorized charter schools for the purposes of this report.

## Purpose of the Report

In accordance with TEC § 12.1013(a)-(d) (2022), the Texas Education Agency (TEA) selected the University of Houston Education Research Center (UH ERC) to prepare a report that compares the performances of the following types of schools: charter school campuses operating under charter schools granted by the SBOE (SBOE-authorized), charter school campuses granted by ISDs (ISD-authorized), charter school campuses operating under charter schools granted by the COE (COE-authorized), and matched traditional public school campuses. This report includes performance data for all charter school campuses operating in the 2021–22 school year, including those operated by charters granted between 1996 and 2012 (Generations 1 through 17) by the SBOE, those granted between 2013 and 2020 (Generations 18 through 25) by the COE, and all charter school campuses authorized by ISDs.<sup>11</sup>

## Data Sources, Definitions, and Research Methods

The data and methods used in this report are intended to present descriptive information for the comparison of charter school campuses with matched traditional public school campuses. While the information presented provides the opportunity for comparison, inferences about the effectiveness of charter schools and matched traditional public schools are outside the scope of this report. A description of report data is provided in the sections that follow, and a summary of methods are further detailed in Appendix A.

### Data Sources

The following sources and types of data were used in this report:

#### *Campus-level data*

- **Texas Academic Performance Reports:** Publicly available via the TEA website, the Texas Academic Performance Reports disaggregate enrollment and performance results for all Texas public schools by campus, student demographic group, student program, grade level, and subject area. For this report, the 2021–22 campus-level STAAR Assessment Data file, Advanced Data Download reference file, and Profile file were downloaded and combined into one campus-level data file.
- **Texas Accountability Rating System Reports:** Publicly available via the TEA website, the Texas Accountability Rating System reports provide downloadable data used in the calculation of accountability ratings for all campuses, including residential treatment facilities (RTF), juvenile justice alternative education programs (JJAEP), and disciplinary alternative education programs (DAEP). For this report, the 2022 campus-level Accountability Summary, Graduation Rate, and College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) files and Accountability Summary files were downloaded and combined into one campus-level data file.
- **Charter School Data:** Data regarding the authorizer type, start date, status, and closure date of all charter school campuses were provided by TEA Charter School Authorizing and Administration Division staff.

#### *Student-Level Data*

- **Public Education Information Management System:** In order to calculate the attrition rates for charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses, TEA provided student-level data regarding campus of accountability, campus of enrollment, and grade level for students enrolled in the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years.

### Definitions

This section describes variables in the data and key terms used throughout the report.

<sup>11</sup> Though charter schools were granted in 2021 and 2022 (Generations 26 and 27), they were not in operation for the 2021–22 school year and thus not included in this report.

## School Classification Definitions

- **Alternative Education Accountability (AEA):** The specific provisions by which the performance of alternative education campuses is determined and accountability ratings are assigned. AEA campuses have a modified graduation rate calculation for accountability ratings.
- **AEA Provisions:** Alternative performance measures for campuses serving at-risk students were first implemented in the 1995–96 academic year. Over time, these measures have expanded to include charter schools that serve large populations of at-risk students. Accountability advisory groups consistently recommend evaluating these types of campuses by separate AEA provisions because of the large number of students served in alternative education programs on campuses and to ensure these unique campus settings are appropriately evaluated for accountability. To register to be considered under AEA provisions, campuses must meet specific criteria listed in the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a) or qualify as community-based dropout recovery campuses established in accordance with TEC § 29.081(e) (2022).<sup>12</sup>
- **Charter Authorizer Type:** Charter school campuses are reported by the authorizer that granted the original charter school.
  - **SBOE-Authorized Charter School:** From 1995 to 2012, the SBOE served as the authorizer for open-enrollment and university charter schools. All SBOE-authorized charter school campuses in this report exist under charters originally granted between 1996 and 2012.
  - **ISD-Authorized Charter School:** At times referred to as district charters, district campus charters, or campus charters, ISD-authorized charter schools are under the oversight of the district school board that authorized them. Also under this category are the ISD-authorized charter schools associated with the more recent SB 1882 partnerships.
  - **COE-Authorized Charter School:** In 2013, the COE became the authorizer for open-enrollment and university charter schools. All COE-authorized charter school campuses in this report exist under charters granted after 2013.
- **Disciplinary Alternative Education Program (DAEP):** A system of instruction provided in a setting other than a regular classroom that is located on or off a regular campus, provides for the educational and behavioral needs of students, and provides specialized supervision and counseling for its students.<sup>13</sup>
- **Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP):** A campus operated under the authority of a county juvenile justice board.<sup>14</sup>
- **Matched Traditional Public School Campuses:** For the purposes of this report, a combination of sampling techniques was used to identify a set of traditional public school campuses to serve as demographic peers for charter school comparison. The details of the matching procedure are explained in Appendix A.
- **Residential Treatment Facilities (RTF):** Live-in private centers and programs or detention centers and correctional facilities operated by the Texas Juvenile Justice Department that provide educational services to students who reside in the facilities.<sup>15</sup>
- **School Level:** Maintaining accordance with the prior Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability Report (Templeton et al., 2022), schools were classified as elementary, middle, or high school campuses based on the proportion of students served in each grade level. Schools serving equal proportions of two grade-level types were classified into the higher grade-level category. For example, a school serving 50% of students in kindergarten through fifth grade and 50% of students in sixth through eighth grades was classified as a middle school.
  - **Elementary schools** serve a larger proportion of students in kindergarten through Grade 5,
  - **Middle schools** serve a larger proportion of students in Grades 6 through 8, and
  - **High schools** serve a larger proportion of students in Grades 9 through 12.

<sup>12</sup> Criteria are listed in Chapter 7 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#) (TEA, 2022a).

<sup>13</sup> As defined in 19 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 103.1201 (2023).

<sup>14</sup> As defined in 37 TAC § 348.102 (9) (2023).

<sup>15</sup> As defined in 12 Texas Human Resources Code § 221.056 (2023).



## Outcome Measure Definitions

- **Attrition Rate:** For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022.<sup>16</sup> The attrition rates for this report were calculated using student-level data provided by TEA.
- **STAAR Exams:** These are the standardized exams given in Grades 3 through 8. STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams are administered in Grades 3 through 8; STAAR-Science exams are administered in Grades 5 and 8; and the STAAR-Social Studies exam is administered in Grade 8. Specifically, this report analyzed the STAAR exams included in the 2021–22 Texas Academic Performance Reports. Previous versions of this report included STAAR-Writing exams and reported aggregate performances for Grades 4 and 7. As of the 2021–22 school year, the STAAR-Writing exam became a part of the STAAR-Reading exam, and this report no longer separately displays STAAR-Writing performance.
- **STAAR End-of-Course (EOC) Exams:** STAAR EOC exams are standardized exams administered after the completion of Algebra I, English I, English II, U.S. History, and Biology courses. Typically administered in high school grades, these exams can be given to students below Grade 9 if the student has taken the course. It should be noted that some students could have taken both an EOC exam and a STAAR grade-level exam, as local district policy dictates student action. For example, an eighth-grade student taking Algebra I could have taken both the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam and the STAAR-Mathematics exam for Grade 8. Specifically, this report analyzed the STAAR EOC exams included in the 2021–22 Texas Academic Performance Reports.
- **Approaches Grade Level Standard:** The STAAR performance level indicating that the student is likely to succeed in the next grade or course with targeted academic intervention. It serves as the state’s passing standard.<sup>17</sup>
- **Masters Grade Level Standard:** The STAAR performance level indicating that the student is expected to succeed in the next grade or course with little or no academic intervention.<sup>18</sup>
- **Graduation Rate:** The graduation rate in this report is the class of 2021 longitudinal four-year graduation rate calculated for state accountability purposes, which follows a cohort of first-time Grade 9 students into the fall after their expected graduation date (or, in cases of extended rates, the fall one or two years after their expected graduation date) (TEA, 2022b).<sup>19</sup> For schools evaluated under the standard accountability system, the total number of graduates is divided by the total number of graduates, continuers, Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients, and dropouts in the class. For schools evaluated under the AEA system, the sum of the total number of graduates, continuers, and Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients is divided by the total number of graduates, continuers, Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients, and dropouts in the class.
- **College, Career, and Military Readiness (CCMR) Outcomes:** Under TEC § 39.053(c) (2022), graduates can demonstrate CCMR for state accountability purposes in several ways. For this report, the following CCMR outcomes are reported for 2021 graduates:<sup>20</sup>
  - Meeting Texas Success Initiative (TSI) criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/reading and mathematics
  - Meeting criteria on the Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) examination
  - Earning dual course credits
  - Earning an industry-based certification
  - Earning a Level I or Level II certificate
  - Completing and earning credit for an ELA college prep course

16 See Appendix A for a detailed description of the attrition analysis.

17 See [STAAR Performance Labels and Policy Definitions](#) for more information about the Approaches Grade Level standard.

18 See [STAAR Performance Labels and Policy Definitions](#) for more information about the Masters Grade Level standard.

19 See the [Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools 2020–21](#) report for more about the calculation of the class of 2021 longitudinal graduation rate.

20 Appendix A describes CCMR outcome measures in detail.

- Completing and earning credit for a mathematics college prep course
- Completing an OnRamps dual enrollment course
- Earning an associate’s degree
- Completing an Individualized Education Program (IEP) and demonstrating workforce readiness
- Being identified as a current special education student with an advanced diploma plan
- **Texas Accountability Rating System:** According to the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a), the Texas Accountability Rating System evaluates performance across three domains:<sup>21</sup>
  - **Student Achievement Domain** evaluates performance across all subjects for all students using general and alternate assessments, CCMR indicators, and graduation rates.
  - **School Progress Domain** measures district and campus outcomes in two areas: the number of students who grew at least one year academically (or are on track), as measured by STAAR results, and the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.
  - **Closing the Gaps Domain** uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain’s construction, align the state accountability system with the Every Student Succeeds Act.
- **TEA Overall Accountability Rating:** In addition to receiving individual domain scores, schools receive an overall rating based on a weighted combination of the better of the Student Achievement Domain or School Progress Domain (weighted 70%) and Closing the Gaps Domain (weighted 30%).<sup>22</sup> The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate the aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

## Research Methods

In order to fulfill the legislative requirements of this report, several analytic methods were employed. Under TEC § 12.1013(a)-(d) (2022), the Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability Report must provide an opportunity for the public to compare the performance of SBOE-authorized, ISD-authorized, and COE-authorized charter schools with the performance of matched traditional public school campuses. Specific performance metrics codified under TEC § 39.053(c) (2022) and attrition rates are required to be included in the report, disaggregated by grade level served. Each method is briefly described below and in detail in Appendix A.

## School Matching Procedures

In order to fulfill the statutory requirement of identifying a matched group of traditional public school campuses for charter school performance comparison (TEC § 12.1013(b), 2022), TEA selected a combination of sampling techniques as the preferred matching procedure. By identifying traditional public school campuses similar in size, teaching staff, and student characteristics, the matching technique is intended to eliminate bias in performance comparisons due to observed covariates. Appendix A details the matching procedure variables and specifications, and Appendix D details the performance results for each charter school included in the report. RTFs, JJAEPs, and DAEPs were not included in the matching procedure, nor in the performance analyses. RTFs were excluded because the unique student populations served in instructional settings are far different from other schools. JJAEPs and DAEPs were excluded because the performance of their student populations is attributed back to the students’ home campuses.

## Attrition Analysis

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022. The attrition rates for this report were calculated using student-level data provided by TEA. Those data included a unique identifier, grade level, and the campus for each student enrolled in Texas public schools for the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years. Students enrolled in the fall of 2021 were cross-referenced to their fall enrollment in 2022. Students

<sup>21</sup> Domain scores are described in Chapters 2-4 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

<sup>22</sup> TEA overall Accountability Ratings are described in Chapter 5 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).



whose fall 2021 campus was different from their fall 2022 campus or who were not found in any fall 2022 campuses were considered attrited and counted in the numerator of the attrition rate calculation. The denominator of the attrition rate calculation comprised all students enrolled in the fall of the 2021–22 academic year at a particular campus. This calculation was adjusted to account for the grade levels available to students at each campus as well as additional factors. Appendix A details the reasons students were excluded from attrition rate calculations.

### **Outcome Measure Calculation**

For attrition rates, STAAR performance, graduation rates, and CCMR rates, results were calculated using the number of students at each campus in the group that contributed to the outcome measure. Rather than averaging the campus-level rates for all campuses in a group for each metric, numerators and denominators for each metric were summed and then divided to provide an overall rate for the group. This prevents results from being significantly influenced by extreme performance results for very small campuses.

### **Study Limitations**

This report provides a detailed description of charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses intended for public comparison of school types. While a combination of sampling techniques was used to identify demographically similar traditional public school campuses as the matched set for comparison, inferences regarding the performance of charter schools relative to traditional public schools cannot be made using this report. In order to suggest the performance of one type of school is consistently better or worse than another, statistical tools controlling for observed and unobserved characteristics influencing performance would need to be in place and inferential statistical analysis employed. Additionally, careful interpretation of the comparisons with COE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses provided in this report is necessary because of the small number of campuses in each category. Interpretation of results for COE-authorized charter school campuses should take into consideration that all COE-authorized charters in this report were authorized between 2013 and 2020 and thus may have been operating for a shorter amount of time compared with other charter school campuses. As a final note of caution, although the passage of SB 2 in 2013 resulted in the policy process change in charter school authorization, the reader is cautioned against attributing differences presented in this report solely to this change. Rather, differences may be attributable to other changes occurring over time, such as differences in the charter school applicant makeup, other process changes, and/or changes in leadership—none of which could be accounted for within the scope of this report.

For the purposes of this report, charter schools and their respective campuses are categorized by their original authorizer. Campus or campus program charter schools are reported as ISD-authorized charter schools. Open-enrollment and college, university, or junior college charter school campuses are reported as SBOE-authorized or COE-authorized, depending on the year in which the charter schools were authorized; the COE replaced the SBOE as the state charter authorizer for open-enrollment charter schools in 2013. Since then, campus expansions for charters originally authorized by the SBOE are approved by the COE.

Because of the differences in STAAR performance standards, the Texas Accountability Rating System, the award of new charters, and the expansion of existing charters, comparisons with previously published Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability reports should be made with caution. In 2012, the state of Texas began the phase-in of the STAAR standardized test and the associated performance standards. In addition, a new accountability rating system has also developed over the course of the same period. The gradual phase-in of the new test and the current accountability system should be taken into consideration when comparing the results of this report to previous reports. Additionally, each year, new charter school campuses are opened, low-performing charter school campuses are closed, and existing charters are expanded. Thus, Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability reports from two different years contain different subsets of charter schools, and results should be compared with caution.<sup>23</sup>

---

23 See the [Charter Schools—Reports](#) page of the TEA website for more on the award, expansion, and closure of charter schools.

## **Organization of the Report**

The rest of the report is organized into five sections. Section 2 provides a description of the charter school and traditional public school campuses disaggregated by school type and school level. Section 3 presents the aggregate performance of SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. Section 4 disaggregates the performance comparisons presented in Section 3 by school level. Section 5 provides a comparison of COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. Finally, Section 6 discusses the findings of previous sections and presents a summary of results with a review of the limitations of the study.

Following the main body of the report, several appendices provide detailed information. Appendix A describes the analytical methods used in the creation of the report. Appendix B displays tables comparing charter school campuses evaluated under AEA provisions. Performance on STAAR-Science/Biology and STAAR-Social Studies/U.S. History exams for SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses can be found in Appendix C. Appendix D details the performance results for each charter school included in the report. And Appendix E, available on the TEA website, includes a list of matched traditional public school campuses included in the report and their outcome measures.

## Section 2: Description of Charter School Campuses and Traditional Public Schools

This section of the report describes the distribution of Texas public schools by school type and school level. Table 2.1 displays the types of Texas public school campuses that were in operation during the 2021–22 academic year. There were 8,966 public schools in operation during the year, 11% (996) of which were charter school campuses. Among the different charter school authorizers, the SBOE authorized most of the charters in operation. For both charter schools and traditional public schools, residential treatment

**TABLE 2.1**

### Texas Public School Campuses by School Type, 2021–22

Campus Type	Elementary School	Middle School	High School	Total
SBOE-Authorized Charter Not Residential Treatment Facilities	417	150	204	771
ISD-Authorized Charter	73	27	24	124
COE-Authorized Charter Not Residential Treatment Facilities	30	10	7	47
SBOE-Authorized Charter Residential Treatment Facilities	3	4	43	50
COE-Authorized Charter Residential Treatment Facilities	—	—	4	4
<b>Total Charter School Campuses</b>	<b>523</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>996</b>
<b>Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>4,626</b>	<b>1,533</b>	<b>1,465</b>	<b>7,624</b>
DAEP Campuses	12	17	113	142
JJAEP Campuses	—	16	113	129
Residential Treatment Facilities	6	4	67	77
<b>Total Traditional Public Schools</b>	<b>4,644</b>	<b>1,570</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>7,970*</b>
<b>Total Public School Campuses in Texas</b>	<b>5,167</b>	<b>1,761</b>	<b>2,040</b>	<b>8,966*</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Notes. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities. \* Two campuses labeled as both JJAEP Campuses and Residential Treatment Facilities were included in each respective category but were not double-counted in the total.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; DAEP = Disciplinary Alternative Education Program; JJAEP = Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program.

facilities made up a small proportion of the total campuses in operation, and more elementary school campuses were in operation than middle schools and high schools.

## Student Enrollment

Table 2.2 describes enrollment in Texas public schools by school type. In the 2021–22 academic year, 442,842 students were enrolled in charter school campuses, and 4,984,305 students were enrolled in traditional public schools. Charter school enrollment accounted for approximately 8% of the total public

**TABLE 2.2**

### Student Enrollment in Texas Public School Campuses by School Type, 2021–22

Campus Type	Elementary School	Middle School	High School	Total
SBOE-Authorized Charter Not Residential Treatment Facilities	222,351	61,751	75,854	359,956
ISD-Authorized Charter	29,517	14,971	20,979	65,467
COE-Authorized Charter Not Residential Treatment Facilities	10,467	3,197	1,171	14,835
SBOE-Authorized Charter Residential Treatment Facilities	64	179	1,842	2,085
COE-Authorized Charter Residential Treatment Facilities	—	—	499	499
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Charter School Campuses</b>	<b>262,399</b>	<b>80,098</b>	<b>100,345</b>	<b>442,842</b>
<b>Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>2,359,796</b>	<b>1,082,873</b>	<b>1,533,438</b>	<b>4,976,107</b>
DAEP Campuses	80	512	5,065	5,657
JJAEP Campuses	—	27	623	650
Residential Treatment Facilities	291	103	1,499	1,893
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Traditional Public Schools</b>	<b>2,360,167</b>	<b>1,083,515</b>	<b>1,540,623*</b>	<b>4,984,305*</b>
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Texas Public Schools</b>	<b>2,622,566</b>	<b>1,163,613</b>	<b>1,640,968*</b>	<b>5,427,147*</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Notes. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities. \* Students enrolled in two campuses labeled as both JJAEP Campuses and Residential Treatment Facilities were included in each respective category but were not double-counted in the total enrollment.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; DAEP = Disciplinary Alternative Education Program; JJAEP = Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program.

school population. Following the same patterns of distribution identified in Table 2.1, SBOE-authorized charter school campuses enrolled the overwhelming majority of charter school students.

Table 2.3 summarizes the demographic characteristics of students enrolled in charter school campuses and traditional public school campuses in 2021–22. In traditional public school campuses, Hispanic students were the largest racial or ethnic group (52%), followed by White students (28%), African American students (12%), Asian students (5%), students identified as two or more races (3%), American Indian or Alaska Native students (<1%), and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander students (<1%). Charter school campuses followed the same general distribution of racial or ethnic groups, though SBOE- and ISD-authorized charter school campuses enrolled a larger proportion of Hispanic and African American students and a smaller proportion of White students.

With respect to other student characteristics, traditional public school campuses served a student population that was majority economically disadvantaged (60%) with a smaller representation of students at risk of dropping out of school (53%) and emergent bilingual students/English learners (21%). Both SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses enrolled larger proportions of economically disadvantaged students (71% and 76%, respectively), and SBOE-authorized charter school campuses served a larger proportion of emergent bilingual students/English learners (31%). COE-authorized charter school campuses served smaller proportions of students at risk of dropping out of school (43%) and emergent bilingual students/English learners (14%) than traditional public school campuses. Generally, charter school campuses served smaller populations of students receiving special education services than traditional public school campuses.

TABLE 2.3

### Demographic Characteristics of Students Enrolled in Texas Public School Campuses, 2021–22

	Traditional Public School Campuses	Traditional Public School DAEP Campuses	Traditional Public School JJAEP Campuses	Traditional Public School Residential Treatment Facilities	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses, Residential Treatment Facilities	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses, Residential Treatment Facilities	ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>									
African American	12%	27%	19%	23%	17%	23%	16%	14%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	2%	<1%
Asian	5%	1%	<1%	1%	5%	<1%	4%	<1%	2%
Hispanic	52%	58%	58%	45%	64%	37%	49%	29%	65%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Two or more races	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%
White	28%	12%	20%	28%	12%	35%	27%	50%	13%
<b>Other Student Characteristics</b>									
At-Risk	53%	96%	95%	88%	55%	94%	43%	100%	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	60%	80%	70%	54%	71%	87%	62%	100%	76%
Emergent Bilingual Student/English Learner	21%	19%	16%	8%	31%	8%	14%	2%	22%
<b>Special Programs</b>									
Special Education	12%	17%	17%	36%	8%	36%	9%	26%	10%
<b>Total Students</b>	<b>4,976,107</b>	<b>5,657</b>	<b>650</b>	<b>1,893</b>	<b>359,956</b>	<b>2,085</b>	<b>14,835</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>65,467</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; DAEP = Disciplinary Alternative Education Program; JJAEP = Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program.

## Section 3: Aggregate Performance of SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses

This section of the report presents a comparison of the aggregate academic outcomes of SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. It provides results for aggregate outcome measures related to attrition, STAAR exams, graduation rates, CCMR, and TEA Accountability domains and overall ratings.<sup>24</sup> Campuses that did not have sufficient 2021–22 STAAR data to be included in the analytical sample of charter school campuses were excluded from the aggregate performance analysis.

### Campuses Included in the Aggregate Performance Analysis

Table 3.1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and the matched traditional public school campuses used in the aggregate performance analysis. After employing the purposive sampling technique described in detail in Appendix A, the population of traditional public schools was narrowed to those most similar in demographic characteristics to charter school campuses included in the report. Though the traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses generally mimicked the characteristics of the SBOE-authorized charter school campuses in the study, the matched traditional public school campuses included smaller proportions of Hispanic students (56% vs. 64%), economically disadvantaged students (68% vs. 71%), and emergent bilingual students/English learners (30% vs. 31%) and larger proportions of White students (18% vs. 12%), Asian students (6% vs. 5%), students identifying as two or more races (3% vs. 2%), students at risk of dropping out of school (59% vs. 55%), and special education students (11% vs. 8%). When the sampling technique was conducted on the sample of traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses, the matched traditional public school campuses served student populations that included smaller proportions of African American (14% vs. 17%) and economically disadvantaged students (74% vs. 76%) and larger proportions of Asian students (3% vs 2%), Hispanic students (66% vs. 65%), White students (14% vs 13%), students at risk of dropping out of school (64% vs. 56%), emergent bilingual students/English learners (29% vs. 22%), and students receiving special education services (12% vs. 10%).

<sup>24</sup> STAAR EOC exams reported in this section are aggregated performance results of all students taking EOCs and are not limited to only high school students.

**TABLE 3.1**

### Demographic Characteristics of Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22

	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
African American	17%	17%	17%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Asian	5%	6%	2%	3%
Hispanic	64%	56%	65%	66%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Two or more races	2%	3%	2%	2%
White	12%	18%	13%	14%
<b>Other Student Characteristics</b>				
At-Risk	55%	59%	56%	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	71%	68%	76%	74%
Emergent Bilingual Student/English Learner	31%	30%	22%	29%
<b>Special Programs</b>				
Special Education	8%	11%	10%	12%
<b>Total Students</b>	<b>359,956</b>	<b>1,010,516</b>	<b>65,467</b>	<b>2,052,673</b>
<b>Number of Schools</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>1,767</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>2,860</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. The number of schools includes the total number of traditional public school campuses matched to State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses, respectively.




## Attrition Rates

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022. Student attrition rates between the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years are displayed for SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses in Figure 3.1. The attrition rate for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 23%, compared with 21% at matched traditional public school campuses. The attrition rate for ISD-authorized charter school campuses was 26%, compared with 20% at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 3.1**

### Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses



Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22; Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22 and 2022–23.

Note. A total of 765 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses, 1,750 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, 123 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses, and 2,838 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in this attrition analysis.

## STAAR Results

This subsection of the analysis provides details on 2021–22 STAAR exam performance for SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. Figures in this section detail the percentage of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard and Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams (Grades 3 through 8) and STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams. The Approaches Grade Level standard serves as the state’s passing standard.<sup>25</sup> A more difficult achievement level to attain, the Masters Grade Level standard is a STAAR performance level indicating the student is expected to succeed in the


25 See [STAAR Performance Labels and Policy Definitions](#) for more information about the Approaches Grade Level standard.

next grade or course with little or no academic intervention.<sup>26</sup> Performance on STAAR-Science/Biology and STAAR-Social Studies/U.S. History exams can be found in Appendix C.

Figure 3.2 displays the percentage of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. A higher percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams than students at matched traditional public school campuses (77% vs. 75%), and a lower percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams than students at matched traditional public school campuses (70% vs. 73%). For the STAAR-English I EOC exam, larger percentages of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses (67% vs. 64%) and students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses (73% vs. 60%) achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses. Larger percentages of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses (72% vs. 71%) and students at ISD-authorized campuses (78% vs. 67%) achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-English II EOC exams than students at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 3.2**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.


Note. A total of 593 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 93 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Reading analysis, with 1,470 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,368 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 317 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 32 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 250 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 432 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 290 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 27 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with 231 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 389 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

26 See [STAAR Performance Labels and Policy Definitions](#) for more information about the Masters Grade Level standard.

Figure 3.3 shows the percentage of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exams by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. Lower percentages of students in Grades 3 through 8 at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses (67% vs. 68%) and at ISD-authorized charter school campuses (59% vs. 65%) achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams than students at matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam, a higher percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses (76% vs. 75%), and a higher percentage of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses (75% vs. 71%).

**FIGURE 3.3**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 592 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 93 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Mathematics analysis, with 1,468 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,367 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 401 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 57 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 433 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 896 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 3.4 displays the percentage of students achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. For STAAR-Reading, a higher percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard compared with students at matched traditional public school campuses (31% vs. 29%). Equal percentages of students in Grades 3 through 8 at ISD-authorized charter school campuses and at traditional public school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams (26%). At SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, lower percentages of students taking the STAAR-English I EOC exam (10% vs. 11%) and students taking the STAAR-English II EOC exam (8% vs. 9%) achieved the Masters Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses. Larger percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 7%) and the STAAR-English II EOC exam (9% vs. 6%) than students at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 3.4**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 593 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 93 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Reading analysis, with 1,470 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,368 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 317 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 32 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 250 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 432 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 290 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 27 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with 231 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 389 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 3.5 shows the percentage of students achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exams by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. For STAAR-Mathematics, lower percentages of students in Grades 3 through 8 at both SBOE-authorized charter school campuses (16% vs. 19%) and ISD-authorized charter school campuses (13% vs. 14%) achieved the Masters Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam than students at matched traditional public school campuses (24% vs. 26%), and a higher percentage of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam than students at matched traditional public school campuses (25% vs. 21%).

**FIGURE 3.5**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 592 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 93 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Mathematics analysis, with 1,468 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,367 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 401 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 57 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 433 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 896 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.


## Graduation Rates

The following subsection of this report compares the graduation rates of SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses with the graduation rates of matched traditional public school campuses for the class of 2021. For the purposes of this report, the graduation rate reported is the four-year longitudinal graduation rate calculated for state accountability purposes.

Figure 3.6 provides a comparison of the four-year longitudinal graduation rates at SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and their matched traditional public school campuses evaluated under standard accountability provisions. SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had a 97% four-year longitudinal graduation rate, while the matched traditional public school campuses had a 92% four-year longitudinal graduation rate. ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses each had a 91% four-year longitudinal graduation rate.

**FIGURE 3.6**

### Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions, Class of 2021




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 133 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses, 152 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, 21 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses, and 330 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in this analysis.

Figure 3.7 shows the four-year longitudinal graduation rates at SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and their matched traditional public school campuses evaluated under AEA provisions for the class of 2021 (see Table B.4 in Appendix B for the demographic characteristics of students attending campuses evaluated under AEA provisions included in this analysis). For schools evaluated under AEA provisions, the graduation rate calculation includes graduates, continuing students, and Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients. As shown in the figure, AEA SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had a 67% four-year longitudinal graduation rate, while the matched traditional public school campuses had an 88% four-year longitudinal graduation rate. The number of ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses evaluated under AEA provisions reporting graduation rates were too small to report in the figure (values for groups of less than 10 schools are masked).

**FIGURE 3.7**

### Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, Class of 2021



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 88 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses, 49 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, one Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campus, and one traditional public school campus matched to the ISD-authorized charter school campus were included in this analysis.

## College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes

The following subsection compares the percentage of CCMR outcomes at SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses with their matched traditional public school campuses for graduates in 2021. Table 3.2 displays the performance for each of the CCMR outcomes defined under TEC § 39.053(c) (2022).<sup>27, 28</sup>

- Meeting TSI criteria in ELA/reading and mathematics
- Meeting criteria on the AP or IB examination
- Earning dual course credits
- Earning an industry-based certification
- Earning a Level I or Level II certificate
- Completing and earning credit for an ELA college prep course
- Completing and earning credit for a mathematics college prep course
- Completing an OnRamps dual enrollment course
- Earning an associate’s degree
- Completing an IEP and demonstrating workforce readiness
- Being identified as a current special education student with an advanced diploma plan

Table 3.2 shows the CCMR outcomes at SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and their matched traditional public school campuses. SBOE-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages of graduates on three CCMR outcomes compared with their matched traditional public school campuses: 42% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met TSI college readiness benchmarks in both reading and mathematics, compared with 39% from matched traditional public school campuses; 31% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an AP or IB exam in any subject, compared with 18% from matched traditional public school campuses; and 5% of special education graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses graduated under an advanced diploma plan, compared with 4% from matched traditional public school campuses. For all other CCMR outcomes, matched traditional public school campuses reported higher percentages of CCMR graduates.

ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported the same or higher percentages of graduates for all except two CCMR outcomes when compared with their matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an AP or IB exam in any subject area (18% vs. 19%), and a lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses completed IEP and workforce readiness (1% vs. 2%) than matched traditional public school campuses. ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses reported equal percentages of graduates earning a Level I or Level II certificate in any workforce education area (1%), completing an OnRamps dual enrollment course, and qualifying for at least three hours of college credit (6%). For all other CCMR outcomes, ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages than matched traditional public school campuses.

<sup>27</sup> Appendix A describes CCMR outcome measures in detail.

<sup>28</sup> Per the [Accountability Manual for 2022](#) (page 10, PDF e-page 14): Due to discrepancies between annual enlistment counts for Texas military enlistees aged 17 to 19 released by the United States Department of Defense and the Texas Student Data System Public Education Information Management System’s military enlistment data for 2017 and 2018 annual graduates, military enlistment data is excluded from accountability calculations until such data can be obtained directly from the United States Armed Forces (TEA, 2022a).



**TABLE 3.2**

### Percent of College, Career, and Military Ready Graduates by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22

	SBOE- Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to SBOE- Authorized Charter School Campuses	ISD- Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to ISD- Authorized Charter School Campuses
Satisfying the Texas Success Initiative College Readiness Benchmarks in Both Reading and Mathematics	42%	39%	42%	34%
Meeting Criterion Score on an Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Exam in Any Subject Area	31%	18%	18%	19%
Earning College Credit for at Least 3 Credit Hours in ELA or Mathematics or at Least 9 Credit Hours in Any Subject	18%	37%	32%	23%
Earning an Industry-Based Certification	13%	19%	18%	17%
Earning Level I or Level II Certificate in Any Workforce Education Area	0%	1%	1%	1%
Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	6%	9%	14%	9%
Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	8%	10%	14%	11%
Completing OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course and Qualifying for at Least 3 Hours of College Credit	2%	4%	6%	6%
Earning an Associate's Degree While in High School	2%	11%	5%	3%
Completing Individualized Education Program and Workforce Readiness	1%	2%	1%	2%
Under an Advanced Diploma Plan and Identified as a Current Special Education Student	5%	4%	5%	4%

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Key. ELA = English Language Arts

Note. A total of 250 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses, 214 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, 23 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses, and 374 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in this analysis.

## TEA Accountability Domain Scores

This subsection of the report outlines the results of campus performance according to the TEA overall accountability rating and individual domain scores of the 2022 Texas Accountability Rating System. The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate the aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

- **Texas Accountability Rating System:** According to the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a), the Texas Accountability Rating System evaluates performance across three domains:<sup>29</sup>
  - **Student Achievement Domain** evaluates performance across all subjects for all students using general and alternate assessments, CCMR indicators, and graduation rates.
  - **School Progress Domain** measures district and campus outcomes in two areas: the number of students who grew at least one year academically (or are on track), as measured by STAAR results, and the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.
  - **Closing the Gaps Domain** uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain’s construction, align the state accountability system with the Every Student Succeeds Act.
- **TEA Overall Accountability Rating:** In addition to receiving individual domain scores, schools receive an overall rating based on a weighted combination of the better of the Student Achievement Domain or School Progress Domain (weighted 70%) and Closing the Gaps Domain (weighted 30%).<sup>30</sup> The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate the aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

---

29 Domain scores are described in Chapters 2-4 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

30 TEA overall Accountability Ratings are described in Chapter 5 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).


Figure 3.8 provides comparisons of TEA Accountability domain scores by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. The average Student Achievement Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 77, compared with 74 for matched traditional public school campuses. ISD-authorized charter school campuses, however, had a lower average Student Achievement Domain score than matched traditional public school campuses (69 vs. 72).

The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 84, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 83. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for ISD-authorized charter school campuses was 80, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 82. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was higher than the score for matched traditional public school campuses (77 vs. 75), and the average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for ISD-authorized charter school campuses was lower than that for matched traditional public school campuses (72 vs. 75).

The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 77, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 79; the average Closing the Gaps Domain score for ISD-authorized charter school campuses was 76, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 78.

**FIGURE 3.8**

### TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 738 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 109 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 1,689 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,740 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 3.9 shows the TEA overall accountability rating by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had an average overall accountability rating of 84, and their matched traditional public school campuses had an average overall accountability rating of 83. ISD-authorized charter school campuses had an average TEA overall accountability rating of 81, compared with 83 at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 3.9**

### TEA Overall Accountability Rating by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 738 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 122 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Overall Accountability Rating analysis, with 1,760 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,860 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

## Section 4: Aggregate Performance of SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses by School Level

Presented in this section of the report are aggregate academic outcomes of SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and their matched traditional public school campuses by school level.

In accordance with the prior Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability Report (Templeton et al., 2022), schools were classified as elementary, middle, or high school campuses based on the proportion of students served in each grade level. Schools serving equal proportions of two grade-level types were classified into the higher grade-level category. For example, a school serving 50% of students in kindergarten through fifth grade and 50% of students in sixth through eighth grades was classified as a middle school.

- **Elementary schools** serve a larger proportion of students in kindergarten through Grade 5,
- **Middle schools** serve a larger proportion of students in Grades 6 through 8, and
- **High schools** serve a larger proportion of students in Grades 9 through 12.

This section provides results for aggregate outcome measures related to attrition, STAAR exams, and TEA Accountability domains and overall ratings.<sup>31</sup>

---


31 Graduation and CCMR outcomes are reported for high schools in Section 3.

### Attrition Rates Disaggregated by School Level

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022. In Figure 4.1, student attrition rates between the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years are displayed by school level for SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses and their matched traditional public school campuses. SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses reported a higher attrition rate than matched traditional public school campuses (23% vs. 22%), as did middle schools (21% vs. 19%) and high schools (27% vs. 19%). ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses reported a lower attrition rate than their matched traditional public school campuses (21% vs. 22%) and higher attrition rates at the middle school (22% vs. 17%) and high school (34% vs. 18%) levels.

**FIGURE 4.1**

### Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, by School Level



Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22; Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22 and 2022–23.


Note. The number of State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized campuses included in the analyses: elementary (n=415); middle (n=149); high (n=201). The number of matched traditional public school campuses for SBOE-authorized charter school campuses included in the analyses: elementary (n=1,295); middle (n=220); high (n=235). The number of Independent School District (ISD)-authorized campuses included in the analyses: elementary (n=72); middle (n=27); high (n=24). The number of matched traditional public school campuses for ISD-authorized charter school campuses included in the analyses: elementary (n=1,913); middle (n=543); high (n=382).

## STAAR Results Disaggregated by School Level

When STAAR performance among SBOE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses is disaggregated at the school level and compared with matched traditional public school campuses, differences in performance surface. Figure 4.2 displays the percentage of students in elementary schools meeting the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams. At SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses, a higher percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (77% vs. 76%) and a lower percentage of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exams (67% vs. 71%) than students at matched traditional public elementary schools. On both the STAAR-Reading (66% vs. 72%) and STAAR-Mathematics (61% vs. 67%) exams, lower percentages of students in Grades 3 through 8 at ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than at matched traditional public elementary schools.

**FIGURE 4.2**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Elementary School Campuses)




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 391 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 60 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in these State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-analyses, with 1,233 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 1,807 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.3 displays the percentage of students in middle schools meeting the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams. At the middle school level, 78% of students at SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exam, compared with 70% at matched traditional public middle school campuses, and 66% of students at SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exam, compared with 58% at matched traditional public middle school campuses. At ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses, 67% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams, compared with 74% at matched traditional public middle school campuses, and 57% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams, compared with 63% at matched traditional public middle school campuses.

**FIGURE 4.3**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.


Note. A total of 149 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 27 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in these State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®) analyses, with 222 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 551 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.



Figure 4.4 displays the percentage of students in middle schools meeting the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams. At SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses, 76% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-English I EOC exams, compared with 94% at matched traditional public middle school campuses. On STAAR-English II EOC exams, 80% of students in SBOE-authorized charter middle schools achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard. The numbers of ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses and matched traditional public middle school campuses reporting performances on STAAR-English I and English II EOC exams were too small to report (values for groups of less than 10 schools are masked), as was the number of matched traditional public school campuses for SBOE-authorized middle school campuses reporting performance on the STAAR-English II EOC exam. A lower percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter middle schools achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam than students at matched traditional public middle schools (83% vs. 94%). At ISD-authorized charter middle schools, 82% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam, compared with 95% at matched traditional public middle school campuses.

**FIGURE 4.4**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 58 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and seven Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 20 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 50 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 35 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and three ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with three traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 10 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 115 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 23 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I EOC analysis, with 199 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 500 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.5 displays the percentage of students in high schools meeting the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams. For the STAAR-English I and STAAR-English II EOC exams, lower percentages of students at SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public high schools (63% vs. 64% and 70% vs. 71%, respectively). A higher percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam than matched traditional public high school campuses (70% vs. 67%). When compared with matched traditional public high school campuses, higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter high school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (72% vs. 60%), STAAR-English II EOC exam (78% vs. 67%), and STAAR Algebra I EOC exam (71% vs. 65%).

**FIGURE 4.5**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (High School Campuses)




Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 193 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 22 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 227 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 377 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 195 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 22 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with 226 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 376 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 190 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 22 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I EOC analysis, with 217 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 372 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.6 shows the percentage of students in elementary school campuses achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams. At SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses, 30% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams, compared with 31% at matched traditional public elementary school campuses, and 17% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams, compared with 22% at matched traditional public elementary school campuses. At ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses, 22% of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams, compared with 24% at matched traditional public elementary school campuses, and 16% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams, compared with 17% at matched traditional public elementary school campuses.

**FIGURE 4.6**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Elementary School Campuses)




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 391 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 60 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in these State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®) analyses, with 1,233 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 1,807 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.7 shows the percentage of students in middle school campuses achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams. Higher percentages of students at SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading (32% vs. 25%) and STAAR-Mathematics (13% vs. 9%) exams than matched traditional public middle school campuses. At ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses, a lower percentage of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams than students at matched traditional public middle school campuses (23% vs 28%), and the same percentage of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams at ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses and matched traditional public middle school campuses (11%).

**FIGURE 4.7**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 149 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 27 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in these State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®) analyses, with 222 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 551 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.8 shows the percentage of students in middle schools achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams. At SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses, 16% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam, compared with 17% at traditional matched public middle school campuses, and 12% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English II EOC exam. The numbers of ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses and matched traditional public middle school campuses reporting performances on STAAR-English I and English II EOC exams were too small to report in the figure (values for groups of less than 10 schools are masked), as was the number of matched traditional public middle school campuses for SBOE-authorized middle school campuses reporting performance on the STAAR-English II EOC exam. On the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam, 31% of students at SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses and 51% of students at matched traditional public middle school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard, whereas 44% of students at ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses and 56% of students at matched traditional public middle school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard.

**FIGURE 4.8**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (Middle School Campuses)




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 58 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and seven Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 20 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 50 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 35 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and three ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with three traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 10 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 115 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 23 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I EOC analysis, with 199 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 500 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.9 shows the percentage of students in high school campuses achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams. At SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses, 8% of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam, compared with 11% at matched traditional public high school campuses, and 7% achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English II EOC exam, compared with 9% at matched traditional public high school campuses. A higher percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (17%) than students at matched traditional public high school campuses (15%). Higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter high school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (10% vs. 7%), the STAAR-English II EOC exam (9% vs. 6%), and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (16% vs. 13%) than students at matched traditional public high school campuses.

**FIGURE 4.9**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the 2021–22 STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses (High School Campuses)



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 193 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 22 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis, with 227 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 377 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 195 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 22 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis, with 226 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 376 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses. A total of 190 SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 22 ISD-authorized charter school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I EOC analysis, with 217 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 372 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

## TEA Accountability Domain Scores Disaggregated by School Level

This subsection of the report outlines the results of campus performance according to the TEA overall accountability rating and individual domain scores of the 2022 Texas Accountability Rating System disaggregated by school level. The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate the aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

- **Texas Accountability Rating System:** According to the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a), the Texas Accountability Rating System evaluates performance across three domains:<sup>32</sup>
  - **Student Achievement Domain** evaluates performance across all subjects for all students using general and alternate assessments, CCMR indicators, and graduation rates.
  - **School Progress Domain** measures district and campus outcomes in two areas: the number of students who grew at least one year academically (or are on track), as measured by STAAR results, and the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.
  - **Closing the Gaps Domain** uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain's construction, align the state accountability system with the Every Student Succeeds Act.
- **TEA Overall Accountability Rating:** In addition to receiving individual domain scores, schools receive an overall rating based on a weighted combination of the better of the Student Achievement Domain or School Progress Domain (weighted 70%) and Closing the Gaps Domain (weighted 30%).<sup>33</sup> The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

The domain scores and overall accountability ratings displayed in the figures below are averages of campus scores per type.

---


32 Domain scores are described in Chapters 2-4 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

33 TEA overall Accountability Ratings are described in Chapter 5 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

Figure 4.10 provides comparisons of TEA Accountability domain scores for elementary school campuses by charter authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. The average Student Achievement Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 73, the same as matched traditional public elementary school campuses. The average School Progress, Academic Growth, Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 84, compared with the matched traditional public elementary school campus average of 85. The average School Progress, Relative Performance, Domain scores for SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses and matched traditional public elementary school campuses scores were 73. The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 77, compared with the matched traditional public elementary school campus average of 80. For ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses, the average Student Achievement Domain score was 63, compared with 70 for traditional public elementary school campuses. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 82, compared with the matched traditional public elementary school campus average of 85. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 66, compared with 74 for matched traditional public elementary school campuses. The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 74, compared with the matched traditional public elementary school campus average of 78.

**FIGURE 4.10**

### TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (Elementary School Campuses)



Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.


Note. A total of 391 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 60 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 1,233 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 1,807 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.



Figure 4.11 provides comparisons of TEA Accountability domain scores for middle school campuses by authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. The average Student Achievement Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses was 77, compared with 68 for traditional public middle school campuses. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses was 85, compared with the matched traditional public middle school campus average of 76. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses was higher than matched traditional public middle school campuses (81 vs. 73). The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses was 81, compared with the matched traditional public middle school campus average of 75. For ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses, the average Student Achievement Domain score was 68, compared with 72 for traditional public middle school campuses. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses was 75, compared with the matched traditional public middle school campus average of 77. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 73, and the average score for matched traditional public middle school campuses was 76. The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses was 75, compared with the matched traditional public middle school campus average of 77.

**FIGURE 4.11**

### TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (Middle School Campuses)




Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 149 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 27 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 222 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 551 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.12 provides comparisons of TEA Accountability domain scores for high school campuses by authorizer type and matched traditional public school campuses. The average Student Achievement Domain scores for both SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses and matched traditional public high school campuses was 85. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses was 82, compared with the matched traditional public high school campus average of 77. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses was lower than matched traditional public high school campuses (85 vs. 86). The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses was 74, compared with the matched traditional public high school campus average of 80. For ISD-authorized charter high school campuses, the average Student Achievement Domain score was 84, compared with 81 for matched traditional public high school campuses. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for ISD-authorized charter high school campuses was 80, compared with the matched traditional public high school campus average of 74. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for ISD-authorized charter high school campuses was 86, and the average score for matched traditional public high school campuses scores was 82. The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for ISD-authorized charter high school campuses was 82, compared with the matched traditional public high school campus average of 76.

**FIGURE 4.12**

### TEA Accountability Domain Scores by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22 (High School Campuses)




Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 150 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 22 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 234 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 382 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 4.13 shows the average overall accountability rating for charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses by authorizer type and grade level. The average overall rating score for SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 83, compared with an average overall score of 84 for matched traditional public elementary school campuses, and the average overall rating score for ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses was 81, compared with an average overall rating score of 84 for matched traditional public elementary school campuses. At SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses, the average overall rating score was 85, compared with 77 at matched traditional public middle school campuses, and at ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses, the average overall rating score was 77, compared with 79 at matched traditional public middle school campuses. The average overall rating score for SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses was 87, compared with an average overall score of 86 for matched traditional public high school campuses, and the average overall rating score for ISD-authorized charter high school campuses was 86, compared with an average overall rating score of 82 for matched traditional public high school campuses.

**FIGURE 4.13**

### TEA Overall Accountability Rating by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, by School Level, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 391 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter elementary school campuses and 73 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter elementary school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 1,304 traditional public elementary school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter elementary school campuses and 1,927 traditional public elementary school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter elementary school campuses. A total of 149 SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses and 27 ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 222 traditional public middle school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter middle school campuses and 551 traditional public middle school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter middle school campuses. A total of 198 SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses and 22 ISD-authorized charter high school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Score analysis, with 234 traditional public high school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter high school campuses and 382 traditional public high school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter high school campuses.

## Section 5: Aggregate Performance of COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses

Presented in this section of the report is a comparison of the aggregate academic outcomes of COE-authorized charter school campuses with matched traditional public school campuses. It provides results for aggregate outcome measures related to attrition, STAAR exams, and TEA Accountability domains and overall ratings.<sup>34</sup> Campuses that did not have sufficient 2021–22 STAAR data to be included in the analytical sample of charter school campuses were excluded from the aggregate performance analysis. Due to the small number of campuses, aggregate outcome measures related to CCMR and graduation rates are not displayed, and performance is not disaggregated by school type.

### Campuses Included in the Aggregate Performance Analysis

Table 5.1 shows the demographic characteristics of students enrolled at COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. After the application of the purposive sampling technique described in detail in Appendix A to narrow the subset of traditional public schools to those most closely matched to the demographics of COE-authorized charter school campuses in the study, the demographic characteristics of students in both types of schools were similar. COE-authorized charter school campuses enrolled a lower percentage of African American students (16% vs. 18%), Asian students (4% vs. 5%), Hispanic students (49% vs. 53%), students at risk of dropping out of school (43% vs. 58%), economically disadvantaged students (62% vs. 69%), emergent bilingual students/English learners (14% vs. 28%), and special education students (9% vs. 13%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses. COE-authorized charter school campuses enrolled higher percentages of White students (27% vs. 20%) and students identifying as two or more races (4% vs. 3%) than matched traditional public school campuses and the same percentages of American Indian or Alaska Native students (<1%) and Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander students (<1%) as matched traditional public school campuses.

---

<sup>34</sup> STAAR EOC exams reported in this section are aggregated performance results of all students taking EOCs and are not limited to only high school students.

**TABLE 5.1**

### Demographic Characteristics of COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22

	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>		
African American	16%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	<1%	<1%
Asian	4%	5%
Hispanic	49%	53%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	<1%	<1%
Two or more races	4%	3%
White	27%	20%
<b>Other Student Characteristics</b>		
At-Risk	43%	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	62%	69%
Emergent Bilingual Student/English Learner	14%	28%
<b>Special Programs</b>		
Special Education	9%	13%
<b>Total Students</b>	<b>14,769</b>	<b>729,419</b>
<b>Number of Schools</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1,416</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.


Note. The number of schools includes the total number of traditional public school campuses matched to commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses.

## Attrition Rates

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022. Student attrition rates between the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years are displayed for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses in Figure 5.1. COE-authorized charter school campuses had a 30% attrition rate, compared with a 22% attrition rate at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 5.1**

### Student Attrition Rates Between 2021–22 and 2022–23 for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses



Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22; Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22 and 2022–23.

Note. A total of 45 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses and 1,402 traditional public school campuses matched to COE-authorized charter school campuses were included in this analysis.


## STAAR Results

Analyzed in this section of the report are the percentages of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard and Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading and STAAR-Mathematics exams taken by elementary and middle school students in Grades 3 through 8 and the STAAR-English I, English II, and Algebra I EOC exams taken by middle and high school students.

Figure 5.2 displays the percentage of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Reading exams administered to Grades 3 through 8, 79% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard at COE-authorized charter school campuses, compared with 74% of students at matched traditional public school campuses. At COE-authorized charter school campuses, a lower percentage of students taking the STAAR-English I EOC exam (76% vs. 79%) and a higher percentage of students taking the STAAR-English II EOC exam (79% vs. 76%) achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 5.2**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 36 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses and 1,340 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Reading analysis. A total of 14 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 20 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis. A total of 11 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 10 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis.

Figure 5.3 displays the percentage of students achieving the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exams for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Mathematics exams administered to Grades 3 through 8, 65% of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses and 67% of students at matched traditional public school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard. For the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam, 76% of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses and 90% of students at matched traditional public school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard.

**FIGURE 5.3**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.


Note. A total of 36 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses and 1,340 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Mathematics analysis. A total of 18 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 137 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) analysis.



Figure 5.4 displays the percentage of students achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams. For the STAAR-Reading exams, 32% of students in Grades 3 through 8 at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard, compared with 27% of students at matched traditional public school campuses. At COE-authorized charter school campuses, higher percentages of students taking the STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 8%) and the STAAR-English II EOC exam (11% vs. 6%) achieved the Masters Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses.

**FIGURE 5.4**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Reading/English Language Arts Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

Note. A total of 36 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses and 1,340 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Reading analysis. A total of 14 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 20 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-English I end-of-course (EOC) analysis. A total of 11 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 10 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-English II EOC analysis.

Figure 5.5 shows the percentage of students achieving the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Mathematics exams for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. For STAAR-Mathematics exams administered to students in Grades 3 through 8, a lower percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard than students at matched traditional public school campuses (13% vs. 18%). And a lower percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam than students at matched traditional public school campuses (20% vs. 43%).

**FIGURE 5.5**

### Percent of Students Achieving the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Mathematics Exams for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

Note. A total of 36 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses and 1,340 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Mathematics analysis. A total of 18 COE-authorized charter school campuses and 137 matched traditional public school campuses were included in the STAAR-Algebra I end-of-course (EOC) analysis.

## TEA Accountability Domain Scores

This subsection of the report outlines the results of campus performance according to the TEA overall accountability rating and individual domain scores of the 2022 Texas Accountability Rating System. The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

- **Texas Accountability Rating System:** According to the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a), the Texas Accountability Rating System evaluates performance across three domains:<sup>35</sup>
  - **Student Achievement Domain** evaluates performance across all subjects for all students using general and alternate assessments, CCMR indicators, and graduation rates.
  - **School Progress Domain** measures district and campus outcomes in two areas: the number of students who grew at least one year academically (or are on track), as measured by STAAR results, and the achievement of all students relative to districts or campuses with similar economically disadvantaged percentages.
  - **Closing the Gaps Domain** uses disaggregated data to demonstrate differentials among racial/ethnic groups, socioeconomic backgrounds, and other factors. The indicators included in this domain, as well as the domain's construction, align the state accountability system with the Every Student Succeeds Act.
- **TEA Overall Accountability Rating:** In addition to receiving individual domain scores, schools receive an overall rating based on a weighted combination of the better of the Student Achievement Domain or School Progress Domain (weighted 70%) and Closing the Gaps Domain (weighted 30%).<sup>36</sup> The TEA overall accountability rating is reported to fulfill the requirement to rate the aggregate performance of campuses under TEC §12.1013(d)(2) (2022).

---


35 Domain scores are described in Chapters 2-4 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

36 TEA overall Accountability Ratings are described in Chapter 5 of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#).

The domain scores and overall accountability ratings displayed in the figures below are averages of campus scores per type. Figure 5.6 provides comparisons of TEA Accountability domain scores for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. The average Student Achievement Domain score for COE-authorized charter school campuses was 69, compared with 72 for matched traditional public school campuses. The average School Progress Domain, Academic Growth score for COE-authorized charter school campuses was 81, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 83. The average School Progress Domain, Relative Performance score for COE-authorized charter school campuses was lower than the average score for matched traditional public school campuses (67 vs. 73). The average Closing the Gaps Domain score for COE-authorized charter school campuses was 73, compared with the matched traditional public school campus average of 82.

**FIGURE 5.6**

### TEA Accountability Domain Scores for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 38 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Scores analysis, with 1,347 traditional public school campuses matched to COE-authorized charter school campuses.

Figure 5.7 shows the TEA overall accountability rating for COE-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses. COE-authorized charter school campuses had an average overall accountability rating of 77, and their matched traditional public school campuses had an average overall accountability rating of 82.

**FIGURE 5.7**

### **TEA Overall Accountability Rating for COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22**



Sources. Texas Academic Performance Reports and Texas Accountability Rating System, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 38 commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses were included in this TEA Accountability Domain Scores analysis, with 1,416 traditional public school campuses matched to COE-authorized charter school campuses.

## Section 6: Discussion of Findings

### Overview

The number of operating charter schools nationally increased from about 3,700 to approximately 7,800 between the academic years 2005–06 and 2020–21. Student enrollment in charter schools also experienced substantial growth during that period, rising from about 1 million students in 2005–06 to about 3.7 million students in 2020–21 (White, 2022). In Texas, 996 charter school campuses enrolled 442,842 students in the 2021–22 academic year. Approximately 82% of charter school campuses operating in Texas that year (821) were classified as SBOE-authorized charter school campuses; approximately 12% (124) were ISD-authorized charter school campuses; and about 5% (51) were COE-authorized charter school campuses.

### Summary of Results

The analyses included in this report compare overall campus-level performance metrics across the three classifications of charter school campuses with their matched traditional public school campuses. The matching techniques employed in these analyses ensured the selection of traditional public school campuses with similar student enrollment characteristics to examine and compare the descriptive statistics across different measures of campus performance. Appendix A includes a detailed description of the matching process.

The overall campus-level performance results were examined across several outcomes: attrition rates; STAAR exams; four-year longitudinal graduation rates for schools evaluated under AEA and standard accountability provisions; CCMR outcomes for ISD- and SBOE-authorized charter school campuses; and TEA accountability domains and overall ratings.

### Attrition

COE-authorized, ISD-authorized, and SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had higher attrition rates than their matched traditional public school campuses. COE-authorized charter school campuses had a 30% attrition rate, compared with 22% at matched traditional public school campuses. SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had an attrition rate of 23%, compared with 21% at matched traditional public school campuses. And ISD-authorized charter school campuses had a 26% attrition rate, compared with 20% at matched traditional public school campuses.

### STAAR Results

At SBOE-authorized charter school campuses, 67% of students taking the STAAR-Mathematics exams and 76% of students taking the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam met the Approaches Grade Level standard, compared with 68% and 75%, respectively, at matched traditional public school campuses. Lower percentages of students achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (16% vs. 19%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (24% vs. 26%) at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses compared with matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams, a higher percentage of students in Grades 3 through 8 at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (77% vs. 75%), STAAR-English I EOC exam (67% vs. 64%), and STAAR-English II EOC exam (72% vs. 71%) than students at matched traditional public school campuses. A higher percentage of students at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams (31% vs. 29%) and lower percentages achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (10% vs. 11%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (8% vs. 9%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

At ISD-authorized charter school campuses, 59% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams, compared with 65% of students at matched traditional public school campuses, and 75% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-

Algebra I EOC exam, compared with 71% at matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (13% vs. 14%) and a higher percentage achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (25% vs. 21%) than matched traditional public school campuses. For STAAR-Reading exams, 70% of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard at ISD-authorized charter school campuses, compared with 73% of students at matched traditional public school campuses. Higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (73% vs. 60%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (78% vs. 67%) than at matched traditional public school campuses. Equal percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Reading exams (26%), while higher percentages of students at ISD-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 7%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (9% vs. 6%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

At COE-authorized charter school campuses, lower percentages of students achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (65% vs. 67%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (76% vs. 90%) than matched traditional public school campuses. Lower percentages of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on STAAR-Mathematics exams (13% vs. 18%) and the STAAR-Algebra I EOC exam (20% vs. 43%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses. For the STAAR-Reading/ELA exams, a higher percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (79% vs. 74%) and STAAR-English II EOC exam (79% vs. 76%) and a lower percentage achieved the Approaches Grade Level standard on the STAAR-English I EOC exam (76% vs. 79%) than matched traditional public school campuses. A higher percentage of students at COE-authorized charter school campuses achieved the Masters Grade Level standard on the STAAR-Reading exams (32% vs. 27%), STAAR-English I EOC exam (11% vs. 8%), and STAAR-English II EOC exam (11% vs. 6%) compared with matched traditional public school campuses.

### **Grade 9 Four-Year Longitudinal Graduation Rates for the Class of 2021**

SBOE-authorized charter school campuses evaluated under standard accountability provisions had a four-year longitudinal graduation rate of 97%, compared with matched traditional public school campuses, which had a rate of 92%. The four-year longitudinal graduation rate at ISD-authorized charter school campuses was 91%, the same as matched traditional public school campuses. Additionally, four-year longitudinal graduation rates for campuses evaluated under AEA provisions were examined; the graduation rate at SBOE-authorized charter school campuses was 67%, compared with 88% at their matched traditional public school campuses. For ISD-authorized charter school campuses evaluated under AEA provisions, the graduation rate is masked due to the limited number of schools (one) operating under these provisions and was thus ineligible for this analysis.

### **College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes**

SBOE-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages of graduates on three CCMR outcomes compared with their matched traditional public school campuses: 42% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met TSI college readiness benchmarks in both reading and mathematics, compared with 39% from matched traditional public school campuses; 31% of graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an AP or IB exam in any subject, compared with 18% from matched traditional public school campuses; and 5% of special education graduates from SBOE-authorized charter school campuses graduated under an advanced diploma plan, compared with 4% from matched traditional public school campuses. For all other CCMR outcomes, matched traditional public school campuses reported higher percentages of CCMR graduates.<sup>37</sup>

<sup>37</sup> Per the [TEA Accountability Manual for 2022](#) (page 10, PDF e-page 14): Due to discrepancies between annual enlistment counts for Texas military enlistees aged 17 to 19 released by the United States Department of Defense and the Texas Student Data System Public Education Information Management System's military enlistment data for 2017 and 2018 annual graduates, military enlistment data is excluded from accountability calculations until such data can be obtained directly from the United States Armed Forces (TEA, 2022a).

ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported the same or higher percentages of graduates for all except two CCMR outcomes when compared with their matched traditional public school campuses. A lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses met the criterion score on an AP or IB exam in any subject area (18% vs. 19%), and a lower percentage of graduates from ISD-authorized charter school campuses completed IEP and workforce readiness (1% vs. 2%) than matched traditional public school campuses. ISD-authorized charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses reported equal percentages of graduates earning a Level I or Level II certificate in any workforce education area (1%), completing an OnRamps dual enrollment course, and qualifying for at least three hours of college credit (6%). For all other CCMR outcomes, ISD-authorized charter school campuses reported higher percentages of CCMR graduates than matched traditional public school campuses.

### **TEA Accountability Domain Scores**

Under the Texas Accountability Rating System, campuses are scored in three domains—Student Achievement, School Progress (Academic Growth and Relative Performance), and Closing the Gaps—and they are also given an overall accountability rating. Each domain score and the overall Accountability Rating are on a scale of zero to 100 points. With the exception of the Closing the Gaps Domain (77 vs. 79), SBOE-authorized charter school campuses had average scores higher than those of matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (77 vs. 74); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (84 vs. 83); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (77 vs. 75), and the overall accountability rating (84 vs. 83).

ISD-authorized charter school campuses had lower average scores in all categories when compared with matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (69 vs. 72); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (80 vs. 82); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (72 vs. 75); Closing the Gaps Domain (76 vs. 78); and the overall accountability rating (81 vs. 83).

COE-authorized charter school campuses had average scores lower than those of matched traditional public school campuses: Student Achievement Domain (69 vs. 72); School Progress Domain, Academic Growth (81 vs. 83); School Progress Domain, Relative Performance (67 vs. 73); Closing the Gaps Domain (73 vs. 82); and the overall accountability rating (77 vs. 82).

### **Study Limitations**

This report provides a detailed description of charter school campuses and matched traditional public school campuses intended for public comparison of school types. While a combination of sampling techniques was used to identify demographically similar traditional public school campuses as the matched set for comparison, inferences regarding the performance of charter schools relative to traditional public schools cannot be made using this report. In order to suggest the performance of one type of school is consistently better or worse than another, statistical tools controlling for observed and unobserved characteristics influencing performance would need to be in place and inferential statistical analysis employed. Additionally, careful interpretation of the comparisons with COE-authorized and ISD-authorized charter school campuses provided in this report is necessary because of the small number of campuses in each category.

Because of the award of new charter schools, the closure of low-performing charter schools, and the expansion of existing charters, comparison of results should be made with caution. As a final note of caution, although the passage of SB 2 in 2013 resulted in the policy process change in charter school authorization, the reader is cautioned against attributing differences presented in this report solely to this change. Rather, differences may be attributable to other changes occurring over time, such as differences in the charter school applicant makeup, other process changes, and/or changes in leadership—none of which could be accounted for within the scope of this report.



## References

- Abedi, J., & Gándara, P. (2006). Performance of English language learners as a subgroup in large-scale assessment: Interaction of research and policy. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 25(4), 36–46.
- Aitkin, M., & Longford, N. (1986). Statistical modelling issues in school effectiveness studies. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (General)*, 149(1), 1–43.
- Angrist, J. D., Pathak, P. A., & Walters, C. R. (2013). Explaining charter school effectiveness. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 5(4), 1–27.
- Baude, P. L., Casey, M., Hanushek, E. A., Phelan, G. R., & Rivkin, S. G. (2020). The evolution of charter school quality. *Economica*, 87(345), 158–189.
- Betts, J. R., & Tang, Y. E. (2019). The effects of charter schools on student achievement. In M. Berends, R. J. Waddington, & J. Schoenig (Eds.), *School choice at the crossroads: Research perspectives* (pp. 67–89). Routledge.
- Bifulco, R., & Ladd, H. F. (2006). The impacts of charter schools on student achievement: Evidence from North Carolina. *Education Finance and Policy*, 1(1), 50–90.
- Booker, K., Gilpatric, S. M., Gronberg, T., & Jansen, D. (2008). The effect of charter schools on traditional public school students in Texas: Are children who stay behind left behind? *Journal of Urban Economics*, 64(1), 123–145.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Center for Research on Education Outcomes (2017). Charter school performance in Texas. [https://credo.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/texas\\_2017.pdf](https://credo.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/texas_2017.pdf)
- Clotfelter, C. T., Ladd, H. F., & Vigdor, J. L. (2007). Teacher credentials and student achievement: Longitudinal analysis with student fixed effects. *Economics of Education Review*, 26(6), 673–682.
- Cohodes, S. R., & Parham, K. S. (2021). Charter schools' effectiveness, mechanisms, and competitive influence. Working Paper 28477. *National Bureau of Economic Research*. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w28477>
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2004). The color line in American education: Race, resources, and student achievement. *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*, 1(2), 213–246.
- Fowler, W. J., Jr., & Walberg, H. J. (1991). School size, characteristics, and outcomes. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 13(2), 189–202.
- Ghosh, D., & Vogt, A. (2012). Outliers: An evaluation of methodologies. In *Joint Statistical Meetings*, 3455–3460.
- Gleason, P., Clark, M., Tuttle, C. C., & Dwoyer, E. (2010). *The evaluation of charter school impacts: Final report* (NCEE 2010-4029). National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED510573.pdf>
- Greevy, R., Lu, B., Silber, J. H., & Rosenbaum, P. (2004). Optimal multivariate matching before randomization. *Biostatistics*, 5(2), 263–275.
- Han, E. S., & Keefe, J. (2020). The impact of charter school competition on student achievement of traditional public schools after 25 years: Evidence from national district-level panel data. *Journal of School Choice*, 14(3), 429–467.
- Heck, R. H. (2000). Examining the impact of school quality on school outcomes and improvement: A value-added approach. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 36(4), 513–552.
- Hill, P. W., & Rowe, K. J. (1996). Multilevel modelling in school effectiveness research. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 7(1), 1–34.
- Hoxby, C. M., & Rockoff, J. E. (2004). *The impact of charter schools on student achievement*. Cambridge, MA: Department of Economics, Harvard University.
- Lubienski, C., Gulosino, C., & Weitzel, P. (2009). School choice and competitive incentives: Mapping the distribution of educational opportunities across local education markets. *American Journal of Education*, 115(4), 601–647.
- McCaffrey, D. F., Lockwood, J. R., Koretz, D., Louis, T. A., & Hamilton, L. (2004). Models for value-added modeling of teacher effects. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 29(1), 67–101.
- Raudenbush, S. W., & Willms, J. D. (1995). The estimation of school effects. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 20(4), 307–335.
- Sass, T. R. (2006). Charter schools and student achievement in Florida. *Education Finance and Policy*, 1(1), 91–122.

- Shakeel, M. D., & Peterson, P. E. (2020). Changes in the performance of students in charter and district sectors of U.S. education: An analysis of nationwide trends. *Journal of School Choice, 14*(4), 604–632.
- Spees, L. P., & Lauen, D. L. (2019). Evaluating charter school achievement growth in North Carolina: Differentiated effects among disadvantaged students, stayers, and switchers. *American Journal of Education, 125*(3), 417–451.
- Templeton, T., Horn, C. L., & Sands, S. (2022). *Texas charter authorizer accountability report, 2020–21 school year*. Texas Education Agency. <https://www.uh.edu/education/research/institutes-centers/erc/reports-publications/2020-21-texas-charter-authorizer-accountability-report.pdf>
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 12, Human Resources. (2023). Retrieved May 17, 2023, from [http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx\\$.startup](http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx$.startup)
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 19, Education. (2023). Retrieved May 17, 2023, from [http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx\\$.startup](http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx$.startup)
- Texas Administrative Code, Title 37, Public Safety and Corrections. (2023). Retrieved May 17, 2023, from [http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx\\$.startup](http://texreg.sos.state.tx.us/public/tacctx$.startup)
- Texas Education Agency. (2022). *2021–22 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) Glossary*. <https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2022/index.html>
- Texas Education Agency. (2022a). *2022 Accountability Manual*. <https://tea.texas.gov/sites/default/files/2022-accountability-manual-full.pdf>
- Texas Education Agency. (2022b). *Secondary school completion and dropouts in Texas public schools, 2020–21* (Document No. GE23 601 01). Austin, TX: Author.
- Texas Education Code. (2022). *2022 Texas school law bulletin*. Park City, UT: Blue360° Media.
- Uttermark, M. J., Mackie, K. R., Weissert, C. S., & Artiles, A. (2023). The boundaries of competition: Examining charter schools' impact on traditional schools. *Educational Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08959048221142049>
- White, Jamison. (2022, December 6). How many charter schools and students are there? National Alliance for Public Charter Schools. <https://data.publiccharters.org/digest/charter-school-data-digest/how-many-charter-schools-and-students-are-there/>
- Winters, M. A. (2012). Measuring the effect of charter schools on public school student achievement in an urban environment: Evidence from New York City. *Economics of Education Review, 31*(2), 293–301.
- Wolf, P. J., Cheng, A., Batdorf, M., Maloney, L. D., May, J. F., & Speakman, S. T. (2014). *The productivity of public charter schools*. School Choice Demonstration Project. <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1005&context=scdp>
- Wong, V. C., Hallberg, K., & Cook, T. D. (2013). *Intact school matching in education: Exploring the relative importance of focal and local matching*. Society for Research on Educational Effectiveness.
- Zimmer, R., Gill, B., Booker, K., Lavertu, S., & Witte, J. (2012). Examining charter student achievement effects across seven states. *Economics of Education Review, 31*(2), 213–224.

## Appendix A: Detailed Methods Description

This appendix provides a detailed description of the different methodological approaches employed to fulfill the statutory requirements of this annual report. Under Texas Education Code (TEC) § 12.1013 (b) (2022), the Texas Charter Authorizer Accountability Report must provide an opportunity for the public to compare the performance of State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized, Independent School District (ISD)-authorized, and commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses with the performance of matched traditional public school campuses. Specific performance metrics codified under TEC § 39.053(c) (2022) and attrition rates are required to be included in the report, disaggregated by grade level served.

### Purposive Sampling

The purpose of creating a matched set of traditional public school campuses for comparison is to optimize the covariate balance between the two comparison groups. In the education literature, several covariates, or those elements of the population that influence outcomes, are well documented to influence school outcomes (Aitkin & Longford, 1986; Hill & Rowe, 1996; McCaffrey et al., 2004). In order for this study to create matched groups for comparison, the covariate balance between groups is of utmost importance (Greevy et al., 2004; Raudenbush & Willms, 1995). The procedure used to create a matched sample of traditional public school campuses for comparison to charter school campuses is described in detail below.

The expansive research conducted in the field of education and the comparative nature of this study allow for the use of purposive sampling to select the matched set of traditional public school campuses. In purposive sampling, the researcher uses education literature to guide the selection of covariates of the population important to the study (Bryman, 2016). Specifically in the examination of school outcomes, literature has documented several important covariates for consideration: geographic location (Wong et al., 2013), school size (Fowler & Walberg, 1991), grade levels served (Fowler & Walberg, 1991; Heck, 2000), teacher experience (Clotfelter et al., 2007), concentrations of economically disadvantaged students (Fowler & Walberg, 1991; Heck, 2000), special education students served (Heck, 2000; McCaffrey et al., 2004), historically marginalized student group enrollment (Darling-Hammond, 2004), and the proportion of English learners served (Abedi & Gándara, 2006). Guided by this vast array of literature, this study creates a systematic sample (Bryman, 2016) of matched traditional public school campuses by optimizing important covariate balances between the charter and traditional public school groups. The selection of the systematic sample begins with defining the sampling frame.

### Sampling Frame

The sampling frame is the subset of the population from which the sample, or in this case, the matched set, is drawn (Bryman, 2016). The sampling frame is defined as the population of traditional public school campuses located within cities with at least one charter school campus. By defining the sampling frame in this way, the study controls for exogenous characteristic influence (Wong et al., 2013) on outcome variables. Texas has public schools in 1,073 cities.<sup>38</sup> Of those, 884 cities have only traditional public school campuses, 171 have both traditional public school and charter school campuses, and 18 have only charter school campuses. When the total population of 7,970 traditional public school campuses was narrowed to include only those located in cities with charter school campuses, 4,768 traditional public school campuses remained as the sampling frame.

### Stratification

Once the sampling frame was defined, the frame was stratified into three distinct groups (strata) by grade levels served. The school level (elementary, middle, or high school) was assigned to each school based upon the proportion of students served in each grade. Within each of the three strata, the sampling process was

---

<sup>38</sup> The city in which each school is located was reported as the ARC city variable in the [Texas school finder](#) ArcGIS data downloaded February 20, 2023.

conducted independently to ensure robust matching and to ensure the matched set of traditional public school campuses were representative of the school level.

All schools were categorized as primarily elementary school (kindergarten through Grade 5), middle school (Grades 6 through 8), or high school (Grades 9 through 12) based on the largest percentage of students served. To calculate the percentage of students served in each grade-level group, grade-level counts for elementary, middle, and high schools were summed and divided by total enrollment. Schools were labeled as an elementary, middle, or high school based upon the highest percentage. Schools with equal proportions of students in two grade-level groups were labeled with the higher grade-level category. For example, if a school served equal percentages of elementary and middle school students, the school was labeled as a middle school; if a school served equal percentages of middle and high school students, the school was labeled as a high school.

### **Independent Sampling**

Because this report compares the performance of charter school campuses by authorizer type, matched sets of traditional public school campuses for SBOE-authorized, ISD-authorized, and COE-authorized charter school campuses were created independently. The sampling techniques described below were conducted three times—once for each of the three authorizer types. The sampling occurred with replacement, meaning that the same sampling frame within each stratum was used for each of the charter school authorizer groups. Sampling each independently ensured that the matched set of traditional public schools was representative of the charter schools by authorizer type.

### **Covariate Balancing**

Beginning with the sampling frame for each school-level stratum, covariates not controlled for in the stratification or independent sampling were balanced first using t-tests to determine significant difference (covariates are displayed below). If the t-test revealed no significant difference in the distributions of the variable among charter schools and traditional public schools, the variable was considered balanced. If the t-test yielded a significant difference, outliers in the traditional public school data were trimmed (Ghosh & Vogt, 2012). An outlier was trimmed from the data if the standard deviation from the mean exceeded the standard deviation of the fifth and 95th percentile means. After data were trimmed, t-tests were conducted to evaluate differences between the two groups. The specific order of the covariate balancing was selected based upon the degree of difference in group means. The covariates with the largest differences were trimmed first. T-tests were conducted again, and the process was repeated. In total, eight distinct covariates were balanced:

- Total number of students enrolled
- Average teacher years of experience
- Percentage of African American students enrolled
- Percentage of Hispanic students enrolled
- Percentage of enrolled students receiving special education services
- Percentage of emergent bilingual students/English learners enrolled
- Percentage of enrolled students identified as economically disadvantaged
- Percentage of enrolled students at risk of dropping out of school<sup>39</sup>

Appendix D details the performance results for each charter school included in the report. Residential treatment facilities (RTFs), juvenile justice alternative education programs (JJAEPs), and disciplinary alternative education programs (DAEPs) were not included in purposive sampling, nor in performance analyses. RTFs were excluded because the unique student populations served in instructional settings are far different from other schools. JJAEPs and DAEPs were excluded because the performance of their student populations is attributed back to the students' home campuses. The charter school campuses listed in Appendix D are those reported in the academic performance metrics in this report.

---

39 All covariates are from the Texas Academic Performance Report, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

## Outcome Measure Calculation

For attrition rates, graduation rates, and college, career, and military readiness (CCMR) indicator rates, results were calculated using the number of students at each campus in the group that contributed to the outcome measure. Rather than averaging the campus-level rates for all campuses in a group for each metric, numerators and denominators for each metric were summed then divided to provide an overall rate for the group. This prevents results from being significantly influenced by extreme performance results for very small campuses.

While aggregate outcomes are reported by grade level (elementary, middle, and high schools separately), attrition rates, graduation rates, CCMR indicator rates, and STAAR rates are calculated only including campuses with each grade-level designation. Despite the grade-level categorization, the outcome data for all students are included in the per-grade-level displays.

All schools were categorized as primarily elementary school (kindergarten through Grade 5), middle school (Grades 6 through 8), or high school (Grades 9 through 12) based on the largest percentage of students served. Grade-level enrollment for each school type was summed and divided by the total enrollment. Schools were then characterized as elementary, middle, or high schools depending on the category with the highest percentage. Schools with equal proportions of students in two categories were labeled with the highest option: (mid=high, labeled high; elem=mid, labeled mid; elem=high, labeled high). The higher grade level was selected as it allows more options for the schools' data to be included in the report.

Because grade-level assignments were made using the highest proportion of students served, when outcomes are reported for a specific grade level, they may contain other grade-level students. For example, a school that serves students from kindergarten through eighth grades with 85% of students in kindergarten through Grade 5 and 15% of students in Grades 6 through 8 would be categorized as an elementary school. However, when the performance data on the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Reading exam are displayed for elementary schools, the aggregate reported numbers will contain the students from Grades 6 through 8 for this school.

## Attrition Analysis

For the purposes of this report, the attrition rate is defined as the percentage of students enrolled in the fall of 2021 who did not return to the same campus in the fall of 2022. The attrition rates for this report were calculated using student-level data provided by the Texas Education Agency (TEA). Those data included a unique identifier, grade level, and the campus for each student enrolled in Texas public schools for the 2021–22 and 2022–23 academic years. Students enrolled in the fall of 2021 were cross-referenced to their fall enrollment in 2022. Students whose fall 2021 campus was different from their fall 2022 campus or who were not found in any fall 2022 campuses were considered attrited and counted in the numerator of the attrition rate calculation. The denominator of the attrition rate calculation comprised all students enrolled in the fall of the 2021–22 academic year at a particular campus. This calculation was adjusted to account for the grade levels available to students at each campus.

Students were excluded completely from the attrition rate calculations if the school did not offer the next grade level for the student. In order to determine the grade levels each campus offered in the 2022–23 school year, an array of grade levels of students in average daily attendance was created. For example, if a school enrolled students in kindergarten through Grade 6 in 2022–23, the total array of offered grade levels ranged from kindergarten through Grade 6. So, students whose 2022–23 expected grade level was Grade 7 were excluded from the attrition rate calculation. Moreover, students in Grade 12 in the 2021–22 academic year not retained in the 2022–23 school year were excluded from the attrition rate calculation. Students attending campuses in the fall of 2021 that closed in the 2022–23 school year were excluded from attrition rate calculations, as well as students enrolled in the fall of 2021 but not found in the fall 2022 data.

In a few cases, students were reported as enrolled by more than one school in 2021–22 and 2022–23. When students were reported as enrolled by two schools in 2021–22, the duplicate student was left in the

data set and the 2022–23 campus of enrollment reported or lack thereof for the student was matched with both student records. If students were reported by two schools in 2022–23, no duplicate record was created. For both schools of enrollment reported in 2022–23, the school of enrollment in 2021–22 was given preference. If the school of enrollment for 2021–22 was not reported as a school of enrollment in 2022–23, one of the schools was chosen at random. Attrition rates were calculated for all active campuses of accountability for the 2021–22 school year, with the exception of RTFs, JJAEPs, and DAEPs. Notably, there some campuses that physically closed prior to the beginning of the 2022–23 school year though the campus status was not changed to “closed.” For these campuses, a 100% attrition rate was reported in Appendix D of this report and the schools were noted as active-zero-enrollment campuses. Though they are reported in Appendix D, active-zero-enrollment campus attrition rates were not included in the aggregate analysis in Sections 3, 4, and 5 in the report.

### Graduation Rate

The graduation rate reported is the class of 2021 longitudinal four-year graduation rate calculated for state accountability purposes, which follows a cohort of first-time students in Grade 9 through the fall after their expected graduation date (TEA, 2022b).<sup>40</sup> For schools rated under standard accountability provisions, the graduation rate calculation is the total number of graduates divided by the sum of the number of graduates, continuers, Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients, and dropouts in the cohort. For schools rated under alternative education accountability provisions, the rate is the sum of graduates, continuers, and Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients divided by the sum of the number of graduates, continuers, Texas Certificate of High School Equivalency recipients, and dropouts in the cohort (TEA, 2022b). Students are excluded from this graduation rate for state accountability purposes for a multitude of reasons, all specified in state statute:

- **Students are excluded** if they meet one of the following criteria listed under TEC §39.053(g-1) (2022): a) ordered by a court to attend a high school equivalency certificate program but has not yet earned a high school equivalency certificate; b) previously reported to the state as a dropout; c) in attendance but not in average daily attendance membership; d) enrolled initially in Grades 7–12 as an unschooled refugee or asylee as defined by TEC § 39.027(a-1) (2022); e) is in the district exclusively as a function of having been detained at a county detention facility but is otherwise not a student of the district, or is being provided services by an open-enrollment charter school exclusively as the result of having been detained at the facility; f) is incarcerated in a state jail or federal penitentiary as an adult or as a person certified to stand trial as an adult; or g) has suffered a condition, injury, or illness that requires substantial medical care and leaves the student unable to attend school and assigned to a medical or residential treatment facility.
- **Students can also be excluded** per TEC § 39.053(g-1) (2022) if they a) are at least 18 years of age as of September 1 and have met the credit requirements for high school graduation; b) have not fulfilled the requirements of their individualized education program; and c) are enrolled and receiving individual education program services.
- **Students failing to enroll in school** after leaving an RTF or pre- or post-adjudication facility are excluded from the calculation for the district serving the facility under TEC § 39.053(g-3) (2022).
- **Students are also excluded** per TEC § 39.053(g-4)(2022) if they a) are at least 18 years of age and under 26 years of age; b) have not been previously reported as a dropout; and c) have not been enrolled in school during the previous nine months before enrolling in a high school equivalency program, a dropout recovery school, or an adult education program provided under a high school diploma and industry certification charter school program.
- **TEC § 39.055 (2022) permits students** in a Texas juvenile justice department facility or residential facility served by a Texas public school district to be excluded.

### College, Career, and Military Readiness Outcomes

Under TEC § 39.053 (2022), graduates have several ways to demonstrate CCMR. For this report, the

<sup>40</sup> See the [Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools 2020–21](#) report for more about the calculation of the class of 2021 longitudinal graduation rate.



following CCMR outcomes are reported for 2021 annual graduates:<sup>41, 42</sup>

- **Meet Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/Reading and Mathematics.** This is done specifically by meeting the college-ready criteria on the TSI assessment, SAT, or ACT or by successfully completing and earning credit for a college prep course in both ELA/reading and mathematics as defined in TEC § 28.014 (2022). The assessment results considered include TSI assessments through October 2021, SAT scores through the June 2021 administration, ACT scores through the July 2021 administration, and course completion data via the Texas Student Data System in the Public Education Information Management System. A graduate must meet the TSI requirement for both ELA/reading and mathematics but does not necessarily need to meet them on the same assessment.
- **Meet Criteria on Advanced Placement (AP) or International Baccalaureate (IB) Examination.** A graduate can accomplish this by meeting the criterion score on an AP or IB examination in any subject area. The criterion score is 3 or higher for AP and 4 or higher for IB.
- **Earn Dual Course Credits.** To achieve this, a graduate must complete and earn at least three credit hours in ELA or mathematics or at least nine credit hours in any subject. See Appendix H of the 2022 Accountability Manual (TEA, 2022a) for additional information.
- **Earn an Industry-Based Certification.** To achieve this, a graduate must earn an industry-based certification under Title 19 of the Texas Administrative Code § 74.1003 (2022), amended to be effective January 9, 2022, prior to graduation from high school.
- **Earn a Level I or Level II Certificate.** To achieve this, graduates are awarded Level I or Level II certificates by institutions of higher education after satisfactory completion of a higher education program.<sup>43</sup>
- **Complete and Earn Credit for an ELA College Prep Course.** To achieve this, a graduate must earn credit for an ELA college preparatory course defined in TEC § 28.014 (2022).
- **Complete and Earn Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course.** To achieve this, a graduate must earn credit for a mathematics college preparatory course defined in TEC § 28.014 (2022).
- **Complete an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course.** To achieve this, a graduate must complete an OnRamps dual enrollment course and qualify for at least three hours of university or college credit in any subject area.
- **Earn an Associate's Degree.** This means a graduate has earned an associate's degree prior to their graduation from high school.
- **Graduate with Completed Individualized Education Program (IEP) and Workforce Readiness.** To achieve this, a graduate must complete his/her IEP and demonstrate either self-employment with self-help skills to maintain employment or mastery of specific employability and self-help skills that do not require public school services.
- **Graduate Under an Advanced Diploma Plan and Identified as a Current Special Education Student.** To achieve this, a student graduates under an advanced diploma plan and is identified as a current special education student.

---

41 For more information, see Appendix H of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#) (TEA, 2022a).

42 See the [2021–22 Texas Academic Performance Report Glossary](#) for more information on the CCMR postsecondary readiness indicators (TEA, 2022).

43 See Appendix D of the [TEA 2022 Accountability Manual](#) for more information about Level I and Level II certificates (TEA, 2022a).

## Appendix B: Description of Charter School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions

TABLE B.1

### Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, by School Type, 2021–22

Campus Type	Elementary School *	Middle School	High School	Total
SBOE-Authorized Charter, Not Residential Treatment Facilities	0	5	99	104
ISD-Authorized Charter	0	0	1	1
COE-Authorized Charter, Not Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	4	4
SBOE-Authorized Charter, Residential Treatment Facilities	0	2	33	35
COE-Authorized Charter, Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	2	2
<b>Total Alternative Education Accountability Charter School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>171</b>
DAEP Campuses	0	0	0	0
JJAEP Campuses	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	58	58
<b>Total Alternative Education Accountability Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>229</b>
<b>Total Alternative Education Accountability Texas Public Schools</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>375</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; DAEP = Disciplinary Alternative Education Program; JJAEP = Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program.

\* Represents enrollment in PK–12 campuses serving 50% or more students in middle or high school as required for evaluation under AEA provisions, but who have a plurality of elementary school students.



**TABLE B.2**

### Student Enrollment in Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, by School Type, 2021–22

Campus Type	Elementary School *	Middle School	High School	Total
SBOE-Authorized Charter, Not Residential Treatment Facilities	0	678	19,442	20,120
ISD-Authorized Charter	0	0	210	210
COE-Authorized Charter, Not Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	735	735
SBOE-Authorized Charter, Residential Treatment Facilities	0	29	1,511	1,540
COE-Authorized Charter, Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	239	239
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Alternative Education Accountability Charter School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>707</b>	<b>22,137</b>	<b>22,844</b>
<b>Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>28,096</b>	<b>28,523</b>
DAEP Campuses	0	0	0	0
JJAEP Campuses	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Facilities	0	0	1,432	1,432
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Alternative Education Accountability Traditional Public School Campuses</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>29,528</b>	<b>29,955</b>
<b>Total Students Enrolled in Alternative Education Accountability Texas Public Schools</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,134</b>	<b>51,665</b>	<b>52,799</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; DAEP = Disciplinary Alternative Education Program; JJAEP = Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program.

\* Represents enrollment in PK–12 campuses serving 50% or more students in middle or high school as required for evaluation under AEA provisions, but who have a plurality of elementary school students.

**TABLE B.3**

**Demographic Characteristics of Students Enrolled in Texas Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions, 2021–22**

	Traditional Public School Campuses	Traditional Public School Residential Treatment Facilities	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses, Residential Treatment Facilities	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses, Residential Treatment Facilities	ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>							
African American	15%	24%	19%	27%	20%	18%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	0%
Asian	1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	3%	1%	0%
Hispanic	56%	47%	62%	38%	62%	36%	35%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	1%	0%
Two or more races	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%
White	24%	25%	16%	31%	14%	43%	21%
<b>Other Student Characteristics</b>							
At-Risk	90%	92%	96%	97%	100%	100%	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	66%	59%	77%	87%	89%	100%	92%
Emergent Bilingual Student/English Learner	16%	8%	21%	9%	27%	5%	11%
<b>Special Programs</b>							
Special Education	14%	28%	11%	30%	3%	3%	5%
<b>Number of Students</b>	<b>28,523</b>	<b>1,432</b>	<b>20,120</b>	<b>1,540</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>210</b>

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. There are no ISD-authorized charter school campus residential treatment facilities.

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education

TABLE B.4

### Demographic Characteristics of Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions That Were Included in Performance Analyses, 2021–22

	SBOE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to SBOE- Authorized Charter School Campuses	ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to ISD- Authorized Charter School Campuses	COE-Authorized Charter School Campuses	Traditional Public School Campuses Matched to COE- Authorized Charter School Campuses
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>						
African American	19%	13%	42%	28%	20%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	1%	<1%
Asian	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	2%	1%
Hispanic	62%	70%	35%	61%	61%	54%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Two or more races	2%	2%	1%	<1%	1%	4%
White	16%	12%	21%	8%	14%	24%
<b>Other Student Characteristics</b>						
At-Risk	96%	94%	79%	96%	100%	88%
Economically Disadvantaged	77%	77%	92%	89%	88%	63%
Emergent Bilingual Student/ English Learner	21%	26%	11%	33%	28%	13%
<b>Special Programs</b>						
Special Education	11%	8%	5%	5%	2%	15%
<b>Total Students</b>	<b>20,112</b>	<b>7,331</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>514</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>19,329</b>
<b>Number of Schools</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>37</b>


Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. The number of schools includes the total number of traditional public school campuses matched to State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses, Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses, and commissioner of education (COE)-authorized charter school campuses, respectively.

# Appendix C: Aggregate Performance on Additional STAAR Exams for SBOE-Authorized and ISD-Authorized Charter School Campuses and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses

**FIGURE C.1**

**Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Science Exams (Grades 5 and 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22**




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 499 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 85 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Science analysis, with 1,362 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,205 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.2**

## Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Biology End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 312 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 31 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Biology end-of-course analysis, with 243 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 442 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.3**

## Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Social Studies Exams (Grade 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 328 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 41 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Social Studies analysis, with 244 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 545 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.4**

## Percent of Students Who Achieved the Approaches Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-U.S. History End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 275 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 30 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-U.S. History end-of-course exam analysis, with 226 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 391 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.5**

**Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Science Exams (Grades 5 and 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22**




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 499 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 85 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Science analysis, with 1,362 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 2,205 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.



**FIGURE C.6**

**Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Biology End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22**




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 312 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 31 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Biology end-of-course analysis, with 243 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 442 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.7**

## Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-Social Studies Exams (Grade 8) by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22




Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 328 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 41 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-Social Studies analysis, with 244 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 545 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

**FIGURE C.8**

**Percent of Students Who Achieved the Masters Grade Level Standard on the STAAR-U.S. History End-of-Course Exams by Charter Authorizer Type and Matched Traditional Public School Campuses, 2021–22**



Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021–22.

Note. A total of 275 State Board of Education (SBOE)-authorized charter school campuses and 30 Independent School District (ISD)-authorized charter school campuses were included in the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR®)-U.S. History end-of-course exam analysis, with 226 traditional public school campuses matched to SBOE-authorized charter school campuses and 391 traditional public school campuses matched to ISD-authorized charter school campuses.

## Appendix D: Charter School Campus-Level Performance Results

Appendix D includes individual charter school campus outcome measures presented in the report. Detailed information regarding outcome measures and charter school authorizer categorization can be found in Section 1, and Appendix A details report methodology. Matched traditional public school campus outcome measures can be found in Appendix E (web only). Because matched comparison sets are intended for comparisons made in the aggregate, readers are cautioned from making direct campus-to-campus comparisons between the individual charter schools in the analysis and any single campus in the matched comparison set (Appendix E).

**TABLE D.1**

### Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, Elementary School Campuses

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
A B DUNCAN COLLEGIATE EL -(077901101)	11%	77%	25%	74%	24%	ISD
A+ ACADEMY EL -(057829001)	10%	55%	12%	56%	8%	SBOE
ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED LEARNING -(101810002)	0%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ACADEMY OF DALLAS -(057810101)	34%	59%	11%	33%	3%	SBOE
ACCELERATED INTERDISCIPLINARY ACAD -(101849101)	51%	82%	26%	82%	32%	SBOE
ADVANCED LEARNING ACADEMY -(015907026)	15%	82%	37%	64%	11%	ISD
ADVANTAGE ACADEMY -(057806101)	38%	56%	13%	32%	3%	SBOE
AGNES COTTON ACADEMY -(015907117)	20%	79%	33%	81%	25%	ISD
ALDERSON EL -(152901194)	33%	61%	13%	57%	9%	ISD
ALIEF MONTESSORI COMMUNITY SCHOOL -(101815101)	22%	90%	37%	79%	27%	SBOE
ALTA VISTA EL -(161914101)	20%	53%	13%	49%	4%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
AMARILLO COLLEGIATE ACADEMY -(221801011)	21%	94%	39%	80%	15%	SBOE
AMBASSADORS PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(084804101)	27%	85%	28%	74%	12%	SBOE
AMIGOS POR VIDA-FRIENDS FOR LIFE CHARTER SCHOOL -(101819001)	13%	87%	32%	90%	34%	SBOE
ANNE FRANK INSPIRE ACADEMY -(015808009)	22%	79%	32%	53%	7%	SBOE
ARISTOI CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(101803002)	18%	75%	31%	55%	14%	SBOE
ARISTOI CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(101803041)	22%	84%	46%	74%	29%	SBOE
ARLINGTON CLASSICS ACADEMY - ARKANSAS CAMPUS -(220802101)	7%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ARLINGTON CLASSICS ACADEMY -INTERMEDIATE -(220802102)	8%	93%	53%	87%	39%	SBOE
ARROW ACADEMY - CHAMPIONS ACADEMY -(021805105)	49%	80%	27%	77%	30%	SBOE
ARROW ACADEMY - HARVEST PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(021805102)	42%	66%	21%	74%	11%	SBOE
ARROW ACADEMY - LIBERATION ACADEMY -(021805101)	21%	86%	42%	73%	15%	SBOE
ARROW ACADEMY - SAVE OUR STREETS CENTER -(021805041)	22%	81%	18%	75%	11%	SBOE
AUDRE AND BERNARD RAPOPORT ACADEMY -(161802101)	16%	60%	15%	43%	6%	SBOE
AUSTIN ACHIEVE EL -(227825003)	19%	49%	13%	62%	12%	SBOE
AUSTIN DISCOVERY SCH -(227821101)	36%	86%	40%	59%	9%	SBOE
AUSTIN ISD PREK PARTNERSHIP (PKP) -(227901194)	0%	-	-	-	-	ISD
BAKER-RIPLEY CHARTER SCHOOL -(101853106)	27%	61%	14%	66%	8%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
BASIS AUSTIN -(015834004)	67%	99%	81%	99%	76%	SBOE
BASIS AUSTIN PRI -(015834102)	67%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
BASIS SAN ANTONIO - NORTHEAST CAMPUS -(015834003)	49%	94%	43%	96%	42%	SBOE
BASIS SAN ANTONIO PRI - NORTHEAST CAMPUS -(015834103)	41%	95%	55%	86%	35%	SBOE
BASIS SAN ANTONIO PRI- MEDICAL CENTER CAMPUS -(015834101)	21%	96%	62%	94%	50%	SBOE
BASIS SAN ANTONIO- PRI NORTH CENTRAL CAMPUS -(015834002)	17%	95%	66%	89%	40%	SBOE
BEATRICE MAYES INSTITUTE CHARTER SCHOOL -(101847101)	20%	78%	28%	64%	14%	SBOE
BETA ACADEMY -(101870001)	11%	91%	42%	83%	17%	COE
BETA ACADEMY -(101870002)	14%	-	-	-	-	COE
BEXAR COUNTY ACADEMY -(015809101)	37%	47%	9%	34%	4%	SBOE
BLOOM ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(101875001)	41%	36%	7%	21%	4%	COE
BOB HOPE SCHOOL - EL CAMPUS -(123807101)	22%	87%	31%	85%	31%	SBOE
BOB HOPE SCHOOL BEAUMONT -(123807102)	41%	67%	21%	47%	6%	SBOE
BONHAM ACADEMY -(015907107)	13%	70%	26%	57%	7%	ISD
BOWDEN ACADEMY -(015907147)	25%	59%	19%	45%	11%	ISD
BRAMLETTE STEAM ACADEMY -(092903127)	23%	80%	33%	72%	32%	ISD
BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR INQUIRY AND CREATIVITY BRYAN/COL -(021803001)	28%	69%	29%	65%	12%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR INQUIRY AND CREATIVITY TIDWELL -(021803102)	33%	70%	9%	84%	23%	SBOE
BRIDGEWAY PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(057851101)	44%	53%	12%	71%	6%	COE
BRISCOE EL -(015907112)	12%	50%	15%	42%	5%	ISD
BROOK AVENUE EL -(161914104)	21%	45%	10%	35%	6%	ISD
BRYSS ACADEMY -(101806101)	19%	89%	38%	89%	30%	SBOE
BUCKNER PREPARATORY -(057841002)	27%	50%	12%	48%	7%	SBOE
CAMERON EL -(015907114)	37%	65%	20%	59%	13%	ISD
CARROLL EARLY CHILDHOOD CAMPUS -(015907240)	39%	-	-	-	-	ISD
CARVER CENTER -(165901126)	13%	100%	83%	100%	65%	ISD
CEDAR PARK CHARTER ACADEMY -(014803004)	50%	83%	43%	74%	15%	SBOE
CEDARS INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY -(227817101)	22%	80%	29%	75%	9%	SBOE
CHAPARRAL STAR ACADEMY -(227814001)	32%	98%	62%	95%	46%	SBOE
CHAPEL HILL ACADEMY -(220815101)	31%	71%	23%	55%	11%	SBOE
CHARLES C BALL ACADEMY -(015907103)	29%	65%	18%	54%	11%	ISD
CHARLES GRAEBNER EL -(015907129)	13%	49%	10%	38%	6%	ISD
CLARENCE W BAILEY EL -(092903124)	19%	64%	13%	71%	19%	ISD
CLASSICAL ACADEMY - PERMIAN BASIN -(221801067)	51%	75%	27%	58%	8%	SBOE
CLAY CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801039)	36%	77%	32%	49%	3%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
COMO EL -(220905117)	18%	64%	18%	65%	15%	ISD
COMPASS ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(068802001)	8%	84%	32%	76%	14%	SBOE
COMPASS ROSE DESTINY -(015838006)	18%	64%	26%	53%	11%	COE
COMPASS ROSE INGENUITY -(015838002)	22%	67%	19%	47%	4%	COE
COMPASS ROSE JOURNEY -(015838005)	36%	49%	9%	32%	5%	COE
COMPASS ROSE LEGACY EL -(015838003)	39%	55%	13%	37%	7%	COE
COPPELL CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801014)	29%	89%	39%	82%	25%	SBOE
CORPUS CHRISTI MONTESSORI SCHOOL -(178807101)	20%	84%	44%	54%	7%	SBOE
COVE CHARTER ACADEMY -(014803003)	38%	73%	22%	49%	9%	SBOE
CUMBERLAND ACADEMY LOWER EL -(212801101)	18%	70%	20%	58%	8%	SBOE
DAVID BARKLEY/FRANCISCO RUIZ EL -(015907162)	25%	59%	6%	39%	6%	ISD
DENTON CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801060)	30%	82%	36%	79%	21%	SBOE
DR M L GARZA-GONZALEZ CHARTER SCHOOL -(178801001)	26%	81%	27%	56%	6%	SBOE
DR MAE E JONES-CLARK EL -(123910129)	26%	35%	4%	24%	2%	ISD
DRAW ACADEMY -(101856101)	11%	61%	16%	52%	8%	SBOE
EAST FORT WORTH MONTESSORI ACADEMY -(220811101)	37%	83%	34%	53%	3%	SBOE
EAST GRAND PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(057841001)	24%	59%	13%	42%	3%	SBOE
EAST TEXAS MONTESSORI PREP ACADEMY -(092903111)	18%	-	-	-	-	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
EASTEX-JENSEN NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL -(221801070)	40%	36%	8%	27%	0%	SBOE
EDUCATION CENTER INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY 1 -(057833001)	36%	73%	14%	54%	3%	SBOE
EDUCATION CENTER INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY 2 -(057833002)	37%	71%	31%	63%	15%	SBOE
EDUCATION CENTER INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY 3 -(057833003)	50%	72%	9%	55%	6%	SBOE
EHRHART SCHOOL -(123805001)	5%	86%	32%	76%	15%	SBOE
EL FOR EDUCATION INNOVATION -(152806001)	49%	58%	18%	33%	8%	COE
EL PASO CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801071)	42%	65%	18%	53%	7%	SBOE
ELEANOR KOLITZ HEBREW LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(015836001)	19%	96%	62%	89%	33%	SBOE
ELEVATE COLLEGIATE -(101877001)	22%	-	-	-	-	COE
ENERGIZED FOR EXCELLENCE ACADEMY ECC -(101912350)	0%	-	-	-	-	ISD
ENERGIZED FOR EXCELLENCE ACADEMY EL -(101912364)	26%	62%	18%	67%	18%	ISD
ERVIN EL -(152901196)	32%	60%	13%	53%	9%	ISD
EXCELLENCE IN LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(108809001)	27%	66%	16%	60%	8%	SBOE
FAITH FAMILY MASTER ACADEMY -(070801041)	27%	63%	22%	63%	12%	SBOE
FALLBROOK ACADEMY -(221801065)	41%	72%	20%	54%	8%	SBOE
FEHL-PRICE EL -(123910131)	35%	42%	4%	21%	0%	ISD
FENWICK ACADEMY -(015907123)	16%	58%	14%	44%	4%	ISD
FORT WORTH ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS EL -(220809101)	14%	94%	47%	90%	27%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
FOUNDATION SCHOOL OF AUTISM - SAN ANTONIO -(072801151)	0%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY - CONROE -(221801073)	18%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY - FRISCO -(221801068)	21%	96%	49%	92%	35%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801043)	9%	95%	54%	88%	29%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY CORINTH -(221801064)	18%	87%	31%	87%	24%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY OF FLOWER MOUND -(221801063)	16%	93%	49%	87%	26%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY OF LEANDER -(221801058)	11%	88%	32%	81%	13%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY OF MESQUITE -(221801061)	26%	65%	16%	62%	11%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY OF PROSPER -(221801077)	20%	89%	41%	82%	26%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY OF SCHERTZ -(221801066)	20%	84%	34%	71%	13%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY- CARROLLTON -(221801023)	35%	83%	39%	77%	23%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY-AUSTIN NORTH -(221801048)	58%	84%	29%	81%	18%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY-BASTROP -(221801075)	42%	80%	26%	65%	11%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY-TYLER -(221801046)	21%	82%	28%	60%	12%	SBOE
GARDENDALE PRE-K 4 SA EARLY LEARNING -(015905140)	30%	-	-	-	-	ISD
GATES EL -(015907127)	26%	71%	29%	63%	19%	ISD
GATEWAY CHARTER ACADEMY -(057831001)	39%	53%	11%	40%	1%	SBOE
GATEWAY COLLEGE PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(014804006)	15%	95%	52%	89%	30%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
GENESIS CAMPUS PRE-K THRU 5 -(057816102)	38%	69%	18%	43%	3%	SBOE
GEORGE GERVIN ACADEMY -(015802001)	51%	50%	16%	42%	5%	SBOE
GEORGETOWN CHARTER ACADEMY -(014803002)	52%	84%	20%	67%	5%	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE -(057835101)	28%	100%	46%	100%	46%	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE CHARTER SCHOOL -(057835001)	14%	77%	28%	65%	12%	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE DESOTO -(057835102)	23%	83%	28%	77%	17%	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE GRAND PRAIRIE -(057835104)	35%	85%	12%	77%	31%	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE SCHOOLS INC - WILMER -(057835105)	62%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
GOLDEN RULE SOUTHWEST -(057835103)	22%	75%	26%	75%	25%	SBOE
GOODWATER MONTESSORI SCHOOL -(246802001)	31%	70%	25%	54%	8%	COE
GREAT HEARTS ARLINGTON -(015835010)	30%	75%	26%	73%	15%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS FOREST HEIGHTS -(015835006)	20%	89%	37%	81%	23%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS IRVING -(015835004)	16%	93%	48%	88%	38%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS LAKESIDE -(015835008)	14%	87%	40%	79%	21%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS LIVE OAK -(015835009)	25%	86%	31%	74%	14%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS MONTE VISTA -(015835001)	14%	94%	48%	89%	40%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS NORTHERN OAKS -(015835003)	13%	92%	43%	87%	24%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS ONLINE - TX -(015835011)	71%	86%	36%	74%	23%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
GREAT HEARTS WESTERN HILLS -(015835005)	26%	88%	35%	79%	16%	SBOE
HAMLIN COLLEGIATE EL -(127903102)	22%	63%	15%	66%	13%	ISD
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ACHIEVEMENT - HOUSTON -(101858007)	24%	87%	46%	81%	36%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ENDEAVOR AUSTIN -(227816004)	30%	89%	49%	84%	34%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ENDEAVOR-HOUSTON -(101858002)	35%	66%	19%	46%	7%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ENRICHMENT - HOUSTON -(101858008)	17%	76%	26%	69%	18%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - EL PASO -(071806006)	14%	89%	46%	84%	22%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - SAN ANTONIO -(015828006)	34%	70%	21%	55%	7%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE-HOUSTON -(101858001)	17%	85%	43%	83%	31%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXPLORATION- HOUSTON -(101846102)	18%	89%	35%	78%	26%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF FINE ARTS AND TECHNOLOGY-HOUSTON -(101846006)	22%	70%	20%	55%	11%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - AUSTIN -(227816005)	24%	75%	22%	61%	17%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - CARROLLTON -(161807004)	28%	76%	30%	67%	17%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - EL PASO -(071806002)	24%	80%	28%	75%	16%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - GRAND PRAIRIE -(161807016)	19%	66%	18%	48%	8%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - LAREDO -(015828004)	9%	67%	17%	51%	8%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - SAN ANTONIO -(015828002)	27%	67%	18%	52%	5%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION- EULESS -(161807012)	30%	87%	39%	84%	29%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF SCIENCE - AUSTIN -(227816003)	26%	80%	40%	75%	26%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF SCIENCE - EL PASO -(071806007)	19%	81%	32%	72%	16%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF SCIENCE - HOUSTON -(101846005)	100%*	80%	29%	72%	22%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD (WACO) -(161807001)	22%	59%	16%	54%	8%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - AUSTIN -(227816001)	25%	58%	18%	60%	13%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - BROWNSVILLE -(015828005)	19%	62%	16%	55%	7%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - BRYAN -(101858003)	29%	62%	14%	59%	10%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - CEDAR PARK -(227816006)	32%	88%	41%	81%	37%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - CYPRESS -(101858009)	25%	85%	32%	74%	23%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - DALLAS -(161807003)	21%	74%	24%	61%	11%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - GARLAND -(161807002)	18%	78%	30%	71%	17%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - GRAND PRAIRIE -(161807008)	27%	75%	25%	67%	15%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - LUBBOCK -(071806004)	38%	74%	26%	65%	11%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - ODESSA -(071806005)	17%	75%	21%	67%	12%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - PLANO -(161807015)	29%	84%	28%	66%	15%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY- BEAUMONT -(101862004)	17%	76%	22%	69%	16%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY- FORT WORTH -(161807007)	17%	75%	30%	69%	21%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-KATY -(101862003)	15%	88%	51%	87%	39%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-SUGAR LAND -(101862001)	14%	93%	49%	93%	47%	SBOE
HAWTHORNE PK-8 ACADEMY -(015907179)	17%	69%	23%	56%	11%	ISD
HEARNE EL -(198905104)	12%	60%	17%	53%	6%	ISD
HERITAGE ACADEMY OF WINDCREST -(015815001)	38%	78%	29%	76%	35%	SBOE
HIGH POINT ACADEMY FW EL -(220819003)	19%	82%	33%	76%	21%	COE
HIGH POINT ACADEMY SFW -(220819002)	25%	78%	21%	62%	7%	COE
HODGES EL -(152901165)	36%	70%	20%	61%	15%	ISD
HORIZON MONTESSORI - STEM ACADEMY -(108802101)	27%	90%	36%	71%	16%	SBOE
HORIZON MONTESSORI II - STEM ACADEMY -(108802102)	31%	67%	18%	46%	5%	SBOE
HORIZON MONTESSORI III - STEM ACADEMY -(108802103)	26%	81%	32%	58%	12%	SBOE
HORIZON MONTESSORI PEARLAND - STEM ACADEMY -(108802104)	37%	73%	19%	62%	12%	SBOE
HOUSTON CLASSICAL -(101878001)	40%	-	-	-	-	COE
HOUSTON GATEWAY ACADEMY -(101828101)	16%	92%	50%	95%	47%	SBOE
HOUSTON GATEWAY ACADEMY INC ELITE COLLEGE PREP -(101828002)	28%	96%	56%	95%	59%	SBOE
HOWARD BURNHAM EL -(071801001)	27%	91%	34%	80%	22%	SBOE
HUDSON EL -(092903117)	13%	98%	68%	99%	70%	ISD
HUNTSVILLE CLASSICAL ACADEMY -(221801005)	19%	80%	34%	58%	8%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
HUPPERTZ EL -(015907139)	18%	71%	14%	44%	3%	ISD
IDEA ACADEMY -(108807101)	10%	77%	27%	73%	16%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY ALAMO -(108807107)	11%	81%	28%	67%	12%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY MISSION -(108807104)	19%	79%	25%	61%	15%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY PHARR -(108807108)	13%	77%	29%	70%	20%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY SAN BENITO -(108807105)	19%	82%	36%	75%	27%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY SAN JUAN -(108807106)	18%	71%	25%	56%	11%	SBOE
IDEA ACADEMY WESLACO -(108807110)	15%	76%	29%	74%	18%	SBOE
IDEA ACHIEVE ACADEMY -(108807187)	26%	75%	30%	71%	24%	SBOE
IDEA AMBROSE AND FREDA ROBINSON ACADEMY -(108807304)	36%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA BLUFF SPRINGS ACADEMY -(108807137)	23%	82%	34%	65%	17%	SBOE
IDEA BRACKENRIDGE ACADEMY -(108807128)	20%	80%	35%	69%	18%	SBOE
IDEA BROWNSVILLE ACADEMY -(108807112)	13%	89%	41%	87%	30%	SBOE
IDEA BURKE ACADEMY -(108807192)	27%	95%	51%	89%	19%	SBOE
IDEA CARVER ACADEMY -(108807120)	21%	93%	50%	91%	28%	SBOE
IDEA CONVERSE ACADEMY -(108807199)	23%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA EASTSIDE ACADEMY -(108807124)	36%	71%	21%	53%	12%	SBOE
IDEA EDGECLIFF ACADEMY -(108807302)	17%	79%	29%	77%	18%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
IDEA EDGEMERE ACADEMY -(108807184)	19%	81%	40%	74%	22%	SBOE
IDEA EDINBURG ACADEMY -(108807109)	13%	81%	30%	74%	18%	SBOE
IDEA ELSA ACADEMY -(108807119)	12%	81%	29%	74%	18%	SBOE
IDEA EWING HALSELL ACADEMY -(108807129)	19%	90%	41%	76%	19%	SBOE
IDEA FRONTIER ACADEMY -(108807103)	15%	87%	35%	81%	28%	SBOE
IDEA HARDY ACADEMY -(108807193)	32%	61%	18%	43%	6%	SBOE
IDEA HARLINGEN ACADEMY -(108807195)	20%	85%	33%	86%	33%	SBOE
IDEA HEALTH PROFESSIONS ACADEMY -(108807191)	37%	63%	17%	51%	9%	SBOE
IDEA HIDDEN MEADOW ACADEMY -(108807198)	32%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA HORIZON VISTA ACADEMY -(108807301)	23%	72%	26%	67%	20%	SBOE
IDEA INGRAM HILLS ACADEMY -(108807130)	28%	86%	41%	87%	27%	SBOE
IDEA JUDSON ACADEMY -(108807125)	24%	82%	30%	79%	20%	SBOE
IDEA KYLE ACADEMY -(108807139)	25%	83%	28%	64%	11%	SBOE
IDEA LA JOYA ACADEMY -(108807303)	15%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA LAKE HOUSTON ACADEMY -(108807307)	32%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA LOS ENCINOS ACADEMY -(108807189)	14%	74%	25%	77%	29%	SBOE
IDEA MAYS ACADEMY -(108807126)	19%	91%	43%	89%	30%	SBOE
IDEA MCALLEN ACADEMY -(108807111)	15%	87%	38%	81%	23%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
IDEA MESA HILLS ACADEMY -(108807185)	29%	72%	28%	64%	12%	SBOE
IDEA MESQUITE HILLS ACADEMY -(108807305)	30%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA MONTERREY PARK ACADEMY -(108807122)	22%	79%	28%	65%	13%	SBOE
IDEA MONTOPOLIS ACADEMY -(108807135)	15%	85%	28%	70%	16%	SBOE
IDEA NAJIM ACADEMY -(108807127)	32%	71%	27%	74%	19%	SBOE
IDEA NORTH MISSION ACADEMY -(108807115)	14%	89%	36%	78%	25%	SBOE
IDEA OWASSA ACADEMY -(108807183)	16%	83%	30%	78%	24%	SBOE
IDEA PALMVIEW ACADEMY -(108807197)	20%	58%	12%	54%	10%	SBOE
IDEA PARMER PARK ACADEMY -(108807190)	25%	64%	22%	51%	8%	SBOE
IDEA PFLUGERVILLE ACADEMY -(108807138)	24%	74%	30%	66%	18%	SBOE
IDEA QUEST ACADEMY -(108807102)	18%	91%	42%	91%	34%	SBOE
IDEA RIO GRANDE CITY ACADEMY -(108807116)	13%	73%	27%	69%	25%	SBOE
IDEA RIO VISTA ACADEMY -(108807140)	23%	79%	30%	62%	12%	SBOE
IDEA RISE ACADEMY -(108807186)	22%	64%	23%	70%	17%	SBOE
IDEA RIVERVIEW ACADEMY -(108807114)	13%	84%	33%	78%	18%	SBOE
IDEA ROBINDALE ACADEMY -(108807188)	17%	69%	24%	66%	15%	SBOE
IDEA ROUND ROCK TECH ACADEMY -(108807300)	29%	78%	36%	71%	22%	SBOE
IDEA RUNDBERG ACADEMY -(108807136)	19%	71%	27%	62%	13%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
IDEA SOUTH FLORES ACADEMY -(108807121)	19%	89%	40%	85%	34%	SBOE
IDEA SOUTHEAST ACADEMY -(108807306)	22%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA SPEARS ACADEMY -(108807194)	31%	61%	12%	42%	5%	SBOE
IDEA SPORTS PARK ACADEMY -(108807196)	22%	82%	29%	83%	18%	SBOE
IDEA TRAVIS ACADEMY -(165901137)	12%	67%	19%	71%	16%	ISD
IDEA TRES LAGOS ACADEMY -(108807117)	18%	87%	30%	79%	19%	SBOE
IDEA WALZEM ACADEMY -(108807123)	26%	84%	33%	78%	16%	SBOE
IDEA WESLACO PIKE ACADEMY -(108807113)	13%	87%	41%	79%	30%	SBOE
IDEA YUKON ACADEMY -(108807308)	20%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IGNITE COMMUNITY SCHOOL-FORT WORTH -(221801074)	65%	87%	33%	70%	13%	SBOE
ILTEXAS ARLINGTON EL -(057848004)	21%	67%	20%	38%	6%	SBOE
ILTEXAS COLLEGE STATION EL -(057848030)	17%	76%	36%	70%	21%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GARLAND EL -(057848001)	15%	75%	28%	59%	11%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GRAND PRAIRIE EL -(057848010)	20%	65%	20%	50%	8%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON OREM EL -(057848027)	31%	53%	12%	40%	5%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON WINDMILL LAKES EL -(057848025)	26%	56%	12%	41%	5%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KATY EL -(057848014)	16%	82%	33%	60%	10%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KELLER EL -(057848007)	17%	84%	37%	84%	31%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
ILTEXAS LANCASTER EL -(057848019)	26%	60%	13%	40%	4%	SBOE
ILTEXAS NORTH RICHLAND HILLS EL -(057848012)	26%	56%	14%	39%	4%	SBOE
ILTEXAS SAGINAW EL -(057848023)	27%	65%	20%	53%	7%	SBOE
ILTEXAS WESTPARK EL -(057848016)	27%	78%	38%	63%	20%	SBOE
ILTEXAS WOODHAVEN EL -(057848021)	35%	57%	12%	30%	3%	SBOE
IMAGINE INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF NORTH TEXAS -(043801001)	15%	95%	60%	93%	42%	SBOE
INSPIRED VISION ACADEMY EL -(057830001)	16%	62%	16%	54%	7%	SBOE
IRVING DUAL LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(015907138)	17%	61%	18%	53%	11%	ISD
ISCHOOL LEWISVILLE (K-6 CAMPUS) -(221801027)	62%	79%	28%	49%	2%	SBOE
J H HINES EL -(161914110)	28%	39%	6%	22%	3%	ISD
J L EVERHART MAGNET EL -(092903122)	25%	78%	21%	81%	30%	ISD
JEAN MASSIEU ACADEMY -(057819001)	26%	74%	27%	71%	9%	SBOE
JOHN T WHITE EL -(220905129)	44%	60%	13%	53%	12%	ISD
JOHNSTON-MCQUEEN EL -(092903123)	27%	71%	29%	70%	20%	ISD
JUBILEE - LAKE VIEW UNIVERSITY PREP -(015822004)	31%	41%	7%	28%	3%	SBOE
JUBILEE HARLINGEN -(015822008)	25%	73%	24%	56%	15%	SBOE
JUBILEE HIGHLAND HILLS -(015822002)	22%	63%	17%	50%	10%	SBOE
JUBILEE HIGHLAND PARK -(015822005)	21%	72%	18%	44%	8%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
JUBILEE KINGSVILLE -(015822006)	27%	58%	19%	48%	11%	SBOE
JUBILEE LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(015822011)	23%	77%	25%	77%	14%	SBOE
JUBILEE LIVING WAY -(015822007)	17%	79%	27%	79%	20%	SBOE
JUBILEE SAN ANTONIO -(015822001)	25%	54%	12%	38%	5%	SBOE
JUBILEE SENDERO -(015822014)	32%	65%	13%	63%	10%	SBOE
JUBILEE WELLS BRANCH -(015822009)	42%	58%	19%	48%	14%	SBOE
JUBILEE WESTWOOD -(015822012)	33%	53%	12%	44%	11%	SBOE
KINGSLAND SCHOOL -(014804008)	30%	95%	38%	77%	13%	SBOE
KIPP ALEGRIA PRI -(227820102)	24%	67%	24%	62%	13%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN COMUNIDAD -(227820101)	14%	70%	21%	62%	14%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN OBRAS -(227820103)	15%	51%	9%	51%	5%	SBOE
KIPP CLIMB ACADEMY -(227820216)	20%	68%	20%	62%	9%	SBOE
KIPP CONNECT HOUSTON PRI -(227820212)	12%	89%	34%	88%	32%	SBOE
KIPP DESTINY EL -(227820301)	26%	54%	5%	26%	0%	SBOE
KIPP DREAM PREP -(227820206)	9%	72%	24%	63%	13%	SBOE
KIPP ESPERANZA PRI -(227820402)	24%	58%	12%	46%	4%	SBOE
KIPP EXPLORE ACADEMY -(227820209)	8%	77%	25%	68%	13%	SBOE
KIPP JOURNEY PRI -(227820218)	25%	76%	38%	76%	30%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
KIPP LEGACY PREPARATORY -(227820211)	17%	55%	12%	41%	4%	SBOE
KIPP MOSAIC PRI -(227820219)	22%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP NEXUS PRI -(227820217)	22%	71%	21%	48%	12%	SBOE
KIPP PASEO PRI -(227820105)	16%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP PEACE EL -(227820213)	28%	64%	18%	44%	7%	SBOE
KIPP PLEASANT GROVE PRI -(227820303)	26%	55%	12%	38%	3%	SBOE
KIPP SHARP PREP -(227820207)	22%	94%	35%	82%	25%	SBOE
KIPP SHINE PREP -(227820205)	13%	84%	28%	76%	28%	SBOE
KIPP TRUTH EL -(227820302)	28%	45%	9%	30%	2%	SBOE
KIPP UN MUNDO PRI -(227820401)	19%	55%	15%	36%	7%	SBOE
KIPP UNITY PRI -(227820215)	23%	87%	46%	82%	38%	SBOE
KIPP ZENITH ACADEMY -(227820214)	19%	62%	15%	41%	4%	SBOE
LA ACADEMIA DE ESTRELLAS -(057839101)	12%	77%	25%	74%	15%	SBOE
LA FE PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(071807101)	17%	66%	29%	79%	23%	SBOE
LAMAR EL -(015907146)	28%	77%	29%	61%	19%	ISD
LAS PALMAS LEADERSHIP SCHOOL FOR GIRLS -(015905142)	15%	50%	10%	40%	0%	ISD
LEADERSHIP PREP SCHOOL -(061804001)	12%	89%	37%	83%	30%	SBOE
LEGACY PREP CHARTER ACADEMY MESQUITE WEST CAMPUS -(057846001)	27%	65%	17%	48%	4%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
LEGACY PREPARATORY CHARTER ACADEMY PLANO -(057846003)	37%	67%	24%	51%	7%	SBOE
LEGACY TRADITIONAL SCHOOL - CIBOLO -(015806106)	100%*	58%	8%	42%	4%	SBOE
LEGACY TRADITIONAL SCHOOL - OBLATE -(015806101)	33%	42%	5%	31%	1%	SBOE
LEONEL CASTILLO EARLY CHILDHOOD STEM ACADEMY -(101806103)	64%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL CARROLLTON -(057807106)	36%	74%	24%	70%	10%	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL CEDAR HILL -(057807104)	24%	74%	22%	68%	17%	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL LANCASTER -(057807102)	36%	70%	21%	61%	13%	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL MOUNTAIN CREEK -(057807105)	30%	59%	20%	55%	11%	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL OAK CLIFF -(057807001)	21%	63%	17%	47%	5%	SBOE
LIFE SCHOOL RED OAK -(057807101)	15%	78%	27%	74%	18%	SBOE
LIGHTHOUSE EL -(015825101)	29%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
LIGHTHOUSE MIDDLE -(015825001)	38%	51%	14%	32%	2%	SBOE
LONE STAR LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(043802101)	34%	94%	44%	89%	41%	COE
LUMIN LINDSLEY PARK COMMUNITY SCHOOL -(057805101)	29%	73%	38%	75%	18%	SBOE
M L KING ACADEMY -(015907142)	41%	63%	11%	45%	5%	ISD
MAGNOLIA MONTESSORI FOR ALL -(227826101)	24%	79%	34%	67%	16%	COE
MAINLAND PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(221801062)	38%	70%	21%	56%	9%	SBOE
MAINSRING SCHOOLS -(227901197)	100%*	-	-	-	-	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
MANARA ACADEMY -(057844101)	51%	67%	23%	50%	7%	SBOE
MANARA STEM ACADEMY - ARLINGTON -(057844102)	29%	75%	25%	64%	16%	SBOE
MARK TWAIN DUAL LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(015907163)	12%	85%	44%	83%	31%	ISD
MAUDE I LOGAN EL -(220905124)	29%	70%	24%	72%	19%	ISD
MERIDIAN WORLD SCHOOL LLC -(246801001)	13%	95%	56%	90%	37%	SBOE
MEYERPARK EL -(101855101)	29%	76%	25%	48%	7%	SBOE
MIDLAND ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(165802101)	16%	91%	43%	90%	28%	SBOE
MILAM EL -(165901113)	24%	70%	13%	71%	10%	ISD
MITCHELL BOULEVARD EL -(220905144)	27%	70%	18%	67%	16%	ISD
MOODY EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTER -(084902117)	25%	-	-	-	-	ISD
NCI CHARTER SCHOOL WITHOUT WALLS -(101853104)	0%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
NED E WILLIAMS EL -(092903126)	25%	73%	30%	78%	26%	ISD
NEW NEIGHBOR CAMPUS -(101853108)	0%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY AT FORT WORTH -(220817004)	36%	79%	30%	62%	5%	SBOE
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF ARLINGTON -(220817001)	26%	76%	22%	70%	11%	SBOE
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF MANSFIELD -(220817005)	27%	79%	26%	68%	23%	SBOE
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL AT CEDAR HILL -(220817002)	30%	82%	35%	67%	10%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
NOLAN CREEK SCHOOL -(014804007)	28%	81%	28%	65%	14%	SBOE
NORTH CENTRAL EL -(101845103)	13%	71%	25%	71%	17%	SBOE
NORTH FOREST EL -(101845104)	13%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
NORTH TEXAS COLLEGIATE ACADEMY-EAST CAMPUS -(061802005)	34%	60%	24%	57%	13%	SBOE
NORTH TEXAS COLLEGIATE ACADEMY-NORTH CAMPUS -(061802004)	33%	84%	30%	78%	24%	SBOE
NORTH TEXAS COLLEGIATE ACADEMY-SOUTH CAMPUS -(061802003)	20%	61%	13%	48%	8%	SBOE
NOVA ACADEMY -(057809101)	36%	60%	15%	55%	5%	SBOE
NOVA ACADEMY CEDAR HILL -(057827101)	29%	90%	60%	80%	10%	SBOE
NOVA ACADEMY PRICHARD -(057827102)	25%	75%	26%	62%	9%	SBOE
NYOS - MAGNOLIA MCCULLOUGH CAMPUS -(227804102)	10%	88%	46%	81%	29%	SBOE
OAK CLIFF FAITH FAMILY ACADEMY -(070801002)	20%	45%	10%	39%	3%	SBOE
ODYSSEY ACADEMY - BAY AREA -(084802002)	26%	77%	25%	60%	13%	SBOE
ODYSSEY ACADEMY - GALVESTON -(084802001)	25%	61%	17%	41%	4%	SBOE
OGDEN ACADEMY -(015907157)	14%	40%	8%	33%	1%	ISD
P F STEWART EL -(015907168)	23%	57%	10%	49%	6%	ISD
PEGASUS CHARTER H S -(057802001)	34%	63%	18%	43%	8%	SBOE
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY (PTAA) GREENVILLE -(057850002)	19%	86%	34%	66%	8%	COE
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY (PTAA) MESQUITE EL -(057850004)	15%	81%	25%	69%	8%	COE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY (PTAA) ROYSE CITY EL -(057850005)	37%	82%	32%	77%	32%	COE
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY AND ARTS ACADEMY NORTH DALLAS -(057850006)	43%	91%	42%	79%	20%	COE
PRE-K 4 SAN ANTONIO -(015905141)	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
PRE-K ACADEMY AT MIDLAND COLLEGE -(165901136)	0%	-	-	-	-	ISD
PRELUDE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL -(015843101)	20%	-	-	-	-	COE
PREMIER H S-FOUNDATION SCHOOL OF AUTISM-PLANO -(072801155)	33%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PROMESA ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(015839001)	32%	65%	30%	33%	2%	COE
PROMESA COLLEGE PREP BROWNSVILLE -(227824003)	26%	78%	26%	90%	24%	SBOE
PROMESA COLLEGE PREP WEST CORPUS CHRISTI -(227824004)	25%	81%	25%	67%	6%	SBOE
PSTEM ACADEMY -(101806102)	10%	67%	27%	77%	24%	SBOE
QUEST CAMPUS PRE-K THRU 8 -(057816101)	39%	67%	16%	48%	5%	SBOE
QUEST COLLEGIATE ACADEMY - CROCKETT -(221801045)	31%	67%	20%	41%	5%	SBOE
QUEST COLLEGIATE ACADEMY - JASPER -(221801033)	53%	75%	22%	51%	12%	SBOE
QUEST COLLEGIATE ACADEMY - SHENANDOAH -(221801025)	34%	76%	31%	70%	10%	SBOE
QUEST COLLEGIATE ACADEMY-MCALLEN -(221801044)	33%	83%	33%	91%	20%	SBOE
QUEST COLLEGIATE ACADEMY-WHITEWRIGHT -(221801078)	35%	73%	7%	65%	0%	SBOE
RALPH BUNCHE EL -(165901134)	22%	54%	10%	62%	13%	ISD
REVE PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL -(101876001)	33%	67%	14%	59%	2%	COE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
RIPLEY HOUSE CHARTER SCHOOL -(101853101)	15%	56%	17%	55%	5%	SBOE
RISE ACADEMY -(152802101)	22%	96%	49%	93%	33%	SBOE
RODRIGUEZ MONTESSORI EL -(015907133)	23%	-	-	-	-	ISD
ROSCOE COLLEGIATE MONTESSORI EARLY CHILDHOOD -(177901102)	27%	-	-	-	-	ISD
ROSCOE EL -(177901101)	20%	74%	20%	68%	10%	ISD
ROY CISNEROS EL -(015905104)	39%	61%	11%	51%	7%	ISD
ROYAL ACADEMY OF EXCELLENCE -(015842001)	32%	-	-	-	-	COE
RUTH JONES MCCLENDON MIDDLE -(015802004)	100%*	69%	19%	58%	4%	SBOE
SAM HOUSTON COLLEGIATE PREPARATORY EL -(165901109)	20%	82%	33%	79%	18%	ISD
SAM HOUSTON STATE UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL -(236802101)	27%	91%	42%	90%	32%	COE
SARAH STRINDEN EL -(003801103)	11%	81%	37%	81%	30%	SBOE
SEASHORE LEARNING CENTER -(178808101)	18%	89%	43%	81%	22%	SBOE
SEGUIN EL -(015807102)	37%	70%	30%	52%	9%	SBOE
SER-NINOS CHARTER EL -(101802101)	12%	64%	23%	63%	12%	SBOE
SER-NINOS CHARTER EL II -(101802102)	13%	67%	18%	64%	12%	SBOE
SOMERSET ACADEMY BROOKS -(015830001)	22%	75%	24%	43%	5%	SBOE
SOMERSET ACADEMY COLLEGIATE -(015830101)	26%	75%	27%	51%	4%	SBOE
SOMERSET ACADEMY LONE STAR -(015830102)	21%	75%	34%	68%	26%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
SOMERSET ACADEMY OAKS -(015830103)	38%	91%	51%	81%	27%	SBOE
SOUTHEAST EL -(101845102)	14%	79%	28%	82%	19%	SBOE
SOUTHSIDE EL -(101845105)	32%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
SOUTHWEST PREP NORTHWEST EL -(015807101)	30%	50%	7%	31%	2%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(015807001)	33%	63%	22%	59%	15%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST PREPARATORY SOUTHEAST CAMPUS -(015807002)	37%	56%	8%	41%	3%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST SCHOOLS BISSONNET EL CAMPUS -(101838102)	19%	68%	18%	65%	14%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST SCHOOLS MANGUM EL CAMPUS -(101838104)	21%	58%	17%	56%	11%	SBOE
SST ADVANCEMENT -(015831003)	31%	89%	50%	80%	31%	SBOE
SST ALAMO -(015827002)	23%	85%	37%	77%	22%	SBOE
SST CHAMPIONS -(015827006)	31%	71%	28%	58%	12%	SBOE
SST CORPUS CHRISTI -(015831002)	18%	80%	30%	70%	13%	SBOE
SST DISCOVERY -(015831001)	29%	81%	30%	66%	11%	SBOE
SST EXCELLENCE -(015827004)	48%	72%	25%	63%	15%	SBOE
SST HILL COUNTRY -(015831006)	32%	83%	35%	70%	21%	SBOE
SST NORTHWEST -(015831004)	25%	85%	36%	76%	20%	SBOE
SST SCHERTZ -(015827007)	28%	98%	58%	100%	49%	SBOE
SST SPRING -(015827005)	23%	80%	30%	66%	10%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
SST SUGARLAND -(015831005)	29%	89%	44%	81%	25%	SBOE
SST THE WOODLANDS -(015827003)	99%	77%	25%	74%	15%	SBOE
ST ANTHONY ACADEMY -(057836101)	16%	75%	19%	74%	11%	SBOE
ST MARY'S ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(013801101)	20%	80%	29%	69%	7%	SBOE
STEELE MONTESSORI EL -(015907166)	22%	58%	17%	41%	6%	ISD
STEP CHARTER EL -(101859101)	32%	90%	42%	82%	31%	SBOE
STEP CHARTER II -(101859102)	29%	81%	29%	73%	16%	SBOE
STEPHEN F AUSTIN STATE UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL -(174801101)	10%	98%	66%	98%	61%	SBOE
STORM EL -(015907169)	13%	41%	8%	28%	5%	ISD
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES - ORANGE -(123803103)	48%	50%	11%	39%	0%	SBOE
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES -(123803101)	40%	49%	8%	49%	5%	SBOE
TEMPLE CHARTER ACADEMY -(014803101)	43%	77%	30%	50%	7%	SBOE
TEXAS EMPOWERMENT ACADEMY -(227805041)	40%	68%	18%	59%	13%	SBOE
TEXAS EMPOWERMENT ACADEMY EL -(227805101)	32%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
TEXAS LEADERSHIP OF ABILENE -(226801004)	21%	69%	18%	56%	10%	SBOE
TEXAS LEADERSHIP OF ARLINGTON -(226801003)	39%	72%	18%	44%	3%	SBOE
TEXAS LEADERSHIP OF MIDLAND -(226801002)	22%	59%	13%	48%	7%	SBOE
TEXAS LEADERSHIP OF SAN ANGELO -(226801001)	19%	79%	28%	69%	11%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
TEXAS PREPARATORY SCHOOL - AUSTIN CAMPUS -(105802101)	43%	75%	25%	83%	8%	SBOE
TEXAS PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(105802041)	66%	65%	15%	62%	5%	SBOE
TEXAS SCHOOL OF THE ARTS -(220814101)	21%	93%	36%	80%	24%	SBOE
TEXAS SERENITY ACADEMY -(170801003)	54%	61%	14%	36%	2%	SBOE
THE EAST AUSTIN COLLEGE PREP AT SOUTHWEST KEY -(227824001)	30%	54%	20%	29%	1%	SBOE
THE GATHERING PLACE -(015841001)	40%	51%	15%	18%	3%	COE
THE LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(212801102)	17%	90%	36%	71%	18%	SBOE
THE LINGUISTIC ACAD OF EL PASO-CULTURAL DEMO SITE -(071801004)	19%	87%	39%	74%	20%	SBOE
THE ODESSA Y LEARNING CENTER -(068901134)	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
THE RHODES SCHOOL - HUMBLE -(101861105)	34%	70%	21%	69%	10%	SBOE
THE RHODES SCHOOL - NORTHSHORE CAMPUS -(101861104)	52%	66%	19%	59%	10%	SBOE
THE VARNETT SCHOOL - EAST -(101814103)	21%	77%	18%	82%	27%	SBOE
THE VARNETT SCHOOL - NORTHEAST -(101814102)	21%	67%	21%	66%	15%	SBOE
THROCKMORTON COLLEGIATE ISD -(224901001)	21%	68%	13%	47%	2%	ISD
TRAVIS HTS EL -(227901140)	22%	84%	43%	73%	22%	ISD
TREETOPS SCHOOL INTERNATIONAL -(220801001)	17%	92%	45%	85%	23%	SBOE
TRINITY BASIN PREPARATORY -(057813101)	20%	71%	22%	63%	11%	SBOE
TRINITY BASIN PREPARATORY -(057813104)	18%	74%	23%	66%	13%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
TRINITY BASIN PREPARATORY INC -(057813105)	20%	74%	23%	68%	21%	SBOE
TRIVIUM ACADEMY -(061805001)	19%	89%	47%	83%	29%	COE
TWO DIMENSIONS AT CORSICANA -(101840102)	42%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
TWO DIMENSIONS PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(101840101)	37%	65%	17%	27%	4%	SBOE
TWO DIMENSIONS/VICKERY -(101840103)	28%	78%	34%	46%	8%	SBOE
TYNAN EARLY CHILDHOOD CAMPUS -(015907244)	0%	-	-	-	-	ISD
UME MANSFIELD EL -(057845003)	31%	100%	65%	94%	35%	SBOE
UME PREPARATORY ACADEMY - DUNCANVILLE -(057845002)	25%	91%	34%	74%	8%	SBOE
UME PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(057845001)	17%	89%	43%	81%	20%	SBOE
UNIVERSAL ACADEMY - COPPELL -(057808102)	42%	94%	61%	88%	51%	SBOE
UNIVERSAL ACADEMY -(057808101)	29%	81%	30%	69%	11%	SBOE
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS EL CHARTER SCHOOL -(227819101)	12%	82%	41%	73%	27%	SBOE
UPLIFT ASCEND -(057803017)	20%	64%	21%	52%	10%	SBOE
UPLIFT ATLAS PREPARATORY - PRI -(057803110)	11%	71%	18%	58%	8%	SBOE
UPLIFT CRESCENDO PREPARATORY PRI -(057803115)	36%	76%	21%	62%	20%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION-NORTH HILLS PREP PRI -(057803101)	8%	91%	58%	90%	52%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION - INFINITY PREPARATORY PRI -(057803102)	11%	78%	28%	66%	20%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION - UPLIFT GRAND PREPARATORY -(057803003)	15%	71%	25%	66%	19%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
UPLIFT ELEVATE PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(057803018)	27%	69%	18%	41%	6%	SBOE
UPLIFT GRADUS PREPARATORY -(057803103)	22%	81%	30%	72%	13%	SBOE
UPLIFT HAMPTON PREPARATORY PRI -(057803111)	27%	69%	23%	62%	12%	SBOE
UPLIFT HEIGHTS PREPARATORY PRI -(057803104)	15%	71%	20%	63%	13%	SBOE
UPLIFT LUNA PREPARATORY PRI -(057803107)	25%	63%	22%	57%	10%	SBOE
UPLIFT MERIDIAN SCHOOL -(057803108)	29%	66%	14%	51%	4%	SBOE
UPLIFT MIGHTY SCHOOL -(057803008)	16%	68%	17%	46%	10%	SBOE
UPLIFT PINNACLE PREPARATORY PRI -(057803105)	27%	63%	15%	55%	6%	SBOE
UPLIFT SUMMIT INTERNATIONAL PRI -(057803109)	20%	79%	31%	70%	16%	SBOE
UPLIFT TRIUMPH PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(057803106)	18%	69%	23%	55%	14%	SBOE
UPLIFT WHITE ROCK HILLS PREP -(057803114)	23%	73%	25%	71%	15%	SBOE
UPLIFT WILLIAMS PREPARATORY PRI -(057803112)	15%	59%	18%	54%	16%	SBOE
UT TYLER UNIVERSITY ACADEMY AT LONGVIEW -(212804102)	13%	96%	61%	96%	50%	SBOE
UT TYLER UNIVERSITY ACADEMY AT PALESTINE -(212804103)	27%	88%	53%	76%	20%	SBOE
UT TYLER UNIVERSITY ACADEMY AT TYLER -(212804001)	18%	90%	45%	80%	20%	SBOE
UTPB STEM ACADEMY -(068803001)	100%*	86%	33%	73%	19%	COE
UVALDE DUAL LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(232903111)	13%	73%	24%	58%	12%	ISD
VALOR NORTH AUSTIN -(227829002)	18%	88%	48%	82%	28%	COE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
VALOR SOUTH AUSTIN -(227829001)	26%	90%	47%	84%	26%	COE
VANGUARD BEETHOVEN -(108808105)	10%	88%	41%	79%	22%	SBOE
VANGUARD MOZART -(108808104)	16%	79%	30%	67%	17%	SBOE
VANGUARD PICASSO -(108808103)	16%	82%	29%	70%	16%	SBOE
VANGUARD REMBRANDT -(108808101)	14%	85%	36%	75%	17%	SBOE
VANGUARD VAN GOGH -(108808106)	18%	82%	32%	74%	17%	SBOE
VARNETT CHARTER SCHOOL -(101814101)	31%	74%	22%	64%	11%	SBOE
VILLAGE TECH SCHOOLS -(057847001)	15%	75%	21%	52%	6%	SBOE
VISTA ACADEMY OF BEAUMONT -(221801052)	37%	62%	14%	45%	1%	SBOE
VISTA ACADEMY OF GARLAND -(221801026)	27%	80%	29%	71%	13%	SBOE
VISTA ACADEMY OF HUMBLE -(221801057)	36%	81%	33%	66%	13%	SBOE
VISTA ACADEMY OF PASADENA -(221801055)	40%	75%	19%	63%	8%	SBOE
VISTA DEL FUTURO CHARTER SCHOOL -(071809001)	29%	78%	35%	69%	18%	SBOE
WACO CHARTER SCHOOL -(161801101)	19%	67%	15%	48%	4%	SBOE
WARE EL -(092903125)	25%	65%	20%	69%	22%	ISD
WAYSIDE ALTAMIRA ACADEMY -(227803103)	29%	69%	28%	63%	15%	SBOE
WAYSIDE EDEN PARK ACADEMY -(227803101)	22%	86%	42%	68%	21%	SBOE
WAYSIDE REAL LEARNING ACADEMY -(227803102)	20%	76%	22%	69%	12%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Mathematics Approaches	STAAR-Mathematics Masters	School Type
WESTCHASE NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL -(221801069)	35%	73%	32%	74%	16%	SBOE
WESTLAKE ACADEMY -(220810001)	9%	97%	66%	95%	51%	SBOE
WILLIAM B TRAVIS WORLD LANGUAGE ACADEMY -(057910137)	15%	67%	16%	74%	12%	ISD
WINSTON INT OF EXCELLENCE -(015905143)	12%	46%	9%	45%	4%	ISD
WISDOM PRI -(057803116)	15%	67%	23%	64%	15%	SBOE
WOODLAWN ACADEMY -(015907175)	19%	68%	20%	49%	7%	ISD
WOODLAWN HILLS EL -(015907176)	22%	51%	11%	45%	7%	ISD
YOUNG WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY PRI AT PAGE -(015907120)	6%	88%	45%	67%	10%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Sources. Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22 and 2022-23, and Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

TABLE D.2

### Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, Middle School Campuses

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
A+ UNLIMITED POTENTIAL - UNIVERSITY -(101871102)	31%	81%	32%	55%	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	78%	COE
ACCELERATED INT CHARTER SCHOOL -(101849041)	-	86%	29%	86%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ARISTOI CLASSICAL UPPER SCHOOL -(101803001)	23%	92%	53%	84%	20%	94%	24%	92%	9%	90%	45%	SBOE
ARLINGTON CLASSICS ACADEMY - MIDDLE -(220802040)	12%	93%	49%	83%	18%	-	-	-	-	91%	37%	SBOE
AUSTIN ACHIEVE MIDDLE -(227825001)	26%	56%	14%	44%	6%	-	-	-	-	75%	11%	SBOE
AUSTIN ACHIEVE PARMER -(227825005)	92%	47%	2%	71%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
BASIS SAN ANTONIO- SHAVANO CAMPUS -(015834001)	19%	98%	68%	98%	51%	99%	64%	99%	34%	95%	60%	SBOE
BOB HOPE SCHOOL -(123807001)	16%	85%	33%	81%	22%	-	-	-	-	90%	26%	SBOE
BRENTWOOD MIDDLE -(015905041)	21%	58%	17%	38%	3%	-	-	-	-	36%	5%	ISD
CALVIN NELMS MIDDLE -(101837041)	25%	93%	50%	83%	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	94%	SBOE
COMPASS ROSE LEGACY -(015838001)	45%	66%	21%	37%	2%	54%	7%	70%	2%	65%	3%	COE
CORNERSTONE ACADEMY -(101920048)	14%	97%	65%	98%	54%	-	-	-	-	100%	83%	ISD
CUMBERLAND ACADEMY UPPER EL -(212801041)	-	83%	33%	72%	7%	-	-	-	-	100%	74%	SBOE
DAVINCI SCHOOL FOR SCIENCE AND THE ARTS -(071801003)	30%	82%	35%	81%	24%	73%	9%	72%	7%	82%	27%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
DAVIS MIDDLE -(015907043)	36%	46%	11%	31%	3%	-	-	-	-	62%	5%	ISD
DISCOVERY MIDDLE -(101838041)	21%	67%	18%	47%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
DR TERRY ROBBINS MIDDLE -(003801042)	15%	85%	38%	79%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
DUNBAR COLLEGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY -(152901063)	25%	62%	14%	57%	8%	-	-	-	-	79%	14%	ISD
EARLY COLLEGE STEM ACADEMY -(101806042)	14%	71%	23%	56%	7%	-	-	-	-	100%	84%	SBOE
ECTOR COLLEGE PREP SUCCESS ACADEMY -(068901047)	14%	68%	22%	65%	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	91%	ISD
EDGAR ALLAN POE STEM DUAL LANGUAGE MIDDLE -(015907060)	23%	41%	6%	35%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
EL PASO LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - MIDDLE EAST -(071810003)	37%	69%	6%	81%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
EL PASO LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(071810001)	28%	60%	15%	46%	3%	-	-	-	-	78%	11%	COE
ENERGIZED FOR EXCELLENCE ACADEMY INC MIDDLE -(101912342)	23%	74%	28%	69%	18%	-	-	-	-	97%	87%	ISD
ENERGIZED FOR STEM ACADEMY MIDDLE -(101912390)	21%	75%	25%	70%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
ETOILE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(101872001)	24%	69%	28%	60%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
FLOYDADA COLLEGIATE J H -(077901041)	9%	73%	18%	64%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
FOREST OAK MIDDLE -(220905045)	23%	66%	19%	57%	8%	100%	10%	-	-	100%	44%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
FOREST PARK MAGNET SCHOOL -(092903041)	15%	80%	23%	73%	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	45%	ISD
FOSTER MIDDLE -(092903042)	12%	87%	43%	75%	23%	-	-	-	-	99%	78%	ISD
G W CARVER MIDDLE -(161914048)	32%	48%	11%	33%	4%	-	-	-	-	100%	11%	ISD
GODDARD J H -(165901046)	17%	69%	23%	50%	6%	-	-	-	-	100%	58%	ISD
GRAND PRAIRIE COLLEGIATE INSTITUTE -(057910011)	25%	97%	51%	89%	19%	100%	40%	100%	27%	100%	80%	ISD
GREAT HEARTS IRVING UPPER -(015835007)	26%	96%	53%	92%	27%	93%	31%	89%	27%	88%	38%	SBOE
GREAT HEARTS MONTE VISTA NORTH -(015835002)	27%	95%	51%	84%	26%	94%	35%	96%	22%	92%	19%	SBOE
GUS GARCIA MIDDLE -(015905046)	23%	60%	14%	46%	8%	-	-	-	-	71%	18%	ISD
HARMONY SCHOOL OF DISCOVERY - HOUSTON -(101858005)	22%	85%	43%	84%	31%	80%	18%	79%	11%	91%	44%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - AUSTIN -(227816101)	23%	60%	15%	55%	5%	58%	10%	65%	9%	74%	18%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - DALLAS -(161807010)	20%	75%	25%	67%	12%	64%	2%	68%	4%	77%	25%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - SUGAR LAND -(101862006)	19%	92%	52%	89%	36%	-	-	-	-	100%	96%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - DALLAS -(161807005)	28%	87%	43%	72%	9%	69%	11%	86%	12%	84%	44%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - FORT WORTH -(161807011)	16%	84%	41%	79%	18%	79%	25%	85%	12%	89%	39%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - HOUSTON -(101846002)	17%	86%	45%	86%	30%	-	-	-	-	97%	82%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - KATY -(101862005)	12%	93%	53%	89%	42%	90%	28%	97%	29%	93%	61%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - WACO -(161807014)	24%	70%	19%	52%	3%	58%	3%	55%	5%	69%	14%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF TECHNOLOGY-HOUSTON -(101858006)	18%	79%	35%	79%	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	94%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - CARROLLTON -(161807013)	23%	85%	41%	81%	26%	78%	26%	80%	8%	81%	43%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - LAREDO -(015828003)	10%	75%	29%	76%	13%	-	-	-	-	100%	66%	SBOE
HARRIS MIDDLE -(015907047)	12%	63%	13%	56%	3%	-	-	-	-	94%	31%	ISD
HERITAGE ACADEMY -(015815101)	25%	88%	46%	86%	33%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
HERITAGE ACADEMY OF DEL RIO MIDDLE -(015815042)	13%	73%	28%	63%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
HERITAGE ACADEMY OF SAN ANTONIO -(015815104)	-	77%	15%	85%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
HIGH POINT ACADEMY -(220819001)	19%	82%	35%	62%	5%	80%	14%	72%	6%	85%	22%	COE
IDEA ACHIEVE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807087)	25%	86%	34%	81%	21%	-	-	-	-	79%	25%	SBOE
IDEA AMBROSE AND FREDA ROBINSON COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807204)	26%	86%	38%	91%	31%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA BLUFF SPRINGS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807037)	24%	75%	28%	62%	13%	63%	16%	64%	7%	73%	20%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
IDEA BRACKENRIDGE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807028)	23%	80%	34%	69%	9%	80%	16%	81%	12%	79%	18%	SBOE
IDEA BROWNSVILLE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807012)	17%	90%	41%	72%	16%	81%	21%	85%	18%	90%	41%	SBOE
IDEA BURKE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807092)	27%	85%	43%	70%	15%	-	-	-	-	86%	31%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREP -(108807001)	20%	82%	38%	79%	18%	75%	9%	81%	7%	82%	21%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY ALAMO -(108807007)	17%	82%	33%	69%	14%	68%	9%	86%	10%	79%	24%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY SAN BENITO -(108807005)	12%	84%	40%	71%	20%	76%	13%	84%	11%	95%	40%	SBOE
IDEA CONVERSE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807099)	22%	88%	44%	85%	27%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA EASTSIDE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807024)	29%	81%	32%	57%	8%	69%	14%	73%	2%	87%	45%	SBOE
IDEA EDGECLIFF COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807202)	19%	81%	41%	78%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA EDGEMERE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807084)	29%	91%	40%	88%	27%	82%	23%	-	-	64%	12%	SBOE
IDEA EDINBURG COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807009)	17%	86%	32%	69%	16%	70%	20%	90%	13%	88%	34%	SBOE
IDEA ELSA COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807019)	19%	82%	25%	71%	16%	80%	20%	-	-	89%	23%	SBOE
IDEA EWING HALSELL COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807029)	24%	80%	37%	82%	23%	83%	17%	90%	13%	72%	14%	SBOE
IDEA HARDY COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807093)	25%	78%	27%	69%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA HARLINGEN COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807095)	23%	83%	27%	71%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
IDEA HEALTH PROFESSIONS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807091)	37%	79%	32%	65%	10%	-	-	-	-	52%	6%	SBOE
IDEA HIDDEN MEADOW COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807098)	28%	85%	39%	77%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA HORIZON VISTA COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807201)	21%	82%	35%	85%	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA INGRAM HILLS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807030)	28%	79%	35%	70%	20%	74%	15%	-	-	85%	17%	SBOE
IDEA JUDSON COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807025)	22%	80%	34%	70%	15%	62%	11%	66%	11%	73%	21%	SBOE
IDEA KYLE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807039)	26%	83%	38%	68%	22%	81%	17%	-	-	67%	19%	SBOE
IDEA LA JOYA COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807203)	19%	67%	17%	73%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA LAKE HOUSTON COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807207)	25%	76%	25%	74%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA LOS ENCINOS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807089)	13%	80%	39%	84%	33%	-	-	-	-	90%	39%	SBOE
IDEA MAYS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807026)	22%	89%	49%	87%	31%	83%	13%	84%	15%	91%	43%	SBOE
IDEA MESA HILLS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807085)	33%	88%	41%	83%	28%	-	-	-	-	76%	21%	SBOE
IDEA MESQUITE HILLS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807205)	16%	78%	28%	80%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA MONTERREY PARK COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807022)	22%	81%	28%	65%	7%	86%	19%	91%	15%	90%	43%	SBOE
IDEA NAJIM COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807027)	33%	80%	31%	84%	17%	80%	7%	86%	0%	98%	51%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
IDEA OWASSA COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807083)	19%	83%	31%	84%	19%	85%	11%	-	-	90%	28%	SBOE
IDEA PALMVIEW COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807097)	16%	81%	32%	73%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA PARMER PARK COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807090)	23%	73%	26%	53%	8%	-	-	-	-	51%	18%	SBOE
IDEA PFLUGERVILLE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807038)	31%	82%	33%	66%	15%	70%	21%	-	-	67%	22%	SBOE
IDEA RIO GRANDE CITY COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807016)	19%	76%	28%	67%	18%	72%	13%	78%	19%	78%	22%	SBOE
IDEA RIO VISTA COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807040)	32%	86%	36%	78%	15%	77%	18%	-	-	87%	30%	SBOE
IDEA RISE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807086)	25%	87%	39%	93%	43%	-	-	-	-	100%	73%	SBOE
IDEA ROBINDALE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807088)	16%	86%	33%	84%	24%	-	-	-	-	91%	25%	SBOE
IDEA ROUND ROCK TECH COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807200)	28%	91%	51%	84%	30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA SOUTH FLORES COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807021)	22%	93%	46%	82%	21%	84%	16%	88%	10%	88%	27%	SBOE
IDEA SOUTHEAST COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807206)	23%	80%	26%	84%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA SPEARS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807094)	22%	75%	29%	78%	16%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA TRES LAGOS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807017)	27%	85%	42%	75%	25%	69%	11%	86%	19%	75%	27%	SBOE
IDEA WALZEM COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807023)	28%	85%	33%	74%	15%	72%	13%	73%	7%	89%	25%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
IDEA YUKON COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807208)	11%	81%	31%	75%	24%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ILTEXAS ARLINGTON MIDDLE -(057848005)	20%	68%	26%	50%	2%	91%	27%	-	-	100%	73%	SBOE
ILTEXAS COLLEGE STATION MIDDLE -(057848031)	26%	81%	42%	72%	15%	-	-	-	-	80%	36%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GARLAND MIDDLE -(057848002)	18%	76%	30%	67%	11%	100%	30%	-	-	100%	77%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GRAND PRAIRIE MIDDLE -(057848011)	19%	72%	26%	53%	6%	100%	20%	-	-	100%	48%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON OREM MIDDLE -(057848028)	26%	58%	13%	36%	1%	88%	6%	-	-	93%	10%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON WINDMILL LAKES MIDDLE -(057848026)	20%	63%	15%	47%	2%	100%	25%	-	-	100%	58%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KATY MIDDLE -(057848015)	18%	79%	27%	70%	13%	100%	42%	-	-	100%	92%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KELLER MIDDLE -(057848008)	20%	85%	38%	77%	16%	100%	26%	-	-	100%	66%	SBOE
ILTEXAS LANCASTER MIDDLE -(057848020)	24%	65%	18%	40%	1%	100%	14%	-	-	78%	0%	SBOE
ILTEXAS NORTH RICHLAND HILLS MIDDLE -(057848013)	22%	64%	21%	43%	3%	96%	4%	-	-	100%	29%	SBOE
ILTEXAS SAGINAW MIDDLE -(057848024)	31%	63%	20%	56%	5%	100%	30%	-	-	100%	22%	SBOE
ILTEXAS WESTPARK MIDDLE -(057848017)	19%	71%	26%	54%	9%	91%	22%	-	-	96%	52%	SBOE
ILTEXAS WOODHAVEN MIDDLE -(057848022)	34%	54%	13%	37%	2%	95%	18%	-	-	95%	48%	SBOE
INDIAN SPRING MIDDLE -(161914050)	27%	56%	11%	43%	3%	-	-	-	-	92%	40%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
JUBILEE ACADEMIES MIDDLE -(015822013)	37%	60%	19%	22%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
JUBILEE BROWNSVILLE -(015822010)	21%	73%	24%	59%	10%	62%	5%	74%	1%	70%	9%	SBOE
JUDSON STEAM ACADEMY -(092903044)	17%	79%	32%	75%	16%	-	-	-	-	100%	90%	ISD
KIPP 3D ACADEMY -(227820051)	6%	80%	34%	68%	13%	-	-	-	-	71%	18%	SBOE
KIPP ACADEMY MIDDLE -(227820050)	11%	88%	41%	74%	15%	-	-	-	-	74%	11%	SBOE
KIPP ACADEMY WEST MIDDLE -(227820059)	17%	83%	41%	73%	16%	-	-	-	-	96%	43%	SBOE
KIPP ASPIRE ACADEMY -(227820081)	16%	67%	22%	46%	6%	-	-	-	-	73%	7%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN ACADEMY OF ARTS & LETTERS -(227820042)	11%	65%	22%	53%	10%	-	-	-	-	90%	32%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN BEACON PREP -(227820043)	15%	71%	24%	58%	5%	-	-	-	-	82%	28%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN COLLEGE PREP -(227820041)	10%	70%	23%	50%	7%	-	-	-	-	80%	24%	SBOE
KIPP CAMINO ACADEMY -(227820082)	23%	61%	18%	35%	5%	-	-	-	-	48%	4%	SBOE
KIPP CONNECT MIDDLE -(227820056)	11%	85%	36%	78%	21%	-	-	-	-	88%	40%	SBOE
KIPP DESTINY MIDDLE -(227820072)	38%	66%	20%	47%	6%	-	-	-	-	73%	13%	SBOE
KIPP INTREPID PREPARATORY -(227820054)	9%	75%	34%	61%	11%	-	-	-	-	81%	22%	SBOE
KIPP JOURNEY COLLEGIATE SCHOOL -(227820062)	26%	81%	33%	72%	12%	-	-	-	-	88%	45%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
KIPP LIBERATION COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(227820057)	27%	71%	22%	46%	4%	-	-	-	-	55%	9%	SBOE
KIPP MOSAIC ACADEMY SCHOOL -(227820063)	15%	73%	24%	64%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP NEXUS MIDDLE -(227820061)	23%	68%	23%	53%	4%	-	-	-	-	83%	33%	SBOE
KIPP PASEO PREPARATORY SCHOOL -(227820045)	17%	59%	20%	52%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP PLEASANT GROVE LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(227820073)	22%	68%	22%	51%	6%	61%	12%	-	-	78%	22%	SBOE
KIPP PODER ACADEMY -(227820083)	24%	77%	30%	58%	9%	-	-	-	-	86%	15%	SBOE
KIPP POLARIS ACADEMY FOR BOYS -(227820053)	14%	73%	25%	51%	7%	-	-	-	-	51%	2%	SBOE
KIPP PRIME COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(227820060)	22%	73%	28%	52%	4%	-	-	-	-	68%	8%	SBOE
KIPP SHARPSTOWN COLEGE PREP -(227820052)	15%	89%	43%	80%	19%	-	-	-	-	99%	65%	SBOE
KIPP SPIRIT COLLEGE PREP -(227820058)	18%	78%	27%	65%	10%	-	-	-	-	83%	24%	SBOE
KIPP TRUTH ACADEMY -(227820071)	23%	67%	19%	52%	7%	-	-	-	-	84%	21%	SBOE
KIPP VOYAGE ACADEMY FOR GIRLS -(227820055)	14%	75%	28%	49%	4%	-	-	-	-	67%	9%	SBOE
LA ACADEMIA DE ESTRELLAS MIDDLE -(057839103)	6%	73%	22%	59%	3%	-	-	-	-	100%	50%	SBOE
LEADERSHIP ACADEMY AT FOREST OAK 6TH GRADE -(220905064)	0%	59%	12%	54%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
LEGACY TRADITIONAL SCHOOL - ALAMO RANCH -(015806041)	35%	70%	24%	55%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
LIFE MIDDLE WAXAHACHIE -(057807041)	17%	85%	33%	60%	6%	-	-	-	-	98%	41%	SBOE
MENDEZ MIDDLE -(227901058)	43%	49%	11%	25%	2%	-	-	-	-	72%	14%	ISD
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY AT PIONEER -(220817006)	-	79%	15%	72%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY MANSFIELD EAST -(220817007)	49%	92%	42%	63%	5%	78%	17%	92%	0%	69%	15%	SBOE
NORTH EAST STEM ACADEMY -(101806043)	20%	43%	0%	79%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
NYOS CHARTER SCHOOL -(227804101)	13%	83%	39%	75%	14%	80%	17%	88%	17%	83%	24%	SBOE
OLYMPIA HILLS -(227806031)	33%	100%	43%	100%	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PHALEN LEADERSHIP ACADE- MY AT JAMES MARTIN JACQUET -(220905059)	29%	55%	9%	30%	2%	96%	4%	-	-	100%	40%	ISD
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY (PTAA) FATE -(057850003)	27%	82%	32%	66%	4%	92%	5%	84%	13%	85%	30%	COE
PIONEER TECHNOLOGY (PTAA) MESQUITE -(057850001)	21%	86%	33%	64%	6%	78%	11%	81%	11%	74%	11%	COE
PRO-VISION MIDDLE -(101868002)	32%	43%	7%	16%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
QUINN CAMPUS PUBLIC MID- DLE -(161802102)	26%	81%	28%	65%	7%	-	-	-	-	100%	75%	SBOE
RIPLEY HOUSE MIDDLE CAM- PUS -(101853042)	14%	62%	13%	62%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
SAN ANTONIO PREPARATORY -(015840001)	65%	68%	21%	42%	0%	73%	0%	-	-	44%	0%	COE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
SCHOOL FOR THE HIGHLY GIFTED -(057910135)	12%	99%	80%	100%	70%	100%	85%	-	-	100%	94%	ISD
SEASHORE MIDDLE ACAD -(178808041)	23%	94%	48%	87%	17%	-	-	-	-	100%	63%	SBOE
SER-NINOS CHARTER MIDDLE -(101802041)	10%	79%	26%	81%	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	60%	SBOE
SMITH MIDDLE -(123910042)	25%	38%	5%	19%	1%	-	-	-	-	75%	17%	ISD
ST ANTHONY SCHOOL -(057836041)	19%	88%	40%	81%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES -(123803041)	33%	79%	28%	38%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
THE LAWSON ACADEMY -(101864041)	38%	65%	22%	50%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
UPLIFT ASCEND MIDDLE -(057803051)	31%	69%	24%	49%	5%	70%	5%	-	-	71%	21%	SBOE
UPLIFT ATLAS PREPARATORY - MIDDLE -(057803048)	11%	74%	26%	51%	3%	-	-	-	-	99%	33%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION - INFINITY PREPARATORY MIDDLE SCH -(057803043)	8%	78%	31%	66%	5%	-	-	-	-	95%	48%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION-NORTH HILLS PREP MIDDLE -(057803041)	8%	97%	67%	95%	51%	-	-	-	-	96%	56%	SBOE
UPLIFT ELEVATE PREPARATORY MIDDLE -(057803050)	33%	87%	33%	62%	8%	73%	7%	-	-	90%	29%	SBOE
UPLIFT GRAND SECONDARY -(057803011)	21%	74%	26%	56%	3%	-	-	-	-	93%	29%	SBOE
UPLIFT HAMPTON PREPARATORY MIDDLE -(057803044)	25%	69%	23%	58%	5%	-	-	-	-	72%	8%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	STAAR-Reading Approaches	STAAR-Reading Masters	STAAR-Math Approaches	STAAR-Math Masters	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
UPLIFT HEIGHTS PREPARATORY MIDDLE -(057803046)	16%	70%	19%	54%	5%	-	-	-	-	100%	15%	SBOE
UPLIFT LUNA SECONDARY SCHOOL -(057803012)	21%	72%	23%	66%	9%	-	-	-	-	98%	61%	SBOE
UPLIFT MIGHTY MIDDLE -(057803049)	18%	65%	17%	38%	2%	-	-	-	-	64%	4%	SBOE
UPLIFT SUMMIT INTERNATIONAL MIDDLE -(057803047)	21%	72%	25%	56%	4%	-	-	-	-	96%	42%	SBOE
UPLIFT WILLIAMS PREPARATORY MIDDLE -(057803045)	9%	77%	24%	64%	11%	-	-	-	-	96%	58%	SBOE
UPLIFT WISDOM SECONDARY -(057803015)	20%	64%	18%	52%	5%	-	-	-	-	97%	27%	SBOE
WAYSIDE SCI-TECH MIDDLE AND H S -(227803001)	30%	64%	20%	54%	4%	54%	1%	62%	1%	69%	20%	SBOE
YELLOWSTONE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(101873001)	17%	76%	20%	58%	4%	-	-	-	-	54%	17%	COE
YES PREP - HOBBY -(101845014)	28%	77%	25%	66%	9%	-	-	-	-	100%	78%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTHLINE -(101845012)	12%	75%	27%	76%	13%	62%	7%	75%	7%	80%	30%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTHWEST -(101845013)	27%	77%	26%	70%	7%	77%	12%	-	-	86%	36%	SBOE
YOUNG MEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(015907177)	25%	82%	25%	64%	13%	75%	0%	73%	2%	59%	1%	ISD
YOUNG WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(015907023)	22%	98%	61%	91%	18%	97%	32%	99%	15%	97%	42%	ISD
YOUNG WOMEN'S LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(165901007)	31%	99%	62%	98%	40%	100%	63%	-	-	100%	65%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Sources. Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22 and 2022-23, and Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

**TABLE D.3**

### Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
A+ SECONDARY SCHOOL -(057829002)	13%	100%	59%	3%	69%	5%	70%	7%	SBOE
AUSTIN ACHIEVE H S -(227825004)	14%	-	49%	2%	67%	4%	60%	1%	SBOE
BENAVIDES SECONDARY -(066901001)	18%	97%	67%	7%	77%	6%	51%	0%	ISD
BOB HOPE H S -(123807002)	9%	-	79%	8%	86%	7%	81%	0%	SBOE
BRYAN COLLEGIATE H S -(021902003)	11%	100%	93%	17%	97%	17%	96%	25%	ISD
BURBANK H S -(015907002)	19%	95%	42%	2%	52%	3%	46%	3%	ISD
BURLESON CENTER -(015905124)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
CALVIN NELMS H S -(101837001)	20%	100%	97%	14%	96%	4%	100%	22%	SBOE
CAST MED H S -(015907028)	29%	-	79%	14%	91%	13%	82%	18%	ISD
CAST TECH H S -(015907027)	14%	-	78%	16%	77%	8%	75%	13%	ISD
CEDARS ACADEMY NEXT GENERATION H S AT HIGHLAND -(227817001)	17%	100%	70%	4%	70%	5%	100%	23%	SBOE
COMQUEST ACADEMY -(101842001)	24%	100%	100%	0%	83%	17%	83%	33%	SBOE
CUMBERLAND H S -(212801001)	17%	94%	68%	9%	65%	6%	66%	10%	SBOE
EARLY COLLEGE T-STEM ACADEMY -(101806001)	16%	94%	59%	6%	75%	7%	78%	17%	SBOE
EAST CENTRAL CAST LEAD H S -(015911007)	5%	-	76%	6%	88%	3%	58%	0%	ISD

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
EL PASO LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - H S EAST -(071810002)	30%	-	46%	3%	-	-	68%	0%	COE
ENERGIZED FOR STEM ACADEMY H S -(101912321)	26%	99%	67%	10%	78%	2%	89%	32%	ISD
FLOYDADA COLLEGIATE H S -(077901001)	13%	98%	73%	4%	69%	2%	98%	45%	ISD
FORT WORTH ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS -(220809001)	25%	100%	97%	25%	100%	35%	90%	25%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY CORINTH - UPPER SCHOOL -(221801020)	32%	-	94%	20%	89%	11%	83%	18%	SBOE
FOX TECHNICAL H S -(015907004)	20%	98%	68%	4%	74%	1%	50%	3%	ISD
FRANK L MADLA EARLY COLLEGE H S -(015805001)	14%	100%	90%	8%	88%	16%	84%	20%	SBOE
FREER H S -(066903002)	14%	96%	56%	4%	62%	4%	65%	3%	ISD
GATEWAY CHARTER ACADEMY - MIDDLE - H S -(057831002)	27%	100%	68%	5%	77%	0%	71%	13%	SBOE
GREENLEAF NCC -(227901199)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
HAMLIN COLLEGIATE H S -(127903001)	15%	96%	69%	10%	63%	3%	67%	24%	ISD
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ADVANCEMENT-HOUSTON -(101858004)	13%	98%	79%	16%	84%	13%	83%	17%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - LAREDO -(015828008)	12%	100%	56%	7%	67%	6%	84%	23%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INGENUITY-HOUSTON -(101846003)	15%	99%	61%	4%	73%	5%	63%	11%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - BROWNS- VILLE -(015828007)	15%	100%	68%	10%	71%	8%	84%	18%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - GARLAND -(161807006)	23%	100%	77%	18%	83%	13%	82%	30%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).



Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION-SUGAR LAND -(101862002)	9%	99%	90%	26%	91%	24%	86%	42%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD (EL PASO) -(071806001)	18%	100%	73%	10%	77%	10%	91%	41%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD (SAN ANTONIO) -(015828001)	23%	99%	65%	6%	65%	10%	71%	27%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - EULESS -(161807009)	25%	100%	78%	17%	81%	12%	80%	39%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-HOUSTON -(101846001)	16%	100%	72%	8%	82%	9%	87%	48%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-PFLUGERVILLE -(227816002)	23%	99%	66%	7%	74%	9%	68%	27%	SBOE
HENRY FORD ACADEMY ALAMEDA SCHOOL FOR ART + DESIGN -(015833001)	48%	84%	75%	19%	79%	0%	58%	0%	SBOE
HOUSTON GATEWAY ACADEMY - CORAL CAMPUS -(101828001)	18%	98%	95%	15%	96%	11%	100%	72%	SBOE
IDEA CARVER COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807020)	26%	100%	85%	28%	96%	23%	98%	71%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREP WESLACO -(108807010)	14%	100%	87%	26%	89%	9%	96%	39%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY MISSION -(108807004)	16%	99%	81%	17%	87%	12%	79%	30%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY PHARR -(108807008)	14%	100%	80%	19%	91%	22%	91%	25%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY SAN JUAN -(108807006)	15%	100%	69%	9%	80%	13%	72%	10%	SBOE
IDEA FRONTIER COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807003)	12%	100%	92%	24%	88%	21%	98%	47%	SBOE
IDEA MCALLEN COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807011)	16%	100%	96%	19%	93%	28%	91%	40%	SBOE
IDEA MONTOPOLIS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807035)	16%	100%	75%	16%	86%	9%	79%	20%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
IDEA NORTH MISSION COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807015)	17%	-	69%	10%	83%	9%	72%	21%	SBOE
IDEA QUEST COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807002)	15%	99%	92%	29%	96%	26%	98%	46%	SBOE
IDEA RIVERVIEW COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807014)	12%	-	72%	18%	89%	9%	96%	44%	SBOE
IDEA RUNDBERG COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807036)	16%	-	83%	13%	87%	16%	98%	63%	SBOE
IDEA SPORTS PARK COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807096)	16%	-	79%	8%	79%	12%	86%	21%	SBOE
IDEA TOROS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807018)	35%	100%	71%	7%	82%	6%	80%	13%	SBOE
IDEA WESLACO PIKE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807013)	16%	-	85%	18%	93%	20%	86%	22%	SBOE
ILTEXAS AGGIELAND H S -(057848034)	32%	-	79%	16%	92%	19%	89%	23%	SBOE
ILTEXAS ARLINGTON-GRAND PRAIRIE H S -(057848006)	21%	100%	55%	2%	68%	6%	73%	11%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GARLAND H S -(057848003)	21%	100%	67%	6%	77%	4%	67%	8%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON WINDMILL LAKES OREM H S -(057848033)	20%	-	59%	1%	70%	3%	79%	6%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KATY WESTPARK H S -(057848018)	18%	-	72%	5%	82%	10%	72%	16%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KELLER SAGINAW H S -(057848009)	25%	100%	60%	3%	80%	8%	57%	5%	SBOE
ILTEXAS LANCASTER DESOTO H S -(057848032)	33%	-	58%	0%	68%	0%	80%	11%	SBOE
INSPIRED VISION ACADEMY SECONDARY -(057830002)	19%	95%	53%	2%	58%	1%	66%	4%	SBOE
ISCHOOL HIGH - ATASCOCITA -(221801076)	24%	-	100%	20%	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ISCHOOL HIGH AT THE WOODLANDS -(221801056)	18%	98%	97%	20%	99%	18%	98%	44%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
ISCHOOL HIGH AT UNIVERSITY PARK -(221801051)	12%	100%	98%	33%	99%	20%	97%	62%	SBOE
ISCHOOL LEWISVILLE (7-12 CAMPUS) -(221801034)	30%	95%	92%	23%	89%	20%	91%	32%	SBOE
ISCHOOL VIRTUAL ACADEMY OF TEXAS -(221801022)	51%	-	100%	30%	93%	11%	91%	34%	SBOE
JEFFERSON H S -(015907007)	22%	93%	57%	3%	62%	3%	71%	13%	ISD
KATHERINE ANNE PORTER SCHOOL -(105801001)	35%	69%	74%	11%	78%	17%	59%	0%	SBOE
KI CHARTER - DESOTO -(105803003)	100%*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
KIPP AUSTIN BRAVE -(227820002)	17%	-	56%	7%	66%	4%	48%	1%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN COLLEGIATE -(227820001)	8%	97%	65%	10%	73%	11%	30%	1%	SBOE
KIPP EAST END H S -(227820018)	12%	-	62%	8%	80%	5%	72%	4%	SBOE
KIPP GENERATIONS COLLEGIATE -(227820014)	13%	-	72%	9%	75%	8%	54%	2%	SBOE
KIPP HOUSTON H S -(227820013)	13%	-	81%	17%	88%	8%	90%	14%	SBOE
KIPP NORTHEAST COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(227820015)	15%	-	56%	4%	69%	4%	46%	2%	SBOE
KIPP OAK CLIFF ACADEMY -(227820030)	29%	-	42%	4%	59%	5%	42%	4%	SBOE
KIPP SOMOS H S -(227820021)	18%	-	67%	14%	70%	9%	77%	11%	SBOE
KIPP SUNNYSIDE H S -(227820016)	18%	-	56%	6%	63%	2%	70%	4%	SBOE
KIPP UNIVERSITY PREP H S -(227820020)	17%	-	60%	7%	68%	5%	45%	2%	SBOE
LEADERSHIP PREP SCHOOL SECONDARY -(061804002)	22%	-	86%	18%	89%	14%	77%	24%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
LEARN4LIFE -(015905016)	57%	-	57%	0%	58%	0%	17%	0%	ISD
LEGACY SCHOOL OF SPORT SCIENCES -(101874001)	25%	-	67%	3%	85%	7%	70%	46%	COE
LEGACY TRADITIONAL SCHOOL - BASSE -(015806003)	29%	100%	55%	3%	65%	0%	54%	11%	SBOE
LIFE H S WAXAHACHIE -(057807002)	13%	99%	78%	8%	79%	4%	81%	11%	SBOE
LIGHTHOUSE HIGH -(015825002)	40%	-	44%	0%	60%	0%	61%	17%	SBOE
LONGVIEW H S -(092903001)	13%	100%	73%	11%	79%	10%	94%	31%	ISD
MANARA LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(057844001)	55%	100%	64%	6%	78%	0%	78%	34%	SBOE
MOUNT CARMEL ACADEMY -(101912311)	21%	98%	73%	5%	76%	4%	91%	23%	ISD
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF ARLINGTON GIBBINS -(220817003)	29%	-	68%	9%	77%	12%	62%	11%	SBOE
PANOLA CS -(183801001)	83%	78%	64%	0%	38%	0%	50%	0%	SBOE
PANOLA EARLY COLLEGE H S -(183801003)	5%	100%	100%	21%	100%	0%	100%	64%	SBOE
PAUL AND JANE MEYER PUBLIC H S -(161802001)	15%	100%	72%	18%	78%	7%	79%	15%	SBOE
PINEYWOOD COMMUNITY ACADEMY - LIVINGSTON -(003801002)	100%*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PINEYWOODS COMMUNITY ACADEMY H S -(003801001)	11%	100%	75%	15%	81%	6%	86%	46%	SBOE
PREMONT COLLEGIATE H S -(125905001)	13%	98%	63%	5%	57%	3%	65%	9%	ISD
RICHLAND COLLEGIATE H S OF MATH SCIENCE ENGINEERING -(057840001)	11%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ROSCOE COLLEGIATE H S -(177901001)	10%	95%	77%	10%	87%	11%	75%	22%	ISD
SST SA COLLEGE PREP H S -(015827001)	20%	100%	73%	10%	81%	10%	74%	28%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
ST PHILIP'S COLLEGE EARLY COLLEGE H S WITH SAISD -(015907025)	18%	98%	81%	6%	96%	5%	71%	3%	ISD
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES -(123803001)	38%	96%	87%	0%	97%	3%	86%	0%	SBOE
TEXAS CONNECTIONS ACADEMY AT HOUSTON -(101912100)	49%	84%	85%	16%	88%	13%	66%	14%	ISD
TEXAS EARLY COLLEGE H S -(183801002)	11%	100%	66%	16%	74%	6%	62%	22%	SBOE
THE EAST AUSTIN COLLEGE PREP AT MLK -(227824002)	26%	96%	54%	5%	61%	1%	71%	6%	SBOE
TRAVIS EARLY COLLEGE H S -(015907022)	11%	100%	94%	23%	94%	24%	92%	23%	ISD
UPLIFT ATLAS PREPARATORY - H S -(057803010)	12%	100%	65%	3%	66%	1%	49%	3%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION-NORTH HILLS PREP H S -(057803002)	11%	100%	93%	43%	95%	30%	69%	18%	SBOE
UPLIFT GRAND H S -(057803016)	19%	-	69%	4%	82%	5%	68%	5%	SBOE
UPLIFT HAMPTON PREPARATORY H S -(057803007)	21%	95%	68%	5%	85%	3%	71%	3%	SBOE
UPLIFT HEIGHTS PREPARATORY H S -(057803006)	15%	98%	59%	4%	62%	0%	55%	4%	SBOE
UPLIFT INFINITY H S -(057803013)	15%	100%	70%	10%	80%	13%	73%	12%	SBOE
UPLIFT LUNA PREPARATORY H S -(057803005)	22%	95%	67%	6%	79%	2%	54%	6%	SBOE
UPLIFT MIGHTY H S -(057803014)	24%	95%	58%	2%	65%	1%	63%	3%	SBOE
UPLIFT SUMMIT INTERNATIONAL H S -(057803009)	21%	98%	79%	10%	83%	9%	56%	2%	SBOE
UPLIFT WILLIAMS PREPARATORY H S -(057803004)	14%	98%	64%	6%	76%	9%	65%	2%	SBOE
UT - UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL AT HIGH POINT -(227806034)	100%*	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
WESTCHESTER ACADEMY FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES -(101920014)	11%	100%	85%	18%	91%	21%	89%	38%	ISD
WISDOM H S -(057803019)	21%	-	62%	3%	76%	6%	67%	2%	SBOE
YES PREP - 5TH WARD -(101845008)	15%	97%	70%	7%	65%	3%	80%	25%	SBOE
YES PREP - BRAYS OAKS -(101845006)	5%	92%	71%	6%	73%	5%	80%	20%	SBOE
YES PREP - EAST END -(101845003)	10%	100%	61%	4%	70%	6%	65%	14%	SBOE
YES PREP - GULFTON -(101845004)	8%	95%	57%	7%	71%	5%	79%	30%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTH CENTRAL -(101845101)	7%	99%	80%	11%	85%	8%	90%	50%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTHSIDE -(101845007)	12%	98%	62%	2%	67%	3%	66%	12%	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHEAST -(101845001)	10%	98%	68%	11%	81%	10%	80%	35%	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHSIDE -(101845011)	17%	-	47%	3%	63%	3%	53%	8%	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHWEST -(101845002)	6%	99%	68%	8%	66%	4%	84%	24%	SBOE
YES PREP - WEST -(101845005)	14%	98%	66%	3%	74%	8%	67%	16%	SBOE
YES PREP - WHITE OAK -(101845009)	16%	-	54%	3%	67%	1%	66%	12%	SBOE
YES PREP NORTH FOREST -(101845010)	9%	97%	64%	3%	71%	5%	72%	18%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Sources. Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22 and 2022-23, and Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

TABLE D.3a

### Campus-Level College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Standard Accountability Provisions

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
A+ SECONDARY SCHOOL -(057829002)	12%	6%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	0%	11%	SBOE
AUSTIN ACHIEVE H S -(227825004)	37%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	12%	SBOE
BENAVIDES SECONDARY -(066901001)	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	ISD
BOB HOPE H S -(123807002)	37%	0%	58%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	8%	0%	6%	SBOE
BRYAN COLLEGIATE H S -(021902003)	98%	41%	100%	0%	0%	8%	19%	0%	19%	0%	2%	ISD
BURBANK H S -(015907002)	45%	16%	22%	41%	0%	18%	31%	6%	0%	4%	7%	ISD
BURLESON CENTER -(015905124)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
CALVIN NELMS H S -(101837001)	36%	0%	33%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
CAST MED H S -(015907028)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
CAST TECH H S -(015907027)	49%	22%	55%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	ISD
CEDARS ACADEMY NEXT GENERATION H S AT HIGHLAND -(227817001)	53%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	38%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
COMQUEST ACADEMY -(101842001)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	SBOE
CUMBERLAND H S -(212801001)	24%	7%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%	SBOE
EARLY COLLEGE T-STEM ACADEMY -(101806001)	15%	44%	42%	6%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
EAST CENTRAL CAST LEAD H S -(015911007)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
EL PASO LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - H S EAST -(071810002)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
ENERGIZED FOR STEM ACADEMY H S -(101912321)	17%	32%	26%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	11%	0%	2%	ISD
FLOYDADA COLLEGIATE H S -(077901001)	85%	0%	33%	24%	0%	67%	63%	0%	0%	0%	11%	ISD
FORT WORTH ACADEMY OF FINE ARTS -(220809001)	48%	39%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
FOUNDERS CLASSICAL ACADEMY CORINTH - UPPER SCHOOL -(221801020)	72%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
FOX TECHNICAL H S -(015907004)	71%	23%	64%	37%	0%	27%	28%	27%	0%	0%	5%	ISD
FRANK L MADLA EARLY COLLEGE H S -(015805001)	70%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	SBOE
FREER H S -(066903002)	44%	0%	66%	50%	4%	58%	18%	8%	0%	8%	16%	ISD
GATEWAY CHARTER ACADEMY - MIDDLE - H S -(057831002)	7%	0%	0%	90%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	SBOE
GREENLEAF NCC -(227901199)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.



Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
HAMLIN COLLEGIATE H S -(127903001)	76%	0%	41%	3%	0%	69%	48%	0%	0%	0%	0%	ISD
HARMONY SCHOOL OF ADVANCEMENT-HOUSTON -(101858004)	67%	50%	31%	26%	0%	5%	25%	0%	0%	1%	5%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE - LAREDO -(015828008)	83%	54%	55%	9%	0%	25%	18%	19%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INGENUITY-HOUSTON -(101846003)	90%	31%	35%	0%	0%	31%	14%	0%	0%	1%	6%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - BROWNSVILLE -(015828007)	98%	55%	38%	7%	0%	29%	36%	20%	0%	0%	9%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION - GARLAND -(161807006)	82%	15%	53%	2%	0%	30%	26%	21%	21%	0%	17%	SBOE
HARMONY SCHOOL OF INNOVATION-SUGAR LAND -(101862002)	87%	51%	69%	11%	0%	10%	11%	0%	0%	1%	5%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD (EL PASO) -(071806001)	91%	51%	63%	21%	0%	27%	21%	45%	0%	3%	8%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACAD (SAN ANTONIO) -(015828001)	76%	18%	18%	1%	10%	12%	12%	13%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY - EULESS -(161807009)	97%	34%	59%	0%	0%	39%	13%	3%	0%	2%	8%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-HOUSTON -(101846001)	87%	38%	70%	13%	1%	33%	31%	19%	0%	1%	6%	SBOE
HARMONY SCIENCE ACADEMY-PFLUGERVILLE -(227816002)	54%	41%	59%	6%	0%	8%	13%	48%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
HENRY FORD ACADEMY ALAMEDA SCHOOL FOR ART + DESIGN -(015833001)	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
HOUSTON GATEWAY ACADEMY - CORAL CAMPUS -(101828001)	53%	0%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
IDEA CARVER COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807020)	96%	69%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREP WESLACO -(108807010)	80%	58%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY MISSION -(108807004)	83%	69%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	8%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY PHARR -(108807008)	96%	79%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
IDEA COLLEGE PREPARATORY SAN JUAN -(108807006)	78%	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	SBOE
IDEA FRONTIER COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807003)	88%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
IDEA MCALLEN COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807011)	93%	96%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
IDEA MONTOPOLIS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807035)	55%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
IDEA NORTH MISSION COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807015)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA QUEST COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807002)	83%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
IDEA RIVERVIEW COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807014)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
IDEA RUNDBERG COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807036)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA SPORTS PARK COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807096)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
IDEA TOROS COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807018)	85%	76%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
IDEA WESLACO PIKE COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(108807013)	81%	87%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
ILTEXAS AGGIELAND H S -(057848034)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ILTEXAS ARLINGTON-GRAND PRAIRIE H S -(057848006)	64%	22%	1%	0%	0%	52%	41%	0%	0%	0%	1%	SBOE
ILTEXAS GARLAND H S -(057848003)	55%	48%	43%	0%	0%	12%	25%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
ILTEXAS HOUSTON WINDMILL LAKES OREM H S -(057848033)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
ILTEXAS KATY WESTPARK H S -(057848018)	68%	30%	4%	0%	0%	27%	44%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
ILTEXAS KELLER SAGINAW H S -(057848009)	54%	30%	26%	0%	0%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
ILTEXAS LANCASTER DESOTO H S -(057848032)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
INSPIRED VISION ACADEMY SECONDARY -(057830002)	6%	3%	25%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
ISCHOOL HIGH - ATASCOCITA -(221801076)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
ISCHOOL HIGH AT THE WOODLANDS -(221801056)	82%	2%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	0%	4%	SBOE
ISCHOOL HIGH AT UNIVERSITY PARK -(221801051)	84%	0%	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	2%	SBOE
ISCHOOL LEWISVILLE (7-12 CAMPUS) -(221801034)	60%	7%	55%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
ISCHOOL VIRTUAL ACADEMY OF TEXAS -(221801022)	20%	8%	14%	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	SBOE
JEFFERSON H S -(015907007)	41%	16%	22%	30%	0%	16%	38%	10%	0%	1%	9%	ISD
KATHERINE ANNE PORTER SCHOOL -(105801001)	22%	30%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
KI CHARTER - DESOTO -(105803003)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
KIPP AUSTIN BRAVE -(227820002)	26%	49%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
KIPP AUSTIN COLLEGIATE -(227820001)	37%	76%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	5%	SBOE
KIPP EAST END H S -(227820018)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP GENERATIONS COLLEGIATE -(227820014)	26%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
KIPP HOUSTON H S -(227820013)	42%	56%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
KIPP NORTHEAST COLLEGE PREPARATORY -(227820015)	17%	47%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
KIPP OAK CLIFF ACADEMY -(227820030)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
KIPP SOMOS H S -(227820021)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
KIPP SUNNYSIDE H S -(227820016)	24%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	0%	9%	SBOE
KIPP UNIVERSITY PREP H S -(227820020)	22%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	12%	SBOE
LEADERSHIP PREP SCHOOL SECONDARY -(061804002)	45%	29%	35%	1%	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	4%	1%	SBOE
LEARN4LIFE -(015905016)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ISD
LEGACY SCHOOL OF SPORT SCIENCES -(101874001)	6%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	6%	COE
LEGACY TRADITIONAL SCHOOL - BASSE -(015806003)	11%	7%	7%	0%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	SBOE
LIFE H S WAXAHACHIE -(057807002)	45%	5%	15%	31%	0%	12%	28%	0%	0%	6%	8%	SBOE
LIGHTHOUSE HIGH -(015825002)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
LONGVIEW H S -(092903001)	40%	14%	47%	21%	0%	39%	13%	15%	6%	1%	5%	ISD
MANARA LEADERSHIP ACADEMY -(057844001)	48%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	SBOE
MOUNT CARMEL ACADEMY -(101912311)	50%	12%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	ISD
NEWMAN INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF ARLINGTON GIBBINS -(220817003)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PANOLA CS -(183801001)	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
PANOLA EARLY COLLEGE H S -(183801003)	67%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	58%	0%	25%	SBOE
PAUL AND JANE MEYER PUBLIC H S -(161802001)	63%	0%	60%	30%	0%	10%	17%	33%	23%	7%	10%	SBOE
PINEYWOOD COMMUNITY ACADEMY - LIVINGSTON -(003801002)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PINEYWOODS COMMUNITY ACADEMY H S -(003801001)	27%	0%	75%	19%	4%	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMONT COLLEGIATE H S -(125905001)	69%	0%	69%	35%	2%	52%	59%	0%	7%	0%	11%	ISD
RICHLAND COLLEGIATE H S OF MATH SCIENCE ENGINEERIN -(057840001)	78%	25%	100%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	SBOE
ROSCOE COLLEGIATE H S -(177901001)	77%	0%	91%	59%	0%	14%	27%	0%	36%	0%	5%	ISD
SST SA COLLEGE PREP H S -(015827001)	51%	42%	31%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
ST PHILIP'S COLLEGE EARLY COLLEGE H S WITH SAISD -(015907025)	82%	0%	98%	0%	16%	0%	0%	0%	53%	0%	0%	ISD
TEKOA ACADEMY OF ACCELERATED STUDIES -(123803001)	3%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
TEXAS CONNECTIONS ACADEMY AT HOUSTON -(101912100)	21%	11%	5%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	ISD
TEXAS EARLY COLLEGE H S -(183801002)	47%	0%	94%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	SBOE
THE EAST AUSTIN COLLEGE PREP AT MLK -(227824002)	16%	24%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
TRAVIS EARLY COLLEGE H S -(015907022)	81%	46%	100%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	ISD
UPLIFT ATLAS PREPARATORY - H S -(057803010)	24%	51%	54%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	SBOE
UPLIFT EDUCATION-NORTH HILLS PREP H S -(057803002)	76%	89%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	4%	SBOE
UPLIFT GRAND H S -(057803016)	33%	87%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	SBOE
UPLIFT HAMPTON PREPARATORY H S -(057803007)	7%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	SBOE
UPLIFT HEIGHTS PREPARATORY H S -(057803006)	4%	72%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	SBOE
UPLIFT INFINITY H S -(057803013)	22%	79%	72%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	SBOE
UPLIFT LUNA PREPARATORY H S -(057803005)	40%	78%	0%	0%	0%	16%	15%	0%	0%	2%	7%	SBOE
UPLIFT MIGHTY H S -(057803014)	5%	85%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
UPLIFT SUMMIT INTERNATIONAL H S -(057803009)	48%	87%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
UPLIFT WILLIAMS PREPARATORY H S -(057803004)	25%	63%	14%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
UT - UNIVERSITY CHARTER SCHOOL AT HIGH POINT -(227806034)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
WESTCHESTER ACADEMY FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES -(101920014)	54%	57%	5%	13%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	ISD

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
WISDOM H S -(057803019)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
YES PREP - 5TH WARD -(101845008)	30%	73%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
YES PREP - BRAYS OAKS -(101845006)	30%	54%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	8%	SBOE
YES PREP - EAST END -(101845003)	47%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	SBOE
YES PREP - GULFTON -(101845004)	34%	37%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTH CENTRAL -(101845101)	46%	49%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
YES PREP - NORTHSIDE -(101845007)	34%	48%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHEAST -(101845001)	53%	69%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	10%	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHSIDE -(101845011)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
YES PREP - SOUTHWEST -(101845002)	56%	79%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	SBOE
YES PREP - WEST -(101845005)	54%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	7%	SBOE
YES PREP - WHITE OAK -(101845009)	47%	71%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	SBOE
YES PREP NORTH FOREST -(101845010)	31%	55%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.



TABLE D.4

### Campus-Level Academic Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
BILLY MOORE -(227827004)	95%	-	-	-	48%	3%	45%	0%	COE
BRAZOS RIVER CHARTER SCHOOL -(213801001)	75%	70%	33%	0%	60%	0%	50%	10%	SBOE
CROSTIMBERS ACADEMY -(184801001)	31%	100%	69%	0%	76%	2%	39%	0%	SBOE
DAN CHADWICK CAMPUS -(092801001)	21%	97%	74%	9%	76%	9%	93%	26%	SBOE
EL PASO ACADEMY -(071804001)	72%	86%	55%	6%	77%	6%	86%	29%	SBOE
EL PASO ACADEMY WEST -(071804002)	69%	85%	76%	0%	79%	3%	96%	11%	SBOE
EMPOWERMENT H S -(101838001)	23%	90%	30%	1%	44%	0%	75%	24%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY BEAUMONT -(057834003)	61%	84%	34%	2%	48%	1%	87%	2%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(057834001)	60%	79%	27%	3%	49%	1%	35%	0%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY HOUSTON -(057834004)	66%	91%	50%	0%	55%	2%	53%	0%	SBOE
GATEWAY TECH H S -(014804005)	68%	96%	75%	8%	92%	8%	62%	8%	SBOE
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHARTER -(101804001)	21%	87%	30%	2%	47%	2%	64%	0%	SBOE
GEORGE I SANCHEZ NORTH -(101804004)	22%	-	29%	1%	55%	1%	35%	1%	SBOE
GEORGETOWN BEHAVIORAL HEALTH INSTITUTE -(227806044)	100%*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
HERITAGE ACADEMY OF DEL RIO -(015815041)	15%	100%	66%	7%	66%	4%	95%	20%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
HOUSTON HEIGHTS CHARTER SCHOOL -(101821001)	22%	90%	52%	0%	52%	0%	65%	14%	SBOE
HUSTON ACADEMY -(072802001)	35%	96%	80%	0%	94%	6%	100%	0%	SBOE
JHW INSPIRE ACADEMIES- RISE RECOVERY -(015808016)	60%	-	-	-	57%	0%	-	-	SBOE
LEAD ACADEMY H S -(092903004)	59%	98%	45%	5%	57%	0%	97%	28%	ISD
NEW DIRECTIONS -(015807005)	46%	86%	47%	0%	46%	8%	29%	0%	SBOE
POR VIDA ACADEMY CHARTER H S -(015801001)	74%	62%	29%	0%	52%	0%	55%	9%	SBOE
POR VIDA ACADEMY CORPUS CHRISTI -(015801002)	30%	93%	69%	0%	77%	23%	82%	9%	SBOE
POSITIVE SOLUTIONS CHARTER -(015814001)	74%	47%	36%	0%	59%	0%	44%	4%	SBOE
PREMIER H S - CORPUS CHRISTI -(072801157)	62%	-	20%	0%	50%	0%	20%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S - HOUSTON - HOBBY -(072801156)	82%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER H S - SAN ANGELO -(072801150)	28%	-	44%	3%	45%	3%	49%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S HOUSTON GALLERY NORTH -(072801152)	66%	-	40%	0%	58%	0%	22%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S ODESSA -(072801153)	73%	-	36%	0%	67%	0%	22%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF ABILENE -(072801101)	60%	98%	62%	14%	77%	5%	91%	9%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF AMARILLO -(072801142)	54%	86%	59%	3%	74%	6%	78%	22%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF ARLINGTON -(072801131)	54%	93%	89%	7%	76%	5%	74%	3%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF BROWNSVILLE -(072801103)	52%	98%	57%	14%	100%	0%	100%	8%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF COMANCHE/EARLY -(072801001)	51%	100%	-	-	78%	6%	-	-	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
PREMIER H S OF DAYTON -(072801138)	70%	100%	22%	0%	67%	0%	30%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF DEL RIO -(072801107)	60%	100%	43%	0%	58%	0%	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF FORT WORTH -(072801108)	66%	77%	47%	0%	58%	0%	22%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF GRANBURY -(072801137)	47%	100%	76%	12%	69%	0%	75%	13%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF HUNTSVILLE -(072801135)	45%	82%	64%	18%	88%	6%	50%	10%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF LAREDO -(072801109)	41%	100%	50%	0%	70%	10%	91%	27%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF LUBBOCK -(072801110)	60%	94%	65%	10%	74%	3%	75%	17%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF MIDLAND -(072801112)	46%	100%	69%	3%	85%	0%	75%	3%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF MISSION -(072801116)	56%	97%	92%	0%	89%	11%	86%	57%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF NEW BRAUNFELS -(072801136)	58%	93%	-	-	90%	10%	86%	14%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF PALMVIEW -(072801104)	54%	100%	73%	0%	79%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF PFLUGERVILLE -(072801144)	69%	100%	50%	0%	75%	0%	50%	13%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF SAN JUAN -(072801130)	59%	100%	82%	0%	82%	9%	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF SOUTH IRVING -(072801139)	55%	93%	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF TEXARKANA -(072801148)	68%	91%	57%	14%	64%	9%	63%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF TYLER -(072801118)	64%	94%	33%	0%	71%	0%	33%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF WACO -(072801121)	59%	98%	70%	0%	73%	0%	67%	6%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF WESLACO -(072801115)	66%	100%	62%	0%	79%	0%	83%	33%	SBOE

Key: STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
PREMIER H S ONLINE -(072801145)	62%	70%	68%	7%	60%	5%	37%	5%	SBOE
PREMIER H S-HOUSTON (CHAMPIONS) -(072801147)	48%	86%	80%	0%	86%	14%	44%	11%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-AUSTIN SOUTH CAMPUS -(072801113)	74%	96%	60%	0%	71%	7%	93%	20%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-AUSTIN WELLS BRANCH -(072801128)	65%	96%	64%	0%	82%	9%	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-CANYON -(072801154)	67%	-	50%	17%	85%	8%	50%	17%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-EL PASO EASTPOINTE -(072801143)	49%	98%	63%	5%	63%	0%	67%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-EL PASO MESA -(072801129)	53%	100%	72%	22%	75%	13%	100%	27%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-SAN ANTONIO INGRAM -(072801149)	63%	89%	78%	11%	63%	0%	86%	29%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-SAN ANTONIO WINDCREST -(072801117)	69%	97%	48%	0%	38%	0%	36%	14%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOLS - EDINBURG CAREER & TECHNICAL -(072801146)	51%	100%	61%	4%	80%	12%	57%	14%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY AMARILLO -(014801008)	62%	42%	16%	2%	31%	2%	18%	2%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY CORPUS CHRISTI -(014801007)	57%	40%	47%	0%	43%	0%	44%	2%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY FORT WORTH -(014801006)	64%	46%	19%	0%	39%	0%	28%	0%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY HOUSTON (SUBURBAN) -(014801005)	69%	53%	25%	3%	30%	0%	19%	3%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY LUBBOCK -(014801004)	66%	39%	22%	0%	25%	0%	33%	2%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY MIDLAND SOUTH -(014801010)	59%	44%	26%	0%	52%	2%	38%	0%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY ODESSA -(014801002)	48%	46%	22%	0%	29%	0%	21%	0%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY PASADENA -(014801009)	61%	48%	32%	0%	32%	0%	53%	0%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ALTER H S (KILLEEN) -(014801001)	63%	49%	37%	0%	34%	2%	30%	0%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST PREPARATORY SCHOOL-NORTHWEST -(015807004)	29%	88%	49%	5%	70%	7%	68%	8%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - AUSTIN -(057804006)	65%	36%	37%	0%	32%	0%	53%	3%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - CARROLLTON-FARMERS BRANCH -(057804003)	66%	61%	34%	1%	40%	0%	74%	10%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - DALLAS NORTH -(057804001)	66%	35%	33%	0%	37%	0%	77%	2%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - FORT WORTH LANCASTER AVENUE -(057804008)	64%	39%	31%	0%	40%	0%	65%	3%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - FORT WORTH WESTCREEK -(057804007)	72%	51%	27%	0%	34%	0%	86%	9%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - GARLAND -(057804013)	63%	49%	42%	0%	41%	0%	71%	10%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - GRANT EAST -(057804005)	69%	54%	27%	0%	37%	0%	64%	2%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON HOBBY -(057804010)	63%	42%	34%	1%	32%	0%	49%	1%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON NORTH -(057804009)	71%	42%	34%	0%	36%	0%	63%	0%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON SOUTHWEST -(057804012)	65%	51%	34%	0%	40%	1%	70%	3%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - OAK CLIFF -(057804002)	68%	54%	26%	0%	39%	1%	32%	1%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - PLEASANT GROVE -(057804004)	68%	63%	39%	0%	48%	1%	71%	7%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - SAN ANTONIO -(057804011)	73%	37%	26%	0%	52%	0%	69%	3%	SBOE
THE EXCEL CENTER (FOR ADULTS) -(227827001)	72%	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
THE EXCEL CENTER FOR ADULTS - SOUTH AUSTIN -(227827003)	77%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	COE
THE PRO-VISION ACADEMY -(101868001)	38%	88%	27%	0%	34%	0%	24%	1%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-BROWNSVILLE -(108804004)	40%	-	38%	4%	57%	4%	67%	6%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-EL PASO EAST -(071803002)	52%	96%	80%	20%	79%	2%	100%	10%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-EL PASO WEST -(071803001)	63%	96%	43%	0%	53%	0%	100%	33%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LAREDO NORTH -(240801001)	55%	100%	26%	0%	46%	0%	71%	4%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LAREDO SOUTH -(240801002)	38%	100%	36%	0%	29%	0%	81%	19%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LUBBOCK -(152803001)	56%	95%	16%	0%	37%	2%	59%	2%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-MCALLEN -(108804002)	40%	100%	51%	3%	71%	8%	79%	3%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-MERCEDES -(108804001)	40%	97%	38%	0%	61%	6%	77%	9%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-SAN BENITO -(108804003)	47%	96%	68%	0%	64%	0%	86%	16%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - GRAND PRAIRIE -(057828004)	71%	83%	50%	2%	58%	0%	93%	10%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (IRVING) -(057828001)	54%	80%	51%	0%	58%	0%	76%	10%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (LEWISVILLE) -(057828002)	61%	77%	33%	5%	64%	4%	48%	0%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Campus Name (CDCN)	Attrition Rate	Graduation Rate	STAAR-English I Approaches	STAAR-English I Masters	STAAR-English II Approaches	STAAR-English II Masters	STAAR-Algebra I Approaches	STAAR-Algebra I Masters	School Type
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (RICHARDSON) -(057828003)	65%	83%	63%	11%	64%	10%	65%	6%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL DALLAS -(057828006)	61%	68%	49%	3%	52%	0%	40%	3%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY NORTH RICHLAND HILLS -(057828005)	68%	74%	52%	2%	56%	2%	44%	0%	SBOE

Key. STAAR® = State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness; SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate; an asterisk (\*) indicates an active-zero-enrollment in Year 2 campus (see Appendix A for details).

Sources. Public Education Information Management System, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22 and 2022-23, and Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.

TABLE D.4a

### Campus-Level College, Career, and Military Readiness Performance Outcomes for Charter School Campuses, High School Campuses Evaluated Under Alternative Education Accountability Provisions

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
BILLY MOORE -(227827004)	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	COE
BRAZOS RIVER CHARTER SCHOOL -(213801001)	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
CROSTIMBERS ACADEMY -(184801001)	15%	0%	0%	4%	0%	15%	44%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
DAN CHADWICK CAMPUS -(092801001)	33%	3%	9%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
EL PASO ACADEMY -(071804001)	4%	3%	2%	21%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
EL PASO ACADEMY WEST -(071804002)	6%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
EMPOWERMENT H S -(101838001)	5%	25%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY BEAUMONT -(057834003)	82%	0%	4%	0%	0%	84%	84%	0%	0%	2%	0%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL -(057834001)	51%	3%	3%	1%	0%	55%	55%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
EVOLUTION ACADEMY HOUSTON -(057834004)	76%	1%	1%	0%	0%	84%	82%	0%	0%	5%	1%	SBOE
GATEWAY TECH H S -(014804005)	38%	0%	31%	0%	0%	8%	8%	0%	0%	0%	15%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.



Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
GEORGE I SANCHEZ CHARTER -(101804001)	24%	0%	7%	7%	0%	20%	23%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
GEORGE I SANCHEZ NORTH -(101804004)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
GEORGETOWN BEHAVIORAL HEALTH INSTITUTE -(227806044)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
HERITAGE ACADEMY OF DEL RIO -(015815041)	8%	0%	47%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
HOUSTON HEIGHTS CHARTER SCHOOL -(101821001)	5%	0%	2%	37%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
HUSTON ACADEMY -(072802001)	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
JHW INSPIRE ACADEMIES- RISE RECOVERY -(015808016)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
LEAD ACADEMY H S -(092903004)	3%	1%	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	ISD
NEW DIRECTIONS -(015807005)	20%	7%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
POR VIDA ACADEMY CHARTER H S -(015801001)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	SBOE
POR VIDA ACADEMY CORPUS CHRISTI -(015801002)	32%	0%	32%	0%	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
POSITIVE SOLUTIONS CHARTER -(015814001)	20%	0%	15%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S - CORPUS CHRISTI -(072801157)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER H S - HOUSTON - HOB-BY -(072801156)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
PREMIER H S - SAN ANGELO -(072801150)	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	3%	SBOE
PREMIER H S HOUSTON GALLERY NORTH -(072801152)	5%	0%	5%	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S ODESSA -(072801153)	0%	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF ABILENE -(072801101)	14%	0%	2%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF AMARILLO -(072801142)	19%	0%	1%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF ARLINGTON -(072801131)	9%	0%	3%	79%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF BROWNSVILLE -(072801103)	24%	16%	4%	72%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF COMANCHE/ EARLY -(072801001)	16%	0%	16%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF DAYTON -(072801138)	7%	3%	5%	97%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	5%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF DEL RIO -(072801107)	12%	0%	8%	92%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF FORT WORTH -(072801108)	4%	2%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	2%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF GRANBURY -(072801137)	8%	4%	4%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF HUNTSVILLE -(072801135)	14%	0%	0%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
PREMIER H S OF LAREDO -(072801109)	68%	0%	5%	100%	0%	59%	64%	0%	0%	5%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF LUBBOCK -(072801110)	6%	2%	1%	87%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF MIDLAND -(072801112)	22%	2%	11%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF MISSION -(072801116)	11%	11%	7%	37%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF NEW BRAUNFELS -(072801136)	19%	10%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF PALMVIEW -(072801104)	16%	3%	6%	65%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF PFLUGERVILLE -(072801144)	24%	4%	8%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	4%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF SAN JUAN -(072801130)	24%	8%	0%	48%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF SOUTH IRVING -(072801139)	0%	10%	0%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF TEXARKANA -(072801148)	11%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF TYLER -(072801118)	0%	0%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF WACO -(072801121)	10%	3%	7%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	SBOE
PREMIER H S OF WESLACO -(072801115)	3%	3%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
PREMIER H S ONLINE -(072801145)	9%	3%	7%	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%	SBOE
PREMIER H S-HOUSTON (CHAMPIONS) -(072801147)	33%	7%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-AUSTIN SOUTH CAMPUS -(072801113)	16%	5%	26%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-AUSTIN WELLS BRANCH -(072801128)	44%	0%	6%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	6%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-CANYON -(072801154)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-EL PASO EASTPOINTE -(072801143)	12%	4%	6%	92%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	8%	2%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-EL PASO MESA -(072801129)	9%	0%	3%	97%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-SAN ANTONIO INGRAM -(072801149)	6%	3%	6%	82%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOL-SAN ANTONIO WINDCREST -(072801117)	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	18%	SBOE
PREMIER HIGH SCHOOLS - EDINBURG CAREER & TECHNICAL -(072801146)	14%	0%	10%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY AMARILLO -(014801008)	9%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY CORPUS CHRISTI -(014801007)	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY FORT WORTH -(014801006)	3%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY HOUSTON (SUBURBAN) -(014801005)	4%	4%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY LUBBOCK -(014801004)	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY MIDLAND SOUTH -(014801010)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY ODESSA -(014801002)	3%	3%	8%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	3%	8%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ACADEMY PASADENA -(014801009)	0%	8%	4%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
RICHARD MILBURN ALTER H S (KILLEEN) -(014801001)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
SOUTHWEST PREPARATORY SCHOOL-NORTHWEST -(015807004)	35%	2%	47%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - AUSTIN -(057804006)	2%	2%	6%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - CARROLLTON-FARMERS BRANCH -(057804003)	0%	1%	4%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - DALLAS NORTH -(057804001)	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - FORT WORTH LANCASTER AVENUE -(057804008)	0%	2%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - FORT WORTH WESTCREEK -(057804007)	0%	0%	2%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - GARLAND -(057804013)	3%	0%	6%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - GRANT EAST -(057804005)	0%	0%	3%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON HOBBY -(057804010)	0%	2%	4%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON NORTH -(057804009)	0%	2%	2%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - HOUSTON SOUTHWEST -(057804012)	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - OAK CLIFF -(057804002)	0%	1%	3%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - PLEASANT GROVE -(057804004)	2%	2%	8%	24%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
TEXANS CAN ACADEMY - SAN ANTONIO -(057804011)	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	SBOE
THE EXCEL CENTER (FOR ADULTS) -(227827001)	0%	0%	0%	23%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	COE
THE EXCEL CENTER FOR ADULTS - SOUTH AUSTIN -(227827003)	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	COE
THE PRO-VISION ACADEMY -(101868001)	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	18%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-BROWNSVILLE -(108804004)	0%	4%	12%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-EL PASO EAST -(071803002)	12%	0%	29%	5%	0%	2%	27%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-EL PASO WEST -(071803001)	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LAREDO NORTH -(240801001)	19%	14%	2%	2%	0%	21%	19%	0%	0%	0%	0%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LAREDO SOUTH -(240801002)	10%	0%	0%	4%	0%	16%	10%	0%	0%	0%	6%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-LUBBOCK -(152803001)	1%	0%	10%	2%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	1%	19%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-MCALLEN -(108804002)	4%	4%	0%	26%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-MERCEDES -(108804001)	10%	5%	3%	13%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	10%	SBOE
TRIUMPH PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS-SAN BENITO -(108804003)	4%	2%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	9%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - GRAND PRAIRIE -(057828004)	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	5%	2%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (IRVING) -(057828001)	0%	8%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Campus Name (CDCN)	Meeting Texas Success Initiative Criteria in English Language Arts (ELA)/ Reading and Math	Meeting Criteria on the Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate Examination	Earning Dual Course Credits	Earning an Industry-Based Certification	Earning a Level I or Level II Certificate	Completing and Earning Credit for an ELA College Prep Course	Completing and Earning Credit for a Mathematics College Prep Course	Completing an OnRamps Dual Enrollment Course	Earning an Associate's Degree	Completing an Individualized Education Program and Demonstrating Workforce Readiness	Being Identified as a Current Special Education Student with an Advanced Diploma Plan	School Type
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (LEWISVILLE) -(057828002)	11%	2%	2%	0%	0%	8%	8%	0%	0%	11%	9%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL (RICHARDSON) -(057828003)	21%	6%	22%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	2%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL DALLAS -(057828006)	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	3%	8%	0%	0%	14%	6%	SBOE
WINFREE ACADEMY NORTH RICHLAND HILLS -(057828005)	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	6%	0%	SBOE

Key. SBOE = State Board of Education; ISD = Independent School District; COE = commissioner of education; a dash (-) indicates that there was insufficient data for the calculation of this rate.

Source. Texas Academic Performance Reports, Texas Education Agency, 2021-22.